



HAL
open science

Two-dimensional Dion-Jacobson Hybrid Lead Iodide Perovskites with Aromatic Diammonium Cations

Xiaotong Li, Weijun Ke, Boubacar Traoré, Peijun Guo, Ido Hadar, Mikael Kepenekian, Jacky Even, Claudine Katan, Constantinos C Stoumpos, Richard Schaller, et al.

► **To cite this version:**

Xiaotong Li, Weijun Ke, Boubacar Traoré, Peijun Guo, Ido Hadar, et al.. Two-dimensional Dion-Jacobson Hybrid Lead Iodide Perovskites with Aromatic Diammonium Cations. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 2019, 141 (32), pp.12880-12890. 10.1021/jacs.9b06398 . hal-02187417

HAL Id: hal-02187417

<https://hal.science/hal-02187417>

Submitted on 16 Dec 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Two-dimensional Dion-Jacobson Hybrid Lead Iodide Perovskites with Aromatic Diammonium Cations

Xiaotong Li,[†] Weijun Ke,[†] Boubacar Traoré,[‡] Peijun Guo,[‡] Ido Hadar,[†] Mikaël Kepenekian,[§] Jacky Even,[‡] Claudine Katan,[§] Constantinos C. Stoumpos,[∇] Richard D. Schaller,^{†,‡} and Mercouri G. Kanatzidis^{*,†}

[†]Department of Chemistry, Northwestern University, 2145 Sheridan Road, Evanston, Illinois 60208, United States

[‡]Center for Nanoscale Materials, Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 South Cass Avenue, Lemont, Illinois 60439, United States

[‡]Univ Rennes, INSA Rennes, CNRS, Institut FOTON, UMR 6082, Rennes F-35000, France

[§]Univ Rennes, ENSCR, INSA Rennes, CNRS, ISCR (Institut des Sciences Chimiques de Rennes), UMR 6226, Rennes F-35000, France

[∇]Department of Materials Science and Technology, Voutes Campus, University of Crete, Heraklion GR-70013, Greece

Abstract

Two-dimensional (2D) halide perovskites have extraordinary optoelectronic properties and structural tunability. Among them, the Dion-Jacobson phases with the inorganic layers stacking exactly on top of each other are less explored. Herein, we present the new series of 2D Dion-Jacobson halide perovskites, which adopt the general formula of $A' A_{n-1} Pb_n I_{3n+1}$ ($A' = 4$ -aminomethylpyridinium (4AMPY), $A =$ methylammonium (MA), $n = 1-4$). By modifying the position of the $-CH_2NH_3^+$ group from 4AMPY to 3AMPY (3AMPY = 3-aminomethylpyridinium), the stacking of the inorganic layers changes from exactly eclipsed to slightly offset. The perovskite octahedra tilts are also different between the two series, with the 3AMPY series exhibiting smaller bandgaps than the 4AMPY series. Compared to the aliphatic cation of the same size (AMP = (aminomethyl)piperidinium), the aromatic spacers increase the rigidity of the cation, reduce the interlayer spacing and decrease the dielectric mismatch between inorganic layer and the organic spacer, showing the indirect but powerful influence of the organic cations on the structure and consequently on the optical properties of the perovskite materials. All $A' A_{n-1} Pb_n I_{3n+1}$ compounds exhibit strong photoluminescence (PL) at room temperature. Preliminary solar cell devices based on the $n = 4$ perovskites as absorbers of both series exhibit promising performances, with a champion power conversion efficiency (PCE) of 9.20 % for (3AMPY)(MA)₃Pb₄I₁₃ based devices, which is higher than the (4AMPY)(MA)₃Pb₄I₁₃ and the corresponding aliphatic analogue (3AMP)(MA)₃Pb₄I₁₃ based ones.

Keywords: halide perovskites, natural quantum-wells, photoluminescence, dielectric constant, exciton binding energy, solar cell devices.

Introduction

Hybrid halide perovskites are spectacular semiconducting materials suitable for a wide range of applications spanning photovoltaic devices¹⁻³ to light emission⁴⁻⁶, detection⁷⁻⁸ and lasing⁹⁻¹⁰. The most extensively studied three-dimensional (3D) perovskites are of the general formula AMX_3 ($A = Cs^+$, $CH_3NH_3^+$ (MA), or $HC(NH_2)_2^+$ (FA); $M = Ge^{2+}$, Sn^{2+} , Pb^{2+} ; $X = Cl^-$, Br^- , I^-), where only three A-site cations can maintain the corner-sharing inorganic framework.¹¹⁻¹² For a larger cation to be incorporated into the structure, the 3D structures need to be broken down to lower dimensional structures, such as hollow perovskites (represented by (en) AMX_3 ¹³⁻¹⁴, (hea) AMX_3 ¹⁵ and (tea) AMX_3 ¹⁶; en= ethylenediamonium, hea = hydroxyethylammonium, tea = thioethylammonium) and two-dimensional (2D) structures with even larger organic cations intercalated between the inorganic layers acting as spacers.¹⁷⁻²⁰ The 2D perovskites have also shown promising performance in solar cells²¹⁻²³ and light emitting diodes (LEDs)²⁴⁻²⁸ with their main attribute being the exceptional stability, which derives from their water repellent nature and lower ion migration, as well as optoelectronic tunability. The most common members of this class are the (100)-oriented perovskites, with the large spacers “cleaving” the parent 3D perovskites along the (100) plane.¹⁷⁻²⁰ Therefore, 2D perovskites can be regarded as naturally formed quantum-well systems, with the organic spacer serving as the barrier and the inorganic layer as the well, where the height of the barrier can be tuned by the dielectric constant of the organic spacer.¹⁹ Among the (100)-oriented 2D halide perovskites, four different types have been experimentally observed and characterized. These are Ruddlesden–Popper (RP) phase $A'_2A_{n-1}M_nX_{3n+1}$ ²⁹, Dion–Jacobson (DJ) phase $A'A_{n-1}M_nX_{3n+1}$ ³⁰, alternating cations (A and A') in the interlayer space (ACI) type $A'A_nM_nX_{3n+1}$ ³¹⁻³², and those based on alkyl diammonium cations (DC) $(NH_3C_mH_{2m}NH_3)(CH_3NH_3)_{n-1}Pb_nI_{3n+1}$ ³³. The RP phases feature monovalent cations that can easily interdigitate between adjacent inorganic layers, enforcing a staggered arrangement where the stacked layers are shifted with respect to each other by half a unit cell (1/2, 1/2 displacements). This class dominates the 2D perovskites family in terms of known member-numbers. The DJ phases feature short divalent +2 cations between the layers which yield an eclipsed stacking arrangement (0, 0 displacements). The DJ phases are newly-reported halide perovskites,³⁰ with

1
2
3 only a few known examples³⁴⁻³⁷, most of them lacking proper structural characterization,
4 especially for $n > 2$ members. In the case of linear alkyl diammonium cations (DC), if the chain is
5 sufficiently long, they tend to form staggered structures with the long chain adopting a canted
6 orientation between the inorganic sheets.³³ Even when the chain is as short as four carbons, the
7 inorganic layers are still slightly offset, often with the octahedra distorted out-of-plane because of
8 strong hydrogen-bonding.³⁸ Moving from linear diammonium cations to short cyclic diammonium
9 cations, e.g. x -(aminomethyl)piperidinium (AMP) ($x = 3$ and 4), DJ phases are favored as the
10 distance between the inorganic layers becomes smaller.³⁰ This observation raises questions: What
11 other types of cations can template the DJ phase? And when the DJ phases are obtained, how do
12 the different cations such as conformation, the presence of conjugated groups etc. affect the
13 properties of the semiconducting layers in these materials?
14

15 In this work, we examine the aromatic analogues to the piperidinium cations, namely 3-
16 (aminomethyl)pyridinium (3AMPY) and 4-(aminomethyl)pyridinium (4AMPY), to synthesize
17 two series of compounds, $(x\text{AMPY})(\text{MA})_{n-1}\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$ ($x = 3$ or 4, $n = 1-4$). We hypothesized that
18 the introduction of an aromatic ring in place of the aliphatic one of the same size will introduce
19 new features such as a rise in the dielectric constant of the interlayer space, an increase in the
20 rigidity of the cation, and more delocalization of the positive charge on the aromatic ring. These
21 attributes can modulate the strength of the electrostatic interaction within the inorganic layers and
22 the hydrogen-bonding to the surrounding, leading to a different structural distortion of the
23 inorganic layers than those formed from the aliphatic AMP cations. All these allow for the fine-
24 tuning of the electronic properties of the inorganic layers. We have successfully synthesized and
25 solved the crystal structures of eight new members, namely $(3\text{AMPY})(\text{MA})_{n-1}\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$ ($n = 1-4$)
26 and $(4\text{AMPY})(\text{MA})_{n-1}\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$ ($n = 1-4$). We find structural differences between the 4AMPY and
27 3AMPY analogues: only the more symmetric 4AMPY cation maintains the DJ structure (0, 0
28 displacements), while in the crystal structures with 3AMPY the inorganic layers are slightly offset
29 (0.238, 0.238 displacements). The structural difference has a profound influence on the optical
30 properties of the compounds, with reduced tilts of the perovskite octahedra for 3AMPY
31 compounds resulting in smaller bandgaps than for the 4AMPY ones. We also find structural
32 differences between the $x\text{AMPY}$ and $x\text{AMP}$ systems. Relative to the aliphatic $x\text{AMP}$ systems, the
33 $x\text{AMPY}$ C-C bonds are shorter because of the aromaticity of the pyridine ring, and they bring the
34 inorganic layers even closer to one another ($< 4 \text{ \AA}$). Another feature caused by the aromatic cations
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

is a decrease in the exciton binding energy which is attributed to the increased dielectric constant. Taking all these factors into consideration, we also fabricated preliminary solar cell devices using the n=4 members as solar absorbers. The device based on (3AMPY)(MA)₃Pb₄I₁₃ showed superior photovoltaic performance compared to the devices based on (4AMPY)(MA)₃Pb₄I₁₃ and the aliphatic analogue (3AMP)(MA)₃Pb₄I₁₃.

