

Aryl Hydrocarbon Receptor-Dependent Induction of Liver Fibrosis by Dioxin

Stéphane Pierre, Aline Chevallier, Fatima Teixeira-Cler, Ariane Ambolet-Camoit, Linh-Chi Bui, Anne-Sophie Bats, Jean-Christophe Fournet, Pedro Fernandez-Salguero, Martine Aggerbeck, Sophie Lotersztajn, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Stéphane Pierre, Aline Chevallier, Fatima Teixeira-Cler, Ariane Ambolet-Camoit, Linh-Chi Bui, et al.. Aryl Hydrocarbon Receptor-Dependent Induction of Liver Fibrosis by Dioxin. Toxicological Sciences, 2013, 137 (1), pp.114-124. 10.1093/toxsci/kft236. hal-02195641

HAL Id: hal-02195641 https://hal.science/hal-02195641v1

Submitted on 26 Jul 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Toxicological Sciences

Aryl hydrocarbon Receptor-dependent induction of liver fibrosis by dioxin

Journal:	Toxicological Sciences
Manuscript ID:	TOXSCI-13-0472.R2
Manuscript Type:	Research Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	07-Oct-2013
Complete List of Authors:	PIERRE, Stephane; INSERM UMR-S 747, Université Paris Descartes, Sorbonne Paris Cité, CHEVALLIER, Aline; INSERM UMR-S 747, Université Paris Descartes, Sorbonne Paris Cité, TEIXEIRA-CLERC, Fatima; IRMB, INSERM U955, Hopital Henri Mondor, AMBOLET-CAMOIT, Ariane; INSERM UMR-S 747, Université Paris Descartes, Sorbonne Paris Cité, BUI, Linh-Chi; INSERM UMR-S 747, Université Paris Descartes, Sorbonne Paris Cité, BATS, Anne-Sophie; INSERM UMR-S 747, Université Paris Descartes, Sorbonne Paris Cité, ; AP-HP, Hôpital Européen Georges Pompidou, Service de Chirurgie Gynécologique Cancérologique, FOURNET, Jean-Christophe; AP-HP, Hôpital Necker-Enfants Malades, service d'anatomo-pathologie, Fernandez-Salguero, Pedro; Universidad de Extremadura, Bioquimica y Biologia Molecular AGGERBECK, Martine; INSERM UMR-S 747, Université Paris Descartes, Sorbonne Paris Cité, LOTERSZTAJN, Sophie; IRMB, INSERM U955, Hopital Henri Mondor, BAROUKI, Robert; INSERM UMR-S 747, Université Paris Descartes, Sorbonne Paris Cité, ; AP-HP, Hôpital Necker-Enfants Malades, service de biochime métabolique, COUMOUL, Xavier; INSERM UMR-S 747, Université Paris Descartes, Sorbonne Paris Cité, ; AP-HP, Hôpital Necker-Enfants Malades, service de biochime métabolique, COUMOUL, Xavier; INSERM UMR-S 747, Université Paris Descartes, Sorbonne Paris Cité,
Key Words:	dioxin < Agents, signal transduction < Gene Expression/Regulation, transcription factors < Gene Expression/Regulation, liver < Systems Toxicology
Society of Toxicology Specialty Section Subject Area:	Toxicologic and Exploratory Pathology [129]

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

Toxicological Sciences

2	
3	1 Title page.
4	2Title: Aryl hydrocarbon Receptor-dependent induction of liver fibrosis by dioxin
6	
7	3
8 9	4Running title: AhR regulation of fibrosis in vivo
10	5
11 12	6Authors: Stéphane Pierre ^{1,2,*} , Aline Chevallier ^{1,2,*} , Fatima Teixeira-Clerc ⁵ , Ariane Ambolet-Camoit ^{1,2} , Linh-
13	7 Chi Dui ^{1,2} Anna Sanhia Data ^{1,2,3} Jaan Christanha Equinat ⁴ Dadra Farnandaz Salguara ⁷ Martina Aggarbaak
15	/Chi Bui , Anne-Sophie Bais , Jean-Christophe Fournet, Pedro Fernandez-Sarguero, Martine Aggerbeck
16	8 ^{1,2} , Sophie Lotersztajn ⁵ , Robert Barouki ^{1,2,6} , Xavier Coumoul ^{1,2,†}
17 18	9 ¹ INSERM UMR-S 747, Toxicologie Pharmacologie et Signalisation Cellulaire, Paris France
19 20	10 ² Université Paris Descartes, Sorbonne Paris Cité, Paris, France
21 22	11 ³ AP-HP, Hôpital Européen Georges Pompidou, Service de Chirurgie Gynécologique Cancérologique, Paris
23	12France
24 25	13 ⁴ AP-HP Hôpital Necker-Enfants Malades service d'anatomo-nathologie Paris France
26	
27 28	14° IRMB, INSERM U955, Hopital Henri Mondor, 94010 Creteil, France
29	15 ⁶ AP-HP, Hôpital Necker-Enfants Malades, service de biochimie métabolique, Paris, France
31	16 ⁷ Departamento de Bioquímica y Biología Molecular, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Extremadura,
32 33	17Badajoz, Spain
34 35	18
36 37	19* These authors contributed equally to this work
38	20
40	21 [†] Address correspondence to: Xavier Coumoul, INSERM UMR-S 747, 45 rue des Saints Pères 75006 Paris
41 42	22France; Phone: +33 1 42 86 33 59; Fax : +33 1 42 86 38 68; E-mail: xavier.coumoul@parisdescartes.fr
43 44	23
45 46	24
47 48	25
49 50	26
51	27
53	28
54 55	
56	
57	
58	

1Abstract

2The contribution of environmental pollutants to liver fibrosis is an important and poorly explored issue. In vitro 3studies suggest that the environmental pollutant 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin(TCDD) and other Aryl 4hydrocarbon Receptor(AhR) ligands induce several genes that are known to be up-regulated during liver 5fibrosis. Our aim was to determine whether exposure to such pollutants can lead to liver fibrosis and to 6characterize the mechanisms of action. Mice were treated for 2, 14 or 42 days, once a week with 25µg/kg of 7TCDD. Gene and protein expression, in vitro and in vivo, as well as liver histology were investigated for each 8treatment. Treatment of mice with TCDD for 2-weeks modified the hepatic expression of markers of fibrosis 9such as collagen-1 α 1 and α -smooth-muscle-actin. This is not observed in AhR KO mice. Following 6-weeks of 10treatment, histological features of murine hepatic fibrosis became apparent. In parallel, the levels of 11inflammatory cytokines(interleurkin-1-beta, tumor-necrosis-factor- α) and of markers of activated 12fibroblasts(Fibroblast-Specific-Protein1) were found to be up-regulated. Interestingly, we also found increased 13 expression of genes of the TGFB pathway and a concomitant decrease of miR-200a levels. Since the 14transcription factors of the Snail family were shown to be involved in liver fibrosis, we studied their regulation 15by TCDD. Two members of the Snail family were increased, whereas their negative targets, the epithelial 16marker E-cadherin and Claudin1, were decreased. Further, the expression of mesenchymal markers were 17increased. Finally, we confirmed that Snai2 is a direct transcriptional target of TCDD in the human 18hepatocarcinoma cell line, HepG2. The AhR ligand, TCDD, induces hepatic fibrosis by directly regulating pro-19fibrotic pathways.

