

# A rapid and simple method for assessing and representing genome sequences relatedness

Martial Briand, Mariam Bouzid, Gilles Hunault, Marc Legeay, Marion Le

Saux, Matthieu Barret

# ▶ To cite this version:

Martial Briand, Mariam Bouzid, Gilles Hunault, Marc Legeay, Marion Le Saux, et al.. A rapid and simple method for assessing and representing genome sequences relatedness. BioRxiv, 2019, 10.1101/569640. hal-02171444

# HAL Id: hal-02171444 https://hal.science/hal-02171444

Submitted on 2 Jul 2019

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

# 1Title: A rapid and simple method for assessing and representing genome sequences 2relatedness.

3

4Authors and affiliations : Briand  $M^{1*}$ , Bouzid  $M^{1\dagger}$ , Hunault  $G^2$ , Legeay  $M^3$ , Fischer-Le Saux

5M<sup>1</sup>, Barret M<sup>1</sup>

6

7<sup>1</sup>IRHS, Agrocampus-Ouest, INRA, Université d'Angers, SFR4207 QuaSaV, 49071

8Beaucouzé, France.

9<sup>2</sup> Université d'Angers, Laboratoire d'Hémodynamique, Interaction Fibrose et Invasivité

10tumorale hépatique, UPRES 3859, IFR 132, F-49045 Angers, France

11<sup>3</sup>Novo Nordisk Foundation Center for Protein Research, University of Copenhagen, Denmark

12

## 13Authors email addresses:

14Martial Briand: martial.briand@inra.fr

15Mariam Bouzid : mariam.bouzid@icloud.com

16Gilles Hunault : gilles.hunault@univ-angers.fr

17Marc Legeay : <a href="mailto:legeay.marc@free.fr">legeay.marc@free.fr</a>

18 Marion Fischer-Le Saux : marion.le-saux@inra.fr

19Matthieu Barret: matthieu.barret@inra.fr

20

21\*Corresponding author

22Phone: +33 (0)2 41 22 57 29

23Fax: +33 (0)2 41 22 57 55

#### 24**Abstract**

25Coherent genomic groups are frequently used as a proxy for bacterial species delineation 26through computation of overall genome relatedness indices (OGRI). Average nucleotidic 27identity (ANI) is the method of choice for estimating relatedness between genomic 28sequences. However, pairwise comparisons of genome sequences based on ANI is relatively 29computationally intensive and therefore precludes analyses of large datasets composed of 30thousand genomes sequences.

31In this work we evaluated an alternative OGRI based on *k*-mers counts to study prokaryotic 32species delimitation. A dataset containing more than 3,500 *Pseudomonas* genome 33sequences was successfully classified in few hours with the same precision than ANI. A new 34visualization method based on zoomable circle packing was employed for assessing 35relationships between the 350 cliques generated. Amendment of databases with these 36*Pseudomonas* cliques greatly improve classification of metagenomic read sets with *k*-mers-37based classifier.

38The developed workflow was integrated in the user-friendly KI-S tool that is available at the 39following address: https://iris.angers.inra.fr/galaxypub-cfbp.

40

41Keywords : ANI, *k*-mers, circle packing, *Pseudomonas*, metagenome

42

#### 44**Background**

45Species is the unit of biological diversity. Species delineation of *Bacteria* and *Archaea* 46historically relies on a polyphasic approach based on a range of genotypic, phenotypic and 47chemo-taxonomic (e.g. fatty acid profiles) data of cultured specimen. According to the List of 48Prokaryotic Names with Standing in Nomenclature (LPSN), approximately 15,500 bacterial 49species names have been currently validated within this theoretical framework [1]. Since the 50number of bacterial species inhabiting planet Earth is predicted to range between 10<sup>7</sup> to 10<sup>12</sup> 51species according to different estimates [2,3], the genomics revolution provides an 52opportunity to accelerate the pace of species description.

