

# Almost simplicial polytopes: the lower and upper bound theorems

Eran Nevo, Guillermo Pineda-Villavicencio, Julien Ugon, David Yost

#### ▶ To cite this version:

Eran Nevo, Guillermo Pineda-Villavicencio, Julien Ugon, David Yost. Almost simplicial polytopes: the lower and upper bound theorems. 28-th International Conference on Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics, Simon Fraser University, Jul 2016, Vancouver, Canada.  $10.46298/\mathrm{dmtcs}.6369$ . hal-02166344

# HAL Id: hal-02166344 https://hal.science/hal-02166344v1

Submitted on 26 Jun 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Almost simplicial polytopes: the lower and upper bound theorems

Eran Nevo<sup>1†</sup>, Guillermo Pineda-Villavicencio<sup>2‡</sup>, Julien Ugon<sup>2‡</sup>, and David Yost<sup>2‡</sup>

**Abstract.** This is an extended abstract of the full version. We study n-vertex d-dimensional polytopes with at most one nonsimplex facet with, say, d+s vertices, called *almost simplicial polytopes*. We provide tight lower and upper bounds for the face numbers of these polytopes as functions of d, n and s, thus generalizing the classical Lower Bound Theorem by Barnette and Upper Bound Theorem by McMullen, which treat the case s=0. We characterize the minimizers and provide examples of maximizers, for any d.

**Résumé.** Ceci est un résumé étendu d'une version plus complète. Nous étudions les polytopes de dimension d à n sommets dont au plus une facette n'est pas un simplexe et contient par exemple d+s sommets. Nous appelons de tels polytopes des polytopes presque simpliciaux. Nous établissons des bornes inférieures et supérieures exactes pour le nombre de faces de ces polytopes en fonction de d, n et s, généralisant ainsi les résultats classiques de Barnette sur la borne inférieure et de McMullen sur la borne supérieure dans le cas où s=0. Nous caractérisons les polytopes possédant un nombre de faces minimales et donnons des exemples de polytopes avec un nombre de faces maximal.

Keywords. polytope, f-vector, LBT, UBT, graph rigidity, moment curve

#### 1 Introduction

In 1970 McMullen [14] proved the Upper Bound Theorem (UBT) for *simplicial polytopes*, polytopes with each facet being a simplex, while between 1971 and 1973 Barnette [2, 3] proved the Lower Bound Theorem (LBT) for the same polytopes. Both results are major achievements in the combinatorial theory of polytopes; see, e.g., the books [10, 19] for further details and discussion.

These results can be phrased as follows: let C(d, n) (resp. S(d, n)) denote a cyclic (resp. stacked) d-polytope on n vertices, and for a polytope P let  $f_i(P)$  denote the number of its i-dimensional faces. Then the classical LBT and UBT read as follows.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Institute of Mathematics, the Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Israel

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Centre for Informatics and Applied Optimisation, Federation University, Australia

<sup>†</sup>Email: nevo@math.huji.ac.il. Partially supported by Israel Science Foundation grants ISF-805/11 and ISF-1695/15.

<sup>‡</sup>Emails: g.pinedavillavicencio, j.ugon, d.yost@federation.edu.au

**Theorem 1.1 (Classical LBT and UBT)** For any simplicial d-polytope on n vertices, and any  $0 \le i \le d-1$ ,

$$f_i(S(d,n)) \le f_i(P) \le f_i(C(d,n)).$$

The numbers  $f_i(S(d,n))$  and  $f_i(C(d,n))$  are explicit known functions of (d,n,i), to be discussed later.

We generalize the UBT and LBT to the following context: consider a pair (P, F) where P is a polytope, F is a facet of P, and all facets of P different from F are simplices. We call such a polytope P an almost simplicial polytope (ASP) and a pair (P, F) an ASP-pair. We will be interested only in the combinatorics of P, thus the ASP-pair (P, F) is equivalent to specifying a regular triangulation of F admitting a lifting of its vertices that leaves the vertices of F fixed; we are interested in the simplicial ball  $P' := \partial P - \{F\}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{P}(d,n,s)$  denote the family of d-polytopes P on n-vertices such that (P,F) is an ASP-pair, where F has d+s vertices ( $s\geq 0$ ). Note that  $\mathcal{P}(d,n,0)$  consists of the simplicial d-polytopes on n vertices. In this paper, we define certain polytopes  $C(d,n,s), S(d,n,s) \in \mathcal{P}(d,n,s)$ , explicitly compute their face numbers, and show the following.

**Theorem 1.2 (LBT and UBT for ASP)** For any d, n, s, any polytope  $P \in \mathcal{P}(d, n, s)$ , and any  $0 \le i \le d-1$ ,

$$f_i(S(d, n, s)) \le f_i(P) \le f_i(C(d, n, s)).$$

Further, the polytopes  $P \in \mathcal{P}(d, n, s)$  with  $f_i(P) = f_i(S(d, n, s))$  for some  $0 \le i \le d - 1$  are characterized combinatorially, and satisfy the above equality for all  $0 \le i \le d - 1$ .

The characterization of the equality case above generalizes Kalai's result [11] that equality in the classical LBT holds for some  $1 \le i \le d-1$  iff P is stacked. The polytopes C(d,n,s) form an ASP analog of cyclic polytopes and satisfy a combinatorial Gale-evenness type description of their facets.