21Keywords: dioxin; mice; AhR; liver diseases; inflammation

23Abbreviations: Aryl hydrocarbon Receptor (AhR); TetraChloroDibenzo-p-Dioxin (TCDD)

1Introduction

2Alcohol abuse and non-alcoholic fatty liver diseases are among the predominant causes of chronic liver diseases 3(CLD). Schematically, these conditions generate injuries of the hepatic tissue thereby leading to inflammation 4and fibrogenesis. Progression of fibrosis disrupts the liver architecture ultimately leading to cirrhosis, portal 5hypertension and hepatocellular carcinoma. It is generally accepted that liver fibrosis is the consequence of a 6sustained wound healing response to chronic injury. It is characterized by an abnormal accumulation of 7extracellular matrix (ECM) of altered composition that results from an increased production of collagen fibers 8(Brenner *et al.*, 2000; Lotersztajn *et al.*, 2005). Fibrosis is the consequence of an intense proliferation and 9accumulation of hepatic stellate cells (HSC), portal fibroblasts and bone marrow-derived cells. Recent *in vitro* and *in* 11*vivo* investigations using cultured primary hepatocytes or lineage-tracing experiments, have indicated that 12hepatocytes display significant reversion of their epithelial phenotype and acquire characteristics of 13mesenchymal cells, which was suggested to be one of several mechanisms leading to liver fibrosis (Choi and 14Dichl, 2009; Ikegami *et al.*, 2007; Rowe *et al.*, 2011; Taura *et al.*, 2010; Zeisberg *et al.*, 2007).

15Although several epidemiological studies suggest that the progression of CLD to fibrosis is enhanced by 16environmental factors (Altamirano and Bataller, 2010; Mallat and Lotersztajn, 2009; Pessione et al., 2001; 17Zein, 2010), the underlying mechanisms of action are poorly understood. In particular, it is unclear whether 18increased risk for fibrosis results from the liver toxicity of chemicals, from indirect systemic effects, such as 19insulin resistance, or from a more targeted effect of some of these chemicals on the fibrogenic pathways. In 20addition, these observations raise a more general question as to whether environmental pollutants contribute to 21CLD. One way to address this issue is to explore the effects of xenobiotic signalling pathways on the biological 22targets associated with the development of fibrosis. One of the most important receptors for xenobiotics is the 23Aryl hydrocarbon Receptor (AhR) (Barouki et al., 2007), a cytosolic, ligand-activated transcription factor. The 24AhR has a very large repertory of ligands, including dioxins and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) as well as 25several hydrocarbons present in cigarette smoke and air particles. Upon activation, the AhR forms a 26heterodimeric complex with the AhR Nuclear Translocator (ARNT) which binds to specific Xenobiotic 27Responsive Elements (XRE) and induces the transcription of target genes including xenobiotic metabolizing 28enzymes (XME) (Barouki et al., 2007). In addition to detoxification functions, recent in vitro studies have 29shown that the activation of the AhR elicits diverse responses such as the alteration of cellular morphology, cell 30migration and plasticity (Bui et al., 2009; Carvajal-Gonzalez et al., 2009; Diry et al., 2006; Tomkiewicz et al.,

Toxicological Sciences

12012). These alterations could mediate part of the long-term toxicity of the AhR ligands in humans and animals 2(Connor and Aylward, 2006; Denison *et al.*, 2011). One of the most potent AhR ligands is 2,3,7,8-3TetraChloroDibenzo-p-Dioxin (TCDD), a highly persistent AhR activator. Both in humans and in animals, 4exposure to TCDD leads to several toxic effects such as carcinogenesis and alteration of liver functions 5including lipid (steroid, phospholipid and fatty acids) and carbohydrate metabolism (Boverhof *et al.*, 2006; He 6*et al.*, 2013; Mandal, 2005; Marinkovic *et al.*, 2010; Nault *et al.*, 2013; Okey, 2007). Interestingly, one of 7those studies shows that over-activation of the AhR in the liver, leads to an increased expression of several 8fibrogenic genes (He *et al.*, 2013). Therefore, we hypothesized that activation of the AhR could trigger 9biological pathways associated with liver fibrosis.

10In the present work, we show that the AhR ligand, TCDD, regulates the expression of several fibrosis, 11inflammatory, epithelial and mesenchymal markers in murine liver and that exposure to TCDD leads to liver 12fibrosis.

1 Materials and Methods

2Animals, treatments and tissue extraction. The animal treatment protocol was approved by a bioethics 3committee (n° CEEA34.MA.003.12). Male mice (7 weeks old) were injected i.p. once with 200 μ L of either 4TCDD (25 μ g per kg of body weight, which leads to a blood concentration of TCDD after 48h, ranging from 5100 to 1000 ppt according to the Emond's physiologically based pharmacokinetic model (Emond *et al.*, 2005)) 6or the vehicle (corn oil). TCDD (99% in Nonane) was purchased from LCG Promochem (Molsheim, France). 7Subchronic treatment consisted of injections of TCDD (25 μ g/kg body weight) or corn oil on days 0 and day 7 8and mice were sacrificed at day 14. A longer treatment period consisted of injections of TCDD (25 μ g/kg b.w.) 9or corn oil on days 0, 7, 14, 21, 28, and day 35 (six total injections) and the mice were sacrificed at day 42. The 10livers were removed immediately and frozen in liquid nitrogen. AhR knockout mice were provided by Dr Pedro 11Fernandez-Salguero (University of Extramadura, Spain). The establishment, characterization and behavioral 12analysis of these transgenic mice have been described previously (Fernandez-Salguero *et al.*, 1995).

14Histology and immunohistochemistry. Livers were fixed with paraformaldehyde and embedded in paraffin. 15Tissue sections (4 μ m) were stained with hematoxylin-eosin or picro-sirius red for visualization of hepatic 16collagen. Immunostaining of smooth muscle α -actin was performed using the Vector M.O.M immunodetection 17kit (Vector Laboratories) and a monoclonal antibody directed against α -SMA (Sigma, 1:1000 dilution) followed 18by detection with the UltraVision LP detection kit (Thermo Scientific) using metal-enhanced diaminobenzidine 19(DAB) substrate (Thermo Fisher). Slides were counterstained with aqueous hematoxylin.

21RNA and miRNA extractions from liver tissue. Thirty mg of liver tissue were used for the extraction. One 22mL of trizol (Invitrogen) and 2 stainless steel beads (Qiagen) were used to crush the sample with a Tissuelyser 23system (Retsch MM300, Germany). After 5 minutes of incubation at room temperature, 200 μL of chloroform 24were added. The samples were vortexed and then centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 15 minutes at 4°C. For the RNA 25samples, one volume of ethanol 70% was added to the upper phase. Finally, total mRNA was extracted using the 26RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen). For the miRNA samples, one volume of isopropanol was added to the upper phase, 27samples were vortexed and then centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 30 minutes at 4°C. Pellets were washed with one 28volume of ethanol 70% and centrifuged 15 minutes at 12,000 rpm for 30 minutes at 4°C. Pellets were air-dried 29at room temperature. DNAse I (RNAse-Free DNAse set, Qiagen) treatment at 37°C for 15 minutes was 30performed. Finally, total RNA were dissolved in sterile water.

Cell Culture and chemicals. The day before treatment with TCDD, human hepatocarcinoma HepG2 cells 3(ATCC #HB-8065) were cultured in DMEM without phenol red and supplemented with 3% charcoal-treated 4(desteroidized) fetal calf serum (Bui *et al.*, 2009).

RNA extraction from cell cultures. Total RNA were extracted using the RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen) as 7previously described (Bui *et al.*, 2009).

9Reverse transcription and quantitative RT-PCR of mRNA. Reverse transcription was performed on RNA 10samples (1 µg) using the cDNA High-Capacity Archive kit from Applied Biosystems (Courtaboeuf, France) in a 1150µL-final reaction volume according to the manufacturer's instructions. Oligonucleotides were designed using 12the OLIGO Explorer software (Molecular Biology Insights, Inc., Cascade, CO). Gene specific primers were 13used for real-time-PCR (Supplementary material) (Eurogentec, Les Ulis, France). Quantitative RT-PCR 14measurements were performed using an ABI-Prism-7900 Sequence Detector system (Applied Biosystems) (Bui 15*et al.*, 2009).. The relative mRNA levels were estimated using the delta-delta Ct method with RPL13A as the 16reference for human samples and GAPDH for the mouse samples.

