



**HAL**  
open science

## The onset of energetic particle irradiation in Class 0 protostars

C. Favre, A. López-Sepulcre, C. Ceccarelli, C. Dominik, P. Caselli, E. Caux, A. Fuente, M. Kama, J. Le Bourlot, B. Lefloch, et al.

► **To cite this version:**

C. Favre, A. López-Sepulcre, C. Ceccarelli, C. Dominik, P. Caselli, et al.. The onset of energetic particle irradiation in Class 0 protostars. *Astronomy and Astrophysics - A&A*, 2017, 608, pp.A82. 10.1051/0004-6361/201630177 . hal-02180627

**HAL Id: hal-02180627**

**<https://hal.science/hal-02180627v1>**

Submitted on 19 Oct 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

# The onset of energetic particle irradiation in Class 0 protostars<sup>★</sup>

C. Favre<sup>1,2,★★</sup>, A. López-Sepulcre<sup>3</sup>, C. Ceccarelli<sup>1,2</sup>, C. Dominik<sup>4</sup>, P. Caselli<sup>5</sup>, E. Caux<sup>6,7</sup>, A. Fuente<sup>8</sup>, M. Kama<sup>9</sup>, J. Le Bourlot<sup>10</sup>, B. Lefloch<sup>1,2</sup>, D. Lis<sup>10,11</sup>, T. Montmerle<sup>12</sup>, M. Padovani<sup>13</sup>, and C. Vastel<sup>6,7</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Univ. Grenoble Alpes, IPAG, 38000 Grenoble, France  
e-mail: cfavre@arcetri.astro.it

<sup>2</sup> CNRS, IPAG, 38000 Grenoble, France

<sup>3</sup> Institut de Radioastronomie Millimétrique, 300 rue de la Piscine, 38406 Saint Martin d'Hères, France

<sup>4</sup> Astronomical Institute "Anton Pannekoek", University of Amsterdam, Kruislaan 403, 1098 SJ Amsterdam, The Netherlands

<sup>5</sup> Max-Planck-Institute for Extraterrestrial Physics (MPE), Giessenbachstr. 1, 85748 Garching, Germany

<sup>6</sup> Université de Toulouse, UPS-OMP, IRAP, 31013 Toulouse, France

<sup>7</sup> CNRS, IRAP, 9 Av. colonel Roche, BP 44346, 31028 Toulouse Cedex 4, France

<sup>8</sup> Observatorio Astronómico Nacional (OAN, IGN), Apdo 112, 28803 Alcalá de Henares, Spain

<sup>9</sup> Leiden Observatory, PO Box 9513, 2300 RA, Leiden, The Netherlands

<sup>10</sup> LERMA, Observatoire de Paris, PSL Research University, CNRS, Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ. Paris 06, 75014 Paris, France

<sup>11</sup> Cahill Center for Astronomy and Astrophysics 301-17, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA

<sup>12</sup> Institut d'Astrophysique de Paris, 98bis Bd Arago, 75014 Paris, France

<sup>13</sup> INAF-Osservatorio Astrofisico di Arcetri, Largo E. Fermi, 5, 50125 Firenze, Italy

Received 1 December 2016 / Accepted 21 August 2017

## ABSTRACT

**Context.** The early stages of low-mass star formation are likely to be subject to intense ionization by protostellar energetic MeV particles. As a result, the surrounding gas is enriched in molecular ions, such as HCO<sup>+</sup> and N<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup>. Nonetheless, this phenomenon remains poorly understood for Class 0 objects. Recently, based on *Herschel* observations taken as part of the key programme Chemical HERSchel Surveys of Star forming regions (CHESS), a very low HCO<sup>+</sup>/N<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup> abundance ratio of about three to four, has been reported towards the protocluster OMC-2 FIR4. This finding suggests a cosmic-ray ionization rate in excess of 10<sup>-14</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, much higher than the canonical value of  $\zeta = 3 \times 10^{-17} \text{ s}^{-1}$  (value expected in quiescent dense clouds).

**Aims.** We aim to assess the specificity of OMC-2 FIR4, we have extended this study to a sample of sources in low- and intermediate mass. More specifically, we seek to measure the HCO<sup>+</sup>/N<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup> abundance ratio from high energy lines ( $J \geq 6$ ) towards this source sample in order to infer the flux of energetic particles in the warm and dense gas surrounding the protostars.

**Methods.** We have used observations performed with the Heterodyne Instrument for the Far-Infrared spectrometer on board the *Herschel* Space Observatory towards a sample of nine protostars.

**Results.** We report HCO<sup>+</sup>/N<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup> abundance ratios in the range of five up to 73 towards our source sample. The large error bars do not allow us to conclude whether OMC-2 FIR4 is a peculiar source. Nonetheless, an important result is that the measured HCO<sup>+</sup>/N<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup> ratio does not vary with the source luminosity. At the present time, OMC-2 FIR4 remains the only source where a high flux of energetic particles is clearly evident. More sensitive and higher angular resolution observations are required to further investigate this process.

**Key words.** stars: formation – stars: protostars – ISM: molecules – cosmic rays

## 1. Introduction

Meteoritic materials conserve the traces of a violent past of the early solar system history. Specifically, the derived overabundance of short-lived radionuclides such as <sup>10</sup>Be provides evidence for a strong irradiation ( $\sim 10^{19}$ – $10^{20}$  protons cm<sup>-2</sup> in units of fluence) by energetic ( $\geq 10$ – $20$  MeV) particles (e.g. Gounelle et al. 2013). Various theories have been described in the literature to explain the origin of this energetic particle irradiation: a) galactic cosmic rays anchored to the solar prestellar clump magnetic field and focussed in the solar nebula (e.g.

Desch et al. 2004); b) particles accelerated in the atmosphere of the young Sun (Bricker & Caffee 2010); c) particles accelerated at the X-wind intersection (e.g. Gounelle et al. 2006, 2013), and, finally; d) particles accelerated in a dense supersonic protostellar jet (Padovani et al. 2015, 2016).



Unfortunately, it is difficult to distinguish between the above theories, as our own solar system's irradiation period is now over. If we could observe the process today in forming Sun-like stars, then we might have more constraints to understand what happened to our solar system. To this end, we would need to discover protostellar sources with signatures of energetic particle irradiation. Unfortunately, the direct detection of these energetic particles is impossible. The problem is similar to that of detecting the sources of acceleration of cosmic rays, as the latter are scattered by the galactic magnetic fields and, consequently, have lost the memory of their origin when they arrive on Earth. As

<sup>★</sup> *Herschel* is an ESA space observatory with science instruments provided by European-led principal investigator consortia and with important participation from NASA.

<sup>★★</sup> Present address: INAF Osservatorio Astrofisico di Arcetri, Largo E. Fermi 5, 50125 Firenze, Italy.

for the cosmic ray case, a way forward is to look for signatures of the interaction of the energetic particles with the immediate surrounding material. It turns out that two effects are, in principle, observable: i) an enhanced  $\gamma$ -ray emission, caused by the interaction of  $\geq 280$  MeV protons with the H-atoms (e.g. Hayakawa 1952; Stecker et al. 1971); and ii) an enhanced ionization fraction, caused by the interaction of 0.1–1 GeV particles (e.g. Indriolo et al. 2010; Ceccarelli et al. 2011).

In practice, however, for sensitivity reasons, only the second effect is observable in protostars. Also, since the source emitting the energetic particles is, supposedly, embedded in the accreting envelope, one needs to measure the ionization of the gas close to it, namely in the inner warm and dense regions. One way to do this is to observe ions that have directly or indirectly been created by the energetic particles, with relatively high upper level energy transitions, in order to probe the dense and warm gas. Two ions satisfy these two criteria:  $\text{HCO}^+$  and  $\text{N}_2\text{H}^+$ . Indeed, both possess rotational transitions in the sub-millimeter with the appropriate upper level energies ( $\geq 100$  K), and they are created by the reaction of  $\text{H}_3^+$  (an ion that is almost directly created by the energetic particles or X-ray induced secondary electrons) with CO and  $\text{N}_2$ , respectively:



A first observation of the high lying transitions (with  $J \geq 6$ , namely upper level energy  $\geq 100$  K) of  $\text{HCO}^+$  and  $\text{N}_2\text{H}^+$  was obtained by Ceccarelli et al. (2014) towards the protocluster OMC-2 FIR4 (Shimajiri et al. 2008; López-Sepulcre et al. 2013) by HSO (*Herschel* Space Observatory) within the Key Project CHESS (Ceccarelli et al. 2010). Unexpectedly, the derived  $\text{HCO}^+/\text{N}_2\text{H}^+$  abundance ratio turned out to be very low, 3–4, when compared to that of gas in ‘standard’ conditions ( $\gg 10$ , e.g. Sanhueza et al. 2012; Hoq et al. 2013). When considering the formation (Eqs. (1) and (2)) and destruction routes of both molecules, it turns out that the only way to obtain such a low  $\text{HCO}^+/\text{N}_2\text{H}^+$  abundance ratio is when both molecules are destroyed by electrons, which can only happen when the gas ionization is dominated by i) energetic particles (which is the case of OMC-2 FIR4, see Ceccarelli et al. 2014) or ii) X-ray irradiation (Stäuber et al. 2005), both of which interact with atomic and molecular hydrogen to produce  $\text{H}_3^+$  and electrons. Alternatively, a low  $\text{HCO}^+/\text{N}_2\text{H}^+$  abundance ratio can be a result of the depletion of gaseous CO with respect to  $\text{N}_2$  due to the CO freeze-out. Indeed, the CO gas-phase depletion by adsorption onto grains mantles would then decrease the  $\text{HCO}^+$  abundance, and likely enhance that of  $\text{N}_2\text{H}^+$  (see Sect. 5). However, this occurs only in cold ( $\leq 20$ – $25$  K) material, which is not the case in OMC-2 FIR4, since the temperature probed by the  $J \geq 6$  transitions is  $\geq 30$  K. Finally, a high abundance of water could also destroy  $\text{HCO}^+$  and  $\text{N}_2\text{H}^+$  (e.g. Stäuber et al. 2006) so that their ratio might decrease (see also Ceccarelli et al. 2014). Again, in the case of OMC-2 FIR4, the observed water abundance is too low for this explanation to be valid. Therefore, the observed low ratio can only be explained by the presence of one or more embedded sources emitting a large flux of energetic particles. Furthermore, the derived dose of energetic particles is similar to that experienced by the young solar system (for further details, see Ceccarelli et al. 2014).

