



Lower bound for the Néron-Tate height (joint work with Vincent Bosser)

Eric Gaudron

► To cite this version:

Eric Gaudron. Lower bound for the Néron-Tate height (joint work with Vincent Bosser). Diophantische Approximationen, Apr 2016, Oberwolfach, Germany. <hal-02175155>

HAL Id: hal-02175155

<https://hal.science/hal-02175155v1>

Submitted on 5 Jul 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



HAL Authorization

Lower bound for the Néron-Tate height

ÉRIC GAUDRON

(joint work with Vincent Bosser)

We propose a totally explicit lower bound for the Néron-Tate height of algebraic points of infinite order of abelian varieties.

Let k be a number field of degree $D = [k : \mathbb{Q}]$. Let A be an abelian variety defined over a fixed subfield of k , of dimension g . Let L be a polarization of A . We denote by \hat{h}_L the Néron-Tate height on $A(k)$ relative to L . It is well-known that, for $p \in A(k)$, we have $\hat{h}_L(p) = 0$ if and only if p is a torsion point, i.e. $np = 0$ for some positive integer n . The general problem of bounding from below $\hat{h}_L(p)$ when $p \in A(k)$ is not a torsion point has been often tackled in the literature, overall from the point of view of the dependence on D (Lehmer's problem) or on the Faltings height $h_F(A)$ of A (Lang-Silverman conjecture). Moreover most of results concern elliptic curves or abelian varieties with complex multiplication. Let us cite two emblematic results due to David Masser, valid in great generality (A_{tors} is the set of torsion points) [3, 4].

Theorem (Masser, 1985-86) *In the above setting, there exist positive constants $c(A, \varepsilon)$ and $c(k, g)$, depending only on A, ε and on k, g respectively, such that, for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and all $p \in A(k) \setminus A_{\text{tors}}$, one has*

$$\hat{h}_L(p)^{-1} \leq c(A, \varepsilon) D^{2g+1+\varepsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{h}_L(p)^{-1} \leq c(k, g) \max(1, h_F(A))^{2g+1}.$$

Unfortunately, there is no bound which takes into account both degree and Faltings height (at this level of generality). Up to now, there is only one published bound for $\hat{h}_L(p)^{-1}$ which is totally explicit in all parameters. It is due to Bruno Winckler (PhD thesis, 2015, [8]) valid for a CM elliptic curve A . His bound looks like Dobrowolski-Laurent's one: $c(A)D(\max(1, \log D)/\max(1, \log \log D))^3$ with a constant $c(A)$ quite complicated (but explicit). We propose here the following much simpler bound.

Theorem 1. *Let (A, L) be a polarized abelian variety over k and $p \in A(k) \setminus A_{\text{tors}}$. Then we have*

$$\hat{h}_L(p)^{-1} \leq \max(D + g^g, h_F(A))^{10^5 g}.$$

Note that the bound does not depend on the polarization L . The proof of this theorem involves two ingredients, namely a generalized period theorem and Minkowski's convex body theorem.

Let us explain the first one. Let $\sigma: k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a complex embedding. By extending the scalars we get a complex abelian variety $A_\sigma = A \times_\sigma \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}$ isomorphic to the torus $t_{A_\sigma}/\Omega_{A_\sigma}$ composed with the tangent space at the origin t_{A_σ} and with the period lattice Ω_{A_σ} of A_σ . From the Riemann form associated to L_σ , we get an hermitian norm $\|\cdot\|_{L, \sigma}$ on t_{A_σ} (see for instance [1, § 2.4]). For $\omega \in \Omega_{A_\sigma}$, let A_ω be the smallest abelian subvariety of A_σ such that $\omega \in t_{A_\omega}$. Actually A_ω is an abelian variety defined over a number field K/k of relative degree $\leq 2(9g)^{2g}$

(Silverberg [7]). A *period theorem* consists of bounding from above the geometrical degree $\deg_L A_\omega$ in terms of $g, D, \|\omega\|_{L,\sigma}$ and $h_F(A)$. Such a theorem is useful to bound the minimal isogeny degree between two isogeneous abelian varieties ([1, 2, 5, 6]). A *generalized period theorem* consists of replacing ω by a logarithm $u \in t_{A_\sigma}$ of a k -rational point $p \in A(k)$ (we have $\sigma(p) = \exp_{A_\sigma}(u)$). In this setting we have the following bound (written in a very simplified form).

