



**HAL**  
open science

## Validation of a new technique dedicated to the mechanical characterisation of cartilage micropellets

Noémie Petitjean, Marie Maumus, Gilles Dusfour, Patrick Cañadas, Christian Jorgensen, Pascale Royer, Danièle Noël, S. Lefloch

### ► To cite this version:

Noémie Petitjean, Marie Maumus, Gilles Dusfour, Patrick Cañadas, Christian Jorgensen, et al.. Validation of a new technique dedicated to the mechanical characterisation of cartilage micropellets. 44ème Congrès de la Société de Biomécanique, Société de Biomécanique; Université de Poitiers; CHU de Poitiers, Oct 2019, Poitiers, France. pp.S296-S298, 10.1080/10255842.2020.1714919 . hal-02171759

**HAL Id: hal-02171759**

**<https://hal.science/hal-02171759>**

Submitted on 3 Jul 2019

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Validation of a new technique dedicated to the mechanical characterisation of cartilage micropellets

N. Petitjean<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, M. Maumus<sup>b,c</sup>, G. Dusfour<sup>a</sup>, P. Cañadas<sup>a</sup>, C. Jorgensen<sup>b,c</sup>,  
P. Royer<sup>a</sup>, D. Noël<sup>b,c</sup> and S. Le Floc'h<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>LMGC, Univ. Montpellier, CNRS, Montpellier, France; <sup>b</sup>IRMB, Univ. Montpellier, INSERM, CHU Montpellier, Montpellier, France; <sup>c</sup>Hopital Lapeyronie, Clinical immunology and osteoarticular diseases Therapeutic Unit, Montpellier, France

**Keywords:** biomechanics; characterisation; micropellet; articular cartilage.

## 1. Introduction

Articular cartilage is the tissue covering the surfaces of long bones ensuring smooth motions and facilitating force transmissions in joints. In the context of tissue engineering, many studies focused on molecular analysis of engineered tissues following mechanical stimulation. Only very few reports aimed at providing the course of their mechanical properties over time (O'Connor et al 2013). This is also the case for cartilage micropellets, although this model of cartilage development from mesenchymal stromal cells (MSCs) is considered as the most relevant *in vitro* model for cartilage formation (Barry et al 2001). Therefore, we have developed a specific experimental device which should allow to assess the overall mechanical properties of micropellets during cartilage generation overtime, without removing them from their culture environment. The present work is aimed at validating this new device to adequately quantify the mechanical properties of microspheres using alginate beads with similar size as cartilage micropellets in a proof-of-concept experiment.

## 2. Methods

### 2.1 Samples

Alginate beads were made by dissolving sodium alginate in a 0.15 M NaCl solution at a final concentration of 3% (w/w). The solution was then extruded into 0.1M CaCl<sub>2</sub> solution and alginate beads were kept for complete polymerisation during 24h.

### 2.2 Devices

#### *Home-made device*

Our home-made device is a fluidic system composed of a 3D-printed tank, two peristaltic pumps (15KS series, Boxer, Ottobeuren, Germany), a pressure sensor (ADP5161, Panasonic, New York, USA), a camera (Mako, Allied Vision, Stadtroda, Germany) and two arduino cards (Genuino and M0 Pro, Arduino). Figure 1A/C illustrates how the fluid pressure is applied at the top of the sphere, whereas ground atmospheric pressure is kept at the bottom. Fluid pressure applied at the top causes the sphere to sink into the cone and to deform. The

camera is used to measure the displacement of the bottom of the deformed sphere.

#### *Conventional compression device*

In order to estimate the ability of the new setup to quantify the mechanical properties of millimetric spheres, conventional compression tests were carried out (see figure 1B). Each alginate bead was placed between two parallel planar surfaces. The lower one was guided by a linear actuator (M-235.52S, Physik Instrumente, Karlsruhe, Germany) the bead against the upper one. A force sensor was used to measure the compression force (Futek Inc., model LSB200, Irvine, USA).

### 2.3 Mechanical test

One alginate bead was rinsed with distilled water and placed on the planar compression device. A multi-relaxation compression test was made with 8 ramps of 0,025 mm at 0,1 mm/s. The motor displacement was maintained during 30, 60, 90, 90, 120, 120, 150 and 150 sec respectively. 30 min was enough to achieve total recovery. Then, the sample was placed into the new fluidic device. A multi-creep test was made with 6 ramps of  $13 \pm 1,4$  kPa at about 160 kPa/s. Pressure was maintained during 2 min and 10 images were taken. 30 min was enough to attain total recovery. The first compression test (conventional test 1) was then made again (corresponding to the conventional test 2) to check whether the mechanical properties of beads were modified during the time of the experiment.

