



HAL
open science

Degrees of freedom in social bonds of crested macaque females

Julie Duboscq, Christof Neumann, Muhammad Agil, Dyah Perwitasari-Farajallah, Bernard Thierry, Antje Engelhardt

► **To cite this version:**

Julie Duboscq, Christof Neumann, Muhammad Agil, Dyah Perwitasari-Farajallah, Bernard Thierry, et al.. Degrees of freedom in social bonds of crested macaque females. *Animal Behaviour*, 2017, 123, pp.411-426. 10.1016/j.anbehav.2016.11.010 . hal-02160244

HAL Id: hal-02160244

<https://hal.science/hal-02160244>

Submitted on 25 Jun 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 Degrees of freedom in social bonds of crested macaque females.

2

3 Julie Duboscq^{1,2,3,4*†}, Christof Neumann^{1,2,5,6**}, Muhammad Agil⁷, Dyah Perwitasari-Farajallah^{8,9},

4 Bernard Thierry^{3,4}, Antje Engelhardt^{1,2***}

5

6 ¹ Junior Research Group of Primate Sexual Selection, German Primate Center, Göttingen, Germany, ²

7 Courant Research Centre for the Evolution of Social Behaviour, Göttingen, Germany, ³ Université de

8 Strasbourg, Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien, Strasbourg, France, ⁴ Centre National de la

9 Recherche Scientifique, Département Ecologie, Physiologie et Ethologie, Strasbourg, France, ⁵ Junior

10 Research Group of Primate Kin Selection, Max-Planck-Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology,

11 Leipzig, Germany, ⁶ Institute of Biology, Faculty of Bioscience, Pharmacy and Psychology,

12 University of Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany, ⁷ Faculty of Veterinary Sciences, Bogor Agricultural

13 University, Bogor, Indonesia, ⁸ Primate Research Center, Bogor Agricultural University, Bogor,

14 Indonesia, ⁹ Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Bogor Agricultural University, Bogor,

15 Indonesia

16

17 * corresponding author: julie.a.m.duboscq@gmail.com

18 † present affiliation of Julie Duboscq: Kyoto University Wildlife Research Centre, 2-24 Tanaka-

19 Sekiden-cho, Sakyo, Kyoto, Japan 606-8203.

20 ** present affiliation of Christof Neumann: Université de Neuchâtel, Institute of Biology, Department

21 of Comparative Cognition, Neuchâtel, Switzerland

22 ***present affiliation of Antje Engelhardt: Liverpool John Moores University, School of Natural

23 Sciences and Psychology, Liverpool, United Kingdom

24

25 Word count main text: 6920

26

27

28

29 **Abstract**

30

31 Social bonds between group members affect individual fitness and well-being. While the impact of
32 bond strength is well studied, the consequences of bond predictability and equitability are often
33 overlooked. Similarly, whether bonds reflect short-term contingencies and/or long-term social
34 strategies remains understudied. We investigated these questions in female crested macaques (*Macaca*
35 *nigra*), which display a tolerant social style within a nepotistic hierarchical social structure. We
36 analysed the structure of social bonds by testing whether similarity within dyads – in kinship,
37 dominance and age – predicted the strength, predictability and equitability of bonds. We then tested
38 the value of social bonds by analysing the effect of their characteristics on three fitness-related
39 behaviours: coalitionary support, feeding-in-proximity and aggression. We found that the bond
40 characteristics of females differed substantially from those of other species with comparable data:
41 bonds were of average strength, of moderate endurance and relatively balanced. Stronger bonds were
42 more equitable but less predictable than weaker bonds. Closely-ranked females, but not kin or age
43 peers, had stronger, more predictable and more equitable bonds than others. Coalitionary support was
44 not related to any of the bond characteristics, feeding-in-proximity was positively associated with
45 strength and predictability and aggression was positively linked to strength and negatively to
46 equitability. These results highlight the complex picture of the benefits of social bonds in this species.
47 They reflect the degrees of freedom tolerant macaque females can express in their social relationships
48 within their stable social structure, a pattern that may not be given enough consideration in stable
49 nepotistic hierarchical societies. Comparative research is necessary to establish whether these patterns
50 are more general than previously thought or a specific feature of tolerant macaques. Investigating
51 various characteristics of bonds together is paramount in order to appreciate the dynamics of social
52 relationships and to better understand the social components of fitness.

53

54 **Keywords**

55 behavioural strategy, equitability, predictability, primates, social bonds, social dynamics, strength

56

57 **Introduction**

58

59 Social bonds are positive social relationships amongst pairs of individuals of the same group
60 (Silk, Cheney, & Seyfarth, 2013; Silk 2007a). They are defined in a multidimensional space of
61 relationship qualities such as relative strength, predictability (or magnitude of change over time) and
62 equitability (the balance of social exchanges within a dyad) (Silk et al., 2013; Whitehead, 2008).
63 Variation in these components can affect individual fitness inasmuch as individuals with more
64 numerous, stable or stronger bonds experience enhanced survival, greater reproductive success or
65 improved general well-being compared to others (Cameron, Setsaas, & Linklater, 2009; Frère et al.,
66 2010; McFarland & Majolo, 2013; Silk et al., 2009, 2010; Uchino 2006; Young, Majolo,
67 Heistermann, Schülke, & Ostner, 2014). For individuals, the value of social bonds is also related to
68 the direct or indirect benefits they may obtain from daily social exchanges, e.g. in reconciliation after
69 conflicts (e.g. Fraser & Bugnyar, 2011), or for better access to food resources (e.g., Smith, Memenis,
70 & Holekamp, 2007), which ultimately may impact their fitness and well-being (Ostner & Schülke,
71 2014; Silk, 2007a).

72 In order to understand the function and value of social bonds, i.e. which benefits can be
73 obtained by forming and maintaining them, it is also crucial to investigate their underlying structure,
74 i.e. the characteristics of the dyads forming particular bonds. In many animal societies, individuals
75 that are similar in terms of genetic relatedness, dominance status, personality, reproductive state or
76 energetic needs are more likely to form strong and enduring social bonds than others (Armitage &
77 Schwartz, 2000; Carter, Seddon, Frère, Carter, & Goldizen, 2013; Godde, Côté, & Réale, 2015;
78 Hirsch, Staton, & Maldonado, 2012). Each of these characteristics can be uniquely important in
79 influencing the formation and maintenance of a bond. For instance, although close kin are obvious
80 coalition partners, kin-based coalitionary support may not be advantageous if such kin are low-
81 ranking (Chapais, 2006), in which case establishing a bond with a higher-ranking non-relative may be
82 more valuable (Schino, 2007; Smith et al., 2010). Similarly, pregnant or early lactating female chacma
83 baboons were less likely to become involved in coalitions and, thus, were not reliable cooperation
84 partners for both kin and non-kin (Barrett & Henzi, 2001).

85 Research on the benefits of social bonds amongst same-sex adult group members has so far
86 mostly considered how bond characteristics at the extreme positive end of the spectrum, e.g. preferred
87 associates (Frère et al., 2011) or top three partners (Silk et al., 2006a), affect measures of fitness,
88 health or well-being. However, animals may have a variety of options for regulating the consequences
89 of bonds. First, establishing and maintaining predictable and/or equitable bonds may bring as much, if
90 not more, benefits as having strong bonds (e.g. the sheer amount of research on cooperation and
91 reciprocity: Nowak, 2006; Trivers, 1971, 2006). In addition, “weak” bonds within a social network
92 may be as important as “strong” bonds, inasmuch as weak bonds contribute to stabilising the overall
93 network or to enhancing the propagation of information or innovation (Bakshy, Rosenn, Marlow, &
94 Adamic, 2012; Granovetter 1973). Finally, it has been shown that variance in bond strength rather
95 than absolute strength itself actually predicts fitness (e.g., Barocas, Ilany, Koren, Kam, & Geffen,
96 2011; Wey, Burger, Ebensperger, & Hayes, 2013). Studies integrating the different dimensions of
97 social bonds simultaneously and on a continuous scale are therefore indispensable for deepening our
98 understanding of the link between sociality and fitness.

99 Individuals may thus use varying social strategies reflecting certain degrees of social freedom
100 according to social context, the spatial or temporal availability of partners, or environmental
101 conditions, even when living in stable organised societies. Consequently, it has been argued that
102 social bonds are likely to be formed and maintained based on contingencies (short-term, opportunistic
103 tactics) rather than, or in addition to, long-term, fixed strategies (Barrett & Henzi, 2006). For instance,
104 female chacma baboons did not sustain constant differentiated relationships with other females over
105 time but changed cyclically between “brief associations”, “casual acquaintances” and “constant
106 companionships” according to food availability in the environment (Barrett & Henzi, 2006). Although
107 this seems rather straightforward in animal societies that display flexibility in their social structure,
108 such as in fission-fusion societies, variation in social strategies only recently began to be considered
109 in species with a stable nepotistic hierarchical social structure such as those of many primates, hyenas
110 or elephants (Barrett & Henzi, 2001, 2006; Henzi, Lusseau, Weingrill, van Schaik & Barrett, 2009;
111 Ilani, Booms, & Holekamp, 2015; Sick et al., 2014).

112 Macaques (genus *Macaca*) are an ideal candidate for the investigation of such variation in
113 social strategies. Although they share the same social organisation (philopatric females organised in
114 stable matrilineal dominance hierarchies), the different macaque species are described as more or less
115 socially tolerant depending on the degree of nepotism, power asymmetries, conciliatory tendencies
116 and counter-aggression in social relationships (Thierry, 2007, 2013). Regardless of how such patterns
117 emerged (see Thierry, 2004; van Schaik, 1989), such social variation can be expected to influence the
118 structure and function of social bonds (Butovskaya, 2004; Thierry, 1990). Specifically, when power
119 asymmetries are moderate and the degree of nepotism is weak, as in more tolerant macaques,
120 individuals are able to interact with diverse partners and to develop a great diversity and number of
121 social bonds (Butovskaya, 2004; Cooper & Bernstein 2008; Duboscq et al., 2013; Thierry, 1990). In
122 contrast, less tolerant macaques are more constrained in their behavioural options and may rely on a
123 relatively low number of strong, predictable and equitable partnerships instead. Thus, the degrees of
124 freedom that individuals have in their relationships within their group could be assessed through the
125 size and diversity of their social network in relation to the influence of dominance and kinship on an
126 individual's social options – or lack thereof (Butovskaya, 2004; Thierry, 1990).