Experimental Section

Starting Materials PbO (99.9%), methylamine hydrochloride (98%), hydroiodic acid (57 wt % in H₂O, distilled, stabilized, 99.95%), and hypophosphorous acid solution (50 wt % in H₂O), 3-(aminomethyl)pyridine (99%) and 4-(aminomethyl)pyridine (98%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used as received.

(3AMPY)PbI₄. 0.5 mmol (111.6 mg) PbO was dissolved in 2.5 mL concentrated aqueous HI solution under heating and vigorous stirring until a clear yellow solution was obtained. The temperature of the hot plate was set to 240 °C to keep the HI solution boiling at its boiling point (127°C). 0.5 mmol (50.8 μL) 3-(aminomethyl)pyridine (3AMPY) was added to 0.5 mL of concentrated aqueous H₃PO₂ solution in a separate vial under stirring. The neutralized 3AMPY solution was added to the boiling HI solution under vigorous stirring and was further homogenized by stirring for 5 min. Then the temperature was lowered to 120 °C until red plate-shaped crystals started to precipitate out. Further decrease of the temperature to 80 °C resulted in precipitation of most of the crystals within an hour. Then the hot plate was turned off and the solution was cooled slowly to room temperature. The whole process is conducted in air. After 30 min, the product settled in the bottom of the vial and was isolated by suction filtration followed by drying on the filtration funnel for a further 30 min. Yield: 279.5 mg, 67.8% based on total Pb.

(3AMPY)(MA)Pb₂I₇. 2 mmol (446.4 mg) PbO and 1 mmol CH₃NH₃Cl (67.5 mg) were dissolved in 2.75 mL concentrated aqueous HI solution under heating and vigorous stirring until a clear yellow solution was obtained. The temperature of the hot plate was set to 240 °C to keep the HI solution boiling at its boiling point (127°C). 0.7 mmol (71.2 μL) 3AMPY was added to 0.5 mL of concentrated aqueous H₃PO₂ solution in a separate vial under stirring. The neutralized 3AMPY solution was added to the boiling HI solution under vigorous stirring and was further homogenized by stirring for 5 min. Then the temperature was lowered to 120 °C until dark red plate-shaped crystals started to precipitate out. Further decrease of the temperature to 80 °C resulted in precipitation of most of the crystals within an hour. Then the hot plate was turned off and the

1
2
3 solution was cooled slowly to room temperature. After 30 min, the product settled in the bottom
4 of the vial and was isolated by suction filtration followed by drying on the filtration funnel for a
5 further 30 min. Yield: 486.3 mg, 33.7% based on total Pb.

6
7
8 *(3AMPY)(MA)₂Pb₃I₁₀*. 1.5 mmol (334.8 mg) PbO and 1 mmol CH₃NH₃Cl (67.5 mg) were
9 dissolved in 2.25 mL concentrated aqueous HI solution under heating and vigorous stirring until a
10 clear yellow solution was obtained. The temperature of the hot plate was set to 240 °C to keep the
11 HI solution boiling at its boiling point (127°C). 0.2 mmol (20.3 μL) 3AMPY was added to 0.5 mL
12 of concentrated aqueous H₃PO₂ solution in a separate vial under stirring. The neutralized 3AMPY
13 solution was added to the boiling HI solution under vigorous stirring and was further homogenized
14 by stirring for 5 min. Then the temperature was lowered to 120 °C until black plate-shaped crystals
15 started to precipitate out. Further decrease of the temperature to 80 °C resulted in precipitation of
16 most of the crystals within an hour. Then the hot plate was turned off and the solution was cooled
17 slowly to room temperature. After 30 min, the product settled in the bottom of the vial and was
18 isolated by suction filtration followed by drying on the filtration funnel for a further 30 min. Yield:
19 275.4 mg, 26.7% based on total Pb.

20
21
22 *(3AMPY)(MA)₃Pb₄I₁₃*. 2 mmol (446.4 mg) PbO and 1.5 mmol CH₃NH₃Cl (101.3 mg) were
23 dissolved in 3.25 mL concentrated aqueous HI solution under heating and vigorous stirring until a
24 clear yellow solution was obtained. The temperature of the hot plate was set to 240 °C to keep the
25 HI solution boiling at its boiling point (127°C). 0.15 mmol (15.3 μL) 3AMPY was added to 0.5
26 mL of concentrated aqueous H₃PO₂ solution in a separate vial under stirring. The neutralized
27 3AMPY solution was added to the boiling HI solution under vigorous stirring and was further
28 homogenized by stirring for 5 min. Then the temperature was lowered to 120 °C until black plate-
29 shaped crystals started to precipitate out. Further decrease of the temperature to 80 °C resulted in
30 precipitation of most of the crystals within an hour. Then the hot plate was turned off and the
31 solution was cooled slowly to room temperature. After 30 min, the product settled in the bottom
32 of the vial and was isolated by suction filtration followed by drying on the filtration funnel for a
33 further 30 min. Yield: 179.0 mg, 13.3% based on total Pb.

34
35
36 *(4AMPY)PbI₄*. 0.5 mmol (111.6 mg) PbO was dissolved in 2 mL concentrated aqueous HI solution
37 under heating and vigorous stirring until a clear yellow solution was obtained. The temperature of
38 the hot plate was set to 240 °C to keep the HI solution boiling at its boiling point (127°C). 0.5
39 mmol (50.7 μL) 4-(aminomethyl)pyridine (4AMPY) was added to 0.4 mL of concentrated aqueous
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 H₃PO₂ solution in a separate vial under stirring. The neutralized 4AMPY solution was added to
4 the boiling HI solution under vigorous stirring and was further homogenized by stirring for 5 min.
5 Then the temperature was lowered to 120 °C until orange plate-shaped crystals started to
6 precipitate out. It is worth noting that light yellow precipitate came out during cooling down and
7 suction filtration, so no pure phase was successfully isolated. This occurred even when filtering
8 the solution while hot (120 °C).
9

10
11
12
13 *(4AMPY)(MA)Pb₂I₇*. 2 mmol (446.4 mg) PbO and 1 mmol CH₃NH₃Cl (67.5 mg) were dissolved
14 in 2.75 mL concentrated aqueous HI solution under heating and vigorous stirring until a clear
15 yellow solution was obtained. The temperature of the hot plate was set to 240 °C to keep the HI
16 solution boiling at its boiling point (127°C). 0.6 mmol (60.8 μL) 4AMPY was added to 0.5 mL of
17 concentrated aqueous H₃PO₂ solution in a separate vial under stirring. The neutralized 4AMPY
18 solution was added to the boiling HI solution under vigorous stirring and was further homogenized
19 by stirring for 5 min. Then the temperature was lowered to 120 °C until red plate-shaped crystals
20 started to precipitate out. Further decrease of the temperature to 80 °C resulted in precipitation of
21 most of the crystals within an hour. Then the hot plate was turned off and the solution was cooled
22 slowly to room temperature. After 30 min, the product settled in the bottom of the vial and was
23 isolated by suction filtration followed by drying on the filtration funnel for a further 30 min. Yield:
24 679.4 mg, 47.0% based on total Pb.
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

34 *(4AMPY)(MA)₂Pb₃I₁₀*. 1.5 mmol (334.8 mg) PbO and 1 mmol CH₃NH₃Cl (67.5 mg) were
35 dissolved in 2.5 mL concentrated aqueous HI solution under heating and vigorous stirring until a
36 clear yellow solution was obtained. The temperature of the hot plate was set to 240 °C to keep the
37 HI solution boiling at its boiling point (127°C). 0.25 mmol (25.3 μL) 4AMPY was added to 0.5
38 mL of concentrated aqueous H₃PO₂ solution in a separate vial under stirring. The neutralized
39 4AMPY solution was added to the boiling HI solution under vigorous stirring and was further
40 homogenized by stirring for 5 min. Then the temperature was lowered to 120 °C until dark red
41 plate-shaped crystals started to precipitate out. Further decrease of the temperature to 80 °C
42 resulted in precipitation of most of the crystals within an hour. Then the hot plate was turned off
43 and the solution was cooled slowly to room temperature. After 30 min, the product settled in the
44 bottom of the vial and was isolated by suction filtration followed by drying on the filtration funnel
45 for a further 30 min. Yield: 279.7 mg, 27.1% based on total Pb.
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 (4AMPY)(MA)₃Pb₄I₁₃. 2 mmol (446.4 mg) PbO and 1.5 mmol CH₃NH₃Cl (101.3 mg) were
4 dissolved in 3.25 mL concentrated aqueous HI solution under heating and vigorous stirring until a
5 clear yellow solution was obtained. The temperature of the hot plate was set to 240 °C to keep the
6 HI solution boiling at its boiling point (127°C). 0.14 mmol (14.2 μL) 4AMPY was added to 0.5
7 mL of concentrated aqueous H₃PO₂ solution in a separate vial under stirring. The neutralized
8 4AMPY solution was added to the boiling HI solution under vigorous stirring and was further
9 homogenized by stirring for 5 min. Then the temperature was lowered to 120 °C until black plate-
10 shaped crystals started to precipitate out. Further decrease of the temperature to 80 °C resulted in
11 precipitation of most of the crystals within an hour. Then the hot plate was turned off and the
12 solution was cooled slowly to room temperature. After 30 min, the product settled in the bottom
13 of the vial and was isolated by suction filtration followed by drying on the filtration funnel for a
14 further 30 min. Yield: 159.4 mg, 11.9% based on total Pb.