Billera and Lee [5] considered the notion of polytope pairs. In particular, their results give tight upper and lower bound theorems for the face numbers of simplicial (d-1)-dimensional balls of the "polytope-antistar" form; that is, balls of the form  $\partial Q - v$ , where Q is a simplicial d-polytope and v is a vertex of Q that is deleted. These bounds are given as functions of d,  $f_0(\partial Q - v)$ ,  $f_0(Q/v)$ , where Q/v denotes the vertex figure of v in Q. For an ASP-pair (P,F), let Q be obtained from P by stacking a pyramid over F with a new vertex v. Then  $F \cong Q/v$  and  $P' = \partial P - \{F\} = \partial Q - v$ . Thus, our balls P' form a subfamily of the balls  $\partial Q - v$  considered in [5]. The bounds we obtain in Theorem 1.2 are strictly stronger than those of [5] which apply to all polytope-antistar balls.

Let  $f(P) = (1, f_0(P), f_1(P), \dots, f_{d-1}(P))$  denote the *f-vector* of P, a vector recording the face numbers of P. The following problem naturally arises.

**Problem 1.3** Characterize the pairs of f-vectors (f(P), f(F)) for ASP-pairs (P, F).

A solution would generalize the well known g-theorem characterizing the face numbers of simplicial polytopes, conjectured by McMullen [15] and proved by Billera-Lee [4] (sufficiency) and Stanley [17] (necessity). We leave this general problem to a future study.

The proof of the LBT for ASP and the characterization of the equality cases are based on framework-rigidity arguments (cf. Kalai [11]) and on an adaptation of the well known McMullen-Perles-Walkup reduction (MPW) [11, Sec. 5] to ASP; see Section 3.

The numerical bounds obtained in the UBT for ASP are a special case of a recent result of Adiprasito and Sanyal [1, Thm. 3.10], who proved the bounds for homology balls whose boundary is an induced subcomplex. While their proof relies on machinery from commutative algebra, we provide an elementary

proof based on a suitable shelling of P. Further, our construction of maximizers C(d, n, s) is a generalization of cyclic polytopes, based on a suitable variation of the moment curve, and is of independent interest; see Section 4.

#### 2 Preliminaries

For undefined terminology and notation, see [19] for polytopes and complexes, or [11, Sec. 2] for framework rigidity.

#### 2.1 Polytopes and simplicial complexes

The k-dimensional faces of a polyhedral complex  $\Delta$  are called k-faces, where the empty face has dimension -1. For a simplicial complex  $\Delta$  of dimension d-1, the number  $f_k(\Delta)$  is then related to the h-numbers  $h_k(\Delta) := \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{k-i} \binom{d-i}{k-i} f_{i-1}(\Delta)$  by

$$f_{k-1}(\Delta) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} {d-i \choose k-i} h_i(\Delta). \tag{1}$$

The h-vector of  $\Delta$ ,  $(\ldots, h_k, h_{k+1}, \ldots)$ , can be considered as an infinite sequence if we let  $h_k(\Delta) = 0$  for k > d and k < 0. The g-numbers are defined by  $g_k(\Delta) = h_k(\Delta) - h_{k-1}(\Delta)$ .

For an ASP pair (P, F), where P is d-dimensional, the following version of the Dehn-Somerville equations applies to the complex  $P' = \partial P - \{F\}$ .

**Proposition 2.1 ([9, Thm. 18.3.6], Dehn-Somerville Equations for** P') *The* h-vector of the simplicial (d-1)-ball P' with boundary  $\partial F$  satisfies for  $k=0,\ldots,d$ 

$$h_k(P') = h_{d-k}(P') + g_k(\partial F). \tag{2}$$

Note that  $h_k(P') = 0$  and  $h_k(\partial F) = 0$  for  $k \ge d$  and  $h_{d-1}(\partial F) = 1$ .

Note that P' is shellable, by a Bruggesser-Mani line shelling.

The link of a face F in  $\Delta$  is  $link_{\Delta}(F) := \{T \in \Delta : T \cap F = \emptyset, F \cup T \in \Delta\}$ , and its star,  $star_{\Delta}(F)$  is the complex  $\cup_{F \subseteq T} 2^T$ . Thus, using the join operator on simplicial complexes, we obtain  $2^F * link_{\Delta}(F) = star_{\Delta}(F)$ . The definition of the star extends to polyhedral complexes. For a vertex v in a polytope Q, its vertex figure Q/v is a codimension 1 polytope obtained by intersecting Q with a hyperplane H a bit vvertex is on one side of vvertex and the other vertices of vvertex are on the other side. If vvertex is simplicial then the boundary complex of vvertex coincides with vvertex is simplicial then the boundary complex of vvertex coincides with vvertex is vvertex of vvertex in vvertex of vvertex of vvertex is vvertex of vvertex

A subcomplex K of  $\Delta$  is *induced* if it contains all the faces in  $\Delta$  which only involve vertices in K. Note that, for an ASP-pair (P, F),  $\partial F$  is an induced subcomplex of P', by convexity.

A polytope is k-neighborly if each subset of at most k vertices forms the vertex set of a face. A  $\lfloor d/2 \rfloor$ -neighborly d-polytope is simply called neighborly. A polytope is k-simplicial if each k-face is a simplex.

The underlying set  $|\mathcal{C}|$  of a polyhedral complex  $\mathcal{C}$  is the point set  $\bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{C}} Q$  of its geometric realization. A *refinement* (or subdivision) of  $\mathcal{C}$  is another polyhedral complex  $\mathcal{D}$  such that  $|\mathcal{D}| = |\mathcal{C}|$  and for any face  $F \in \mathcal{D}$  there exists a face  $T \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $|F| \subseteq |T|$ .

Let G be a proper face of a polytope Q. A point w is beyond G (with respect to Q) if (i) w is not on any hyperplane supporting a facet of Q, (ii) w and the interior of Q lie on different sides of any hyperplane supporting a facet containing G, but (iii) on the same side of every other facet-defining hyperplane which

does not contain G. For an ASP-pair (P, F) we will consider the simplicial polytope Q obtained as the convex hull of P and a vertex y beyond F.

