

Nonlinear dynamic stability of cylindrical shells under pulsating axial loading via transient Finite Element Analysis

Fabio Rizzetto, Eelco Jansen, Matteo Strozzi, Francesco Pellicano

► To cite this version:

Fabio Rizzetto, Eelco Jansen, Matteo Strozzi, Francesco Pellicano. Nonlinear dynamic stability of cylindrical shells under pulsating axial loading via transient Finite Element Analysis. 2019. hal-02263728v1

HAL Id: hal-02263728 https://hal.science/hal-02263728v1

Preprint submitted on 5 Aug 2019 (v1), last revised 5 Aug 2019 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Nonlinear dynamic stability of cylindrical shells under pulsating axial loading via transient Finite Element Analysis

Fabio Rizzetto[†], Eelco Jansen[‡], Matteo Strozzi^{*} and Francesco Pellicano[°]

*Vehicle Development Engineer, Ferrari SpA, Via Abetone Inferiore 4, 41053 Maranello (Modena), Italy fabio.rizzetto14@gmail.com

‡ Institute of Structural Analysis, Leibniz Universität Hannover, Appelstrasse 9A, 30167 Hannover, Germany e.jansen@isd.uni-hannover.de

*Department of Sciences and Methods for Engineering, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Via Giovanni Amendola 2, 42122 Reggio Emilia, Italy matteo.strozzi@unimore.it

^oDepartment of Engineering "Enzo Ferrari", University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Via Vivarelli 10/1, 41125 Modena, Italy francesco.pellicano@unimore.it

Corresponding Author Dr. Eelco Jansen Institute of Structural Analysis, Leibniz Universität Hannover, Appelstrasse 9A, 30167 Hannover, Germany Email: e.jansen@isd.uni-hannover.de

Abstract

Nonlinear dynamic stability investigations for isotropic and composite cylindrical shells under pulsating axial loading are carried out using transient Finite Element analysis. In particular, important characteristics of the geometrically nonlinear behaviour are systematically studied through Finite Element analysis. The results of the Finite Element analysis are compared with results obtained in earlier studies using semi-analytical procedures. In order to facilitate the evaluation and the comparison of these two complementary approaches, a modal projection procedure has been developed for the Finite Element analysis. Critical dynamic loads and frequency-response curves for isotropic and composite shells under pulsating loading obtained with the Finite Element transient analysis are shown to be generally in good qualitative agreement with the results of earlier semi-analytical work. The analysis of the modal amplitude achieved via the modal projection procedure also makes it possible to study the interactions between contributing modes and to observe and interpret interesting phenomena such as the occurrence of travelling waves in the circumferential direction of the shell.

Keywords

Nonlinear vibrations, dynamic stability, cylindrical shells

1. Introduction

Thin-walled shell structures are important structural components in many branches of engineering; these structures are prone to buckling instabilities under static and dynamic compressive loading and may be directly or parametrically excited into resonance at their natural frequencies by dynamic loads. The stability of thin-walled structures under dynamic loading constitutes a relevant research area; in particular, the parametric excitation (vibration buckling under pulsating loading) represents an important topic in the dynamic stability of shell structures.

Because of the theoretical and practical significance of these structural components, the parametric excitation and nonlinear vibration problem of cylindrical shells has received considerable attention. The vibration buckling problem of shells under pulsating loading has often been investigated within a semi-analytical context. It has been recognized that essential information about the nonlinear dynamic behaviour of structures can be efficiently obtained by means of analytical-numerical, lowdimensional models, i.e. models with a small number of degrees of freedom. The essential ideas of parametric excitation for shell problems are discussed by Hsu [1]. Early investigations in the field of the parametric excitation of cylindrical shells were carried out by Yao [2-3], Vijayaraghavan and Evan-Iwanowski [4] and Nagai and Yamaki [5]. Laminated structures have been studied by Argento [6] and Argento and Scott [7-8]. Further semi-analytical work includes the work of Popov et al. [9] and Gonçalves and Del Prado [10]. The extension of semi-analytical approaches to multi-mode analyses has been presented and used in various works by Amabili, Pellicano and their colleagues [11-15] on nonlinear vibrations and parametric excitation of cylindrical shells. A low-dimensional model with a small number of degrees of freedom, introduced earlier by Jansen [16] to capture important characteristics of the nonlinear vibration behaviour of shells, was used to analyse the dynamic stability behaviour of anisotropic cylindrical shells under dynamic axial loading [17]. Using a Finite Element based reduced order approach, the nonlinear (large amplitude) vibration analysis and the dynamic buckling of composite cylindrical shells under step loading have been studied in Refs. [18, 19].

The possibility to carry out a nonlinear transient analysis for shell structures is standardly available within finite element codes. Because of their imperfection-sensitive behaviour, relevant shell configurations such as cylindrical shells have received considerable attention in dynamic buckling investigations, e.g. by Saigal et al. [20], Yaffe and Abramovich [21] and Bisagni [22]. Finite element based transient analysis is, however, computationally expensive. This in particular holds for shells under pulsating loading, which have been investigated through Finite Element Analysis, e.g. Ganapathi et al. [23] and Dey and Ramachandra [24], but to date have not been analysed through systematic transient Finite Element studies.

In this paper, the large amplitude vibration behaviour of both isotropic and composite cylindrical shells under pulsating loading is investigated by using transient Finite Element analysis in order to obtain accurate solutions. The Finite Element analysis allows an accurate satisfaction of idealized or realistic boundary conditions, makes it possible to include all relevant inertial effects, and enables capturing the complicated interaction between the "trivial", axisymmetric fundamental dynamic state and the parametrically excited "nontrivial", asymmetric dynamic state. Key issues for the numerical analysis will be addressed. A Finite Element modal projection procedure will be developed, which facilitates the interpretations of the transient Finite Element analysis in particular the comparison between Finite Element calculations and the results of semi-analytical approaches available in the literature.

