



**HAL**  
open science

## Pore size distribution in soils irrigated with sodic water and wastewater

Roberta Alessandra Bruschi Gonçalves, Thomas Vincent Gloaguen, Marcos Vinicius Folegatti, Paulo Leonel Libardi, Yves Lucas, Célia Regina Montes

► **To cite this version:**

Roberta Alessandra Bruschi Gonçalves, Thomas Vincent Gloaguen, Marcos Vinicius Folegatti, Paulo Leonel Libardi, Yves Lucas, et al.. Pore size distribution in soils irrigated with sodic water and wastewater. *Revista Brasileira de Ciência do Solo*, 2010, 34 (3), pp.701-707. 10.1590/S0100-06832010000300011 . hal-02185597

**HAL Id: hal-02185597**

**<https://hal.science/hal-02185597v1>**

Submitted on 16 Jul 2019

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# PORE SIZE DISTRIBUTION IN SOILS IRRIGATED WITH SODIC WATER AND WASTEWATER<sup>(1)</sup>

Roberta Alessandra Bruschi Gonçalves<sup>(2)</sup>, Thomas Vincent Gloaguen<sup>(2)</sup>, Marcos Vinicius Folegatti<sup>(3)</sup>, Paulo Leonel Libardi<sup>(4)</sup>, Yves Lucas<sup>(5)</sup> & Célia Regina Montes<sup>(6)</sup>

## SUMMARY

Soil porosity, especially pore size distribution, is an important controlling factor for soil infiltration, hydraulic conductivity, and water retention. This study aimed to verify the effect of secondary-treated domestic wastewater (STW) on the porosity of a sandy loam Oxisol in the city of Lins, state of São Paulo, Brazil. The two-year experiment was divided into three plots: soil cultivated with corn and sunflower and irrigated with STW, soil cultivated and irrigated with sodic groundwater, and non-irrigated and non-cultivated soil (control). At the end of the experiment, undisturbed core samples were sampled from 0 to 2.0 m (8 depths). The water retention curves were obtained by tension plates and Richard's pressure plate apparatus, and the pore size distribution inferred from the retention curves. It was found that irrigation with treated wastewater and treated groundwater led to a decrease in microporosity ( $V_{MI}$ ), defined as the pore class ranging from 0.2 to 50  $\mu\text{m}$  diameter. On the other hand, a significant increase in cryptoporosity ( $V_{CRI}$ ) (< 0.2  $\mu\text{m}$ ) was identified throughout the soil profile. The presence of  $\text{Na}^+$  in both waters confirmed the role of this ion on pore size distribution and soil moisture (higher water retention).

**Index terms:** sodic wastewater, soil porosity, clay dispersion, soil sodicity.

---

<sup>(1)</sup> Received for publication in August 2009 and approved in March 2010.

<sup>(2)</sup> Professor, Centro de Ciências Exatas e Tecnológicas, Universidade Federal do Recôncavo da Bahia – CETEC/UFRB. Campus Universitário s/n, CEP 44380-000 Cruz das Almas (BA). E-mails: robertabruschi@gmail.com; tgloaguen@gmail.com

<sup>(3)</sup> Professor, Departamento de Engenharia Rural, Escola Superior de Agricultura “Luiz de Queiroz” – ESALQ/USP. Caixa Postal 9, Av. Pádua Dias 11, CEP 13418-900 Piracicaba (SP). E-mail: mvfolega@esalq.usp.br

<sup>(4)</sup> Professor, Departamento de Ciências Exatas, ESALQ/USP. E-mail: pllibardi@esalq.usp.br

<sup>(5)</sup> Professor, Processus de Transfert et d'Echange dans l'Environnement (PROTEE) - Université du Sud Toulon-Var, France. E-mail: lucas@univ-tln.fr

<sup>(6)</sup> Professor, Centro de Energia Nuclear na Agricultura, ESALQ/USP. E-mail: crmluar@usp.br

