

Angiotensin receptors from rat liver, brain and pituitary gland. Expression of two subtypes in Xenopus oocytes

Bernard Cantau, Sylvain Bartolami, Pierre P Baskevitch, Frank Desarnaud,

Daniele Chicot, Serge Jard

▶ To cite this version:

Bernard Cantau, Sylvain Bartolami, Pierre P Baskevitch, Frank Desarnaud, Daniele Chicot, et al.. Angiotensin receptors from rat liver, brain and pituitary gland. Expression of two subtypes in Xenopus oocytes. Biochemical Journal, 1991, 277 (3), pp.729-733. 10.1042/bj2770729. hal-02156384

HAL Id: hal-02156384 https://hal.science/hal-02156384

Submitted on 18 Jun2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Angiotensin receptors from rat liver, brain and pituitary gland

Expression of two subtypes in Xenopus oocytes

Bernard CANTAU, Sylvain BARTOLAMI, Pierre P. BASKEVITCH, Frank DESARNAUD, Danièle CHICOT and Serge JARD

Centre CNRS-INSERM de Pharmacologie-Endocrinologie, 34094 Montpellier Cedex 5, France

Xenopus laevis oocytes were used to express angiotensin receptors encoded by mRNAs extracted from rat liver, adenohypophysis and brain. Groups of ten mRNA-injected oocytes were loaded with $^{45}Ca^{2+}$ and the responsiveness to angiotensin II (A II) and related molecules tested by monitoring $^{45}Ca^{2+}$ outflux. A II and angiotensin III (A III) induced a marked and transient increase in $^{45}Ca^{2+}$ outflux from mRNA, but not from control, water-injected, oocytes. The increase over basal value of $^{45}Ca^{2+}$ outflux during a 5 min application period of A II or A III was used as a response index. Observed responses were of high magnitude, reproducible and dose-dependent. For these reasons, mRNA-injected oocytes constitute a valuable system for investigating the pharmacological properties of angiotensin receptors from tissues of different origin under experimental conditions which eliminate tissue-specific interference which might be encountered in classical binding studies on acellular preparations. We demonstrate a fairly good parallelism between the relative potencies of A I, A II and A III in eliciting an increase in $^{45}Ca^{2+}$ outflux from liver and adenohypophyseal mRNA-injected oocytes and the relative affinities of these peptides for binding to liver or adenohypophyseal membranes (A II > A III \gg A I). The predominant receptor subtype expressed by brain mRNA discriminated very poorly between A II and A III very efficiently.

INTRODUCTION

Angiotensin II (A II) and/or angiotensin III (A III) exert a wide variety of actions on multiple targets in the kidney, the cardiovascular and endocrine (pituitary gland, adrenals) systems, the genital tract and the liver. Also, several areas in the central or peripheral nervous system (see [1] for a review) have been identified as putative targets for locally produced A II or A II metabolites [1-4]; the existence of several subtypes of receptors was then suggested. Two angiotensin-receptor subtypes, named A and B, [5-9] have recently been characterized. They can be distinguished on the basis of differences in affinity for recently designed non-peptide or peptide antagonists and differences in sensitivity to dithiothreitol. Yet, in the brain, the problem of identifying and characterizing angiotensin-receptor subtypes has not been completely resolved. Bennett & Snyder [10,11] have shown that A II had a lower affinity for the brain receptors than A III. According to Harding & Felix [12], A III is more potent than A II in eliciting an electrophysiological response in the rat paraventricular nucleus [13,14]. Moreover, ¹²⁵I-A III binds specifically, and with high affinity, to rat brain membranes [15]. The relationship between the putative brain A III receptors and the A-receptor subtype identified at the periphery has not yet been explored. The major difficulties encountered for the characterization of brain angiotensin receptors on the basis of direct binding measurements are linked to receptor heterogeneity, low density and uncontrolled degradation of the labelled and unlabelled ligands used.

A number of recent studies demonstrated that receptors for several peptide hormones can be expressed in oocytes from *Xenopus laevis* after injection of mRNA from receptor-containing tissues [16–20]. The present work was intended to evaluate the usefulness of the *Xenopus* oocyte expression system to investigate and compare the pharmacological properties of brain angiotensin receptors and peripheral A II receptors from liver and adenohypophysis. A II receptors were detected and quantified by measuring hormone-induced Ca^{2+} release from ${}^{45}Ca^{2+}$ -loaded oocytes. This method was used in the present study for the following reasons: (1) each individual determination is derived from a group of ten oocytes; the influence of cell-to-cell variability in the number and properties of angiotensin receptors is therefore minimized; (2) for the determination of dose-response relationships, each group of ten oocytes is utilized only once; thus, interference by agonist-induced desensitization and (or) timedependent changes in responsiveness is eliminated.