These findings lead one to ask whether OMC-2 FIR4 is a peculiar source or if other protostars do experience the same process. If the latter, what does the process depend on: age, luminosity, mass or multiplicity? In order to address the above questions,

we followed up with a survey of the high- $J$   $\text{HCO}^+$  and  $\text{N}_2\text{H}^+$  HSO observations towards a sample of low- and intermediate-mass embedded protostars. In Sect. 2, we present the observations. Results are presented and discussed in Sects. 3–5, with conclusions set out in Sect. 6.

## 2. Observations and data reduction

### 2.1. Source sample

Our survey is composed of a sample of nine well known low- and intermediate-mass embedded protostars that are listed in Table 1 along with their respective coordinates, distances from the Sun, luminosities and LSR velocities. The sources were selected to cover a wide range of luminosities, from  $1 L_\odot$  up to  $500 L_\odot$  (see Table 1), and consequently, likely masses and evolutionary stages.

### 2.2. Observed frequencies

As we aimed to derive accurate  $\text{HCO}^+/\text{N}_2\text{H}^+$  abundance ratios towards the inner dense and warm region of the envelope surrounding the target sources, high transitions ( $6 \leq J \leq 12$ ) of  $\text{HCO}^+$  and  $\text{N}_2\text{H}^+$  were selected. Furthermore,  $\text{H}^{13}\text{CO}^+$  observations were performed to evaluate  $\text{HCO}^+$  optical depth. The observed  $\text{HCO}^+$ ,  $\text{H}^{13}\text{CO}^+$  and  $\text{N}_2\text{H}^+$  frequencies are listed in Table 2 together with the spectroscopic line parameters. Observations of all the targeted lines (see Table 2) have been performed towards our source sample, except for the  $\text{HCO}^+$  (12–11) transition, which has not been observed towards the following objects: NGC 1333-IRAS2, Serpens-FIRS 1, and NGC 7129-FIRS2.

### 2.3. *Herschel* HIFI observations

The observations were performed with the Heterodyne Instrument for the Far-Infrared (HIFI) spectrometer (de Graauw et al. 2010) on board the *Herschel* Space Observatory (Pilbratt et al. 2010) between 2012 April and August as part of a *Herschel* Open Time. The data were obtained in fast chop dual beam switch (DBS programme) mode pointed towards our sources (see coordinates in Table 1) at a spectral resolution of 1.1 MHz. The HPBW lies in the range  $18''$  up to  $41''$  (at 1.2 THz and 535 GHz, respectively).

Data were exported to CLASS90 that is part of the GILDAS software<sup>1</sup> for reduction and analysis purposes. Calibration uncertainties are estimated to be less than 15% (Roelfsema et al. 2012). The continuum emission was fitted using a first-order polynomial and then subtracted from the scans. Then, the spectra that are reported in this study were – for each source and each targeted line – obtained after “stitching” data from each scan. Also, noting that the line-widths of the observed lines are in the range of 1–4 km s<sup>-1</sup> (see Sect. 3), the spectra were smoothed to a spectral resolution of 2.2 MHz. Finally, spectra that are shown in this paper are in units of the main beam temperature ( $T_{\text{MB}}$ ). The intensity conversion from antenna temperature ( $T_{\text{A}}^*$ ) to main beam temperature was done using the efficiencies (which include the frequency dependency) given by Michael Mueller and Willem Jellema (2014)<sup>2</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> <http://www.iram.fr/IRAMFR/GILDAS/>

<sup>2</sup> See [http://herschel.esac.esa.int/twiki/pub/Public/HifiCalibrationWeb/HifiBeamReleaseNote\\_Sep2014.pdf](http://herschel.esac.esa.int/twiki/pub/Public/HifiCalibrationWeb/HifiBeamReleaseNote_Sep2014.pdf)

Table 1. Selected sources.


Source	RA (J2000)	Dec. (J2000)	D (pc)	$L_{\text{bol}} (L_{\odot})$	$v_{\text{LSR}} (\text{km s}^{-1})$	Ref.	Herschel OBSIDs
Low mass							
VLA1623	16 26 26.4	-24 24 30.0	120	1	3.8	1, 2, 3	1342249850, 1342250201, 1342250476, 1342250715, 1342250733, 1342251210, 1342251211, 1342251248 and 1342251504
L1527	04 39 53.9	+26 03 10.0	140	2	5.9	4, 5, 6	1342249400, 1342249416, 1342249434, 1342249435, 1342249615, 342249616, 342249861, 1342250193 and 1342250194
L1157-MM	20 39 06.2	+68 02 22.0	325	11	2.6	5, 6, 7, 8, 9	1342245336, 1342245337, 1342246461, 1342246462, 1342246499, 1342245998, 1342245999, 1342246075 and 1342247020
NGC 1333-IRAS2	03 28 55.4	+31 14 35.0	220	16	7.45	10, 11	1342248525, 1342248896, 1342248912, 1342248913, 1342249430, 1342249431, 1342249604 and 1342249606
Intermediate mass							
Serpens-FIRS 1	18 29 49.6	+01 15 20.6	230	33	8	12, 13	1342243674, 1342251636, 1342251637, 1342253636, 1342253795, 1342254436, 1342254437 and 1342268145
L1641 S3 MMS 1	05 39 55.9	-07 30 28.0	500	67	5	13	1342249619, 1342249863, 1342250183, 1342250184, 1342250679, 1342251109, 1342251207, 1342251208 and 1342251507
Cep E-mm	23 03 13.1	+61 42 26.0	730	100	-11	6, 12, 13	1342246466, 1342246467, 1342246009, 1342246010, 1342246065, 1342246074, 1342246333, 1342246337 and 1342247171
IC 1396N	21 40 41.7	+58 16 12.8	750	150	0.5	12, 13	1342245332, 1342245333, 1342245587, 1342246002, 1342246003, 1342246464, 1342246465, 1342247022 and 1342247174
NGC 7129-FIRS2	21 43 01.7	+66 03 23.6	1250	500	-10	12, 13	1342243672, 1342245334, 1342245335, 1342246468, 1342246469, 1342246000, 1342246001, 1342246001 and 1342247039

**References.** (1) Andre et al. (1993); (2) Loinard et al. (2008); (3) Maury et al. (2012); (4) Karska et al. (2013); (5) Kristensen et al. (2012); (6) López-Sepulcre et al. (2015); (7) Straizys et al. (1992); (8) Giannini et al. (2001); (9) Jørgensen et al. (2007); (10) Jørgensen et al. (2004); (11) Cernis (1990); (12) Crimier et al. (2010); and (13) Alonso-Albi et al. (2010).

**Table 2.** Spectroscopic line parameters.

Molecule	Transition	Frequency (MHz)	$E_{\text{up}}$ (K)	$S\mu^2$ (D <sup>2</sup> )
HCO <sup>+</sup>	6–5	535 061.581	89.9	91.3
HCO <sup>+</sup>	8–7	713 341.228	154.1	121.7
HCO <sup>+</sup>	11–10	980 636.494	282.4	167.3
HCO <sup>+</sup>	12–11	1 069 693.891	333.8	182.5
H <sup>13</sup> CO <sup>+</sup>	6–5	520 459.884	87.4	91.3
H <sup>13</sup> CO <sup>+</sup>	7–6	607 174.646	116.6	106.5
H <sup>13</sup> CO <sup>+</sup>	9–8	780 562.812	187.3	136.9
N <sub>2</sub> H <sup>+</sup>	6–5	558 966.503	93.9	624.3
N <sub>2</sub> H <sup>+</sup>	8–7	745 209.868	160.9	832.3
N <sub>2</sub> H <sup>+</sup>	11–10	1 024 443.025	295.1	1144.3

**Notes.** We used the spectroscopic data parameters from Davies & Rothwell (1984), Kawaguchi et al. (1985), Hirota & Endo (1988), Botschwina et al. (1993), Lattanzi et al. (2007) and Tinti et al. (2007) for HCO<sup>+</sup>, from Gregersen & Evans (2001), Schmid-Burgk et al. (2004) and Lattanzi et al. (2007) for H<sup>13</sup>CO<sup>+</sup> and, from Verhoeve et al. (1990), Havenith et al. (1990), Caselli et al. (1995), Amano et al. (2005) and Pagani et al. (2009) for N<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup>. We note that all spectroscopic data are available from the Cologne Database for Molecular Spectroscopy molecular line catalog (CDMS, Müller et al. 2005) at Splatalogue (<http://www.splatalogue.net>; Remijan et al. 2007).




**Fig. 1.** Spectra observed towards Serpens-FIRS 1. Dashed red lines indicate a  $v_{\text{LSR}} = 8.2 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . The name of the observed transition is indicated on each plot.

### 3. Results

In the following (sub)sections, we present and describe in detail the results that were obtained with the HSO/HIFI data.