**RESUMO:** *DISTRIBUIÇÃO DE POROS EM SOLOS IRRIGADOS COM ÁGUA SALINA E COM ÁGUA RESIDUÁRIA*

*A porosidade do solo, principalmente a distribuição dos poros, é um fator importante que controla a infiltração de água, condutividade hidráulica e retenção da água no solo. Este estudo teve como objetivo verificar os efeitos do efluente de estação de tratamento de esgoto (TSE) na porosidade de um Latossolo de textura média. A área experimental foi dividida em três parcelas: solo cultivado com milho e girassol e irrigado com TSE (STW); solo cultivado e irrigado com água subterrânea sódica (W); e solo não cultivado e não irrigado (C-controle). No final de dois anos de experimento, amostras não deformadas de solo foram coletadas de 0 a 2,0 m (oito amostras). As curvas de retenção de água no solo foram obtidas com mesas de tensão e câmara de Richards, e a distribuição de poros no solo foi calculada a partir da derivação dessas curvas. Foi observado decréscimo da microporosidade  $V_{MI}$  (poros com diâmetro entre 0,2 e 50  $\mu\text{m}$ ) no solo irrigado com TSE e água tratada. Por outro lado, observou-se aumento significativo da criptoporosidade  $V_{CRI}$  ( $< 0,2 \mu\text{m}$ ). A presença de  $\text{Na}^+$  nos dois tipos de água confirmou o papel desse íon na distribuição dos poros e na umidade do solo (maior retenção de água no solo).*

*Termos de indexação: água residuária sódica, porosidade do solo, dispersão de argilas, sodicidade do solo.*

## INTRODUCTION

The disposal of solid and liquid wastes on soil is regarded as a partial solution to the environmental problem of sewage disposal into fresh water bodies (Darwish et al., 1999). A number of soil treatment systems are available for domestic treated wastewater (TW), e.g., infiltration basins or wetlands, but crop irrigation is the most accessible (Feigin et al., 1991) and efficient method (Darwish et al., 1999), principally in developing countries where policies of domestic sewage treatment are lacking.

Although irrigation with TW can solve the immediate problem of contamination of water bodies by nutrient loads, this practice requires careful management due to some water characteristics. Several authors have already pointed out damaging effects on physical soil properties, such as infiltration (Cook et al., 1994), permeability (Meenner et al., 2001), water retention capacity (Jnad et al., 2001), and soil hydraulic conductivity (Balks et al., 1998; Magesan et al., 1999; Bagarello et al., 2005; Gonçalves, 2007). Most of these observations are associated to the high Na content in TW, that can lead to a dispersion and/or expansion of clay particles, pore size distribution (Shainberg & Letey 1984; So & Aylmore, 1993; Sumner, 1993; Halliwell et al., 2001). This indicates that the study of soil porosity may be a reasonable approach to detect changes in the soil physical properties. Pores can be defined as a function of the aggregate structure organization (Libardi, 2005) and classified as: (a) cryptopores or residual pores related to the intra-aggregate arrangement and particle type (clay, oxides), where hygroscopic water is highly bound by molecular attraction (sorption) (pore diameter  $\text{-}\varnothing$ -

smaller than 0.2  $\mu\text{m}$ ), (b) micropores where capillarity forces are predominant ( $0.2 < \varnothing < 50 \mu\text{m}$ ) and (c) macropores where the water flow occurs by convection due to gravitational forces ( $\varnothing > 50 \mu\text{m}$ ); micropores and macropores depend on the inter-aggregate arrangement.

The purpose of this study was the investigation of changes in soil porosity and pore size distribution after irrigation with treated sewage effluent or sodic ground water.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

### Experimental field

The study was carried out in the city of Lins (São Paulo State, Brazil, 49° 50' W -22° 21' S), where the annual rainfall varies from 1,100 to 1,300 mm and the average annual temperature from 18 to 22 °C. The experimental area is located near the sewage stabilization pond system (Australian treatment system). The soil was classified as Oxisol with a sandy loam texture (Table 1). Four crop cycles (two cycles with corn (*Zea mays* L.) and two cycles with sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) were alternately drip-irrigated with effluent from the stabilization pond for two years. The three plots were treated as follows: irrigation with soil domestic treated sewage effluent – STW plot (irrigation = 1,809 mm and precipitation = 743 mm), irrigation with naturally sodic, treated ground water – plot W (I = 1,809 mm and P = 743 mm), and non-irrigated, non-cultivated soil, as control plot C (P = 743 mm).