2. Problem formulation on the basis of a semi-analytical approach

In the present section, the problem of parametric excitation of a composite cylindrical shell under pulsating axial loading is described on the basis of the governing equations and displacement functions for this problem following the formulation used in Ref. [17].

2.1. Governing equations

The equations governing the nonlinear dynamic behaviour of a cylindrical shell are presented. The shell is loaded by an axial compressive force *P* and an external pressure *p* which can both depend on time. It is assumed that the radial displacement *w* is positive inward (see Figure 1). Applying the usual membrane stress resultants N_x , N_y and N_{xy} , and introducing the Airy stress function *F* defined by $N_x = F_{,yy}$, $N_y = F_{,xx}$ and $N_{xy} = -F_{,xy}$, the Donnell-type nonlinear imperfect shallow shell equations for an anisotropic material can be written as

$$L_{A^*}(F) - L_{B^*}(w) = -\frac{1}{R}w_{,xx} - \frac{1}{2}L_{NL}(w, w + 2\overline{w})$$
(1)

$$L_{B^*}(F) - L_{D^*}(w) = \frac{1}{R}F_{,xx} + L_{NL}(F, w + \overline{w}) + p - \bar{\rho}hw_{,tt}$$
(2)

where the variables *w* and *F* depend on the spatial coordinates *x*, *y* and time *t*, *R* is the shell radius, \overline{w} denotes a stress-free radial initial imperfection, $\overline{\rho}hw_{,tt}$ is the radial inertia term, $\overline{\rho}$ is the averaged specific mass of the laminate, *h* is the reference shell thickness.

The 4th order linear differential operators

$$L_{A^*}(\cdot) = A^*_{22}(\cdot)_{,xxxx} - 2A^*_{26}(\cdot)_{,xxxy} + (2A^*_{12} + A^*_{66})(\cdot)_{,xxyy} - 2A^*_{16}(\cdot)_{,xyyy} + A^*_{11}(\cdot)_{,yyyy}$$
(3)

$$L_{B^*}(\cdot) = B_{21}^*(\cdot)_{,xxxx} + (2B_{26}^* - B_{61}^*)(\cdot)_{,xxxy} + (B_{11}^* + B_{22}^* - 2B_{66}^*)(\cdot)_{,xxyy}$$

$$+(2B_{16}^* - B_{62}^*)(\cdot)_{,xyyy} + B_{12}^*(\cdot)_{,yyyy}$$
(4)

$$L_{D^*}(\cdot) = D_{11}^*(\cdot)_{,xxxx} + 4D_{16}^*(\cdot)_{,xxxy} + 2(D_{12}^* + 2D_{66}^*)(\cdot)_{,xxyy} + 4D_{26}^*(\cdot)_{,xyyy} + D_{22}^*(\cdot)_{,yyyy}$$
(5)

depend on the stiffness properties of the laminate, the elements of the partially inverted ABDmatrix [17], and the nonlinear operator defined by

$$L_{NL}(S,T) = S_{,xx} T_{,yy} - 2S_{,xy} T_{,xy} + S_{,yy} T_{,xx}$$
(6)

reflects the geometric nonlinearity.

In particular, Eq. (1) guarantees the compatibility of the strains and the radial displacement field while Eq. (2) is the equation of motion (dynamic equilibrium equation) in radial direction.

The first step for solving the equations is to transform them into a finite set of nonlinear ordinary differential equations (ODEs) using the Galerkin method, through which we can describe the deformation using a finite number of degrees of freedom (DOFs).

The radial displacement $w(x, \theta, t)$ can be written as

$$w(x,\theta,t) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_j(t)\psi_j(x,\theta) \qquad (7)$$

The coefficients $a_j(t)$ are now the DOFs of the problem. After suitably expanding the Airy function and projecting the governing equations using the Galerkin procedure, one can obtain the following set of ODEs,

$$\ddot{a}_{j} + 2\zeta_{j}\omega_{j}\dot{a}_{j} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} z_{j,i} a_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} z_{j,i} a_{i} a_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} z_{j,i,k} a_{i} a_{j} a_{k} = g(t) \quad (8)$$

2.2. Displacement functions

The choice of the trial functions used for the radial displacement w is crucial in order to obtain good quality results in the case of nonlinear vibrations; studies on convergence in the nonlinear case are reported in Refs. [12-15]. It is important to include axisymmetric modes in the expression for the radial displacement.

Let us consider a circular cylindrical shell with classical, simply supported boundary conditions (SS-3 boundary conditions), excited by a radial harmonic load with circular frequency Ω to vibrate in the resonant condition of the mode (m,n), where *m* is the number of longitudinal half-waves and *n* the number of circumferential full waves. It is important to include the following modes in the displacement function assumed for the radial displacement [11, 12]:

- resonant mode, including both driven and companion modes
- asymmetric modes with $k \times n$ circumferential full waves, where k is an integer
- axisymmetric modes

In certain cases, additional modes with natural frequency close to the ratio 1:1, 1:2, 1:3 with respect to the frequency of excitation, which in general may have an influence in the response, should be included. In the numerical calculations in Ref. [12], the following 23-DOFs expansion was assumed:

$$w(x,\theta,t) =$$

$$\sum_{m=1}^{3} \sum_{k=1}^{3} [A_{m,n}(t) \cos(kn\vartheta) + B_{m,n}(t) \sin(kn\theta)] \sin(\lambda_m x) +$$

$$\sum_{m=1}^{5} A_{m,0}(t) \sin(\lambda_{2m-1} x) \qquad (9)$$

In the expansion presented in Ref. [17], the most essential modes were retained and the number of DOFs was thereby reduced to four. Driven and companion resonant modes and two specific axisymmetric modes were included. One of the axisymmetric modes satisfies a crucial coupling condition with the driven and companion mode. In the numerical calculations the expansion used in Ref. [17] is

$$w(x,\theta,t) = C_0(t) + C_1(t)\cos\left(\frac{2m\pi x}{L}\right) + A(t)\sin\left(m\pi\frac{x}{L}\right)\cos\left(n\theta - \frac{\tau_R}{R}x\right) + B(t)\sin\left(m\pi\frac{x}{L}\right)\cos\left(n\theta - \frac{\tau_R}{R}x\right)$$
(10)

where τ_R is Khot's skewedness parameter, which is equal to zero in the case of an isotropic shell but can reflect the possible skewedness of the deflection pattern of an anisotropic shell. In the case of skewed modes and for large amplitude deflections, the expression satisfies the classical boundary condition SS-3 only approximately.