A simplicial complex  $\Delta$  is a homology sphere (over a fixed field  $\mathbf{k}$ ) if for any face  $F \in \Delta$ , the homology groups  $H_i(\mathrm{link}_\Delta(F);\mathbf{k}) \cong H_i(S^{\dim \Delta - \dim F - 1};\mathbf{k})$  for all i, where  $S^j$  is the j-dimensional sphere. Say  $\Delta$  is a homology ball if  $H_i(\mathrm{link}_\Delta(F);\mathbf{k})$  vanishes for  $i < \dim \Delta - \dim F - 1$  and is isomorphic to either 0 or  $\mathbf{k}$  for  $i = \dim \Delta - \dim F - 1$ . Furthermore, the boundary complex  $\partial \Delta$  of  $\Delta$ , consisting of all faces F for which  $H_{\dim \Delta - \dim F - 1}(\mathrm{link}_\Delta(F);\mathbf{k}) = 0$ , is a homology sphere (of codimension 1). In particular, simplicial spheres (resp. balls) are homology spheres (resp. balls).

A polytope is *stacked* if it can be obtained from a simplex by repeatedly taking the convex hull with a vertex beyond some facet. A homology sphere is *stacked* if it is combinatorially isomorphic to the boundary complex of a stacked polytope.

#### 2.2 Rigidity

We mostly follow the presentation in Kalai's [11]. Let G=(V,E) be a graph, and d(a,b) denote Euclidean distance between points a and b in Euclidean space. A d-embedding  $f:V\to \mathbf{R}^d$  is called rigid if there exists an  $\epsilon>0$  such that if  $g:V\to \mathbf{R}^d$  satisfies  $d(f(v),g(v))<\epsilon$  for every  $v\in V$  and d(g(u),g(w))=d(f(u),f(w)) for every  $\{u,w\}\in E$ , then d(g(u),g(w))=d(f(u),f(w)) for every  $u,w\in V$ . G is called  $generically\ d$ -rigid if the set of its rigid d-embeddings is open and dense in the topological vector space of all of its d-embeddings. Given a d-embedding  $f:V\to \mathbf{R}^d$ , a stress of f is a function  $w:E\to \mathbf{R}$  such that for every vertex  $v\in V$ 

$$\sum_{u:\{v,u\}\in E} w(\{v,u\})(f(v)-f(u)) = 0.$$

The stresses of f form a vector space, called the *stress space*. Its dimension is the same for generic d-embeddings (namely, for an open and dense set in the space of all d-embeddings of G). A graph G is called *generically d-stress free* if this dimension is zero.

If a generic  $f: V \to \mathbf{R}^d$  is rigid, then  $f_1(G) \geq df_0(G) - \binom{d+1}{2}$ . Thus, if  $\Delta$  is a simplicial complex of dimension d-1 whose 1-skeleton is generically d-rigid, then  $f_1(\Delta) \geq df_0(\Delta) - \binom{d+1}{2}$ , and  $g_2(\Delta)$  is the dimension of the stress space of any generic embedding. Based on these observations for  $\Delta$  the boundary of a simplicial d-polytope with  $d \geq 3$ , and more general complexes, Kalai [11] extended the LBT and characterized the minimizers.

For a d-polytope P with a simplicial 2-skeleton, the toric  $g_2(P)$  equals  $g_2(\partial P) := f_1(P) - df_0(P) + \binom{d+1}{2}$ , and by a result of Alexandrov (cf. Whiteley [18]), it equals the dimension of the stress space of the 1-skeleton of P. For our LBT for ASP, we will need the following very special case of Kalai's monotonicity<sup>(i)</sup>, which Kalai proved using rigidity arguments.

**Theorem 2.2 (Kalai's Monotonicity [12, Thm. 4.1], weak form)** *Let*  $d \ge 4$ , P a d-polytope with a simplicial 2-skeleton, and F a facet of P. Then

$$g_2(P) \geq g_2(F).$$
 Equivalently,  $f_1(P) - f_1(F) \geq (df_0(P) - {d+1 \choose 2}) - ((d-1)f_0(F) - {d \choose 2}).$ 

<sup>(</sup>i) Kalai's monotonicity conjecture on the toric g-polynomials, asserting that  $g(P) \ge g(F)g(P/F)$  coefficientwise for any face F of P, was first proved for rational polytopes by Braden and MacPherson [7]. By the combinatorial intersection homology, it is now known to hold in full generality; cf. [6].

# 3 A lower bound theorem for almost simplicial polytopes

Recall that a simplicial d-polytope is called stacked if it can be obtained from a d-simplex by repeated stacking, namely, adding a vertex beyond a facet and taking the convex hull. While stacked d-polytopes on n vertices, denoted S(d, n), may have different combinatorial structures, they all have the same f-vector, given by

$$f_k(S(d,n)) = \phi_k(d,n) := \begin{cases} \binom{d}{k}n - \binom{d+1}{k+1}k & \text{for } k = 1, \dots, d-2\\ (d-1)n - (d+1)(d-2) & \text{for } k = d-1. \end{cases}$$

For any integers  $d \geq 3$ ,  $s \geq 0$  and  $n \geq d+s+1$ , let F be a stacked (d-1)-polytope with d+s vertices. Construct a pyramid over F and then stack n-d-s-1 times over facets of the resulting polytope which are different from F to obtain a polytope S(d,n,s) in  $\mathcal{P}(d,n,s)$ . One easily computes the f-vector of S(d,n,s), since refining F by its (unique) stacked triangulation refines the boundary complex of S(d,n,s) to a stacked simplicial sphere with f-vector f(S(d,n)). We obtain

$$f(S(d, n, s)) = f(S(d, n)) - (0, 0, \dots, 0, s, s).$$

Note that for  $n \ge s+4$ , any  $P \in \mathcal{P}(3,n,s)$  has f-vector f(P) = (1,n,3n-6-s,2n-4-s) = <math>f(S(3,n,s)). We are ready to state the LBT for ASP; its minimizers will be characterized later.