#### 3.1. Spectra

Figures 1 and 2 show the respective spectra of the HCO<sup>+</sup>, H<sup>13</sup>CO<sup>+</sup> and N<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup> transitions (see Table 2) observed with *Herschel* towards the Serpens-FIRS 1 and IC 1396N protostars and, Figs. A.1 to A.7 display the spectra of the other observed sources. For display purposes, the spectra have been smoothed to a spectral resolution of 4.4 MHz. The bulk of the molecular emission appears to peak close to the systemic velocity of the targeted sources (see Table 1). The observed line parameters of the HCO<sup>+</sup>, H<sup>13</sup>CO<sup>+</sup> and N<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup> transitions towards our survey are summarized in Tables B.1 to B.9. In the following analysis, we have assumed that the molecular emission line is i) clearly detected if the peak intensity is greater than  $3\sigma$  and the integrated intensity,  $\int T_{\text{MB}}dV$ , is greater than  $5\sigma$ ; ii) “weakly” detected if the peak intensity is lower than the  $3\sigma$  level but  $\int T_{\text{MB}}dV \geq 5\sigma$ ; and iii) tentatively detected if both the peak intensity and  $\int T_{\text{MB}}dV$  are at the  $3\sigma$  level. The measured



**Fig. 2.** Spectra observed towards IC 1396N. Dashed red lines indicate a  $v_{\text{LSR}} = 0.5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . The name of the observed transition is indicated on each plot.

integrated line intensities of HCO<sup>+</sup>, H<sup>13</sup>CO<sup>+</sup> and N<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup> in all the sources are listed in Table 3.

#### 3.1.1. HCO<sup>+</sup>

All the targeted HCO<sup>+</sup> transitions (see Table 2) are detected towards Serpens-FIRS 1, IC 1396N, NGC 1333-IRAS2, L1641 S3 MMS 1 and NGC 7129-FIRS2. We note that the HCO<sup>+</sup> (6–5) transition is the only one detected towards L1527 and L1157-MM. Finally, we only report a detection of the HCO<sup>+</sup> (12–11) transitions in direction of the sources L1641 S3 MMS 1 and IC 1396N. Incidentally, it is important to note that the line profile of HCO<sup>+</sup> (6–5) appears broadened (e.g. see Fig. 2 towards IC 1396N) by emission from different components within the *Herschel* beam. In this study, we only focus on the emission arising from the inner dense and warm region of the envelopes surrounding our source sample. We have therefore decomposed the observed profiles into two Gaussians: a broad one and a narrow one. More specifically, we only analysed the emitting gas from the region in which we assume that HCO<sup>+</sup> and N<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup> are

**Table 3.** Integrated line intensities of HCO<sup>+</sup>, H<sup>13</sup>CO<sup>+</sup> and N<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup> towards our source sample.

Source	$\int T_{\text{MBdV}} (\text{K km s}^{-1})$									
	HCO <sup>+</sup>			H <sup>13</sup> CO <sup>+</sup>			N <sub>2</sub> H <sup>+</sup>			
	(6–5)	(8–7)	(11–10)	(12–11)	(6–5)	(7–8)	(9–8)	(6–5)	(8–7)	(11–10)
VLA1623	2.2(0.4)	0.7(0.2)	≤0.5	≤0.3	0.10(0.06)	≤0.2	≤0.3	0.16(0.06)	≤0.3	≤0.2
L1527	1.26(0.09)	≤0.8	≤1.0	≤0.6	≤0.3	≤0.4	≤0.3	≤0.3	≤0.5	≤0.4
L1157-MM	0.63(0.09)	≤0.8	≤0.8	≤0.5	≤0.2	≤0.3	≤0.2	≤0.2	≤0.4	≤0.3
NGC 1333-IRAS2	1.4(0.6)	1.5(0.3)	0.8(0.3)	–	0.09(0.06)	≤0.1	≤0.1	0.36(0.09)	≤0.6	≤0.6
Serpens-FIRS 1	3.8(0.6)	3.2(0.3)	1.9(0.3)	–	0.41(0.09)	0.29(0.09)	0.08(0.06)	1.21(0.09)	0.7(0.1)	0.10(0.08)
L1641 S3 MMS 1	0.9(0.4)	1.5(0.3)	1.6(0.3)	1.0(0.3)	≤0.2	≤0.1	≤0.1	0.18(0.09)	≤0.3	≤0.2
Cep E-mm	1.9(0.2)	1.3(0.4)	0.3(0.2)	≤0.9	≤0.2	≤0.2	≤0.2	0.11(0.06)	≤0.3	≤0.2
IC 1396N	6.7(0.6)	3.4(0.5)	2.24(0.05)	1.31(0.03)	0.21(0.10)	0.2(0.1)	≤0.4	0.9(0.1)	0.8(0.2)	≤0.6
NGC 7129-FIRS2	1.2(0.2)	1.6(0.4)	0.5(0.3)	–	≤0.5	≤0.5	≤0.4	0.34(0.09)	≤0.6	≤0.4

**Notes.** For further details, see Tables B.1 to B.9. The  $3\sigma$  uncertainties that are given in brackets result from Gaussian fits performed with the CLASS software. Finally, note that the HCO<sup>+</sup> (12–11) transition has not been observed towards NGC 1333–IRAS2, Serpens–FIRS 1 and NGC 7129–FIRS2 (see Sect. 2.2).

both co-spatial, namely on the narrow component. Therefore, the present study only reports the parameters associated with this region in its tables and figures.

### 3.1.2. H<sup>13</sup>CO<sup>+</sup>

The H<sup>13</sup>CO<sup>+</sup> (6–5) transition is detected towards the Serpens-FIRS 1, IC 1396N, VLA1623 and NGC 1333-IRAS2 sources. In addition, we report a detection and tentative detection of H<sup>13</sup>CO<sup>+</sup> (7–6) in the direction of the Serpens-FIRS 1 and IC 1396N intermediate-mass protostars, respectively. Incidentally, we tentatively detect H<sup>13</sup>CO<sup>+</sup> (9–8) towards Serpens-FIRS 1. Finally, we do not detect H<sup>13</sup>CO<sup>+</sup> towards the following five sources: L1527, L1157-MM, L1641 S3 MMS 1, Cep E-mm, and NGC 7129-FIRS2.

### 3.1.3. N<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup>

The N<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup> (6–5) transition is detected towards the following 6 protostars: Serpens-FIRS 1, IC 1396N, VLA1623, NGC 1333-IRAS2, L1641 S3 MMS 1 and NGC 7129-FIRS2 and tentatively detected towards Cep E-mm. We also report the detection of N<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup> (8–7) in the direction of Serpens-FIRS 1 and IC 1396N. The N<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup> (11–10) is tentatively detected towards Serpens-FIRS 1. However, we do not detect N<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup> towards either L1527 and L1157-MM.

To summarize, the main observational results (see Table 3), are as follows:

- The four HCO<sup>+</sup> transitions have been detected in the majority of the sources, with the lowest transition,  $J = 6-5$ , detected in all of them.
- On the contrary, the H<sup>13</sup>CO<sup>+</sup> lines are mostly undetected, with even the  $J = 6-5$  line detected in only four out of the nine sources.
- Finally, the N<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup>  $J = 6-5$  line was detected in seven out of the nine sources and the  $J = 8-7$  in only two of them.

### 3.2. Spectral line energy distribution (SLED) of HCO<sup>+</sup>

The sources observed in the present study lie at different distance from the Sun (see Table 1). Beam dilution not only depends on the source size of the emitting region but in addition depends on the distance of the source. Under the assumption of an emitting region of 0.05 pc for each source, we can define the beam dilution factor,  $B$ , as follows (Goldsmith & Langer 1999):

$$B = \frac{(0.05/d)^2}{(\theta_b)^2 + (0.05/d)^2}, \quad (3)$$

where  $d$  is the distance from the Sun (pc) and  $\theta_b$  the *Herschel* beam (″), respectively. For each source, the respective derived  $B$  factor (see Table 4) was used to correct for beam dilution the integrated line intensity of the HCO<sup>+</sup> lines.

Figure 3 shows the observed spectral line energy distribution (SLED) of HCO<sup>+</sup>, corrected for beam dilution, as a function of the upper  $J$  transition towards all sources. Table 5 gives the measured integrated line intensity ratios, HCO<sup>+</sup> (6–5)/HCO<sup>+</sup> (8–7), towards our survey. It is immediately apparent that the HCO<sup>+</sup> (6–5)/(8–7) line ratio suggests two classes of sources. Indeed, in VLA1623, IC 1396N and perhaps Cep E-mm, this ratio is about three, whereas it is closer to unity (within the error bars) towards the other sources. This finding strongly suggests that the gas is

**Table 4.** Beam dilution factor.

Source	$D$ (pc)	Beam dilution factor, $B$			
		HCO <sup>+</sup> (6–5)	HCO <sup>+</sup> (8–7)	HCO <sup>+</sup> (11–10)	HCO <sup>+</sup> (12–11)
VLA1623	120	0.82	0.89	0.94	0.95
L1527	140	0.77	0.86	0.92	0.93
L1157-MM	325	0.39	0.52	0.68	0.72
NGC 1333-IRAS2	220	0.58	0.71	0.82	0.85
Serpens-FIRS 1	230	0.56	0.69	0.81	0.83
L1641 S3 MMS 1	500	0.21	0.32	0.47	0.52
Cep E-mm	730	0.11	0.18	0.29	0.33
IC 1396N	750	0.11	0.17	0.28	0.32
NGC 7129-FIRS2	1250	0.04	0.07	0.12	0.15

**Table 5.** Measured integrated line intensity HCO<sup>+</sup> (6–5)/HCO<sup>+</sup> (8–7) ratios towards our source sample.