We show that A II receptors expressed from mRNA extracted from liver and adenohypophysis exhibited pharmacological properties similar to those found on liver and adenohypophyseal membranes. Results obtained with brain mRNA revealed that receptors which very poorly discriminate between A II and A III contributed predominantly to the overall oocyte response.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

Animal used were Wistar rats (180–200 g body wt.) purchased from IFFA-Credo (Lyon, France). *Xenopus laevis* were obtained from a local (Montpellier, France) breeding centre. A I, A II and A III, and acetylcholine, were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, U.S.A.). The angiotensin antagonist [Sar¹,Ala⁸]A II (Saralasin) was purchased from Bachem (Bubendorf, Switzerland). The iodinated peptide [Sar¹,¹²⁶I-Tyr⁴,Phe⁸]A II (¹²⁶I-[Sar,Phe]-A II) was prepared by the method of Fraker & Speck [23].

Isolation and purification of polyadenylated [poly(A)⁺] RNA

Poly(A)⁺ RNA was isolated from rat liver, brain and pituitary gland. Immediately after isolation, the organs were rinsed twice with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), pH 7.4, at 0 °C. The temperature was maintained at 0 °C during the whole process. Total RNA was obtained by the method of Chirgwin *et al.* [24],

Abbreviations used: A I, A II and A III, angiotensin I, II and III; ¹²⁵I-[Sar,Phe]A II, [Sar^{1,125}I-Tyr,Phe⁸]angiotensin; poly(A)⁺, polyadenylated; PBS, phosphate-buffered saline, pH 7.4; MBSH, modified Barth's saline solution (composition given in text).

as modified by Chomczymski & Sacchi [25]. Poly(A)⁺ RNA was isolated by oligo(dT)-cellulose chromatography and quantified spectrophotometrically [26]. Poly(A)⁺ RNA was dissolved at a concentration of 0.5-1.0 mg/ml in autoclaved twice-distilled water and stored at $-80 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ until use.

Injection into Xenopus oocytes

Oocytes (Stage V/VI) from Xenopus laevis were manually selected and stored in modified Barth's saline solution (MBSH) containing Hepes buffer (10 mM, pH 7.4), penicillin (10 μ g/ml), streptomycin (2 μ g/ml) and ovomucoid trypsin inhibitor (0.1 mg/ml). The selected oocytes were injected in the animal pole (part of the egg's surface with the least concentration of yolk) with 50 nl of aq. mRNA or the same volume of ultrapure water. Microinjections were performed using a 10 μ l Drummond micropipette fixed on a MM-3 Narishige (Kyoto, Japan) micromanipulator.

Measurement of calcium outflux from injected oocytes

Ca²⁺ outflux from injected oocytes was measured as previously described [21,22]. Briefly, immediately after injection, oocytes were incubated for 48 h at 18 °C in MBSH buffer containing 50 μ Ci of ⁴⁵Ca²⁺/ml. This long incubation period was selected in order to eliminate angiotensin-induced Ca2+ release by noninjected oocytes. Indeed, it has been shown [27,28], and confirmed in the present study (results not shown), that A II and A III can induce a Ca²⁺ response by freshly water-injected oocytes. This A II-induced mobilization of Ca²⁺ takes place via an action on follicle cells that is transmitted to the oocyte through gap junctions [27]. This indirect oocyte response is markedly attenuated after incubating isolated oocytes for 24-48 h. ⁴⁵Ca²⁺loaded oocytes were selected on the basis of their morphological integrity, as evaluated from examination under a stereoscopic (M-3) Wild-Leitz microscope. They were washed four times with 2 ml of MBSH buffer at room temperature and distributed in groups of ten in Nunc multi-well dishes (16 mm in diameter). Each well contained 0.5 ml of MBSH buffer at 18 °C. Ca²⁺ released during a 5-10 min period after washing was determined. Groups of oocytes exhibiting very high spontaneous release (about 1/5) were discarded. For the remaining groups, the medium was renewed every 5 min and its ⁴⁵Ca²⁺ content determined. ⁴⁵Ca²⁺ efflux was first measured under basal conditions during a period of 30 min. The agonist to be tested was then applied for 5 min, and the Ca²⁺ efflux during six successive 5 min periods. In all experiments, oocytes injected with 50 nl of water were used as a control. The response to these oocytes to a stimulation of muscarinic cholinergic receptors, which are naturally expressed by oocytes [29], was tested.

