

Purely long-range polar molecules composed of identical lanthanide atoms

Hui Li, Goulven Quéméner, Jean-François Wyart, Olivier Dulieu, Maxence

Lepers

► To cite this version:

Hui Li, Goulven Quéméner, Jean-François Wyart, Olivier Dulieu, Maxence Lepers. Purely long-range polar molecules composed of identical lanthanide atoms. Physical Review A : Atomic, molecular, and optical physics [1990-2015], 2019, 100 (4), pp.042711. 10.1103/PhysRevA.100.042711. hal-02263590

HAL Id: hal-02263590 https://hal.science/hal-02263590

Submitted on 5 Aug 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Purely long-range polar molecules composed of identical lanthanide atoms

Hui Li,^{1,2} Goulven Quéméner,¹ Jean-François Wyart,^{1,3} Olivier Dulieu,¹ and Maxence Lepers^{1,4,*}

¹Laboratoire Aimé Cotton, CNRS, Université Paris-Sud,

ENS Paris-Saclay, Université Paris-Saclay, 91405 Orsay, France

²Department of Physics, Temple University, Philadelphia, PA 19122, USA

²LERMA, Observatoire de Paris-Meudon, PSL Research University,

Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ. Paris 6, CNRS UMR8112, 92195 Meudon, France

⁴Laboratoire Interdisciplinaire Carnot de Bourgogne, CNRS,

Université de Bourgogne Franche-Comté, 21078 Dijon, France

Doubly polar molecules, possessing an electric dipole moment and a magnetic dipole moment, can strongly couple to both an external electric field and a magnetic field, providing unique opportunities to exert full control of the system quantum state at ultracold temperatures. We propose a method for creating a purely long-range doubly polar homonuclear molecule from a pair of strongly magnetic lanthanide atoms, one atom being in its ground level and the other in a superposition of quasi-degenerate opposite-parity excited levels [Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, 063201 (2018)]. The electric dipole moment is induced by coupling the excited levels with an external electric field. We derive the general expression of the long-range, Stark, and Zeeman interaction energies in the properly symmetrized and fully-coupled basis describing the diatomic complex. Taking the example of holmium, our calculations predict shallow long-range wells in the potential energy curves that may support vibrational levels accessible by direct photoassociation from pairs of ground-level atoms.

I. INTRODUCTION

A unique feature of ultracold quantum gases is the tunability of the interaction strength between particles with external fields. Polar molecules, with numerous degrees of freedom and strong anisotropic interactions, represent an ideal platform for applications such as the realization of new quantum many-body systems, precise tests of fundamental theories, controlled quantum chemistry, quantum simulation and quantum information [1–10]. To this end, various heteronuclear bialkali polar molecules have been produced in their ground state over the last decade [11–17]. Due to the absence an electronic magnetic dipole moment in their singlet ground state, the weak magnetic moments originating from nuclear spins, and weak nonlinear Stark effect of their rovibrational ground level, they can not be easily manipulated by external fields.

Presently ultracold molecules with both electric and magnetic dipole moments are receiving burgeoning interest because of the greater possibilities for trapping and manipulation [18–24]. The simplest kind of such molecules are diatomics with an electronic ground state of $^{2}\Sigma$ and $^{3}\Sigma$ symmetry. The most promising $^{2}\Sigma$ species consists in pairing alkali-metals with divalent atoms such as the alkaline earth or ytterbium atoms [22, 23, 25–31], the prime example being RbSr which has been predicted to have a permanent electric dipole moment of 1.4-1.5 debye (D) [26, 32]. Recent highlights in this candidate include a quite advanced ongoing experiment in which the magnetic Feshbach resonances have been observed in the corresponding atomic mixtures [33], and a quite promising theoretical modeling [34]. Example of $^{3}\Sigma$ species can be found with the energetically-lowest $a^{3}\Sigma$ state of heteronuclear bialkali dimers, for instance LiNa which has been successfully created in the ultracold regime [35]. Metastable LiNa possesses a magnetic dipole moment of $2\mu_{B}$ as well as an electric dipole moment of 0.2 D [36, 37], where μ_{B} is Bohr's magneton.

Meanwhile, highly magnetic atoms, such as chromium and open-shell lanthanides, have also brought new perspectives in the field of ultracold quantum gases and provided the opportunity to explore the behavior of longrange interacting polar systems beyond previously accessible regimes [38–44]. The diatomic molecules containing chromium, such as CrRb [45], CrSr and CrYb [46], or containing lanthanide atoms, such as Eu-alkali metal dimers [47, 48] and ErLi [49], have been theoretically proposed as candidates with both large magnetic and electric dipole moments. Experimentally, pairs of highly magnetic atoms Er₂, with a strong magnetic dipole moment up to $12\mu_B$ [50] have been produced in a weakly bound level, and photoassociation into spin-polarized Cr₂ dimers has also been demonstrated in Ref. [51]. More recently, magnetoassociation into ultracold Eu_2 dimens were also theoretically investigated [48]. Besides, the realization of ultracold mixtures of Dy and K atoms [52] and Dy and Er atoms [53] opens up new possibilities for forming ultracold molecules in nontrivial electronic states.

In a recent work, we have demonstrated the possibility to induce a strong electric dipole moment, up to 0.22 D, on dysprosium atoms [54], in addition to a large magnetic dipole moment of $13\mu_B$, by preparing the atoms in a superposition of nearly-degenerate opposite-parity excited levels, which are mixed with an external electric field. In the present article, we extend our work to the production of doubly-polar homonuclear molecules by

^{*} maxence.lepers@u-bourgogne.fr

binding the excited atom to a ground-level one. Due to the current inability to calculate potential energy curves between pairs of open-shell lanthanides at small internuclear distances, we explore the possibility to form purely long-range molecules as demonstrated with pairs of alkali metals and close-shell atoms [55–58]. Here we choose holmium, as it possesses a pair of nearly degenerate opposite-parity levels, accessible from the ground level by a strong one-photon transition, which opens the possibility to form the long-range molecules by direct photoassociation [59].

In this article, we characterize the long-range interactions between two identical atoms, one being in the ground level and the other being in a superposition of nearly degenerate opposite-parity excited levels that are coupled by an external electric field. We present the formalism to calculate the potential energy for interactions between arbitrary atomic multipoles, as well as Stark and Zeeman interactions, in the fully-coupled and properly symmetrized diatomic basis including hyperfine structure. The potential-energy curves that we compute present shallow long-range wells with a few vibrational levels, strong magnetic moments and non-zero induced electric dipole moments, even though the considered molecule is homonuclear [60, 61]. We also find numerous repulsive curves that may be used for optical shielding of collisions between ground-level holmium atoms [62-66].

The structure of this article is as follows. In section II we describe the theoretical formalism for two interacting atoms in the presence of external electric and magnetic fields, including a general presentation (Subsection II A), symmetrization of basis functions (Subsection II B) and matrix elements of the Hamiltonian (Subsection II C). Then in section III, we apply our formalism to characterize the interactions between two holmium atoms, by calculating potential-energy curves, vibrational levels and induced electric dipole moments. Section IV contains concluding remarks.

II. THEORY

A. General form of the Hamiltonian

We consider two identical atoms with nuclear spin I interacting with each other. One atom is in the ground level $|g\rangle$ with electronic and total angular momenta J_g and F_g , whereas the other is excited in a superposition of two quasi-degenerate opposite-parity levels, labeled $|a\rangle$ $(|b\rangle)$ with energy E_a (E_b) , electronic and total angular momenta J_a (J_b) and F_a (F_b) . We assume that $|a\rangle$ has the same electronic parity as $|g\rangle$. For open-4*f*-shell lanthanide atoms, the electronic angular momentum is large, *e.g.* $J_g = J_a = 15/2$ and $J_b = 17/2$ for holmium.

The model Hamiltonian for a system of two interacting atoms at large distances with reduced mass μ , internuclear separation R and relative angular momentum \hat{L} , can be expressed as,

$$\hat{H} = -\frac{1}{2\mu R} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial R^2} R + \frac{\hat{\mathbf{L}}^2}{2\mu R^2} + \sum_{i=1}^2 \hat{H}_i + \hat{V}_{LR}(R) . \quad (1)$$

The first two terms are the radial and angular parts of the kinetic-energy operator; the last term is the long-range potential energy, and \hat{H}_i the Hamiltonian of individual atom *i*. In the presence of external electric and magnetic fields, \hat{H}_i can be written as

$$\hat{H}_i = \hat{H}_{hf}(i) + \hat{H}_S(i) + \hat{H}_Z(i)$$
 (2)

where the first term is the field-free atomic Hamiltonian including hyperfine interactions, the second and third terms are Stark and Zeeman interactions. In the coupled atomic basis $|J_i I F_i M_{F_i}\rangle$, the hyperfine interactions are diagonal with energies [67],

$$E_{hf}(i) = \frac{1}{2} A_i C_i + B_i \frac{3/4 \times C_i (C_i + 1) - I(I+1) J_i (J_i + 1)}{2I(2I-1) J_i (2J_i - 1)} (3)$$

where $C_i = F_i(F_i+1) - J_i(J_i+1) - I(I+1)$, A_i and B_i are the hyperfine structure constants. The matrix elements of $\hat{H}_S(i)$ and $\hat{H}_Z(i)$ will be given below.

Having a large electronic angular momentum, the levels $|g\rangle$, $|a\rangle$ and $|b\rangle$ possess permanent magnetic dipole and electric quadrupole moments. Moreover, $|a\rangle$ and $|b\rangle$ are coupled by electric-dipole transition, due to their opposite parity. The electric and magnetic dipole moments give respectively rise to Stark and Zeeman shifts. The dipole and quadrupole moments also give rise to direct interactions in the multipolar expansion.