**Table 1. Soil texture for 25 cm soil layers according to ABNT (NBR 6502/95)**

Depth	Coarse sand <sup>(1)</sup>	Medium sand <sup>(2)</sup>	Fine sand <sup>(3)</sup>	Sand	Silt <sup>(4)</sup>	Clay <sup>(5)</sup>
m	g kg <sup>-1</sup>					
0.125	22.4	473.8	188.7	701.0	190.4	124.7
0.375	21.7	503.4	237.0	704.0	90.7	147.2
0.625	18.8	452.8	221.0	692.6	101.8	205.6
0.875	14.5	451.5	233.2	699.2	95.3	205.5
1.125	13.8	444.5	234.9	693.2	102.8	204
1.375	18.7	458.9	223.4	701.0	99.5	199.5
1.625	19.5	455.8	228.7	704.0	99.9	196.1
1.875	19.1	457.8	224.1	702.0	98.8	199.2

<sup>(1)</sup> 0.6 < Ø ≤ 2 mm. <sup>(2)</sup> 0.2 < Ø ≤ 0.6 mm. <sup>(3)</sup> 0.06 < Ø ≤ 0.02 mm. <sup>(4)</sup> 0.002 < Ø ≤ 0.06 mm. <sup>(5)</sup> Ø ≤ 0.002 mm.

**Analysis of soil physical properties**

The soil water retention was determined in core soil samples (height 0.05 m) collected after the four crop cycles by a Uhland sampler at three positions in each plot, at depths of 0.125, 0.375, 0.625, 0.875, 1.125, 1.375, 1.625, and 1.875 m (each sample represents a soil layer of 0.25 m). The tension table was used to determine water retention in the soil samples for  $\psi_m$  of 1, 2, and 4 kPa, while water retention for  $\psi_m$  of 10, 30, 50, 100, 500, and 1,500 kPa was determined using Richard’s pressure plate apparatus (Richards, 1965). The experimental data were fitted to the van Genuchten (1980) equation.

Pore size distribution curves were obtained by deriving the water retention curves, considering the matric potential  $h_i$  as independent variable. The equivalent pore radius  $r_i$  was calculated by the equation of capillarity (equation 1) using the  $h_i$  values. The water content  $\theta$  was calculated from the matric potential between 1 and 1,500 kPa, where  $\log(h_{i+1}) = \log(h_i) + 0.05$ . The variations ( $\theta_{i+1} - \theta_i$ ) were calculated for each  $h_i$ .

$$r_i = -\frac{2\sigma \cos\beta}{\rho gh_i} \tag{1}$$

where  $r_i$  is the pore radius (m);  $\sigma$  is the surface tension (N m<sup>-1</sup>);  $\beta$  is the contact angle (°);  $\rho$  is the specific water mass (Mg m<sup>-3</sup>);  $g$  is the acceleration of gravity (m s<sup>-2</sup>) and  $h_i$  is the matric potential (m).

Pores with a diameter larger than 0.05 mm were called “macropores” (water retained with matric potential  $\psi_m$  higher than -6 kPa); “micropores” correspond to pores with diameter between 0.05 and 0.0002 mm (-1,500 <  $\psi_m$  < -6 kPa), and “cryptopores”, pores with diameter smaller than 0.0002 mm ( $\psi_m$  < -1,500 kPa) (Klein & Libardi, 2002).

The soil bulk density ( $d_s$ ) was calculated by the ratio between the dry sample mass and the core volume (Blake & Hartge, 1986).

The water content at field capacity ( $\theta_{FC}$ ) was obtained from the inflexion point method of the  $h(\theta)$  curve (Ferreira & Marcos, 1983), adapted by Mello et

al.(2002). In this method, the  $\theta_{FC}$  value corresponds to the  $\theta$  value at which  $d^2h(\theta)/d\theta^2 = 0$ , using cubic polynomial regressions for modeling the  $h(\theta)$  curves ( $r^2$  always > 0.956).

Soil samples were also collected for analysis of water-dispersed clay (WDC) by the pipette method (Camargo et al., 1986).

**Statistical analysis**

The analysis of variance and comparison of means (Tukey tests, 5 %) were performed using the GLM procedure of the SAS program, using a sub-divided plot model (3 plots = 3 treatments; 8 depths = 8 sub-treatments) and log-transformed values.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The values of sodium adsorption ratio (SAR) were higher in treated groundwater than in STW (Table 2) due to the lower concentrations of Ca<sup>2+</sup> and Mg<sup>2+</sup>; the risk of soil sodification (impact on soil infiltration) by STW irrigation was defined as low to moderate (high when groundwater is used), according to Ayers & Westcot (1991). Typically, high concentrations of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and dissolved organic carbon (DOC) were found in STW.