Figure 1. Shell geometry, coordinate system and applied loading within semi-analytical nonlinear dynamic analysis of composite cylindrical shells [17].



Figure 2. Shell geometry and coordinate system for Finite Element analysis.

3. Finite Element Analysis

3.1. Nonlinear Dynamic Analysis

The nonlinear dynamics simulations were performed using the Finite Element (FE) software Abaqus 2016 [25]. A four-node general-purpose shell element with reduced integration and finite membrane strains (the Abaqus S4R shell element) was employed. The time integration scheme used is the implicit HHT (Hilbert-Hughes-Taylor) method. This integration scheme is unconditionally stable for linear problems and has been shown to give appropriate stability properties also for certain nonlinear problems, but it introduces a certain amount of numerical damping in the system. In order to reduce the influence of this numerical damping, one must carefully set the parameters of the method in an approach in which small time increments are used to accurately represent the vibrational response of the structure while keeping the numerical energy dissipation at a minimum ("Transient Fidelity" option in Abaqus, see Table 1).

Regarding the time step of the integration, an automatic scheme of variable time step available in Abaqus is used. Three parameters are defined within this approach: initial suggested time step, minimum time step and maximum time step. After a convergence analysis, the initial and maximum time steps were always chosen smaller than 1/80 T, where T is the time period of the harmonic forcing; the integrator is free to use a smaller time step if needed. Using a low or negligible damping, the influence of the time step choice is crucial and one should apply a small time step in order to reduce the deviation of the solution.

3.2. Modal projection procedure

In order to simplify the interpretation of the dynamic response of the structure, an innovative postprocessing procedure was developed. The deflection of the cylindrical shell can be described using a time depending vector $\overline{u}(t)$. The goal is then to obtain the best approximation of this vector using a reduced basis constituted of linearly independent (in general not orthogonal) vectors. Applying this approach to the Finite Element analysis results, for each time step of the time history output, a vector \overline{u} with components u_i , the radial displacement associated with the node of the shell surface with coordinates (θ_i, R, x_i), can be easily assembled (considering only the radial displacement instead of all 6 DOFs at the node allows reducing the size of the problem considerably). The same procedure is used when assembling time independent vectors $\overline{\psi}_i$ corresponding to the modal shapes obtained via linear frequency analysis. These vectors, as linear independent vectors, are appropriate candidates for building the reduced basis X:

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}_1 & \dots & \overline{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}_N \end{bmatrix}$$
(11)

where $\overline{\psi}_i$ is corresponds to a modal shape with "displacement normalization". This means that, once a vector $\overline{\psi}^*$ has been imported, $\overline{\psi}$ is defined as $\overline{\psi} = \frac{\overline{\psi}^*}{\max \psi^*_i}$. For the actual deflection \tilde{u} , its approximation can be written in terms of reduced basis vectors,

$$\overline{\mathbf{u}} \cong \widetilde{\mathbf{u}} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathbf{a}_{j} \overline{\Psi}_{j} = \mathbf{X} \overline{\mathbf{a}}$$
(12)

where a_j is time-dependent. In order to find the linear combination that gives the best fit of the real surface, one can minimize the norm of the error vector

$$\|\Delta \overline{\mathbf{u}}\|^2 = \|\overline{\mathbf{u}} - \mathbf{U}\overline{\mathbf{a}}\|^2 = \overline{\mathbf{u}}^2 - \overline{\mathbf{u}}\,\mathbf{X}\overline{\mathbf{a}} - \mathbf{X}\overline{\mathbf{a}}\,\overline{\mathbf{u}} - \mathbf{X}\overline{\mathbf{a}}\,\mathbf{X}\overline{\mathbf{a}}$$
(13)

Imposing the gradient equal to be equal to zero, the following is obtained:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\bar{a}}\left(\bar{u}^{2}-\bar{a}^{\mathrm{T}}X^{\mathrm{T}}\bar{u}-(\bar{a}^{\mathrm{T}}X^{\mathrm{T}})\,\bar{u}+\bar{a}^{\mathrm{T}}X^{\mathrm{T}}X\bar{a}\right) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\bar{a}}\left(\bar{u}^{2}-2\bar{a}^{\mathrm{T}}X^{\mathrm{T}}\bar{u}+\bar{a}^{\mathrm{T}}X^{\mathrm{T}}X\bar{a}\right) \tag{14}$$

which leads to

$$2\overline{u}^{\mathrm{T}}X + 2\overline{a}^{\mathrm{T}}X^{\mathrm{T}}X = X^{\mathrm{T}}\overline{u} + X^{\mathrm{T}}X\overline{a} = 0$$
(15)

Defining the matrix $S = X^T X$, \bar{a} can be determined from

$$S^{-1}X^{T}\overline{u} = \overline{a} \tag{16}$$

One can demonstrate that this corresponds to a minimum by evaluating the Hessian matrix,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\overline{a}}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\mathrm{T}}\overline{\mathbf{u}} + \mathbf{X}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{X}\overline{\mathbf{a}}\right) = \mathbf{X}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{X} \tag{17}$$

Since X has maximum rank, the Hessian matrix has only positive eigenvalues. The approximation error can be monitored, for instance by evaluating the following dimensionless form,

$$\varepsilon = \|\Delta \bar{\mathbf{u}} \| / \| \bar{\mathbf{u}} \| \tag{18}$$

where $\Delta \bar{u} = \bar{u} - X\bar{a}$. For the results presented in the following, a reasonable tolerance of less than 10% was specified for this dimensionless error. For assessing the quality of the projection this dimensionless error is compared with respect to the relative magnitude of the response $\| \bar{u} \| / \| \bar{u}_{max} \|$, where \bar{u}_{max} corresponds to the maximum norm of \bar{u} .

