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Neumann-Lara and Škrekovski conjectured that every planar digraph is 2 -colourable. We show that this conjecture is equivalent to the more general statement that all oriented $K_{5}$-minor-free graphs are 2 -colourable.
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## 1 Introduction

Digraphs and graphs considered here are loopless, and without parallel or anti-parallel arcs. A directed edge starting in $u$ and ending in $v$ is denoted by $(u, v), u$ is called its tail while $v$ is its head. In a digraph $D$, a vertex set $X \subseteq V(D)$ is called acyclic if the induced subdigraph $D[X]$ is acyclic. An acyclic colouring of $D$ with $k$ colours is a mapping $c: V(D) \rightarrow[k]$ such hat $c^{-1}(\{i\})$ is acyclic for all $i \in[k]$. The dichromatic number $\vec{\chi}(D)$ is defined as the minimal $k \geq 1$ for which such a colouring exists. For an undirected graph $G$, the dichromatic number $\vec{\chi}(G)$ is defined as the maximum dichromatic number an orientation of $G$ can have.

This notion has been introduced in 1982 by Neumann-Lara (1982), was rediscovered by Mohar (2003), and since then has received further attention, see Andres and Hochstättler (2015); Mohar and Wu (2016); Aboulker et al. (2016); Harutyunyan and Mohar (2017); Li and Mohar (2017); Bensmail et al. (2018); Harutyunyan et al. (2019) for some recent results.

In analogy to the famous Four-Colour-Theorem, the following intriguing conjecture was proposed by Neumann-Lara (1985) and independently by Škrekovski (see Bokal et al. (2004)).
Conjecture 1. If $G$ is a planar graph, then $\vec{\chi}(G) \leq 2$.
The strongest partial result obtained so far is due to Li and Mohar who showed the following:
Theorem 1 (Li and Mohar (2017). Every planar digraph without directed triangles admits an acyclic 2-colouring.

The purpose of this note is to show the following.
Theorem 2. The following statements are equivalent:

- Every planar graph $G$ has $\vec{\chi}(G) \leq 2$.

[^0]- Every $K_{5}$-minor-free graph $G$ fulfils $\vec{\chi}(G) \leq 2$. Moreover, any orientation of $G$ admits an acyclic 2-colouring without monochromatic triangles.

This strengthening is similar to the situation for undirected graph colourings, where it is known that all $K_{5}$-minor-free graphs are 4-colourable (see Wagner (1937)).

## 2 2-Colourings of Planar Digraphs

In the following, we will use the term planar triangulation when we mean a maximal planar graph on at least three vertices. It is well-known that the latter (up to the choice of the outer face and reflections) admit combinatorially unique crossing-free embeddings in the plane or on the sphere, in which every face is bounded by a triangle (from now on called facial triangles). A frequent tool in our proof will be the following Lemma, which has already been used by Li and Mohar.
Lemma 1 (Li and Mohar (2017)). Let $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ be digraphs which intersect in a tournament. Suppose that $c_{1}: V\left(D_{1}\right) \rightarrow[k], c_{2}: V\left(D_{2}\right) \rightarrow[k]$ are acyclic colourings such that $\left.c_{1}\right|_{V\left(D_{1}\right) \cap V\left(D_{2}\right)}=$ $\left.c_{2}\right|_{V\left(D_{1}\right) \cap V\left(D_{2}\right)}$. Then the common extension of $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ to $V\left(D_{1}\right) \cup V\left(D_{2}\right)$ defines an acyclic $k$ colouring of $D_{1} \cup D_{2}$.