**Soil physical properties**

Variation of soil bulk density was insignificant throughout the soil profile and between plots; the mean value was 1,540 kg m<sup>-3</sup> for the control plot and 1,490 and 1,510 kg m<sup>-3</sup> for the STW and W plots, respectively. Magesan et al. (1999) found similar results after seven years of irrigation (3,120 mm year<sup>-1</sup>). On the other hand, a significant effect of irrigation on soil porosity was detected from the analysis of the curves  $d\theta/dh$  for the three plots (Table 3); values of the mean pore diameter corresponding to the peak of the pore size distribution curve (value of  $h$  when  $d^2\theta/dh^2 = 0$ ) revealed an increase of mean pore diameter in irrigated soil, more pronounced in deeper layers,

**Table 2. Chemical composition of secondary-treated wastewater and of treated groundwater in Lins, São Paulo. Mean values followed by minimal and maximal values in brackets**

Parameter	Wastewater	Water
pH	7.7 (7.5-8.2)	9.4 (9.1-10.1)
EC ( $\mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$ ) <sup>(1)</sup>	736 (624-848)	408 (358-474)
TDS ( $\text{mg L}^{-1}$ ) <sup>(1)</sup>	691 (621-761)	429 (396-455)
SAR <sup>(1)</sup>	10.4 (9.1-13.3)	19.8 (19.1-20.9)
Na <sup>+</sup> ( $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ )	5577 (4882-6402)	4483 (4192-4702)
K <sup>+</sup> ( $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ )	322 (267-383)	19 (13-24)
Ca <sup>2+</sup> ( $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ )	195 (66-254)	42 (35-47)
Mg <sup>2+</sup> ( $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ )	100 (59-141)	10 (8-12)
Cl <sup>-</sup> ( $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ )	1193 (932-1465)	165 (141-196)
SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> ( $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ )	178 (32-407)	152 (118-170)
NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> ( $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ )	69 (2-151)	26 (8-60)
NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> ( $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ )	1331 (833-1980)	0.10 (0-0.61)
Alkalinity ( $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ )	7136 (6386-7941)	4775 (4429-5067)
DOC ( $\text{mg L}^{-1}$ ) <sup>(1)</sup>	20.2 (8.4-46.1)	1.7 (0.2-6.3)

<sup>(1)</sup> EC: electric conductivity, TDS: total of dissolved salts, SAR: Sodium adsorption ratio, DOC: dissolved organic carbon.

**Table 3. Mean pore diameter ( $d^*$ ) for the  $d\theta/dh$  maximum curve and difference in the area under the curve when compared with control ( $\Delta\text{area}$ ). C: non-irrigated control plot, STW: wastewater-irrigated plot and W: water-irrigated plot**

Depth	$d^*$			$\Delta\text{area}$	
	C	STW	W	STW	W
m	$\mu\text{m}$			$\%$	
0.125	23	12	36	-33	-33
0.375	46	26	8	1	-8
0.625	46	73	36	11	-22
0.875	41	115	33	-6	-27
1.125	23	58	65	-4	5
1.375	16	16	102	-15	-1
1.625	41	51	73	-7	-4
1.875	46	26	65	-20	0
Mean value $\pm \sigma$	35 $\pm$ 12	47 $\pm$ 35	52 $\pm$ 30	-9	-11

probably caused by leaching of salt and DOC to depth of about 1 m, as observed by Gloaguen et al. (2007). Moreover, irrigation led to a wider range of  $d^*$  values within the soil profile (CV = 74 % and 57 % for STW plot and plot W, respectively), whereas  $d^*$  remained relatively constant in the C plot (16–46  $\mu\text{m}$ ), what signifies a vertical variation of soil porosity due to irrigation. Despite the larger mean pore diameter in irrigated soil, lower values of the area under the curves  $d\theta/dh$  for the plots STW and W ( $\Delta\text{area}$ ) evidenced a decrease in porosity almost in the whole profile.