Parameter	Transient	Moderate
	fidelity	dissipation
α	-0.05	-0.41421
β	0.275625	0.5
γ	0.55	0.911421
γ	0.55	0.911421

Bogdanovich's isotropic shell		
L	2 m	
R	1 m	
h	0.01 m	
Е	$4x10^{10} \text{ N/m}^2$	
ρ	2500 kg/m ³	
ν	0.3	

 Table 2. Bogdanovich's isotropic shell data.

Popov's isotropic shell		
L	0.4 m	
R	0.2 m	
h	2x10 ⁻³ m	
Е	$2.1 \mathrm{x} 10^{11} \mathrm{N/m^2}$	
ρ	7850 kg/m^3	
ν	0.3	

Table 3. Popov's isotropic shell data.

Booto	on's anisotrop	oic shell	
Dimensions			R=0.0678 m
			L=0.0959 m
Laminate properties			
Number of layers:			3
	LAYER	angle	Thickness
	1 outward	+30°	0.226 mm
	2 middle	0°	0.226 mm
	3 inward	-30°	0.226 mm
Single layer properties			
Material	Gl	ass-Epox	у
Elastic properties		E ₁₁ =	$= 4.02 \ 10^4 MPa$
		E ₂₂ =	= 1.67 10 ⁴ <i>MPa</i>
			$v_{12} = 0.363$
		G ₁₂ =	= 4.61 10 ³ <i>MPa</i>
Density	ρ :	= 2778.62	2 kg/m^3

Table 4. Booton's anisotropic shell data.

Boundary conditions				
Bottom edge nodes:	$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{w} = 0$			
Symmetry edge nodes:	$\mathbf{u} = R_{\theta} = R_{\rho} = 0$			
Mesh (structured)				
	Booton	Popov	Bogdanovich	
Element type	S4R	S4R	S4R	
Element size	0.0015m	0.004m	0.02m	
	×	×	×	
	0.0032m	0.02 m	0.1m	
Total number elements	8520	3140	3140	

Table 5. Boundary conditions for shells used in the Finite Element analysis.

4. Numerical results

For the numerical calculations for shells under axial pulsating loading, examples from literature of circular cylindrical shell are considered. For the case of isotropic shells, Popov's shell [26] and Bogdanovich's [27] shell are considered. For the anisotropic shells, the static and dynamic behaviour of Booton's shell [28] is considered. In Tables 2-4 the mechanical properties of these three shells are reported. In Figure 2 the coordinate system and conventions for the FE analyses are shown. In Table 5, the properties of the boundary conditions in the FE modelling are reported. The terms "driven mode" and "companion mode" introduced for nonlinear vibration analysis of

cylindrical shells will also be used in the following analysis of a cylindrical shell under axial parametric excitation. The term "driven mode" refers to the mode that is triggered through a corresponding imperfection or initial displacement.

4.1.Transient response of reference shells

Main response characteristics will be illustrated for Bogdanovich's isotropic shell and Booton's anisotropic shell.

Bogdanovich's isotropic shell

The first case study is the dynamic response of Bogdanovich's isotropic shell under axial pulsating load. The shell considered is simply supported (SS-3), while a uniform membrane stress resultant on the edge is specified,

$$\widehat{N}_{x} = -\widehat{N}_{0}\cos(\Omega t) \tag{19}$$

In addition, a static axial pre-load is applied through the uniform membrane stress resultant \tilde{N}_x . The amplitudes of the dynamic and static excitations are normalized using the classical critical load $N_{cl} = \frac{Eh^2}{R\sqrt{3}(1-\nu^2)}$, corresponding to an axial force $N_{cl} = \frac{Eh^2}{R\sqrt{3}(1-\nu^2)} \cdot 2\pi R$ equal to 1.5211×10^7 N using the data presented in Table 2.

In the calculations, the following axial load amplitudes are considered: for the dynamic load $\hat{N}_0 / N_{cl} = \hat{\lambda} = 0.35$ and for the static pre-load $\tilde{\lambda} = \tilde{N}_x / N_{cl} = 0, 0.1, 0.25, 0.5$. A single mode imperfection is also considered

$$\overline{w} = 0.01 \cdot h \cdot \sin\left(\pi \frac{x}{L}\right) \cdot \cos(5\theta) \tag{20}$$

and the damping is neglected.

In [17] the following 3 DOF expansion was used

$$w = C_0(t) + C_1(t)\cos\left(\frac{2\pi x}{L}\right) + A(t)\sin\left(\pi\frac{x}{L}\right)\cos(5\theta)$$
(21)

In the FE analysis, the radial displacement *w* is considered positive outward. Damping is neglected. Homogeneous initial conditions are applied, corresponding to the initial conditions in the semianalytical analysis in Ref. [17]: $A(0) = \dot{A}(0) = C_0(t) = \dot{C}_0(t) = C_1(t) = \dot{C}_1(t) = 0$.