127 In this study, we aimed to investigate these degrees of freedom and the interplay between the
128 structure and the value of social bonds in wild female crested macaques (*Macaca nigra*), which
129 express a tolerant social style (Duboscq et al., 2013; Petit, Abegg, & Thierry, 1997). Crested
130 macaques live in a relatively predictable and safe ecological environment (low predation risk and
131 abundant food year round; O'Brien & Kinnaird, 1997) while facing dynamic social conditions, e.g.
132 male migration and hierarchical changes, which are a potential source of social instability in the group
133 (Marty, Hodges, Agil, & Engelhardt, 2015; Neumann, 2013). Females reproduce year round (Kerhoas
134 et al., 2014), which is another potential source of fluctuation in the amount of time and attention
135 females can devote to their female social partners (Bardi, Shimizu, Fujita, Borgognini Tarli, &
136 Huffman, 2001; Barrett & Henzi, 2001; Brent, MacLarnon, Platt, & Semple, 2013; D'Amato, Troisi,
137 Scucchi, & Fuccillo, 1982). Previous studies on the same population showed that female crested
138 macaques form highly diverse affiliative social networks (Duboscq et al., 2013). On the one hand, the
139 strength of female-female social bonds was positively linked to predator deterrence, suggesting that

140 strong bonds play a role in enhancing survival (Micheletta et al., 2012). On the other hand, bond
141 strength did not affect the occurrence and frequency of reconciliation, an important conflict
142 management strategy (Duboscq, Agil, Engelhardt, & Thierry, 2014). Nonetheless, other relationship
143 qualities, such as equitability and predictability, increased the likelihood of reconciliation (Duboscq et
144 al., 2014). As such, it seems that social bond characteristics have different values depending on the
145 context of the social benefits to be gained in this species and we would expect that females express
146 great degrees of social freedom in their choice of social partners and the patterning of their social
147 bonds.

148 Specifically, since macaques form stable matrilineal hierarchical societies, kin and adjacently-
149 ranked dyads are expected to form the strongest, most predictable and most equitable bonds (Silk,
150 2007b). However, given the tolerant social style of crested macaques and their expected great degrees
151 of social freedom, we hypothesised that these dyad characteristics would not predict social bond
152 characteristics. To test this prediction and to quantify the structure of bonds, we analysed the
153 relationship between three measures of dyadic similarities (relatedness, similarity in age and
154 dominance rank) and three social bond characteristics, namely strength, predictability and equitability.
155 Furthermore, under the hypothesis that social bond characteristics are linked to fitness in a positive
156 predictable way (Silk, 2007a, b), variation in these characteristics is expected to substantially explain
157 the occurrence or frequency of behaviours directly or indirectly linked to fitness benefits, such as a
158 reduction in aggression, increased coalitionary support during conflicts or better access to food
159 resources. However, again given the tolerant social style of crested macaques and their expected great
160 degrees of social freedom, we hypothesised that the characteristics of social bonds would not predict
161 the occurrence of these fitness-related behaviours. To test this prediction and to establish the value of
162 bonds, we tested the extent to which each social bond characteristic influenced coalitionary support,
163 feeding-in-proximity and aggression. By taking a more integrated perspective of social bonds in a
164 species with a tolerant social style, we address the concept of individuals' degrees of social freedom
165 within their stable network of social relationships (Butovskaya, 2004; Thierry, 1990).

166

167 **Methods**

168

169 Ethical Note

170

171 This research adheres to all legal requirements and guidelines of the German and Indonesian
172 governments and institutions (permit numbers 1240/FRP/SM/VI/2008 and SI-101/Set-3/2008) and to
173 the ASAB/ASB (2012) guidelines for the Treatment of Animals in Behavioural Research and
174 Teaching. No trapping or tagging was done as this is a wild population of critically endangered
175 animals. The study animals were fully habituated to human observers at least one year before the start
176 of data collection. Habituation consisted of following the group silently each day and for the entire
177 day wearing a project-specific t-shirt until observers could approach any individual to within 5m
178 without them reacting. All adult monkeys could be individually identified based on physical
179 characteristics alone (scars and old injuries (e.g. a limp), facial features, body shape, etc.). Binoculars
180 were used to ensure accuracy in identification and data collection. All observers followed the same
181 rules: no more than five observers were assigned to a group on a given day; no observers were to
182 approach the monkeys to within two meters; no observers were to interact with the animals in any
183 way; and no observers were to disturb the natural behaviour of the subjects in any way. Furthermore,
184 observers showing signs of sickness were not allowed in the forest until they produced a medical note
185 certifying they were clear of infection, and all waste produced during observation was either buried or
186 brought back to camp.

187

188 Behavioural data collection and analysis

189

190 *Field site, study animals and data collection*

191 Crested macaques are critically endangered and endemic to the island of Sulawesi, Indonesia
192 (Sugardjito et al., 1989). The study population inhabits the Tangkoko Reserve, North Sulawesi
193 (1°33'N, 125°10'E; e.g. Duboscq, Neumann, Perwitasari-Farajallah, & Engelhardt, 2008), broadly
194 classified as a lowland rainforest with seasonal variation in rainfall and fruit abundance (O'Brien &
195 Kinnaird, 1997). The study was part of the Macaca Nigra Project, a long-term field project on the

196 biology of crested macaques that started in 2006. We studied two groups, “PB” and “R1”, comprised
197 of ca. 60 and 80 individuals respectively.

198 JD and two field assistants collected behavioural data between October 2008 and May 2010
199 on all adult females (15 – 18 in PB, 21 – 24 in R1) using focal animal sampling (Martin & Bateson,
200 1993) (interobserver reliability: Cohen’s kappa = 0.69–0.90, correlation coefficients between
201 behavioural variables = 0.79 – 0.98). We collected 30 minute-point-sample observations for activity
202 (foraging (searching for and manipulating food), feeding (putting food to mouth and chewing),
203 socialising (being involved in social interactions including aggression), resting (staying immobile
204 eyes closed), and travelling (moving in a decided direction)). Every second minute, we also noted the
205 identity of neighbours in three proximity categories: in body contact, within one body-length, and
206 within five body-lengths (based on the maximum average body-length of a female crested macaque,
207 55cm (Zinner et al., 2013)). We recorded focal social events continuously, including the start and end
208 time of interactions, the sequence of all behaviours, as well as the identity and behaviours of all social
209 partners. This study included a total of 2,480 hours of focal data focusing on the 35 females that were
210 continuously present during the entire study period (median_{PB} = 68 hours per female, range_{PB}: 65 – 78,
211 $N_{PB} = 14$; median_{R1} = 66 hours per female, range_{R1}: 59 – 71, $N_{R1} = 21$). By doing so, we deliberately
212 focused on general patterns and a stable core of individuals to make our study comparable to others.
213 Behavioural interactions were expressed as duration (e.g. social grooming) or frequency (e.g.
214 approach) per focal and per dyad (sum of two focal individuals) observation time over the whole
215 study period (i.e. 19 months).

216 Additionally, for genetic relatedness determination, we collected at least three faecal samples
217 from all females opportunistically ($N = 140$, median per female = 4, range = 3 - 4). We followed a
218 two-step alcohol-silica storage protocol (Nsubuga, et al., 2004), after which the samples were stored
219 at room temperature until DNA extraction.

220

221 *Dyad characteristics*

222 Dominance difference: To account for power asymmetries between females, we used Elo-
223 rating (R package EloRating, Neumann & Kulik, 2014), which reflects an individual’s success in

224 agonistic interactions and is based on temporal sequences of decided (clear winner and loser)
225 agonistic interactions (Albers & de Vries, 2001; Neumann et al., 2011). We made use of direct
226 aggressive interactions (i.e. threats, hits, chases, bites) and displacements (i.e. one individual
227 approaches another one without any threatening behaviour and the other leaves without protesting; for
228 further definitions and more details, see Duboscq et al., 2013, Thierry et al., 2000) taken from all
229 agonistic data collected during focal observations and *ad libitum* data. At the beginning of the
230 observation period, each individual in a group starts with a rating of 1000, which is updated, i.e.
231 increased or decreased, after each agonistic interaction based on the outcome of the interaction (won
232 or lost), the previous ratings of both opponents and a determined factor, k (here $k = 100$, following
233 Neumann et al., 2011). As we aggregated all other behavioural data over the entire study period, we
234 used the female Elo-rating at the end of the study period. We then computed the absolute difference of
235 the Elo ratings (hereafter termed Elo difference) between the two members of a dyad.

236 Kinship: DNA was extracted from 100–150 mg of faeces with the GEN-IAL® All-tissue
237 DNA extraction kit following the manufacturer's instructions. We amplified 12 short-tandem repeats
238 (or microsatellites - 10 tetranucleotide loci and 2 dinucleotide loci), proven to be informative in
239 humans and other primates (see appendix). We used a two-step multiplex chain polymerase reaction
240 (PCR) approach (Arandjelovic et al., 2009). In the first step, all loci were amplified in a single
241 reaction in an Eppendorf® Master Gradient machine following cycles of denaturation, annealing and
242 elongation (see appendix). We followed multiplex PCRs with singleplex PCRs, using the same
243 protocol but for each primer separately (see appendix). Singleplex PCR products were then sequenced
244 in an ABI 3130xL sequencer. Allele sizes were finally read into PeakScanner (Applied Biosystems®).
245 Given that we had several samples per individual, allele sizes were considered definitive when at least
246 two different samples of the same individual produced the same results in at least four amplifications
247 for heterozygotes and six for homozygotes (multi-tubes approach, Taberlet et al., 1996). Consensus
248 genotypes were found for a median of 12 loci (range = 6 – 12) and processed using COANCESTRY®
249 software, which provides two likelihood methods and five moment estimators of relatedness (Wang,
250 2011). We chose the dyadic maximum likelihood (DML) estimator of Milligan (2003) because it
251 proved to be the most reliable estimator of the mother-infant's theoretical degree of relatedness 0.5

252 (mean \pm SD = 0.51 \pm 0.12, N = 60 mother-infant pairs). DML between adult females ranged between
253 0 and 0.72 with a median of 0.05 (median_{PB} = 0.05, range_{PB} = 0 – 0.53; median_{RI} = 0.05, range_{RI} = 0 –
254 0.72).

255 Age difference: We estimated the age category (young, middle-aged or old) females belonged
256 to based on their reproductive history (e.g. number of dependent infants or cycling status) known
257 since 2006, the shape of their nipples (e.g. short or long) indicative of nursing history, the presence of
258 physical injuries and their general appearance (both linked to age rather than rank due to mild level of
259 aggression between females in this species). Based on these categories, we then scored dyads as
260 belonging to the same or to different age classes.