Source	HCO <sup>+</sup> (6–5)/HCO <sup>+</sup> (8–7)
VLA1623	3.4(1.2)
L1527	>1.8
L1157-MM	>1.1
NGC 1333-IRAS2	1.1(0.5)
Serpens-FIRS 1	1.5(0.3)
L1641 S3 MMS 1	0.9(0.4)
Cep E-mm	2.4(0.8)
IC 1396N	3.0(0.5)
NGC 7129-FIRS2	1.3(0.4)

warmer and denser in the latter group of sources. Nonetheless, a caveat of this approach is related to the opacities of the HCO<sup>+</sup> (8–7) lines. Here, we have assumed that the emission is optically thin. However, if  $\tau_{\text{HCO}^+(8-7)} \gg 1$ , the gas probed towards VLA1623 and IC 1396N could be warmer.

Unfortunately, given the reduced number of detected lines in each source, we could not carry out an LVG analysis to constrain the density and temperature of the emitting gas, as was done in Ceccarelli et al. (2014) for OMC-2 FIR4.

#### 4. HCO<sup>+</sup>/N<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup> abundance ratio determination

As explained in the Introduction, the goal of this article is to measure the HCO<sup>+</sup>/N<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup> abundance ratio towards our sample of low-mass and intermediate-mass sources in order to infer the flux of energetic particles in the interior of the protostar envelopes. To derive this ratio, we used the integrated line intensity ratio of the HCO<sup>+</sup> (6–5) and N<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup> (6–5) lines, which are the main lines detected in the majority of the sources (see Table 3). This approach relies on the assumption that HCO<sup>+</sup> emits from the same region as N<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup>. As these ( $J = 6-5$ ) transitions probe warm and dense gas and are chemically similar, this assumption is likely correct. More specifically, in the following sections, we obtain a robust estimate from the HCO<sup>+</sup> (6–5) over N<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup> (6–5) line intensity ratio towards each observed source. In addition, it is important to note that the measured integrated line intensity ratios do not suffer from beam dilution effect because the two targeted transitions have similar line frequencies and have been observed within the same beam. Thus, since the two transitions have similar critical density and upper level energy (see Table 2), the intensity ratio conversion to an abundance ratio only assumes that the two species are co-existing in the telescope beam, once the ratio is corrected for the line opacity (see below).

**Fig. 3.** SLED of HCO<sup>+</sup> as a function of the upper  $J$  transition towards the observed sources (see Table 1). The name of the source is indicated in each panel.

As a consequence, the obtained ratio represents an upper limit to the lowest HCO<sup>+</sup>/N<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup> abundance ratio in the region.

##### 4.1. Line opacity

As stated above, the HCO<sup>+</sup> abundance relative to N<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup> depends on the optical depth. The observations of the H<sup>13</sup>CO<sup>+</sup> lines,

**Table 6.** Measured integrated line intensity  $\text{HCO}^+$  (6–5)/ $\text{N}_2\text{H}^+$  (6–5) ratios towards our source sample.

Source	$\tau_{\text{HCO}^+}$	$\text{HCO}^+$ (6–5)/ $\text{N}_2\text{H}^+$ (6–5)
VLA1623	3.1	42.5(30.1)
L1527	–	>4
L1157-MM	–	>3
NGC 1333-IRAS2	4.5	17.0(12.1)
Serpens-FIRS 1	9.2	23.0(5.3)
L1641 S3 MMS 1	–	>5, <76
Cep E-mm	–	>17, <124
IC 1396N	2.9	15.9(7.8)
NGC 7129-FIRS2	–	>4, <100

allow us to estimate the optical depth of the  $\text{HCO}^+$  lines. To this end, we compared the integrated intensity of  $\text{HCO}^+$  (6–5) to that of  $\text{H}^{13}\text{CO}^+$  (6–5), when available (see Sect. 3.1.2 and Tables 3, and B.1 to B.9). From that, we estimated a flux ratio of 22, 16, 9 and 32 towards the VLA1623, NGC 1333-IRAS2, Serpens-FIRS 1 and IC 1396N protostars, respectively. Thus, if we assume an isotopic ratio of  $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C} = 68$  for the local ISM (see Milam et al. 2005), the  $\text{HCO}^+$  (6–5) line is optically thick in all these sources, with  $\tau$  lying in the range approximately two up to eight (see Table 6).


Regarding  $\text{N}_2\text{H}^+$ , it is important to state that our analysis hinges upon the assumption that the  $\text{N}_2\text{H}^+$  (6–5) emission is optically thin. However, if  $\tau_{\text{N}_2\text{H}^+} \gg 1$ , the derived  $\text{HCO}^+/\text{N}_2\text{H}^+$  abundance ratio should be an upper limit for the true  $\text{HCO}^+/\text{N}_2\text{H}^+$  abundance ratio. In that instance, the true  $\text{HCO}^+/\text{N}_2\text{H}^+$  ratio would be smaller than the one reported in our study.

#### 4.2. $\text{HCO}^+/\text{N}_2\text{H}^+$ abundance ratio

Figure 4 shows the distribution of the  $\text{HCO}^+/\text{N}_2\text{H}^+$  (6–5) ratios, corrected for the  $\text{HCO}^+$  line opacity when available (see Table 6), that we derived towards our source sample. More specifically, the reported  $\text{HCO}^+/\text{N}_2\text{H}^+$  abundance ratios for VLA1623, NGC 1333-IRAS2, Serpens-FIRS 1 and IC 1396N are based on integrated line intensity ratios of the  $\text{H}^{13}\text{CO}^+$  (6–5) over  $\text{N}_2\text{H}^+$  (6–5), and assuming an isotopic  $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}$  ratio of 68 (see Milam et al. 2005). Regarding L1641 S3 MMS 1, Cep E-mm and NGC 7129-FIRS2 protostars, the measured abundance ratio is only based on the ratio between the integrated line intensity of the  $\text{HCO}^+$  (6–5) and  $\text{N}_2\text{H}^+$  (6–5) transitions. In the case of  $\tau \gg 1$ , we estimated an upper limit of the  $\text{HCO}^+/\text{N}_2\text{H}^+$  ratio (which is based on the upper limit of the  $\int T_{\text{H}^{13}\text{CO}^+(6-5)} dV$ , see Table 3) for these three sources and included it in the error bars displayed in Fig. 4. We note that the derived abundance ratios are given (Fig. 4 and Table 6) sorting the source by increasing luminosities and lie in the range of  $4_{-1}^{+100}$  up to  $42_{-31}^{+31}$ .

## 5. Discussion

Numerous studies have investigated the  $\text{HCO}^+/\text{N}_2\text{H}^+$  abundance ratio towards different astrophysical environments (e.g. Turner & Thaddeus 1977; Snyder et al. 1977; Kim et al. 2006; Lo et al. 2009; Meier & Turner 2012; Sanhueza et al. 2012; Ren et al. 2014; Stephens et al. 2015, and references therein). The latter is subject to variation according to the density of the region along with its chemical content (i.e. CO) and evolutionary stage.




**Fig. 4.** Distribution of the  $\text{HCO}^+/\text{N}_2\text{H}^+$  abundance ratios towards our source sample (see Table 1). The sources are sorted by increasing luminosities. Full dots with error bars indicate the abundance ratios that have been corrected for the line opacity when  $\text{H}^{13}\text{CO}^+$  (6–5) observations are available (see Sects. 4.1 and 4.2). Red circle marks the colder source of our sample (based on the  $\text{HCO}^+$  SLED, see Sect. 3.2). The blue filled square shows the  $\text{HCO}^+/\text{N}_2\text{H}^+$  ratio reported in direction of OMC-2 FIR4 by Ceccarelli et al. (2014). Finally, the red dashed lines show the predicted ratios that are expected for cosmic-rate ionization rates,  $\zeta$ , of  $10^{-14} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ,  $10^{-15} \text{ s}^{-1}$  and  $3 \times 10^{-17} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , for a gas temperature of 40 K and a  $n_{\text{H}_2}$  density of  $2.5 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  (for further details see Ceccarelli et al. 2014).

In particular, the  $\text{HCO}^+/\text{N}_2\text{H}^+$  ratio is expected and measured to be low in early stages of star formation ( $T < 10 \text{ K}$ ). This results from the adsorption of CO onto grain mantles at low temperature. In that instance,  $\text{N}_2\text{H}^+$  is unlikely to be destroyed by CO, as suggested by Eq. (4) (Bergin & Langer 1997):



On the contrary, in a more evolved stage, when CO is released in the gas phase (typically  $T > 20 \text{ K}$ ), the  $\text{HCO}^+/\text{N}_2\text{H}^+$  ratio is expected to be large, since the destruction of  $\text{N}_2\text{H}^+$  by CO leads to an enhancement in  $\text{HCO}^+$  (see Eq. (4) and Bergin & Langer 1997). Alternatively, the  $\text{HCO}^+/\text{N}_2\text{H}^+$  ratio might be affected by the CO and  $\text{N}_2$  abundances. On the one hand, the CO abundance may be lower than the canonical value of  $10^{-4}$ : in protostellar envelopes (Alonso-Albi et al. 2010), low-mass protostars (Yildiz et al. 2010; Anderl et al. 2016) and, protoplanetary disk (e.g. Favre et al. 2013). On the other hand,  $\text{N}_2$  may not be the main nitrogen reservoir, nitrogen being in the atomic-form and/or in ammonia ices (e.g. Le Gal et al. 2014, and references therein). Finally, cosmic rays and X-rays might also impact this ratio (Ceccarelli et al. 2014; Bruderer et al. 2009), free electrons destroying both  $\text{HCO}^+$  and  $\text{N}_2\text{H}^+$ . Indeed, Ceccarelli et al. (2014) have shown that CR-like energetic particles (cosmic-ray





**Fig. 5.** Sketch of reactions that form and destroy  $\text{HCO}^+$  and  $\text{N}_2\text{H}^+$ : when electrons do not dominate, CO is the main destroyer of  $\text{N}_2\text{H}^+$ . However, note that there are other branching ratios which give less abundant products that are not shown in this sketch.

ionization rate  $\zeta > 10^{-14} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) can explain the very low and unusual  $\text{HCO}^+/\text{N}_2\text{H}^+$  abundance ratio of about three to four that is observed towards the proto-cluster OMC-2 FIR4. Incidentally, Podio et al. (2014) have also shown that a high value of the cosmic-ray ionization rate ( $\zeta \sim 3 \times 10^{-16} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) reproduces the observed  $\text{HCO}^+$  and  $\text{N}_2\text{H}^+$  abundances towards a young protostellar outflow shock. As a matter of fact, X-rays may add up with cosmic rays in the production of  $\text{H}_3^+$  and free electrons, provided that the X-ray ionisation rate is high enough, which is not the case here, as most of our source sample does not show significant X-ray emission (see Furusho et al. 2000, and the *Chandra* Data Archive). Figure 5 illustrates and summarizes the main chemical  $\text{HCO}^+$  and  $\text{N}_2\text{H}^+$  destruction and formation pathways.