In order to analyse the linear and the nonlinear behaviour corresponding to the principal Mathieu-Hill parametric instability, the shell is excited at frequency Ω close to $2\omega_{\text{lin}}$, where ω_{lin} is the linear (small amplitude) frequency of the imperfect and preloaded shell. In order to obtain the frequencyload curves for specified load amplitudes, the governing equations are integrated in a time interval equal to 50 forcing periods *T* and the maximum of the system response during this time interval is recorded.

Finite Element analysis details of the model boundary conditions are listed in Table 5. It is to be noted that symmetry conditions have been used in the FE model; in particular, a symmetry plane located at $x = \frac{L}{2}$ and perpendicular to the axis of the cylinder is considered. This choice has the aim to reduce the computational cost and allows us to model exactly the boundary conditions SS-3. In this case a response that is symmetric with respect to the shell is studied. It should be kept in mind that when considering the nonlinear response of the (full-length) shell, a possible nonlinear coupling can result in breaking the symmetry of the response. The load is applied with concentrated forces on the nodes of the shell edge. Single dynamic simulations for different frequency of excitation and preload levels are carried out. Referring to Eq. (12), where $\overline{\psi_i}$ are the modes selected for the response projection, the modal projection procedure developed considers the first 100 modes of the FE linear frequency analysis and the axisymmetric modes (1,0), (3,0) and (5,0) as $\overline{\psi_i}$.

In Figure 3 the modal amplitude $a_i(t)$, referring again to Eq. (12), is presented for modes (1,0), (3,0), (5,0) and (1,5), (1,6). Both the "driven" and "companion" mode are considered. Besides the strong response of modes (1,5) and (1,6), the inward vibration of the mode (1,0) should be noted, confirming earlier observations in the literature about modes contributing in the nonlinear behaviour and more specifically the relevance of axisymmetric modes [12, 17]. Also the phase shift of $k\pi$ between "driven" and "companion" mode should be noted, where k is an integer. The value of the dimensionless error is negligible when the radial response is significant. The maxima of the modal amplitudes frequency-response curve are presented in Figures 4 and 5. Results when ordering the modes with respect to the maximum amplitude reached during the integration time are presented in

Table 6. Considering specifically the resonant mode (1,5) the curves presented in Figure 6 were obtained. The FE analysis shows a qualitatively good agreement with the semi-analytical results using only a small number of degrees of freedom shown in [17]. In the present study a jump to a remote configuration already occurs for a preload of 0.25 N_{cl} , while in the semi-analytical analysis a jump was observed for a preload of 0.5 N_{cl} .

An interesting phenomenon relates to the relevant modes involved in the response. In the "waterfall" charts of Figure 7, the maximum absolute value of the response $a_i(t)$, defined as $A_{max} = |\max(a_i(t))|$, is shown as a function of the excitation frequency (on the y-axis) and the projected mode (along the x-axis). The present results are in agreement with expectations [11, 12]. In particular, a strong response of the following modes can be observed:

- both driven and companion modes
- asymmetric modes with $k \times n$ circumferential waves, where k is an integer
- axisymmetric modes

Booton's anisotropic shell

A parametric excitation analysis was also performed for the simply supported Booton's anisotropic cylindrical shell. In this case, the simulations were made without static pre-load. The dynamic axial load is imposed through the corresponding uniform membrane stress resultant

$$\widehat{N}_{x} = -\widehat{N}_{0}\cos(\Omega t) \tag{22}$$

where $\hat{N}_0/N_{cl} = \hat{\lambda} = 0.1$ and the classical buckling stress resultant N_{cl} for a laminated shell is defined as

$$N_{cl} = \frac{E_{11}h^2}{R\sqrt{3(1-\nu_{12}^2)}}$$
(23)

in which material properties of a layer of the laminate are used (see Table 4). The three DOFs expansion used in Ref. [17] is

$$\frac{w}{h} = C_0(t) + C_1(t)\cos\left(\frac{2\pi x}{L}\right) + A(t)\sin\left(\pi\frac{x}{L}\right)\cos\left(6\theta - \frac{\tau_R}{R}x\right)$$
(24)

where the skewedness parameter τ_R imposed in [17] is equal to 0.002, which corresponds to a very small skewedness. The skewedness of the pattern that can be extracted from the results of the Finite Element linear frequency analysis is higher (around 0.03), but can still be considered to be small. In the semi-analytical analysis in Ref. [17], a two-mode imperfection is used:

$$\frac{\overline{w}}{h} = 0.04 \cos\left(\frac{2\pi x}{L}\right) - 0.05 \sin\left(\pi \frac{x}{L}\right) \cos\left(6\theta - \frac{\tau_R}{R}x\right)$$
(25)

Because of the anisotropy of the shell, the shell response will not be symmetric with respect to the shell mid-length and for this reason the complete circular shell is modelled. In order to model the SS-3 boundary condition while avoiding unconstrained displacements at the shell edges in the axial direction, the bottom edge of shell was connected with a support by a set of soft springs. To represent an imperfect geometry corresponding to the imperfection shape used in the semi-analytical approach, the Finite Element mesh node positions were defined via a MATLAB script. Also in these simulations damping is neglected. The maximum of the modal response was considered within the first 200 forcing periods T of the integration period. In the FE dynamic simulations the upper limit of the time step size was set equal to 1/160 T. In Figures 8 and 9 the results of the modal projection procedure are presented. The method makes it possible to identify the different modes involved in the response. The FE analysis shows a qualitatively good agreement with the semi-analytical results reported in Ref. [17].

4.2. Critical dynamic load evaluation

The present analysis is concerned with the evaluation of the critical dynamic load of a shell under axial pulsating loading. When the main parameters of the analysis case (such as the frequency of excitation) have been fixed, the stability properties of the system can be studied as a function of the load amplitude. The critical dynamic load (CDL) is defined as the load at which for small perturbations in an "asymmetric" mode, a growing solution of the asymmetric response, or a jump to a remote branch, occurs.