Reverse transcription and quantitative RT-PCR of miRNA. Reverse transcription and qRT-PCR were 19performed using the NCode miRNA First-Strand cDNA synthesis and qRT-PCR kits (Invitrogen). Specific 20forward miRNA primers were designed for the miRNA 200 family (Supplementary material). Quantitative RT-21PCR measurements were performed using an ABI-Prism 7900 Sequence Detector system (Applied Biosystems) 22(Bui *et al.*, 2009)..

Protein extraction and immunoblotting. Forty mg from each tissue were extracted with 1.2mL of T-PER® 25(Invitrogen) containing protease and phosphatase inhibitor cocktails (Sigma) and 3 tungsten beads (Qiagen) to 26crush each sample (Tissuelyser system,Retsch MM300, Germany). Total proteins (10µg) were separated by 27SDS–PAGE and transferred onto nitrocellulose Hybond C-extra membranes (GE Healthcare). Blots were 28probed with primary antibodies recognizing human Slug (Cell Signaling, 9585, dilution 1:500), mouse Snai1 29(Abcam, ab95931, dilution 1:1000), mouse E-cadherin (Cell Signaling, 4065, dilution 1:2000), mouse Vimentin 30(Sigma, V4630, dilution 1:1000), mouse actin (Abcam, ab37063, 1:10000) or human actin (Abcam, ab37063,

Toxicological Sciences

11:10000). Immunopositive bands were visualized on X-ray films using an alkaline phosphatase-linked 2secondary antibody (Applied Biosystems, T2191 or T2192, 1:10000) and an enhanced chemiluminescence 3system CDP-Star® (Applied Biosystems). Quantification was performed with ImageJ 4(http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/).

Gelatin zymography. Proteins were extracted from the tissues (see immunoblotting), except that protease 7inhibitors were omitted. Samples (10 μg) were electrophoresed on a 1 mg/ml Gelatine-acrylamide-SDS gel (Bui 8*et al.*, 2009).. The gel was then incubated at 37°C overnight in an incubation buffer (50mM Tris-HCl pH7.4, 95mM CaCl₂, 0.02% NaN3, 1μM ZnCl₂, 0.015% Brij35, 2.5% Triton X100). After the incubation, the gel was 10stained with Coomassie blue for 1h and destained with 10% acetic acid, 40% methanol for 1h at room 11temperature and then with 10% acetic acid, 5% methanol for 1h. A clear zone of gelatin digestion indicated 12matrix metalloproteinase activity. Finally, the gel was scanned, using a Canoscan Lide 500F.

14ELISA cytokine assay. Proteins were extracted from the livers (Procarta cytokine assays, Panomics, 15Affymetrix). Equal amounts of total proteins (10 μ g) were mixed with antibody-conjugated beads for 30 16minutes. A detection antibody was added for 30 minutes, then Streptavidin-Phycoerythrine (PE) for 30 minutes. 17Finally, using a Luminex instrument (Luminex 200 Total System, Centre des Cordeliers), we measured the 18quantity of cytokines.

20Chromatin immunoprecipitation assay. HepG2 cells were seeded into 150-mm-diameter dishes 21(approximately 20x10⁶ cells per dish) 48h before being treated or not with 25 nM TCDD for 15, 45 or 75 min. 22The cells were then processed for the ChIP assay as previously described (Bui *et al.*, 2009). The XRE site is 23located between -248 and -243 bp but it was impossible to design primers, which encompass the XRE; 24therefore, we designed two different constructs close to the XRE region to perform the ChIP experiments. 25Indeed, ChIP DNA was analyzed by quantitative PCR using specific primers covering the sequences between – 26239 bp and +4 bp of the human Snai2 promoter region, located downstream the XRE (forward primer: 5'-27TCACCGAGCGAGGTTAC-3' and reverse primer 5'-AACTGAGCCCGTTTTGG-3') and the region between 28–195 bp and -48 bp of the human Snai2 promoter region just after the XRE (forward primer: 5'-29AAACAGCCCATTTTGAACC-3' and reverse primer 5'- GGCTAAGGATTCAGCAGCAA -3').

Statistical analysis. The results are expressed as the means \pm standard deviations for at least three different 2experiments. Differences between groups were analyzed by the Mann-Whitney test (non-parametric comparison 3of 2 independent series) or the Kruskal-Wallis test (non-parametric comparison of k independent series). A p-4value < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

1Results

2TCDD stimulates liver fibrosis.

3Since several epidemiological studies suggest that environmental factors might enhance fibrosis, we treated 4mice for a short time (2 weeks of treatment) with TCDD, an environmental pollutant and a ligand of the Aryl 5hydrocarbon Receptor (AhR) and then measured the expression of two markers for fibrosis in the liver (collagen 61 α 1, α -smooth muscle actin) (Akiri *et al.*, 2003; Lotersztajn, Julien, Teixeira-Clerc, Grenard and Mallat, 72005)). Quantitative RT-PCR showed that the expression of both markers increased significantly after treatment 8with TCDD (Figure 1C). This is not observed in AhR KO mice (Figure 1C). After 2 weeks of TCDD treatment, 9we also examined the histology of the livers of the mice using Hematoxylin and eosin staining. There was a 10cellular infiltration at the periphery of the portal veins upon TCDD treatment (Figure 1A) but without significant 1 Isigns of fibrosis despite the increase in the expression of markers of fibrosis. Therefore, we investigated whether 12the increased expression of those markers could lead to fibrosis after longer periods of exposure. The levels of 13both fibrosis markers after 6 weeks of treatment with 25 μ g/kg/week TCDD for 6 weeks led to changes in 15the morphology of the liver surface (loss of color, granular surface) (data not shown) and histological analysis 16reveals liver fibrosis (Figures 1B).

TCDD stimulates liver inflammation.

19Since we observed a cellular infiltration at the periphery of the veins upon 2 weeks of treatment with TCDD 20(Figure 1B), we also measured the hepatic expression of the genes of several inflammatory cytokines which 21stimulate fibrosis (Coussens and Werb, 2002). After only two weeks of treatment with TCDD, the levels *IL1β*, 22*MCP1* and *TNF* α were increased (10-, 11- and 12-fold, respectively, Figure 2A). In addition, the amounts of the 23IL1ß and MCP1 proteins were increased (3-fold and 9-fold, respectively Figure 2B). We also quantified the 24level of Fibroblast Specific Protein 1 (FSP1) (Helfman *et al.*, 2005), which is a marker of activated fibroblasts 25(Sugimoto *et al.*, 2006). Liver *FSP1* mRNA levels were increased (4-fold) 14 days after treatment with TCDD 26(Figure 2C). Further, we found that, following TCDD treatment, there was an increase in the expression of 27TGFB (6.3 fold), an inducer of fibrosis, as well as in one of its target genes, *ZEB2* (4.8 fold) (Figure 2D). 28Recently, it has been shown that TGFB inhibits the expression of several members of the microRNA 200 family 29which are inhibitory regulators of *ZEB2* gene expression. Thus, we measured the level of expression of the five

Imembers of the miR200 family in the livers of treated and untreated mice. The miRNA 200a level was 2significantly and specifically reduced (51%) in TCDD-treated mice (Figure 2E).

3Finally, several fibrosis and inflammatory markers were quantified by RT-PCR after 6 weeks of exposure to 4TCDD and all were shown to be induced by this treatment (Table 1). In several cases, the level of induction was 5greater than that following 2-weeks of treatment.

6These results strongly suggest that exposure to TCDD induces both liver inflammation and fibrosis molecular 7markers.

9Epithelial and mesenchymal markers are regulated by TCDD in vivo.