The pore volume for each pore class was calculated from the pore size distribution curves, by summing the volumes obtained for each  $r_i$ : macropore ( $V_{\text{MA}}$ ;  $> 50 \mu\text{m}$ ), micropore ( $V_{\text{MI}}$ ;  $0.2\text{--}50 \mu\text{m}$ ), cryptopore ( $V_{\text{CRI}}$ ;  $\leq 0.2 \mu\text{m}$ ), and solids ( $V_{\text{S}} = 1 - (V_{\text{MA}} + V_{\text{MI}} + V_{\text{CRI}})$ ) volumes (Table 4). The interaction Plot *vs* Depth was significant for  $V_{\text{S}}$ ,  $V_{\text{MA}}$  and  $V_{\text{CRI}}$ .

Obviously, the volume of solids remained unaltered after irrigation porosity almost in the whole profile. The variation reached -7 % and -11 % when soil was irrigated with effluent and water, respectively, which might indicate the beginning of an eluviation process of Na-dispersed clay, as reported by Sumner (1993), in soil sodified by wastewater irrigation (Gloaguen et al., 2007).

On the other hand, variations in pore size distribution and pore volume were significant. Irrigation caused a decrease in  $V_{\text{MA}}$  at depths of 0.125 and 0.375 m in the STW plot and at 0.125, 0.375, 0.625, and 0.875 m in the W plot. A similar decrease was mentioned by Jnad et al. (2001), where the authors defined macroporosity as pores with diameter larger than 22  $\mu\text{m}$  after STW irrigation of 1,500 mm.

Jnad et al. (2001) explained the changes in porosity by several factors: (a) Na<sup>+</sup> accumulation in the suspension (Bouma, 1975), (b) deposition of organic matter on the pore surface (Siegrist 1987), and (c) increase of Na<sup>+</sup> in the soil and clay dispersion/expansion (Shainberg & Shalhevel, 1984). In our study, despite a greater decrease in  $V_{\text{MA}}$  at the soil surface for the STW plot, the dissolved organic carbon (DOC) input by the effluent cannot explain this process, since a decrease of  $V_{\text{MA}}$  was also observed in the W plot (very low DOC concentration in treated groundwater). These results are supported by Sort & Alcañiz (1999), who observed no variations in macropores after sewage application despite the high organic C input (226  $\text{g kg}^{-1}$  of sewage). This suggests that organic C has no effect on this pore class and that the main cause of the modification would be the Na<sup>+</sup> soil content in both irrigation waters, resulting in disaggregation of the soil structure (with consequent change in soil porosity) by dispersing clay minerals, as described by Sumner (1993). On the other hand, higher soil macroporosity was observed in the second meter of the soil profile, perhaps due to leaching of organic C and Ca<sup>2+</sup>, facilitating the flocculation and formation of macro-aggregates.

Irrigation with STW and with sodic water also resulted in a decrease in  $V_{\text{MI}}$ , with a difference, compared to the C plot, of -34 to +8 % in the STW plot (mean value for the soil profile: -20 %, representing a loss of 0.037  $\text{m}^3 \text{m}^{-3}$  in microporosity), and of -42 to -7 % in the W plot (mean value for soil profile: -21 %, or -0.040  $\text{m}^3 \text{m}^{-3}$ ). These decreases were related to the reduction of inter-aggregate porosity induced by clay dispersion after the high Na input (2.4 tons by STW, 1.8 tons by sodic water).

**Table 4. Solids ( $V_s$ ), macropores ( $V_{MA}$ ), micropores ( $V_{MI}$ ) and cryptopores ( $V_{CRI}$ ) in the control (C), wastewater-irrigated (STW) and water-irrigated (W) plots**

