

Optimal pump excitation frequency for improvement of damage detection by nonlinear vibro acoustic modulation method in a multiple scattering sample

Nesrine Houhat, Vincent Tournat, Sébastien Ménigot, Tarek Boutkedjirt, Jean

Marc Girault

▶ To cite this version:

Nesrine Houhat, Vincent Tournat, Sébastien Ménigot, Tarek Boutkedjirt, Jean Marc Girault. Optimal pump excitation frequency for improvement of damage detection by nonlinear vibro acoustic modulation method in a multiple scattering sample. Applied Acoustics, 2019, 155, pp.222-231. 10.1016/j.apacoust.2019.06.010. hal-02155298

HAL Id: hal-02155298 https://hal.science/hal-02155298

Submitted on 13 Jun 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Optimal pump excitation frequency for improvement of damage detection by nonlinear vibro acoustic modulation method in a multiple scattering sample

Nesrine Houhat^{*}

Research Center in Industrial Technologies CRTI, P. O. Box 64, Chéraga 16014, Algiers, Algeria, Faculty of Physics, University of Sciences and Technology Houari Boumediene, USTHB, BP 32, El-Alia, DZ-16111 Algiers, Algeria,

n.houhat@crti.dz

Vincent Tournat

LAUM, CNRS UMR 6613, Le Mans Université, Le Mans, France vincent.tournat@univ-lemans.fr

Sébastien Ménigot

ESEO Group, Angers, France, LAUM, CNRS UMR 6613, Le Mans Université, Le Mans, France, sebastien.menigot@eseo.fr

Tarek Boutkedjirt

Faculty of Physics, University of Sciences and Technology Houari Boumediene, USTHB, BP 32, El-Alia, DZ-16111 Algiers, Algeria, tboutekdjirt@usthb.dz

Jean- Marc Girault

ESEO Group, Angers, France, LAUM, CNRS UMR 6613, Le Mans Université, Le Mans, France, jean-marc.girault@eseo.fr

Abstract

Preprint submitted to Elsevier

June 14, 2019

^{*}Corresponding author. Email address: houhat.nesrine@yahoo.fr (Nesrine Houhat)

We present a method to systematically optimize nonlinear damage detection in multiple scattering media by the nonlinear Vibro-Acoustic Modulation (VAM) technique. The latter consists here of exciting a medium simultaneously with a high frequency ultrasonic sinusoidal burst and with a low frequency continuous sinusoidal wave. Modulation of the high frequency (probe) by the low frequency (pump) is made possible by the presence of nonlinear scatterers, *i.e.* cracks, defects. A signal processing technique consisting of a closed loop system drives the automatic adaptation of the pumping frequency, yielding to the optimization of the nonlinear modulation (NM) of the output probing coda signal without a priori information on the medium and the scatterers. The correlation coefficient between a reference output probe signal without the pumping wave and an output modulated probe signal with a pumping wave was considered as our cost function. A multiple scattering solid beam where nonlinear scatterers can be controllably added or removed is designed and tested. The first step of this study is an empirical search of the correlation coefficient dependency on the pumping frequency to verify the performances of the proposed method. Then the implemented optimization algorithm based on genetic algorithm (GA) is used to find automatically the optimal pumping frequency. The obtained optimization results show a good agreement with the empirical study. Moreover, the genetic algorithm allowed to find the optimal pump frequency adapted to each configuration of nonlinear scatterers. This relatively fast search of the optimal nonlinear response could be extended to nonlinear scatterer imaging applications using the information on the resonant modes spatial shapes together with the associated optimal response.

Keywords: Optimal command, Genetic algorithm, Nonlinear Vibro-Acoustic Modulation, Crack detection.