Prokaryotic species are primarily described as cohesive genomic groups and 54approaches based on similarity of whole genome sequence, also known as overall genome 55relatedness indices (OGRI), have been proposed for delineating species. Average nucleotidic 56identity (ANI) is nowadays the mostly acknowledged OGRI for assessing relatedness 57between genomic sequences. Distinct ANI algorithms such as ANI based on BLAST (ANIb 58[4]), ANI based on MUMmer (ANIm [5]) or ANI based on orthologous gene (OrthoANIb [6]; 59OrthoANIu [7]; gANI,AF [8]), which differ in their precisions but more importantly on their 60calculation times [7], have been developed. Indeed, improvement of calculation time for 61whole genomic comparison of large datasets is an essential parameter. As of November 622018, the total number of prokaryotic genome sequences publicly available in the NBCI 63database is 170,728. Considering an average time of 1 second for calculating ANI values of 64one pair of genome sequence, it would take approximately 1,000 years for obtaining ANI 65values for all pairwise comparisons.

The number of words of length k (*k*-mers) shared between read sets [9] or genomic 67sequences [10] is an alignment-free alternative for assessing the dis(similarities) between 68entities. Methods based on *k*-mers counts, such as SIMKA [9], can quickly compute pairwise 69comparison of multiple metagenome read sets with high accuracy. In addition, specific *k*-70mers profiles are now routinely employed by multiple read classifiers for estimating the

71taxonomic structure of metagenome read sets [11-13]. While these *k*-mers based classifiers 72differ in term of sensitivity and specificity [14], they rely on accurate genome databases for 73affiliating read to a taxonomic rank.

74 The objective of the current work was to evaluate an alternative method based on k-75mers counts to study species delimitation on extensive genome datasets. We therefore 76decided to employ k-mers counts for assessing similarity between genome sequences 77belonging to the *Pseudomonas* genus. Indeed, this genus contains an important diversity of 78 species (n = 207), whose taxonomic affiliation is under constant evolution [15–21], and 79numerous genome sequences are available in public databases. We also proposed an 80original visualization based D3 Zoomable Circle Packing tool on 81(https://gist.github.com/mbostock/7607535) for assessing relatedness of thousands of 82genomes sequences. Finally, the benefit of taxonomic curation of reference database on the 83taxonomic affiliation of metagenomics read sets was assessed. The developed workflow was 84 integrated in the user-friendly KI-S tool which is available in the galaxy toolbox of CIRM-85CFBP (https://iris.angers.inra.fr/galaxypub-cfbp).

#### 87**Methods**

#### 88

#### 89Genomic dataset

90All	genome	sequences	( <i>n</i> =3,623	as	of	April	2017)	from	Pseudomonas	genus	were	
91dov	vnloaded		from			the			NCBI		database	
92(https://www.pchi.plm.pib.gov/genome/browse#!/overview/)												

93

#### 94Calculation of Overall Genome Relatedness Indices

95The percentage of shared *k*-mers between genome sequences was calculated with Simka 96version 1.4 [9] with the following parameters (abundance-min 1 and *k*-mers length ranging 97from 10 to 20). The percentage of shared *k*-mers was compared to ANIb values calculated 98with PYANI version 0.2.3 (https://github.com/widdowquinn/pyani). Due to the computing time 99required for ANIb calculation, only a subset of *Pseudomonas* genomic sequences (*n*=934) 100was selected for this comparison. This subset was composed of genome sequences 101containing less than 150 scaffolds.

102

#### 103 Development of KI-S tool

104An integrative tool named KI-S was developed. The number of shared k-mers between 105genome sequences is first calculated with Simka [9]. A custom R script is then employed to 106 cluster the genome sequences according to their connected components at different selected 107threshold (e.g. 50% of shared 15-mers). The clustering result is visualized with Zoomable 108Circle Packing representation with the D3.js JavaScript library 109(https://gist.github.com/mbostock/7607535). The source code of the KI-S tool is available at 110the following address: https://sourcesup.renater.fr/projects/ki-s/. A wrapper for accessing KI-S 111in a user-friendly Galaxy tool is also available at the following address: 112https://iris.angers.inra.fr/galaxypub-cfbp.