261

262 *Bond characteristics*

263 The strength of dyadic social bonds was quantified with the Composite Sociality Index or CSI
264 (Silk et al., 2006b). It is built from matrices of dyadic social interactions and was calculated as
265 follows:

$$266 \quad CSI_{ij} = \left[\left(\frac{G_{ij}}{G} \right) + \left(\frac{P_{ij}}{P} \right) + \left(\frac{P_{posij}}{P_{pos}} \right) \right] / 3,$$

267 where G_{ij} is the grooming rate (duration of grooming given and received in minutes per hour of
268 dyadic observation time) between individual i and j and G is the mean grooming rate across all dyads
269 in the group; P_{ij} is the rate of close proximity (number of instances females were within one body-
270 length of each other per hour of dyadic observation time) between individual i and j and P the mean
271 proximity rate for all dyads in the group and P_{posij} is the rate of positive outcome upon approach
272 (number of close proximity approaches followed by affiliation (e.g. grooming, embracing,
273 lipsmacking) per hour of dyadic observation time) between individual i and j and P_{pos} the mean rate of
274 positive outcome upon approach for all dyads in the group (Duboscq et al., 2013). In subsequent
275 analyses, we used the actual CSI values and only separated our data into artificial categories to
276 describe them in a way that is comparable with previously published studies.

277 Bond temporal variation (hereafter predictability) was assessed over three periods of six
278 months each. Sampling efforts (i.e. observation time) per individual in each period were very similar.

279 Six months is the maximum number of months after which all dyads have been seen in proximity at
280 least once, thus characterising relatively accurate and robust matrices of interactions. We calculated
281 the CSI again for each dyad for each period, then computed the coefficient of variation (CV, standard
282 deviation divided by the mean) over the three CSIs for each dyad (Majolo, Ventura, & Schino, 2010).
283 For statistical analysis, we multiplied the CV by -1 so that the lower (i.e. the more negative) the CV,
284 the lower the predictability of the relationship across the three periods, i.e. the more CSI values varied
285 across the three periods. Note that, in this way, even weak but stable bonds will be considered
286 predictable.

287 Bond equitability represents how balanced social exchanges are within a dyad (Silk et al.,
288 2013). The Equitability Index (EI) was calculated as a composite symmetry index (Silk et al., 2013),
289 computed from symmetry indices of the behaviours composing the CSI and was calculated as:

$$290 \quad EI_{ij} = - \left[\left(1 - \frac{G_{ij} - G_{ji}}{G_{ij} + G_{ji}} \right) + \left(1 - \frac{P_{ij} - P_{ji}}{P_{ij} + P_{ji}} \right) + \left(1 - \frac{P_{posij} - P_{posji}}{P_{posij} + P_{posji}} \right) \right] / 3,$$

291 where G is grooming duration, P the rate of being in close proximity, P_{pos} the rate of positive outcome
292 upon approach, and i and j the individuals in the dyad. An index of 1 indicates perfect equitability
293 between the two individuals in the dyad, while 0 indicates that one individual alone was responsible
294 for all grooming and proximity interactions. Note that this index takes into account the directionality
295 of interactions.

296

297 *Fitness-related behaviours*

298 We defined coalitionary support as a focal female intervening aggressively (e.g. by
299 threatening or chasing away one of the opponents) or peacefully (e.g. by embracing or lipsmacking at
300 one of the opponents) in support of another female or receiving such an intervention herself during an
301 aggressive interaction with another individual (Duboscq et al., 2014; Petit & Thierry, 1994). We
302 calculated the frequency of support as the number of support instances over the total number of
303 aggressive interactions each member of the dyad was separately involved in (Duboscq et al., 2014).
304 Due to the low frequency of occurrences, for subsequent analyses we transformed this variable into a
305 binary variable, i.e. the behaviour did or did not occur within the dyad (Duboscq et al., 2014). We

306 calculated the frequency of feeding in proximity as the number of point samples spent feeding while
307 other females were within 5-body-length proximity, controlling for overall dyadic proximity and
308 observation time. Hourly frequencies of aggression were taken from Duboscq and colleagues (2013).

309

310 Statistical analyses

311

312 *Structure of social bonds*

313 We first tested for correlations between the three bond characteristics to assess their
314 relationships with each other and to test the prediction that stronger bonds would be more predictable
315 and equitable than weaker bonds. We built symmetric matrices of the CSI scores, the CVs and the EIs
316 before running matrix correlations in MatMan v1.1 (de Vries et al., 1993) with 1,000 permutations
317 between those matrices two-by-two. We then built three (generalised) linear mixed models (GLMM,
318 Bolker et al., 2008), one for each of the social bond characteristic as response variable, and including
319 relatedness (DML), absolute Elo-rating difference (Elo difference) and age difference (as a categorical
320 variable same/different) as test predictors and member 1 and member 2 of the dyad (i.e. female
321 identities randomly assigned to either variable) independently nested in group as random effects. We
322 ran simulations in which female ID was randomly assigned to either member 1 or member 2, model
323 parameters were recalculated and compared to the original results. This showed that this random
324 assignment did not affect our conclusions (see Tables A1-6, Figures A1-6 in appendix).

325

326 *Function of social bonds*

327 We built three more models to investigate the value of social bonds, with the occurrence of
328 coalitionary support, feeding-in-proximity rate and aggression rate as response variables and bond
329 strength (CSI), predictability (CV), equitability (EI) as test predictors. In these models we included as
330 control predictors (that will be included in the null model) relatedness (DML), absolute Elo-rating
331 difference (Elo difference) and age difference, and member 1 and member 2 of the dyad (i.e. female
332 identities randomly assigned to either variable) independently nested in group as random effects (see
333 appendix for identical simulations than previously).

334

335 All analyses were done in R version 3.2.1 (R Development Core Team, 2015). We
336 implemented GLMMs with a Gaussian (and Maximum Likelihood) or binomial error structure using
337 the function “lmer” and “glmer” from the package “lme4” (v. 1.1-11, Bates, Maechler, Bolker, &
338 Walker, 2015). We transformed numerical variables whenever necessary (log, square-root or fourth
339 root) and standardised all numeric variables to a mean of 0 and a standard deviation of 1. For all
340 models, we checked a variety of assumptions and diagnostics (normally distributed and homogeneous
341 residuals, variance inflation factors < 2, Cook’s distance, dfbetas; Field, Miles, & Field, 2012). No
342 obvious violation of assumptions was detected. Using likelihood ratio tests (LRT), we tested the final
343 full model (including all fixed and random effects) against null models. For the three models on bond
344 structure these null models were intercept-only models. For the three models on bond function we
345 included control variables (absolute Elo difference, DML, and age difference) into the null models.
346 We used 95% confidence intervals to assess whether a predictor significantly contributed to
347 explaining the response variable (interval excluding 0).

348

349 *Testing the effect of kinship measured by a microsatellite-based estimator*

350 Microsatellite-based relatedness estimators have been deemed unreliable for accurately
351 measuring genetic relatedness in populations without pedigree information (Csilléry et al., 2006; van
352 Horn, Altmann, & Alberts, 2008). We tackled this issue by using the approach suggested by Tinsley
353 Johnson and colleagues (2014) of controlling for measurement error in relatedness estimates by
354 running models repeatedly with a random amount of error added to the observed relatedness value of
355 a given dyad. In our data, the maximum observed difference between the estimated relatedness
356 (DML) and the true theoretical relatedness ($r = 0.5$) of all 60 known mother-infant pairs was 0.41. We
357 therefore introduced an error taken from a uniform distribution of numbers between -0.41 and +0.41,
358 which we feel is conservative as 95% of the DML values for known mother-infant pairs were within
359 0.25 of the pairs’ true relatedness ($r = 0.5$). Our custom simulation proceeded in four steps: 1/ add an
360 error from a uniform distribution between -0.41 and +0.41 to the DML index of all female-female
361 dyads in the dataset, 2/ run the models again with the modified DML index, 3/ perform a likelihood

362 ratio test between the full model with modified DML and a reduced model excluding modified DML
363 and 4/ determine the number of simulations in which the significance of the effect of the modified
364 DML index on the response variable was different from the tests with the original models with the
365 original data. The DML index was not a significant predictor of the response variable in 96% to 100%
366 of the 1,000 simulations, depending on the response variable, which indicates that our results are
367 relatively robust against errors in relatedness estimations (Table A7, appendix).

368


369 **Results**

370

371 The structure of social bonds

372

373 CSI scores ranged from 0.05 to 3.54 in the R1 group and from 0.16 to 4.99 in the PB group,
374 with a median of 0.89 and 0.88 respectively (Figure 1). The distribution of CSI scores, giving an
375 assessment of how skewed dyadic affiliative behaviours are, was less asymmetrical than what is
376 typically observed in other species (Figure 1). 45.7% (96/210 in R1) and 40% (42/105 in PB) of
377 female dyads had a CSI score above the average of the group (i.e., above 1) and the mean CSI score
378 of the top 10% of dyads was 2.34 in both groups (Figure 1). Females had a median of 9 (range 2–13)
379 above-average (CSI > 1) relationships in R1 and 6 (range 2–11) in PB.




380

381 **Figure 1:** Distribution of overall CSI scores of female-female dyads in the two study groups, (a) PB
 382 and (b) R1. The arrows indicate the median and the limit of 10% of the strongest CSI scores. The fact
 383 that the distribution is only moderately skewed to the left (i.e. towards 0) indicates how average most
 384 of the bonds between females are contrary to what is known for other species where similar data are
 385 available.

386

387 The mean coefficient of variation indicated moderate to low bond strength predictability
 388 across the three 6-months periods (mean $CV_{R1} = 0.66 \pm 0.01$ SD, mean $CV_{PB} = 0.70 \pm 0.14$ SD;
 389 Figure 2). 94% of all females had at least one recurring partner over at least two periods amongst their
 390 three top partners and 47% of all females had at least one recurring partner over all three periods
 391 amongst their top three partners but no female had the same three recurring top partners across all
 392 three periods (Figure 2).

393



394

395 **Figure 2:** Variation in CSI scores of female-female dyads in the two study groups, (a) PB and (b) R1,
 396 across three six-months-periods. Row and column labels represent female identities. A circle denotes
 397 that the female in the column was amongst the top three partners of the female in the row at least once
 398 (small black), twice (medium blue) or three times (big red) across the three periods. The presence of
 399 few red circles but many black dots illustrate how bond strength changes across periods and lacks
 400 predictability.

401

402 Bond equitability was overall relatively moderate (mean $EI_{R1} = 0.22 \pm 0.47$ SD, mean $EI_{PB} =$
 403 0.27 ± 0.13 SD), indicating relatively balanced social exchanges amongst the two members of a dyad.