In that context, in Fig. 4, we compare the value derived towards OMC-2 FIR4 with the ones derived from our study. In addition, we also report in Fig. 4  $\text{HCO}^+/\text{N}_2\text{H}^+$  ratios of 5, 10, and 21 that are predicted by the model of Ceccarelli et al. (2014) for a gas temperature of 40 K and a  $n_{\text{H}_2}$  density of  $2.5 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , which are reasonable values for the gas probed by the *Herschel* observations presented in this work and, for a cosmic-ray ionization rate,  $\zeta$ , of  $10^{-14} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ,  $10^{-15} \text{ s}^{-1}$  and  $3 \times 10^{-17} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , respectively. Higher  $\text{HCO}^+/\text{N}_2\text{H}^+$  ratios (for example a value of 30) would correspond to lower  $\zeta$  and conversely, lower  $\text{HCO}^+/\text{N}_2\text{H}^+$  ratios would correspond to higher  $\zeta$ . We note that the case of L1641 S3 MMS 1 is interesting as the region where this source is lying contains X-ray sources (see Pillitteri et al. 2013) which may contribute to the ionization rate. Unfortunately, the error bars in our sample are relatively large and do not allow to draw firm conclusions on enhanced  $\zeta$  of X-rays in any source. On the contrary, VLA1623, Serpens-FIRS 1, IC 1396N and CepE do not show evident signs of large  $\zeta$ . This result comes as no surprise in the case of VLA1623 and IC 1396N, since their  $\text{HCO}^+$  SLEDs (see Fig. 3) are strongly suggesting cold sources. Nevertheless, one notable feature of Fig. 4 is that the observed  $\text{HCO}^+/\text{N}_2\text{H}^+$  ratio does not increase or decrease with increasing luminosity.

We conclude that, at the present time, OMC-2 FIR4 is the only source where a high flux of energetic particles is clearly evident. Unfortunately, the statistics are not sufficient for us to infer what makes OMC-2 FIR4 special in that respect. More sensitive observations, especially towards NGC 7129-FIRS2 and L1641 S3 MMS 1 (see pattern of the  $\text{HCO}^+$  SLED along with the obtained  $\text{HCO}^+/\text{N}_2\text{H}^+$  ratio in Figs. 3 and 4), and in a much larger sample are necessary to say more.

## 6. Conclusions

We have investigated the  $\text{HCO}^+/\text{N}_2\text{H}^+$  abundance ratio towards a sample of low- and intermediate-mass protostars, through observations performed with the HIFI instrument on board the *Herschel* Space Observatory. Our study is based on the analysis

of high  $J$  transitions ( $6 \leq J \leq 12$ ) of the  $\text{HCO}^+$ ,  $\text{N}_2\text{H}^+$  and  $\text{H}^{13}\text{CO}^+$  ions.

All the targeted  $\text{HCO}^+$  transitions have been detected in the majority of the sources. More specifically, the lowest lying transition (6–5) is detected towards all the nine surveyed sources for  $\text{HCO}^+$  and, in seven of them for  $\text{N}_2\text{H}^+$ . Regarding  $\text{H}^{13}\text{CO}^+$ , the (6–5) line is only detected towards four out of the nine sources. Assuming a  $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}$  isotopic ratio of 68, we report a  $\text{HCO}^+/\text{N}_2\text{H}^+$  abundance ratio in the range of  $4_{-1}^{+100}$  up to  $42_{-31}^{+31}$ . The latter might even be lower depending on the opacity of the  $\text{N}_2\text{H}^+$  (6–5) transition. Incidentally, a salient result is that the measured  $\text{HCO}^+/\text{N}_2\text{H}^+$  ratio does not increase or decrease with increasing luminosity, which suggests that UV radiation does not play a major role in the  $\text{HCO}^+/\text{N}_2\text{H}^+$  abundance ratio. However, our measurements have large error bars that prevent us from determining whether OMC-2 FIR4 is the only source where a high flux of energetic particles is observed. Therefore, further sensitive and high angular resolution observations towards a much larger source sample are necessary i) to ascertain whether OMC-2 FIR4 is a peculiar source; and ii) to accurately infer the flux of energetic particles at the interior of the protostar envelopes if applicable.

*Acknowledgements.* Support for this work was provided by the French space agency CNES. M.P. acknowledges funding from the European Unions Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No. 664931. Support for this work was also provided by NASA (*Herschel* OT funding) through an award issued by JPL/Caltech. This paper makes use of *Herschel*/HIFI data. *Herschel* is an ESA space observatory with science instruments provided by European-led principal Investigator consortia and with important participation from NASA. HIFI has been designed and built by a consortium of institutes and university departments from across Europe, Canada, and the United States under the leadership of SRON Netherlands Institute for Space Research, Groningen, The Netherlands and with major contributions from Germany, France, and the U.S. Consortium members are: Canada: CSA, U. Waterloo; France: CESR, LAB, LERMA, IRAM; Germany: KOSMA, MPIfR, MPS; Ireland: NUI Maynooth; Italy: ASI, IFSI-INAF, Osservatorio Astrofisico di Arcetri-INAF; Netherlands: SRON, TUD; Poland: CAMK, CBK; Spain: Observatorio Astronómico Nacional (IGN), Centro de Astrobiología (CSIC-INTA); Sweden: Chalmers University of Technology-MC2, RSS and GARD, Onsala Space Observatory, Swedish National Space Board, Stockholm Observatory; Switzerland: ETH Zurich, FHNW; USA: Caltech, JPL, NHSC. Finally, we thank the anonymous referee for helpful comments and suggestions.

## References


- Alonso-Albi, T., Fuente, A., Crimier, N., et al. 2010, *A&A*, 518, A52  
 Amano, T., Hirao, T., & Takano, J. 2005, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.*, 234, 170  
 Anderl, S., Maret, S., Cabrit, S., et al. 2016, *A&A*, 591, A3  
 Andre, P., Ward-Thompson, D., & Barsony, M. 1993, *ApJ*, 406, 122  
 Bergin, E. A., & Langer, W. D. 1997, *ApJ*, 486, 316  
 Botschwina, P., Horn, M., Flugge, J., & Seeger, S. 1993, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 89, 2219  
 Bricker, G. E., & Caffee, M. W. 2010, *ApJ*, 725, 443  
 Bruderer, S., Doty, S. D., & Benz, A. O. 2009, *ApJS*, 183, 179  
 Caselli, P., Myers, P. C., & Thaddeus, P. 1995, *ApJ*, 455, L77  
 Ceccarelli, C., Bacmann, A., Boogert, A., et al. 2010, *A&A*, 521, L22  
 Ceccarelli, C., Hily-Blant, P., Montmerle, T., et al. 2011, *ApJ*, 740, L4  
 Ceccarelli, C., Dominik, C., López-Sepulcre, A., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, 790, L1  
 Cernis, K. 1990, *Ap&SS*, 166, 315  
 Crimier, N., Ceccarelli, C., Alonso-Albi, T., et al. 2010, *A&A*, 516, A102  
 Davies, P. B., & Rothwell, W. J. 1984, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 81, 5239  
 de Graauw, T., Helmich, F. P., Phillips, T. G., et al. 2010, *A&A*, 518, L6  
 Desch, S. J., Connolly, Jr., H. C., & Srinivasan, G. 2004, *ApJ*, 602, 528  
 Favre, C., Cleeves, L. I., Bergin, E. A., Qi, C., & Blake, G. A. 2013, *ApJ*, 776, L38  
 Giannini, T., Nisini, B., & Lorenzetti, D. 2001, *ApJ*, 555, 40  
 Goldsmith, P. F., & Langer, W. D. 1999, *ApJ*, 517, 209  
 Gounelle, M., Shu, F. H., Shang, H., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, 640, 1163  
 Gounelle, M., Chaussidon, M., & Rollion-Bard, C. 2013, *ApJ*, 763, L33  
 Gregersen, E. M., & Evans, II, N. J. 2001, *ApJ*, 553, 1042