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24 **Single Crystal Structures.** Single-crystal X-ray diffraction experiments were performed using a
25 STOE IPDS II or IPDS 2T diffractometer with Mo K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$) and operating at
26 50 kV and 40 mA. Integration and numerical absorption corrections were performed using the X-
27 AREA, X-RED, and XSHAPE programs. The structures were solved by charge flipping and
28 refined by full-matrix least-squares on F² using the Jana 2006 package.³⁹ The PLATON⁴⁰ software
29 was used to identify the twinning domains and validate the space groups of the compounds.

30
31
32
33
34 **Steady-State and Time-Resolved Photoluminescence.** Steady-state PL spectra were collected
35 using a HORIBA LabRAM HR Evolution confocal Raman microscope. 473 nm laser was used to
36 excite the samples at 50 \times magnification. Time-resolved photoluminescence spectra were acquired
37 with a streak camera (Hamamatsu). The samples were excited at 365 nm using an optical
38 parametric amplifier, which was pumped by a Ti:sapphire amplifier operating at 800-nm and 2
39 kHz repetition rate. During the photoluminescence measurements, the samples were mounted in a
40 closed-cycle vacuum cryostat under a pressure below 10⁻⁷ Torr. A 370 nm long pass filter was
41 used before the detection to block the excitation light.

42
43
44
45
46
47
48 **Device Fabrication.** FTO glass substrates were coated with PEDOT:PSS by spin-coating at 4000
49 rpm for 30 s, and then annealed at 150 °C for 30 min in air. The 2D perovskite precursors with a
50 molar concentration of 0.8 M were prepared by dissolving the 2D perovskite crystal powders in a
51 mixed solvent of DMF and DMSO with a volume ratio of 4:1. After the crystal powders dissolved,
52 0.8 vol % HI was added into the perovskite precursors. The precursors were then coated on the
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 substrates with a spin rate of 4000 rpm for 60 s in a N₂-filled glovebox. During the spin-coating,
4 0.7 mL of diethyl ether was dropped on the rotating substrates at 20 s. After spin-coating, the films
5 were annealed at 100 °C for 10 min in the glovebox. To complete the devices, C60 (20 nm)/BCP
6 (5 nm)/Ag (100 nm) were sequentially thermally evaporated on top of the perovskites. The active
7 area of the solar cells was 0.09 cm².
8
9

10
11 **Device Characterization.** J–V curves were measured by a Keithley model 2400 instrument under
12 AM1.5G simulated irradiation with a standard solar simulator (Abet Technologies). The light
13 intensity of the solar simulator was calibrated by a National Renewable Energy Laboratory-
14 certified monocrystalline silicon solar cell. EQE curves were measured by an Oriel model QE-PV-
15 SI instrument equipped with a National Institute of Standards and Technology certified Si diode.
16
17
18
19
20
21

22 **Results and Discussion**

23
24 **Syntheses.** The new compounds were synthesized using a step-cooling method as previously
25 reported,³³ with stoichiometric ratios of PbO and CH₃NH₃Cl according to the chemical formula.
26 By tuning the amount of the large spacer cations, 3AMPY or 4AMPY, the synthetic procedure can
27 be optimized to give different layer-numbers ($n = 1-4$) in a targeted manner. It is worth noting that
28 for (4AMPY)PbI₄, even though it can form in the hot solution, no pure phase could be obtained
29 because a competing light-yellow phase co-crystallized on cooling to room temperature. To get
30 one single crystal to determine the crystal structure, hot solution (120 °C) was dropped directly
31 into oil and the crystal must be picked quickly (details in experimental section). All compounds
32 form as plate-like crystals, with the color getting darker from $n = 1$ to $n = 4$. The 3AMPY series
33 exhibits darker color than the 4AMPY series, starting from red for $n = 1$ to black for $n = 4$ while n
34 = 1 for 4AMPY is orange.
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 The powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns of (4AMPY)(MA) _{$n-1$} Pb _{n} I _{$3n+1$} ($n = 2-4$) obtained
44 using synchrotron radiation ($\lambda = 0.4578 \text{ \AA}$) are shown in Figure 1. The experimental patterns match
45 well with the calculated ones and the low angle peaks are apparent for all (4AMPY)(MA) _{$n-1$} Pb _{n} I _{$3n+1$}
46 ($n = 2-4$) materials, with the number of peaks below $2\theta = 4^\circ$ corresponding to the layer-number.²⁹
47 The d-spacing of the first Bragg peak in the PXRD pattern corresponds to the stacking axis length
48 of the unit cell for the primitive cells (a axis for $n \geq 2$), with $b \approx c \approx 8.8 \text{ \AA}$.
49
50
51
52

53 **Description of the Crystal Structures.** In general, to be able to take full advantage of the
54 optoelectronic properties of 2D perovskites as a function of organic spacer, precise structural
55
56
57

1
2
3 information is needed for each compound. Because of the soft and flexible nature of the inorganic
4 layer, the type of organic spacers may significantly influence its structural details. This can lead to
5 additional control of the properties beyond the one afforded by controlling the composition and
6 thickness of the layers themselves.
7
8
9

10 For the Ruddlesden–Popper (RP) phases, +1 cations are interdigitated between the inorganic layers,
11 so the organic tail has the flexibility to move around.⁴¹ While for the Dion–Jacobson (DJ) phases,
12 the gap is bridged by the short dications, which increase the rigidity of the structure and also bring
13 the layers closer to each other.³⁰ By replacing the aliphatic (aminomethyl)piperidinium (AMP)
14 rings with more rigid aromatic (aminomethyl)pyridinium (AMPY) ones, the atoms of the cation
15 have less freedom to move. Therefore, only the more symmetric 4AMPY series forms the proper
16 DJ structure type (Figure 2), (4AMPY)(MA)_{n-1}Pb_nI_{3n+1} (n = 2–4), where the inorganic layers stack
17 exactly on top of each other. The 3AMPY series, (3AMPY)(MA)_{n-1}Pb_nI_{3n+1} (n = 1–4), on the other
18 hand, exhibits inorganic slabs that are slightly offset (Figure S2) (more details will be discussed
19 below). Selected crystallographic information and structural refinements for the eight compounds
20 are presented in Table 1, with detailed crystallographic data provided in the Supporting
21 Information (Tables S1–S8).
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 The (4AMPY)(MA)_{n-1}Pb_nI_{3n+1} (n = 1–4) series is strictly DJ type and crystallize in monoclinic
31 space groups. The polar space group is used because all the primary amino groups of the spacer
32 cations are pointed to the same direction, resulting in a net dipole. Unlike the Ruddlesden–Popper
33 phases which have two spacer cations between the layers and tend to cancel out the respective
34 dipole moments, the Dion–Jacobson phases only have one polar +2 cation. So it is necessary to
35 refine the structure in the non-centrosymmetric space groups. The a axis is the stacking axis, except
36 for n = 1 (b-axis), and the length of the stacking axis follows the formula $a \sim 6.3n + x \text{ \AA}$,^{29, 33} where
37 n is the layer-number and x is the distance between the inorganic layers. The inorganic perovskite
38 layers have corner-sharing octahedra that stack exactly on top of each other (eclipsed conformation)
39 being separated by the 4AMPY cations. The aromatic pyridinium ring is highly ordered and
40 orients parallel to the stacking direction and adjacent cations adopt an edge-to-face interaction,⁴²
41 with the aromatic planes almost perpendicular to each other (Figure 3b). This motif is also seen in
42 2D perovskites systems incorporating other aromatic cations.⁴³⁻⁴⁵ Because of the resonance of the
43 aromatic ring, the ortho and para (2 and 4) positions are activated, bearing more partial positive
44 charges. Then the partial positive charge is brought to the primary NH₃⁺ group through the
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 inductive effect of the carbon in the aminomethyl group ($-\text{CH}_2\text{NH}_3^+$). This suggests that the
4 ordered cation in the structure is stabilized by the strong electrostatic attraction between the organic
5 and inorganic components, which is also confirmed by the shallower intrusion depth of the
6
7 $-\text{CH}_2\text{NH}_3^+$ groups into the grooves of the inorganic slab surface and smaller $\text{NH}_3^+\cdots\text{I}$ distances (see
8
9 below). Besides, the hydrogen bonding between the N-H of the pyridinium ring and the bridging
10
11 iodide of the $[\text{PbI}_6]$ octahedra (Figure 4b) distorts the inorganic layers mainly parallel to the slab
12
13 and barely perpendicular to it (Figure 3b).