Because the two atoms are identical but in different quantum levels, they also interact via resonant terms of the multipolar expansion [68]. (i) The levels $|g\rangle$ and $|b\rangle$, of opposite parities, show a resonant electric dipole-dipole interaction, scaling as R^{-3} ; (ii) the levels $|g\rangle$ and $|a\rangle$, of identical parity, show a resonant electric quadrupole-quadrupole interaction, scaling as R^{-5} ; and (iii) an electric dipole, coupling $|g\rangle$ and $|b\rangle$, and an electric quadrupole, coupling $|g\rangle$ and $|a\rangle$, resonantly interact with an energy scaling as R^{-4} .

The direct and resonant atom-atom interactions are schematically summarized in Table I, as well as the fieldatom interactions. The basis functions are divided in four blocks $|g\rangle|a\rangle$, $|g\rangle|b\rangle$, $|a\rangle|g\rangle$, $|b\rangle|g\rangle$, where $|g\rangle$, $|a\rangle$, $|b\rangle$ stands for all the quantum numbers of the corresponding levels. The other quantum numbers, *e.g.* the partial wave L, are not shown. The direct and atom-field interactions are located in the top-left and bottom-right parts of the table, while resonant interactions are located in the topright and bottom-left parts.

B. Basis sets and symmetries

Each atom i (i = 1, 2) is described by its total electronic \hat{J}_i and nuclear spin \hat{I} (identical for the two atoms),

TABLE I. Block structure of the potential energy matrix, comprising Stark, Zeeman and long-range dipolar and quadrupolar interactions between two identical atoms, one in the ground level $|g\rangle$ and the other in a superposition of opposite-parity excited levels $|a\rangle$ and $|b\rangle$. The notations have the following meaning: \hat{H}_S and \hat{H}_Z are the Stark and Zeeman interactions, V_{dd} , V_{dq} , V_{qd} and V_{qq} are the electric dipole-dipole, dipole-quadrupole, quadrupole-dipole and quadrupole-quadrupole interactions, $V_{\mu\mu}$ is the magnetic dipole-dipole interaction; the superscripts "dir" and "res" correspond to direct and resonant interactions respectively.

	g angle a angle	g angle b angle	a angle g angle	b angle g angle
$\langle g \langle a $	$\hat{H}_Z + \hat{V}_{qq}^{dir}(R) + \hat{V}_{\mu\mu}^{dir}(R)$	$\hat{H}_S(2) + \hat{V}_{qd}^{dir}(R)$	$\hat{V}_{qq}^{res}(R)$	$\hat{V}_{dq}^{res}(R)$
$\langle g \langle b $	$\hat{H}_S(2) + \hat{V}_{qd}^{dir}(R)$	$\hat{H}_Z + \hat{V}_{qq}^{dir}(R) + \hat{V}_{\mu\mu}^{dir}(R)$	$\hat{V}^{res}_{qd}(R)$	$\hat{V}_{dd}^{res}(R)$
$\langle a \langle g $	$\hat{V}_{qq}^{res}(R)$	$\hat{V}_{qd}^{res}(R)$	$\hat{H}_Z + \hat{V}_{qq}^{dir}(R) + \hat{V}_{\mu\mu}^{dir}(R)$	$\hat{H}_S(1) + \hat{V}_{dq}^{dir}(R)$
$\langle b \langle g $	$\hat{V}_{dq}^{res}(R)$	$\hat{V}^{res}_{dd}(R)$	$\hat{H}_S(1) + \hat{V}_{dq}^{dir}(R)$	$\hat{H}_Z + \hat{V}_{qq}^{dir}(R) + \hat{V}_{\mu\mu}^{dir}(R)$

which combine to form the total atomic angular momentum $\hat{F}_i = \hat{J}_i + \hat{I}$. The associated quantum numbers are J_i , I and F_i . The projections of the angular momenta are considered along the z axis of space-fixed coordinate system; the associated quantum numbers are M_{J_i}, M_{I_i} and M_{F_i} . The electronic parity $p_i = \pm 1$ under inversion of electronic coordinates is identical for levels $|g\rangle$ and $|a\rangle$ and opposite for $|g\rangle$ and $|b\rangle$. Finally, the angular momentum \hat{L} accounts for the rotation of the internuclear axis in the space-fixed frame. Its magnitude is associated with the partial wave Land its z-projection with M_L . Therefore we obtain the uncoupled basis $|\beta_1 J_1 I F_1 M_{F_1}\rangle |\beta_2 J_2 I F_2 M_{F_2}\rangle |LM_L\rangle \equiv$ $|\beta_1\beta_2J_1IF_1M_{F_1}J_2IF_2M_{F_2}LM_L\rangle$, where β_1 and β_2 gather all the other quantum numbers of atoms 1 and 2 (parities are not explicitly written).

For convenience, we perform the present calculations in the fully-coupled basis. Indeed for a diatomic system in the long-range region, the electronic angular momentum of each atom \hat{J}_i is more strongly coupled to the nuclear spin \hat{I} than to the internuclear axis [58]. We thus introduce the coupled angular momentum $\hat{F}_{12} = \hat{F}_1 + \hat{F}_2$, itself composed with \hat{L} to give the total angular momentum of the complex $\hat{F} = \hat{F}_{12} + \hat{L}$. The resulting fully-coupled basis functions $|\beta_1\beta_2J_1I_1F_1J_2I_2F_2F_{12}LFM_F\rangle$ are related to the uncoupled by Equation (A4) of Appendix A. In absence of external field, the total angular momentum F is a good quantum number; here the field amplitude are low enough, so that the different F values are weakly coupled. For fields parallel the z axis, the total angular-momentum projection $M_F = M_{F_1} + M_{F_2} + M_L = M_{F_{12}} + M_L$ is conserved. Among the basis functions, one can distinguish between even and odd ones with respect to the inversion of all the electronic and nuclear coordinates. Namely a given function has a total parity of $p_1 p_2 (-1)^L$, which is not a strictly good quantum number because of the electric field; still, even and odd functions are not strongly coupled in the range of field amplitudes considered here. Finally for $M_F = 0$, one has even and odd basis functions with respect to the reflection about the space-fixed xz plane, depending on whether $p_1 p_2 (-1)^{L+F}$ is equal to +1 or -1 (see Appendix A).

For systems of identical particles, the permutation symmetry must be taken into account [69]. We build the properly symmetrized fully-coupled basis for the two identical atoms (see detailed discussion in Appendix A),

$$\begin{aligned} |\beta_1 \beta_2 J_1 I F_1 J_2 I F_2 F_{12} L F M_F; \eta \rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1 + \delta_{\beta_1 \beta_2} \delta_{J_1 J_2} \delta_{F_1 F_2})}} \left\{ |\beta_1 \beta_2 J_1 I F_1 J_2 I F_2 F_{12} L F M_F \rangle \\ &+ \eta (-1)^{F_1 + F_2 - F_{12} + L} |\beta_2 \beta_1 J_2 I F_2 J_1 I F_1 F_{12} L F M_F \rangle \right\} \end{aligned}$$
(4)

The symmetry of the basis functions with respect to the permutation of the identical atoms is given by index η : for bosonic isotopes, only the value $\eta = +1$ is allowed, while $\eta = -1$ for the fermionic ones.

In the following we will first construct the Hamiltonian in the fully coupled basis, then we will transform the Hamiltonian to the symmetrized basis by using the method from Ref. [70–72].

C. Matrix element of the Hamiltonian in the fully coupled basis

In this subsection, matrix elements will be given in the unsymmetrized fully-coupled basis. Going to the symmetrized one requires to apply Eq. (4) in the bras and the kets of the matrix elements.

1. Atomic multipole moments

The Stark, Zeeman, and long-range Hamiltonians are functions of the electric and magnetic multipole-moment operators $\hat{Q}_{\ell_i m_i}$ and $\hat{\mu}_{\ell_i m_i}$ of atoms i = 1 and 2. Since they are irreducible tensors of rank ℓ_i and component m_i , their matrix elements satisfy the Wigner-Eckart theorem [73]

$$\langle \beta_i' J_i' I F_i' M_{F_i}' | \hat{Q}_{\ell_i m_i} | \beta_i J_i I F_i M_{F_i} \rangle = \frac{C_{F_i M_{F_i} \ell_i m_i}}{\sqrt{2F_i' + 1}} \langle \beta_i' J_i' I F_i' \| \hat{Q}_{\ell_i} \| \beta_i J_i I F_i \rangle$$
(5)

where $C^{c\gamma}_{a\alpha b\beta} = \langle a\alpha b\beta | abc\gamma \rangle$ is a Clebsch-Gordan coefficient [73], $\langle \beta'_i J'_i IF'_i \| \hat{Q}_{\ell_i} \| \beta_i J_i IF_i \rangle$ is the reduced matrix element (and similarly for $\hat{\mu}_{\ell_i}$). Assuming that the multipole moments are purely electronic operators, *i.e.* leaving the nuclear spin unchanged, the reduced matrix element

can be expressed as

$$\left\langle \beta_i' J_i' I F_i' \left\| \hat{Q}_{\ell_i} \right\| \beta_i J_i I F_i \right\rangle$$

$$= (-1)^{I + F_i + \ell_i + J_i'} \sqrt{(2F_i + 1)(2F_i' + 1)}$$

$$\times \left\{ \begin{array}{c} J_i & I & F_i \\ F_i' & \ell_i & J_i' \end{array} \right\} \left\langle \beta_i' J_i' \left\| \hat{Q}_{\ell_i} \right\| \beta_i J_i \right\rangle,$$

$$(6)$$

where the quantity between curly brackets is a Wigner 6-j symbol [73]. In this work, we deal with the electric dipole \hat{Q}_1 and quadrupole moments \hat{Q}_2 , and the magnetic dipole moment $\hat{\mu}_1$ such that [73]

$$\langle \beta_i J_i \| \hat{\mu}_1 \| \beta_i J_i \rangle = -\mu_B g_i \langle \beta_i J_i \| \hat{J}_i \| \beta_i J_i \rangle$$

= $-\mu_B g_i \sqrt{J_i (J_i + 1)(2J_i + 1)}$ (7)

where μ_B is Bohr's magneton, and $g_i \equiv g_{J_i}$ is the electronic Landé g-factor of the level $|i\rangle$.