#### 114Taxonomic inference of metagenomic read sets

115The taxonomic profiles of 9 metagenome read sets derived from seed, germinating seeds 116and seedlings of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* var. Flavert) were estimated with Clark 117version 1.2.4 [13]. These metagenome datasets were selected because of the high relative 118abundance of reads affiliated to *Pseudomonas* [22]. The following Clark default parameters – 119k 31 –t <minFreqTarget> 0 and -o <minFreqtObject> 0 were used for the taxonomic profiling. 120Three distinct Clark databases were employed: (*i*) the original Clark database from 121NCBI/RefSeq at the species level (*ii*) the original Clark database supplemented with the 1223,623 *Pseudomonas* genome sequences and their original NCBI taxonomic affiliation (*iii*) the 123original Clark database supplemented with the 3,623 *Pseudomonas* genome sequences 124whose taxonomic affiliation was corrected according to the reclassification based on the 125number of shared *k*-mers. For this third database, genome sequences were clustered at 126>50% of 15-mers.

#### 128Results

#### 129Selection of optimal *k*-mers size and percentage of shared *k*-mers

130Using the percentage of shared *k*-mers as an OGRI for species delineation first required to 131determine the optimal *k*-mers size. This was performed by comparing the percentage of 132shared *k*-mers to a widely acknowledged OGRI, ANIb [4], between 934 *Pseudomonas* 133genome sequences. Since species delineation threshold was initially proposed following the 134observation of a gap in the distribution of pairwise comparison values [23], the distribution 135profiles obtained with *k*-mers lengths ranging from 10 to 20 were compared to ANIb values. 136Short *k*-mers (*k* < 12) were evenly shared by most strains and then not discriminative (**Fig.** 1371). As the size of the *k*-mers increased, a multimodal distribution based on four peaks were 138observed (**Fig. 1**). The first peak is related to genomes sequences that do not belong to the 139same species. Then, depending on *k* length, the second and third peaks (e.g. 50% and 80% 140for *k* = 15) corresponded to genome sequences associated to the same species and 141subspecies, respectively. The fourth peak at 100% of shared *k*-mers was related to identical 142genome sequences.

Fifty percent of 15-mers is closed to ANIb value of 0.95 (**Fig. 2**), a threshold 144commonly employed for delineating bacterial species level [4]. More precisely the median 145percentage of shared 15-mers is 49% [34%-66%] for ANIb value ranging from 0.94 to 0.96. In 146addition, 15-mers allows the investigation of inter-and infra-specific relationship at lower and 147higher percentage of shared 15-mers, respectively.

148 Computing time of 15-mers for 934 genome sequences was 4 hours on a DELL 149Power Edge R510 server, while it took approximately 3 months for obtaining all ANIb pairwise 150comparisons (500-fold decrease of computing time).

151

#### 152Classification of Pseudomonas genomes

153The percentage of shared 15-mers was then used to investigate relatedness between 3,623 154*Pseudomonas* genome sequences publicly available. At a threshold of 50% of 15-mers, we

155 identified 350 cliques. The clique containing the most abundant number of genome 156 sequences was by far related to *P. aeruginosa* species (n = 2,341), followed by the 157 phylogroups PG1 (n = 111), PG3 (n = 92) and PG2 (n = 74) of *P. syringae* species complex 158([16]; **Table S1**). At the clustering threshold employed, 185 cliques were composed of a 159 single genome sequence, therefore highlighting the high *Pseudomonas* strain diversity. 160 Moreover, according to Chao1 index, *Pseudomonas* species richness is estimated at 629 161 cliques [ $\pm$  57], which indicates that additional strain isolations and sequencing effort are 162 needed to cover the whole diversity of this bacterial genus. Graphical representation of 163 hierarchical clustering by dendrogram for a large dataset is generally not optimal. Here we 164 employed Zoomable circle packing as an alternative to dendrogram for representing similarity 165 between genome sequences (**Fig. 3** and **FigS1.html**). The different clustering thresholds that 166 can be superimposed on the same graphical representation allow the investigation of inter-167 and intra- groups relationships (**Fig. 3** and **FigS1.html**). This is useful for affiliating specific 168 clique to a group or subgroup of *Pseudomonas* species.

169

#### 170Improvement of taxonomic affiliation of metagenomic read sets.

171The taxonomic composition of metagenome read sets is frequently estimated with *k*-mers 172based classifiers. While these *k*-mers based classifiers differ in term of sensitivity and 173specificity, they all rely on accurate genome databases for affiliating read to a taxonomic 174rank. Here, we investigated the impact of database content and curation on taxonomic 175affiliation. Using Clark [13] as a taxonomic profiler with the original Clark database, we 176classified metagenome read sets derived from bean seeds, germinating seeds and seedlings 177[22]. Adding the 3,623 *Pseudomonas* genomes with their original taxonomic affiliation from 178NCBI to the original Clark database did not increase the percentage of classified reads (**Fig.** 179**4**). However, adding the same genome sequences reclassified in cliques according to their 180percentage of shared *k*-mers (*k*=15; threshold= 50%) increased 1.4-fold on average the 181number of classified reads (**Fig. 4**).