404 All three characteristics were correlated in both groups (Mantel tests, PB: $N = 105$ dyads:
 405 strength-predictability: Pearson's $r = -0.50$, $Z = 27.7$, $P < 0.001$; strength-equitability: Pearson's $r =$
 406 0.80 , $Z = 77.6$, $P < 0.001$; predictability-equitability: Pearson's $r = -0.71$, $Z = 20.7$, $P < 0.001$; R1: $N =$
 407 210 dyads: strength-predictability: Pearson's $r = -0.55$, $Z = 133.6$, $P = 0.001$; strength-equitability:
 408 Pearson's $r = 0.79$, $Z = 143.0$, $P < 0.001$; predictability-equitability: Pearson's $r = -0.72$, $Z = 85.6$, $P <$
 409 0.001), indicating that stronger bonds were more equitable but less predictable over time than weaker
 410 bonds and that more predictable bonds were less equitable than less predictable ones.

411 Female dyads with smaller dominance differences had significantly stronger, more
 412 predictable and more equitable social bonds than those with greater dominance differences (strength:
 413 $LRT_{full-null}: \chi^2_3 = 40.67$, $P < 0.001$; $\beta \pm SE$ [95% CI] = -0.33 ± 0.06 [-0.44 - -0.22]; predictability:
 414 $LRT_{full-null}: \chi^2_3 = 10.31$, $P = 0.016$; $\beta \pm SE = -0.14 \pm 0.05$ [-0.24 - -0.04]; equitability: $LRT_{full-null}: \chi^2_3 =$
 415 23.06 , $P < 0.001$; $\beta \pm SE = -0.26 \pm 0.06$ [-0.37 - -0.13]; Table 1; Figure 3). None of the tested bond


416 characteristics were significantly related to the relatedness and age difference of the dyads (Table 1,
 417 Figure 3).

418

419 **Table 1: Dyad characteristics and social bond components**

LRT Null vs. full Variables	Bond strength $\chi^2_3 = 40.67, P < 0.001$		Bond predictability $\chi^2_3 = 10.31, P = 0.016$		Bond equitability $\chi^2_3 = 23.06, P < 0.001$	
	$\beta \pm SE$	95% C.I. [lower upper]	$\beta \pm SE$	95% C.I. [lower upper]	$\beta \pm SE$	95% C.I. [lower upper]
Intercept	0.06 ± 0.12	[-0.24 0.22]	0.28 ± 0.43	[-0.16 0.29]	0.06 ± 0.13	[-0.19 0.32]
Elo difference	-0.33 ± 0.06	[-0.44 -0.22]	-0.14 ± 0.05	[-0.24 -0.04]	-0.26 ± 0.06	[-0.37 -0.13]
DML	0.08 ± 0.05	[-0.02 0.19]	-0.05 ± 0.05	[-0.15 0.03]	0.08 ± 0.06	[-0.01 0.21]
Age difference	-0.14 ± 0.11	[-0.37 0.08]	-0.11 ± 0.10	[-0.31 0.08]	-0.04 ± 0.12	[-0.27 0.19]

420 Results of GLMMs testing the influence of dominance difference (Elo difference), degree of genetic
 421 relatedness (DML) and age difference on bond strength, bond predictability and bond equitability (N
 422 = 286). LRT – likelihood ratio test, $\beta \pm SE$ = estimate and standard error, C.I. = confidence intervals.
 423



424

425 **Figure 3:** Effect of Elo difference ((a), (d), (g), from left to right = smaller to greater difference),
 426 relatedness ((b), (e), (h), from left to right = smaller to greater difference) and age difference ((c), (f),
 427 (i)) on bond strength ((a) to (c), from bottom up = weak to strong scores), bond predictability ((d) to
 428 (f), from bottom up = low to high predictability), and bond equitability ((g) to (i), from bottom up =
 429 from low to high equitability). The straight full line represents the estimate variation as predicted by
 430 the model, the dotted lines are the associated lower and upper 95% confidence intervals of the
 431 estimate.

432

433 The value of social bonds

434

435 Rates of support between adult females were low; we recorded a total of 206 coalitionary
 436 events (48 peaceful interventions, 158 aggressive ones) in the two groups over a total of 3,208
 437 aggressive interactions. A female was found to be feeding in the proximity of another female around

438 once in every ten times she was found in proximity with that female (median = 0.11, range = 0.00 –
 439 0.39, feeding scan per proximity scan). Females engaged in aggressive interactions with each other
 440 about once every two hours (for details see Duboscq and colleagues (2013)).

441 Coalitionary support was not explained by any of the tested bond characteristics (LRT_{full-null}:
 442 $\chi^2_3 = 2.14$, $P = 0.543$; Table 2; Figure 3). In contrast, dyads that had stronger and less predictable
 443 bonds fed more often in proximity than others (LRT_{full-null}: $\chi^2_3 = 13.56$, $P = 0.004$; strength: $\beta \pm SE$
 444 [95% CI] = 0.17 ± 0.06 [0.05 - 0.30]; predictability: $\beta \pm SE$ [95% CI] = -0.20 ± 0.07 [-0.33 - -0.07];
 445 Table 2; Figure 3). Finally, dyads that had stronger bonds were more frequently aggressive towards
 446 each other, while those with more equitable bonds fought less often than others (LRT_{full-null}: $\chi^2_3 =$
 447 24.79 , $P < 0.001$; strength: $\beta \pm SE$ [95% CI] = 0.28 ± 0.06 [0.16 - 0.40]; equitability: $\beta \pm SE$ [95% CI]
 448 = -0.19 ± 0.06 [-0.30 - -0.08]; Table 2; Figure 4).


449

450 **Table 2:** Bond components and fitness-related benefits

LRT Null vs. full Variables	Coalitionary support $\chi^2_3 = 2.14$, $P = 0.543$		Feeding in proximity $\chi^2_3 = 13.56$, $P = 0.004$		Aggression $\chi^2_3 = 24.79$, $P < 0.001$	
	$\beta \pm SE$	95% C.I [lower upper]	$\beta \pm SE$	95% C.I [lower upper]	$\beta \pm SE$	95% C.I [lower upper]
Intercept	-0.11 ± 0.50	[-1.10 0.88]	0.02 ± 0.21	[-0.40 0.43]	0.01 ± 0.12	[-0.25 0.23]
CSI	0.23 ± 0.17	[-0.10 0.57]	0.17 ± 0.06	[0.05 0.30]	0.28 ± 0.06	[0.16 0.40]
CV	0.05 ± 0.19	[-0.31 0.41]	$-0.20 \pm$ 0.07	[-0.33 -0.07]	$-0.01 \pm$ 0.06	[-0.12 0.11]
EI	-0.05 ± 0.16	[-0.36 0.26]	$-0.02 \pm$ 0.06	[-0.10 0.14]	$-0.19 \pm$ 0.06	[-0.30 -0.08]
Elo difference	-0.37 ± 0.17	[-0.70 -0.03]	0.02 ± 0.06	[-0.11 0.15]	$-0.08 \pm$ 0.06	[-0.20 0.04]
DML	-0.05 ± 0.15	[-0.34 0.24]	$-0.00 \pm$ 0.06	[-0.12 0.11]	$-0.08 \pm$ 0.05	[-0.18 0.03]
Age difference	0.73 ± 0.32	[0.10 1.35]	0.11 ± 0.12	[-0.13 0.35]	0.02 ± 0.11	[-0.20 0.24]

451 Results of GLMMs testing the influence of bond strength (CSI), bond predictability (CV), and bond
 452 equitability (EI) on coalitionary support, feeding in proximity and aggression, while controlling for
 453 dyad similarity characteristics, Elo difference, degree of genetic relatedness (DML) and age
 454 difference (N = 286). LRT – likelihood ratio test, $\beta \pm SE$ = estimate and standard error, C.I. =
 455 confidence intervals.

456



457

458 **Figure 4:** Effect of bond strength ((a), (d), (g), from bottom up = weak to strong scores), bond
 459 predictability ((b), (e), (h), from bottom up = low to high predictability), and bond
 460 equitability ((c), (f), (i)) (from bottom up = from low to high equitability) on coalitionary support ((a) to (c)),
 461 feeding in proximity frequency ((d) to (f), from bottom up = from low to high frequency), and aggression
 462 frequency ((g) to (i), from bottom up = from low to high frequency). The straight full line represents
 463 the estimate variation as predicted by the model, the dotted lines are the associated lower and upper
 464 95% confidence intervals of the estimate.

465

466 Discussion

467

468 Social bonds in the studied female crested macaques showed contrasting patterns in their
 469 structure and value compared to other species with nepotistic hierarchical societies for which
 470 comparable data are available. Bonds were mostly of average strength (i.e. median strength close to

471 the mean, 1, and relatively symmetric distribution), relatively equitable but only moderately enduring
472 over the 19 months of the study. Social bonds were stronger, more predictable and more equitable
473 amongst females close in dominance status than others, but not amongst kin or age peers. The three
474 components of social bonds were correlated but had differential effects on the three fitness-related
475 behaviours investigated. Thus, our predictions that dyad characteristics have little influence on social
476 bond components and that these components have relatively weak effects on fitness-related
477 behaviours were mostly fulfilled, showing the extent to which the studied females can express great
478 degrees of freedom within their established network of relationships.

479 We observed a relatively weak influence of kinship on female social relationships; compared
480 with less related females, more related females did not form significantly stronger, more predictable
481 or equitable bonds, revealing a weakly nepotistic society. Several factors may contribute to the
482 observed weak nepotism. First and foremost, the fact that we could not distinguish matriline, due to
483 the lack of a pedigree, and could not differentiate maternal from paternal relatives may have hidden
484 kinship effects on behaviour. In several mammals, paternal relatives interact with each other
485 substantially more often than with non-kin but also substantially less often than with maternal kin
486 (Smith, Albers, & Altmann, 2003; Wahaj et al., 2004; Wenzel et al. 2013). Male reproductive skew
487 and group tenure influence the proportion of paternal relatives in a group (Widdig 2013); high male
488 reproductive skew and short male tenure, which is a characteristic of this population of crested
489 macaques (Higham et al., 2012; Marty et al., 2015), can lead to a relatively high proportion of
490 paternal relatives. These conditions have been hypothesised to be a strong driver for high social
491 tolerance amongst female macaques (Schülke & Ostner, 2008). Indeed, a weak kin bias amongst
492 numerous paternal relatives may blur a strong kin bias between fewer maternal relatives. A hint to that
493 effect is the relatively consistent positive influence of small dominance differences (most likely
494 maternal rather than paternal relatives) on social behaviour whereas age difference had little to no
495 effect (peers are more likely to be paternal than maternal relatives). Another related factor is the use
496 of a continuous measure of kinship, which may have obscured any distinction between kin categories
497 (e.g. mother-daughter, sister-sister) that may be of greater significance for individuals (Kapsalis &
498 Berman, 1996).