Binding assays on purified membranes

Rat liver plasma membranes were prepared from male Wistar rats as described by Neville [30] up to step 11. Membranes were stored in liquid N₂ until use. After thawing, the membrane suspension (5–10 μ g of protein/ml) was diluted in a solution (binding buffer) composed of 50 mm-Tris/HCl, pH 7.4, 10 mm-MgCl₂ and BSA (1 mg/ml). The membranes were centrifuged at 27000 g for 10 min at 4 °C and resuspended in the binding buffer. Binding experiments were conducted as described previously by Bonnafous *et al.* [31]. Membranes (10 μ g/assay) were incubated for 30 min at 30 °C in a total volume of 100 μ l of binding buffer containing various amounts of ¹²⁵I-[Sar,Phe]A II. Non-specific binding was determined in the presence of unlabelled [Sar,Phe]A II (1 μ M). The binding constants for the unlabelled peptides were determined in competition experiments. Binding was measured in the presence of a constant amount (0.07–0.1 nM) of ¹²⁵I-[Sar,Phe]A II and increasing amounts of the competing peptides.

Pituitary glands were isolated from Wistar rats, washed at 0 °C in 1 ml of a solution composed of 10 mM-phosphate buffer, pH 7.2, 1 mM-EGTA and 10 % (w/w) sucrose. Plasma membranes were prepared as previously described [32], with small modifications. Briefly, pituitary glands were homogenized in a 1 ml-capacity glass/Teflon homogenizer in washing buffer (0.5 ml for three glands). The crude homogenate was filtered through a nylon filter (200 μ m pore size) and centrifuged at 10000 g for 15 min. The pellet was resuspended in 0.75 ml of buffer, then filtered and centrifuged as indicated above. The pellet was then resuspended in 20 mM-phosphate buffer, pH 7.5, containing BSA (1 mg/ml), bacitracin (0.5 mg/ml) and 10 mM-MgCl₂. Binding assays were performed on freshly prepared membranes. Conditions were as indicated for binding assays on liver membranes, except for the membrane protein content, which was 50 μ g/assay.

RESULTS

Fig. 1 shows the results of a typical experiment performed with a group of ten oocytes injected with 50 ng of rat liver mRNA and two control groups of ten water-injected oocytes. Basal Ca²⁺ outflux measured during six successive 5 min periods was stable and comparable for mRNA- and water-injected oocytes. In some experiments a time-dependent decrease in basal Ca²⁺ outflux was observed, but in most cases a stable value was reached within 30 min. Addition of A II (100 nM) to the incubation medium of liver mRNA-injected oocytes induced a marked, but transient,



Fig. 1. Angiotensin- and acetylcholine (ACh)-induced increase in ⁴⁵Ca²⁺ outflux from ⁴⁵Ca²⁺-loaded Xenopus oocytes

The Figure shows a typical experiment performed with three groups of ${}^{45}Ca^{2+}$ -loaded oocytes. Oocytes from two groups (a and b) were injected with 50 nl of water. Oocytes from the third group (c) were injected with 50 ng of liver mRNA in 50 nl of aqueous solution. ${}^{45}Ca^{2+}$ outflux during successive 5 min periods was determined. Note that in all three groups basal ${}^{45}Ca^{2+}$ outflux during the first five successive 5 min periods remained stable or slightly decreased. Application of 100 nM-A II for 5 min induced a rapid and transient increase in ${}^{45}Ca^{2+}$ outflux by liver mRNA-injected oocytes (c), but not by water-injected oocytes (a). Acetylcholine (1 mM) induced a rapid and transient increase in ${}^{45}Ca^{2+}$ outflux by both water-injected (b) and liver mRNA-injected oocytes (c).