182

#### 184 Discussion

185Classification of bacterial strains on the basis on their genome sequences similarities has 186emerged since a decade as an alternative to the cumbersome DNA-DNA hybridizations [24]. 187Although ANIb is the current gold-standard method for investigating these genomic 188relatedness, its intensive computational time prohibited its used for comparing large genome 189datasets [7]. In contrast, investigating the percentage of shared *k*-mers is scalable for 190comparing thousands of genome sequences.

In a method based on *k*-mers counts, choosing the length of *k* is a compromise 192between accuracy and speed. The distribution of shared *k*-mers values between genome 193sequences is impacted by *k* length. For k = 15, four peaks were observed at 15%, 50%, 80% 194and 100% of shared *k*-mers. The second peak is closed to ANIb value of 0.95 and falls in the 195so called grey or fuzzy zone [24] where taxonomists might decide to split or merge species. 196Hence, according to our working dataset, it seems that 50% of 15-mers is a good proxy for 197estimating *Pseudomonas* clique. Despite the diverse range of habitats colonized by different 198*Pseudomonas* populations [19], it is likely that the percentage of shared *k*-mers has to be 199adapted when investigating other bacterial genera. Indeed, since population dynamics, 200lifestyle and location impact molecular evolution, it is somewhat illusory to define a fixed 201threshold for species delineation [25]. While 15-mers is a good starting point for investigating 202infra-specific to infra-generic relationships between genome sequences, the computational 203speed of KI-S offers the possibility to perform large scale genomic comparisons at different *k* 204sizes to select the most appropriate threshold.

Genomic relatedness using whole genome sequences becomes a standard for 206bacterial strain identification and bacterial taxonomy [24,26]. This proposition is primarily 207motivated by fast and inexpensive sequencing of bacterial genome together with the limited 208availability of cultured specimen for performing classical polyphasic approach. Whether full 209genome sequences should represent the basis of taxonomic classification is an ongoing 210debate between systematicians [27]. While this consideration is well beyond the objectives of

211this work, obtaining a classification of bacterial genome sequences into coherent groups is of 212general interest. Indeed, the number of misidentified genomes sequences is exponentially 213growing in public databases. A number of initiatives such as Digital Protologue Database 214(DPD [28]), Microbial Genomes Atlas (MiGA [29]), Life Identification Numbers database 215(LINbase [30]) or the Genome Taxonomy Database (GTDB [26]) proposed services to 216classify and rename bacterial strains based ANIb values or single copy marker proteins. 217Using the percentage of shared *k*-mers between unknown bacterial genome sequences and 218reference genome sequences associated to these databases could provide a rapid 219complementary approach for bacterial classification. Moreover, KI-S tool, provides a friendly 220visualization interface that could help systematicians to curate whole genome databases. 221Indeed, zoomable circle packing could be employed for highlighting (*i*) misidentified strains, 222(*ii*) bacterial taxa that possess representative type strains or (*iii*) bacterial taxa that contain 223few genomes sequences.

Association between a taxonomic group and its distribution across a range of habitats 225is useful for inferring the role of this taxa on its host or environment. For instance, community 226profiling approaches based on molecular marker such as hypervariable regions of 16S rRNA 227gene have been helpful for highlighting correlations between host fitness and microbiome 228composition. Finer-grained taxonomic resolution of microbiome composition could be 229achieved with metagenomics through *k*-mers based classification of reads. In this study we 230demonstrate that employing a database with a classification of strains reflecting their 231genomic relatedness greatly improve taxonomic assignments of reads. Therefore, 232investigating relationship between bacterial genome sequences not only benefits bacterial 233taxonomy but also deserves microbial ecology.

## 234 Competing interests

235The authors declare that they have neither competing interests nor conflict of interest.

#### 236Funding

237This research was supported in part by grant awarded by the Region des Pays de la Loire

238(metaSEED, 2013 10080).