2. Stark Hamiltonian

We consider a homogeneous electric field $\mathbf{E} = \mathcal{E} \mathbf{u}_z$ oriented along the z direction. At the first-order of perturbation the Stark effect operator can be written as $\hat{H}_S(i) = -\mathcal{E}\hat{Q}_{10}(i)$, where \hat{Q}_{10} is the z-component of the dipole moment operator. Now assuming atom 1 in the ground level $|g\rangle$, and atom 2 in a superposition of excited levels $|a\rangle$ and $|b\rangle$, the matrix element of the Stark Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_S(2)$ in the uncoupled basis can be expressed as,

The Clebsch-Gordan coefficient $C_{F_2M_{F_2}}^{F'_2M'_{F_2}}$, which imposes $M'_{F_2} = M_{F_2}$, ensures the conservation of the atomic angular momentum projection along z. Using the sums involving two and three Clebsch-Gordan coefficients (see Appendix B) and the reduced matrix elements of Eqs. (5) and (6), we can derive the matrix elements of $\hat{H}_S(1)$ and $\hat{H}_S(2)$ in the fully-coupled basis

and

$$\left\langle \beta_{1}^{\prime}\beta_{2}^{\prime}J_{1}^{\prime}IF_{1}^{\prime}J_{2}^{\prime}IF_{2}^{\prime}F_{12}^{\prime}L^{\prime}F^{\prime}M_{F}^{\prime}\left|\hat{H}_{S}(1)\right|\beta_{1}\beta_{2}J_{1}IF_{1}J_{2}IF_{2}F_{12}LFM_{F}\right\rangle$$

$$= \mathcal{E}\delta_{\beta_{2}^{\prime}\beta_{2}}\delta_{J_{2}^{\prime}J_{2}}\delta_{F_{2}^{\prime}F_{2}}\delta_{L^{\prime}L}\delta_{M_{F}^{\prime}M_{F}}(-1)^{I+J_{1}^{\prime}+F_{1}^{\prime}+F_{1}^{\prime}+F_{1}+F_{2}+F_{12}+L+F}\sqrt{(2F_{1}^{\prime}+1)(2F_{1}+1)(2F_{12}$$

Due to the cylindrical symmetry about the z axis, the total angular momentum projection M_F is a good quantum number $(M'_F = M_F)$, while its magnitude obeys the selection rule F' = F or $F \pm 1$.

3. Zeeman Hamiltonian

When applying a homogeneous external magnetic field $\boldsymbol{B} = B\boldsymbol{u}_z$ along the z direction, the Zeeman Hamiltonian can be written as $\hat{H}_Z = \hat{H}_Z(1) + \hat{H}_Z(2) = -(\mu_{10}(1) + \mu_{10}(2))B$, which gives in the uncoupled basis

$$\left\langle \beta_{1}'\beta_{2}'J_{1}'IF_{1}'M_{F_{1}}'J_{2}'IF_{2}'M_{F_{2}}'L'M_{L}'\left|\hat{H}_{Z}\right|\beta_{1}\beta_{2}J_{1}IF_{1}M_{F_{1}}J_{2}IF_{2}M_{F_{2}}LM_{L}\right\rangle$$

$$= \delta_{L'L}\delta_{M_{L}'M_{L}}\left[\delta_{\beta_{2}'\beta_{2}}\delta_{J_{2}'J_{2}}\delta_{F_{2}'F_{2}}\delta_{M_{F_{2}}'M_{F_{2}}}\left\langle\beta_{1}'J_{1}'IF_{1}'M_{F_{1}}'\left|\hat{H}_{Z}(1)\right|\beta_{1}J_{1}IF_{1}M_{F_{1}}\right\rangle$$

$$+ \delta_{\beta_{1}'\beta_{1}}\delta_{J_{1}'J_{1}}\delta_{F_{1}'F_{1}}\delta_{M_{F_{1}}'M_{F_{1}}}\left\langle\beta_{2}'J_{2}'IF_{2}'M_{F_{2}}'\left|\hat{H}_{Z}(2)\right|\beta_{2}J_{2}IF_{2}M_{F_{2}}}\right\rangle\right]$$

$$= -B\delta_{L'L}\delta_{M_{L}'M_{L}}\left[\delta_{\beta_{2}'\beta_{2}}\delta_{J_{2}'J_{2}}\delta_{F_{2}'F_{2}}\delta_{M_{F_{2}}'M_{F_{2}}}\left\langle\beta_{1}'J_{1}'IF_{1}'M_{F_{1}}'\left|\hat{\mu}_{10}(1)\right|\beta_{1}J_{1}IF_{1}M_{F_{1}}\right\rangle$$

$$+ \delta_{\beta_{1}'\beta_{1}}\delta_{J_{1}'J_{1}}\delta_{F_{1}'F_{1}}\delta_{M_{F_{1}}'M_{F_{1}}}\left\langle\beta_{2}'J_{2}'IF_{2}'M_{F_{2}}'\left|\hat{\mu}_{10}(2)\right|\beta_{2}J_{2}IF_{2}M_{F_{2}}}\right\rangle\right].$$

$$(11)$$

Recalling that the matrix elements of $\hat{\mu}_{10}(i)$ are such that $\beta'_i = \beta_i$ and $J'_i = J_i$ (see Eq. (7)), and using the relations given in Appendix B, we get to the fully-coupled basis expression

$$\left\langle \beta_{1}^{\prime}\beta_{2}^{\prime}J_{1}^{\prime}IF_{1}^{\prime}J_{2}^{\prime}IF_{2}^{\prime}F_{12}^{\prime}L^{\prime}F^{\prime}M_{F}^{\prime} \left| \hat{H}_{Z} \right| \beta_{1}\beta_{2}J_{1}IF_{1}J_{2}IF_{2}F_{12}LFM_{F} \right\rangle$$

$$= -\mu_{B}B\delta_{\beta_{1}^{\prime}\beta_{1}}\delta_{J_{1}^{\prime}J_{1}}\delta_{\beta_{2}^{\prime}\beta_{2}}\delta_{J_{2}^{\prime}J_{2}}\delta_{L^{\prime}L}\delta_{M_{F}^{\prime}M_{F}} \sqrt{(2F_{12}^{\prime}+1)(2F_{12}+1)(2F+1)} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} F_{12} & L & F \\ F^{\prime} & 1 & F_{12}^{\prime} \end{array} \right\} C_{FM_{F}10}^{F^{\prime}M_{F}}$$

$$\times \left[(-1)^{I+J_{1}^{\prime}+F_{1}^{\prime}+F_{12}+F_{1}+F_{2}+F_{12}+L+F}\delta_{F_{2}^{\prime}F_{2}}g_{1} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} J_{1} & I & F_{1} \\ F_{1}^{\prime} & 1 & J_{1}^{\prime} \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} F_{1} & F_{2} & F_{12} \\ F_{12}^{\prime} & 1 & F_{1}^{\prime} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\times \sqrt{J_{1}(J_{1}+1)(2J_{1}+1)(2F_{1}^{\prime}+1)(2F_{1}+1)}$$

$$+ (-1)^{I+J_{2}^{\prime}-F_{1}+L+F}\delta_{F_{1}^{\prime}F_{1}}g_{2} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} J_{2} & I & F_{2} \\ F_{2}^{\prime} & 1 & J_{2}^{\prime} \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} F_{2} & F_{1} & F_{12} \\ F_{12}^{\prime} & 1 & F_{2}^{\prime} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\times \sqrt{J_{2}(J_{2}+1)(2J_{2}+1)(2F_{2}^{\prime}+1)(2F_{2}+1)} \right].$$

$$(12)$$

Similarly to the Stark Hamiltonian \hat{H}_S , the quantum number M_F is conserved while F is not $(F' = F \text{ or } F \pm 1)$.