14
15 As mentioned above, compared to the 4AMPY series, the perovskite layers in the 3AMPY
16
17 structures are slightly offset, with the differences highlighted in Figure 3, showing that the
18
19 positions of the functional groups play an essential role in defining the structural details. It is worth
20
21 pointing out that the spacer cations in the 3AMPY series are disordered and they are modeled with
22
23 restraints of bond lengths and angles. The difference between order and disorder in 4AMPY and
24
25 3AMPY, respectively, is nicely reflected in the refinement statistics, which are consistently better
26
27 for the 4AMPY series. The $-\text{CH}_2\text{NH}_3^+$ group in the *meta*-position of the aromatic 3AMPY ring
28
29 seems to be interacting loosely with the terminal iodine atoms (Figure 4a). Because of this, with
30
31 4AMPY the compound has a major distortion (equatorial Pb-I-Pb angle 153.9° for $n=2$) along the
32
33 inorganic plane, whereas with 3AMPY the distortion is smaller and nearly isotropic (equatorial
34
35 Pb-I-Pb angle 168.9° and axial Pb-I-Pb angle 164.4° for $n = 2$) (Figure 3a). Furthermore, the
36
37 rigidity of the aromatic system restricts the ring to planarity, so the inorganic layers are slightly
38
39 staggered to accommodate the asymmetry of the 3AMPY cation.

40
41 The structure of the two dications and how they interact with the anion slabs may also influence
42
43 the stacking. The positive ends of the dications interact with the perovskite slabs by intruding into
44
45 the grooves formed by the terminal iodine atoms. The degree of intrusion is indicative of the
46
47 strength of electrostatic interaction. The penetration depth of the ammonium group, defined by the
48
49 distance between the primary $-\text{NH}_3^+$ and the plane of terminal iodides (Figure 4a,b), is much larger
50
51 for the 3AMPY series (0.829 \AA compared to 0.584 \AA), and the cation is tilted instead of lying
52
53 parallel to the stacking axis. These structural details reveal that 3AMPY sinks deeper into the
54
55 inorganic layer than 4AMPY. The closest $\text{NH}_3^+\cdots\text{I}$ distances are 3.902 \AA (terminal) and 3.718 \AA
56
57 (bridge) for 3AMPY, 3.718 \AA (terminal) and 3.608 \AA (bridge) for 4AMPY (Figure 4a,b). This in
58
59 turn shortens the distance between the inorganic slabs for the 3AMPY series compared to the
60
4AMPY series, as shown in Figure 4c. The distances between two inorganic layers are even

1
2
3 smaller than the aliphatic AMP systems since the C-C bonds are shorter because of the sp^2
4 hybridization. However, since the slabs in the 3AMPY series are slightly offset, the closest direct
5 I··I distance is still larger than that in the 4AMPY series, with the exception of $n = 1$ (Figure 4d).
6 As layer-number n increases, the closest I··I distance slightly decreases for both series. The closer
7 proximity of the inorganic layers may lead to slightly more dispersive bands in the corresponding
8 direction in reciprocal space as reported in our previous work.³⁰
9

10
11 It has been reported that the penetration depth has a correlation with the distortion level of the
12 octahedra, which mainly influences the Pb-I-Pb angles.⁴⁴ As the penetration depth increases, the
13 distance between the NH_3^+ and the nearest I also increase (Figure 4a,b), weakening electronic
14 interaction and the hydrogen-bonding, and increasing the Pb-I-Pb angles. We classify the Pb-I-Pb
15 angles into two categories, the equatorial angle (parallel to the inorganic plane) and the axial angle
16 (in the stacking direction) (Figure 4b). For the DJ phase, the 4AMPY series is distorted mainly in-
17 plane (Figure 3b) and barely distorted out-of-plane, so the axial angle is close to 180° (Figure 3c).
18 While the 3AMPY series is distorted both in-plane and out-of-plane (Figure 3a). Figure 3c-e shows
19 a comparison of Pb-I-Pb angles for a collection of members. As the layer-number increases, the
20 average Pb-I-Pb angles increase from 166.3° to 167.9° for the 3AMPY series and from 149.2° to
21 163.2° for the 4AMPY series (Figure 3e). This suggests that as the inorganic layer grows thicker,
22 the influence of the organic spacer decreases, especially for the 4AMPY series. However, both the
23 equatorial (Figure 3d) and average Pb-I-Pb angles (Figure 3e) are consistently larger for all layer-
24 numbers of the 3AMPY series, which suggests the structure is less distorted than in 4AMPY
25 analogues.
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38

39 **Optical properties.** The absorption spectra (Figure 5a,c) of both series show a high-energy
40 absorption edge and a lower-energy exciton peak. The bandgaps are estimated by extrapolating
41 the high-energy slope to the imaginary axis parallel to the x axis where the absorption edge is
42 interrupted by the exciton peak.^{29, 33} The spectra show that 3AMPY series exhibits consistently
43 lower bandgaps than the 4AMPY materials. The bandgaps of the 3AMPY series decrease from
44 2.34 eV to 1.87 eV for $n = 1-4$, while those for the 4AMPY series decline from 2.15 to 1.89 for n
45 $= 2-4$ (Table 2). As discussed above, the 3AMPY series has larger Pb-I-Pb angles and thus smaller
46 octahedral tilts, which means the Pb s - and I p -orbitals have better overlap resulting in a smaller
47 bandgaps.⁴⁶⁻⁴⁷
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Both series of compounds exhibit strong photoluminescence (PL) at room temperature (Figure 5b, d). Based on band structure calculations reported for the aliphatic xAMP series,³⁰ these 2D perovskites, regardless of the thickness of the inorganic layers, are essentially direct bandgap semiconductors, which agrees well with our experimental results of sharp absorption edge and strong PL. The peak positions of the PL spectra follow the same trend as the bandgaps extracted from absorption spectra, with the peaks of the 3AMPY series showing up at lower energies. The PL lifetimes of both series fall in the range of 0.1 – 0.3 ns (Figure S3), which are comparable to the lifetimes of previously reported lead iodide 2D perovskites resulting predominantly from rapid exciton recombination.^{30, 33, 48} Interestingly, the PL lifetimes of the crystals of the 3AMPY series are longer than those of the 4AMPY series (Figure S3), which is an indication of slower carrier recombination and improved carrier transport.

To compare the optical properties of the 3AMPY and 4AMPY series with the previously reported aliphatic system (xAMP)(MA)_{n-1}Pb_nI_{3n+1} (x = 3 or 4, AMP = (aminomethyl)piperidinium) and the Ruddlesden-Popper phases (BA)₂(MA)_{n-1}Pb_nI_{3n+1} (BA=butylammonium), we plot the PL spectra for n = 4 together (Figure 6a,c). It is clear that the (BA)₂(MA)₃Pb₄I₁₃ has the highest energy PL emission among the compounds, even though it is not the most distorted structure (Table 3). Therefore, there must be other factors that may influence the bandgaps besides the Pb-I-Pb angles as discussed above. In our previous work, we proposed that there is a correlation between the bandgap and the interlayer spacing: the closer the d-spacing, the stronger the interaction between the layers, and the smaller the bandgap.³³ The PL emission peaks for (3AMPY)₂(MA)₃Pb₄I₁₃ and (3AMP)₂(MA)₃Pb₄I₁₃ are 0.1 eV lower in energy than that of (BA)₂(MA)₃Pb₄I₁₃ (Figure 6a), even though they have similar average Pb-I-Pb angles (Table 3). Since the interlayer spacing for (3AMPY)₂(MA)₃Pb₄I₁₃ and (3AMP)₂(MA)₃Pb₄I₁₃ are below 4 Å, it makes sense that the interactions between the layers are slightly stronger, thus reducing the bandgaps.

For the (4AMPY)₂(MA)₃Pb₄I₁₃ and (4AMP)₂(MA)₃Pb₄I₁₃ (Figure 6c), their PL peaks are in higher energies than the (3AMPY)₂(MA)₃Pb₄I₁₃ and (3AMP)₂(MA)₃Pb₄I₁₃ and much closer to that of (BA)₂(MA)₃Pb₄I₁₃, because the more distorted structures counteract the bandgap reduction from the decrease of the interlayer distance: the Pb-I-Pb angles, especially the equatorial ones, are much smaller than those of (BA)₂(MA)₃Pb₄I₁₃ (Table 3), so the Pb s- and I p-orbitals have less overlap, and overall the bandgaps are similar for (4AMPY)₂(MA)₃Pb₄I₁₃, (4AMP)₂(MA)₃Pb₄I₁₃ and

(BA)₂(MA)₃Pb₄I₁₃. But the Pb-I-Pb angles still play a role when it comes to structures with similar interlayer spacing, e.g. (3AMPY)₂(MA)₃Pb₄I₁₃ and (4AMPY)₂(MA)₃Pb₄I₁₃.