499 Notwithstanding these limitations, these results indicate that an overall weak kin bias in social
500 relationships constitutes a shared characteristic of tolerant societies, such as Barbary (*M. sylvanus*)
501 and Tonkean macaques (*M. tonkeana*), which contrasts with more despotic species of macaques and
502 other primates such as baboons (Cords, 2012; Paul, 2006; Thierry, 2007). This finding is also
503 consistent with predictions from the primate socioecological model (Sterck, Watts, & van Schaik,
504 1997); a weakly nepotistic hierarchy may indeed stem from the low profitability of kin support when
505 rank-related fitness benefits are not pronounced because direct competition for food is relatively low
506 (Chapais, 2004). The study population indeed lives in a felid-predator-free, food-abundant
507 environment (O'Brien & Kinnaird, 1997). Higher-ranking females appear to reproduce better than
508 low-ranking females, but this pattern varies with demographic and ecological conditions (Kerhoas et
509 al., 2014). The observed weak nepotistic pattern is common in other animal societies with high
510 cooperation levels and even more flexible social structures (e.g., meerkats: Clutton-Brock, 2009;
511 hyenas: East & Hofer, 2010; raccoons: Hirsch, Prange, Hauver, & Gehrt, 2013; chimpanzees:
512 Langergraber, Mitani, & Vigilant, 2007), which suggests great potential for relatively high degrees of
513 freedom in social relationships in the crested macaques too.

514 Overall, female-female bond strength did not show the typical asymmetrical distribution of
515 more despotic primate species (at least those for which similar data are available: Assamese macaque
516 males, Kalbitz, Ostner, & Schülke, 2016; chacma baboon females, Silk et al., 2006b; Barbary
517 macaque males, Young, Majolo, Schülke, & Ostner, 2014), indicating that female crested macaques
518 formed mostly bonds of median strength (close to the average of the group which is by definition
519 equal to 1), some weak and some strong bonds, and very few very strong bonds. This pattern is
520 strikingly different from the typical few strong-many weak bonds pattern found in the above
521 mentioned species and confirms the propensity of female crested macaques to form diverse and large
522 affiliative networks (Duboscq et al., 2013). Furthermore, bond strength and equitability were
523 negatively related to predictability, such that stronger and more equitable bonds were less predictable
524 than weaker and less equitable bonds. This result indicates the limited endurance of strong bonds
525 compared to average or weak bonds, which also contrasts with findings in other species in which
526 strong bonds appeared very stable over time (e.g., Kalbitz et al., 2016; Mitani, 2009; Silk et al.,

2006a; Young et al., 2014). The temporal variation found in bond strength suggests that preferred associations of female crested macaques are of an opportunistic nature. Although the actual number of strongest and weakest bonds a female has may remain constant, the identity of those partners and the absolute values of CSI vary considerably. This is likely to be related to varying social contexts that we did not address in our current study, such as the presence or absence of dependent infants (variable throughout the year), social instability due to demographic changes (e.g. frequent male migration) or changes in environmental conditions (e.g. massive seasonal fruiting of fig trees), all of which have been shown to modulate relationships between group members (Barrett & Henzi, 2001; East & Hofer, 2010; Henzi et al., 2009; Wrangham & Rubenstein, 1986). Investigating the effect of these socio-demographic events on bond formation and maintenance will be a fruitful endeavour in understanding better social dynamics. Furthermore, we advocate using continuous social indices instead of categories based on arbitrary cut-off points that are typically reported, such as three top partners versus remaining partners. Until evidence accumulates that these cut-offs are meaningful to individuals, we think it is prudent to move away from categorizations of social bonds into classes and to take into account the full breadth of the network of social relationships in order to fully comprehend the extent of social dynamics (see for example Young, 2016).

The most consistent finding in our study was the effect of dominance rank differences, i.e. strong, predictable and equitable bonds were more likely to be formed by dyads with small differences in dominance rank. In female primates and hyenas, the maintenance of these bonds has been linked to competition for social partners, as females struggle for access to the highest-ranking females and end up socialising most with affiliates of adjacent ranks due to competitive exclusion (Seyfarth, 1977). However, because in the study population power asymmetries between females were relatively moderate, counter-aggression frequent and affiliative and proximity networks quite diverse (Duboscq et al., 2013), we argue that social competition was low and competitive exclusion was ineffective so this hypothesis does not provide a satisfying explanation for our results. Social bond formation and maintenance may instead involve the reciprocal exchange of social commodities if adjacently-ranked partners were generally more similar – in terms of personality, energetic needs or reproductive state – or competent partners in cooperation (Chapais, 2006; Schino & Aureli, 2009).

555 These reciprocal exchanges could be highly dynamic and opportunistic in a biological market
556 susceptible to environmental and social conditions (Barrett & Henzi, 2006; Noë & Hammerstein,
557 1994), thereby generating a potential source of variation in the endurance of social bonds too.

558 The potential opportunistic nature of these social bonds seems also apparent in the analyses of
559 their potential adaptive value. Previous studies on the same population showed somewhat contrasting
560 results. The strength of social bonds was related to anti-predator responses, indicating their
561 importance in threatening situations (Micheletta et al., 2012). However, the equitability and
562 predictability, rather than strength, of social bonds influenced the occurrence of conflict management
563 behaviour (Duboscq et al., 2014). Furthermore, the occurrence of reconciliation – an important
564 mechanism of social cohesion – appears to function as appeasement, a short-term tactic, rather than to
565 repair relationships, a more long-term strategy (Duboscq et al., 2014). In the current study, variance in
566 bond characteristics helped only to a certain extent to explain variation in three additional fitness-
567 related behaviours. First, more strongly bonded females fed more often in proximity but also fought
568 more often with each other than females with weaker bonds. This indicates that more strongly bonded
569 dyads may be more resilient to disruptions of their bond (by aggression) over food than less strongly
570 bonded dyads (Aureli, Fraser, Schaffner, & Schino, 2012). Second, this is consistent with the finding
571 that partners with less predictable bonds also fed more often in proximity, as stronger bonds tended to
572 be less predictable. The link between bond predictability and co-feeding frequency suggests either
573 that partners with enduring relationships avoid endangering the stability of their relationship over
574 feeding competition, or that the endurance of bonds is affected by another factor that we have not
575 considered, for example female energetic needs (perhaps in relation to reproductive state). Third, the
576 negative relationship between equitability and aggression rate could indicate that less equitable dyads
577 often need to negotiate their relationship through engaging in agonistic interactions, which are often
578 mild in this species (Duboscq et al., 2013). This could be the case if one partner gets frustrated to be at
579 the lesser end of the social exchange, especially since less equitable bonds also tended to be weaker in
580 strength. These results stress the need to consider more than one dimension of social bonds
581 simultaneously in order to get a more integrative picture of how animals balance the costs and
582 benefits of social bonds. Experiments involving cooperative tasks could help disentangle the

583 respective weight of bond characteristics in social decision-making in terms of partner choice,
584 coalition formation, trust or punishment.

585 Overall, it appears that the female crested macaques under study generally form a dynamic
586 number and large diversity of good average partners, rather than a tight network of enduring strong
587 ones, perhaps similar to what has been suggested for males of the same species (Neumann, 2013;
588 Neumann, Agil, Widdig, & Engelhardt, 2013). As such, females seem able to express great degrees of
589 social freedom with regards to their dominance and kin relationships (Butovskaya, 2004; Thierry,
590 1990). Nevertheless, females also seem to specifically rely on certain partners, with whom they have
591 strong, predictable or equitable bonds, in specific contexts (post-conflict interactions, Duboscq et al.,
592 2014) or in especially challenging situations (predator deterrence, Micheletta et al., 2012). This
593 “many-good-friends” strategy can be costly temporally and energetically, but it can also bring a wide
594 range of benefits, including enhanced negotiation skills, improved collective decision-making, and
595 facilitated cooperation in joint-action problems (Hare, Melis, Woods, Hasting, & Wrangham, 2007;
596 McComb & Semple, 2005; Petit, Desportes, & Thierry, 1992; Sueur & Petit, 2008; Thierry et al.,
597 2008).

598 The contrast between the stability of the social structure of macaques, in general, and the
599 degrees of freedom shown by female crested macaques, in particular, in establishing and maintaining
600 relationships leads to questions about the temporal dynamics of social bonds and the short- and long-
601 term reciprocity of social exchanges within stable societies. It highlights the need to consider more
602 carefully the whole network of bonds, weak and strong, in a more integrated continuous way as has
603 been advocated by Granovetter already in 1973. More importantly, fitness-related behaviours, like
604 coalitionary support, are presumably based on long-term alliances. Thus, to what extent patterns in so-
605 called strategic behaviours can actually resist the magnitude of changes in social bonds is currently
606 not clear. It is possible that females with stronger, more equitable, more predictable bonds or more
607 numerous such partners benefit from a better extrinsic support network than others (Harcourt 1989;
608 Silk 2007). This extrinsic power may not be “observable” as such because it often translates into
609 conflict prevention or avoidance. Investigating this question could help to determine how dynamic
610 societies actually are and whether species or population differences in dynamics exist. Fluctuations in

611 social networks are indeed pervasive in species with flexible (Schradin, 2013) or seasonal sociality
612 (Blumstein, 2013; Brent et al., 2013; Prange, Gehrt, & Hauver, 2011). Whether our findings reflect a
613 pattern more common than previously thought or are typical for this study population remains to be
614 investigated and requires comparative studies. This is of tremendous importance to better understand
615 the social components of fitness and the mechanisms linking sociality to fitness.

616

617 **Funding**

618 This study was funded by the Volkswagen Foundation (funding initiative Evolutionary Biology, grant
619 I/84 200) and Primate Conservation Inc. (grant PCI 757) to JD and by a grant from the German
620 Research Council (EN 916/2) to AE.

621

622 **Conflict of Interest**

623 All authors declare they have no conflict of interest of any kind.

624

625 **References**

626 Albers, P. C. H., & de Vries, H. (2001). Elo-rating as a tool in the sequential estimation of dominance
627 strengths. *Animal Behaviour*, *61*, 489–495. doi: 10.1006/anbe.2000.1571

628 Arandjelovic, M., Guschanski, K., Schubert, G., Harris, T. R., Thalmann, O., Siedel, H., et al. (2009)

629 Two-step multiplex polymerase chain reaction improves the speed and accuracy of genotyping using

630 DNA from noninvasive and museum samples. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, *9*, 28–36. doi:

631 10.1111/j.1755-0998.2008.02387.x

632 Armitage, K. B., & Schwartz, O. A. (2000). Social enhancement of fitness in yellow-bellied marmots.

633 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science*, *97*, 12149–12152. doi:10.1073/pnas.200196097

634 ASAB/ABS. (2012). Guidelines for the treatment of animals in behavioural research and teaching.

635 *Animal Behaviour*, *83*, 301-309. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2011.10.031>.