Theorem 2. *If $u \neq 0$ then*

$$(\deg_L A_u)^{1/(2 \dim A_u)} \leq \left(D\hat{h}_L(p) + \|u\|_{L,\sigma}^2 \right) \max(D + g^g, h_F(A))^{50}.$$

The proof of Theorem 2 extends that of the period theorem [1] using Gel'fond-Baker's method with Philippon-Waldschmidt's approach and some adelic geometry. Since it is long enough, we shall only explain in the rest of the exposition how to deduce Theorem 1 from Theorem 2. The very classical argument is to use the pigeonhole principle. Here we replace it by the more convenient Minkowski's first theorem. Let E be the \mathbb{R} -vector space $\mathbb{R} \times t_{A_\sigma}$ endowed with the Euclidean norm

$$\|(a, x)\|^2 := a^2 D\hat{h}_L(p) + \|a \cdot u + x\|_{L,\sigma}^2.$$

In $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ stands the lattice $\mathbb{Z} \times \Omega_{A_\sigma}$ whose determinant is $D\hat{h}_L(p)h^0(A, L)^2$. So, by Minkowski, there exists $(\ell, \omega) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \Omega_{A_\sigma} \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$(\star) \quad D\hat{h}_L(\ell p) + \|\ell u + \omega\|_{L,\sigma}^2 \leq \gamma_{2g+1} \left(D\hat{h}_L(p)h^0(A, L)^2 \right)^{1/(2g+1)}$$

where $\gamma_{2g+1} \leq g + 1$ is the Hermite constant. Since p is assumed to be non-torsion, the logarithm $\ell u + \omega$ of $\sigma(\ell p)$ is not 0 and Theorem 2 gives a lower bound for the left-hand side of inequality (\star) , involving a lower bound for $\hat{h}_L(p)$. Nevertheless, at this stage, the dimension $h^0(A, L)$ of the global sections space of the polarization is still in the bound. To remove it, we use Zarhin's trick by replacing A with $(A \times \hat{A})^4$ (here \hat{A} is the dual abelian variety), endowed with a principal polarization compatible to L . Then the Néron-Tate height of p remains unchanged whereas Faltings height and dimension of A are multiplied by 8, ruining the numerical constant but also making $h^0(A, L)$ disappear.

REFERENCES

- [1] É. Gaudron and G. Rémond, *Théorème des périodes et degrés minimaux d'isogénies*, Comment. Math. Helv. **89** (2014), 343–403.
- [2] É. Gaudron and G. Rémond, *Polarisations et isogénies*, Duke Math. J. **163** (2014), 2057–2108.
- [3] D. Masser, *Small values of heights on families of abelian varieties*, in Diophantine approximation and transcendence theory (Bonn, 1985), Lecture Notes in Math. **1290**, (1987), 109–148.
- [4] D. Masser, *Letter to Daniel Bertrand*, November 17, 1986.
- [5] D. Masser and G. Wüstholz, *Periods and minimal abelian subvarieties*, Ann. of Math. **137** (1993), 407–458.
- [6] D. Masser and G. Wüstholz, *Factorization estimates for abelian varieties*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **81** (1995), 5–24.

- [7] A. Silverberg, *Fields of definition for homomorphisms of abelian varieties*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra. **77** (1992), 253–262.
- [8] B. Winckler, *Intersection arithmétique et problème de Lehmer elliptique*, PhD Thesis (Bordeaux, 2015). 120 pages.