In addition to the differences in PL emission, there is also a change in the exciton binding energy when using aromatic spacer cations instead of the aliphatic ones. As shown in the absorption spectra in Figure 6b,d, even though the high-energy bandgap slopes look similar, the low-energy slopes vary because of the existence of exciton peaks, stable even at room temperature. We can roughly estimate the exciton binding energy (E_b) by subtracting energy of the exciton peaks relative to the bandgaps,⁴⁹ with the aromatic system showing smaller E_b than the aliphatic system, which suggests that the electron-hole pairs are more easily separated and collected by the electron/hole transport layers in the photovoltaic devices. This is because the aromatic cation exhibits a larger dielectric constant ($\epsilon_b \sim 3$) than the aliphatic barrier ($\epsilon_b \sim 2$) owing to the existence of the delocalized π -electron cloud,⁵⁰⁻⁵³ thus reducing the dielectric mismatch, and the corresponding dielectric confinement effect in the naturally formed quantum-well structures. A more accurate comparison of exciton binding energy between different systems can be performed by low-temperature absorption spectroscopy⁵¹ and magnetoabsorption spectroscopy⁵⁴⁻⁵⁵.

Solar cell device performance. Given the promising optical properties, we proceeded to fabricate thin-films with the $n = 4$ materials. We also compare the thin-film with the aliphatic cation (3AMP)(MA)₃Pb₄I₁₃ using the same film deposition method. In the PXRD patterns in Figure 7a, (011) and (022) peaks at $\sim 14^\circ$ and 28° dominate, indicating all films have vertically preferred orientation and good crystallinity. However, we observed that the (3AMPY)(MA)₃Pb₄I₁₃ film has the strongest peak intensity, which is about 5 times stronger than in (3AMP)(MA)₃Pb₄I₁₃. This indicates that the (3AMPY)(MA)₃Pb₄I₁₃ film has better layer orientation and crystallinity, leading to improved charge transport and enhanced charge collection. There is also a big difference on the PL lifetimes for the different films. As shown in Figure S4 and Table S9, the (3AMPY)(MA)₃Pb₄I₁₃ film has the longest average PL lifetime of 117.9 ns compared to 32.1 ns for (4AMPY)(MA)₃Pb₄I₁₃ film and 35.2 ns for (3AMP)(MA)₃Pb₄I₁₃ film, suggesting the lowest carrier recombination for (3AMPY)(MA)₃Pb₄I₁₃ film. The long lifetimes are a good indication of the high film-quality, usually high-quality films exhibit longer lifetimes than the corresponding crystals. We also examine the morphology of the films by scanning electron microscopy (SEM). As shown in Figure S5, the films are dense and smooth without pinholes, and the cross-sectional

SEM images exhibit similar perovskite layer thickness for the films made with solution of the same concentration. The grain size of the films is also similar, around 100 nm.

On the basis of these results, we made preliminary attempts to fabricate solar cell devices using the $n = 4$ perovskites as light absorbers. The devices were fabricated using the same device structure and deposition method as previously reported by our group,^{23, 30} which also make the comparison between different systems possible. The photocurrent density–voltage (J – V) curves of the representative devices based on the $n = 4$ 2D perovskites, (xAMPY)(MA)₃Pb₄I₁₃ ($x = 3$ or 4) and (3AMP)(MA)₃Pb₄I₁₃, reported here and previously³⁰ are shown in Figure 7c for comparison. The (3AMPY)(MA)₃Pb₄I₁₃-based solar cell achieved the highest power conversion efficiency (PCE) of 9.20 %, with a short-circuit current density (J_{sc}) of 14.34 mA cm⁻², an open-circuit voltage (V_{oc}) of 1.08 eV and a fill factor (FF) of 59.58%. For comparison, the PCEs for the best devices based on the (4AMPY)(MA)₃Pb₄I₁₃ and (3AMP)(MA)₃Pb₄I₁₃ absorbers are 5.69% and 6.89%, respectively (Table S10).

The (3AMPY)(MA)₃Pb₄I₁₃ cell has much higher J_{sc} than the other two, which is also verified by the external quantum efficiency (EQE) measurements (Figure 7d), where the (3AMPY)(MA)₃Pb₄I₁₃ solar cell exhibits significantly enhanced EQE across the whole visible light spectrum. The J_{sc} values integrated from the EQE curves are 14.2 mA cm⁻², 9.4 mA cm⁻² and 8.9 mA cm⁻² for (3AMPY)(MA)₃Pb₄I₁₃, (4AMPY)(MA)₃Pb₄I₁₃ and (3AMP)(MA)₃Pb₄I₁₃, respectively, which are in good agreement with the J_{sc} values obtained from the J – V curves. The onset of the EQE curves matches well with the slope in the absorption spectra (Figure 7b). The improved photovoltaic performance especially the enhanced J_{sc} can be attributed to the high crystallinity and good vertically preferred orientation, as well as the long PL lifetime of the film as discussed above. Also noted above, the 3AMPY cation has a larger dielectric constant for the aromatic cation than the aliphatic analogue 3AMP, thus smaller dielectric confinement effect and exciton binding energy, which is beneficial for the charge separation in the device. All these reasons are expected to contribute to the sizably higher J_{sc} and PCE of the (3AMPY)(MA)₃Pb₄I₁₃ devices.

Conclusions

Understanding how the cations influence the structure and even properties of the 2D and 3D perovskites is an essential step for the rational design of better materials for optoelectronic device applications. Here we have extended the nascent class of 2D Dion-Jacobson halide perovskites to a set of compounds with the aromatic ring containing pyridinium based dication 4AMPY for $n=1-4$. The nature of the organic spacer cation such as size, shape and charge has a significant influence of the optical properties of the inorganic halide $[\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}]$ layers. In order to understand this influence, it is necessary to obtain precise structural information from single crystal X-ray diffraction data. This affords us the opportunity to compare the structures and properties of the title materials with those of the aliphatic piperidinium containing versions. The organic cations have a strong impact on the structure of the perovskites, influencing not only the tilts of octahedra but also the stacking of the inorganic layers. 2D Dion-Jacobson halide perovskites can be templated by the aromatic 4AMPY cation. With the 3AMPY cation, the octahedra are slightly offset, and the less tilted structures of octahedra result in smaller bandgaps in the 3AMPY series than in the 4AMPY series. Compared to the previously reported aliphatic series, the aromatic cations exhibit larger dielectric constants and thus the corresponding compounds show smaller exciton binding energy, which may benefit charge transport. Solar cells using $(3\text{AMPY})(\text{MA})_3\text{Pb}_4\text{I}_{13}$ as the absorber show outstanding photovoltaic performance, with a champion PCE of 9.2% and promise much higher efficiencies with better device architectures.

Associate content

Supporting information

More experimental details for X-ray diffraction, absorption spectroscopy, crystallographic details, TRPL data and device data.

X-ray crystallographic data of $(3\text{AMPY})(\text{MA})_{n-1}\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$ ($n = 1-4$).

X-ray crystallographic data of $(4\text{AMPY})(\text{MA})_{n-1}\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$ ($n = 1-4$).

Author information

Corresponding Author

1
2
3 *m-kanatzidis@northwestern.edu
4

5
6 **Notes**
7

8 The authors declare no competing financial interest.
9

10 **Acknowledgements**
11

12 This work was supported by the Office of Naval Research, under Grant N00014-17-1-2231
13 (synthesis, structural characterization of materials M.G.K.). X.L. acknowledges help by Dr.
14 Jianchun Wang for calculation of the charge distribution in the 3AMPY and 4AMPY cations. M.
15 K. acknowledges support from Region Bretagne through Boost'ERC LaHPerOS project. J.E
16 acknowledges the financial support from the Institut Universitaire de France. This research used
17 the Center for Nanoscale Materials and the Advanced Photon Source at Argonne National
18 Laboratory, both supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic
19 Energy Sciences, under contract no. DE-AC02-06CH11357. This work made use of the SPID
20 (confocal microscopy) and EPIC (scanning electron microscopy) facilities of Northwestern
21 University's NUANCE Center, which has received support from the Soft and Hybrid
22 Nanotechnology Experimental Resource (NSF ECCS1542205), the Materials Research Science
23 and Engineering Centers (NSF DMR-1720139), the International Institute for Nanotechnology
24 (IIN), the Keck Foundation, and the State of Illinois through the IIN.
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

