

Detection of superparamagnetic nanoparticles for immunoassays

Benjamin Garlan, Amine Rabehi, Guillaume Perry, Kieu Ngo, Hamid Kokabi

To cite this version:

Benjamin Garlan, Amine Rabehi, Guillaume Perry, Kieu Ngo, Hamid Kokabi. Detection of superparamagnetic nanoparticles for immunoassays. Journées d'Etude sur la TéléSanté, Sorbonne Universités, May 2019, Paris, France. hal-02161041

HAL Id: hal-02161041 <https://hal.science/hal-02161041>

Submitted on 20 Jun 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Detection of superparamagnetic nanoparticles for immunoassays

Benjamin Garlan¹, Amine Rabehi¹, Guillaume Perry¹, Kieu Ngo², Hamid Kokabi¹

¹Sorbonne Université, UR2, L2E (Laboratoire d'Electronique et Electromagnétisme), Paris, France;
²Sorbonne Université, UMR 8235, LISE (Laboratoire d'Interfaces et Systèmes Electrochimiques), Paris, E

Sorbonne Université, UMR 8235, LISE (Laboratoire d'Interfaces et Systèmes Electrochimiques), Paris, France;

benjamin.garlan@sorbonne-université.fr

Abstract – We develop a completely integrated Lab-on-Chip (LoC) for easy, rapid and cost-effective immunoassays. The pathogen sensing system is composed of a microfluidic channel surrounded by planar microcoils which are responsible for the emission and the detection of magnetic fields. The system allows the detection and quantification of superparamagnetic beads used for immunoassays in a "sandwich" antigen-antibody configuration. We successfully tested this device with different concentrations of nanoparticles and determine the limit of detection of the prototype. These results are promising and are a step toward the creation of a portable pathogen sensing device.

Keywords: Lab-on-chip, magnetic detection, pathogen sensing, superparamagnetic nanoparticles, in vitro diagnostic device, microfluidics

I. INTRODUCTION

Ever-increasing number of people traveling on airplanes leads to the rapid spread of diseases worldwide and enhanced risks of pandemics. So there is a need for a rapid, sensitive, reliable, low-cost, and portable system for nomadic applications of pathogen detection. Moreover, the detection of a biological agent or entity and the determination of its concentration can be crucial for anticipating possible health threats, whether epidemic or pandemic, environmental threats, or to combat other contextual threats, including bioterrorism, or chemical and biological weapons. To achieve this objective, designing and generating embedded microsystems composed of innovative sensors is necessary [1-2]. Many attempts have been made to develop LoC systems. Among them, electrochemical and optical based methods dominate; however, they often suffer from drawbacks such as a nonlinear detection range, a lack of sensitivity, non-specific detection, and complexity of integration [3-5]. Other methods include mechanical [6-7] and magnetic detection approaches. The latter holds promise due to their miniaturization possibility [8-11].

The LoC system we designed is based on the development of a simple, cost effective system using magnetic detection, taking advantage of the nonlinearity of superparamagnetic nanoparticles (SPN). Biological analysis with high sensitivity magnetic measurements is a new type of immunochemical diagnosis using SPN as markers instead of conventional enzymes, radioisotopes or fluorescent compounds [12-13]. This novel method of analysis, which can be miniaturized, involves the covalent ligation of biomarker-specific

monoclonal antibodies to SPN. The presence of SPN is then detected by the frequency mixing method (Figure 1) which consists in the use of two distinct excitation frequencies and the reading and quantification of the mixed frequency signals indicative of the magnetic non-linearity of the superparamagnetic nanoparticles [14-16]. This technique makes it possible to envisage a rapid, portable and cheap pathogen detection method [17].

Figure 1. (a) Principle of detection of the proposed structure. (b) Magnetic excitation field with tow low and hight frequencies. (c) Resulting magnetic flux density. (d) Fourier transform of the detected signal. In red, the selected mixing term for the detection of SPNs is marked.

II. DEVICE PROTOTYPE FOR SPN DETECTION

A. *Device architecture*

A PCB/microfluidic prototype was developed. The structure is composed of 4 copper multilayer coils: three coils emit the electromagnetic field, two for low frequency and the other for high frequency, the fourth one is the detection coil. These coils are contained in two PCB structures $(100 \times 40 \times 1.55 \, mm^3)$ surrounding above and under the serpentine like microfluidic channel (12×12 mm^2) which can contain 14 µL of magnetic nanoparticles solution. Each coil is composed of four layers; the tracks are $100 \mu m$ wide with an inter-distance of $100 \mu m$. Each layer of track has a thickness of $35 \mu m$. The emitting coils have a radius of 13 mm (60 turns/layer) and the detection coil has a radius of 10 mm (46 turns/layer). Because both the excitation and pick-up coils are made in the same PCB, we had balance the above mentioned criteria for proper

magnetization and detection. In the case of this validation process, the distance between the PCBs is 4 mm and the distance between the detection coil (lower PCB) and the microfluidic chamber is 1 mm. Two sets of PCB/microfluidic are used, one for the measurement and the other one for reference. They are linked in order to subtract the signal detected with the sample and the signal detected with the reference to get a precise gradiometric measurement of the difference (Figure 2).

Microfluidic channel Coils

Figure 2. (a) Schematic description of the layers (front view): the two green blocks represent the two PCBs containing the coils (LF: Low Frequency, S: Sensor and HF: High Frequency), the grey part represents the PDMS layers which contains the microfluidic channel (the yellow part) and which is bonded to a glass slide (in blue). (b) Picture of the PCB/ microfluidic first prototype detection structure.