10We investigated the expression of epithelial and mesenchymal markers in the livers of 2-weeks TCDD-treated 11mice. Snail family members are transcriptional repressors of epithelial markers. The levels of mRNA of two 12members of the Snail family, *Snai1* and *Snai2*, were significantly increased by TCDD treatment (6.5 and 2.0 13fold respectively, Figure 3A) whereas the levels of the mRNA of their targets, *E-cadherin* and *Claudin1*, were 14significantly decreased (50% and 80% respectively, Figure 3C). In parallel, the amount of Snai1 protein was 15increased approximately 1.6 fold after TCDD treatment as compared to untreated mice (Figure 3B) whereas a 2-16fold decrease in the mE-cadherin protein level was observed (Figure 3D).

17We next studied the effect of TCDD on mesenchymal markers. The matrix metalloproteinases 2 and 9 (MMP-2 18and MMP-9) are two gelatinases which are involved in the degradation and remodeling of the extracellular 19matrix (Chen and Parks, 2009). The levels of both *MMP-2* and *MMP-9* mRNA increased 5- and 8-fold, 20respectively, following treatment with TCDD (Figure 3E). Measurement of the activity of these enzymes by 21gelatine zymography showed that the activities of both enzymes were enhanced (2- and 4-fold, respectively) 22following treatment with TCDD (Figure 3F). We also observed a 6-fold increase in the level of vimentin mRNA 23(Figure 3G) and a 2-fold increase in the protein (Figure 3H) in the livers of the TCDD-treated animals as 24compared to the untreated ones (Kokkinos *et al.*, 2007).

25Taken together, these data clearly demonstrate that dioxin up-regulates, *in vivo*, the expression of mesenchymal 26markers and down-regulates epithelial markers.

28TCDD stimulates Snai2 expression through activation of the AhR

29In order to gain mechanistic insight into the effect of dioxin on the expression of fibrosis related genes, we 30carried out *in vitro* studies. Since we showed previously that treatment of the human hepatocarcinoma cell line,

Toxicological Sciences

1HepG2 with TCDD leads to a decrease of E-Cadherin expression, we investigated whether members of the Snail 2family were also regulated in this cell line. We found that the expression of *Snai2* is, indeed, up-regulated by 3TCDD, in agreement with the observations of Ikuta *et al* in the human keratinocyte cell line HaCAT (Ikuta and 4Kawajiri, 2006). The dose-response analysis showed that, following 48h of treatment, the levels of both *Snai2* 5mRNA and protein increased as a function of TCDD concentration and that this increase was maximal at 100 6nM (Figure 4A and 4B). A significant hepatic induction of *Snai2* was observed after 48h and 120h of treatment 7in mice (supplementary figure 1A). Interestingly, the 48h induction was not observed in AhR KO mice 8(supplementary figure 1B) indicating an AhR-mediated effect. Following treatment of HepG2 cells with 25 nM 9TCDD, the levels of both *Snai2* mRNA and protein increased as a function of Snai1 (supplementary figure 2). We carried out ChIP 10induction of Snai2 promoter region, which contains putative XREs, in order to prove that *Snai2* was a 12direct transcriptional target of the AhR. AhR binding significantly increased, as compared to controls, 15 and 45 13minutes after treatment with TCDD (Figure 4E and 4F). This suggests that the AhR is directly involved in the 14regulation of *hSnai2* in the HepG2 *in vitro* model.

15Taken together, these results demonstrate that, following activation by its ligand (TCDD), the AhR up-regulates 16the expression of Snai2 both in the HepG2 *in vitro* model and in a mouse *in vivo* model.

1Discussion

2Environmental pollutants have been implicated in liver carcinogenesis, steatosis and in the alteration of liver 3functions including metabolism (Lee *et al.*, 2010). Little is known about their effects on liver fibrosis despite the 4public health relevance of this disease. Despite this lack of knowledge, it is assumed that some chemicals 5(notably CCl₄) could directly activate the biological pathways that elicit a fibrotic state in liver. Here we show 6that, in the mouse liver, dioxin up-regulates the expression of the genes encoding the fibrosis markers Col1 α 1 7and α -SMA, and the inflammatory markers IL-1 β , TNF α and MCP1 and elicits histological features of fibrosis 8following 6 weeks of treatment (one injection of TCDD per week). These data clearly suggest that sustained 9exposure to TCDD leads to the development of hepatic fibrosis in mice, which is probably mediated by the AhR 10since this receptor is the only known target of dioxin and since many of these effects are not observed in AhR 11KO mice.

12Other studies have focused on liver steatosis and inflammation. Large-scale studies in mice and rats have 13recently shown that exposure to TCDD in rodents can lead to the disruption of molecular pathways involved in 14hepatic lipid (steroid, phospholipid and fatty acid) and carbohydrate metabolism (gluconeogenesis), which is 15consistent with TCDD-elicited hepatic steatosis (Nault et al, 2013; Boverhof et al, 2006). Those observations are 16important as nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) is an increasingly prevalent disease characterized by steatosis 17as well as inflammation and ultimately fibrosis. Its pathogenesis remains incompletely understood. A mouse 18model based on the methionine- and choline-deficient (MCD) diet, is commonly used to study NASH. A recent 19investigation by He et al, showed that transgenic or pharmacological AhR activation sensitized mice to MCD 20diet-induced NASH. Similarly to our study, the authors observed increased expression of fibrogenic (collagen 211a1, 1a2, α-SMA), inflammatory (IL1β, IL6), or mesenchymal (TGF-β) markers with a single dose of TCDD 22(10 µg/kg) coupled with an MCD diet for 4 to 6 weeks. This is associated with histological features of fibrosis. 23A two-hit model is commonly proposed to explain the mechanism of NASH. The authors show that AhR 24 activation leads to increased steatosis and oxidative stress due to a decreased activity of superoxide dismutase 2 25(SOD2). Interestingly, they linked this decreased activity to increased acetylation of this enzyme due to 26activation of TiPARP (TCDD)-inducible poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase, depletion of NAD⁺ and subsequent 27inactivation of sirtuin deacetylase 3 (Sirt3) (He et al, 2013).

28The protocol used in the present study (according to the Emond's physiologically based pharmacokinetic model 29(Emond *et al.*, 2005), it leads to a blood concentration of TCDD after 48h, ranging from 100 to 1000 ppt.) is 30different from that of He et al since it consists in weekly injections of TCDD instead of a single injection

Toxicological Sciences

locupled to a specific deficient diet. This could explain some of the differences in histological observations. 2However, we cannot exclude that constant exposure to TCDD could alter the metabolism of methionine or 3choline, or lead to repeated production of reactive oxygen species, which setting the stage for liver dysfunction 4and ultimately fibrosis. Current evidence is not sufficient to differentiate between a direct effect of TCDD on 5fibrosis or an indirect effect coupling metabolic disruption, inflammation and disruption of the redox status. 6Several studies have demonstrated the predominant role of stellate cells in fibrosis progression (Bataller et al., 72003; Friedman, 2000; Knittel et al., 1999). However, more recent investigations have suggested that adult Shepatocytes might also be involved in the increased mesenchymal cells in the liver (Ikegami et al., 2007). 9Indeed, Zeisberg et al., using lineage-tracing experiments, suggested that, upon treatment with CCl₄, which is 10routinely used to induce fibrosis in rodents, a significant number of fibroblasts might be derived from 11hepatocytes in the advanced stages of fibrosis. However, these data have been challenged recently (Taura et al., 122010). Our study did not attempt to address these controversial issues, but rather focused on establishing a 13correlation between the liver fibrosis phenotype elicited by TCDD and the induction by this pollutant of a 14number of genes that are relevant for this condition, including fibrosis and inflammatory markers as well as 15 regulatory genes such as those of the Snail family. A very recent report demonstrated that Snail expression was 16specifically increased in hepatocytes in response to acute liver challenging and, interestingly, that specific 17hepatocyte inhibition of Snail disrupted fibrotic progression (Rowe et al., 2011). In addition, the expression of 18Snail triggered growth factor expression, inflammatory processes and biosynthesis of the extracellular matrix 19related to liver fibrosis (Rowe et al., 2011). Recent work from several laboratories, including our own, also have 20shown that TCDD regulates several genes involved in cell motility and plasticity (Bui et al, 2009; Carvajal-21Gonzalez et al., 2009; Diry et al., 2006; Rowe et al., 2011). We have shown, in particular, that the expression of 22E-cadherin is repressed. Although JNK activation and NEDD9 induction were shown to be involved, the actual 23molecular mechanism of E-cadherin repression by TCDD has not been elucidated completely. Since the Snail 24family of transcription factors is known to be involved in E-cadherin repression and since it is also involved in 25the development of liver fibrosis (Rowe et al., 2011), we have focused, in the present study, on the regulation of 26this family of proteins.

