

Evaluation of mathematical models to predict methane emissions from ruminants under different dietary mitigation strategies

Mohammed Benaouda, Cécile Martin, Xinran Li, Ermias Kebreab, Alexander N. Hristov, Zhongtang Yu, David R. Yanez Ruiz, Christopher K. Reynolds, Les A. Crompton, Jan Dijkstra, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Mohammed Benaouda, Cécile Martin, Xinran Li, Ermias Kebreab, Alexander N. Hristov, et al.. Evaluation of mathematical models to predict methane emissions from ruminants under different dietary mitigation strategies. 7. Greenhouse Gas and Animal Agriculture Conference, Aug 2019, Foz de Iguazu, Brazil. 2019. hal-02159601

HAL Id: hal-02159601 https://hal.science/hal-02159601

Submitted on 2 Jun2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1. Title

Evaluation of mathematical models to predict methane emissions from ruminants under different dietary mitigation strategies ⁽¹⁾

2. Authors

Mohammed Benaouda¹, Cécile Martin², Xinran Li³, Ermias Kebreab⁴, Alexander N. Hristov ⁵, Zhongtang Yu⁶, David R. Yáñez-Ruiz⁷, Christopher K. Reynolds⁸, Les A. Crompton⁹, Jan Dijkstra¹⁰, André Bannink¹¹, Angela Schwarm¹², Michael Kreuzer¹³, Mark McGee¹⁴, Peter Lund¹⁵, Anne L. F. Hellwing¹⁶, Martin R. Weisbjerg¹⁷, Peter J. Moate¹⁸, Ali R. Bayat¹⁹, Kevin J. Shingfield²⁰, Nico Peiren²¹ and Maguy Eugène²²

¹ Animal science, PhD, Postdoctoral fellowship, INRA, UMR1213 Herbivores, F-63122 Saint-Genès-Champanelle, France

² Animal Science, PhD, Senior Scientist, INRA, UMR1213 Herbivores, F-63122 Saint-Genès-Champanelle, France.

³ Animal science, PhD, Postdoctoral fellowship, INRA, UMR1213 Herbivores, F-63122 Saint-Genès-Champanelle, France.

⁴ Animal Science, Ph. D., Professor, University of California, Davis, Davis, CA 95616 USA.

⁵ Animal Science, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Animal Science, The Pennsylvania State University, USA.

⁶ Microbiology, Ph. D., Professor, Department of Animal Sciences, The Ohio State University, USA

⁷ Estacion Experimental del Zaidin, CSIC, Granada, Spain.

⁸ Animal Science, PhD, Professor, Centre for Dairy Research, School of Agriculture, Policy and Development, University of Reading, UK.

⁹ Animal Science, PhD., Senior Fellow, School of Agriculture, Policy and Development, University of Reading, UK. ¹⁰ Animal Science, PhD, Associate Professor, Animal Nutrition Group, Wageningen University
& Research, Wageningen, the Netherlands.

¹¹ Animal science, PhD, Senior scientist, Wageningen Livestock Research, Wageningen University & Research, the Netherlands.

¹² Animal Science, PhD, Associate Professor, Department of Animal and Aquacultural Sciences, Norwegian University of Life Sciences, Ås, Norway.

¹³ Agricultural science, Dr. Dr. habil., Professor, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, ETH Zurich, Switzerland.

¹⁴ Animal Science, Ph.D., Principal Researcher, Teagasc, Grange, Ireland.

¹⁵ Animal Science, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Animal Science, AU Foulum, Aarhus University, Denmark.

¹⁶ Animal Science, Ph.D., Scientist, Department of Animal Science, AU Foulum, Aarhus University, Denmark.

¹⁷ Animal Science, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Animal Science, AU Foulum, Aarhus University, Denmark.

¹⁸ Veterinary Science, PhD, Scientist, Agriculture Victoria Research, Australia.

¹⁹ Animal Science, Ph.D., Senior Researcher, Natural Resources Institute Finland (Luke), Finland.

²⁰ Animal Science, Ph.D., Professor, Natural Resources Institute Finland (Luke), Finland.(deceased)

²¹ Biology, PhD, Senior Researcher, Flanders Research Institute for Agriculture, Fisheries and Food (ILVO), Melle, Belgium.

²² Animal science, PhD, Senior Scientist, UMR1213 Herbivores, F-63122 Saint-Genès-Champanelle, France

⁽¹⁾ This study is part of the Joint Programming Initiative on Agriculture, Food Security and Climate Change (FACCE-JPI)'s "GLOBAL NETWORK" project and the "Feeding and

Nutrition Network" (http://animalscience.psu.edu/fnn) of the Livestock Research Group within the Global Research Alliance for Agricultural Greenhouse Gases (www.globalresearchalliance.org).

3. Abstract

This study evaluated the ability of published models to predict enteric methane (CH₄) emissions from dairy cattle, beef cattle, sheep and dairy goat, using a large database (3183 individual animal data). Models for each animal subcategory and CH₄ dietary mitigating strategies of lipid or starch supplementation and of diet quality (described by organic matter digestibility and neutral-detergent fiber digestibility) were assessed. Models were ranked according to root mean square prediction error (RMSPE; % of observed mean) to standard deviation of observed values ratio (RSR) and RMSPE, using all data within each animal subcategory. For dairy cattle, CH4 emissions (g/day) were predicted with the smallest RSR using the model based on feeding level [dry matter intake (DMI)/body weight (BW)], digestibility of feed gross energy (dGE) and dietary ether extract (EE) content (RSR=0.66, RMSPE=15.6%). For beef cattle, the smallest RSR was obtained using GE intake, BW, forage and EE content (RSR=0.83, RMSPE=27.2%). For sheep and goat, there were limited published models; the smallest RSR was observed for a sheep model based on digestible energy intake (RSR = 0.61, RMSPE = 19.2%). IPCC Tier 2 models (1997; 2006) had low predictive ability for variation in dietary EE content, neutral detergent fiber content and organic matter digestibility (RMSPE 14.3-30.5% and 23.0-40.5% for dairy and beef cattle, respectively). No model predicted CH₄ emissions accurately under all dietary mitigation strategies. Some models gave satisfactory predictions and for improved prediction, models should include feed intake, digestibility and information on dietary chemical composition.

4. Index terms:

Prediction equation, enteric methane, livestock, nutritional practices.