Fig. 2. Effect of increasing the amount of injected mRNA on the magnitude of A II-induced increase in ⁴⁵Ca²⁺ outflux

Groups of ten oocytes were injected with the indicated amounts of liver mRNA (0–25.2 ng), ${}^{45}Ca^{2+}$ -loaded, and tested for their responsiveness to a maximal concentration of A II. The experimental design was similar to that shown in Fig. 1. The magnitude of the response to A II stimulation was measured as the increase over basal value of ${}^{45}Ca^{2+}$ outflux after the 5 min period exposure to A II. The Figure shows the results of one experiment representative of the three experiments performed.

increase in Ca²⁺ outflux. Subsequent stimulation by acetylcholine also induced an increase in Ca2+ outflux. Water-injected oocytes were insensitive to the addition of A II (100 nm), but responded to acetylcholine (1 mM). The magnitude and duration of acetylcholine-induced transient increase in Ca2+ outflux were comparable with those of the A II-induced response of liver mRNAinjected oocytes. The residual ⁴⁵Ca²⁺ oocyte content determined at the end of the experiment represented about 10 times the cumulative release which occurred during the entire experiment. It therefore appears that the size of the intracellular ${}^{45}Ca^{2+}$ pool was not limiting for the observed A II- or acetylcholine-induced ⁴⁵Ca²⁺ release. The magnitude of the responses to A II increased with the amount of injected liver mRNA (Fig. 2) over a fairly narrow range (between 0 and 5 ng). Increasing the amount of injected mRNA from 5 to 25 ng (experiment shown) and up to 50 ng (not shown) did not lead to a further increase in the magnitude or duration of the response to a maximal (100 nm) dose of A II. All further experiments were performed with oocytes injected with 25-50 ng of mRNA, i.e. in a situation where one can reasonably assume that the magnitude of the response to maximal doses of A II or related molecules would reflect the intrinsic properties (full or partial agonistic properties) of these molecules rather than differences in the level of receptor expression. Note that water-injected (indicated as 0 ng of mRNA injected in Fig. 2) did not respond to A II (100 nm).

Fig. 3 illustrates the results of six representative experiments in which dose-dependencies for A II- and A III-induced increase in ⁴⁵Ca²⁺ release by oocytes injected with mRNA from liver, adenohypophysis and brain were determined. Groups of ten oocytes were stimulated by A II at concentrations ranging from 1.0 to 1000 nm. Two criteria could be used to quantify the magnitude of A II-induced responses: either the increase over basal level of ⁴⁵Ca²⁺ release during the 5 min period during which A II was applied (see the Materials and methods section) or the cumulative increase of ⁴⁵Ca²⁺ release during the 30 min period after A II application. Whatever the criterion used, doseresponse relationships were similar (results not shown). For the sake of simplicity, increase over basal level of ⁴⁵Ca²⁺ release during the 5 min period of drug application was used as the response index. Curves on the graph were constructed from the parameters deduced from Hill plots of the experimental data;



Fig. 3. Relative potencies of A II and A III acting on receptors expressed from liver, adenohypophyseal and brain mRNA

Groups of ten oocytes were injected with 50, 30 or 25 ng of mRNA from liver, adenohypophysis and brain respectively. The dosedependency for A II- and A III-induced increase in ⁴⁵Ca²⁺ outflux was determined as indicated in the legend to Fig. 2. The increase over basal value of ⁴⁵Ca²⁺ outflux during the 5 min period exposure to A II or A III was used as the response index. This Figure illustrates the results of six representative experiments. Curves on the graph were constructed from parameters deduced from fitted Hill plots of the experimental curves. The h (Hill coefficient) and $A_{\rm max}$, values were adjusted to those giving the lowest sum-of-square deviations from theoretical values. The quality of the fit can be evaluated from the r values shown on the graphs. Mean results from either three (adenohypophysis and brain) or five series (liver) of experiments similar to those shown are as follows. The K_{act} values (nM, mean \pm s.D.) for A II-induced Ca²⁺ release mediated by receptors were 8 ± 5 , 4.8 ± 0.5 and 83 ± 19 respectively. The corresponding $K_{act.}$ (nM; mean ± s.D.) values for A III-induced release expressed from liver, adenohypophyseal and brain mRNA were 44.6 ± 6 , 58 ± 26 and 50 ± 8 respectively.