27In order to further delineate the mechanism of TCDD induction of the Snail genes, ie, whether the TCDD effect 28is direct or indirect, we carried *in vitro* experiments using the HepG2 cell line. Using this cell line, we show that 29Snai2 is induced by TCDD (in agreement with observations made in keratinocytes (Ikuta and Kawajiri, 2006)) 30and that the binding of the AhR to the promoter of the *Snai2* gene increases following treatment with TCDD.

Toxicological Sciences

1We also show that dioxin up-regulates *Snai1* and *Snai2* (two members of the Snail family), several 2mesenchymal markers (including MMPs 2 and 9, Fibronectin and Vimentin) and down-regulates two epithelial 3markers (Claudin1 & E-cadherin). Importantly, Snai2 is induced by TCDD *in vivo* as early as 48 h of treatment, 4in line with the *in vitro* data. This suggests that Snai2 is a direct target of TCDD and its induction is an early 5event.

6Our data suggest a possible role of Snai2 induction for the effects of TCDD on liver fibrosis. However, we also 7have observed inflammation and this could be triggered by a different mechanism. In addition, we cannot 8eliminate the possibility that the liver toxicity elicited by TCDD also could contribute to fibrosis. What our 9studies indicate is that at least part of the mechanism of TCDD action is mediated through the direct induction of 10critical fibrosis-promoting genes.

This paper describes the effects of an AhR ligand on fibrosis in vivo and points to a role for activated 12AhR in this liver pathology. Interestingly, the AhR KO mice display several developmental abnormalities, 13including a decrease in the liver size (Fernandez-Salguero *et al.*, 1995) and a TGFβ signaling-dependent fibrosis 14(Butler et al., 2012; Zaher et al., 1998). Although these observations may seem paradoxical at first sight, they 15do, in fact, support the hypothesis that TCDD and possibly other related xenobiotic ligands exert their effects, at 16 least partially, by disrupting the normal physiological functions of the receptor (similarly to a knock-out of the 17receptor). Interestingly, the phenotypes of AhR KO models and TCDD-treated cells or tissues display other 18similarities (Chang et al., 2007). A recent study by Rico-Leo et al, indicates that AhR KO cells (keratinocytes 19and mammary cells) display phenotypic abnormalities reminiscent of the epithelial-mesenchymal transition 20(EMT) with decreased and increased expression of epithelial and mesenchymal markers respectively (including 21for the last category, Snai2) (Rico-Leo et al., 2013). Interestingly, Hanada et al also show that liver fibrosis 22down-regulates AhR expression which might exacerbate the pathology (Hanada et al., 2012). One interpretation 23of these observations is that endogenous ligands of the AhR may display anti-fibrotic effects. In this regard, it is 24noteworthy that other studies have shown that this receptor can bind ligands which antagonize the action of 25TCDD and which trigger their own specific effects (Gouedard et al., 2004a; Gouedard et al., 2004b). In line 26with this hypothesis, Lehmann et al show that 2-(1'H-indole-3'-carbonyl)-thiazole-4-carboxylic acid methyl ester 27(ITE), an endogenous AhR ligand, blocks myofibroblast differentiation, a critical step for fibrosis (Lehmann et 28al., 2011). However, it is also possible that the mechanisms of liver dysfunction and fibrosis in the absence of 29the AhR and in the presence of persistent AhR ligands may be different. Indeed, we show that fibrotic genes do

3	
4	
-	
Э	
6	
7	
' 0	
8	
9	
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
~	-
2	2
2	3
2	1
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	-
2	1
2	8
2	9
2	~
3	υ
3	1
3	2
2	2
3	3
3	4
3	5
2	ĥ
3	0
3	1
3	8
3	9
4	0
4	1
4	2
4	3
4	4
4	5
4	6
4	7
4	8
4	9
5	0
5	1
5	2
5	3
5	4
5	5
F	ç
Э	0
5	7

 1not respond similarly in TCDD-treated mice and AhR-KO mice (Figure 1). This possibility needs further 2exploration.

Toxicological Sciences

1Author contributions: Stéphane Pierre & Aline Chevallier were involved in the study concept and design and 2statistical analysis; Stephane Pierre was involved in drafting of the manuscript; Stéphane Pierre, Aline 3Chevallier, Fatima Teixeira-Clerc, Ariane Ambolet-Camoit, Linh-Chi Bui, Anne-Sophie Bats, Jean-Christophe 4Fournet were involved in the acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation of data; Fatima Teixeira-Clerc, 5Martine Aggerbeck, Sophie Lotersztajn, Pedro Fernandez-Salguero, Robert Barouki were involved in critical 6revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content ; Fatima Teixeira-Clerc, Martine Aggerbeck, Sophie 7Lotersztajn, Robert Barouki, Xavier Coumoul were involved in obtained funding ; Martine Aggerbeck, Robert 8Barouki and Xavier Coumoul were involved in study supervision.

10Conflict of interest : the authors declare no conflict of interest.

12Acknowledgements: We thank Dr Lawrence Aggerbeck for his critical reading of this manuscript and Ludmila 13Juricek for her help during the submission process.

15Financial support: This work was supported by ANSES (Agence Nationale de Sécurité Sanitaire, de 16l'alimentation, de l'environnement et du travail); the ANR (Agence Nationale de la Recherche, 06SEST26, 17Oncopop); ARC (Association pour la Recherche sur le Cancer, 3927 & SFI20101201842); the CNRS (Centre 18National de la recherche scientifique, Martine Aggerbeck); the Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale (Post 19doctoral fellowship, Linh-Chi Bui); INCa (Institut National du Cancer) ; INSERM (Institut National de la Santé 20et de la Recherche Médicale); the Ligue contre le Cancer (Postdoctoral fellowship, Linh-Chi Bui); the Ministère 21de l'enseignement supérieur et de la recherche (Doctoral fellowship, Aline Chevallier, Ariane Ambolet-Camoit); 22the Région Ile de France (Doctoral fellowship, Stéphane Pierre); the Université Paris Descartes.

25Number of figures and tables: 4 figures and 1 table

1Figure legends

3Figure 1: TCDD increases the expression of the hepatic fibrosis markers Coll1A1 and α -SMA and leads to 4hepatic fibrosis. A Wild-type mice were treated on days 0 and 7 with either TCDD ($25\mu g/kg$) or corn oil 5(control) and sacrificed at day 14. Hematoxylin/eosin staining of liver tissues is shown. Four mice in each group 6were analyzed. Wild-type mice were treated on days 0 – 7 – 14 – 21 – 28 – 35 (6 weeks) with either TCDD 7($25\mu g/kg$) or corn oil(control) and sacrificed at day 42. **B.** Picrosirius red staining (upper panel, staining 8collagen)and α -smooth muscle actin (lower panel) staining of liver tissues are shown. Scale bar = 150 µm. Four 9mice in each group were analyzed. C. Wild-type or AhR knock-out mice were treated on days 0 and 7 (2 10weeks) or on days 0, 7, 14, 21, 28, and day 35 (6 weeks for the wild-type mice) with either TCDD ($25\mu g/kg$) or 11corn oil (control) and sacrificed at day 14 or day 42, respectively. Collagen1 α 1 and α -smooth muscle actin 12mRNA levels were measured by qRT-PCR. *, p < 0.05 or **, p < 0.01 as compared to controls. For each qRT-13PCR experiment, 4 control mice and 4 TCDD-treated mice were used.