In this section we prepare the proof of Theorem 2 with some strengthend but equivalent formulations of Neumann-Lara's Conjecture. In the following, an oriented triangle is called directed if it forms a directed cycle, and otherwise transitive.
Proposition 1. The following statements are equivalent:
(i) Neumann-Lara's Conjecture, i.e., every planar digraph has an acyclic 2-colouring.
(ii) Every oriented planar triangulation admits an acyclic 2-colouring without monochromatic facial triangles.
(iii) For any planar triangulation $T$, any facial triangle $a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}$ in $T$, and any non-monochromatic precolouring $p:\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right\} \rightarrow\{1,2\}$, every orientation $\vec{T}$ of $T$ admits an acyclic 2 -colouring $c$ without monochromatic facial triangles such that $c\left(a_{i}\right)=p\left(a_{i}\right), i \in\{1,2,3\}$.
(iv) For any planar triangulation $T$, any triangle $a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}$ in $T$, and any non-monochromatic pre-colouring $p:\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right\} \rightarrow\{1,2\}$, every orientation $\vec{T}$ of $T$ admits an acyclic 2 -colouring $c$ without monochromatic triangles such that $c\left(a_{i}\right)=p\left(a_{i}\right), i \in\{1,2,3\}$.

Proof: $(i) \Longrightarrow($ ii $):$ Suppose that every planar digraph is 2 -colourable and let $\vec{T}$ be an arbitrary orientation of a planar triangulation $T$. Looking at the orientation $\mathcal{O}_{6}$ of the octahedron graph depicted in Figure 1 it is easily observed that in any acyclic 2-colouring the triangle bounding the outer face cannot be monochromatic. Now consider a crossing-free spherical embedding of $\vec{T}$. For every facial triangle in this embedding whose orientation is transitive, we take a copy of $\mathcal{O}_{6}$ and glue this copy into the face in such a way that the outer three edges of $\mathcal{O}_{6}$ are identified with the three edges of the facial triangle (to make the orientations of the identified edges compatible, it might be necessary to reflect and rotate the embedding of $\mathcal{O}_{6}$ shown in Figure 17). This creates a crossing-free embedding of a planar oriented triangulation $\vec{T}^{\Delta}$. By assumption, $\vec{T}^{\Delta}$ admits an acyclic 2 -colouring. This colouring restricted to the vertices of the subdigraph $\vec{T}$ clearly is still valid. Furthermore, no triangle in $\vec{T}$ can be monochromatic:


Fig. 1: The octahedron-orientation $\mathcal{O}_{6}$.


Fig. 2: Left: Glueing three copies of $\vec{T}$ into the faces of $W_{4}^{+}$. Right: The arising directed planar triangulation $\vec{T}^{*}$.
This follows by definition if the triangle forms a directed cycle. If the orientation is transitive, by definition of $\vec{T}^{\Delta}$, a monochromatic colouring would contradict the fact that $\mathcal{O}_{6}$ has no acyclic 2 -colouring with the outer three vertices being coloured the same.
$($ ii) $\Longrightarrow($ iii $): \quad$ Suppose that $($ ii $)$ holds. Let $\vec{T}$ be an orientation of a planar triangulation $T$ and let $a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}$ be the vertices of a facial triangle $t$ of $T$, equipped with a non-monochromatic pre-colouring $p:\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right\} \rightarrow\{1,2\}$.

Case 1: $t$ is not directed in $\vec{T}$. Let $\stackrel{\leftarrow}{T}$ denote the directed triangulation obtained from $\vec{T}$ by reversing the orientations of all arcs. It is apparent that the acyclic 2 -colorings of $\vec{T}$ and $\grave{T}$ coincide, hence (possibly by relabelling, moving from $\vec{T}$ to $\grave{T}$ or exchanging colors 1 and 2 ), we may assume w.l.o.g. that we have the orientation $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right),\left(a_{1}, a_{3}\right),\left(a_{2}, a_{3}\right) \in E(\vec{T})$, and that $\left(p\left(a_{1}\right), p\left(a_{2}\right), p\left(a_{3}\right)\right) \in\{(1,1,2),(1,2,1)\}$.