 $K_{\text{act.}}$ values could be deduced from these data (legend to Fig. 3). Mean results derived from three series of similar experiments are given in the legend to Fig. 3. These results show that dose-response relationships could indeed be determined in a fairly

Table 1. K_d values for binding of A II and related peptides to rat liver and adenohypophyseal membranes

 $K_{\rm d}$ values for the indicated unlabelled peptides were deduced from competition experiments using ¹²⁵I-[Sar,Phe]A II (0.15–0.25 nM) as the labelled ligand. Experimental data were fitted by means of the following linear relationship:

$$\log[(B_0/B) - 1]\{([L^*]K_d^*) + 1\} = \log[I] - \log[K_d]$$

in which B_0 and B are the amounts of labelled ligand bound in the absence or presence of the competing ligand (I) respectively; [L*] is the concentration of labelled ligand, and K_a^* is the corresponding dissociation constant. K_a^* values (ranging from 0.25 to 0.4 nM) were deduced from parallel determinations of dose-dependent ¹²⁵I-[Sar¹]-A II binding. Values in the Table are means \pm s.D. of three independent determinations.

Competing ligand	$K_{\rm d}$ (nm) for binding to:		
	Liver membranes	Adenohypophyseal membranes	
AI	380±115	290 ± 75	
A II	2.4 ± 0.8	0.76 ± 0.2	
A III	7.0 ± 1.3	12.5 ± 7.5	
Saralasin	1.0 ± 0.5	3.2 ± 0.6	

Table 2. Effects of A I and Saralasin on ⁴⁵Ca²⁺ outflux from liver-, adenohypophyseal- or brain-mRNA-injected oocytes

A series of experiments similar to those shown in Figs. 1 and 3 were performed using oocytes injected with liver, adenohypophyseal or brain mRNA. The responses (increase over basal value of ${}^{45}Ca^{2+}$ outflux during the 5 min drug application period; see the Materials and methods section) to increasing concentrations of A I or Saralasin were determined. In all series the effects of the indicated concentrations of A I or Saralasin were determined on homogeneous batches of oocytes. Each series included the determination of the response to a maximal dose of A II (100 nm). Responses to A I or Saralasin are expressed as a percentage of the A II-induced response.

Agent tested	Concn. (µм)	Receptor origin	Response (increase over basal)		
			Liver	Adenohypophysis	Brain
AI	0.01		3.0	3.8	1.1
	0.1		3.0	4.9	1.8
	1.0		6.8	6.5	5.9
	10.0		12.4	9.1	16.7
Saralasin	0.01		6.0	8.4	6.5
	0.1		9.6	6.8	9.1
	1.0		64.0	54.2	38.7

reproducible manner. Receptors expressed from liver and adenohypophyseal mRNA discriminated between A II and A III. $K_{act.}$ values for A III were similar in both cases and about 5 times higher than the corresponding values determined for A II. In contrast, receptors expressed from brain mRNA failed to discriminate between A II and A III, although the $K_{act.}$ value for A III (50 nM) was similar to the corresponding values determined for receptors expressed from liver (44 nM) and adenohypophyseal (58 nM) mRNA. K_d values for the binding of A II and A III to liver and adenohypophyseal membranes are given in Table 1. Comparison of these values with the corresponding $K_{act.}$ values given in Fig. 3 (legend) indicate that there is a good correspondence between the relative potencies of A II and A III in eliciting increased ⁴⁵Ca²⁺ release by liver or adenohypophyseal mRNA-injected oocytes and their relative affinities for binding to liver and adenohypophyseal membranes. However, in all cases, the $K_{\text{act.}}$ values were 3-6 times higher than the corresponding K_{d} values.

The effects of A I and saralasin on mRNA-injected oocytes were also tested, as illustrated in Table 2. A I used at concentrations up to 30 times the apparent dissociation constants for binding to liver or adenohypophyseal membranes had only moderate effects on ⁴⁵Ca²⁺ outflux. Responses to 10 μ M-A I represented 9–16% of the maximal A II-induced responses measured during the course of the same experiments. It therefore appears that A I acting on receptors expressed from liver adenohypophyseal or brain membranes mRNA behaved like a partial agonist. Saralasin used at concentrations up to 0.1 μ M, i.e. about 30–100 times the dissociation constants, indicated in Table 1 failed to increase ⁴⁵Ca²⁺ outflux. However, at 1 μ M Saralasin elicited marked responses.