B. *Detection of superparamagnetic nanoparticles*

The sensitivity response of the structure was tested with respect to the concentration of the SPNs. For these experiments the low frequency is 80 Hz with a coil voltage of 14 V and the high frequency is 40 kHz with a voltage of 15 V. The response signal of the detection coil is amplified by a factor of 500 using a standard lock-in amplifier. Different concentrations of iron oxide nanoparticles ($Fe₂O₃$) suspensions were used to validate the detection technique and determine the limit of detection (LoD) of the structure. The core of the SPNs is 20 nm in mean diameter. The magnetic response as a function of the mass concentration of iron oxide nanoparticles is shown in Figure 3. The amplitude of the detected signal is linear with the concentrations of the nanoparticles for a linear range of 2 orders of magnitude. Furthermore, the tests were repeated at day's interval and proved to be very reproducible. With an accepted error of less than 15 %, the limit of detection is about 15 ng/ μ L.

Furthermore, other types and sizes of nanoparticles were successfully detected using this device. Different microfluidic chambers were also tried to optimize the voltage response.

Figure 3**.** Magnetic response as a function of the mass concentration of 20 nm Fe2O3 nanoparticles. The red dotted line indicates the calculated limit of detection.

III. CONCLUSION AND PERSPECTIVES

 These results are very promising and validate the magnetic detection of SPNs within the developed first prototype device. Experiments to validate the magnetic detection of biological entities with the PCB structure are in progress. We hope to achieve rapid LoC magnetic immunoassays for healthcare or environmental purposes in a close future.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

 The authors would like to acknowledge all partners in this magnetic pathogen sensing project, including PHENIX laboratory, Dr. Sophie Neveu and Dr. Vincent Dupuis for providing the SPN with flower like structure and their magnetic characterizations. The authors also would like to thank Damien Bricault from LISE laboratory for 3D printing. This work was partially supported by the French-German PHC project (31109UC) called PROCOPE in collaboration with Prof. Han-Joachim Krause (Forschungszentrum Jülich) and also by SATT Lutech in France.

REFERENCES

- [1] Niemz, A.; Ferguson, T.M.; Boyle, D.S. Point-of-care nucleic acid testing for infectious diseases. Trends Biotechnol. 2011, 29, 240–250.
- [2] Choi, S.; Goryll, M.; Mandy Sin; L.Y.; Wong, P.K.; Chae J. Microfluidic-based biosensors toward point-of-care detection of nucleic acids and proteins. Microfluid. Nanofluid. 2011, 10, 231–247.
- [3] Wu, J.; Gu, M. Microfluidic sensing: state of the art fabrication and detection techniques. J. Biomed. Opt. 2011, 16, 080901.
- [4] Mairhofer, J.; Roppert, K.; Ertl P. Microfluidic systems for pathogen sensing: a review. Sensors 2009, 9, 4804–4823.
- [5] Ng, A.H.; Uddayasankar U.; Wheeler, A.R. Immunoassays in microfluidic systems. Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 2010, 397, 991–1007.
- [6] Arlett, J.; Myers, E.B.; Roukes, M.L. Comparative advantages of mechanical biosensors. Nature Nanotechnol. 2011, 6, 203–215.
- [7] Vigneshvar, S.; Sudhakumari, C.C.; Senthilkumaran, B.; Prakash, H. Recent Advances in Biosensor technology for potential applications – an overview. Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol. 2016, 4, 11.
- [8] Koh, I.; Josephson, L. Magnetic nanoparticle sensors. Sensors 2009, 9, 8130–8145..
- [9] Haun, J.B.; Yoon, T.J.; Lee, H.; Weissleder, R. Magnetic nanoparticle biosensors. Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Nanomed. Nanobiotechnol. 2010, 2, 291–304.
- [10] Lee, H.; Shin, T.H.; Cheon J.; Weissleder, R. Recent developments in magnetic diagnostic systems. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 10690–10724.
- [11] Tamanaha, C.R.; Mulvaney, S.P.; Rife J.C.; Whitman, L.J. Magnetic labeling, detection, and system integration. Biosens. Bioelectron. 2008, 24, 1–13.
- [12] Nikitin, P.I.; Vetoshko, P.M.; Ksenevich, T. I. Clinical Applications of Magnetic Nanoparticles. J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 2007, 311, 445–449.
- [13] Do, J.; Ahn, C.H. A polymer lab-on-a-chipfor magnetic immunoassay with on-chip sampling and detection capabilities. Lab Chip 2008, 8, 542– 549.
- [14] Meyer, M.H.F.; Hartmann, M.; Krause, H.-J.; Blankenstein, G.; Mueller-Chorus, B.; Oster, J.; Miethe, P.; Keusgen, P. CRP determination based on a novel magnetic biosensor. Biosens. Bioelectron. 2007, 22, 973–979.
- [15] Hong, H.B.; Krause, H.-J.; Nam, I.H.; Choi, C.J.; Shin, S.W. Magnetic immunoassay based on frequency mixing magnetic detection and magnetic particles of different magnetic properties, Anal. Meth. 2014, 6, 8055–8058.
- [16] Krause, H.-J.; Wolters, N.; Zhang, Y.; Offenhäusser, A.; Miethe, P. Meyer, M.H.F.; Hartmann, M.; Keusgen, M. Magnetic particle detection by frequency mixing for immunoassay applications, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 2007, 311, 436–444.
- [17] Amine Rabehi, Benjamin Garlan, Stefan Achtsnicht, Hans-Joachim Krause, Andreas Offenhäusser, Kieu Ngo, Sophie Neveu, Stephanie Graff-Dubois, Hamid Kokabi; Magnetic Detection Structure for Lab-on-Chip applications based on the frequency mixing technique, Sensors 2018, 18(6), 1747.