1. Arora, N.; Dar, M. I.; Hinderhofer, A.; Pellet, N.; Schreiber, F.; Zakeeruddin, S. M.; Grätzel, M., Perovskite solar cells with CuSCN hole extraction layers yield stabilized efficiencies greater than 20%. *Science* **2017**, *358* (6364), 768-771.
2. Tsai, H.; Asadpour, R.; Blancon, J.-C.; Stoumpos, C. C.; Durand, O.; Strzalka, J. W.; Chen, B.; Verduzco, R.; Ajayan, P. M.; Tretiak, S.; Even, J.; Alam, M. A.; Kanatzidis, M. G.; Nie, W.; Mohite, A. D., Light-induced lattice expansion leads to high-efficiency perovskite solar cells. *Science* **2018**, *360* (6384), 67-70.
3. Yang, W. S.; Park, B.-W.; Jung, E. H.; Jeon, N. J.; Kim, Y. C.; Lee, D. U.; Shin, S. S.; Seo, J.; Kim, E. K.; Noh, J. H.; Seok, S. I., Iodide management in formamidinium-lead-halide-based perovskite layers for efficient solar cells. *Science* **2017**, *356* (6345), 1376-1379.
4. Cao, Y.; Wang, N.; Tian, H.; Guo, J.; Wei, Y.; Chen, H.; Miao, Y.; Zou, W.; Pan, K.; He, Y.; Cao, H.; Ke, Y.; Xu, M.; Wang, Y.; Yang, M.; Du, K.; Fu, Z.; Kong, D.; Dai, D.; Jin, Y.; Li, G.; Li, H.; Peng, Q.; Wang, J.; Huang, W., Perovskite light-emitting diodes based on spontaneously formed submicrometre-scale structures. *Nature* **2018**, *562* (7726), 249-253.
5. Lin, K.; Xing, J.; Quan, L. N.; de Arquer, F. P. G.; Gong, X.; Lu, J.; Xie, L.; Zhao, W.; Zhang, D.; Yan, C.; Li, W.; Liu, X.; Lu, Y.; Kirman, J.; Sargent, E. H.; Xiong, Q.; Wei, Z., Perovskite light-emitting diodes with external quantum efficiency exceeding 20 percent. *Nature* **2018**, *562* (7726), 245-248.
6. Tsai, H.; Nie, W.; Blancon, J.-C.; Stoumpos, C. C.; Soe, C. M. M.; Yoo, J.; Crochet, J.; Tretiak, S.; Even, J.; Sadhanala, A.; Azzellino, G.; Brenes, R.; Ajayan, P. M.; Bulović, V.; Stranks, S. D.; Friend, R. H.; Kanatzidis, M. G.; Mohite, A. D., Stable Light-Emitting Diodes Using Phase-Pure Ruddlesden–Popper Layered Perovskites. *Adv. Mater.* **2018**, *30* (6), 1704217.
7. He, Y.; Matei, L.; Jung, H. J.; McCall, K. M.; Chen, M.; Stoumpos, C. C.; Liu, Z.; Peters, J. A.; Chung, D. Y.; Wessels, B. W.; Wasielewski, M. R.; Dravid, V. P.; Burger, A.; Kanatzidis, M. G., High spectral resolution of gamma-rays at room temperature by perovskite CsPbBr₃ single crystals. *Nat. Commun.* **2018**, *9* (1), 1609.
8. He, Y.; Ke, W.; Alexander, G. C. B.; McCall, K. M.; Chica, D. G.; Liu, Z.; Hadar, I.; Stoumpos, C. C.; Wessels, B. W.; Kanatzidis, M. G., Resolving the Energy of γ -Ray Photons with MAPbI₃ Single Crystals. *ACS Photonics* **2018**, *5* (10), 4132-4138.
9. Eaton, S. W.; Lai, M.; Gibson, N. A.; Wong, A. B.; Dou, L.; Ma, J.; Wang, L.-W.; Leone, S. R.; Yang, P., Lasing in robust cesium lead halide perovskite nanowires. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **2016**, *113* (8), 1993-1998.
10. Zhu, H.; Fu, Y.; Meng, F.; Wu, X.; Gong, Z.; Ding, Q.; Gustafsson, M. V.; Trinh, M. T.; Jin, S.; Zhu, X. Y., Lead halide perovskite nanowire lasers with low lasing thresholds and high quality factors. *Nat. Mater.* **2015**, *14*, 636.
11. Stoumpos, C. C.; Kanatzidis, M. G., The Renaissance of Halide Perovskites and Their Evolution as Emerging Semiconductors. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2015**, *48* (10), 2791-2802.
12. Stoumpos, C. C.; Kanatzidis, M. G., Halide Perovskites: Poor Man's High-Performance Semiconductors. *Adv. Mater.* **2016**, *28* (28), 5778-5793.
13. Ke, W.; Stoumpos, C. C.; Zhu, M.; Mao, L.; Spanopoulos, I.; Liu, J.; Kontsevoi, O. Y.; Chen, M.; Sarma, D.; Zhang, Y.; Wasielewski, M. R.; Kanatzidis, M. G., Enhanced photovoltaic performance and stability with a new type of hollow 3D perovskite {en}FASnI₃. *Sci. Adv.* **2017**, *3* (8), e1701293.

14. Spanopoulos, I.; Ke, W.; Stoumpos, C. C.; Schueller, E. C.; Kontsevoi, O. Y.; Seshadri, R.; Kanatzidis, M. G., Unraveling the Chemical Nature of the 3D “Hollow” Hybrid Halide Perovskites. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, *140* (17), 5728-5742.
15. Leblanc, A.; Mercier, N.; Allain, M.; Dittmer, J.; Fernandez, V.; Pauporté, T., Lead- and Iodide-Deficient (CH₃NH₃)PbI₃ (d-MAPI): The Bridge between 2D and 3D Hybrid Perovskites. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **2017**, *56* (50), 16067-16072.
16. Leblanc, A.; Mercier, N.; Allain, M.; Dittmer, J.; Pauporté, T.; Fernandez, V.; Boucher, F.; Kepenekian, M.; Katan, C., Enhanced Stability and Band Gap Tuning of α -[HC(NH₂)₂]PbI₃ Hybrid Perovskite by Large Cation Integration. *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces* **2019**, *11* (23), 20743-20751.
17. Saparov, B.; Mitzi, D. B., Organic–Inorganic Perovskites: Structural Versatility for Functional Materials Design. *Chem. Rev.* **2016**, *116* (7), 4558-4596.
18. Mao, L.; Stoumpos, C. C.; Kanatzidis, M. G., Two-Dimensional Hybrid Halide Perovskites: Principles and Promises. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2019**, *141* (3), 1171-1190.
19. Katan, C.; Mercier, N.; Even, J., Quantum and Dielectric Confinement Effects in Lower-Dimensional Hybrid Perovskite Semiconductors. *Chem. Rev.* **2019**, *119* (5), 3140-3192.
20. Smith, M. D.; Connor, B. A.; Karunadasa, H. I., Tuning the Luminescence of Layered Halide Perovskites. *Chem. Rev.* **2019**, *119* (5), 3104-3139.
21. Cao, D. H.; Stoumpos, C. C.; Farha, O. K.; Hupp, J. T.; Kanatzidis, M. G., 2D Homologous Perovskites as Light-Absorbing Materials for Solar Cell Applications. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137* (24), 7843-7850.
22. Tsai, H.; Nie, W.; Blancon, J.-C.; Stoumpos, C. C.; Asadpour, R.; Harutyunyan, B.; Neukirch, A. J.; Verduzco, R.; Crochet, J. J.; Tretiak, S.; Pedesseau, L.; Even, J.; Alam, M. A.; Gupta, G.; Lou, J.; Ajayan, P. M.; Bedzyk, M. J.; Kanatzidis, M. G.; Mohite, A. D., High-efficiency two-dimensional Ruddlesden–Popper perovskite solar cells. *Nature* **2016**, *536* (7616), 312-316.
23. Ke, W.; Mao, L.; Stoumpos, C. C.; Hoffman, J.; Spanopoulos, I.; Mohite, A. D.; Kanatzidis, M. G., Compositional and Solvent Engineering in Dion–Jacobson 2D Perovskites Boosts Solar Cell Efficiency and Stability. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2019**, *9*, 1803384.
24. Yuan, M.; Quan, L. N.; Comin, R.; Walters, G.; Sabatini, R.; Voznyy, O.; Hoogland, S.; Zhao, Y.; Beauregard, E. M.; Kanjanaboos, P.; Lu, Z.; Kim, D. H.; Sargent, E. H., Perovskite energy funnels for efficient light-emitting diodes. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2016**, *11* (10), 872-877.
25. Dohner, E. R.; Hoke, E. T.; Karunadasa, H. I., Self-Assembly of Broadband White-Light Emitters. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136* (5), 1718-1721.
26. Dohner, E. R.; Jaffe, A.; Bradshaw, L. R.; Karunadasa, H. I., Intrinsic White-Light Emission from Layered Hybrid Perovskites. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136* (38), 13154-13157.
27. Mao, L.; Wu, Y.; Stoumpos, C. C.; Wasielewski, M. R.; Kanatzidis, M. G., White-Light Emission and Structural Distortion in New Corrugated Two-Dimensional Lead Bromide Perovskites. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139* (14), 5210-5215.
28. Li, X.; Guo, P.; Kepenekian, M.; Hadar, I.; Katan, C.; Even, J.; Stoumpos, C. C.; Schaller, R. D.; Kanatzidis, M. G., Small Cyclic Diammonium Cation Templated (110)-Oriented 2D Halide (X = I, Br, Cl) Perovskites with White-Light Emission. *Chem. Mater.* **2019**, *31* (9), 3582-3590.
29. Stoumpos, C. C.; Cao, D. H.; Clark, D. J.; Young, J.; Rondinelli, J. M.; Jang, J. I.; Hupp, J. T.; Kanatzidis, M. G., Ruddlesden–Popper Hybrid Lead Iodide Perovskite 2D Homologous Semiconductors. *Chem. Mater.* **2016**, *28* (8), 2852-2867.