**Theorem 3.1 (LBT for ASP)** Let  $d \geq 3$ ,  $s \geq 0$ ,  $n \geq d+s+1$ . Then for any  $P \in \mathcal{P}(d,n,s)$  and  $1 \leq i \leq d-1$  we have

$$f_i(S(d, n, s)) \le f_i(P).$$

**Proof:** We proceed by induction on d, the case d=3 was verified above. Let  $d \ge 4$ . By a result of Whiteley [18], the 1-skeleton of P is generically d-rigid, hence  $f_1(P) \ge \phi_1(d,n)$ , and by the MPW reduction,  $f_i(P) > \phi_i(d,n)$  for all 2 < i < d-3 as well; see [11, Thm. 12.2] <sup>(ii)</sup>.

Denote by (P,F) the ASP-pair, and by  $\deg_P(v)$  the degree of a vertex v in the 1-skeleton of P. We now prove the inequality for the facets, by a variation of the MPW reduction. Note that the vertex figure P/v in P of any vertex  $v \in \operatorname{vert} F$  is an ASP (with  $\deg_P(v)$  vertices), while for any vertex  $v \in \operatorname{vert} F$  P/v is a simplicial polytope; cf. [8, Thm. 11.5]. Furthermore, for a vertex  $v \in \operatorname{vert} F$ , letting  $s_v := \deg_F(v) - (d-1) \ge 0$  gives  $P/v \in \mathcal{P}(d-1, \deg_P(v), s_v)$ .

Double counting the number of pairs (v, A) for a vertex v in a facet A of P, we obtain the following inequalities:

$$\begin{split} d(f_{d-1}(P)-1) + (d+s) &= \sum_{v \in \text{vert } P} f_{d-2}(\text{link}_P(v)) \\ &\geq \sum_{v \in \text{vert } P \setminus \text{vert } F} ((d-2) \deg_P(v) - d(d-3)) + \sum_{v \in \text{vert } F} ((d-2) \deg_P(v) - d(d-3) - s_v) \\ &= 2(d-2)f_1(P) - d(d-3)f_0(P) - 2f_1(F) + (d-1)(d+s) \\ &\geq 2(d-2) \left[ df_0(P) - \binom{d+1}{2} \right] - d(d-3)f_0(P) - 2\left[ (d-1)f_0(F) - \binom{d}{2} \right] + (d-1)(d+s) \\ &= d(d-1)f_0(P) - d(d+1)(d-2) - s(d-1), \end{split}$$

<sup>(</sup>ii) Kalai's theorem contains a typo. It includes the case i = k, while it holds only for i < k, where P is k-simplicial. Our ASP P is (d-2)-simplicial.

where the first inequality is by the induction hypothesis and the second inequality is by Kalai's monotonicity Theorem 2.2 and the LBT inequality for  $f_1(P)$ . Comparing the LHS with the RHS gives

$$f_{d-1}(P) \ge \phi_{d-1}(d,n) - s.$$

The inequality for  $f_{d-2}(P)$  follows from the inequality for  $f_{d-1}(P)$  by double counting. Since any ridge in P is contained in exactly two facets, counting the number of pairs (R, A) for a ridge R in a facet A of P, we obtain that

$$2f_{d-2}(P) = d(f_{d-1}(P) - 1) + f_{d-2}(F).$$

Applying the classical LBT to the simplicial polytope F with  $f_0(F) = d + s$ , we get

$$2f_{d-2}(P) \ge d(f_{d-1}(P) - 1) + (d-2)(d+s) - d(d-3),$$

and applying the lower bound for  $f_{d-1}(P)$  yields, after dividing both sides by 2, the desired lower bound  $f_{d-2}(P) \ge \phi_{d-2}(d,n) - s$ .

We now turn our attention to characterizing the minimizers of Theorem 3.1. We start with some terminology and background.

A proper subset A of the vertices of a d-polytope P is called a missing k-face of P if the cardinality of A is k+1, the simplex on A is not a face of P, but for any proper subset B of A the simplex on B is a face of P. If A is a missing (d-1)-face of P then adding the simplex A cuts P into two d-polytopes  $P_1, P_2$ , glued along the simplex A. We denote this operation by  $P = P_1 \# P_2$ . Repeating this procedure on each  $P_i$  until no piece  $P_i$  contains a missing (d-1)-face results in a decomposition  $P = P_1 \# P_2 \# \cdots \# P_t$ , where intersections along missing (d-1)-faces of P define a tree whose vertices are the  $P_i$ 's. Note that for  $d \geq 3$  a decomposition of P as above is uniquely defined; just insert all the missing (d-1)-faces. Call such a decomposition the prime decomposition of P, and call each  $P_i$  a prime factor of P. Denote by  $\Delta_P$  the polyhedral complex defined by the prime decomposition of P. Then a simplicial d-polytope P is stacked iff all its prime factors are d-simplices. This definition immediately extends to polyhedral spheres where the operation # corresponds to the topological connected sum.

We start with the characterization of the minimizers for the easier case d > 4.

**Theorem 3.2** (Characterization of minimizers for d > 4) Let d > 4 and  $P \in \mathcal{P}(d, n, s)$ . Let  $\Delta_F$  be the polyhedral complex corresponding to the prime decomposition of F, and let  $\Delta$  be the refinement of the boundary complex  $\partial P$  of P obtained by refining F by  $\Delta_F$ . Assume there is some  $1 \le i \le d-1$  for which  $f_i(P) = f_i(S(d, n, s))$ . Then, all prime factors in the prime decomposition of  $\Delta$  are d-simplices. In particular, f(P) = f(S(d, n, s)).