636 Aureli, F., Fraser, O. N., Schaffner, C. M., & Schino, G. (2012). The regulation of social

637 relationships. In: J. C. Mitani, J. Call, P. M. Kappeler, R. Palombit, & J. Silk (Eds.) *The evolution of*

638 *primate societies* (pp. 531–551). Chicago, U.S.A.: The University of Chicago Press.

639 Barocas, A., Ilany, A., Koren, L., Kam, M., & Geffen, E. (2011). Variance in centrality within rock
640 hyrax social networks predicts adult longevity. *PLoS ONE*, *6*, 1–8. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0022375

641 Bakshy, E., Rosenn, I., Marlow, C., & Adamic, L. (2012). The role of social networks in information
642 diffusion. In *Proceedings of the 21st international conference on World Wide Web* (pp. 519–528).
643 New York: ACM. doi:10.1145/2187836.2187907

644 Bardi, M., Shimizu, K., Fujita, S., Borgognini Tarli, S. M., & Huffman, M. (2001). Social behavior
645 and hormonal correlates during the perinatal period in Japanese macaques. *Hormones & Behavior*, *39*,
646 239–246. doi: 10.1006/hbeh.2001.1651

647 Barrett, L., & Henzi, P. (2001). Constraints on relationship formation among female primates.
648 *Behaviour*, *139*, 263–289. doi:10.1163/156853902760102672.

649 Barrett, L., & Henzi, P. (2006). Monkeys, market and minds: biological markets and primate sociality.
650 In: P. M. Kappeler & C. P. van Schaik (Eds.) *Cooperation in Primates and Humans: Mechanisms and*
651 *Evolution* (pp. 209-232). Berlin, Germany: Springer.

652 Bates, D. M., Maechler, M., Bolker, B., & Walker, S. (2015). Fitting linear mixed-effects models
653 using lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software*, *67*, 1-48. doi:10.18637/jss.v067.i01.

654 Bayes, M., Smith, K., Alberts, S., Altmann, J. & Bruford, M. (2000). Testing the reliability of
655 microsatellite typing from faecal DNA in the savannah baboon. *Conservation Genetics*, *1*, 173–176.
656 doi:10.1023/A:1026595324974

657 Blumstein, D. T. (2013). Yellow-bellied marmots: insights from an emergent view of sociality.
658 *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *368*, 20120349.
659 doi:10.1098/rstb.2012.0349

660 Bolker, B., Brooks, M. E., Clark, C. J., Geange, S. W., Poulsen, J. R., Stevens, M. H. H., et al. (2008)
661 Generalized linear mixed models: a practical guide for ecology and evolution. *Trends in Ecology and*
662 *Evolution*, *24*, 127–135. doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2008.10.008

663 Brent, L. J. N., MacLarnon, A. M., Platt, M. L., & Semple, S. (2013). Seasonal changes in the
664 structure of rhesus macaque social networks. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, *67*, 349–359. doi:
665 10.1007/s00265-012-1455-8

666 Butovskaya, M. L. (2004). Social space and degrees of freedom. In: B. Thierry, M. Singh, & W.
667 Kaumanns (Eds.) *Macaque societies, a model for the study of social organisation* (pp. 182–185).
668 Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press.

669 Cameron, E. Z., Setsaas, T. H., & Linklater, W. L. (2009). Social bonds between unrelated females
670 increase reproductive success in feral horses. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *106*,
671 13850–13853. doi:10.1073/pnas.0900639106

672 Carter, K. D., Seddon, J., Frère, C., Carter, J. K., & Goldizen, A. W. (2013). Fission-fusion dynamics
673 in wild giraffes may be driven by kinship, spatial overlap and individual social preferences. *Animal*
674 *Behaviour*, *85*, 385-394. doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2012.11.011

675 Chapais, B. (2006). Kinship, competence and cooperation in primates. In: P. M. Kappeler, & C. P.
676 van Schaik (Eds.) *Cooperation in primates and humans: mechanisms and evolution* (pp. 47-64).
677 Berlin, Germany: Springer.

678 Chapais, B. (2004). How kinship generates dominance structures: a comparative perspective. In B.
679 Thierry, M. Singh, & W. Kaumanns (Eds.), *Macaque societies, a model for the study of social*
680 *organisation* (pp. 186–204). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

681 Clutton-Brock, T. H. (2009). Cooperation between non-kin in animal societies. *Nature*, *462*, 51–57.
682 doi:10.1038/nature08366

683 Cooper, M. A., & Bernstein, I. S. (2008) Evaluating dominance styles in Assamese and rhesus
684 macaques. *International Journal of Primatology*, *29*, 225–243. doi:10.1007/s10764-008-9236-y

685 Cords, M. (2012). The behavior, ecology and social evolution of cercopithecine monkeys. In: J. C.
686 Mitani, J. Call, P. M. Kappeler, R. Palombit, & J. Silk (Eds.) *The evolution of primate societies* (pp.
687 91–112). Chicago, U.S.A.: The University of Chicago Press.

688 Csilléry, K., Johnson, T., Beraldi, D., Clutton-Brock, T. H., Coltman, D., Hansson, B., et al. (2006)
689 Performance of marker-based relatedness estimators in natural populations of outbred vertebrates.
690 *Genetics*, *173*, 2091–2101.

691 D'Amato, F. R., Troisi, A., Scucchi, S., & Fuccillo, R. (1982) Mating season influence on
692 allogrooming in a confined group of Japanese macaques: a quantitative analysis. *Primates*, *23*, 220–
693 232. doi: 10.1093/beheco/aru099

694 de Vries, H., Netto, W. J., & Hanegraaf, P. L. H. (1993). Matman: a program for the analysis of
695 sociometric matrices and behavioural transition matrices. *Behaviour*, *125*, 157–175. doi:
696 10.1163/156853993X00218

697 Douadi, M. I., Gatti, S., Levrero, F., Duhamel, G., Bermejo, M., Vallet, D., et al. (2007). Sex-biased
698 dispersal in western lowland gorillas (*Gorilla gorilla gorilla*). *Molecular Ecology*, *16*, 2247–2259.
699 doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2007.03286.x

700 Duboscq, J., Agil, M., Engelhardt, A., & Thierry, B. (2014). The function of post-conflict
701 interactions: new prospects from the study of a tolerant species of primate. *Animal Behaviour*, *87*,
702 107–120. doi:10.1016/j.anbehav/2013-10-1018

703 Duboscq, J., Micheletta, J., Agil, M., Hodges, K., Thierry, B., & Engelhardt, A. (2013). Social
704 tolerance in wild female crested macaques, *Macaca nigra*, in Tangkoko-Batuangus Nature Reserve,
705 Sulawesi, Indonesia. *American Journal of Primatology*, *75*, 361–375. doi:10.1002/ajp.22114

706 Duboscq, J., Neumann, C., Perwitasari-Farajallah, D., & Engelhardt, A. 2008. Daytime birth of a baby
707 crested black macaque (*Macaca nigra*) in the wild. *Behavioral Processes*, *79*, 81-84.
708 doi:10.1016/j.beproc.2008.04.010

709 East, M. L., & Hofer, H. (2010) Social environments, social tactics and their fitness consequences in
710 complex mammalian societies. In: T. Székely T, A. J. Moore, J. Komdeur (Eds) *Social Behaviour:
711 Genes, Ecology and Evolution* (pp. 360-391). Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press.

712 Field, A., Miles, J., & Field, Z. (2012). *Discovering statistics using R*. London, U.K.: SAGE
713 Publications Ltd.

714 Fraser, O. N., & Bugnyar, T. (2011) Ravens reconcile after aggressive conflicts with valuable
715 partners. *PLoS ONE*, *6*, e18118–e18118. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0018118

716 Frère, C. H., Krützen, M., Mann, J., Connor, R. C., Bejder, L., & Sherwin, W. B. (2010). Social and
717 genetic interactions drive fitness variation in a free-living dolphin population. *Proceedings of the
718 National Academy of Sciences*, *107*, 19949–19954. doi:10.1073/pnas.1007997107

719 Godde, S., Côté, S. D., & Réale, D. (2015). Female mountain goats, *Oreamnos americanus*, associate
720 according to kinship and reproductive status. *Animal Behaviour*, *108*, 101–107.
721 doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2015.07.005

722 Granovetter, M. (1973). The strength of weak ties. *American Journal of Sociology*, 78, 1360–1380.
723 doi: 10.1086/225469

724 Hare, B., Melis, A. P., Woods, V., Hasting, S., & Wrangham, R. W. (2007). Tolerance allows
725 bonobos to outperform chimpanzees on a cooperative task. *Current Biology*, 17, 619–623.
726 doi:10.1016/j.cub.2007.02.040

727 Henzi, S. P., Lusseau, D., Weingrill, T., van Schaik, C. P., & Barrett, L. (2009). Cyclicity in the
728 structure of female baboon social networks. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, 63, 1015–1021.
729 doi:10.1007/s00265-009-0720-y

730 Hirsch, B. T., Prange, S., Hauver, S. A., & Gehrt, S. D. (2013) Genetic relatedness does not predict
731 racoon social network structure. *Animal Behaviour*, 85, 463–470. doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2012.12.011

732 Hirsch, B. T., Stanton, M. A., Maldonado, J. E. (2012). Kinship shapes affiliative social networks but
733 not aggression in ring-tailed coatis. *PLoS ONE*, 7, e37301. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0037301

734 Ilany, A., Booms, A. S., & Holekamp, K. E. (2015). Topological effects of network structure on long-
735 term social network dynamics in a wild mammal. *Ecology Letters*, 687–695. doi:10.1111/ele.12447

736 Kalbitz, J., Ostner, J., & Schülke, O. (2016). Strong, equitable and long-term social bonds in the
737 dispersing sex in Assamese macaques. *Animal Behaviour*, 113, 13-22.
738 doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2015.11.005

739 Kanthaswamy, S., Von Dollen, A., Kurushima, J. D., Alminas, O., Rogers, J., Ferguson, B., et al.
740 (2006). Microsatellite markers for standardized genetic management of captive colonies of rhesus
741 macaques (*Macaca mulatta*). *American Journal of Primatology*, 68, 73–95. doi:10.1002/ajp.20207

742 Kapsalis, E., & Berman, C. M. (1996). Models of affiliative relationships among free-ranging rhesus
743 monkeys (*Macaca mulatta*) I. Criteria for kinship. *Behaviour*, 133, 1209–1234.
744 doi:10.1163/156853996X00387

745 Kerhoas, D., Mundry, R., Perwitasari-Farajallah, D., Agil, M., Widdig, A. & Engelhardt, A. (2014).
746 Social and ecological factors influencing offspring survival in wild macaques. *Behavioral Ecology*,
747 25, 1164–1172. doi:10.1093/beheco/aru099

748 Langergraber, K. E., Mitani, J. C., & Vigilant, L. (2007). The limited impact of kinship on
749 cooperation in wild chimpanzees. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science*, *104*, 7786–7790.
750 doi:10.1073/pnas.0611449104

751 Majolo, B., Ventura, R., & Schino, G. (2010). Asymmetry and dimensions of relationships quality in
752 the Japanese macaque (*Macaca fuscata yakui*). *International Journal of Primatology*, *31*, 736–750.
753 doi:10.1007/s10764-010-9424-4

754 Martin, P., & Bateson, P. (1993). *Measuring Behaviour - An Introductory Guide* (2nd ed). Cambridge,
755 U.K.: Cambridge University Press.