4. Long-range potential energy

The matrix element of the space-fixed long-range operator $\hat{V}_{LR}(R)$ in the uncoupled basis can be expressed as [68],

$$\left\langle \beta_{1}^{\prime}\beta_{2}^{\prime}J_{1}^{\prime}IF_{1}^{\prime}M_{F_{1}}^{\prime}J_{2}^{\prime}IF_{2}^{\prime}M_{F_{2}}^{\prime}L^{\prime}M_{L}^{\prime}\left|\hat{V}_{LR}(R)\right|\beta_{1}\beta_{2}J_{1}IF_{1}M_{F_{1}}J_{2}IF_{2}M_{F_{2}}LM_{L}\right\rangle$$

$$= \frac{X_{0}}{4\pi}\sum_{\ell_{1}\ell_{2}\ell}\delta_{\ell_{1}+\ell_{2},\ell}\frac{(-1)^{\ell_{2}}}{R^{\ell+1}}\sqrt{\frac{(2\ell)!}{(2\ell_{1})!(2\ell_{2})!}}\sqrt{\frac{2L+1}{2L^{\prime}+1}}C_{L0\ell0}^{L^{\prime}0}$$

$$\times \frac{\left\langle \beta_{1}^{\prime}J_{1}^{\prime}IF_{1}^{\prime}\right\|\hat{Q}_{\ell_{1}}(1)\right\|\beta_{1}J_{1}IF_{1}\right\rangle}{\sqrt{2F_{1}^{\prime}+1}}\frac{\left\langle \beta_{2}^{\prime}J_{2}^{\prime}IF_{2}^{\prime}\right\|\hat{Q}_{\ell_{2}}(2)\right\|\beta_{2}J_{2}IF_{2}}\right\rangle}{\sqrt{2F_{2}^{\prime}+1}}$$

$$\times \sum_{mm_{1}m_{2}}(-1)^{m}C_{\ell_{1}m_{1}\ell_{2}m_{2}}^{\ell m}C_{LM_{L}\ell-m}^{L^{\prime}M_{L}^{\prime}}C_{F_{1}M_{F_{1}}\ell_{1}m_{1}}^{F_{1}^{\prime}M_{F_{2}}} C_{F_{2}M_{F_{2}}\ell_{2}m_{2}}^{L^{\prime}M_{F_{2}}}$$

$$(13)$$

where $X_0 = 1/\epsilon_0$ or μ_0 for electric and magnetic multipoles respectively, and n! is the factorial of n. The positive integers ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 are the ranks of the atomic multipole moments. In this work, we deal with dipole $\ell_i = 1$ and quadrupole moments $\ell_i = 2$. The third index ℓ is the sum of ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 ; the Clebsch-Gordan coefficient of the second line of Eq. (13) imposes that $L + \ell + L'$ is even. Recalling that p_i is the parity under inversion of the electronic coordinates about each atomic nucleus, the electric multipole ranks are such that $p_i p'_i (-1)^{\ell_i} = 1$. In other words, the dipole moment changes the parity, while the quadrupole moment does not, as well known.

By using the relations in Appendix B and the reduced matrix elements of Eqs. (5) and (6), we can derive the matrix element formula in the fully coupled basis,

$$\left\langle \beta_{1}^{\prime}\beta_{2}^{\prime}J_{1}^{\prime}IF_{1}^{\prime}J_{2}^{\prime}IF_{2}^{\prime}F_{12}^{\prime}L^{\prime}F^{\prime}M_{F}^{\prime} \left| \hat{V}_{LR}(R) \right| \beta_{1}\beta_{2}J_{1}IF_{1}J_{2}IF_{2}F_{12}LFM_{F} \right\rangle$$

$$= \frac{X_{0}}{4\pi} \delta_{F^{\prime}F} \delta_{M_{F}^{\prime}M_{F}} \sum_{\ell_{1}\ell_{2}\ell} \delta_{\ell_{1}+\ell_{2},\ell} \frac{(-1)^{\ell_{1}+J_{1}^{\prime}+J_{2}^{\prime}+2I+F_{1}+F_{2}+F_{12}+L^{\prime}+F}}{R^{\ell+1}} \sqrt{\frac{(2\ell)!}{(2\ell_{1})!(2\ell_{2})!}} C_{L0\ell0}^{L^{\prime}0}$$

$$\times \sqrt{(2F_{1}^{\prime}+1)(2F_{1}+1)(2F_{2}^{\prime}+1)(2F_{2}+1)(2F_{12}^{\prime}+1)(2F_{12}^{\prime}+1)(2L+1)(2L+1)(2\ell+1)}$$

$$\times \left\{ \begin{array}{c} J_{1} \quad I \quad F_{1} \\ F_{1}^{\prime} \quad \ell_{1} \quad J_{1}^{\prime} \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} J_{2} \quad I \quad F_{2} \\ F_{2}^{\prime} \quad \ell_{2} \quad J_{2}^{\prime} \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} F_{12} \quad L \quad F \\ L^{\prime} \quad F_{12}^{\prime} \quad \ell \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} F_{12}^{\prime} \quad F_{1}^{\prime} \quad F_{2}^{\prime} \\ F_{12} \quad F_{1} \quad F_{2} \\ \ell \quad \ell_{1} \quad \ell_{2} \end{array} \right\} \left\langle \beta_{1}^{\prime}J_{1}^{\prime} \left\| \hat{Q}_{\ell_{1}}(1) \right\| \beta_{1}J_{1} \right\rangle \left\langle \beta_{2}^{\prime}J_{2}^{\prime} \right\| \hat{Q}_{\ell_{2}}(2) \right\| \beta_{2}J_{2} \right\rangle$$

$$(14)$$

where the last quantity between curly brackets is a Wigner 9-j symbol. In the fully-coupled basis, the long-range potential conserves the total angular momentum F and its projection M_F .

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Holmium has a single stable isotope, ¹⁶⁵Ho, which is bosonic with a nuclear spin I = 7/2. The electronic configuration and term of the ground level $|g\rangle$ are $[Xe]4f^{11}6s^{2} I_{15/2}^{o}$, with $J_g = 15/2$. There exists a pair of quasi-degenerate levels, separated by 2.9 cm⁻¹: the oddparity level $|a\rangle$ at 24357.90 cm⁻¹ with $J_a = 15/2$ and the even-parity level $|b\rangle$ at 24360.81 cm⁻¹ with $J_b = 17/2$.

The necessary spectroscopic data for the three levels are listed in Table II, in particular the reduced transition multipole moments. The strong electric dipole-allowed transition between $|a\rangle$ and $|b\rangle$ comes from the ${}^{1}D - {}^{1}P^{o}$ character of the valence shells, while the transition between $|g\rangle$ and $|b\rangle$ comes from the ${}^{1}S - {}^{1}P^{o}$ character. Besides, there is a significant electric quadrupole-allowed transition between $|g\rangle$ and $|a\rangle$, due to ${}^{1}S - {}^{1}D$ character. These large transition multipole moments result in strong

resonant interactions $\hat{V}_{dd}^{res}(R)$, $\hat{V}_{qq}^{res}(R)$ and $\hat{V}_{dq/qd}^{res}(R)$ in comparison with the direct ones $\hat{V}_{qq}^{dir}(R)$, $\hat{V}_{dq}^{dir}(R)$ and $\hat{V}_{\mu\mu}^{dir}(R)$ (see Table I), which will be ignored in what follows. Indeed the direct interactions are proportional to the weak permanent quadrupole moment of $|g\rangle$, that we estimate on the order of 1 atomic unit [82].

In 2014, sub-Doppler laser cooling and magneto-optical trapping of holmium was demonstrated by using the transition at 410.5 nm [41], between the highest hyperfine levels of $|g\rangle$ and $|b\rangle$, of total angular momentum $F_g = 11$ and $F_b = 12$ respectively. Assuming ultracold spin-polarized atoms in the highest Zeeman sublevel $M_{F_g} = 11$ and colliding in s-wave ($L = M_L = 0$), we can deduce that a pair of colliding atoms possesses a total angular momentum projection $M_F = 22$. If we consider that the atom pair is submitted to a linearly-polarized photoassociation (PA) laser, red-detuned with respect to an atomic transition involving levels $|a\rangle$ or $|b\rangle$, the excited pair will also

TABLE II. Spectroscopic parameters used in this work, including energies, Landé g-factors, hyperfine constants, radiative lifetimes, and reduced transition dipole and quadrupole moments

Torm	Level	Parity	$E^{\mathbf{a}}$	Landé	Hyperfine constants		Radiative			
Term			(cm^{-1})	g-factor	A (MHz)	B (MHz)	lifetime (ns)			
$4f^{11}({}^{4}I^{o}_{15/2})6s^{2}({}^{1}S_{0}) {}^{4}I^{o}_{15/2}$	g angle	odd	0	$1.195^{\rm a}$	800.583°	-1688.0°	-			
$4f^{11}({}^{4}I^{o})5d6s({}^{1}D) {}^{4}I^{o}_{15/2}$	$ a\rangle$	odd	24357.90	$1.181^{\rm b}$	840.3^{d}	$-1873.7^{\rm d}$	3200^{b}			
$4f^{11}({}^{4}I^{o}_{15/2})6s6p({}^{1}P^{o}_{1})$ (15/2, 1) _{17/2}	b angle	even	24360.81	1.176^{b}	654.9^{e}	-620.0^{e}	4.9^{f}			
reduced transition	absolute									
multipole moment	value ^b (a.u.)									
$ \langle a \ \hat{Q}_1 \ b angle $	2.56									
$ \langle g \ \hat{Q}_1 \ b angle $	11.6									
$ \langle g \ \hat{Q}_2 \ a angle $	35.3									
^a from NIST database [74], ^b values calculated with Cowan code [75] as in Ref. [76]										

^c from Ref. [77, 78], ^d from Ref. [79], ^e from Ref. [41, 80], ^f from Ref. [81]

possess a total angular momentum projection $M_F = 22$.

In this article, we model the excited pair of atoms, *i.e.* once a PA photon has been absorbed, submitted to collinear static electric and magnetic fields. Therefore, the total angular momentum projection M_F is conserved by the Hamiltonian (1), but not the total angular momentum F. In order to perform our calculations, we set F in a range $F_{min} = 22 \leqslant F \leqslant F_{max} = 27$, and $0 \leq L \leq L_{max} = 4$ (except otherwise stated). This gives 752 potential-energy curves, in which 252 dissociate to the $|q\rangle + |a\rangle$ asymptotes, and 500 dissociate to the $|q\rangle + |b\rangle$ asymptote. In the symmetrized basis, the number of curves decreases down to 376, among which 126 dissociate to the $|g\rangle + |a\rangle$ asymptotes.