**Proof:** By the MPW reduction and the variation of it we used in the proof of Theorem 3.1, it is enough to consider the case i=1. From Kalai's monotonicity (Theorem 2.2) and our assumption  $g_2(P)=0$ , it follows that  $g_2(F)=0$ . As F is simplicial of dimension  $\geq 4$ , Kalai's [11, Thm. 1.1(ii)] says that F is stacked, thus  $\Delta$  is a simplicial (d-1)-sphere. Since  $g_2(\Delta)=0$ , by [11, Thm. 1.1(ii)] again,  $\Delta$  is stacked, as desired. In particular, f(P)=f(S(d,n,s)).

For d=4, F need not be stacked. For example, the pyramid over any simplicial 3-polytope is a minimizer. We obtain the following characterization of minimizers.

**Theorem 3.3** (Characterization of minimizers for d=4) Let  $P \in \mathcal{P}(4,n,s)$ , and keep the notation of Theorem 3.2. Assume there is some  $1 \leq i \leq d-1$  for which  $f_i(P) = f_i(S(d,n,s))$ . Then, the prime factors in the prime decomposition of  $\Delta$  are either d-simplices with no facet contained in |F|, or pyramids over prime factors of F.

In order to prove this theorem we first need to show generic d-rigidity for the 1-skeleton of a much larger class of complexes. Let  $C_k$  be the family of homology k-balls  $\Delta$  such that:

- the induced subcomplex  $\Delta[I]$  on the set of internal vertices I has a connected 1-skeleton, and
- for any edge e in the boundary complex  $\partial \Delta$ , there exists a 2-simplex T,  $e \subset T$ , such that T has a vertex in I.

Note that any homology k-ball  $\Delta$  whose boundary  $\partial \Delta$  is an induced subcomplex is in  $\mathcal{C}_k$ . In particular, for  $P \in \mathcal{P}(d, n, s)$ , the simplicial complex  $P' = \partial P - \{F\}$  is in  $\mathcal{C}_{d-1}$ .

**Lemma 3.4** Let  $d \ge 4$ . The 1-skeleton of any  $\Delta \in \mathcal{C}_{d-1}$  is generically d-rigid. Thus,  $f_1(\Delta) \ge df_0(\Delta) - \binom{d+1}{2}$ .

The proof is similar to Kalai's proof of the classical LBT [11] and is omitted.

**Proof of Theorem 3.3:** Consider a prime factor L of  $\Delta$ . Then L is a 4-polytope with a generically 4-rigid 1-skeleton. As  $g_2(P)=0$ , the 1-skeleton of L, denoted by G, must be generically 4-stress free. Thus,  $g_2(L)=0$ .

If L does not contain a facet in F, then L is simplicial, with  $g_2(L)=0$ , hence is stacked by [11, Thm. 1.1]. Being also prime, L is a 4-simplex.

Assume then that L contains a facet F'' contained in |F|, so (L, F'') is an ASP-pair. If L has a unique vertex outside F'', then L is a pyramid over a prime factor of F and we are done. Assume the contrary, so there is an edge  $vu \in G$  with  $v, u \notin F''$  (for concreteness, taking v, u to be the highest two vertices of L above the hyperplane of F works).

First we show that vu satisfies the link condition  $\mathrm{link}_L(v) \cap \mathrm{link}_L(u) = \mathrm{link}_L(vu)$ , which guarantees that contracting the edge vu in the simplicial complex  $\partial L - \{F''\}$  results in  $\Delta \in \mathcal{C}_3$ ; see e.g.[16, Prop.2.4]<sup>(iii)</sup>. Indeed, if vu fails the link condition it means that vu is contained in a missing face M, with 3 or 4 vertices. Now, M cannot have 4 vertices as L is prime. If M = vuz then uz is an edge of L not in  $\mathrm{link}_L(v)$ . Since  $\mathrm{link}_L(v)$  is a homology 2-sphere (thus, a simplicial 2-sphere), its 1-skeleton is generically 3-rigid. Consequently, the 1-skeleton of  $\mathrm{star}_L(v)$  is generically 4-rigid, and adding vu to it yields a 4-stress in G, a contradiction.

Let m be the number of vertices in the cycle  $\operatorname{link}_L(vu)$ , then  $f_1(\tilde{\Delta}) = f_1(L) - m - 1$  and  $f_0(\tilde{\Delta}) = f_0(L) - 1$ , which implies that  $g_2(L) = g_2(\tilde{\Delta}) + (m-3)$ .

If m > 3, then applying Lemma 3.4 to  $\Delta$  yields  $g_2(L) > 0$ , a contradiction. So assume m = 3.

Denote by x, y, z the vertices of  $\operatorname{link}_L(vu)$ . If the triangle  $xyz \in L$ , then, as L is prime, both tetrahedra xyzv, xyzu are faces of L, so L is the 4-simplex xyzuv, a contradiction (as it has a facet F'' in F).

We are left to consider the case  $xyz \notin L$ . The argument here is inspired by Barnette [2, Thm. 2]. In this case, the 3-ball formed by the join  $vu * \partial(xyz)$  is an induced subcomplex of  $\partial L - \{F''\}$ . Now replace it by  $\partial(vu) * xyz$  (this is a bistellar move) to obtain from  $\partial L - \{F''\}$  the complex  $\Delta''$ . Clearly  $\Delta''$  is a

<sup>(</sup>iii) To apply [16, Prop. 2.4], phrased for homology spheres, simply cone the boundary of the homology ball  $\Delta$  to obtain a homology sphere.

homology 3-ball, and any edge on its boundary is part of a 2-simplex with an internal vertex (just take the same one as in  $\partial L - \{F''\}$ ). To show  $\Delta'' \in \mathcal{C}_3$  we are left to show that the graph on the internal vertices I of  $\Delta''$  is connected. Assume not, namely removing the edge uv disconnects the induced graph on I in  $\partial L - \{F''\}$ . In particular,  $x, y, z \in F''$ . But  $xyz \notin L$ , so xyz is a missing face of F'', contradicting that F'' is a prime factor of F.