DISCUSSION

We show in the present study that the Xenopus oocyte expressing angiotensin receptors from tissues of different origin does represent a valuable system for evaluating the pharmacological properties of these receptors. First, dose-response relationships to A II and structurally related molecules could be established in a reproducible and fairly precise manner. The response index which was used, namely the increase in Ca²⁺ outflux from groups of injected oocytes, offers the following advantages: (i) it provides a high signal/noise ratio; (ii) it eliminates interferences due to receptor desensitization (oocytes are stimulated only once; and (iii) it limits the influence of individual fluctuations (determinations on groups of oocytes). Secondly, the pharmacological properties of A II receptors from tissue of a given origin appear to be preserved when these receptors are expressed in oocytes from RNA of the same origin. In the case of liver A II receptors, which constitute an apparently homogeneous population of receptors of the B-subtype, we demonstrate a fairly good parallelism between the relative potencies of A I, A II and A III in mediating an increase in ⁴⁵Ca²⁺ outflux in liver mRNA-injected oocytes and the relative affinities of these peptides for the receptors present on rat liver membranes. However Katt values determined on mRNA-injected oocytes were slightly higher than the corresponding K_d values determined on liver or adenohypophyseal membranes. This might reflect differences in the heterotropic interactions between the receptors and other components of the signal-transduction machinery as they operate in the native membranes and the oocyte membrane. The difference between $K_{act.}$ and K_{d} could also reflect the expression of more than one subtype of receptor in the oocvte, but in ratios different from those in the situation in vivo.

The main advantages of exploring the pharmacological properties of A II receptors from tissues of different origin when expressed in *Xenopus* oocytes are to eliminate or attenuate tissuespecific interferences, such as the influence of the membrane environment, differences in post-receptor signal amplification and uncontrolled metabolic conversion of the agent being tested.

An obvious limitation to the use of *Xenopus* oocytes as a pharmacological model might arise in all situations where the populations of receptors and mRNA encoding for these receptors are heterogeneous. From this point of view, the results derived from brain-mRNA-injected oocytes deserve special comment. Our results clearly suggest that, among mRNAs encoding for brain angiotensin receptors, the more abundant (or the more efficiently translated) encodes for a receptor subtype which is different from that of hepatic and adenohypophyseal receptors. The relative low potency of A II (equivalent to that of A III) contrasts with the efficient A II/A III discrimination observed

Expression of angiotensin-receptor subtypes in Xenopus oocytes

with receptors expressed from liver or adenophypophyseal mRNA. Incidently, it is worth noting that our results indirectly support the conclusion derived from several previous studies. namely that in brain A III is at least as potent as A II (see the Introduction). Further studies using selective antagonists of the A and B receptor subtypes will be necessary to identify the non-A II/A III-discriminative subtype(s) of angiotensin receptor which is (are) expressed in brain-mRNA-injected oocytes. Whereas only the A-subtype was detected in bovine cerebellum [7], Chang and colleagues [33] recently showed the presence of the A- and B-subtypes in the brain (thalamus, hypothalamus, and midbrain). It is not clear yet whether the 'A III receptor' [15] present in brain could be identified as the predominant receptor expressed in brain-mRNA-injected oocytes and if this receptor represents the A-subtype. It must be mentioned, however, that Chang & Lotti [34] have shown some remarkable similarities between the properties of A III-binding sites present in rat adrenals [35] and those of angiotensin receptors of the Asubtype.

We are indebted to Dr. J. C. Bonnafous for helpful discussions. This work was supported by the Institut National de la Recherche Médicale and Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique and Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale.

REFERENCES

- 1. Mendelsohn, F. A. O. (1985) J. Hypertens. 3, 307-316
- 2. Lang, R. E., Unger, T., Rascher, W. & Ganden, D. (1983) Handb. Psychopharmacol. 16, 307-361
- 3. Campbell, D. J., Bouhnik, J., Menard, J. & Corvol, P. (1984) Nature London) 308, 206-208
- 4. Stornetta, R. L., Hawelu-Johnson, C. L., Guyenet, P. G. & Lynch, K. R. (1988) Science 242, 1444-1446
- 5. Whitebread, S., Mele, M., Kamber, B. & De Gasparo, M. (1989) Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 163, 284-291
- 6. Chiu, A. T., Herblin, W. F., McCall, D. E., Ardecky, R. J., Carini, D. J., Duncia, J. V., Pease, L. J., Wong, P. C., Wexler, R. R., Johnson, A. L. & Timmermans, B. M. W. M. (1989) Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 165, 196-203
- 7. Bottari, S. P., Taylor, V., Mele, M., Whitebread, S. & De Gasparo, M. (1990) Symposium on Peptidergic Receptors and Peptide Processing as Therapeutic Targets, Nice, April 1990, abstr.
- 8. Chang, R. S. L. & Lotti, V. J. (1989) Pharmacologists 31, 150