From our long-range model, calculated potentialenergy curves for excited atom pairs are presented in Figs. 1(a)–(c) for $\mathcal{E} = 5 \text{ kV/cm}$, B = 0, 100 and 1000 G, which are typical experimental values. The lower and upper panels show the curves dissociating towards the $|q\rangle + |a\rangle$ and $|q\rangle + |b\rangle$ asymptotes respectively. The zero energy has been set to the average of the field-free $|a\rangle$ and $|b\rangle$ level energies, though it is not visible in Fig. 1 due to the break in the energy scale. Figures 1(a)-(c) display all the curves converging to $|g\rangle + |b\rangle$ with $M_F = 22, 0 \leq L \leq 4$ and $22 \leq F \leq 27$, which explains why the curves converge to the 6 highest hyperfine levels of $|g\rangle~(6 \le F_g \le 11)$ and $|b\rangle~(7 \le F_b \le 12)$ since $18 \leq F_{12} \leq 23$. At this range of internuclear distances, the curves are strongly mixed due to the R^{-3} dependent resonant dipole-dipole interaction. By contrast, the curves close to the $|g\rangle + |a\rangle$ asymptote are less mixed, because of the shorter-range R^{-5} -dependent resonant quadrupole-quadrupole interaction. Figures 1(a)-(c) only contain the highest curves converging to $|q\rangle + |a\rangle$, because if we were showing all of them, we would only see flat curves. Finally the insets comprise some selected curves containing long-range potential wells.

We can see that those wells become deeper with increasing magnetic field, and that their minimum is shifted to smaller internuclear distances. As shown on Fig. 1, they are coupled to the attractive curves coming from the $|q\rangle + |b\rangle$ asymptote, inducing a potential barrier toward small distances. The possibility for the atoms to cross this barrier may reduce the lifetime of vibrational levels, since these atoms are very likely to experience inelastic collisions in the small-R region. Predissociation due to non-adiabatic couplings between potential wells and lower repulsive curves may also limit the lifetime, as well as spontaneous emission. For atom pairs close to $|g\rangle + |a\rangle$ asymptotes, due to the low electric fields in this study, we can assume that the radiative lifetime is close to the one of level $|a\rangle$ (see Table II). However increasing the field amplitude increases the mixing with level $|b\rangle$, and so decreases the radiative lifetime since τ_b is 650 times smaller than τ_a .

In consequence, there is a compromise to find in terms of field amplitudes. A larger magnetic field deepens the potential wells, hence favoring the existence of vibrational levels, but it can reduce their lifetimes because of an easier tunneling toward small internuclear distances. Also, a larger electric field increases the induced dipole moment through a larger coupling between levels $|a\rangle$ and $|b\rangle$, but it reduces the radiative lifetime. A good compromise can be found with $\mathcal{E} = 1 \text{ kV/cm}$ and B = 90 G, as shown in Fig. 2. The red curve is a 10^{-3} -cm⁻¹-deep potential well correlated to the asymptote ($F_q = M_{F_q} = 11$, $F_a = M_{F_a} = 11, F_{12} = 22$, and which supports a vibrational level. It was computed with the mapped-Fouriergrid-Hamiltonian (MFGH) method [83, 84] for the red potential-energy curve separately, which explains why we could not calculate the rate of predissociation toward lower curves.

The lower panel of Fig. 2 shows the R-dependent induced electric dipole moment along z for the highlighted curves of the upper panel, which are equal to a few thousandths of debye. They are R-independent for distances above 125 a.u., meaning that the mixing of levels $|a\rangle$ and $|b\rangle$ is very close to that with separated atoms $(R \to \infty)$.



FIG. 1. Potential-energy curves for $\mathcal{E} = 5 \text{ kV/cm}$ and: (a) B = 0 G, (b) B = 100 G, and (c) B = 1000 G, as functions of internuclear separation R. The upper panels correspond to curves converging towards the different hyperfine $|g\rangle + |b\rangle$ asymptotes, and the lower panels towards the highest levels of the $|g\rangle + |a\rangle$ asymptotes. The insets are zooms showing long-range potential wells. The angular momenta are $M_F = 22$, $0 \le L \le 4$, $22 \le F \le 27$, which implies $7 \le F_g \le 11$, $7 \le F_a \le 11$ for the $|g\rangle + |a\rangle$, and $6 \le F_g \le 11$, $7 \le F_b \le 12$ for the $|g\rangle + |b\rangle$ asymptote.

The strong *R*-variation in the region of the well minimum indicates strong coupling between molecular states. Because the highlighted curves are characterized by the largest possible values of F_{12} , M_{F_g} and M_{F_a} , they possess a strong magnetic moment (in absolute value), close to the extremal value $-(g_g J_g + g_a J_a) = -17.8 \ \mu_B$ corresponding to the sum of two separated atoms.

We can associate with this magnetic moment a characteristic dipolar length $a_d = md^2$ [50], *m* being the mass of a Ho₂ molecule and *d* the dipole moment (all quantities are expressed in atomic units). Taking $d = -17 \ \mu_B =$ -0.062 a.u., we obtain $a_d = 2300$ a.u., which is larger than the value of 1150 a.u. corresponding to Er₂ Feshbach molecules [50]. We can also estimate the dipolar length associated with the induced electric dipole moment d = 0.003 D = 0.0012 a.u., which gives 0.84 a.u.. These two characteristic lengths open the possibility to observe dipolar effects with the magnetic dipoles, and manipulate the molecules with an external electric field.

Figure 3 shows that long-range wells with vibrational levels can also exist close to the $|g\rangle + |b\rangle$ asymptotes (actually $F_g = M_{F_g} = 11$, $F_b = M_{F_b} = 12$, $F_{12} = 23$). These wells, which are deeper and longer-range than those of Fig. 2, support more vibrational levels (only the three lowest are shown for each well). Besides, these wells do not possess potential barriers allowing tunneling toward smaller distances. But, being close to the $|g\rangle + |b\rangle$ asymptotes, their radiative lifetime is approximately $\tau_b = 4.9$ ns. Their induced dipole moments equal a few thousandths of debye as in Fig. 2, but here they tend to 0 in the separated-atom limit. This is because

the sublevel $F_b = M_{F_b} = 12$ is insensitive to the electric field, as it is cannot be mixed with any sublevel (F_a, M_{F_a}) since $M_{F_a} \leq 11$ (see Ref. [54] for a detailed discussion).

IV. CONCLUSION

We have calculated the long-range potential energy between two identical lanthanide atoms, one in the ground level and one in a superposition of nearly degenerate excited levels coupled by an external electric field. This situation gives rise to various direct and resonant interactions between atomic multipoles. Our formalism that includes the atomic hyerfine structure is presently applied to holmium, but it can also be applied to other lanthanide atoms with quasi-degenerate energy levels [54], or to Rydberg atoms with a large angular momentum.

In the case of holmium, our calculations predict the existence of long-range potential wells that are likely to support vibrational levels, accessible by photoassociation from the ground level. Their strong magnetic moments make them interesting alternatives to the Feshbach Er_2 molecules formed by magneto-association [50], with in addition to Er_2 , an induced electric dipole moment that opens the possibility to prepare and manipulate the molecules with an external electric field. Furthermore, the large number of repulsive curves opens the possibility of optical shielding, in order to control the collisions between ground-level holmium atoms. Another possibility is to bring a vibrational level very close to the dissociation limit so that one can tune the scattering length of such



FIG. 2. Upper panel: zoom on selected levels of $|g\rangle + |a\rangle$ asymptote for $\mathcal{E} = 1$ kVv/cm and B = 90 G ($L_{max} = 5$). The dashed line represents a vibrational level supported by the heavy red potential well. Lower panel: induced electric dipole moment associated with the two heavy curves of the upper panel.

atoms [65], as it was proposed for molecular collisions in a microwave field [64, 65].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors acknowledge support from "DIM Nano-K" under the project "InterDy", and from "Agence Nationale de la Recherche" (ANR) under the project "COPOMOL" (contract ANR-13-IS04-0004-01).