1Figure 2: TCDD increases pro-inflammatory cytokines, the expression of FSP1 an hepatic marker of 2activated fibroblasts and regulates the expression of the hepatic fibrosis inducer, the TGFß gene, and of 3its gene targets. Wild-type mice were treated on days 0 and 7 (2 weeks) with either TCDD ($25\mu g/kg$) or corn 4oil (control) and sacrificed at day 14. A. IL1 \Box , MCP1 and TNF \Box mRNA levels were measured by qRT-PCR. 5B. The amounts of IL-1 \Box and MCP1 protein were estimated using an ELISA assay. Proteins are expressed as pg 6of cytokine per mg of total protein. Three mice in each group were used. C. FSP1 mRNA levels were measured 7by qRT-PCR. D. TGFß and ZEB2 mRNA levels were measured by qRT-PCR. E. Treatment with TCDD 8decreased miRNA 200a levels compared to controls as measured by specific qRT-PCR. The levels of mRNA or 9miRNA were normalized to control condition. ***, p < 0.001; **, p < 0.01 and *, p < 0.05 compared to controls. 10Four mice in each group were measured.

Toxicological Sciences

1Figure 3: TCDD regulates the expression of epithelial and mesenchymal markers 1 in mouse liver. Male 2wild-type mice were treated on days 0 and 7 (2 weeks) with either TCDD ($25\mu g/kg$) or corn oil (control) and 3sacrificed at day 14. **A.** The *Snai1* and *Snai2* mRNA levels were found to be increased as measured by qRT-4PCR **B.** The mSnai1 protein was found to be increased following quantification by western blotting **C.** the *mE-5cadherin* and *claudin1* mRNA levels were found to be decreased **D.** and the E-cadherin protein was found to be 6decreased following quantification by western blotting. **E.** The levels of MMP2, MMP9 and **G.** vimentin mRNA 7were found to be increased as compared to controls when measured by qRT-PCR. **F.** The proteolytic activity of 8pro-MMP, MMP2 and MMP9 was found to be increased as compared to controls by gelatin electrophoresis, 9with actin used as a loading control. **H.** Vimentin protein levels were determined to be increased by western 10blotting The levels of mRNA were normalized to control condition. Intensities were normalized by comparison 11to the actin signal using Image J software. ***, p < 0.001 **, p< 0.01 and *, p < 0.05 compared to controls 12(n>3). For each experiment, 4 control mice and 4 TCDD-treated mice were used.

Toxicological Sciences

1Figure 4: TCDD increases *mSnai2* expression in the mouse liver and in the human HepG2 cell line 2through the AhR pathway. A. HepG2 cells were treated or not with increasing concentrations of TCDD (0-100 3nM) for 48h. The amounts of *Snai2* mRNA as measured by qRT-PCR and **B.** protein as measured by western-4blotting were found to be increased, as compared to controls. **C**. HepG2 cells were treated with 25 nM TCDD or 5not for times between 16 and 72h. The amounts of mRNA and **D.** protein were found to be increased as 6measured. **E.**, **F.** Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) was performed on the *Snai2* promoter region. HepG2 7cells were treated, or not, with 25 nM TCDD for 15, 45 or 75 min. Gene specific qPCR analysis with two 8different couples of primers spanning the AhR binding region of the *hSnai2* gene promoter was performed using 9genomic DNA immunoprecipitated with either an AhR or a mock IgG antibody and an equal amount of input 10DNA as described in the methods. The results are normalized to the control condition (not treated with TCDD). 11*, p < 0.05 or **, p < 0.01 as compared to controls. Each experiment was repeated 3 times.

Table

3Table 1

mRNA	Fold induction (2w)	Fold induction (6w)
AhR	-	1,6
CYP1a1	-	55372,14*
Collagen 1A1	11,7*	39,31*
α-SMA	3,6*	22,34**
IL-1ß	9,8***	14,07**
MCP1	12,1***	61,82**
TNF-α	13,4***	20,13**
CCR5	-	3,96*
FSP1	4,2***	5,32*
TGFB1	6,1***	6,49*
Zeb2	4,3**	4,34*
RasGPR1	-	3,98*

6Table 1: relative mRNA expression of fibrosis and inflammatory markers in pre-fibrotic and fibrotic 7livers of mice subchronically treated with TCDD. Wild-type mice were treated two times at day 0 and 7 with 8either TCDD ($25\mu g/kg$) or corn oil (NT) and sacrificed at day 14 (second column) or five times at day 0 – 7 – 14 9– 21 – 28 with either TCDD ($25\mu g/kg$) or corn oil (NT) and sacrificed at day 35 (third column). Ahr battery 10genes, fibrosis and inflammatory markers (first column) expression were assessed by qRT-PCR. ***, p < 0.001; 11**, p < 0.01 and *, p < 0.05 compared to controls. For each qRT-PCR experiment, 4 control mice and 4 TCDD-12treated mice were used.

1References

2Akiri, G., Sabo, E., Dafni, H., Vadasz, Z., Kartvelishvily, Y., Gan, N., Kessler, O., Cohen, T., Resnick, M., 3Neeman, M., and Neufeld, G. (2003). Lysyl oxidase-related protein-1 promotes tumor fibrosis and tumor 4progression in vivo. *Cancer Res* **63**(7), 1657-66.

5Altamirano, J., and Bataller, R. (2010). Cigarette smoking and chronic liver diseases. *Gut* **59**(9), 1159-62, 610.1136/gut.2008.162453.

7Barouki, R., Coumoul, X., and Fernandez-Salguero, P. M. (2007). The aryl hydrocarbon receptor, more than a 8xenobiotic-interacting protein. *FEBS Lett* **581**(19), 3608-15.

9Bataller, R., Schwabe, R. F., Choi, Y. H., Yang, L., Paik, Y. H., Lindquist, J., Qian, T., Schoonhoven, R., 10Hagedorn, C. H., Lemasters, J. J., and Brenner, D. A. (2003). NADPH oxidase signal transduces angiotensin II

11in hepatic stellate cells and is critical in hepatic fibrosis. J Clin Invest 112(9), 1383-94, 10.1172/JCI18212.

12Boverhof, D. R., Burgoon, L. D., Tashiro, C., Sharratt, B., Chittim, B., Harkema, J. R., Mendrick, D. L., and 13Zacharewski, T. R. (2006). Comparative toxicogenomic analysis of the hepatotoxic effects of TCDD in Sprague 14Dawley rats and C57BL/6 mice. *Toxicol Sci* **94**(2), 398-416, 10.1093/toxsci/kf1100.

15Brenner, D. A., Waterboer, T., Choi, S. K., Lindquist, J. N., Stefanovic, B., Burchardt, E., Yamauchi, M., 16Gillan, A., and Rippe, R. A. (2000). New aspects of hepatic fibrosis. *J Hepatol* **32**(1 Suppl), 32-8.

17Bui, L. C., Tomkiewicz, C., Chevallier, A., Pierre, S., Bats, A. S., Mota, S., Raingeaud, J., Pierre, J., Diry, M.,
18Transy, C., Garlatti, M., Barouki, R., and Coumoul, X. (2009). Nedd9/Hef1/Cas-L mediates the effects of
19environmental pollutants on cell migration and plasticity. *Oncogene*, onc2009224 [pii]

2010.1038/onc.2009.224.

21Butler, R., Inzunza, J., Suzuki, H., Fujii-Kuriyama, Y., Warner, M., and Gustafsson, J. A. (2012). Uric acid 22stones in the urinary bladder of aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AhR) knockout mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 23109(4), 1122-6, 10.1073/pnas.1120581109.

24Carvajal-Gonzalez, J. M., Mulero-Navarro, S., Roman, A. C., Sauzeau, V., Merino, J. M., Bustelo, X. R., and 25Fernandez-Salguero, P. M. (2009). The dioxin receptor regulates the constitutive expression of the vav3 proto-26oncogene and modulates cell shape and adhesion. *Mol Biol Cell* **20**(6), 1715-27, E08-05-0451 [pii] 2710.1091/mbc.E08-05-0451.