This evaluation of the critical dynamic load will be carried out for a simply supported isotropic shell, Popov's shell. The case of Popov's shell was earlier studied within a semi-analytical context in Ref. [12], where results were obtained via continuation methods, and in Ref. [17], via numerical time integration. Also in the present FE analysis, numerical time integration will be used. The shell is subjected to a harmonic excitation in which the frequency Ω is constant and close to $2\omega_{\text{lin}}$ and the amplitude is increased stepwise with an increment of 0.001 N_{cl}. The duration of each step is 1000 *T*, where *T* is the forcing period. In order to interrogate the stability of the dynamic response,

an initial condition for the displacement is imposed. In particular, the following initial condition for the radial displacement at time t = 0 is applied:

$$w_{t=0}(\theta, x) = 0.01 h \cos(5\theta) \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{L}x\right) \quad (26)$$

and the consequence of this disturbance on the response of the shell is monitored. The expansion used in [24] is Eq. (10), with m=1, n=5 (τ_R =0). In Refs. [19] and [24] modal damping is introduced. In the current FE analysis a corresponding Rayleigh damping with parameters reported in Table 7, is used. Also in the present analysis half cylinder is modelled, so that a response symmetric with respect to the shell mid-length can be captured. In Figure 10 the time history of the radial displacement of a node located on the peak of the resonant deformed shape w_{ref} is represented. It is noted that in the procedure of stepwise increasing the amplitude of the harmonic excitation, the critical load obtained (i.e. the load at which a growing solution of the asymmetric response occurs) can in certain cases depend on the starting amplitude for the analysis in combination with the initial conditions applied. Results are presented in Table 8. The modal projection procedure developed reveals the modes involved in the response, see Figure 11.

4.3. Travelling wave investigation

The nonlinear coupling between driven and companion mode may lead to the phenomenon of travelling waves in the circumferential direction of the shell. Considering the relevant terms related to the driven mode and companion mode in the expression for the radial deflection in Eq. (9), assuming that the time-dependent amplitudes $A_{1,n}$ and $B_{1,n}$ can be expressed as

$$A_{1,n}(t) = a_{1,n}\cos(\omega t), \quad B_{1,n}(t) = b_{1,n}\cos(\omega t + \phi_2)$$
(27)

the radial response may be written as

$$w(x,\theta,t) = \left[a_{1,n}\cos(\omega t)\cos(n\theta) + b_{1,n}\cos(\omega t + \phi_2)\sin(n\theta)\right]\sin\left(\frac{\pi x}{L}\right)$$
(28)

If $\phi_2 = k\pi$ with k=0,1,2,... the response is a pure standing wave

$$w(x,\theta,t) = \left[(a_{1,n}\cos(n\theta) \pm b_{1,n}\sin(n\theta))\cos(\omega t) \right] \sin\left(\frac{\pi x}{L}\right)$$
(29)

whereas if $\phi_2 \neq k\pi$ the response can be written as

$$w(x,\theta,t) = \left\{ \left[a_{1,n}\cos(\omega t) + b_{1,n}\sin(\omega t + \phi_2) \right] \cos(n\theta) + b_{1,n}\sin(n\theta - \omega t - \phi_2) \right\} \sin\left(\frac{\pi x}{L}\right)$$
(30)

which is the superposition of a standing wave and a travelling wave, that becomes a pure traveling wave if $a_{1,n} = b_{1,n}$ and $\phi_2 = \frac{\pi}{2}$.

The modal projection procedure developed allows describing the response of the shell for each of the modes involved. For the driven mode and the companion mode, their time phase shift can be observed. If these two associated modes are in phase or in opposition of phase, there is no travelling wave effect. This is the case in Figure 12, which shows the projection of the Popov's shell. This result corresponds to the findings in [17], where no travelling waves have been observed when analysing Popov's shell under pulsating loading.

It is interesting to note, that for the case of Booton's shell under parametric excitation, energy exchanges between the driven mode and companion modes can be observed. In these analysis cases, a travelling wave can arise, as can be seen in Figures 13 and 14, where the phenomenon occurs in the last part of the 200 forcing periods *T* of the integration period. In the analysis case $\Omega/\omega_{\text{lin}} = 1.9188$ (Figure 15) a long duration integration in resonance condition was performed. In this last case the energy exchange between driven and companion mode occurs without the phase shift in time corresponding to a travelling wave.

Ω/ω_{lin}	=1.9068	Ω/ω_{lin}	=1.9335	Ω/ω_{lin}	=1.9601	Ω/ω_{lin}	=1.9868
$A_{\rm max}/h$	mode						
3.4633	1,5	3.2063	1,5	3.0450	1,5	2.8232	1,5
0.7823	1,0	0.6695	1,0	0.6229	1,0	0.5495	1,5
0.6709	1,5	0.6229	1,5	0.5924	1,5	0.5400	1,0
0.4811	1,10	0.3715	1,10	0.3593	1,10	0.3061	1,10
0.3576	3,5	0.2528	3,5	0.2408	3,5	0.1770	3,5
0.2608	3,10	0.2439	3,10	0.2156	3,10	0.1274	1,10
0.2015	3,0	0.1822	5,5	0.1349	3,0	0.1078	3,0
0.1871	5,5	0.1783	5,10	0.1248	1,10	0.1060	3,10
0.1660	1,10	0.1669	3,0	0.1158	5,5	0.1048	5,10
0.1442	5,10	0.1283	1,10	0.1056	3,10	0.0913	3,15
0.1282	3,10	0.1182	3,10	0.0948	5,10	0.0617	5,5
0.1029	7,10	0.0956	3,15	0.0717	7,10	0.0544	3,10
0.0921	5,0	0.0853	5,0	0.0658	5,0	0.0464	5,0
0.0891	1,15	0.0799	5,10	0.0564	3,15	0.0459	3,5
0.0859	3,15	0.0792	7,10	0.0465	3,5	0.0411	3,15
0.0684	3,5	0.0705	1,15	0.0451	1,15	0.0341	7,10
0.0641	5,10	0.0520	3,15	0.0426	5,15	0.0253	5,10
0.0594	5,15	0.0487	3,5	0.0426	5,10	0.0242	5,15
0.0473	1,15	0.0486	5,15	0.0324	7,10	0.0211	5,15
0.0473	3,15	0.0402	1,6	0.0317	3,15	0.0210	7,10
0.0455	7,10	0.0366	1,15	0.0300	5,15	0.0206	1,15
0.0420	1,6	0.0356	7,10	0.0242	1,15	0.0164	1,6
0.0404	5,15	0.0344	5,5	0.0224	5,5	0.0111	1,6