- 1
2
3 30. Mao, L.; Ke, W.; Pedesseau, L.; Wu, Y.; Katan, C.; Even, J.; Wasielewski, M. R.;
4 Stoumpos, C. C.; Kanatzidis, M. G., Hybrid Dion–Jacobson 2D Lead Iodide Perovskites. *J. Am.*
5 *Chem. Soc.* **2018**, *140* (10), 3775-3783.
- 6
7 31. Soe, C. M. M.; Stoumpos, C. C.; Kepenekian, M.; Traoré, B.; Tsai, H.; Nie, W.; Wang, B.;
8 Katan, C.; Seshadri, R.; Mohite, A. D.; Even, J.; Marks, T. J.; Kanatzidis, M. G., New Type of 2D
9 Perovskites with Alternating Cations in the Interlayer Space, $(\text{C}(\text{NH}_2)_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_n\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$:
10 Structure, Properties, and Photovoltaic Performance. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139* (45), 16297-
11 16309.
- 12
13 32. Nazarenko, O.; Kotyrba, M. R.; Wörle, M.; Cuervo-Reyes, E.; Yakunin, S.; Kovalenko,
14 M. V., Luminescent and Photoconductive Layered Lead Halide Perovskite Compounds
15 Comprising Mixtures of Cesium and Guanidinium Cations. *Inorg. Chem.* **2017**, *56* (19), 11552-
16 11564.
- 17
18 33. Li, X.; Hoffman, J.; Ke, W.; Chen, M.; Tsai, H.; Nie, W.; Mohite, A. D.; Kepenekian, M.;
19 Katan, C.; Even, J.; Wasielewski, M. R.; Stoumpos, C. C.; Kanatzidis, M. G., Two-Dimensional
20 Halide Perovskites Incorporating Straight Chain Symmetric Diammonium Ions,
21 $(\text{NH}_3\text{C}_m\text{H}_{2m}\text{NH}_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_{n-1}\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$ ($m = 4-9$; $n = 1-4$). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, *140* (38),
22 12226-12238.
- 23
24 34. Rayner, M. K.; Billing, D. G., Poly[1,4-bis(ammoniomethyl)cyclohexane [di-[mu]-iodido-
25 diiodidoplumbate(II)]]. *Acta Crystallogr. E* **2010**, *66* (6), m660.
- 26
27 35. Chen, M.; Ju, M.-G.; Hu, M.; Dai, Z.; Hu, Y.; Rong, Y.; Han, H.; Zeng, X. C.; Zhou, Y.;
28 Padture, N. P., Lead-Free Dion–Jacobson Tin Halide Perovskites for Photovoltaics. *ACS Energy*
29 *Lett.* **2019**, *4* (1), 276-277.
- 30
31 36. Li, Y.; Milić, J. V.; Ummadisingu, A.; Seo, J.-Y.; Im, J.-H.; Kim, H.-S.; Liu, Y.; Dar, M.
32 I.; Zakeeruddin, S. M.; Wang, P.; Hagfeldt, A.; Grätzel, M., Bifunctional Organic Spacers for
33 Formamidinium-Based Hybrid Dion–Jacobson Two-Dimensional Perovskite Solar Cells. *Nano*
34 *Lett.* **2019**, *19* (1), 150-157.
- 35
36 37. Dobrzycki, L.; Woźniak, K., Inorganic–organic hybrid salts of diaminobenzenes and
37 related cations. *CrystEngComm* **2008**, *10* (5), 577-589.
- 38
39 38. Lemmerer, A.; Billing, D. G., Lead halide inorganic-organic hybrids incorporating
40 diammonium cations. *CrystEngComm* **2012**, *14* (6), 1954-1966.
- 41
42 39. Petříček, V.; Dušek, M.; Palatinus, L., Crystallographic Computing System JANA2006:
43 General features. *Z. Kristallogr. - Cryst. Mater.* **2014**, *229* (5), 345.
- 44
45 40. Spek, A., Structure validation in chemical crystallography. *Acta Crystallogr. D* **2009**, *65*
46 (2), 148-155.
- 47
48 41. Barman, S.; Venkataraman, N. V.; Vasudevan, S.; Seshadri, R., Phase Transitions in the
49 Anchored Organic Bilayers of Long-Chain Alkylammonium Lead Iodides $(\text{C}_n\text{H}_{2n+1}\text{NH}_3)_2\text{PbI}_4$; $n =$
50 12, 16, 18. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2003**, *107* (8), 1875-1883.
- 51
52 42. Janiak, C., A critical account on π – π stacking in metal complexes with aromatic nitrogen-
53 containing ligands. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **2000**, (21), 3885-3896.
- 54
55 43. Mitzi, D. B., Organic–Inorganic Perovskites Containing Trivalent Metal Halide Layers:
56 The Templating Influence of the Organic Cation Layer. *Inorg. Chem.* **2000**, *39* (26), 6107-6113.
- 57
58 44. Du, K.-z.; Tu, Q.; Zhang, X.; Han, Q.; Liu, J.; Zauscher, S.; Mitzi, D. B., Two-Dimensional
59 Lead(II) Halide-Based Hybrid Perovskites Templated by Acene Alkylamines: Crystal Structures,
60 Optical Properties, and Piezoelectricity. *Inorg. Chem.* **2017**, *56* (15), 9291-9302.
- 61
62 45. Jana, M. K.; Janke, S. M.; Dirkes, D. J.; Dovletgeldi, S.; Liu, C.; Qin, X.; Gundogdu, K.;
63 You, W.; Blum, V.; Mitzi, D. B., A Direct-Bandgap 2D Silver-Bismuth Iodide Double Perovskite:

1
2
3 The Structure-Directing Influence of an Oligothiophene Spacer Cation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2019**,
4 *141* (19), 7955-7964.

5 46. Knutson, J. L.; Martin, J. D.; Mitzi, D. B., Tuning the Band Gap in Hybrid Tin Iodide
6 Perovskite Semiconductors Using Structural Templating. *Inorg. Chem.* **2005**, *44* (13), 4699-4705.

7 47. Pedesseau, L.; Saponi, D.; Traore, B.; Robles, R.; Fang, H.-H.; Loi, M. A.; Tsai, H.; Nie,
8 W.; Blancon, J.-C.; Neukirch, A.; Tretiak, S.; Mohite, A. D.; Katan, C.; Even, J.; Kepenekian, M.,
9 Advances and Promises of Layered Halide Hybrid Perovskite Semiconductors. *ACS Nano* **2016**,
10 *10* (11), 9776-9786.

11 48. Peng, W.; Yin, J.; Ho, K.-T.; Ouellette, O.; De Bastiani, M.; Murali, B.; El Tall, O.; Shen,
12 C.; Miao, X.; Pan, J.; Alarousu, E.; He, J.-H.; Ooi, B. S.; Mohammed, O. F.; Sargent, E.; Bakr, O.
13 M., Ultralow Self-Doping in Two-dimensional Hybrid Perovskite Single Crystals. *Nano Lett.* **2017**,
14 *17* (8), 4759-4767.

15 49. Saouma, F. O.; Stoumpos, C. C.; Wong, J.; Kanatzidis, M. G.; Jang, J. I., Selective
16 enhancement of optical nonlinearity in two-dimensional organic-inorganic lead iodide perovskites.
17 *Nat. Commun.* **2017**, *8* (1), 742.

18 50. Muljarov, E. A.; Tikhodeev, S. G.; Gippius, N. A.; Ishihara, T., Excitons in self-organized
19 semiconductor/insulator superlattices: Pbl-based perovskite compounds. *Phys. Rev. B* **1995**, *51*
20 (20), 14370-14378.

21 51. Hong, X.; Ishihara, T.; Nurmikko, A. V., Dielectric confinement effect on excitons in Pbl₄-
22 based layered semiconductors. *Phys. Rev. B* **1992**, *45* (12), 6961-6964.

23 52. Ishihara, T.; Takahashi, J.; Goto, T., Exciton state in two-dimensional perovskite
24 semiconductor (C₁₀H₂₁NH₃)₂Pbl₄. *Solid State Commun.* **1989**, *69* (9), 933-936.

25 53. Ishihara, T.; Hong, X.; Ding, J.; Nurmikko, A. V., Dielectric confinement effect for exciton
26 and biexciton states in Pbl₄-based two-dimensional semiconductor structures. *Surf. Sci.* **1992**, *267*
27 (1), 323-326.

28 54. Kataoka, T.; Kondo, T.; Ito, R.; Sasaki, S.; Uchida, K.; Miura, N., Magneto-optical study
29 on excitonic spectra in (C₆H₁₃NH₃)₂Pbl₄. *Phys. Rev. B* **1993**, *47* (4), 2010-2018.

30 55. Blancon, J. C.; Stier, A. V.; Tsai, H.; Nie, W.; Stoumpos, C. C.; Traoré, B.; Pedesseau, L.;
31 Kepenekian, M.; Katsutani, F.; Noe, G. T.; Kono, J.; Tretiak, S.; Crooker, S. A.; Katan, C.;
32 Kanatzidis, M. G.; Crochet, J. J.; Even, J.; Mohite, A. D., Scaling law for excitons in 2D perovskite
33 quantum wells. *Nat. Commun.* **2018**, *9* (1), 2254.

Table 1. Crystal and Refinement Data for (xAMPY)(MA)_{n-1}Pb_nI_{3n+1} (x = 3 or 4, n = 1–4).