Appendix A: Fully-coupled symmetrized basis

1. Construction of the fully-coupled basis

This appendix sketches the process of the construction of the fully coupled basis. For a single atom, the electronic angular momentum \hat{J}_i is coupled with the nuclearspin angular momentum \hat{I} to form $\hat{F}_i = \hat{J}_i + \hat{I}$, i = 1, 2, so that the state of each atom can be expressed as,

$$|\beta_i J_i I F_i M_{F_i}\rangle = \sum_{M_{J_i} M_{I_i}} C_{J_i M_{J_i} I M_{I_i}}^{F_i M_{F_i}} |\beta_i J_i M_{J_i}\rangle |IM_{I_i}\rangle$$
(A1)

Then, the total angular momenta of two atoms \hat{F}_i are coupled together to form $\hat{F}_{12} = \hat{F}_1 + \hat{F}_2$, to give

$$|F_1F_2F_{12}M_{F_{12}}\rangle = \sum_{M_{F_1}M_{F_2}} C_{F_1M_{F_1}F_2M_{F_2}}^{F_{12}M_{F_{12}}} |F_1M_{F_1}\rangle |F_2M_{F_2}\rangle$$
(A2)

which is subsequently coupled to the rotational angular momentum \hat{L} to form the total angular momentum of the complex $\hat{F} = \hat{F}_{12} + \hat{L}$, with projection M_F along the space-fixed z axis,

$$|F_{12}LFM_F\rangle = \sum_{M_{F_{12}}M_L} C_{F_{12}M_{F_{12}}LM_L}^{FM_F} |F_{12}M_{F_{12}}\rangle |LM_L\rangle.$$
(A3)

Finally the fully coupled basis can be derived from the uncoupled one,

$$\begin{aligned} &|\beta_{1}\beta_{2}J_{1}IF_{1}J_{2}IF_{2}F_{12}LFM_{F}\rangle \\ &= \sum_{\substack{M_{F_{12}}M_{L}M_{F_{1}}M_{F_{2}}\\M_{J_{1}}M_{J_{1}}M_{J_{2}}M_{J_{2}}}} C_{F_{12}M_{F_{12}}LM_{L}}^{FM_{F_{1}}M_{F_{1}}}C_{F_{1}M_{F_{1}}F_{2}M_{F_{2}}}^{F_{12}M_{F_{1}}} \\ &\times C_{J_{1}M_{J_{1}}IM_{I_{1}}}^{F_{1}M_{F_{1}}}C_{J_{2}M_{J_{2}}IM_{I_{2}}}^{F_{2}M_{F_{2}}} \\ &\times |\beta_{1}J_{1}M_{J_{1}}IM_{I_{1}}\rangle|\beta_{2}J_{2}M_{J_{2}}IM_{I_{2}}\rangle|LM_{L}\rangle. \end{aligned}$$
(A4)

2. Basis symmetrization

We denote \mathbf{r}_i the coordinates of all the electrons inside atom *i*, and \mathbf{R} the vector joining atom 1 and atom 2. Firstly, we apply the inversion operator $\hat{E}^* : \mathbf{r}_1 \rightarrow$ $-\mathbf{r}_1; \mathbf{r}_2 \rightarrow -\mathbf{r}_2; \mathbf{R} \rightarrow -\mathbf{R}$ to the fully-coupled state constructed above,

^

$$E^* |\beta_1 \beta_2 J_1 I F_1 J_2 I F_2 F_{12} L F M_F \rangle = p_1 p_2 (-1)^L |\beta_1 \beta_2 J_1 I F_1 J_2 I F_2 F_{12} L F M_F \rangle, \quad (A5)$$

where p_i stand for the electronic parity of individual atoms. The basis functions are thus divided into even and odd functions if $p_1p_2(-1)^L = \pm 1$, both cases being allowed.

Now we consider the operator that interchanges atoms 1 and 2, $\hat{P}_{12}: \mathbf{r}_1 \to \mathbf{r}_2; \mathbf{r}_2 \to \mathbf{r}_1; \mathbf{R} \to -\mathbf{R}$, which gives in the uncoupled basis

$$P_{12}|\beta_1 J_1 M_{J_1} I M_{I_1}\rangle |\beta_2 J_2 M_{J_2} I M_{I_2}\rangle |LM_L\rangle = (-1)^L |\beta_2 J_2 M_{J_2} I M_{I_2}\rangle |\beta_1 J_1 M_{J_1} I M_{I_1}\rangle |LM_L\rangle.$$
(A6)

When transforming this equation in the fully-coupled basis, one needs to take care of the step leading to \hat{F}_{12} , that



FIG. 3. (a) The highest potential energy curves obtained for $\mathcal{E} = 5 \text{ kV/cm}$ and B = 1000 G (zoom of Fig. 1(c)) as a function of internuclear separation R. Two long-range potential wells are highlighted in red. Upper panel of (b): those two wells with their computed three lowest vibrational levels. Lower panel: their R-dependent induced electric dipole moment.

is

$$\begin{split} & \dot{P}_{12}|F_{1}F_{2}F_{12}M_{F_{12}}\rangle \\ = & \dot{P}_{12}\sum_{M_{F_{1}}M_{F_{2}}} C_{F_{1}M_{F_{1}}F_{2}M_{F_{2}}}^{F_{12}M_{F_{12}}}|F_{1}M_{F_{1}}\rangle|F_{2}M_{F_{2}}\rangle \\ = & \sum_{M_{F_{1}}M_{F_{2}}} C_{F_{1}M_{F_{1}}F_{2}M_{F_{2}}}^{F_{12}M_{F_{12}}}\hat{P}_{12}|F_{1}M_{F_{1}}\rangle|F_{2}M_{F_{2}}\rangle \\ = & \sum_{M_{F_{1}}M_{F_{2}}} C_{F_{1}M_{F_{1}}F_{2}M_{F_{2}}}^{F_{12}M_{F_{12}}}|F_{2}M_{F_{2}}\rangle|F_{1}M_{F_{1}}\rangle \\ = & (-1)^{F_{1}+F_{2}-F_{12}}\sum_{M_{F_{1}}M_{F_{2}}} C_{F_{2}M_{F_{2}}F_{1}M_{F_{1}}}^{F_{12}M_{F_{1}}}|F_{2}M_{F_{2}}\rangle|F_{1}M_{F_{1}}\rangle \\ = & (-1)^{F_{1}+F_{2}-F_{12}}|F_{2}F_{1}F_{12}M_{F_{12}}\rangle, \quad (A7) \end{split}$$

where we used Eq. (B1). Finally, we obtain

$$\hat{P}_{12}|\beta_1\beta_2J_1IF_1J_2IF_2F_{12}LFM_F\rangle
= (-1)^{F_1+F_2-F_{12}+L}|\beta_2\beta_1J_2IF_2J_1IF_1F_{12}LFM_F\rangle,
(A8)$$

and so the symmetrized basis functions can be con-

structed as (see Eq. (4))

where the symmetry of the basis functions with respect to the permutation of identical atoms is given by index η , which is equal to +1 for identical bosons and -1 for identical fermions [72, 85, 86]. There is only one possible value of η for a given isotope.

In the special case $M_F = 0$, the potential energy curves are divided into even ($\varepsilon = 1$) and odd ($\varepsilon = -1$) ones with respect to the reflection σ_{xz} about the space-fixed xz plane. Because this reflection can be decomposed in an inversion followed by the rotation of π radians around the y axis. Since the latter transforms the basis function $|FM_F\rangle$ into $(-1)^{F-M_F}|F, -M_F\rangle$ [73], the even or odd character of a given basis function for $M_F = 0$ is given by $\varepsilon = p_1 p_2 (-1)^{L+F}$. The Clebsch-Gordan coefficients of the Stark and Zeeman Hamiltonians (see Eqs. (9), (10) and (12)) impose $F' - F = \pm 1$ when $M_F = 0$. Therefore the Stark Hamiltonian, which changes the total parity, conserves ε , whereas the Zeeman Hamiltonian, which conserves the total parity, changes ε . The relationships of this appendix are extracted from Ref. [73], chapter 8. The permutation of lower indexes yields

$$C^{c\gamma}_{b\beta a\alpha} = (-1)^{a+b-c} C^{c\gamma}_{a\alpha b\beta} \tag{B1}$$

Furthermore we list several important sums involving Clebsch-Gorden coefficients,

$$\sum_{\alpha} (-1)^{a-\alpha} C^{c0}_{a\alpha a-\alpha} = \sqrt{2a+1} \delta_{c,0} \tag{B2}$$

$$\sum_{\alpha\beta} C^{c\gamma}_{a\alpha b\beta} C^{c'\gamma'}_{a\alpha b\beta} = \delta_{c,c'} \delta_{\gamma,\gamma'} \tag{B3}$$

- D. DeMille. Quantum computation with trapped polar molecules. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 88:067901, 2002.
- [2] O. Dulieu and C. Gabbanini. Formation and interactions of cold and ultracold molecules : New challenges for interdisciplinary physics. *Rep. Prog. Phys.*, 72(8):086401, 2009.
- [3] L. D. Carr and J. Ye. Focus on cold and ultracold molecules. New J. Phys., 11(5):055009, 2009.
- [4] M.A. Baranov, M. Dalmonte, G. Pupillo, and P. Zoller. Condensed matter theory of dipolar quantum gases. *Chem. Rev.*, 112(9):5012–5061, 2012.
- [5] G. Quéméner and P.S. Julienne. Ultracold molecules under control! *Chem. Rev.*, 112(9):4949–5011, 2012.
- [6] T.V. Tscherbul and R.V. Krems. Tuning bimolecular chemical reactions by electric fields. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 115(2):023201, 2015.
- [7] S.A. Moses, J.P. Covey, M.T. Miecnikowski, D.S. Jin, and J. Ye. New frontiers with quantum gases of polar molecules. *Nat. Phys.*, 13:13–20, 2017.
- [8] B. Gadway and B. Yan. Strongly interacting ultracold polar molecules. J. Phys.B, 49(15):152002, 2016.
- [9] J.L. Bohn, A.M. Rey, and J. Ye. Cold molecules: Progress in quantum engineering of chemistry and quantum matter. *Science*, 357(6355):1002–1010, 2017.
- [10] J. Wolf, M. Deiß, A. Krükow, E. Tiemann, B.P. Ruzic, Y. Wang, J. P. D'Incao, P.S. Julienne, and J.H. Denschlag. State-to-state chemistry at ultra-low tempera-

$$\sum_{\alpha\beta\delta} C^{c\gamma}_{a\alpha b\beta} C^{e\varepsilon}_{d\delta b\beta} C^{d\delta}_{a\alpha f\varphi}$$