We conclude that  $\Delta'' \in C_3$ , thus, by Lemma 3.4,  $\Delta'' \cup \{vu\}$  has a nonzero 4-stress, so  $g_2(L) > 0$ , a contradiction. The proof is then complete.

**Remark 3.5** The above shows that, for any  $k \geq 3$ , the lower bounds of Theorem 3.1 are valid for any complex in  $C_k$ , and the minimizers in  $C_k$  are exactly the complexes  $\partial P - \{F\}$  described in Theorems 3.2 and 3.3.

### 4 An upper bound theorem for almost simplicial polytopes

Throughout this section, we let  $P \in \mathcal{P}(d, n, s)$  denote an almost simplicial polytope, (P, F) the ASP-pair, and  $P' = \partial P \setminus \{F\}$  the corresponding (shellable) simplicial (d-1)-ball.

#### 4.1 ASP generalization of cyclic polytopes

The moment curve in  $\mathbf{R}^d$  is defined by  $t \mapsto (t, t^2, \dots, t^d)$  for  $t \in \mathbf{R}^d$ . We consider related curves  $x(t) = (t, t^2, \dots, t^{d-r}, p_1(t), \dots, p_r(t))$ , where  $p_i(t)$  are continuous functions in t for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . Later, a special choice of the curve x(t) and points on it will give our maximizer polytope C(d, n, s).

We let  $V(t_1, \ldots, t_d)$  denote the *Vandermonde determinant* on variables  $t_1, \ldots, t_d$ .

$$V(t_1, \dots, t_d) := \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ t_1 & t_2 & \dots & t_d \\ t_1^2 & t_2^2 & \dots & t_d^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ t_1^{d-1} & t_2^{d-1} & \dots & t_d^{d-1} \end{vmatrix} = \prod_{1 \le i < j \le d} (t_j - t_i).$$

**Lemma 4.1** Consider the curve x(t). Then the following holds:

- 1. Any d-r+1 points on the curve x(t) are affinely independent.
- 2. For any n distinct numbers  $t_1, \ldots, t_n$ , the polytope  $Q = \text{conv}(\{x(t_1), \ldots, x(t_n)\})$  is (d r 1)-simplicial.
- 3. The polytope Q is  $\lfloor (d-r)/2 \rfloor$ -neighbourly.

The proof is similar to [10, Sec. 4.7], and is omitted.

Consider the curve  $y(t) = (t, t^2, \dots, t^{d-1}, p(t))$ , where

$$p(t) := (n-1)^{(t-1)(d-1)}t(t+1)\cdots(t+d+s-1),$$

and n and s are fixed integers with n > d + s and  $s \ge 0$ . Let  $C(d, n, s) := \text{conv}(\{y(t_1), \dots, y(t_n)\})$ , where  $t_i = -s - d + i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Also, let  $T = \{t_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $I = \{t_i : i = 1, \dots, d + s\}$  and  $y(S) := \{y(t_i) : t_i \in S\}$  for  $S \subset T$ .

The following proposition collects a number of properties of the d-polytope C(d, n, s).

**Proposition 4.2** The d-polytope C(d, n, s) (n > d + s) satisfies the following properties.

- 1.  $C(d, n, s) \in \mathcal{P}(d, n, s)$ .
- 2. Gale's evenness condition: A d-subset  $S_d$  of vert C(d, n, s) such that  $S_d \not\subset I$  forms a simplex facet iff, for any two elements  $u, v \in T \setminus S_d$ , the number of elements of  $S_d$  between u and v on the curve y(t) is even.

**Proof:** (1) We first show that the first d+s vertices span a facet F. Let  $y=(y_1,\ldots,y_d)\in\mathbf{R}^d$  and let

$$D((t_1, t_2, \dots, t_d); y) := \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 \\ t_1 & t_2 & \dots & t_d & y_1 \\ t_1^2 & t_2^2 & \dots & t_d^2 & y_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots & \vdots \\ t_1^{d-1} & t_2^{d-1} & \dots & t_d^{d-1} & y_{d-1} \\ p(t_1) & p(t_2) & \dots & p(t_d) & y_d \end{vmatrix}.$$

Let  $D(y) := D((t_1, t_2, \dots, t_d); y)$  and consider the hyperplane  $H_D := \{y \in \mathbf{R}^d : D(y) = 0\}$ . The points  $y(t_i)$   $(i = 1, \dots, d + s)$  are all contained in  $H_D$ , by vanishing of the last row of  $D(y(t_i))$ . Let  $y(t^*) \in \text{vert } C(d, n, s) \setminus y(I)$ , then  $D(y(t^*)) = p(t^*)V(t_1, \dots, t_d) > 0$  since  $p(t^*) > 0$  and  $V(t_1, \dots, t_d) > 0$ . Thus, F is a facet of C(d, n, s).

We now show that every other facet is a simplex. Consider any (d+1)-set  $\{t_{i_1} < \ldots < t_{i_d} < t_{i_{d+1}} = t^*\} \subset T$  not contained in I. Thus,  $t^* \in T \setminus I$ . Consider the determinant  $E(y) := D((t_{i_1}, t_{i_2}, \ldots, t_{i_d}); y)$ . The hyperplane  $H_E := \{y \in \mathbf{R}^d : E(y) = 0\}$  contains all the points  $y(t_{i_j})$   $(j=1,\ldots,d)$ . We need to show that  $E(y(t^*)) \neq 0$ .