- Havenith, M., Zwart, E., Leo Meerts, W., & Ter Meulen, J. J. 1990, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **93**, 8446
- Hayakawa, S. 1952, *Prog. Theor. Phys.*, **8**, 571
- Hirota, E., & Endo, Y. 1988, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.*, **127**, 527
- Hoq, S., Jackson, J. M., Foster, J. B., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, **777**, 157
- Indriolo, N., Blake, G. A., Goto, M., et al. 2010, *ApJ*, **724**, 1357
- Jørgensen, J. K., Hogerheijde, M. R., van Dishoeck, E. F., Blake, G. A., & Schöier, F. L. 2004, *A&A*, **413**, 993
- Jørgensen, J. K., Bourke, T. L., Myers, P. C., et al. 2007, *ApJ*, **659**, 479
- Karska, A., Herczeg, G. J., van Dishoeck, E. F., et al. 2013, *A&A*, **552**, A141
- Kawaguchi, K., Yamada, C., Saito, S., & Hirota, E. 1985, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **82**, 1750
- Kim, S.-J., Kim, H.-D., Lee, Y., et al. 2006, *ApJS*, **162**, 161
- Kristensen, L. E., van Dishoeck, E. F., Bergin, E. A., et al. 2012, *A&A*, **542**, A8
- Lattanzi, V., Walters, A., Drouin, B. J., & Pearson, J. C. 2007, *ApJ*, **662**, 771
- Le Gal, R., Hily-Blant, P., Faure, A., et al. 2014, *A&A*, **562**, A83
- Lo, N., Cunningham, M. R., Jones, P. A., et al. 2009, *MNRAS*, **395**, 1021
- Loinard, L., Torres, R. M., Mioduszewski, A. J., & Rodríguez, L. F. 2008, *ApJ*, **675**, L29
- López-Sepulcre, A., Taquet, V., Sánchez-Monge, Á., et al. 2013, *A&A*, **556**, A62
- López-Sepulcre, A., Jaber, A. A., Mendoza, E., et al. 2015, *MNRAS*, **449**, 2438
- Mauray, A., Ohashi, N., & André, P. 2012, *A&A*, **539**, A130
- Meier, D. S., & Turner, J. L. 2012, *ApJ*, **755**, 104
- Milam, S. N., Savage, C., Brewster, M. A., Ziurys, L. M., & Wyckoff, S. 2005, *ApJ*, **634**, 1126
- Müller, H. S. P., Schlöder, F., Stutzki, J., & Winnewisser, G. 2005, *J. Mol. Struct.*, **742**, 215
- Padovani, M., Hennebelle, P., Marcowith, A., & Ferrière, K. 2015, *A&A*, **582**, L13
- Padovani, M., Marcowith, A., Hennebelle, P., & Ferrière, K. 2016, *A&A*, **590**, A8
- Pagani, L., Daniel, F., & Dubernet, M.-L. 2009, *A&A*, **494**, 719
- Pilbratt, G. L., Riedinger, J. R., Passvogel, T., et al. 2010, *A&A*, **518**, L1
- Podio, L., Lefloch, B., Ceccarelli, C., Codella, C., & Bachiller, R. 2014, *A&A*, **565**, A64
- Remijan, A. J., Markwick-Kemper, A., & ALMA Working Group on Spectral Line Frequencies 2007, *BAAS*, **38**, 963
- Ren, Z., Li, D., & Chapman, N. 2014, *ApJ*, **788**, 172
- Roelfsema, P. R., Helmich, F. P., Teyssier, D., et al. 2012, *A&A*, **537**, A17
- Sanhueza, P., Jackson, J. M., Foster, J. B., et al. 2012, *ApJ*, **756**, 60
- Schmid-Burgk, J., Muders, D., Müller, H. S. P., & Brupbacher-Gatehouse, B. 2004, *A&A*, **419**, 949
- Shimajiri, Y., Takahashi, S., Takakuwa, S., Saito, M., & Kawabe, R. 2008, *ApJ*, **683**, 255
- Snyder, L. E., Hollis, J. M., & Watson, W. D. 1977, *ApJ*, **212**, 79
- Stäuber, P., Doty, S. D., van Dishoeck, E. F., & Benz, A. O. 2005, *A&A*, **440**, 949
- Stäuber, P., Jørgensen, J. K., van Dishoeck, E. F., Doty, S. D., & Benz, A. O. 2006, *A&A*, **453**, 555
- Stecker, F. W., Vette, J. I., & Trombka, J. I. 1971, *Nat. Phys. Sci.*, **231**, 122
- Stephens, I. W., Jackson, J. M., Sanhueza, P., et al. 2015, *ApJ*, **802**, 6
- Straizys, V., Cernis, K., Kazlauskas, A., & Meistas, E. 1992, *Balt. Astron.*, **1**, 149
- Tinti, F., Bizzocchi, L., Degli Esposti, C., & Dore, L. 2007, *ApJ*, **669**, L113
- Turner, B. E., & Thaddeus, P. 1977, *ApJ*, **211**, 755
- Verhooev, P., Zwart, E., Versluis, M., et al. 1990, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.*, **61**, 1612
- Yıldız, U. A., van Dishoeck, E. F., Kristensen, L. E., et al. 2010, *A&A*, **521**, L40


## Appendix A: $\text{HCO}^+$ , $\text{H}^{13}\text{CO}^+$ and $\text{N}_2\text{H}^+$ towards our sample of intermediate and low-mass protostars

Figures A.1 to A.7 display the respective spectra of the  $\text{HCO}^+$ ,  $\text{H}^{13}\text{CO}^+$  and  $\text{N}_2\text{H}^+$  transitions observed with *Herschel* towards a


portion of our source sample (see Sect. 3). Note that for display purposes, the spectra have been smoothed at a spectral resolution of 4.4 MHz.




**Fig. A.1.** Spectra observed towards VLA1623. Dashed red lines indicate a  $v_{\text{LSR}} = 3.8 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . The observed transition is indicated on each plot.




**Fig. A.2.** Spectra observed towards L1527. Dashed red lines indicate a  $v_{\text{LSR}} = 5.4 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . The observed transition is indicated on each plot.




**Fig. A.3.** Spectra observed towards L1157-MM. Dashed red lines indicate a  $v_{\text{LSR}} = 2.4 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . The observed transition is indicated on each plot.




**Fig. A.4.** Spectra observed towards NGC 1333-IRAS2. Dashed red lines indicate a  $v_{\text{LSR}} = 7.45 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . The observed transition is indicated on each plot.



**Fig. A.5.** Spectra observed towards L1641 S3 MMS 1. Dashed red lines indicate a  $v_{\text{LSR}} = 5.0 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . The observed transition is indicated on each plot.



**Fig. A.6.** Spectra observed towards Cep E-mm. Dashed red lines indicate a  $v_{\text{LSR}} = -11.0 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . The observed transition is indicated on each plot.



**Fig. A.7.** Spectra observed towards NGC 7129-FIRS2. Dashed red lines indicate a  $v_{\text{LSR}} = -9.7 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . The observed transition is indicated on each plot.

## Appendix B: Transitions of HCO<sup>+</sup>, H<sup>13</sup>CO<sup>+</sup> and N<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup> observed with *Herschel* towards our sample of intermediate and low-mass protostars

Tables B.1 to B.9 summarize the line parameters for all the HCO<sup>+</sup>, H<sup>13</sup>CO<sup>+</sup> and N<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup> transitions observed with *Herschel* towards our source sample (see Sect. 3).

**Table B.1.** Line parameters for the HCO<sup>+</sup>, H<sup>13</sup>CO<sup>+</sup> and N<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup> transitions observed towards Serpens–FIRS 1.

Frequency (MHz) (1)	$E_{\text{up}}$ (K) (2)	$S\mu^2$ (D <sup>2</sup> ) (3)	$v$ (km s <sup>-1</sup> ) (4)	$\Delta v_{1/2}$ (km s <sup>-1</sup> ) (5)	$T_{\text{MB}}$ (K) (6)	$\int T_{\text{MB}}dV$ (K km s <sup>-1</sup> ) (7)	Comments (8)
HCO <sup>+</sup>							
535 061.581	89.9	90.7	8.2(0.1)	2.7(0.2)	1.3(0.1)	3.8(0.6)	D
713 341.228	154.1	120.9	8.1(0.2)	3.1(0.4)	0.9(0.1)	3.2(0.3)	D
980 636.494	282.4	166.2	7.6(0.2)	3.2(0.5)	0.6(0.4)	1.9(0.3)	D
1 069 693.891	333.8	181.3	–	–	–	–	NO
H <sup>13</sup> CO <sup>+</sup>							
520 459.884	87.4	90.7	8.3(0.2)	1.7(0.5)	0.2(0.1)	0.41(0.09)	D
607 174.646	116.6	105.8	8.3(0.2)	1.6(0.7)	0.2(0.1)	0.29(0.09)	D
780 562.812	187.3	136.1	8.2(0.3)	0.8(0.5)	0.09(0.09)	0.08(0.06)	TD
N <sub>2</sub> H <sup>+</sup>							
558 966.503	93.9	669.5	8.42(0.06)	1.7(0.1)	0.7(0.1)	1.21(0.09)	D
745 209.868	161.0	891.6	8.2(0.2)	2.1(0.4)	0.3(0.2)	0.7(0.1)	D
1 024 443.025	295.1	1223.1	8.3(0.3)	0.7(0.5)	0.1(0.1)	0.10(0.08)	TD

**Notes.** (1)–(3) Spectroscopic line parameters (see references listed in Table 2) of the observed transitions. (4)–(7) Observed line parameters: velocity, linewidth, peak temperature and integrated intensities. The  $3\sigma$  uncertainties that result from Gaussian fits performed with the CLASS software are given in brackets. (8) D: Detected above the  $3\sigma$  level and  $\int T_{\text{MB}}dV \geq 5\sigma$ , TD: tentative detection (line that emits at the  $3\sigma$ ), NO: Not observed.