Now consider a plane embedding of $\vec{T}$ in which $a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}$ forms the bounding triangle of the outer face and where $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}$ appear in clockwise order. We now define a new oriented planar triangulation $\vec{T}^{*}$ as follows: We consider the embedded tournament $W_{4}^{+}$of order 4 as shown in Figure 2 consisting of a directed triangle $x_{1},\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), x_{2},\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right), x_{3},\left(x_{3}, x_{1}\right)$ bounding the outer face and a central vertex $x$, which is a source. Into each of the three inner faces of this embedding, we can now glue a copy of $\vec{T}$ with the described embedding in such a way that all orientations of identified edges agree. The vertex
$a_{1}$ from each copy now is identified with the center $x$ of $W_{4}^{+}$. The arising oriented planar triangulation $\vec{T}^{*}$ according to assumption admits an acyclic 2-colouring $c^{*}: V\left(\vec{T}^{*}\right) \rightarrow\{1,2\}$ without monochromatic facial triangles. By relabelling the colours, we may assume that $c^{*}(x)=1$. Because the directed triangle $x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}$ bounding the outer face of $\vec{T}^{*}$ is not monochromatic, there have to be $i \neq j \in\{1,2,3\}$ such that ${ }^{\text {(i) }} c^{*}\left(x_{i}\right)=1, c^{*}\left(x_{i+1}\right)=2$, while $c^{*}\left(x_{j}\right)=2, c^{*}\left(x_{j+1}\right)=1$. For every $k \in\{i, j\}$ let $\vec{T}^{k}$ be the copy of $\vec{T}$ which has been glued into the face $x, x_{k}, x_{k+1}$ of $W_{4}^{+}$, and let $c_{k}$ be the 2 -coloring induced by $c^{*}$ on the copy $\vec{T}^{k}$. Clearly, $c_{i}$ and $c_{j}$ both define acyclic colorings of (copies of) $\vec{T}$. Furthermore, there are no monochromatic facial triangles in $\vec{T}$ with respect to $c_{i}, c_{j}$ : Every bounded facial triangle of $\vec{T}$ in the corresponding copy also forms a bounded facial triangle of $\vec{T}^{*}$, while the outer triangles of the copies contain the arcs $\left(x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right)$ respectively $\left(x_{j}, x_{j+1}\right)$ and are thus not monochromatic under $c_{i}$ respectively $c_{j}$. From the way we glued the three copies of $\vec{T}$ we conclude that $c_{i}\left(a_{1}\right)=c^{*}(x)=1, c_{i}\left(a_{2}\right)=c^{*}\left(x_{i}\right)=$ $1, c_{i}\left(a_{3}\right)=c^{*}\left(x_{i+1}\right)=2$, and $c_{j}\left(a_{1}\right)=c^{*}(x)=1, c_{j}\left(a_{2}\right)=c^{*}\left(x_{j}\right)=2, c_{j}\left(a_{3}\right)=c^{*}\left(x_{j+1}\right)=1$.

Since $\left(p\left(a_{1}\right), p\left(a_{2}\right), p\left(a_{3}\right)\right) \in\{(1,1,2),(1,2,1)\}$, we find that either $c_{i}$ or $c_{j}$ is an acyclic coloring of $\vec{T}$ without monochromatic facial triangles extending the pre-coloring $p$ of $a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}$, as desired.