Table 6. Principal modes participation under parametric excitation for zero preload, Bogdanovich's isotropic shell.

Instance	Ref. [24]	Ref. [19]	Present work
Туре	Modal damping	Modal damping	Rayleigh damping
	(all modes)	(all modes)	
Value	$\zeta_{\rm i} = 0.089$	$\zeta_{\rm i} = 0.089$	$\alpha = 267.85$
			$\beta = 0.0000296$

Table 7. Damping va	lues for Popov's shell.
---------------------	-------------------------

Ω/ω_{lin}	Ref. [24]	Ref. [24] (in-plane inertia)*	Ref. [19]	Present work
1.9	0.473	0.439	0.448	0.435
2.0	0.434	0.400	0.416	0.401
2.1	0.524	0.479	0.492	0.488

Table 8. Critical dynamic load results comparison. *The values in Ref. [24] denoted with "in-plane inertia" correspondto a semi-analytical analysis including the in-plane inertia of the fundamental axial mode.



Figure 3. Time history of relevant modes for cylindrical shell under pulsating axial loading for zero preload through modal projection procedure. Bogdanovich's isotropic shell.



Figure 4. Frequency-response curves for cylindrical shell under pulsating axial loading for zero preload showing softening behaviour. Bogdanovich's isotropic shell.



Figure 5. Frequency-response curves for cylindrical shell under pulsating axial loading for 0.1 N_{cl} preload showing softening behaviour. Bogdanovich's isotropic shell.



Figure 6. Frequency-response curves for cylindrical shell under pulsating axial loading of mode (1,5) for the static preload levels $\tilde{\lambda} = \tilde{N}_x / N_{cl} = 0$ (solid line), 0.1 (dashed line), 0.25 (dash-dot line), 0.5 (dotted line). a) present FE result, b) semi-analytical result from Ref. [17]. ω_{lin} corresponds to the linear frequency of the respective pre-loaded shell. Bogdanovich's isotropic shell.



Figure 7. Waterfall chart for cylindrical shell under pulsating axial loading showing the contribution of various modes for varying excitation frequency. Bogdanovich's isotropic shell.



Figure 8. Time history of relevant modes for cylindrical shell under pulsating axial loading through modal projection procedure, mode (1,5) - driven and companion mode, and mode (1,6) - driven and companion mode. Booton's anisotropic shell.



Figure 9. Frequency-response curves for cylindrical shell under pulsating axial loading of mode (1,6) for zero pre-load, a) present FE result, b) semi-analytical result from Ref. [17]. ω_{lin} corresponds to the linear frequency of the shell. Booton's anisotropic shell.



Figure 10. Time history and corresponding deformed shape for cylindrical shell under pulsating axial loading for Ω/ω_{lin} = 2.0 for increasing load amplitude. Radial displacement of a reference node at a maximum of the driven mode. Popov's isotropic shell.



Figure 11. Time history of relevant modes for cylindrical shell under pulsating axial loading through modal projection procedure, showing occurrence of instability. Driven and companion modes and axisymmetric modes. Popov's isotropic shell.



Figure 12. Time history of relevant modes for cylindrical shell under pulsating axial loading near parametric resonance through modal projection procedure. Driven mode and companion mode. A travelling wave phenomenon is not seen to occur. Popov's isotropic shell,



Figure 13. Time history of relevant modes for cylindrical shell under pulsating axial loading near parametric resonance through modal projection procedure showing, phase shift and travelling wave phenomenon. Driven mode and companion mode. $\Omega/\omega_{lin} = 1.9237$. Booton's anisotropic shell.



Figure 14. Detail of the time history of relevant modes for cylindrical shell under pulsating axial loading near parametric resonance through modal projection procedure, showing phase shift and travelling wave phenomenon. Driven mode and companion mode. $\Omega/\omega_{lin} = 1.9237$. Booton's anisotropic shell.



Figure 15. Long duration time history of relevant modes for cylindrical shell under pulsating axial loading near parametric resonance through modal projection procedure, showing energy exchange between driven mode and companion mode. $\Omega/\omega_{\text{lin}} = 1.9188$. A travelling wave phenomenon is not seen to occur. Booton's anisotropic shell.

5. Concluding remarks

In the present work dynamic stability investigations of isotropic and composite cylindrical shells under pulsating axial loading, in earlier work mainly investigated via semi-analytical procedures, have been carried out using transient Finite Element analysis. A combination of these two complementary approaches (Finite Element analysis and semi-analytical approach) is required to obtain reliable and accurate results in the complex and time-consuming nonlinear dynamic stability analysis. The modal projection procedure for Finite Element analysis developed allows extracting modal amplitudes which can be directly compared with the corresponding modal amplitudes in the semi-analytical approaches used in Refs. [19] and [24], so that a very good picture of the complex nonlinear behaviour occurring in the case of parametric excitation of cylindrical shells under axial loading can be obtained.