Depth	$V_s$			$V_{MA} - \emptyset > 50 \mu m$		
	C	STW	W	C	STW	W
m	$m^3 m^{-3}$					
0.125	0.604 a <sup>(1)</sup>	0.608 a	0.614 a	0.114 a	0.058 b	0.088 ab
0.375	0.628 a	0.613 a	0.588 a	0.093 a	0.088 a	0.089 a
0.625	0.611 a	0.577 a	0.603 a	0.101 a	0.127 a	0.093 a
0.875	0.594 a	0.555 a	0.608 a	0.099 ab	0.14 a	0.079 b
1.125	0.586 a	0.574 a	0.558 a	0.096 a	0.122 a	0.13 a
1.375	0.591 a	0.596 a	0.552 a	0.091 a	0.09 b	0.167 a
1.625	0.569 a	0.538 a	0.506 a	0.103 b	0.147 ab	0.175 a
1.875	0.56 a	0.566 a	0.508 a	0.11 a	0.106 a	0.154 a
Mean	0.593 a	0.578 a	0.567 a	0.101 a	0.11 a	0.122 a
	$V_{MI} - 0.2 \mu m < \emptyset \leq 50 \mu m$			$V_{CRI} - \emptyset \leq 0.2 \mu m$		
	C	STW	W	C	STW	W
0.125	0.207	0.137	0.121	0.087 a	0.197 a	0.177 a
0.375	0.142	0.153	0.122	0.138 a	0.146 a	0.165 a
0.625	0.150	0.146	0.113	0.137 b	0.151 ab	0.191 a
0.875	0.176	0.128	0.132	0.131 b	0.177 ab	0.181 a
1.125	0.200	0.148	0.164	0.119 a	0.156 a	0.149 a
1.375	0.192	0.155	0.155	0.125 a	0.158 a	0.154 a
1.625	0.209	0.171	0.174	0.120 a	0.144 a	0.145 a
1.875	0.209	0.164	0.195	0.122 b	0.164 a	0.143 ab
Mean	0.186 a	0.150 b	0.147 b	0.122 b	0.162 a	0.163 a

<sup>(1)</sup> At each depth, same letters represent no significant difference between plots (Tukey test,  $p < 0.05$ ).

The analysis of cryptoporosity indicated an increase of  $V_{CRI}$  in the irrigated plots throughout the soil profile, from +6 to +127 % (mean value for soil profile: +33 %, or +0.040  $m^3 m^{-3}$ ) and from +17 to +105 % (mean value for soil profile: +34 or +0.041  $m^3 m^{-3}$ ), respectively, for the STW and W plots. These results can be compared to the increase in soil microporosity reported Jnad et al. (2001): they defined microporosity as the volume of pores with diameter smaller than 6  $\mu m$ , which included cryptoporosity and part of the microporosity of the present study. The insignificant differences between the use of sodic STW or sodic water confirmed that pore size distribution is mainly altered by  $Na^+$ , as mentioned by Jnad et al. (2001), whereas it seems that the role of organic C concentration is rather insignificant. Cryptopores are related to the intra-aggregate porosity and its increase was probably associated to the aggregate expansion by  $Na^+$  intrusion, associated to SAR, a phenomenon explained by Sumner (1993). As demonstrated by Gloaguen et al. (2009), the soil solution in cryptoporosity is much more concentrated than in micro- or macroporosity, as the salt concentrations increase exponentially when the pore diameter decreases. As equivalent increases of  $Na^+$ ,  $Ca^{2+}$  and  $Mg^{2+}$  result in higher SAR ( $Na^+ / \sqrt{(Ca^{2+} + Mg^{2+})}$ ), higher impact of  $Na^+$  is expected in cryptoporosity (within the micro-aggregates), resulting in aggregate expansion instead of dispersion, more common at lower  $Na^+$  concentrations.

These changes in soil porosity were more apparent in the first soil meter that was directly affected by irrigation and water infiltration, but the impact was also observable to a soil depth of 2 m. Actually, a small increment of  $Na^+$  content in the second soil meter, resulting from the easy leaching of  $Na^+$  during rain events, can rapidly cause structural soil damage due to the common low  $Ca^{2+}$  and  $Mg^{2+}$  contents at this depth in Oxisols.

Changes in the pore size distribution evidently modify soil water retention. The direct relation between pore size distribution and the soil water content can be defined as followed: macropores control the water content at soil saturation ( $\theta_s$ ), micropores the water content at field capacity ( $\theta_{FC}$ ), and cryptopores the residual water content ( $\theta_R$ ).

The mean  $\theta_R$  values were significantly higher in the plots irrigated with STW and water than in the control plot (Table 5), as also observed by Jnad et al. (2001). No difference was observed between the W and STW plots (only 0.001  $m^3 m^{-3}$ ), expressing the predominant effect of  $Na^+$  in  $\theta_R$ . The  $\theta_s$  values were also always higher in the W and STW plots than in C, although the differences were not significant. The third characteristic water content,  $\theta_{FC}$ , reveals a higher water retention capacity in the irrigated plots (STW and W), probably due to the clogging of macropores by clay dispersion, limiting water drainage.