**Table B.2.** Line parameters for the HCO<sup>+</sup>, H<sup>13</sup>CO<sup>+</sup> and N<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup> transitions observed towards IC 1396N.

Frequency (MHz) (1)	$E_{\text{up}}$ (K) (2)	$S\mu^2$ (D <sup>2</sup> ) (3)	$v$ (km s <sup>-1</sup> ) (4)	$\Delta v_{1/2}$ (km s <sup>-1</sup> ) (5)	$T_{\text{MB}}$ (K) (6)	$\int T_{\text{MB}}dV$ (K km s <sup>-1</sup> ) (7)	Comments (8)
HCO <sup>+</sup>							
535 061.581	89.9	90.7	0.27(0.03)	2.8(0.1)	2.3(0.1)	6.7(0.6)	D
713 341.228	154.1	120.9	0.7(0.2)	2.8(0.6)	1.1(0.2)	3.4(0.5)	D
980 636.494	282.4	166.2	0.7(0.2)	4.0(0.2)	0.5(0.4)	2.24(0.05)	D
1 069 693.891	333.8	181.3	0.7(0.2)	3.8(0.2)	0.3(0.2)	1.31(0.03)	D
H <sup>13</sup> CO <sup>+</sup>							
520 459.884	87.4	90.7	0.4(0.6)	2.02(1.55)	0.09(0.10)	0.21(0.10)	W
607 174.646	116.6	105.8	0.5(0.9)	2.4(1.7)	0.08(0.08)	0.2(0.1)	TD
780 562.812	187.3	136.1	–	–	$\leq 0.1$	$\leq 0.4$	ND
N <sub>2</sub> H <sup>+</sup>							
558 966.503	93.9	669.5	0.2(0.1)	2.4(0.4)	0.4(0.1)	0.9(0.1)	D
745 209.868	161.0	891.6	0.01(0.49)	3.4(1.1)	0.2(0.2)	0.8(0.2)	W
1 024 443.025	295.1	1223.1	–	–	$\leq 0.2$	$\leq 0.6$	ND

**Notes.** (1)–(3) Spectroscopic line parameters (see references listed in Table 2) of the observed transitions. (4)–(7) Observed line parameters: velocity, linewidth, peak temperature and integrated intensities. The  $3\sigma$  uncertainties that result from Gaussian fits performed with the CLASS software are given in brackets. (8) D: Detected above the  $3\sigma$  level and  $\int T_{\text{MB}}dV \geq 5\sigma$ , W: weak line ( $\int T_{\text{MB}}dV \geq 5\sigma$ ), ND: not-detected lines ( $T_{\text{MB}} < 3\sigma$  and  $\int T_{\text{MB}}dV < 5\sigma$ ), TD: tentative detection (line that emits at the  $3\sigma$ ).

**Table B.3.** Line parameters for the HCO<sup>+</sup>, H<sup>13</sup>CO<sup>+</sup> and N<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup> transitions observed towards VLA1623.

Frequency (MHz) (1)	$E_{\text{up}}$ (K) (2)	$S\mu^2$ (D <sup>2</sup> ) (3)	$v$ (km s <sup>-1</sup> ) (4)	$\Delta v_{1/2}$ (km s <sup>-1</sup> ) (5)	$T_{\text{MB}}$ (K) (6)	$\int T_{\text{MB}}dV$ (K km s <sup>-1</sup> ) (7)	Comments (8)
HCO <sup>+</sup>							
535 061.581	89.9	90.7	3.82(0.04)	1.2(0.1)	1.7(0.1)	2.2(0.4)	D
713 341.228	154.1	120.9	3.6(0.1)	0.9(0.3)	0.8(0.4)	0.7(0.2)	D
980 636.494	282.4	166.2	–	–	≤0.5	≤0.5	ND
1 069 693.891	333.8	181.3	–	–	≤0.3	≤0.3	ND
H <sup>13</sup> CO <sup>+</sup>							
520 459.884	87.4	90.7	3.7(0.2)	0.5(0.5)	0.2(0.1)	0.10(0.06)	D
607 174.646	116.6	105.8	–	–	≤0.2	≤0.2	ND
780 562.812	187.3	136.1	–	–	≤0.3	≤0.3	ND
N <sub>2</sub> H <sup>+</sup>							
558 966.503	93.9	669.5	3.7(0.1)	0.7(0.2)	0.2(0.1)	0.16(0.06)	D
745 209.868	161.0	891.6	–	–	≤0.3	≤0.3	ND
1 024 443.025	295.1	1223.1	–	–	≤0.2	≤0.2	ND

**Notes.** (1)–(3) Spectroscopic line parameters (see references listed in Table 2) of the observed transitions. (4)–(7) Observed line parameters: velocity, linewidth, peak temperature and integrated intensities. The  $3\sigma$  uncertainties that result from Gaussian fits performed with the CLASS software are given in brackets. (8) D: detected above the  $3\sigma$  level and  $\int T_{\text{MB}}dV \geq 5\sigma$ , ND: not-detected lines ( $T_{\text{MB}} < 3\sigma$  and  $\int T_{\text{MB}}dV < 5\sigma$ ).

**Table B.4.** Line parameters for the HCO<sup>+</sup>, H<sup>13</sup>CO<sup>+</sup> and N<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup> transitions observed towards L1527.

Frequency (MHz) (1)	$E_{\text{up}}$ (K) (2)	$S\mu^2$ (D <sup>2</sup> ) (3)	$v$ (km s <sup>-1</sup> ) (4)	$\Delta v_{1/2}$ (km s <sup>-1</sup> ) (5)	$T_{\text{MB}}$ (K) (6)	$\int T_{\text{MB}}dV$ (K km s <sup>-1</sup> ) (7)	Comments (8)
HCO <sup>+</sup>							
535 061.581	89.9	90.7	5.52(0.07)	1.9(0.2)	0.6(0.1)	1.26(0.09)	D
713 341.228	154.1	120.9	–	–	≤0.4	≤0.8	ND
980 636.494	282.4	166.2	–	–	≤0.5	≤1.0	ND
1 069 693.891	333.8	181.3	–	–	≤0.3	≤0.6	ND
H <sup>13</sup> CO <sup>+</sup>							
520 459.884	87.4	90.7	–	–	≤0.2	≤0.3	ND
607 174.646	116.6	105.8	–	–	≤0.2	≤0.4	ND
780 562.812	187.3	136.1	–	–	≤0.2	≤0.3	ND
N <sub>2</sub> H <sup>+</sup>							
558 966.503	93.9	669.5	–	–	≤0.2	≤0.3	ND
745 209.868	161.0	891.6	–	–	≤0.3	≤0.5	ND
1 024 443.025	295.1	1223.1	–	–	≤0.2	≤0.4	ND

**Notes.** (1)–(3) Spectroscopic line parameters (see references listed in Table 2) of the observed transitions. (4)–(7) Observed line parameters: velocity, linewidth, peak temperature and integrated intensities. The  $3\sigma$  uncertainties that result from Gaussian fits performed with the CLASS software are given in brackets. (8) D: detected above the  $3\sigma$  level and  $\int T_{\text{MB}}dV \geq 5\sigma$ , ND: not-detected lines ( $T_{\text{MB}} < 3\sigma$  and  $\int T_{\text{MB}}dV < 5\sigma$ ).



**Table B.5.** Line parameters for the HCO<sup>+</sup>, H<sup>13</sup>CO<sup>+</sup> and N<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup> transitions observed towards L1157–MM.

Frequency (MHz) (1)	$E_{\text{up}}$ (K) (2)	$S\mu^2$ (D <sup>2</sup> ) (3)	$v$ (km s <sup>-1</sup> ) (4)	$\Delta v_{1/2}$ (km s <sup>-1</sup> ) (5)	$T_{\text{MB}}$ (K) (6)	$\int T_{\text{MB}}dV$ (K km s <sup>-1</sup> ) (7)	Comments (8)
HCO <sup>+</sup>							
535 061.581	89.9	90.7	2.5(0.1)	1.5(0.2)	0.4(0.1)	0.63(0.09)	D
713 341.228	154.1	120.9	–	–	≤0.5	≤0.8	ND
980 636.494	282.4	166.2	–	–	≤0.5	≤0.8	ND
1 069 693.891	333.8	181.3	–	–	≤0.3	≤0.5	ND
H <sup>13</sup> CO <sup>+</sup>							
520 459.884	87.4	90.7	–	–	≤0.1	≤0.2	ND
607 174.646	116.6	105.8	–	–	≤0.2	≤0.3	ND
780 562.812	187.3	136.1	–	–	≤0.1	≤0.2	ND
N <sub>2</sub> H <sup>+</sup>							
558 966.503	93.9	669.5	–	–	≤0.1	≤0.2	ND
745 209.868	161.0	891.6	–	–	≤0.3	≤0.4	ND
1 024 443.025	295.1	1223.1	–	–	≤0.2	≤0.3	ND

**Notes.** (1)–(3) Spectroscopic line parameters (see references listed in Table 2) of the observed transitions. (4)–(7) Observed line parameters: velocity, linewidth, peak temperature and integrated intensities. The  $3\sigma$  uncertainties that result from Gaussian fits performed with the CLASS software are given in brackets. (8) D: detected above the  $3\sigma$  level and  $\int T_{\text{MB}}dV \geq 5\sigma$ , ND: not-detected lines ( $T_{\text{MB}} < 3\sigma$  and  $\int T_{\text{MB}}dV < 5\sigma$ ).

**Table B.6.** Line parameters for the HCO<sup>+</sup>, H<sup>13</sup>CO<sup>+</sup> and N<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup> transitions observed towards NGC 1333–IRAS2.