### 239Acknowledgements

240The authors wish to thank Claire Lemaitre and Guillaume Rizk for their assistance with the

241SIMKA software.

#### 243References

1. Parte AC. LPSN - List of Prokaryotic names with Standing in Nomenclature (bacterio.net), 20 years on. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. 2018;68:1825–9.

2. Amann R, Rosselló-Móra R. After All, Only Millions? MBio. 2016;7:e00999-16.

3. Locey KJ, Lennon JT. Scaling laws predict global microbial diversity. PNAS. 2016;113:5970–5.

4. Goris J, Konstantinidis KT, Klappenbach JA, Coenye T, Vandamme P, Tiedje JM. DNA-DNA hybridization values and their relationship to whole-genome sequence similarities. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. 2007;57:81–91.

5. Richter M, Rosselló-Móra R. Shifting the genomic gold standard for the prokaryotic species definition. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2009;106:19126–31.

6. Lee I, Ouk Kim Y, Park S-C, Chun J. OrthoANI: An improved algorithm and software for calculating average nucleotide identity. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol.. 2016;66:1100–3.

7. Yoon S-H, Ha S-M, Lim J, Kwon S, Chun J. A large-scale evaluation of algorithms to calculate average nucleotide identity. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek. 2017;110:1281–6.

8. Varghese NJ, Mukherjee S, Ivanova N, Konstantinidis KT, Mavrommatis K, Kyrpides NC, et al. Microbial species delineation using whole genome sequences. Nucleic Acids Res. 2015;43:6761–71.

9. Benoit G, Peterlongo P, Mariadassou M, Drezen E, Schbath S, Lavenier D, et al. Multiple Comparative Metagenomics using Multiset k-mer Counting. PeerJ Computer Science. 2016 ; 2:e94

10. Déraspe M, Raymond F, Boisvert S, Culley A, Roy PH, Laviolette F, et al. Phenetic Comparison of Prokaryotic Genomes Using k-mers. Mol Biol Evol. 2017;34:2716–29.

11. Wood DE, Salzberg SL. Kraken: ultrafast metagenomic sequence classification using exact alignments. Genome Biology. 2014;15:R46.

12. Kim D, Song L, Breitwieser FP, Salzberg SL. Centrifuge: rapid and sensitive classification of metagenomic sequences. Genome Res. 2016; 26: 1721-1729

13. Ounit R, Wanamaker S, Close TJ, Lonardi S. CLARK: fast and accurate classification of metagenomic and genomic sequences using discriminative k-mers. BMC Genomics. 2015;16:236.

14. Sczyrba A, Hofmann P, Belmann P, Koslicki D, Janssen S, Dröge J, et al. Critical Assessment of Metagenome Interpretation – a benchmark of computational metagenomics software. Nat Methods. 2017;14:1063–71.

15. Peix A, Ramírez-Bahena M-H, Velázquez E. Historical evolution and current status of the taxonomy of genus *Pseudomonas*. Infect Genet and Evol. 2009;9:1132–47.

16. Berge O, Monteil CL, Bartoli C, Chandeysson C, Guilbaud C, Sands DC, et al. A user's guide to a data base of the diversity of *Pseudomonas syringae* and its application to classifying strains in this phylogenetic complex. PLoS ONE. 2014;9:e105547.

17. Gomila M, Busquets A, Mulet M, García-Valdés E, Lalucat J. Clarification of Taxonomic Status within the *Pseudomonas syringae* Species Group Based on a Phylogenomic Analysis. Front Microbiol. 2017;8:2422.

18. Gomila M, Peña A, Mulet M, Lalucat J, García-Valdés E. Phylogenomics and systematics in *Pseudomonas*. Front Microbiol. 2015;6:214.

19. Peix A, Ramírez-Bahena M-H, Velázquez E. The current status on the taxonomy of *Pseudomonas* revisited: An update. Infect Genet Evol. 2018;57:106–16.

20. Garrido-Sanz D, Meier-Kolthoff JP, Göker M, Martín M, Rivilla R, Redondo-Nieto M. Genomic and Genetic Diversity within the *Pseudomonas fluorescens* Complex. PLoS ONE. 2016;11:e0150183.