Compound	(3AMPY)PbI ₄	(3AMPY)(MA)Pb ₂ I ₇	(3AMPY)(MA) ₂ Pb ₃ I ₁₀	(3AMPY)(MA) ₃ Pb ₄ I ₁₃
Crystal system	monoclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic
Space group	<i>Pn</i>	<i>Pc</i>	<i>Cc</i>	<i>Pc</i>
Unit cell dimensions	a = 9.1223(13) Å b = 8.7787(12) Å c = 19.830(4) Å β = 90.103(13)°	a = 16.2400(7) Å b = 9.0384(5) Å c = 8.8082(16) Å β = 97.619(7)°	a = 45.091(5) Å b = 9.0494(15) Å c = 8.8654(10) Å β = 95.920(9)°	a = 28.8181(10) Å b = 9.0332(14) Å c = 8.882(3) Å β = 94.138(9)°
Volume	1588.0(4) Å ³	1281.5(3) Å ³	3598.2(8) Å ³	2306.2(9) Å ³
Z	4	2	4	2
Density (calculated)	3.4506 g/cm ³	3.7447 g/cm ³	3.8117 g/cm ³	3.8664 g/cm ³
Independent reflections	5481 [R _{int} = 0.1152]	4246 [R _{int} = 0.0841]	6220 [R _{int} = 0.1593]	7828 [R _{int} = 0.1488]
Completeness to 25°	99%	99%	99%	99%
Data / restraints / parameters	5481 / 34 / 142	4246 / 25 / 116	6220 / 26 / 157	7828 / 27 / 200
Goodness-of-fit	6.20	3.17	5.92	4.80
Final R indices [I > 2σ(I)]	R _{obs} = 0.1219 wR _{obs} = 0.1322	R _{obs} = 0.0944 wR _{obs} = 0.1640	R _{obs} = 0.1285 wR _{obs} = 0.1663	R _{obs} = 0.1234 wR _{obs} = 0.1619
R indices [all data]	R _{all} = 0.1612 wR _{all} = 0.1348	R _{all} = 0.1281 wR _{all} = 0.1676	R _{all} = 0.1867 wR _{all} = 0.1674	R _{all} = 0.2114 wR _{all} = 0.1632
Largest diff. peak and hole	4.07 and -5.56 e·Å ⁻³	7.83 and -2.39 e·Å ⁻³	8.45 and -6.03 e·Å ⁻³	4.22 and -5.51 e·Å ⁻³
Compound	(4AMPY)PbI ₄	(4AMPY)(MA)Pb ₂ I ₇	(4AMPY)(MA) ₂ Pb ₃ I ₁₀	(4AMPY)(MA) ₃ Pb ₄ I ₁₃
Crystal system	monoclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic
Space group	<i>Pn</i>	<i>Cc</i>	<i>Pc</i>	<i>Ic</i>
Unit cell dimensions	a = 12.3348(12) Å, b = 10.5038(7) Å c = 12.3423(12) Å β = 90.002(8)°	a = 34.678(4) Å b = 8.7791(10) Å c = 8.7644(9) Å β = 104.536(8)°	a = 23.175(3) Å b = 8.8255(7) Å c = 8.8238(9) Å β = 90.0045°	a = 59.166(9) Å b = 8.8404(10) Å c = 8.8405(13) Å β = 90.000(12)°
Volume	1599.1(2) Å ³	2582.8(5) Å ³	1804.7(3) Å ³	4624.0(11) Å ³
Z	4	4	2	4
Density (calculated)	3.4267 g/cm ³	3.7159 g/cm ³	3.7999 g/cm ³	3.8596 g/cm ³
Independent reflections	5458 [R _{int} = 0.0873]	4457 [R _{int} = 0.0453]	6245 [R _{int} = 0.0837]	7811 [R _{int} = 0.0591]
Completeness to 25°	99%	98%	99%	99%
Data / restraints / parameters	5458 / 36 / 142	4457 / 18 / 116	6245 / 26 / 158	7811 / 20 / 201
Goodness-of-fit	3.85	1.75	3.46	2.73
Final R indices [I > 2σ(I)]	R _{obs} = 0.0616 wR _{obs} = 0.0691	R _{obs} = 0.0337 wR _{obs} = 0.0693	R _{obs} = 0.0522 wR _{obs} = 0.0757	R _{obs} = 0.0478 wR _{obs} = 0.1053
R indices [all data]	R _{all} = 0.0705, wR _{all} = 0.0694	R _{all} = 0.0591 wR _{all} = 0.0734	R _{all} = 0.0764 wR _{all} = 0.0765	R _{all} = 0.0872 wR _{all} = 0.1424
Largest diff. peak and hole	1.36 and -1.35 e·Å ⁻³	1.09 and -0.83 e·Å ⁻³	1.61 and -1.72 e·Å ⁻³	2.55 and -3.01 e·Å ⁻³

$$^a R = \frac{\sum ||F_o| - |F_c||}{\sum |F_o|}, wR = \frac{\{\sum [w(|F_o|^2 - |F_c|^2)^2]\}}{\sum [w(|F_o|^4)]^{1/2}} \text{ and } w = 1/(\sigma^2(I) + 0.0004I^2).$$

Table 2. Optical Properties and Colors for (xAMPY)(MA)_{n-1}Pb_nI_{3n+1} (x = 3 or 4, n = 1-4).

	3AMPY			4AMPY		
	Bandgap (eV)	PL (eV)	Color	Bandgap (eV)	PL (eV)	Color
n=1	2.34	2.23	red	-	-	orange
n=2	2.08	2.04	dark red	2.15	2.14	red
n=3	1.96	1.93	black	2.00	1.97	dark red
n=4	1.87	1.85	black	1.89	1.89	black

Table 3. Comparison of Pb-I-Pb Angles and d-spacing for (xAMPY)(MA)_{n-1}Pb_nI_{3n+1} (x = 3 or 4), (xAMP)(MA)_{n-1}Pb_nI_{3n+1} (x = 3 or 4)³⁰, and (BA)₂(MA)_{n-1}Pb_nI_{3n+1} (BA=butylammonium)²⁹.

	Layer-number	Axial angle (°)	Equatorial angle (°)	Average angle (°)	d-spacing (Å)
3AMPY	n=2	164.4	168.9	168.0	3.55
	n=3	164.8	168.0	167.2	3.59
	n=4	165.5	168.8	167.9	3.53
4AMPY	n=2	179.8	153.9	159.1	4.01
	n=3	178.8	155.9	161.6	3.97
	n=4	179.2	157.3	163.2	3.99
3AMP	n=2	180.0	163.1	166.5	4.06
	n=3	180.0	163.5	167.6	4.02
	n=4	176.5	162.2	166.1	4.00
4AMP	n=2	179.8	156.5	161.1	4.12
	n=3	179.6	157.4	162.9	4.11
	n=4	178.6	159.7	164.9	4.10
BA	n=2	165.6	167.5	167.1	7.13
	n=3	169.5	169.4	169.4	7.10
	n=4	166.6	168.9	169.3	7.09





Figure 1. Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns for $(4\text{AMPY})(\text{MA})_{n-1}\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$ ($n = 2-4$) from synchrotron radiation (11-BM, APS, $\lambda = 0.4578 \text{ \AA}$). (a) $n = 2$. (b) $n = 3$. (c) $n = 4$.



31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 3. Side and top view of (a) (3AMPY)(MA)Pb₂I₇ and (b) (4AMPY)(MA)Pb₂I₇. (Note that the spacer cation in (3AMPY)(MA)Pb₂I₇ is disordered, and we modeled it with restraints of bond lengths and bond angles. Structure shown here is with frozen disorder.) (c) Axial, (d) equatorial and (e) average Pb-I-Pb angles for (xAMPY)(MA)_{n-1}Pb_nI_{3n+1} (x = 3 or 4, n = 1–4).




Figure 4. Structural comparison of (a) (3AMPY)(MA)Pb₂I₇ and (b) (4AMPY)(MA)Pb₂I₇, the dash lines indicate the closest NH...I distances. (c) Interlayer distance between the planes defined by terminal iodide. (d) Closest I...I distance.




Figure 5. Comparison of optical properties of $(x\text{AMPY})(\text{MA})_{n-1}\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$ ($x = 3$ or 4 , $n = 1-4$) crystals. (a,b) Optical absorption spectra and steady-state photoluminescence (PL) spectra for $(3\text{AMPY})(\text{MA})_{n-1}\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$ ($n = 1-4$). (c,d) Optical absorption spectra and steady-state PL spectra for $(4\text{AMPY})(\text{MA})_{n-1}\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$ ($n = 2-4$). (e,f) Comparison of bandgaps and PL maximum for both series.




Figure 6. Comparison of PL spectra (a)(c) and absorption spectra (b)(d) for $(x\text{AMPY})(\text{MA})_3\text{Pb}_4\text{I}_{13}$, $(x\text{AMP})(\text{MA})_3\text{Pb}_4\text{I}_{13}$ ($x = 3, 4$) and $(\text{BA})_2(\text{MA})_3\text{Pb}_4\text{I}_{13}$ crystals.




Figure 7. (a) PXRD patterns ($\lambda = 1.5406 \text{ \AA}$) and (b) absorption spectra of (x AMPY)(MA) $_3$ Pb $_4$ I $_{13}$ ($x = 3$ or 4) and (3AMP)(MA) $_3$ Pb $_4$ I $_{13}$ thin-films. (c) $J-V$ curves and (d) EQE spectra for the corresponding solar cell devices.

TOC