Frequency (MHz) (1)	$E_{\text{up}}$ (K) (2)	$S\mu^2$ (D <sup>2</sup> ) (3)	$v$ (km s <sup>-1</sup> ) (4)	$\Delta v_{1/2}$ (km s <sup>-1</sup> ) (5)	$T_{\text{MB}}$ (K) (6)	$\int T_{\text{MB}}dV$ (K km s <sup>-1</sup> ) (7)	Comments (8)
HCO <sup>+</sup>							
535 061.581	89.9	90.7	7.52(0.04)	1.2(0.2)	1.03(0.09)	1.4(0.6)	D
713 341.228	154.1	120.9	7.6(0.2)	1.7(0.5)	0.8(0.5)	1.5(0.3)	D
980 636.494	282.4	166.2	7.3(0.3)	1.9(0.8)	0.4(0.3)	0.8(0.3)	D
1 069 693.891	333.8	181.3	–	–	–	–	NO
H <sup>13</sup> CO <sup>+</sup>							
520 459.884	87.4	90.7	7.5(0.2)	0.7(0.4)	0.1(0.1)	0.09(0.06)	W
607 174.646	116.6	105.8	–	–	≤0.1	≤0.1	ND
780 562.812	187.3	136.1	–	–	≤0.1	≤0.1	ND
N <sub>2</sub> H <sup>+</sup>							
558 966.503	93.9	669.5	7.6(0.2)	1.3(0.4)	0.3(0.2)	0.36(0.09)	D
745 209.868	161.0	891.6	–	–	≤0.3	≤0.6	ND
1 024 443.025	295.1	1223.1	–	–	≤0.3	≤0.6	ND

**Notes.** (1)–(3) Spectroscopic line parameters (see references listed in Table 2) of the observed transitions. (4)–(7) Observed line parameters: velocity, linewidth, peak temperature and integrated intensities. The  $3\sigma$  uncertainties that result from Gaussian fits performed with the CLASS software are given in brackets. (8) D: detected above the  $3\sigma$  level and  $\int T_{\text{MB}}dV \geq 5\sigma$ , W: weak line ( $\int T_{\text{MB}}dV \geq 5\sigma$ ), ND: not-detected lines ( $T_{\text{MB}} < 3\sigma$  and  $\int T_{\text{MB}}dV < 5\sigma$ ), NO: not observed.

**Table B.7.** Line parameters for the HCO<sup>+</sup>, H<sup>13</sup>CO<sup>+</sup> and N<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup> transitions observed towards L1641 S3 MMS 1.

Frequency (MHz) (1)	$E_{\text{up}}$ (K) (2)	$S\mu^2$ (D <sup>2</sup> ) (3)	$v$ (km s <sup>-1</sup> ) (4)	$\Delta v_{1/2}$ (km s <sup>-1</sup> ) (5)	$T_{\text{MB}}$ (K) (6)	$\int T_{\text{MB}}dV$ (K km s <sup>-1</sup> ) (7)	Comments (8)
HCO <sup>+</sup>							
535 061.581	89.9	90.7	5.09(0.07)	1.4(0.3)	0.6(0.1)	0.9(0.4)	D
713 341.228	154.1	120.9	4.7(0.4)	3.1(0.7)	0.5(0.5)	1.5(0.3)	W
980 636.494	282.4	166.2	4.3(0.4)	3.7(0.7)	0.4(0.5)	1.6(0.3)	W
1 069 693.891	333.8	181.3	4.7(0.3)	4.0(0.8)	0.2(0.3)	1.0(0.3)	W
H <sup>13</sup> CO <sup>+</sup>							
520 459.884	87.4	90.7	–	–	≤0.2	≤0.2	ND
607 174.646	116.6	105.8	–	–	≤0.1	≤0.1	ND
780 562.812	187.3	136.1	–	–	≤0.1	≤0.1	ND
N <sub>2</sub> H <sup>+</sup>							
558 966.503	93.9	669.5	5.4(0.2)	0.9(0.6)	0.2(0.1)	0.18(0.09)	D
745 209.868	161.0	891.6	–	–	≤0.3	≤0.3	ND
1 024 443.025	295.1	1223.1	–	–	≤0.2	≤0.2	ND

**Notes.** (1)–(3) Spectroscopic line parameters (see references listed in Table 2) of the observed transitions. (4)–(7) Observed line parameters: velocity, linewidth, peak temperature and integrated intensities. The  $3\sigma$  uncertainties that result from Gaussian fits performed with the CLASS software are given in brackets. (8) D: detected above the  $3\sigma$  level and  $\int T_{\text{MB}}dV \geq 5\sigma$ , W: weak line ( $\int T_{\text{MB}}dV \geq 5\sigma$ ), ND: not-detected lines ( $T_{\text{MB}} < 3\sigma$  and  $\int T_{\text{MB}}dV < 5\sigma$ ).

**Table B.8.** Line parameters for the HCO<sup>+</sup>, H<sup>13</sup>CO<sup>+</sup> and N<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup> transitions observed towards Cep E–mm.

Frequency (MHz) (1)	$E_{\text{up}}$ (K) (2)	$S\mu^2$ (D <sup>2</sup> ) (3)	$v$ (km s <sup>-1</sup> ) (4)	$\Delta v_{1/2}$ (km s <sup>-1</sup> ) (5)	$T_{\text{MB}}$ (K) (6)	$\int T_{\text{MB}}dV$ (K km s <sup>-1</sup> ) (7)	Comments (8)
HCO <sup>+</sup>							
535 061.581	89.9	90.7	–11.22(0.09)	2.6(0.3)	0.7(0.2)	1.9(0.2)	D
713 341.228	154.1	120.9	–10.9(0.4)	2.9(0.9)	0.4(0.4)	1.3(0.4)	W
980 636.494	282.4	166.2	–11.5(0.2)	0.5(0.5)	0.5(0.5)	0.3(0.2)	TD
1 069 693.891	333.8	181.3	–	–	≤0.3	≤0.9	ND
H <sup>13</sup> CO <sup>+</sup>							
520 459.884	87.4	90.7	–	–	≤0.2	≤0.2	ND
607 174.646	116.6	105.8	–	–	≤0.2	≤0.2	ND
780 562.812	187.3	136.1	–	–	≤0.2	≤0.2	ND
N <sub>2</sub> H <sup>+</sup>							
558 966.503	93.9	669.5	–10.9(0.2)	0.6(0.4)	0.2(0.1)	0.11(0.06)	W
745 209.868	161.0	891.6	–	–	≤0.3	≤0.3	ND
1 024 443.025	295.1	1223.1	–	–	≤0.2	≤0.2	ND

**Notes.** (1)–(3) Spectroscopic line parameters (see references listed in Table 2) of the observed transitions. (4)–(7) Observed line parameters: velocity, linewidth, peak temperature and integrated intensities. The  $3\sigma$  uncertainties that result from Gaussian fits performed with the CLASS software are given in brackets. (8) D: detected above the  $3\sigma$  level and  $\int T_{\text{MB}}dV \geq 5\sigma$ , W: weak line ( $\int T_{\text{MB}}dV \geq 5\sigma$ ), ND: not-detected lines ( $T_{\text{MB}} < 3\sigma$  and  $\int T_{\text{MB}}dV < 5\sigma$ ) and, TD: tentative detection (line that emits at the  $3\sigma$ ).

**Table B.9.** Line parameters for the HCO<sup>+</sup>, H<sup>13</sup>CO<sup>+</sup> and N<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup> transitions observed towards NGC 7129–FIRS2.

Frequency (MHz) (1)	$E_{\text{up}}$ (K) (2)	$S\mu^2$ (D <sup>2</sup> ) (3)	$v$ (km s <sup>-1</sup> ) (4)	$\Delta v_{1/2}$ (km s <sup>-1</sup> ) (5)	$T_{\text{MB}}$ (K) (6)	$\int T_{\text{MB}}dV$ (K km s <sup>-1</sup> ) (7)	Comments (8)
<b>HCO<sup>+</sup></b>							
535 061.581	89.9	90.7	-9.7(0.1)	2.5(0.5)	0.46(0.09)	1.2(0.2)	D
713 341.228	154.1	120.9	-9.9(0.6)	4.8(1.6)	0.3(0.4)	1.6(0.4)	W
980 636.494	282.4	166.2	-10.1(0.7)	2.8(1.5)	0.2(0.4)	0.5(0.3)	W
1 069 693.891	333.8	181.3	–	–	–	–	NO
<b>H<sup>13</sup>CO<sup>+</sup></b>							
520 459.884	87.4	90.7	–	–	≤0.2	≤0.5	ND
607 174.646	116.6	105.8	–	–	≤0.2	≤0.5	ND
780 562.812	187.3	136.1	–	–	≤0.1	≤0.4	ND
<b>N<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup></b>							
558 966.503	93.9	669.5	-9.8(0.2)	1.9(0.6)	0.2(0.1)	0.34(0.09)	D
745 209.868	161.0	891.6	–	–	≤0.3	≤0.6	ND
1 024 443.025	295.1	1223.1	–	–	≤0.2	≤0.4	ND

**Notes.** (1)–(3) Spectroscopic line parameters (see references listed in Table 2) of the observed transitions. (4)–(7) Observed line parameters: velocity, linewidth, peak temperature and integrated intensities. The  $3\sigma$  uncertainties that result from Gaussian fits performed with the CLASS software are given in brackets. (8) D: detected above the  $3\sigma$  level and  $\int T_{\text{MB}}dV \geq 5\sigma$ , ND: not-detected lines ( $T_{\text{MB}} < 3\sigma$  and  $\int T_{\text{MB}}dV < 5\sigma$ ), W: weak line ( $\int T_{\text{MB}}dV \geq 5\sigma$ ), NO: not observed.