21. Hesse C, Schulz F, Bull CT, Shaffer BT, Yan Q, Shapiro N, et al. Genome-based evolutionary history of *Pseudomonas* spp. Environ Microbiol. 2018; doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.14130

22. Torres-Cortés G, Bonneau S, Bouchez O, Genthon C, Briand M, Jacques M-A, et al. Functional Microbial Features Driving Community Assembly During Seed Germination and Emergence. Front Plant Sci. 2018;9:902.

23. Patrick A Grimont. Use of DNA reassociation in bacterial classification. Canadian J Microbiol; 1988; 34:541-6.

24. Rosselló-Móra R, Amann R. Past and future species definitions for Bacteria and Archaea. Syst Appl Microbiol. 2015;38:209–16.

25. Bromham L. Why do species vary in their rate of molecular evolution? Biol Lett. 2009;5:401–4.

26. Parks DH, Chuvochina M, Waite DW, Rinke C, Skarshewski A, Chaumeil P-A, et al. A standardized bacterial taxonomy based on genome phylogeny substantially revises the tree of life. Nature Biotech. 2018;36:996–1004.

27. Garrity GM. A New Genomics-Driven Taxonomy of Bacteria and Archaea: Are We There Yet? J Clinic Microbiol. 2016;54:1956–63.

28. Rossello-Mora R, Sutcliffe IC. Reflections on the introduction of the Digital Protologue Database — A partial success? System Appl Microbiol. 2019; 42:1-2.

29. Rodriguez-R LM, Gunturu S, Harvey WT, Rosselló-Mora R, Tiedje JM, Cole JR, et al. The Microbial Genomes Atlas (MiGA) webserver: taxonomic and gene diversity analysis of Archaea and Bacteria at the whole genome level. Nucleic Acids Res. 2018;46:W282–8.

30. Vinatzer BA, Tian L, Heath LS. A proposal for a portal to make earth's microbial diversity easily accessible and searchable. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek. 2017;110:1271–9.

#### 244 Figures and Supplemental files

245**Figure 1: Distribution of shared** *k***-mers values.** Relatedness between genome sequences 246were estimated with ANIb (green) or shared *k*-mers (blue). The x axis represents ANIb or 247percentage of shared *k*-mers while the y axis represents the number of values by class in the 248subset of 934 *Pseudomonas* genomic comparison.

249**Figure 2: Comparison of various** *k***-mers length and ANIb values.** Pairwise similarities 250between genome sequences were assessed with average nucleotidic identity based on 251BLAST (ANIb, *x*-axis) and percentage of shared *k*-mers of length 10 (**A**), 15 (**B**) and 20 (**C**). 252The red line corresponds to ANIb of 0.95, a threshold commonly employed for delineating 253species level.

254**Figure 3: Hierarchical clustering of** *Pseudomonas* genome sequences. Zoomable circle 255packing representation of *Pseudomonas* genome sequences (*n* = 3,623). Similarities 256between genome sequences were assessed by comparing the percentage of shared 15-257mers. Each dot represents a genome sequence, which is colored according to its group of 258species [16,21]. These genome sequences have been grouped at three distinct thresholds 259for assessing infraspecific (0.75), species-specific (0.5) and interspecies relationships (0.25). 260**Figure 4: Percentage of classified reads.** Classification of metagenome read sets derived 261from bean seeds, germinating seeds and seedlings with Clark [13]. Three distinct databases 262were employed for read classification: the original Clark database (red), Clark database 263supplemented with 3,623 *Pseudomonas* genome sequences (green) and the Clark database 264supplemented with 3,623 *Pseudomonas* genome sequences that were classified according 265to their percentage of shared *k*-mers (blue).

266**TableS1.csv :** *Pseudomonas* **cliques.** Description of the 350 cliques obtained after 267clustering at 50% of shared 15-mers. For each clique, the *Pseudomonas* group [21] and 268subgroup [16,21] are displayed.

269**FigureS1.html: Zoomable circle packing representation of** *Pseudomonas* genome 270**sequences.** Similarities between genome sequences were assessed by comparing the

271percentage of shared 15-mers. Each dot represents a genome sequence, which is colored 272according to its group of species [16,21]. These genome sequences have been grouped at 273three distinct thresholds for assessing infraspecific (0.75), species-specific (0.5) and 274interspecies relationships (0.25).





02 0.4 0% 08