756 Marty, P. R., Hodges, K., Agil, M., & Engelhardt, A. (2015). Alpha male replacements and delayed
757 dispersal in crested macaques (*Macaca nigra*). *American Journal of Primatology*. doi:
758 10.1002/ajp.22448

759 McComb, K., & Semple, S. (2005). Coevolution of vocal communication and sociality in primates.
760 *Biology Letters*, *1*, 381–385. doi: 10.1098/rsbl.2005.0366

761 Micheletta, J., Waller, B. M., Panggur, M. R., Neumann, C., Duboscq, J., Agil, M., et al. (2012).
762 Social bonds affect anti-predator behaviour in a tolerant species of macaques, *Macaca nigra*.
763 *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *279*, 4042–4050. doi:
764 10.1098/rspb.2012.1470

765 Milligan, B. (2003). Maximum-likelihood estimation of relatedness. *Genetics*, *163*, 1153–1167.

766 Mitani, J. C. (2009). Male chimpanzees form enduring and equitable social bonds. *Animal Behaviour*,
767 *77*, 633–640. doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2008.11.021

768 Morin, P. A., Chambers, K. E., Boesch, C. & Vigilant, L. (2001). Quantitative polymerase chain
769 reaction analysis of DNA from non-invasive samples for accurate microsatellite genotyping of wild
770 chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes verus*). *Molecular Ecology*, *10*, 1835–1844. doi:10.1046/j.0962-
771 1083.2001.01308.x

772 Neumann, C., & Kulik, L. (2014). EloRating: Animal dominance hierarchies by Elo Rating.
773 <http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=EloRating>

774 Neumann, C. (2013). Achievement and maintenance of dominance in male crested macaques
775 (*Macaca nigra*) (Doctoral thesis). Leipzig, Germany: University of Leipzig.

776 Neumann, C., Agil, M., Widdig, A., & Engelhardt, A. (2013). Personality of wild male crested
777 macaques (*Macaca nigra*). *PLoS ONE*, 8, e69383. doi:10.1371/. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0069383

778 Neumann, C., Duboscq, J., Dubuc, C., Ginting, A., Irwan, A. M., Agil, M., et al. (2011) Assessing
779 dominance hierarchies: validation and advantages of progressive evaluation with Elo-rating. *Animal*
780 *Behaviour*, 82, 911–921. doi: 10.1016/j.anbehav.2011.07.016

781 Newberry, R., & Swanson, J (2001) Breaking Social Bonds. In L. J. Keeling, & H. W. Gonyou (Eds.),
782 *Social Bonds in Farm Animals* (pp. 307–331). Oxon, UK: CABI Publishing.

783 Noë R., & Hammerstein, P. (1994). Biological markets: supply and demand determine the effect of
784 partner choice in cooperation, mutualism and mating. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, 35, 1–
785 11. doi:10.1007/BF00167053

786 Nowak, M. A. (2006). Five Rules for the Evolution of Cooperation. *Science*, 314, 1560–1563.
787 doi:10.1126/science.1133755

788 Nsubuga, A. M., Robbins, M. M., Roeder, A. D., Morin, P., Boesch, C., & Vigilant, L. (2004) Factors
789 affecting the amount of genomic DNA extracted from ape faeces and the identification of an
790 improved sample storage method. *Molecular Ecology*, 13, 2089–2094. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-
791 294X.2004.02207.x

792 O'Brien, T. G., & Kinnaird, M. F. (1997). Behavior, diet, and movements of the Sulawesi crested
793 black macaque (*Macaca nigra*). *International Journal of Primatology*, 18, 321–351. doi:
794 10.1023/A:1026330332061

795 Ostner, J., & Schülke, O. (2014). The evolution of social bonds in primate males. *Behaviour*, 151,
796 871–906. doi: 10.1163/1568539X-00003191

797 Paul, A. (2006). Kinship and behaviour in Barbary macaques. In: J.K. Hodges, & J. Cortes (Eds) *The*
798 *Barbary macaques: Biology, Management and Conservation* (pp. 47 - 61). Nottingham, UK:
799 Nottingham University Press.

800 Petit, O., Abegg, C., & Thierry, B. (1997). A comparative study of aggression and conciliation in
801 three cercopithecine monkeys (*Macaca fuscata*, *Macaca nigra*, *Papio papio*). *Behaviour*, 134, 415–
802 432. doi: 10.1163/156853997X00610

803 Petit, O., Desportes, C., & Thierry, B (1992). Differential probability of “coproduction” in two
804 species of macaques (*Macaca tonkeana*, *M. mulatta*). *Ethology*, *90*, 107–120. doi: 0.1111/j.1439-
805 0310.1992.tb00825.x

806 Petit, O., & Thierry, B. (1994). Aggressive and peaceful interventions in conflicts in Tonkean
807 macaques. *Animal Behaviour*, *48*, 1427–1436. doi:10.1006/anbe.1994.1378

808 Prange, S., Gehrt, S. D., & Hauver, S. (2011). Frequency and duration of contacts between free-
809 ranging raccoons: uncovering a hidden social system. *Journal of Mammalogy*, *92*, 1331–1342.
810 doi:10.1644/10-MAMM-A-416.1

811 R Development Core Team (2015) R: A language and environment for statistical computing, version
812 3.2.1.

813 Schino, G. (2007). Grooming and agonistic support: a meta-analysis of primate reciprocal altruism.
814 *Behavioral Ecology*, *18*, 115–120. doi: 10.1093/beheco/arl045

815 Schino, G., & Aureli, F. (2009). Reciprocal altruism in primates: partner choice, cognition, and
816 emotions. *Advances in the Study of Behavior*, *39*, 45–69. doi: 10.1016/S0065-3454(09)39002-6

817 Schradin, C. (2013) Intraspecific variation in social organization by genetic variation, developmental
818 plasticity, social flexibility or entirely extrinsic factors. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal
819 Society B: Biological Science*, *368*, 20120346. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2012.0346

820 Schülke, O., & Ostner, J. (2008). Male reproductive skew, paternal relatedness, and female social
821 relationships. *American Journal of Primatology*, *70*, 695–698. doi: 10.1002/ajp.20546

822 Seyfarth, R. M. (1977). A model of social grooming among adult female monkeys. *Journal of
823 Theoretical Biology*, *65*, 671–698. doi:10.1016/0022-5193(77)90015-7

824 Seyfarth, R. M., Silk, J. B., & Cheney, D. L. (2014). Social bonds in female baboons: the interaction
825 between personality, kinship and rank. *Animal Behaviour*, *87*, 23–29.
826 doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2013.10.008

827 Sick, C., Carter, A. J., Marshall, H. H., Knapp, L. A., Dabelsteen, T., & Cowlshaw, G. (2014).
828 Evidence for varying social strategies across the day in chacma baboons. *Biology Letters*, *10*,
829 20140249. doi:10.1098/rsbl.2014.0249

830 Silk, J. B., Cheney, D. L., & Seyfarth, R. M. (2013). A practical guide to the study of social
831 relationships. *Evolutionary Anthropology*, 22, 213–225. doi:10.1002/evan.21367

832 Silk, J. B., Beehner, J. C., Bergman, T. J., Crockford, C., Engh, A. L., Moscovice, L. R., et al. (2010).
833 Strong and Consistent Social Bonds Enhance the Longevity of Female Baboons. *Current Biology*, 20,
834 1359–1361. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2010.05.067

835 Silk, J. B., Beehner, J. C., Bergman, T. J., Crockford, C., Engh, A. L., Moscovice, L. R., et al. (2009).
836 The benefits of social capital: close social bonds among female baboons enhance offspring survival.
837 *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 276, 3099–3104.
838 doi:10.1098/rspb.2009.0681

839 Silk, J. B. (2007). The adaptive value of sociality in mammalian groups. *Philosophical Transaction of*
840 *the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 362, 539–559. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2006.1994.

841 Silk, J. B. (2007b). Social components of fitness in primate groups. *Science*, 317, 1347–1351. doi:
842 10.1126/science.1140734

843 Silk, J. B., Altmann, J., & Alberts, S. C. (2006a). Social relationships among adult female baboons
844 (*Papio cynocephalus*) II. Variation in the quality and stability of social bonds. *Behavioral Ecology*
845 *and Sociobiology*, 61, 197–204. doi: 10.1007/s00265-006-0250-9

846 Silk J. B., Altmann J., Alberts S. C. (2006b). Social relationships among adult female baboons (*Papio*
847 *cynocephalus*) I. Variation in the strength of social bonds. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, 61,
848 183–195. doi: 10.1007/s00265-006-0249-2

849 Smith, J. E., van Horn, R. C., Powning, K. S., Cole, A. R., Graham, K. E., Memenis, S. K., et al.
850 (2010). Evolutionary forces favoring intragroup coalitions among spotted hyenas and other animals.
851 *Behavioral Ecology*, 21, 284–303. doi:10.1093/beheco/arp181

852 Smith, J. E., Memenis, S. K., Holekamp, K. E. (2007). Rank-related partner choice in the fission-
853 fusion society of the spotted hyena (*Crocuta crocuta*). *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, 61,
854 753–765. doi: 10.1007/s00265-006-0305-y

855 Smith, K. L., Alberts, S. C., & Altmann, J. (2003). Wild female baboons bias their social behaviour
856 towards paternal half-sisters. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Science*, 270, 503–510.
857 doi: 10.1098/rspb.2002.2277

858 Sterck, E. H. M., Watts, D. P., van Schaik C. P. (1997). The evolution of female social relationships
859 in nonhuman primates. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, *41*, 291–309.
860 doi:10.1007/s002650050390

861 Sueur, C., & Petit, O. (2008). Shared or unshared consensus decision in macaques? *Behavioural*
862 *Processes*, *78*, 84–92. doi: 10.1016/j.beproc.2008.01.004