28Chang, X., Fan, Y., Karyala, S., Schwemberger, S., Tomlinson, C. R., Sartor, M. A., and Puga, A. (2007).
29Ligand-independent regulation of transforming growth factor beta1 expression and cell cycle progression by the
30aryl hydrocarbon receptor. *Mol Cell Biol* 27(17), 6127-39, 10.1128/MCB.00323-07.

Toxicological Sciences

1Chen, P., and Parks, W. C. (2009). Role of matrix metalloproteinases in epithelial migration. *J Cell Biochem* 2108(6), 1233-43, 10.1002/jcb.22363.

3Choi, S. S., and Diehl, A. M. (2009). Epithelial-to-mesenchymal transitions in the liver. *Hepatology* **50**(6), 42007-13, 10.1002/hep.23196.

5Connor, K. T., and Aylward, L. L. (2006). Human response to dioxin: aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AhR) 6molecular structure, function, and dose-response data for enzyme induction indicate an impaired human AhR. *J* 7*Toxicol Environ Health B Crit Rev* 9(2), 147-71, K22N5M027837359U [pii]

810.1080/15287390500196487.

9Coussens, L. M., and Werb, Z. (2002). Inflammation and cancer. *Nature* **420**(6917), 860-7, 1010.1038/nature01322.

11Denison, M. S., Soshilov, A. A., He, G., DeGroot, D. E., and Zhao, B. (2011). Exactly the same but different:
12promiscuity and diversity in the molecular mechanisms of action of the aryl hydrocarbon (dioxin) receptor.
13*Toxicol Sci* 124(1), 1-22, 10.1093/toxsci/kfr218.

14Diry, M., Tomkiewicz, C., Koehle, C., Coumoul, X., Bock, K. W., Barouki, R., and Transy, C. (2006). 15Activation of the dioxin/aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AhR) modulates cell plasticity through a JNK-dependent 16mechanism. *Oncogene*.

17Emond, C., Michalek, J. E., Birnbaum, L. S., and DeVito, M. J. (2005). Comparison of the use of a 18physiologically based pharmacokinetic model and a classical pharmacokinetic model for dioxin exposure 19assessments. *Environ Health Perspect* **113**(12), 1666-8.

20Fernandez-Salguero, P., Pineau, T., Hilbert, D. M., McPhail, T., Lee, S. S., Kimura, S., Nebert, D. W., Rudikoff, 21S., Ward, J. M., and Gonzalez, F. J. (1995). Immune system impairment and hepatic fibrosis in mice lacking the 22dioxin-binding Ah receptor. *Science* **268**(5211), 722-6.

23Friedman, S. L. (2000). Molecular regulation of hepatic fibrosis, an integrated cellular response to tissue injury.
24*J Biol Chem* 275(4), 2247-50.

25Gouedard, C., Barouki, R., and Morel, Y. (2004a). Dietary polyphenols increase paraoxonase 1 gene expression 26by an aryl hydrocarbon receptor-dependent mechanism. *Mol Cell Biol* **24**(12), 5209-22.

27Gouedard, C., Barouki, R., and Morel, Y. (2004b). Induction of the paraoxonase-1 gene expression by 28resveratrol. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* **24**(12), 2378-83.

1Hanada, K., Nakai, K., Tanaka, H., Suzuki, F., Kumada, H., Ohno, Y., Ozawa, S., and Ogata, H. (2012). Effect
2of nuclear receptor downregulation on hepatic expression of cytochrome P450 and transporters in chronic
3hepatitis C in association with fibrosis development. *Drug metabolism and pharmacokinetics* 27(3), 301-6.

4He, J., Hu, B., Shi, X., Weidert, E. R., Lu, P., Xu, M., Huang, M., Kelley, E. E., and Xie, W. (2013). Activation 5of the aryl hydrocarbon receptor sensitizes mice to nonalcoholic steatohepatitis by deactivating mitochondrial 6sirtuin deacetylase Sirt3. *Mol Cell Biol* **33**(10), 2047-55, 10.1128/MCB.01658-12.

7Helfman, D. M., Kim, E. J., Lukanidin, E., and Grigorian, M. (2005). The metastasis associated protein 8S100A4: role in tumour progression and metastasis. *Br J Cancer* **92**(11), 1955-8, 10.1038/sj.bjc.6602613.

9Ikegami, T., Zhang, Y., and Matsuzaki, Y. (2007). Liver fibrosis: possible involvement of EMT. *Cells, tissues,* 10*organs* 185(1-3), 213-21, 10.1159/000101322.

11Ikuta, T., and Kawajiri, K. (2006). Zinc finger transcription factor Slug is a novel target gene of aryl 12hydrocarbon receptor. *Exp Cell Res* **312**(18), 3585-94, S0014-4827(06)00312-0 [pii]

1310.1016/j.yexcr.2006.08.002.

14Kokkinos, M. I., Wafai, R., Wong, M. K., Newgreen, D. F., Thompson, E. W., and Waltham, M. (2007). 15Vimentin and epithelial-mesenchymal transition in human breast cancer--observations in vitro and in vivo. 16*Cells, tissues, organs* **185**(1-3), 191-203, 10.1159/000101320.

17Knittel, T., Kobold, D., Saile, B., Grundmann, A., Neubauer, K., Piscaglia, F., and Ramadori, G. (1999). Rat 18liver myofibroblasts and hepatic stellate cells: different cell populations of the fibroblast lineage with fibrogenic 19potential. *Gastroenterology* **117**(5), 1205-21.

20Lee, J. H., Wada, T., Febbraio, M., He, J., Matsubara, T., Lee, M. J., Gonzalez, F. J., and Xie, W. (2010). A 21novel role for the dioxin receptor in fatty acid metabolism and hepatic steatosis. *Gastroenterology* **139**(2), 653-2263, 10.1053/j.gastro.2010.03.033.

23Lehmann, G. M., Xi, X., Kulkarni, A. A., Olsen, K. C., Pollock, S. J., Baglole, C. J., Gupta, S., Casey, A. E., 24Huxlin, K. R., Sime, P. J., Feldon, S. E., and Phipps, R. P. (2011). The aryl hydrocarbon receptor ligand ITE 25inhibits TGFbeta1-induced human myofibroblast differentiation. *Am J Pathol* **178**(4), 1556-67, 2610.1016/j.ajpath.2010.12.025.

27Lotersztajn, S., Julien, B., Teixeira-Clerc, F., Grenard, P., and Mallat, A. (2005). Hepatic fibrosis: molecular 28mechanisms and drug targets. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* **45**, 605-28, 2910.1146/annurev.pharmtox.45.120403.095906.

Toxicological Sciences

2
3
4
5
â
-
1
8
9
10
10
11
12
13
14
14
15
16
17
10
10
19
20
21
22
22
23
24
25
20
26
27
28
20
29
30
31
32
202
33
34
35
36
00
37
38
39
40
44
41
42
43
ΔΔ
44
45
46
47
48
40
49
50
51
52
52
53
54
55
56
50
57
58
59

60

1Mallat, A., and Lotersztajn, S. (2009). Cigarette smoke exposure: a novel cofactor of NAFLD progression? *J* 2*Hepatol* **51**(3), 430-2, 10.1016/j.jhep.2009.05.021.

3Mandal, P. K. (2005). Dioxin: a review of its environmental effects and its aryl hydrocarbon receptor biology. *J* 4*Comp Physiol B* 175(4), 221-30, 10.1007/s00360-005-0483-3.

5Marinkovic, N., Pasalic, D., Ferencak, G., Grskovic, B., and Stavljenic Rukavina, A. (2010). Dioxins and 6human toxicity. *Arhiv za higijenu rada i toksikologiju* **61**(4), 445-53, 10.2478/10004-1254-61-2010-2024.