Case 2: $t$ is directed in $\vec{T}$. After relabelling (and possibly exchanging the colours 1 and 2), we may suppose that $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right),\left(a_{2}, a_{3}\right),\left(a_{3}, a_{1}\right) \in E(\vec{T})$ and $p\left(a_{1}\right)=1, p\left(a_{2}\right)=1, p\left(a_{3}\right)=2$. Consider the orientation $\vec{T}_{e}$ obtained from $\vec{T}$ by reversing the edge $e=\left(a_{3}, a_{1}\right)$. By the first case, we know that $\vec{T}_{e}$ admits an acyclic 2 -colouring $c_{e}$ without monochromatic facial triangles which extends $p$. Because the endpoints of e receive different colours, it follows directly that $c_{e}$ also defines an acyclic 2-colouring of $\vec{T}$ with the required properties, and the claim follows also in this case.
$($ iii $) \Longrightarrow(i v): \quad$ We prove the statement by induction on the number of vertices. In the base case, where $\vec{T}$ is an oriented triangle, the statement clearly holds true. Now let $n \geq 4$, and assume that the statement holds for all triangulations with less than $n$ vertices. Let $\vec{T}$ be an arbitrary orientation of some planar triangulation $T$ with $n$ vertices. If $T$ is 4 -connected, then the only triangles in $T$ are the facial triangles and therefore the claim follows from (iii). Therefore we may suppose that $T$ is not 4 -connected, i.e., there exists $a$ separating triangle $x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}$ in $T$. Consider some plane crossing-free embedding of T. Here, $x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}$ separates the vertices in its interior $\left(V_{\text {in }} \subseteq V(T)\right)$ from those in its exterior $\left(V_{\text {out }} \subseteq V(T)\right.$ ). Let $\vec{T}_{\text {out }}:=\vec{T}\left[V_{\text {out }} \cup\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}\right]$ and $\vec{T}_{\text {in }}:=\vec{T}\left[V_{\text {in }} \cup\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}\right]$. Both form oriented planar triangulations on less than $n$ vertices. To prove that $\vec{T}$ satisfies the inductive claim, let $t=a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}$ be a given triangle in $T$ equipped with a non-monochromatic pre-colouring $p:\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right\} \rightarrow\{1,2\}$. We must either have $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right\} \subseteq V_{\text {out }} \cup\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}$ or $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right\} \subseteq V_{\text {in }} \cup\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}$. Assume that we are in the first case, the second case is completely analogous. Then, by the induction hypothesis, there exists an acyclic colouring $c_{\text {out }}: V\left(\vec{T}_{\text {out }}\right) \rightarrow\{1,2\}$ without monochromatic triangles such that $c\left(a_{i}\right)=p\left(a_{i}\right), i \in\{1,2,3\}$. The restriction of $c_{\text {out }}$ to $x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}$ now defines a non-monochromatic pre-colouring for $\vec{T}_{i n}$, and it follows from the induction hypothesis that there exists an acyclic 2 -colouring $c_{2}$ of $\vec{T}_{i n}$ without monochromatic triangles which agrees with $c_{\text {out }}$ on $V\left(\vec{T}_{\text {out }}\right) \cap V\left(\vec{T}_{\text {in }}\right)=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}$. By Lemma 1 the common extension of $c_{\text {out }}, c_{\text {in }}$ to $V(\vec{T})$ now defines an acyclic 2-colouring of $\vec{T}$, extending the given pre-colouring $p$ of $t$ and without monochromatic triangles. This verifies the inductive claim.
$(i v) \Rightarrow(i): \quad$ This follows since every planar graph is a subgraph of a planar triangulation.

[^1]Because any edge in a planar triangulation lies on a triangle, we directly obtain the following.
Corollary 1. Under the assumption of Neumann-Lara's Conjecture, every orientation of a planar graph admits an acyclic 2-colouring without monochromatic triangles which can be chosen to extend any given pre-colouring of an edge or any non-monochromatic pre-colouring of a triangle.