863 Sugardjito, J., Southwick, C. H., Supriatna, J., Kohlhaas, A., Baker, S. C., Erwin, J., et al. (1989).
864 Population survey of macaques in northern Sulawesi. *American Journal of Primatology*, *18*, 285–301.
865 doi: 10.1002/ajp.1350180403

866 Taberlet, P., Griffin, S., Goossens, B., Questiau, S., Manceau, V., Escaravage, N., et al. (1996)
867 Reliable genotyping of samples with very low DNA quantities using PCR. *Nucleic Acids Research*,
868 *24*, 3189–3194. doi:10.1093/nar/24.16.3189

869 Thierry, B. (2013). Identifying constraints in the evolution of primate societies. *Philosophical*
870 *Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *368*, 20120342. doi:10.1098/rstb.2012.0342

871 Thierry, B., Aureli, F., Nunn, C. L., Petit, O., Abegg, C., & de Waal, F. B. M. (2008). A comparative
872 study of conflict resolution in macaques: insights into the nature of trait covariation. *Animal*
873 *Behaviour*, *75*, 847–860. doi: 10.1016/j.anbehav.2007.07.006

874 Thierry, B. (2007). Unity in diversity: lessons from macaque societies. *Evolutionary Anthropology*,
875 *16*, 224–238. doi: 10.1002/evan.20147

876 Thierry, B., Bynum, E. L., Baker, S. C., Kinnaird, M. F., Matsumura, S., Muroyama, Y., et al. (2000).
877 The social repertoire of Sulawesi macaques. *Primate Research*, *16*, 203–226. doi:10.2354/psj.16.203

878 Thierry, B. (1990). Feedback loop between kinship and dominance: the macaque model. *Journal of*
879 *Theoretical Biology*, *145*, 511–522. doi:10.1016/S0022-5193(05)80485-0

880 Tinsley Johnson, E., Snyder-Mackler, N., Beehner, J. C., & Bergman, T. J. (2014) Kinship and
881 dominance rank influence the strength of social bonds in female geladas (*Theropithecus gelada*).
882 *International Journal of Primatology*, *35*, 288–304. doi: 10.1007/s10764-013-9733-5

883 Uchino, B. N. (2006). Social Support and Health: A Review of Physiological Processes Potentially
884 Underlying Links to Disease Outcomes. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine*, *29*, 377–387.
885 doi:10.1007/s10865-006-9056-5

886 van Horn, R. C., Altmann, J., & Alberts, S. C. (2008). Can't get there from here: inferring kinship
887 from pairwise genetic relatedness. *Animal Behaviour*, *75*, 1173–1180.
888 doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2007.08.027

889 van Schaik, C. P. (1989). The ecology of social relationships amongst female primates. In: V.
890 Standen, & R. A. Foley (Eds) *Comparative Socioecology: The Behavioural Ecology of Humans and*
891 *other Mammals* (pp. 195-218). Oxford, UK: Blackwell Scientific Publications.

892 Ventura R., Majolo B., Koyama N. F., Hardie, S., & Schino, G. (2006) Reciprocation and interchange
893 in wild Japanese macaques: grooming, co-feeding, and agonistic support. *American Journal of*
894 *Primatology*, *68*, 1138–1149. doi:10.1002/ajp.20314

895 Wahaj, S. A., van Horn, R. C., van Horn, T. L., Dreyer, R., Hilgris, R., Schwarz, J., et al. (2004). Kin
896 discrimination in the spotted hyena (*Crocuta crocuta*): nepotism among siblings. *Behavioral Ecology*
897 *and Sociobiology*, *56*, 237–247. doi: 10.1007/s00265-004-0783-8

898 Wang, J. (2011). COANCESTRY: a program for simulating, estimating and analysing relatedness and
899 inbreeding coefficients. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, *11*, 141–145. doi: 10.1111/j.1755-
900 0998.2010.02885.x

901 Wenzel, S., Ostner, J., & Schülke, O. (2013). Paternal relatedness predicts the strength of social bonds
902 among female rhesus macaques. *PLoS ONE*, *8*, e59789–e59789. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0059789

903 Wey, T. W., Burger, J. R., Ebensperger, L. A., & Hayes, L. D. (2013). Reproductive correlates of
904 social network variation in plurally breeding degus (*Octodon degus*). *Animal Behaviour*, *85*, 1407–
905 1414. doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2013.03.035

906 Whitehead, H. (2008). *Analysing animal societies: quantitative methods for vertebrates social*
907 *analysis*. Chicago, USA: The University of Chicago Press.

908 Widdig, A. (2013) The impact of male reproductive skew on kin structure and sociality in multi-male
909 groups: Altmann (1979) revisited. *Evolutionary Anthropology*, *22*, 239–250. doi: 10.1002/evan.21366

910 Wrangham, R. W., & Rubenstein, D. I. (1986) Social evolution in birds and mammals. In: D. I.
911 Rubenstein, & Wrangham R. W. (Eds) *Ecological Aspects of Social Evolution - Birds and Mammals*
912 (pp. 452–470). Princeton, USA: Princeton University Press.

913 Young, C., Majolo, B., Heistermann, M., Schülke, O., & Ostner, J. (2014). Responses to social and
914 environmental stress are attenuated by strong male bonds in wild macaques. *Proceedings of the*
915 *National Academy of Science*, *111*, 195–200. doi:10.1073/pnas.1411450111

916 Young, C., Majolo, B., Schülke, O., & Ostner, J. (2014). Male social bonds and rank predict supporter
917 selection in cooperative aggression in wild Barbary macaques. *Animal Behaviour*, *95*, 23–32.
918 doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2014.06.007

919 Young, M. E. (2016). The problem with categorical thinking by psychologists. *Behavioural*
920 *Processes*, *123*, 43-53. doi: 10.1016/j.beproc.2015.09.009

921 Zhang, Y.-W., Morin, P. A., Ryder, O. A. & Zhang, Y.-P. (2001). A set of human tri-and tetra-
922 nucleotide microsatellite loci useful for population analyses in gorillas (*Gorilla gorilla gorilla*) and
923 orangutans (*Pongo pygmaeus*). *Conservation Genetics*, *2*, 391–395. doi: 10.1023/A:1012594626095

924 Zinner, D., Fickenscher, G., Roos, C., Anandam, M. V., Bennett, E. L., Davenport, T. R. B., et al.
925 2013. Family Cercopithecidae (Old World Monkeys). In: R. A. Mittermeier, A. B. Rylands, & D. E.
926 Wilson (Eds) *Handbook of the Mammals of the World Vol3 Primates*. Barcelona, Spain: Lynx
927 Edicion.

928

929

930

931

932

933

934

935

936

937

938

939

940

941 **Appendix**

942

943 **Complete DNA analysis protocol**

944 We first measured DNA purity by absorbance of a subset of our samples to verify that extraction has
945 been successful and that samples were of good enough quality (Morin et al. 2001). We amplified 12
946 short-tandem repeats (or microsatellites), 10 tetranucleotide loci and 2 dinucleotide loci, proven to be
947 informative in humans and other primates (* or + indicates primers that have been modified
948 specifically for *Macaca fascicularis* or *M. nigra* respectively: D1s548, D3s1768*, D5s1457, D6s493+,
949 D6s501+, D7s2204, D10s1432, D11s925, D12s67+, D13s765*, D14s255+, D18s536; Bayes et al.,
950 2000; Zhang et al., 2001; Engelhardt & Perwitasari-Farajallah, unpublished data). We used a two-step
951 multiplex chain polymerase reaction (PCR) approach (Arandjelovic et al., 2009). In a first step, all
952 loci were amplified in a single reaction with 4 µL of DNA extract (diluted 1:25 - 1:50) for each 20 µL
953 of reaction product, 2 µL H₂O, 2 µL QIAGEN enzyme buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 35 mM KCl,
954 0.1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 50% glycerol, 0.5% Tween 20, 0.5% Igepal CA-630 and stabilizers), 1
955 µL dNTPs 0.5 mM, 0.8 µL bovine serum albumine (BSA) 20 mg/mL, 0.4 µL MgCl 25 mM, 0.4 µL of
956 each primer unlabelled forward and reverse, 0.2 µL QIAGEN Hot Master Taq 5 U/µL) in an
957 Eppendorf® Master Gradient machine.

958 We started with 2 min of denaturation at 94°C, then ran 30 cycles of 20 sec. of denaturation at 94°C,
959 30 sec. of annealing at 54°C, 30 sec. of elongation at 70°C and ended with 10 min of final elongation
960 at 70°C. We followed multiplex PCR by singleplex PCRs, following the same protocol but with each
961 primer separated and different annealing temperatures specific to each primer. We included 1 µL of
962 multiplex PCR product and 19 µL of reaction product (14 µL H₂O, 2 µL QIAGEN enzyme buffer (25
963 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 35 mM KCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 50% glycerol, 0.5% Tween 20, 0.5%
964 Igepal CA-630 and stabilizers), 1 µL dNTPs 0.5 mM, 0.8 µL BSA 20mg/mL, 0.5 µL of specific
965 fluorescently labelled (HEX or FAM) primer forward and reverse, 0.2 µL QIAGEN Hot Master Taq 5
966 U/µL). For primers D3s1768, D6s501, and D12s67, we also added 0.4 µL MgCl 25 mM for better
967 results, and consequently lowered the quantity of H₂O by the same amount. Singleplex PCR products

968 were then prepared for sequencing by diluting PCR products between 1:25 and 1:100, and mixing 1.5
969 μL of diluted product into 14 μL of HiDye Formamide buffer mixed with a size standard (HD400
970 from Applied Biosystems $\text{\textcircled{R}}$).

971

972 **Model randomizations**

973 In the following, we present results of a randomization procedure to assess the effects of assigning
974 individuals to ID1 and ID2 in our mixed models. Specifically, for each model we assigned the two
975 individuals of each dyad randomly to ID1 and ID2. This was done independently for each dyad. With
976 such a randomized data set, we refitted our models, extracted parameters and repeated this step 500
977 times for each of the six models.

978 For each of the six models, we calculated the following summary statistics across the 500
979 randomizations: (1) mean parameter estimates, (2) 90% and 10% percentiles of the parameter
980 estimates, (3) mean standard errors of the parameter estimates and (4) mean 95% confidence intervals.

981 Overall, the results from this procedure suggest that the assignment of individuals within dyads had
982 no consequences as far as our conclusions are concerned and that our models were stable with regards
983 to variation in our random effects structure.

984 PLEASE CONTACT THE CORRESPONDING AUTHOR FOR MORE DETAILS OR SEE THE
985 COPY-EDITED PAPER.