Critical dynamic loads and frequency-response were shown to be generally in good qualitative agreement with the findings presented in Ref. [24]. The present Finite Element approach, when compared with the simplified transient analysis using a very limited number of assumed modes presented in Ref. [24], makes an improvement of the accuracy of the dynamic stability analysis of cylindrical shells possible. The analysis of the modal amplitude via the modal projection procedure developed gives the opportunity to study the interactions between the contributing modes and to interpret interesting phenomena such as the occurrence of travelling waves on the basis of a transient Finite Element analysis.

Acknowledgements

The first two authors (Fabio Rizzetto and Eelco Jansen) would like to acknowledge the very helpful support of Dr. Cristian Gebhardt through sharing his expertise during the research stay of the first author at the Institute of Structural Analysis, Leibniz Universität Hannover.

References

[1] Hsu, C. On the parametric excitation and snap-through stability problems of shells. *Thin-Shell Structures. Theory, Experiment and Design.* Prentice-Hall, New Jersey (1974) 103-131.

[2] Yao, J.C. Dynamic stability of cylindrical shells under static and periodic axial and radial loads. *AIAA Journal* 1(6) (1963) 1391-1396.

[3] Yao, J.C. Nonlinear elastic buckling and parametric excitation of a cylinder under axial loads. *ASME Journal of Applied Mechanics* 32(1) (1965) 109-115.

[4] Vijayaraghavan, A., Evan-Iwanowski, R. M. Parametric instability of circular cylindrical shells. *ASME Journal of Applied Mechanics* 34 (1967) 985-990.

[5] Nagai, K., Yamaki, N. Dynamic stability of circular cylindrical shells under periodic compressive forces. *Journal of Sound and Vibration* 58(3) (1978) 425-441.

[6] Argento, A. Dynamic stability of a composite circular cylindrical shell subjected to combined axial and torsional loading. *Journal of Composite Materials* 27 (1993) 1722-1738.

[7] Argento, A., Scott, R. Dynamic instability of layered anisotropic circular cylindrical shells: PartI. Theoretical developments. *Journal of Sound and Vibration* 162 (1993) 311-322.

[8] Argento, A., Scott, R. Dynamic instability of layered anisotropic circular cylindrical shells: PartII. Numerical results. *Journal of Sound and Vibration* 162 (1993) 323-332.

[9] Popov, A., Thompson, J., McRobie, F. Low-dimensional models of shell vibrations. *Journal of Sound and Vibration* 209 (1998) 163-186.

[10] Gonçalves, P.B., Del Prado, Z.G. Nonlinear oscillations and Stability of Parametrically Excited Cylindrical Shells. *Meccanica* 37 (2002) 569-597.

[11] Amabili, M. Nonlinear Vibrations and Stability of Shells and Plates. Cambridge University Press, New York, USA, 2008.

[12] Pellicano, F., Amabili, M. Stability and vibration of empty and fluid filled cylindrical shell under static and periodic axial loads. *Journal of Solids and Structures* 40 (2003) 3229-3251.

[13] Strozzi, M., Pellicano, F. Nonlinear vibrations of functionally graded cylindrical shells. *Thin-Walled Structures* 67 (2013) 63-77.

[14] Pellicano, F., Amabili, M., Païdoussis, M.P. Effect of geometry on the non-linear vibration of circular cylindrical shells. *International Journal of Non-Linear Mechanics* 37 (2002) 1181-1198.

[15] Catellani, G., Pellicano, F., Dall'Asta, D., Amabili, M. Parametric instability of a circular cylindrical shell with geometric imperfections. *Computers and Structures* 82 (2004) 2635-2645.

[16] Jansen, E.L. Non-stationary flexural vibration behaviour of a cylindrical shell. *International Journal of Non-Linear Mechanics* 37 (2002) 937-949.

[17] Jansen, E.L. Dynamic stability problems of anisotropic cylindrical shells via a simplified analysis. *Nonlinear Dynamics* 39 (2005) 349-367.

[18] Rahman, T., Jansen, E.L., Tiso, P. A Finite Element-Based perturbation method for nonlinear free vibration analysis of composite cylindrical shells. *International Journal of Structural Stability and Dynamics* 11(4) (2011) 717-734.

[19] Rahman, T., Jansen, E.L., Gürdal Z. Dynamic buckling analysis of composite cylindrical shells using a finite element based perturbation method. *Nonlinear Dynamics* 66 (2011) 389-401.

[20] Saigal, S., Yang, T., Kapania, R. Dynamic buckling of imperfection sensitive shell structures. *Journal of Aircraft* 24 (1987) 718-724.

[21] Yaffe, R., Abramovich, H. Dynamic buckling of cylindrical stringer stiffened shells. *Computers and Structures* 81 (2003) 1031-1039.

[22] Bisagni, C. Dynamic buckling of fiber composite shells under impulsive axial compression. *Thin-Walled Structures* 43 (2005) 499-514.

[23] Ganapathi, M., Patel, B., Sambandam, C. Parametric dynamic instability analysis of laminated composite conical shells. *Journal of Reinforced Plastics and Composites* 18(14) (1999) 1336-1346.

[24] Dey, T., Ramachandra, L.S. Dynamic stability of simply supported composite cylindrical shells under partial axial loading. *Journal of Sound and Vibration* 353 (2015) 272-291.

[25] Dassault Systèmes. Abaqus Analysis User's Guide. Providence, Rhode Island, USA (2016).

[26] Popov, A. Parametric resonance in cylindrical shells: a case of study in the nonlinear vibration of structural shells. *Engineering Structures* 25 (2003) 789-799.

[27] Bogdanovich, A.E. *Non-Linear Dynamic Problems for Composite Cylindrical Shells*. Elsevier Applied Science, London (1993).

[28] Booton, M., Tennyson, R.C. Buckling of Imperfect Anisotropic Circular Cylinders under Combined Loading. *AIAA Journal* 17(3) (1979) 278-287.