7Nault, R., Kim, S., and Zacharewski, T. R. (2013). Comparison of TCDD-elicited genome-wide hepatic gene 8expression in Sprague-Dawley rats and C57BL/6 mice. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 267(2), 184-91, 910.1016/j.taap.2012.11.028.

10Okey, A. B. (2007). An aryl hydrocarbon receptor odyssey to the shores of toxicology: the Deichmann Lecture,
11International Congress of Toxicology-XI. *Toxicol Sci* 98(1), 5-38, 98/1/5 [pii]

1210.1093/toxsci/kfm096.

13Pessione, F., Ramond, M. J., Njapoum, C., Duchatelle, V., Degott, C., Erlinger, S., Rueff, B., Valla, D. C., and 14Degos, F. (2001). Cigarette smoking and hepatic lesions in patients with chronic hepatitis C. *Hepatology* **34**(1), 15121-5, 10.1053/jhep.2001.25385.

16Rico-Leo, E. M., Alvarez-Barrientos, A., and Fernandez-Salguero, P. M. (2013). Dioxin receptor expression 17inhibits basal and transforming growth factor beta-induced epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition. *J Biol Chem* 18288(11), 7841-56, 10.1074/jbc.M112.425009.

19Rowe, R. G., Lin, Y., Shimizu-Hirota, R., Hanada, S., Neilson, E. G., Greenson, J. K., and Weiss, S. J. (2011).
20Hepatocyte-derived Snail1 propagates liver fibrosis progression. *Mol Cell Biol* 31(12), 2392-403,
2110.1128/MCB.01218-10.

22Sugimoto, H., Mundel, T. M., Kieran, M. W., and Kalluri, R. (2006). Identification of fibroblast heterogeneity 23in the tumor microenvironment. *Cancer Biol Ther* **5**(12), 1640-6.

24Taura, K., Miura, K., Iwaisako, K., Osterreicher, C. H., Kodama, Y., Penz-Osterreicher, M., and Brenner, D. A.
25(2010). Hepatocytes do not undergo epithelial-mesenchymal transition in liver fibrosis in mice. *Hepatology* 2651(3), 1027-36, 10.1002/hep.23368.

27Tomkiewicz, C., Herry, L., Bui, L. C., Metayer, C., Bourdeloux, M., Barouki, R., and Coumoul, X. (2012). The 28aryl hydrocarbon receptor regulates focal adhesion sites through a non-genomic FAK/Src pathway. *Oncogene*, 2910.1038/onc.2012.197.

1Zaher, H., Fernandez-Salguero, P. M., Letterio, J., Sheikh, M. S., Fornace, A. J., Jr., Roberts, A. B., and 2Gonzalez, F. J. (1998). The involvement of aryl hydrocarbon receptor in the activation of transforming growth 3factor-beta and apoptosis. *Mol Pharmacol* **54**(2), 313-21.

4Zein, C. O. (2010). Clearing the smoke in chronic liver diseases. *Hepatology* **51**(5), 1487-90, 510.1002/hep.23694.

6Zeisberg, M., Yang, C., Martino, M., Duncan, M. B., Rieder, F., Tanjore, H., and Kalluri, R. (2007). Fibroblasts 7derive from hepatocytes in liver fibrosis via epithelial to mesenchymal transition. *J Biol Chem* **282**(32), 23337-847, 10.1074/jbc.M700194200.





TCDD increases the expression of the hepatic fibrosis markers Coll1A1 and α-SMA and leads to hepatic fibrosis. A Wild-type mice were treated on days 0 and 7 with either TCDD (25µg/kg) or corn oil (control) and sacrificed at day 14. Hematoxylin/eosin staining of liver tissues is shown. Four mice in each group were analyzed. Wild-type mice were treated on days 0 – 7 – 14 – 21 – 28 – 35 (6 weeks) with either TCDD (25µg/kg) or corn oil(control) and sacrificed at day 42. B. Picrosirius red staining (upper panel, staining collagen)and α-smooth muscle actin (lower panel) staining of liver tissues are shown. Scale bar = 150 µm. Four mice in each group were analyzed. C. Wild-type or AhR knock-out mice were treated on days 0 and 7 (2 weeks) or on days 0, 7, 14, 21, 28, and day 35 (6 weeks for the wild-type mice) with either TCDD (25µg/kg) or corn oil (control) and sacrificed at day 14 or day 42, respectively. Collagen1a1 and α-smooth muscle actin mRNA levels were measured by qRT-PCR. *, p < 0.05 or **, p < 0.01 as compared to controls. For each qRT-PCR experiment, 4 control mice and 4 TCDD-treated mice were used. 209x163mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 2: TCDD increases pro-inflammatory cytokines, the expression of FSP1 an hepatic marker of activated fibroblasts and regulates the expression of the hepatic fibrosis inducer, the TGFB gene, and of its gene targets. Wild-type mice were treated on days 0 and 7 (2 weeks) with either TCDD (25μ g/kg) or corn oil (control) and sacrificed at day 14. A. IL1 β , MCP1 and TNF α mRNA levels were measured by qRT-PCR. B. The amounts of IL-1 β and MCP1 protein were estimated using an ELISA assay. Proteins are expressed as pg of cytokine per mg of total protein. Three mice in each group were used. C. FSP1 mRNA levels were measured by qRT-PCR. D. TGF β and ZEB2 mRNA levels were measured by qRT-PCR. E. Treatment with TCDD decreased miRNA 200a levels compared to controls as measured by specific qRT-PCR. The levels of mRNA or miRNA were normalized to control condition. ***, p < 0.001; **, p < 0.01 and *, p < 0.05 compared to controls. Four mice in each group were analyzed for the qRT-PCR experiments. 181x154mm (300 x 300 DPI)





Figure 3: TCDD regulates the expression of epithelial and mesenchymal markers 1 in mouse liver. Male wildtype mice were treated on days 0 and 7 (2 weeks) with either TCDD (25µg/kg) or corn oil (control) and sacrificed at day 14. A. The Snai1 and Snai2 mRNA levels were found to be increased as measured by qRT-PCR B. The mSnai1 protein was found to be increased following quantification by western blotting C. the mE-cadherin and claudin1 mRNA levels were found to be decreased D. and the E-cadherin protein was found to be decreased following quantification by western blotting. E. The levels of MMP2, MMP9 and G. vimentin mRNA were found to be increased as compared to controls when measured by qRT-PCR. F. The proteolytic activity of pro-MMP, MMP2 and MMP9 was found to be increased as compared to controls by gelatin electrophoresis, with actin used as a loading control. H. Vimentin protein levels were determined to be increased by western blotting The levels of mRNA were normalized to control condition. Intensities were normalized by comparison to the actin signal using Image J software. ***, p < 0.001 **, p < 0.01 and *, p < 0.05 compared to controls (n>3). For each experiment, 4 control mice and 4 TCDD-treated mice were used.



Figure 4: TCDD increases mSnai2 expression in the mouse liver and in the human HepG2 cell line through the AhR pathway. A. HepG2 cells were treated or not with increasing concentrations of TCDD (0-100 nM) for 48h. The amounts of Snai2 mRNA as measured by qRT-PCR and B. protein as measured by western-blotting were found to be increased, as compared to controls. C. HepG2 cells were treated with 25 nM TCDD or not for times between 16 and 72h. The amounts of mRNA and D. protein were found to be increased as measured. E., F. Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) was performed on the Snai2 promoter region. HepG2 cells were treated, or not, with 25 nM TCDD for 15, 45 or 75 min. Gene specific qPCR analysis with two different couples of primers spanning the AhR binding region of the hSnai2 gene promoter was performed using genomic DNA immunoprecipitated with either an AhR or a mock IgG antibody and an equal amount of input DNA as described in the methods. The results are normalized to the control condition (not treated with TCDD). *, p < 0.05 or **, p < 0.01 as compared to controls. Each experiment was repeated 3 times. 181x229mm (300 x 300 DPI)