## $3 K_{5}$-Minor-Free Graphs

Given a pair $G_{1}, G_{2}$ of undirected graphs such that $V\left(G_{1}\right) \cap V\left(G_{2}\right)$ forms a clique of size $i$ in both $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$, and such that $\left|V\left(G_{1}\right)\right|,\left|V\left(G_{2}\right)\right|>i$, the graph $G$ with $V(G)=V\left(G_{1}\right) \cup V\left(G_{2}\right)$ and $E(G)=E\left(G_{1}\right) \cup E\left(G_{2}\right)$ is called the proper $i$-sum of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$. A graph obtained from $G$ by deleting some (possibly all or none) of the edges in $E\left(G_{1}\right) \cap E\left(G_{2}\right)$ is said to be an $i$-sum of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$. The central tool in proving Theorem 2 is the following classical result due to Wagner. By $V_{8}$ we denote the socalled Wagner graph, that is the graph obtained from $C_{8}$ by joining any two diagonally opposite vertices by an edge.
Theorem 3 (Wagner (1937)). A simple graph is $K_{5}$-minor-free if and only if it can be obtained from planar graphs and copies of $V_{8}$ by means of repeated $i$-sums with $i \in\{0,1,2,3\}$.
$V_{8}$ is triangle-free and admits an acyclic 2-colouring for any orientation. Moreover, it can be easily checked that such a colouring can be chosen to extend any given pre-colouring of two adjacent vertices. We are now in the position to prove Theorem 2 .

Proof of Theorem 2; Assume that Neumann-Lara's Conjecture holds true. We have to prove that every oriented $K_{5}$-minor-free graph admits an acyclic 2-colouring without monochromatic triangles. In fact, we prove the following slightly stronger statement:
Every orientation of a $K_{5}$-minor-free graph admits an acyclic 2-colouring without monochromatic triangles which can be chosen to extend any given pre-colouring of an edge or any non-monochromatic pre-colouring of a triangle.
Assume towards a contradiction that there exists a $K_{5}$-minor-free graph $G$ which does not satisfy this claim and choose $G$ minimal with respect to the number of vertices, and among all such graphs maximal with respect to the number of edges.

By Corollary 1 , we know that the claim is fulfilled by all planar graphs and by $V_{8}$, and so it follows from Theorem 3 that $G$ is the $i$-sum of two $K_{5}$-minor-free graphs $G_{1}, G_{2}$ with fewer vertices, where $0 \leq i \leq 3$. By the minimality assumption, we therefore know that $G_{1}, G_{2}$ satisfy the claim. Clearly, every super-graph of $G$ does not satisfy the assertion as well. Therefore, by the assumed edge-maximality, $G$ must in fact be the proper $i$-sum of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$. Let $C=V\left(G_{1}\right) \cap V\left(G_{2}\right)$ denote the clique in the intersection of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$.

Consider some orientation $\vec{G}$ of $G$ for which the above claim fails, and denote by $\vec{G}_{1}, \vec{G}_{2}$ the induced orientations on the subgraphs $G_{1}, G_{2}$. Let $X \subseteq V(G)$ be the vertex-set of an edge or of a triangle in $G$ with a given pre-colouring $p: X \rightarrow\{1,2\}$ which is not monochromatic in case that $|X|=3$, and which cannot be extended to an acyclic 2 -coloring of $\vec{G}$ without monochromatic triangles. Clearly, $X$ is completely contained in either $G_{1}$ or $G_{2}$, let us assume w.l.o.g. $X \subseteq V\left(G_{1}\right)$.

Let now $c_{1}: V\left(G_{1}\right) \rightarrow\{1,2\}$ be an acyclic coloring of $\vec{G}_{1}$ without monochromatic triangles such that $\left.c_{1}\right|_{X}=p$. We distinguish three cases depending on the size of $C$ in order to find an acyclic coloring $c_{2}: V\left(G_{2}\right) \rightarrow\{1,2\}$ of $\vec{G}_{2}$ without monochromatic triangles such that $\left.c_{1}\right|_{C}=\left.c_{2}\right|_{C}$ :

Case 1. $|C| \in\{0,1\}$. Since $G_{2}$ satisfies the claim, there is an acyclic 2-coloring $c_{2}: V\left(G_{2}\right) \rightarrow\{1,2\}$ of $\vec{G}_{2}$ without monochromatic triangles. Now possibly after exchanging colors 1 and 2 in the coloring $c_{2}$, we have that $\left.c_{1}\right|_{C}=\left.c_{2}\right|_{C}$.