Received 21 February 1991/23 April 1991; accepted 2 May 1991

- 9. Dudley, D. T., Panek, R. L., Major, T. C., Lu, G. H., Bruns, R. F., Klinkefus, B. A., Hodges, J. C. & Weishaar, R. E. (1990) Mol. Pharmacol. 38, 370-377
- 10. Bennett, J. P. & Snyder, S. H. (1976) J. Biol. Chem. 251, 7423-7430
- 11. Bennett, J. P. & Snyder, S. H. (1980) Eur. J. Pharmacol. 67, 11-25
- 12. Harding, J. W. & Felix, D. (1987) Brain Res. 410, 130-134
- 13. Abhold, R. H. & Harding, J. W. (1988) J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 245, 171-176
- 14. Harding, J. W., Yoshida, M. S., Dilts, R. P., Woods, T. M. & Wright, J. W. (1986) J. Neurochem. 46, 1292–1297
- 15. Abhold, R. H., Hanesworth, J. M. & Harding, J. W. (1988) J. Neurochem. 50, 831-838
- 16. Meyerhof, W., Morley, S., Schwarz, J. & Richter, D. (1988) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 85, 714-717
- 17. Morley, S. D., Meyerhof, W., Schwartz, J. & Richter, D. (1988) J. Mol. Endocrinol. 1, 77-81
- 18. Oron, Y., Straub, R. E., Trakman, P. & Gershengorn, M. C. (1987) Science 238, 1406-1408
- 19. Cross, D., Cifuentes, F., Huidobro-Toro, J. P., Vio, C. P. & Inestrosa, N. C. (1987) Mol. Brain Res. 2, 268–270 20. Inestrosa, N. C. & Cross, D. (1988) Arch. Biol. Med. Exp. 21,
- 423-428
- 21. Williams, J. A., McChesney, D. J., Calayag, M. C., Lingappa, V. R. & Logdson, C. D. (1988) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 85, 4939-4943
- 22. Cantau, B., Barjon, J. N., Chicot, D., Baskevitch, P. P. & Jard, S. (1990) Am. J. Physiol. 258 (Renal Fluid Electrolyte Physiol. 27), F963-F972
- 23. Fraker, P. J. & Speck, J. C. (1978) Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 80, 849-857
- 24. Chirgwin, J. M., Przybyla, A. E., MacDonald, R. J. & Rutter, W. J. (1979) Biochemistry 18, 5294-5299
- Chomczymski, P. & Sacchi, N. (1987) Anal. Biochem. 162, 156-159 25.
- 26. Aviv, H. & Leder, P. (1972) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 69, 1408-1412
- 27 Sandberg, K., Bor, M., Hong Ji, Marwick, A., Millan, M. A. & Catt, K. J. (1990) Science 249, 298-301
- 28. Lacy, M. P., McIntosh, R. P. & McIntosh, J. E. A. (1989) Biochim. Biophys. Res. Commun. 159, 658-663
- 29 Dascal, N., Landau, E. M. & Lass, Y. (1984) J. Physiol. (London) 352, 551-574
- 30. Neville, D. M., Jr. (1968) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 154, 540-552
- 31. Bonnafous, J. C., Tence, M., Seyer, R., Marie, J., Aumelas, A. & Jard, S. (1988) Biochem. J. 251, 873-880
- 32. Marie, J., Gaillard, R. C., Schonenberg, P., Jard, S. & Bockaert, J. (1985) Endocrinology (Baltimore) 116, 1044-1049
- Chang, R. S. L., Lotti, V. L., Chen, T. B. & Faust, K. A. (1990) 33. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 171, 813-817
- 34. Chang, R. S. L. & Lotti, V. g. (1990) Molecular Pharmacol. 29, 347-351
- 35. Devynck, M. A., Pernollet, M. C., Matthews, P. G., Khosla, M. C., Bumpus, F. & Meyer, P. (1977) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 74, 4029-4032