Note that p(t)=0 for  $t\in I$  and p(t)>0 for  $t\in T\setminus I$ . Also, note that  $|t_a-t_b|\leq n-1$  for  $t_a,t_b\in [-s-d+1,-s-d+n]$ . For the sake of clarity assume d is odd; the case of even d is analogous. Computing  $E(y(t^*))$  by expanding w.r.t. the last row gives

$$(p(t^*)V(t_{i_1},\ldots,t_{i_d})-p(t_{i_d})V(t_{i_1},\ldots,t_{i_{d-1}},t^*))+\cdots + (p(t_{i_2})V(t_{i_1},t_{i_3}\ldots,t^*)-p(t_{i_1})V(t_{i_2},\ldots,t^*)).$$

The definition of p(t) implies that each pair-summand is nonnegative and the first pair-summand is positive, and so the determinant is positive. Indeed, for j>1, if  $p(t_{i_j})=0$  then also  $p(t_{i_{j-1}})=0$  and the corresponding pair-summand vanishes. Otherwise, let  $V(j):=V(t_{i_1},\ldots t_{i_{j-1}},t_{i_{j+1}},\ldots,t_{i_{d+1}})$  for short. Then,

$$p(t_{i_j})V(j) \ge (n-1)^{(d-1)(t_{i_j}-1)} \prod_{l=0}^{d+s-1} (t_{i_{j-1}}+l) \frac{V(j-1)}{(n-1)^{d-1}} \ge p(t_{i_{j-1}})V(j-1).$$

This completes the proof of the first assertion.

(2) Consider a set  $S_d = \{t_{i_1} < \ldots < t_{i_d}\} \not\subset I$ . Let  $t^* \in T$ ,  $t_{i_{j-1}} < t^* < t_{i_j}$  (include also the cases  $t^* < t_{i_1}$  with j=1 and  $t_{i_d} < t^*$  where we put j=d+1). From the above reasoning we see that if the column  $y(t^*)$  in the determinant  $E(y(t^*))$  is placed between the columns  $y(t_{i_{j-1}})$  and

 $y(t_{i_j})$  then the resulting determinant is positive. To achieve this, we swap d-j+1 times the column  $y(t^*)$ , which gives that the sign of  $E(y(t^*))$  is  $(-1)^{d-j+1}$ . Consequently, on the curve y(t), between [-s-d+1,-s-d+n], the determinant  $E(y(t^*))$  changes sign whenever the variable passes through one of the values  $t_{i_j}$  ( $i=1,\ldots,d$ ), and we are done.

A polytope C(d,n,s) will be called *almost cyclic*. Having established in 4.1 that C(d,n,s) is  $\lfloor (d-1)/2 \rfloor$ -neighbourly, we can compute its h-vector, in steps. Recall that  $P' = \partial P \setminus \{F\}$ .

**Proposition 4.3** Let  $P \in \mathcal{P}(d, n, s)$  be  $\lfloor (d-1)/2 \rfloor$ -neighbourly, and (P, F) the ASP-pair. Then,

$$h_k(P') = \binom{n-d-1+k}{k}, \qquad \qquad \text{if } 0 \le k \le \lfloor (d-1)/2 \rfloor;$$
 
$$h_{d-k}(P') = \binom{n-d-1+k}{k} - \binom{s+k-1}{k}, \qquad \qquad \text{if } 1 \le k \le \lfloor (d-1)/2 \rfloor.$$

The proof basically uses the fact that  $f_{k-1}(P') = \binom{n}{k}$  for  $k \leq \lfloor (d-1)/2 \rfloor$ , and the Dehn-Sommerville relations (2); we omit the details.

Observe that, for even d, being  $\lfloor (d-1)/2 \rfloor$ -neighbourly does not determine the value of  $h_{d/2}(P')$ . With the help of Gale's evenness condition we can compute the number of facets of C(d,n,s), and together with 4.3 and (1), we can compute  $h_{d/2}(C(d,n,s))$  for any even d as well. Let  $C' := C(d,n,s) - \{F\}$ .

**Proposition 4.4** For the ASP-pair (C(d, n, s), F) with d even, consider the simplicial ball C'. Then

$$f_{d-1}(C') = \left( \binom{n - d/2 - 1}{d/2} + \sum_{i=0}^{d/2 - 1} 2 \binom{n - d - 1 + i}{i} \right) - \binom{s + d/2}{d/2}.$$

**Proof:** The counting argument for the facets of C', based on Gale evenness, goes as in the proof of the number of facets of cyclic polytopes (cf. [19, Cor. 8.28]), with the difference that we discard the Gale d-tuples formed solely by the first d+s vertices, thus we discard exactly  $\binom{s+d/2}{d/2}$  of them.

**Corollary 4.5** The h-numbers of C' are given by:

$$h_k(C') = \binom{n-d-1+k}{k}, \qquad if \ 0 \le k \le \lfloor (d-1)/2 \rfloor;$$

$$h_{d-k}(C') = \binom{n-d-1+k}{k} - \binom{s+k-1}{k}, \qquad if \ 1 \le k \le \lfloor d/2 \rfloor.$$

**Proof:** The case of odd d was already established by 4.3 since C(d, n, s) is  $\lfloor (d-1)/2 \rfloor$ -neighbourly. For the case of even d it remains to compute  $h_{d/2}(P)$ . Equating the corresponding expression in Proposition 4.4 with the expression of  $f_{d-1}$  in (1), after substituting the known values of  $h_k$  for  $k \neq d/2$ , gives

$$h_{d/2}(C') = \binom{n - d/2 - 1}{d/2} + \sum_{i=0}^{d/2 - 1} \binom{s + i - 1}{i} - \binom{s + d/2}{d/2}$$
$$= \binom{n - d/2 - 1}{d/2} - \binom{s + d/2 - 1}{d/2},$$

as desired. 