Case 2. $|C|=2$. Let $e^{\prime}=u^{\prime} v^{\prime} \in E\left(G_{1}\right) \cap E\left(G_{2}\right)$ be the unique edge contained in $C$. Let $p^{\prime}:\left\{u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right\} \rightarrow\{1,2\}$ be the pre-coloring of the edge $e^{\prime}$ in $G_{2}$ defined by $p^{\prime}\left(u^{\prime}\right):=c_{1}\left(u^{\prime}\right), p^{\prime}\left(v^{\prime}\right):=$ $c_{1}\left(v^{\prime}\right)$. Since $G_{2}$ satisfies the claim, there exists an acyclic coloring $c_{2}: V\left(G_{2}\right) \rightarrow\{1,2\}$ of $\vec{G}_{2}$ without monochromatic triangles which extends $p^{\prime}$, that is $\left.c_{2}\right|_{C}=p^{\prime}=\left.c_{1}\right|_{C}$.

Case 3. $|C|=3$. Let $t^{\prime}=x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}$ be the triangle in $G_{2}$ formed by the three vertices in $C$. Let $p^{\prime}:\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\} \rightarrow\{1,2\}$ be the pre-coloring of $t^{\prime}$ defined by $p^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right):=c_{1}\left(x_{i}\right), i=1,2,3$. Since the coloring $c_{1}$ of $\vec{G}_{1}$ has no monochromatic triangles and since $t^{\prime}$ is also a triangle in $G_{1}$, we find that the precoloring $p^{\prime}$ is not monochromatic. Hence, since $G_{2}$ satisfies the claim, there has to be an acyclic coloring $c_{2}: V\left(G_{2}\right) \rightarrow\{1,2\}$ of $\vec{G}_{2}$ without monochromatic triangles which extends $p^{\prime}$, that is $\left.c_{2}\right|_{C}=p^{\prime}=\left.c_{1}\right|_{C}$.

In each of the above cases we have found a pair $c_{1}, c_{2}$ of acyclic 2 -colorings of $\vec{G}_{1}$ respectively $\vec{G}_{2}$, each without monochromatic triangles, such that $\left.c_{1}\right|_{X}=p$ and $\left.c_{2}\right|_{C}=\left.c_{1}\right|_{C}$. Let $c: V(G) \rightarrow\{1,2\}$ be the common extension of $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ to $V(G)=V\left(G_{1}\right) \cup V\left(G_{2}\right)$. By Lemma $1, c$ is an acyclic 2-coloring of $\vec{G}$. We furthermore have $\left.c\right|_{X}=\left.c_{1}\right|_{X}=p$, and since every triangle in $G$ is a triangle in $G_{1}$ or $G_{2}$, there are no monochromatic triangles in the coloring $c$ of $G$. This clearly contradicts our initial assumption that the pre-coloring $p: X \rightarrow\{1,2\}$ cannot be extended to an acyclic 2-coloring of $\vec{G}$ without monochromatic triangles. This shows that the initial assumption was false and concludes the proof of the Theorem.

## 4 Conclusion

A natural question that comes out from the discussion in this paper is the following.
Question 1. What is the largest minor-closed class $\mathcal{G}_{2}$ of undirected graphs with dichromatic number at most 2?


Fig. 3: An oriented $K_{3,3}$-minor-free graph without an acyclic 2-colouring.
While $\vec{\chi}\left(K_{6}\right)=2$, it is known that $\vec{\chi}\left(K_{7}\right)=3$. Therefore, $\mathcal{G}_{2}$ is a subclass of the $K_{7}$-minor-free graphs. However, $\mathcal{G}_{2}$ seems to be a lot smaller than this class. In fact, there are examples of $K_{3,3}$-minor-
free graphs with dichromatic number greater than 2, see Figure 3. In every 2-coloring of the vertices of this oriented graph, two vertices of the central triangle have to be colored the same, whence the three vertices attached to this edge must form a monochromatic directed triangle.
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