= $(-1)^{b+c+d+f} \sqrt{(2c+1)(2d+1)} \begin{cases} a & b & c \\ e & f & d \end{cases} C^{e\varepsilon}_{c\gamma f\varphi}$
(B4)

$$\sum_{\beta\gamma\varepsilon\varphi} C^{a\alpha}_{b\beta c\gamma} C^{d\delta}_{e\varepsilon f\varphi} C^{e\beta}_{e\varepsilon g\eta} C^{c\gamma}_{f\varphi j\mu}$$

$$= \sum_{k\kappa} \sqrt{(2b+1)(2c+1)(2d+1)(2k+1)}$$

$$\times C^{k\kappa}_{g\eta j\mu} C^{a\alpha}_{d\delta k\kappa} \begin{cases} a \ b \ c \\ d \ e \ f \\ k \ g \ j \end{cases}$$
(B5)

a explicit form of Clebsch-Gordan coefficient with special arguments,

$$C_{a\alpha00}^{c\gamma} = \delta_{a,\alpha}\delta_{c,\gamma} \tag{B6}$$

A relation for a Wigner 9-j symbol with a zero argument reducing to 6-j symbol,

$$\begin{cases} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & 0 \end{cases} = \begin{cases} f & e & d \\ c & b & a \\ 0 & h & g \end{cases}$$
$$= \delta_{c,f} \delta_{g,h} \frac{(-1)^{b+c+d+g}}{\sqrt{(2c+1)(2g+1)}} \begin{cases} a & b & c \\ e & d & g \end{cases}.$$
(B7)

ture. Science, 350(6365):921-924, 2017.

- [11] K.-K. Ni, S. Ospelkaus, M. H. G. de Miranda, A. Peer, B. Neyenhuis, J. J. Zirbel, S. Kotochigova, P. S. Julienne, D. S. Jin, and J. Ye. A high phase-space-density gas of polar molecules. *Science*, 322(5899):231–235, 2008.
- [12] J. Deiglmayr, A. Grochola, M. Repp, K. Mörtlbauer, C. Glück, J. Lange, O. Dulieu, R. Wester, and M. Weidemüller. Formation of ultracold polar molecules in the rovibrational ground state. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 101(13):133004, 2008.
- [13] T. Takekoshi, M. Debatin, R. Rameshan, F. Ferlaino, R. Grimm, H.-C. Naegerl, C.R. Le Sueur, J.M. Hutson, P.S. Julienne, S. Kotochigova, and E. Tiemann. Towards the production of ultracold ground-state RbCs molecules: Feshbach resonances, weakly bound states, and the coupled-channel model. *Phys. Rev. A*, 85:032506, 2012.
- [14] C.-H. Wu, J. W. Park, P. Ahmadi, S. Will, and M.W. Zwierlein. Ultracold fermionic feshbach molecules of ²³Na⁴⁰K. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 109(8):085301, 2012.
- [15] P. K. Molony, P. D. Gregory, Z. Ji, B. Lu, M. P. K[']oppinger, C. R. Le Sueur, C. L. Blackley, J. M. Hutson, and S. L. Cornish. Creation of ultracold ⁸⁷Rb¹³³Cs moleculaes in the rovibrational ground state. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 113(25):255301, 2014.
- [16] J.W. Park, S.A. Will, and M.W. Zwierlein. Ultracold dipolar gas of fermionic ²³Na⁴⁰K molecules in their ab-

solute ground state. Phys. Rev. Lett., $114{:}205302,\,2015.$

- [17] M. Guo, B. Zhu, B.and Lu, X. Ye, F. Wang, R. Vexiau, N. Bouloufa-Maafa, G. Quéméner, O. Dulieu, and D. Wang. Creation of an ultracold gas of groundstate dipolar ²³Na⁸⁷Rb molecules. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 116(20):205303, 2016.
- [18] T. V. Tscherbul and R. V. Krems. Controlling electronic spin relaxation of cold molecules with electric fields. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 97:083201, 2006.
- [19] A. Micheli, G.K. Brennen, and P. Zoller. A toolbox for lattice-spin models with polar molecules. *Nat. Phys.*, 2(5):341–347, 2006.
- [20] J. Pérez-Ríos, F. Herrera, and R. V Krems. External field control of collective spin excitations in an optical lattice of $^{2}\Sigma$ molecules. New J. Phys., 12(10):103007, 2010.
- [21] D.A. Brue and J. M. Hutson. Magnetically tunable feshbach resonances in ultracold Li-Yb mixtures. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 108(4):043201, 2012.
- [22] B. Pasquiou, A. Bayerle, S. M. Tzanova, S. Stellmer, J.Szczepkowski, M. Parigger, R. Grimm, and F. Schreck. Quantum degenerate mixtures of strontium and rubidium atoms. *Phys. Rev. A*, 88(2):023601, 2013.
- [23] R. Roy, R. Shrestha, A. Green, S. Gupta, M. Li, S. Kotochigova, A. Petrov, and C.H. Yuen. Photoassociative production of ultracold heteronuclear YbLi* molecules. *Phys. Rev. A*, 94(3):033413, 2016.
- [24] D. Reens, H. Wu, T. Langen, and J. Ye. Controlling spin flips of molecules in an electromagnetic trap. *Phys. Rev.* A, 96(6):063420, 2017.
- [25] S. Tassy, N. Nemitz, F. Baumer, C. Höhl, A. Batär, and A. Görlitz. Sympathetic cooling in a mixture of diamagnetic and paramagnetic atoms. J. Phys. B: At., Mol. Opt. Phys., 43(20):205309, 2010.
- [26] P.S. Żuchowski, J. Aldegunde, and J.M. Hutson. Ultracold RbSr molecules can be formed by magnetoassociation. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 105(15):153201, 2010.
- [27] H. Hara, Y. Takasu, Y. Yamaoka, J. M. Doyle, and Y. Takahashi. Quantum degenerate mixtures of alkali and alkaline-earth-like atoms. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 106(20):205304, 2011.
- [28] V.V. Ivanov, A. Khramov, A.H. Hansen, W. H. Dowd, F. Münchow, A. O. Jamison, and S. Gupta. Sympathetic cooling in an optically trapped mixture of alkali and spinsinglet atoms. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 106(15):153201, 2011.
- [29] D.A. Brue and J.M. Hutson. Prospects of forming ultracold molecules in $^{2}\Sigma$ states by magnetoassociation of alkali-metal atoms with Yb. *Phys. Rev. A*, 87:052709, May 2013.
- [30] J. Gerschmann, E. Schwanke, A. Pashov, H. Knöckel, S. Ospelkaus, and E. Tiemann. Laser and fouriertransform spectroscopy of KCa. *Phys. Rev. A*, 96(3):032505, 2017.
- [31] A. Guttridge, S.A. Hopkins, S.L. Kemp, M.D. Frye, J.M. Hutson, and S.L. Cornish. Interspecies thermalization in an ultracold mixture of Cs and Yb in an optical trap. *Phys. Rev. A*, 96(1):012704, 2017.
- [32] R. Guérout, M. Aymar, and O. Dulieu. Ground state of the polar alkali-metal-atom-strontium molecules: Potential energy curve and permanent dipole moment. *Phys. Rev. A*, 82:042508, 2010.
- [33] V. Barbé, A. Ciamei, B. Pasquiou, L. Reichsöllner, F. Schreck, P.S. Żuchowski, and J.M. Hutson. Observation of feshbach resonances between alkali and closed-

shell atoms. Nat. Phys., 14(9):881, 2018.

- [34] A. Devolder, E. Luc-Koenig, O. Atabek, M. Desouter-Lecomte, and O. Dulieu. Proposal for the formation of ultracold deeply bound RbSr dipolar molecules by alloptical methods. *Phys. Rev. A*, 98:053411, Nov 2018.
- [35] T. M. Rvachov, H. Son, A. T. Sommer, S. Ebadi, J. J. Park, M. W. Zwierlein, W. Ketterle, and A. O. Jamison. Long-lived ultracold molecules with electric and magnetic dipole moments. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 119(14):143001, 2017.
- [36] M. Aymar and O. Dulieu. Calculation of accurate permanent dipole moments of the lowest ${}^{1,3}\Sigma^+$ states of heteronuclear alkali dimers using extended basis sets. J. Chem. Phys., 122:204302, 2005.
- [37] M. Tomza, K.W. Madison, R. Moszynski, and R. V. Krems. Chemical reactions of ultracold alkali-metal dimers in the lowest-energy $^{3}\Sigma$ state. *Phys. Rev. A*, 88:050701(R), Nov 2013.
- [38] D. Sukachev, A. Sokolov, K. Chebakov, A. Akimov, S. Kanorsky, N. Kolachevsky, and V. Sorokin. Magneto-optical trap for thulium atoms. *Phys. Rev. A*, 82(1):011405(R), 2010.
- [39] M. Lu, N.Q. Burdick, S.H. Youn, and B.L. Lev. Strongly dipolar Bose-Einstein condensate of dysprosium. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 107(19):190401, 2011.
- [40] K. Aikawa, A. Frisch, M. Mark, S. Baier, A. Rietzler, R. Grimm, and F. Ferlaino. Bose-Einstein condensation of erbium. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 108(21):210401, 2012.
- [41] J. Miao, J. Hostetter, G. Stratis, and M. Saffman. Magneto-optical trapping of holmium atoms. *Phys. Rev.* A, 89:041401(R), 2014.
- [42] S. Baier, M. J. Mark, D. Petter, K. Aikawa, L. Chomaz, Z. Cai, M. Baranov, P. Zoller, and F. Ferlaino. Extended bose-hubbard models with ultracold magnetic atoms. *Science*, 352(6282):201–205, 2016.
- [43] H. Kadau, M. Schmitt, M. Wenzel, C. Wink, T. Maier, I. Ferrier-Barbut, and T. Pfau. Observing the rosensweig instability of a quantum ferrofluid. *Nature*, 530(7589):194–197, 2016.
- [44] D. Dreon, L. A. Sidorenkov, C. Bouazza, J. Maineult, W.and Dalibard, and S. Nascimbene. Optical cooling and trapping of highly magnetic atoms: the benefits of a spontaneous spin polarization. J. Phys. B., 50(6):065005, 2017.
- [45] Z. Pavlović, H.R. Sadeghpour, R. Côté, and B.O. Roos. Crrb: A molecule with large magnetic and electric dipole moments. *Phys. Rev. A*, 81(5):052706, 2010.
- [46] M. Tomza. Prospects for ultracold polar and magnetic chromium-closed-shell-atom molecules. *Phys. Rev. A*, 88(1):012519, 2013.
- [47] M. Tomza. Ab initio properties of the ground-state polar and paramagnetic europium–alkali-metal-atom and europium–alkaline-earth-metal-atom molecules. *Phys. Rev. A*, 90(2):022514, 2014.
- [48] K. Zaremba-Kopczyk, P.S. Żuchowski, and M. Tomza. Magnetically tunable feshbach resonances in ultracold gases of europium atoms and mixtures of europium and alkali-metal atoms. *Phys. Rev. A*, 98(3):032704, 2018.
- [49] M.L. González-Martínez and P.S. Żuchowski. Magnetically tunable feshbach resonances in Li+Er. *Phys. Rev.* A, 92(2):022708, 2015.
- [50] A. Frisch, M. Mark, K. Aikawa, S. Baier, R. Grimm, A. Petrov, S. Kotochigova, G. Quéméner, M. Lepers, O. Dulieu, and F. Ferlaino. Ultracold polar molecules