#### An upper bound theorem for almost simplicial polytopes

We are now in a position to state an upper bound theorem for almost simplicial polytopes  $P \in \mathcal{P}(d, n, s)$ .

**Theorem 4.6 (UBT for ASP)** Any almost simplicial polytope  $P \in \mathcal{P}(d, n, s)$  satisfies

$$h_k(P') \le \binom{n - d - 1 + k}{k}, \qquad if \ 0 \le k \le \lfloor (d - 1)/2 \rfloor; \tag{3}$$

$$h_k(P') \le \binom{n-d-1+k}{k}, \qquad if \ 0 \le k \le \lfloor (d-1)/2 \rfloor; \qquad (3)$$

$$h_{d-k}(P') \le \binom{n-d-1+k}{k} - \binom{s+k-1}{k}, \qquad if \ 1 \le k \le \lfloor d/2 \rfloor. \qquad (4)$$

Thus,

$$f_{i-1}(P) \le f_{i-1}(C(d, n, s))$$
 for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$ ,

for the almost cyclic d-polytope C(d, n, s). Equality for some  $f_{i-1}$  with  $\lfloor (d-1)/2 \rfloor \leq i \leq d$  implies that P is |(d-1)/2|-neighbourly.

**Proof of 4.6 via [1, Thm. 3.10]:** The inequalities on  $h_k(P')$  hold for  $0 \le k \le d-1$  by [1, Thm. 3.10], as P' is a special case of a homology ball whose boundary is an induced subcomplex. From Corollary 4.5 and (1) the inequality  $f_{i-1}(P) \leq f_{i-1}(C(d,n,s))$  follows. Equality for some  $f_{i-1}$  with  $d \geq i \geq \lfloor (d-1)/2 \rfloor$ implies, by (1), the equality  $h_k(P') = \binom{n-d-1+k}{k}$  for all  $0 \le k \le \lfloor (d-1)/2 \rfloor$ , and thus, again by (1), that P is  $\lfloor (d-1)/2 \rfloor$ -neighbourly.

**Remark 4.7 (More maximizers.)** As is the case with neighborly polytopes, we expect that there are many combinatorially distinct ASPs achieving the upper bounds in the UBT for ASP. We obtained another such construction, based on a certain perturbation of the Cayley polytopes constructed by Karavelas and Tzanaki [13, Sec.5]; the details are omitted from this extended abstract.

Remark 4.8 We produced an alternative and elementary proof of the UBT for ASP, via shelling. Our proof follows ideas from the proof of the classical UBT by McMullen, cf. [19, Sec.8.4], and from a recent work of Karavelas and Tzanaki [13], and includes a special property of shellings of ASPs. For space limits we omit the details and refer to the full arXiv version.

## Acknowledgements

We thank Karim Adiprasito, Gil Kalai and Isabella Novik for helpful feedback on an earlier version of this paper.

#### References

- [1] K. A. Adiprasito and R. Sanyal, Relative Stanley-Reisner theory and upper bound theorems for minkowski sums, arXiv:1405.7368, 2014.
- [2] D. W. Barnette, The minimum number of vertices of a simple polytope, Israel J. Math. 10 (1971), 121–125.

- [3] D. W. Barnette, A proof of the lower bound conjecture for convex polytopes, Pacific J. Math. 46 (1973), 349–354.
- [4] L. J. Billera and C. W. Lee, A proof of the sufficiency of McMullen's conditions for f-vectors of simplicial convex polytopes, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 31, (1981), 237–255.
- [5] L. J. Billera and C. W. Lee, *The numbers of faces of polytope pairs and unbounded polyhedra*, European J. Combin. **2** (1981), no. 4, 307–322.
- [6] T. Braden, *Remarks on the combinatorial intersection cohomology of fans*, Pure Appl. Math. Q. **2** (2006), no. 4, part 2, 1149–1186.
- [7] T. Braden and R. D. MacPherson, *Intersection homology of toric varieties and a conjecture of Kalai*, Comment. Math. Helv. **74** (1999), no. 3, 442–455.
- [8] A. Brøndsted, *An introduction to convex polytopes*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 90, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [9] J. E. Goodman and J. O'Rourke (eds.), *Handbook of discrete and computational geometry*, 2nd ed., Discrete Mathematics and its Applications (Boca Raton), Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2004.
- [10] B. Grünbaum, *Convex polytopes*, 2nd ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 221, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003, Prepared and with a preface by V. Kaibel, V. Klee and G. M. Ziegler.
- [11] G. Kalai, Rigidity and the lower bound theorem. I, Invent. Math. 88 (1987), no. 1, 125–151.
- [12] G. Kalai, *Some aspects of the combinatorial theory of convex polytopes*, Polytopes: abstract, convex and computational (Scarborough, ON, 1993), NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., vol. 440, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1994, pp. 205–229.
- [13] M. I. Karavelas and E. Tzanaki, *The maximum number of faces of the Minkowski sum of two convex polytopes*, Proceedings of the Twenty-Third Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, ACM, New York, 2012, pp. 11–22.
- [14] P. McMullen, *The maximum numbers of faces of a convex polytope*, Mathematika **17** (1970), 179–
- [15] P. McMullen, The numbers of faces of simplicial polytopes, Israel J. Math., 9, 1971, 559–570.
- [16] E. Nevo and E. Novinsky, A characterization of simplicial polytopes with  $g_2 = 1$ , J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, **118**, (2011) 387–395.
- [17] R. P. Stanley, The number of faces of a simplicial convex polytope, Adv. Math. 35 (1980), 236–238.
- [18] W. Whiteley, *Infinitesimally rigid polyhedra*. *I. Statics of frameworks*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **285** (1984), no. 2, 431–465.
- [19] G. M. Ziegler, *Lectures on polytopes*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 152, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.