### 2.4 Young's modulus estimation

For conventional compression tests, force and displacement of the lower platen were measured at equilibrium, i.e. when force changed by less than 0.03 mN during 10 seconds. For fluidic compression tests, the pressure and the bottom displacement of the bead were measured at equilibrium (last picture taken by the camera). Two axisymmetric finite element (FE) models employing a neo-Hookean hyperelastic law were used for inverse analysis, one dedicated to the conventional compression test, the other one to the new experimental setup (LMGC90, Figure 1A/B). A Poisson's ratio of 0,4

was chosen (Nguyen et al 2009). A non-linear minimisation procedure (*least square procedure* from *scipy.optimize* module, with a Trust Region algorithm, in *python*) was used to fit each FE model to the experimental data and to determine the corresponding Young's modulus. The minimisation procedure was based on the use of either the measured force (conventional compression test) or the bottom displacement of the bead (new fluidic system). Paired bilateral T-Tests were used to compare the results of the three mechanical tests.




Figure 1 – Finite elements models and their boundary conditions for conical (A) and planar (B) compression – Scheme of the home-made device (C).

### 3. Results and discussion

There was no significant difference ( $n=13$ ;  $p=0,31$ ) between Young's moduli obtained from conventional test 1 and those obtained from conventional test 2 (see table 1). This result indicated that mechanical properties did not change (i.e., no alteration) in relation with the fluidic compression test, which was done after conventional test 1 and before conventional test 2. We could therefore compare the mechanical properties obtained from the conventional compression tests to those obtained from the fluidic compression tests.

Young's moduli obtained from the fluidic compression tests were not significantly different from those obtained from planar compression tests ( $p=0,69$ ;  $p=0,27$ , respectively) (Table 1). These results showed that the new device allowed to characterise the mechanical properties of small spherical samples in a quantitative manner.

	Conventional compression 1	Fluidic compression	Conventional compression 2
Mean	104,7 * §	107,0	97,8 *
Sd	29,1	36,0	19,1

Table 1 – Mean and standard deviation of Young's moduli (kPa) obtained for both testing devices;

\*  $p>0,25$  compared to fluidic compression test; §  $p=0,31$  compared to the second conventional compression test.

\*Corresponding author. Email: noemie.petitjean@umontpellier.fr




Figure 2 – Experimental and simulated displacements of pressure applied at the top of the bead.

Figure 2 shows a representative fit between the experimental displacement and the simulated one as a function of the applied pressure within the fluidic system. We noted that the model underestimated the stiffness of the alginate bead at low strains (i.e. at low pressure) and overestimated it for higher strains. The same observations were made for conventional compression tests. This might be due to the quite simple hyperelastic law we have chosen, which may not perfectly reproduce the behaviour of alginate beads.

### 4. Conclusion

The new fluidic experimental setup, allowing pressurising microspheres in a conical shape, together with appropriate identification procedure, successfully measured Young's moduli of millimetric spheres with similar values as conventional compression test. This constitutes a new method to characterise the mechanical behaviour of spherical materials, which will be useful so as to analyse cartilage micropellets and estimate the changes in mechanical properties over long time periods, without removing them from their culture environment. Moreover, unlike other compression devices, this new system allows many micropellets to be loaded at the same time with the same pressure level, regardless of their size.

### Acknowledgements

We thank Stephan Devic, Patrice Valorge and Yvan Duhamel for their technical support in developing the fluidic setup. This work was supported by Labex Numev (PIA: ANR-10-LABX-20) and by CNRS (AAP "Osez l'Interdisciplinarité 2018", MoTiV Project).

### References

- Barry F, Boynton R E, Liu B, Murphy J M. 2001. Exp. Cell Res. 268. 2:189-200.
- Nguyen V B, Wang C X, Thomas C R, Zhang Z. 2009. Chem. Eng. Sci 64. 5: 821-29.
- O'Connor, Christopher J, Case N, Guilak F. 2013. Stem Cell Res Ther. 4:61.