composed of strongly magnetic atoms. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 115(20):203201, 2015.

- [51] J. Rührig, T. Bäuerle, P. S. Julienne, E. Tiesinga, and T. Pfau. Photoassociation of spin-polarized chromium. *Phys. Rev. A*, 93(2):021406(R), 2016.
- [52] C. Ravensbergen, V. Corre, E. Soave, M. Kreyer, S. Tzanova, E. Kirilov, and R. Grimm. Accurate determination of the dynamical polarizability of dysprosium. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 120(22):223001, 2018.
- [53] P. Ilzhöfer, G. Durastante, A. Patscheider, A. Trautmann, M.J. Mark, and F. Ferlaino. Two-species fivebeam magneto-optical trap for erbium and dysprosium. *Phys. Rev. A*, 97(2):023633, 2018.
- [54] M. Lepers, H. Li, J.F. Wyart, G. Quéméner, and O. Dulieu. Ultracold rare-earth magnetic atoms with an electric dipole moment. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 121:063201, Aug 2018.
- [55] M. Movre and G. Pichler. Resonance interaction and selfbroadening of alkali resonance lines. i. adiabatic potential curves. J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys., 10:2631, 1977.
- [56] W. C. Stwalley, Y. H. Uang, and G. Pichler. Pure longrange molecules. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 41:1164, 1978.
- [57] J. Léonard, M. Walhout, A.P. Mosk, T. Müller, M. Leduc, and C. Cohen-Tannoudji. Giant helium dimers produced by photoassociation of ultracold metastable atoms. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 91(7):073203, 2003.
- [58] K. Enomoto, M. Kitagawa, S. Tojo, and Y. Takahashi. Hyperfine-structure-induced purely long-range molecules. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 100(12):123001, 2008.
- [59] K. M. Jones, E. Tiesinga, P. D. Lett, and P. S. Julienne. Ultracold photoassociation spectroscopy: Longrange molecules and atomic scattering. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 78:483, 2006.
- [60] C. H. Greene, A. S. Dickinson, and H. R. Sadeghpour. Creation of polar and nonpolar ultra-long-range Rydberg molecules. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 85:2458, 2000.
- [61] W. Li, T. Pohl, J.M. Rost, S.T. Rittenhouse, H.R. Sadeghpour, J. Nipper, B. Butscher, J. B. Balewski, V. Bendkowsky, R. Löw, and T. Pfau. A homonuclear molecule with a permanent electric dipole moment. *Science*, 334:1110–1114, 2011.
- [62] K.-A. Suominen, M.J. Holland, K. Burnett, and P. Julienne. Optical shielding of cold collisions. *Phys. Rev. A*, 51(2):1446, 1995.
- [63] G. Quéméner and J.L. Bohn. Shielding ²Σ ultracold dipolar molecular collisions with electric fields. *Phys. Rev. A*, 93(1):012704, 2016.
- [64] T. Karman and J.M. Hutson. Microwave shielding of ultracold polar molecules. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 121(16):163401, 2018.
- [65] L. Lassablière and G. Quéméner. Controlling the scattering length of ultracold dipolar molecules. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 121(16):163402, 2018.
- [66] A. Orban, T. Xie, R. Vexiau, O. Dulieu, and N. Bouloufa-Maafa. Hyperfine structure of electronically-excited states of the ³⁹K¹³³Cs molecule. J. Phys. B, 52:135101, 2019.
- [67] G.W.F. Drake. Springer handbook of atomic, molecular, and optical physics. Springer Science & Business Media, 2006.
- [68] M. Lepers and O. Dulieu. in Cold Chemistry : Molecular Scattering and Reactivity Near Absolute Zero, edited by O. Dulieu and A. Osterwalder (Royal Society of Chemistry, London, 2017), Chapter 4,. pp. 150–202, 2018.

- [69] T.V. Tscherbul, Y.V. Suleimanov, V. Aquilanti, and R.V. Krems. Magnetic field modification of ultracold molecule-molecule collisions. *New. J. Phys.*, 11(5):055021, 2009.
- [70] S. Green. Rotational excitation in h2–h2 collisions: Close-coupling calculations. J. Chem. Phys., 62(6):2271– 2277, 1975.
- [71] M.H. Alexander and A.E. DePristo. Symmetry considerations in the quantum treatment of collisions between two diatomic molecules. J. Chem. Phys., 66(5):2166– 2172, 1977.
- [72] G. Quéméner and J.L. Bohn. Dynamics of ultracold molecules in confined geometry and electric field. *Phys. Rev. A*, 83(1):012705, 2011.
- [73] D.A. Varshalovich, A.N. Moskalev, and V.K. Khersonskii. *Quantum theory of angular momentum*. World Scientific, 1988.
- [74] A. Kramida, Yu. Ralchenko, J. Reader, and MIST ASD Team. NIST Atomic Spectra Database (ver. 5.3), [Online]. Available: http://physics.nist.gov/asd [2016, March 8]. National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD., 2015.
- [75] R. D. Cowan. The theory of atomic structure and spectra, volume 3. 1981.
- [76] H. Li, J.-F. Wyart, O. Dulieu, and M. Lepers. Anisotropic optical trapping as a manifestation of the complex electronic structure of ultracold lanthanide atoms: The example of holmium. *Phys. Rev. A*, 95:062508, 2017.
- [77] W. Dankwort, J. Ferch, and H. Gebauer. Hexadecapole interaction in the atomic ground state of ¹⁶⁵Ho. Z. Phys., 267(3):229–237, 1974.
- [78] J.-F. Wyart and P. Camus. Etude du spectre de l'holmium atomique: Ii. interprétation paramétrique des niveaux d'énergie et des structures hyperfines. *Phys. B.*, 93(2):227–236, 1978.
- [79] A. Boutalib and J. P. Daudey. Theoretical study of the atomic spectra of the calcium atom.
- [80] B.K. Newman, N. Brahms, Y.S. Au, C. Johnson, C.B. Connolly, J.M. Doyle, D. Kleppner, and T.J. Greytak. Magnetic relaxation in dysprosium-dysprosium collisions. *Phys. Rev. A*, 83(1):012713, 2011.
- [81] E.A. Den Hartog, L.M. Wiese, and J.E. Lawler. Radiative lifetimes of Ho I and Ho II. J. Opt. Soc. Am. B, 16(12):2278–2284, 1999.
- [82] M. Lepers, G. Quéméner, E. Luc-Koenig, and O. Dulieu. Four-body long-range interactions between ultracold weakly-bound diatomic molecules. J. Phys. B., 49:014004, 2016.
- [83] V. Kokoouline, O. Dulieu, R. Kosloff, and F. Masnou-Seeuws. Mapped Fourier methods for long-range molecules: application to perturbations in the $\text{Rb}_2(0_u^+)$ photoassociation spectrum. J. Chem. Phys., 110:9865– 9876, 1999.
- [84] V. Kokoouline, O. Dulieu, and F. Masnou-Seeuws. Theoretical treatment of channel mixing in excited Rb₂ andCs₂ ultracold molecules. i: perturbations in 0_u^+ photoassociationand fluorescence spectra. *Phys. Rev. A*, 62:022504, 2000.
- [85] E. Tiesinga, K. M. Jones, P. D. Lett, U. Volz, C. J. Williams, and P. S. Julienne. Measurement and modeling of hyperfine- and rotation-induced state mixing in large weakly bound sodium dimers. *Phys. Rev. A*, 71:052703, 2005.

[86] J. M. Hutson and P. Soldán. Molecule formation in ultracold atomic gases. Int. Rev. Phys. Chem., 25:497, 2006.