**Table 5. Water content at field capacity ( $\theta_{FC}$ ), saturation ( $\theta_s$ ) and residual water content ( $\theta_R$ ) in the control (C), wastewater-irrigated (STW) and water-irrigated (W) plots**

Depth	$\theta_R$			$\theta_{FC}$			$\theta_s$		
	C	STW	W	C	STW	W	C	STW	W
m	$m^3 m^{-3}$								
0.125	0.084 a	0.196 a	0.176 a	0.242 a	0.270 a	0.272 a	0.399 a	0.392 a	0.386 a
0.375	0.130 a	0.143 a	0.166 a	0.241 a	0.280 a	0.267 a	0.375 a	0.390 a	0.408 a
0.625	0.141 a	0.148 a	0.189 a	0.257 a	0.250 a	0.285 a	0.392 a	0.424 a	0.397 a
0.875	0.126 b	0.172 ab	0.178 a	0.252 a	0.300 a	0.272 a	0.408 a	0.446 a	0.393 a
1.125	0.134 a	0.154 a	0.146 a	0.257 a	0.280 a	0.281 a	0.405 a	0.426 a	0.444 a
1.375	0.125 a	0.159 a	0.146 a	0.253 a	0.270 a	0.287 a	0.409 a	0.410 a	0.450 a
1.625	0.115 a	0.138 a	0.137 a	0.262 a	0.290 a	0.304 a	0.440 a	0.465 a	0.495 a
1.875	0.116 b	0.160 a	0.140 ab	0.274 a	0.290 a	0.298 a	0.455 a	0.439 a	0.491 a
Mean	0.121 b	0.159 a	0.160 a	0.255 b	0.279 a	0.283 a	0.410 a	0.424 a	0.433 a

<sup>(1)</sup> At each depth, same letters represent no significant difference between the plots (Tukey test,  $p < 0.05$ ).

## CONCLUSIONS

1. Irrigation with treated wastewater and with water (both sodic waters) modifies the soil pore size distribution by slightly increasing macroporosity (pore diameter higher than 50  $\mu m$ ) and decreasing microporosity (0.2–50  $\mu m$ ).

2. The cryptoporosity (< 0.2  $\mu m$ ) increased due to the high  $Na^+$  concentration in small pores that causing expansion of microaggregates.

3. A consequence of changes in soil pore distribution was higher residual soil moisture and higher moisture at field capacity.

## LITERATURE CITED

- AYERS, R.S. & WESTCOT, D.W. A qualidade da água na agricultura. Campina Grande, Universidade Federal da Paraíba, 1991 (Irrigation and Drainage Paper, FAO, 29)
- BAGARELLO, V.; IOVINO, M.; PALAZZOLO, E.; PANNO, M. & REYNOLDS, W.D. Field and laboratory approaches for determining sodicity effects on saturated soil hydraulic conductivity. *Geoderma*, 130:1-13, 2005.
- BALKS, M.R.; BOND, W.J. & SMITH, C.J. Effects of sodium accumulation on soil physical properties under an effluent-irrigated plantation. *Austr. J. Soil Res.*, 36:821-830, 1998.
- BLAKE, G.R. & HARTGE, K.H. Bulk density. In: KLUTE, A., ed. *Methods of soil analysis: Physical and mineralogical methods*. Madison, American Society of Agronomy/Soil Science Society of America, 1986. Part 1. p.363-376.
- BOUMA, J. Unsaturated flow during soil treatment of septic tank effluent. *J. Environ. Eng.*, 101:967-981, 1975.
- CAMARGO, O.A.; MONIZ, A.C.; JORGE, J.A. & VALADARES, J.M.A.S. *Métodos de análise química, mineralógica e física de solos do Instituto Agronômico de Campinas*. Campinas, Instituto Agronômico de Campinas, 1986. 94p. (Technical Bulletin, 106)
- COOK, F.J.; KELLIHER, F.M. & Mc MAHON, S.D. Changes in infiltration during wastewater irrigation of a highly permeable soil. *J. Environ. Qual.*, 23:476-482, 1994.
- DARWISH, M.R.; EL-AWAR, F.A.; SHARARA, M. & HAMDAR, B. Economic-environmental approach for optimum wastewater utilization in irrigation: A case study in Lebanon. *Appl. Eng. Agric.*, 15:41-48, 1999.
- FEIGIN, A.; RAVINA, I. & SHALHEVET, J. *Irrigation with treated sewage effluent: Management for environmental protection*. Berlin, Springer-Verlag, 1991. 224p.
- FERREIRA, M.M. & MARCOS, Z.Z. Estimativa da capacidade de campo de Latossolo Roxo distrófico e Regossolo através do ponto de inflexão da curva característica de umidade. *Ci. Prática*, 7:96-101, 1983.
- GLOAGUEN, T.V.; FORTI, M.C.; LUCAS, Y.; MONTES, C.R.; GONÇALVES, R.A.B.; HERPIN, U. & MELFI, A.J. Soil solution chemistry of a Brazilian Oxisol irrigated with treated sewage effluent. *Agric. Water Manag.*, 88:119-131, 2007.
- GLOAGUEN, T.V.; PEREIRA, F.A.C.; GONCALVES, R.A.B. & PAZ, V.S. Composição química da solução do solo nas diferentes classes de poro do solo. *R. Bras. Ci. Solo*, 33:1105-1113, 2009.
- GONÇALVES, R.A.B.; FOLEGATTI, M.V.; GLOAGUEN, T.V.; LIBARDI, P.L.; MONTES, C.R.; LUCAS, Y.; DIAS, C.T.S. & MELFI, A.J. Hydraulic conductivity of a soil irrigated with treated sewage effluent. *Geoderma*, 139:241-248, 2007.
- HALLIWELL, D.J.; BARLOW, K.M. & NASH, D.M. A review of the effects of wastewater sodium on soil physical properties and their implications for irrigation systems. *Austr. J. Soil Res.*, 39:1259-1267, 2001.

