



**HAL**  
open science

## Looking for neurophysiological correlates of brain-computer interface learning

Marie-Constance Corsi, Mario Chavez, Denis Schwartz, Nathalie George,  
Laurent Hugueville, Ari E Kahn, Sophie Dupont, Danielle S. Bassett, Fabrizio  
de Vico Fallani

### ► To cite this version:

Marie-Constance Corsi, Mario Chavez, Denis Schwartz, Nathalie George, Laurent Hugueville, et al..  
Looking for neurophysiological correlates of brain-computer interface learning. OHBM 2019 - Annual  
Meeting on Organization for Human Brain Mapping, Jun 2019, Rome, Italy. hal-02157179

**HAL Id: hal-02157179**

**<https://hal.science/hal-02157179v1>**

Submitted on 15 Jun 2019

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Looking for neurophysiological correlates of brain-computer interface learning

Marie-Constance Corsi<sup>1,2</sup>, Mario Chavez<sup>3</sup>, Denis Schwartz<sup>4</sup>, Nathalie George<sup>4</sup>, Laurent Hugueville<sup>4</sup>, Ari E. Kahn<sup>5</sup>, Sophie Dupont<sup>2</sup>, Danielle S. Bassett<sup>5,6,7,8</sup>, Fabrizio De Vico Fallani<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Inria Paris, Aramis project-team, F-75013, Paris, France.

<sup>2</sup>Institut du Cerveau et de la Moelle Epinière, ICM, Inserm, U 1127, CNRS, UMR 7225, Sorbonne Université, F-75013, Paris, France.

<sup>3</sup>CNRS, UMR 7225, F-75013, Paris, France.

<sup>4</sup>Institut du Cerveau et de la Moelle Epinière, ICM, Inserm U 1127, CNRS UMR 7225, Sorbonne Université, Ecole Normale Supérieure, ENS, Centre MEG-EEG, F-75013, Paris, France.

<sup>5</sup>Department of Bioengineering, School of Engineering and Applied Science, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA.

<sup>6</sup>Department of Neurology, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA.

<sup>7</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, College of Arts and Sciences, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA.

<sup>8</sup>Department of Electrical and Systems Engineering, School of Engineering and Applied Science, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA

## Introduction

Non-invasive Brain-Computer Interfaces (BCIs) are largely used to produce thought-provoked action, by exploiting the ability of subjects to voluntarily modulate their brain activity through mental imagery. Despite its clinical applications [Jin, 2012; Prasad, 2010], controlling a BCI appears to be a learned skill. Several weeks or even months are needed to reach relatively high-performance in BCI control, without being sufficient for 15 to 30 % of the users [Allison, 2010; Vidaurre, 2010]. This gap has motivated a deeper understanding of mechanisms associated with motor imagery (MI) tasks [Kaiser, 2014; Perdakis, 2014]. If similarities have been shown between MI-based BCI learning and motor sequence learning [McDougle, 2016; Wander, 2013], our understanding of the involved processes is still incomplete. Among the advanced reasons are the lacks of longitudinal studies long enough to observe consolidation effects associated with learning process, and of proper learning metrics based on the neurophysiology [Perdakis, 2018]. Here, we expected that MI-BCI learning is associated with the recruitment of areas distributed across the cortex beyond those targeted by the BCI. We also hypothesized that the associated properties, in terms of activations and functional connectivity, predict the learning success.

## Methods

We recorded brain signals electroencephalography (EEG) while subjects performed a BCI task twice in a week during two weeks. It consisted of modulating their brain activity in the  $\alpha$ - $\beta$  band to control the vertical position of a moving cursor displayed on a screen. To go up, the subjects imagined a grasping movement with the right hand and to go down, they remained at rest.

Twenty BCI-naive subjects (aged  $27.45 \pm 4.01$  years, 12 men), all right-handed, participated in the study. After having removed the electrophysiological artifacts by using the Independent Component analysis method [Bell, 1995], we performed the source reconstruction on the

epoched data via the Boundary Element Method followed by the weighted Minimum Norm Estimate. We performed a paired t-test on power spectra obtained from the MI and the Rest conditions. Statistics were corrected for multiple comparisons using the cluster approach by using the sum of the t-values within every cluster.

The functional connectivity analysis was performed through the computation of the imaginary coherence between each pair of region of interest based on [Sekihara, 2011]. Finally, node strength was obtained by summing the values of the associated row in the connectivity matrix.

## Results

In both  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  ranges, we found a progressive involvement of distributed sources in the cortical hemisphere contralateral to the movement corresponding to a significant power decrease ( $p < 0.025$ ), more pronounced in the primary somatosensory cortex, the primary motor cortex, the frontal, the prefrontal, the temporal and the parietal areas. The observed decreases tended to focus more on the contralateral pre-and postcentral gyri at the end of the training. We found a progressive decrease of task-related connectivity in both  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  ranges across sessions. Significant across-session decreases were spatially diffused involving bilaterally frontal, temporal and occipital areas in  $\alpha$  ranges, while they were more focused over the left primary motor cortex, the left central and parietal areas in the  $\beta$  ranges ( $p < 0.025$ ).

Power changes in  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  ranges significantly predicted the BCI accuracy in the subsequent session ( $p < 0.005$  in  $\alpha_2$ ). The connectivity decrease in the frontal and the temporal areas was associated with a better future performance in  $\alpha_2$  (Figure).

## Conclusion

We found cortical changes associated with a dynamic brain reorganization during BCI training. They were characterized by a local increase of sensorimotor activation which was paralleled by a global decrease of functional connectivity. Notably, these changes could predict the future BCI performance.

## References

- Allison BZ (2010): Could Anyone Use a BCI? In: Tan, DS, Nijholt, A, editors. Brain-Computer Interfaces. Springer London. Human-Computer Interaction Series pp 35–54. [http://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-1-84996-272-8\\_3](http://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-1-84996-272-8_3).
- Bell AJ (1995): An information-maximization approach to blind separation and blind deconvolution. *Neural Comput* 7:1129–1159.
- Jin J (2012): The changing face of P300 BCIs: a comparison of stimulus changes in a P300 BCI involving faces, emotion, and movement. *PloS One* 7:e49688.
- Kaiser V (2014): Cortical effects of user training in a motor imagery based brain-computer interface measured by fNIRS and EEG. *Neuroimage* 85 Pt 1:432–444.
- McDougle SD (2016): Taking Aim at the Cognitive Side of Learning in Sensorimotor Adaptation Tasks. *Trends Cogn Sci (Regul Ed)* 20:535–544.
- Perdikis S (2014): Subject-oriented training for motor imagery brain-computer interfaces. *Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc* 2014:1259–1262.

- Perdikis S (2018): The Cybathlon BCI race: Successful longitudinal mutual learning with two tetraplegic users. PLOS Biology 16:e2003787.
- Prasad G (2010): Applying a brain-computer interface to support motor imagery practice in people with stroke for upper limb recovery: a feasibility study. Journal of Neuroengineering and Rehabilitation 7:60.
- Sekihara K (2011): Removal of Spurious Coherence in MEG Source-Space Coherence Analysis. IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering 58:3121–3129.
- Vidaurre C (2010): Towards a Cure for BCI Illiteracy. Brain Topography 23:194–198.
- Wander JD (2013): Distributed cortical adaptation during learning of a brain-computer interface task. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 110:10818–10823.

**Figure**