- JNAD, I.; LESIKAR, B.; KENIMER, A. & SABBAGH, G. Subsurface drip dispersal of residential effluent: II. Soil hydraulic characteristics. *Trans. Am. Soc. Agron. Eng.*, 44:1159-1165, 2001.
- KLEIN, V.A. & LIBARDI, P.L. Densidade e distribuição do diâmetro dos poros de um Latossolo Vermelho, sob diferentes sistemas de uso e manejo. *R. Bras. Ci. Solo*, 26:857-867, 2002.
- LIBARDI, P.L. Dinâmica da água no solo. São Paulo, EDUSP, 2005. 344p.
- MAGESAN, G.N.; WILLIAMSON, J.C.; SPARLING, G.P.; SCHIPPER, L.A. & LLOYD-JONES, A.R. Hydraulic conductivity in soils irrigated with wastewaters of differing strengths: Field and laboratory studies. *Austr. J. Soil Res.*, 37:391-402, 1999.
- MEENNER, J.C.; Mc LAY, C.D.A. & LEE, R. Effects of sodium-contaminated wastewater on soil permeability of two New Zealand soils. *Austr. J. Soil Res.*, 39:877-891, 2001.
- MELLO, C.R.; OLIVEIRA, G.C.; RESCK, D.V.S.; LIMA, J.M. & DIAS JÚNIOR, M.S. Estimativa da capacidade de campo baseada no ponto de inflexão da curva característica. *Ci. Agrotec.*, 26:836-841, 2002.
- RICHARDS, L.A. Physical conditions of water in soil. In: BLACK, C.A. *Methods of soil analysis*. Madison, ASTM, 1965. p.128-152.
- SHAINBERG, I. & LETEY, J. Response of soils to sodic and saline conditions. *Hilgardia*, 52:1-57, 1984.
- SHAINBERG, I. & SHALHEVET, J. *Soil salinity under irrigation. Processes and management*. Berlin, Springer-Verlag, 1984. 358p.
- SIEGRIST, R.L. Soil clogging during subsurface wastewater infiltration as affected by effluent composition and loading rate. *J. Environ. Qual.*, 16:181-187, 1987.
- SO, H.B. & AYLMOORE, L.A.G. How do sodic soils behave? The effects of sodicity on soil physical behavior. *Austr. J. Soil Res.*, 31:761-777, 1993.
- SORT, X. & ALCANIZ, J.M. Modification of soil porosity after application of sewage sludge. *Soil Till. Res.*, 49:337-345, 1999.
- SUMNER, M.E. Sodic soils: New perspectives. *Austr. J. Soil Res.*, 31:683-750, 1993.
- van GENUCHTEN, M.T. A closed form equation for predicting the hydraulic conductivity of unsaturated soils. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 44:892-898, 1980.