1 1. Introduction

Nonlinear ultrasonic nondestructive testing has known a great develop-2 ment during the last decades. Numerous studies have shown the possibility to detect damages at earlier stages than which linear ultrasonic methods. 4 The integrity of the structure can be easily preserved by an earlier mainte-5 nance. The presence of a nonlinear defect, such as a crack or a delamination, 6 can give rise to nonlinear phenomena, among which are the generation of higher harmonics, subharmonics, wave modulation or resonance frequency 8 shift. Several methods based on the detection of these phenomena have been 9 proposed [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7]. One of the most widely studied methods is the 10 Vibro-Acoustic Modulation (VAM) [8, 9] or the Nonlinear Wave Modulation 11 Spectroscopy (NWMS) [10], which belongs to the class of nonlinear modu-12 lation or nonlinear mixing methods. With the VAM, micro-damage can be 13 detected by following the amplitude modulation induced on a probe signal 14 (e.q. high frequency ultrasound) by a pump signal (e.q. low frequency vibra-15 tion). Nonlinear modulation techniques have been widely used on samples 16 with simple geometries in which coherent waves propagate [11, 12]. How-17 ever, the use of these methods has been poorly studied in environments with 18 complex geometries, which lead to multiple scattering of the waves. Among 19 the few reported results in such case, Zhang et al. have demonstrated that 20 a global inspection of multiple scattering materials is possible by combining 21 the Coda Wave Interferometry (CWI) technique with a nonlinear modulation 22

 $_{23}$ method [13, 14].

Generally, the pump frequency is chosen to correspond to one of the vibra-24 tion modes of the studied sample in order to amplify the vibration response 25 [9, 15, 16, 17, 18]. A preliminary modal analysis is, then, necessary for iden-26 tifying and selecting the resonance frequencies of the sample. It is important 27 to underline that such a procedure requires a further experimental setup and 28 time. Furthermore, a possible drawback of a modal excitation is that the 29 crack or the damaged zone can be located at a strain node of the pump or 30 probe wave, which compromises the generation of the nonlinear modulation. 31 To overcome this problem, a frequency swept pump and/or probe signals 32 have been used [13, 17, 19, 20, 21, 18, 22]. As such, several resonance modes 33 are excited and an averaged effect over a wide frequency range is detected, 34 without low sensitivity zones. Dunn et al. suggest that selecting the res-35 onant frequencies as a pumping frequency is not ideal, because the system 36 nonlinearities are maximum at those frequencies and the resonant peaks shift 37 in frequency with changing amplitude [23]. 38

In order to optimize and to refine the sensitivity of the nonlinear mod-39 ulation method to detect damage, various signal processing techniques have 40 been adopted. These methods make it possible to extract the nonlinear 41 modulation information in the frequency domain [20, 21, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28]. 42 The VAM was also successfully associated with the time reversal technique 43 for localizing and imaging damage in materials [29, 30, 31]. All these post-44 processing techniques aim for improving the crack detection with fixed ex-45 citations, while it has been proved that the effect of excitation parameters, 46 such as the frequency, can improve the VAM sensitivity [18, 22]. Pieczonka et 47

al. have used a swept sine chirp probe excitation and modal frequency pump 48 excitation associated with an advanced signal proceesing technique to find 49 the optimal probe frequency enhancing the VAM sensitivity [17]. Recently, a 50 novel method in which the pump frequency is omitted and the high frequency 51 is amplitude- modulated and contains 3 frequencies that interact in the pres-52 ence of nonlinearity has been reported [32]. However, to our knowledge, no 53 study using VAM has tried to find the optimal excitation that improve the 54 sensitivity of the damage detection automatically by a closed loop system. 55

Previous results using the optimal command principle for nonlinear systems were initiated by Ménigot et al. [33, 34] in medical ultrasound imaging. A first attempt in NDT was applied in [35] then in [36]. The originality of these results is to search for the optimal input excitation parameter/shape without any *a priori* knowledge on the studied system, by using known optimization algorithms. The key point of this method lies in the choice of the cost function which must best write the optimization purpose.

The present study constitutes an extension to the NDT domain, espe-63 cially, to the VAM technique, of the method demonstrated in [33], in which 64 the optimal command was applied to medical ultrasonic imaging. A conven-65 tional VAM system is, then, replaced by a closed loop VAM system permit-66 ting a real time optimization of input excitations parameters. We focused, 67 here, on the pump frequency parameter since the nonlinear modulation inten-68 sity increase with the pump amplitude [18, 37, 38]. The aim of our study is 69 therefore to find automatically the best pumping frequency which maximizes 70 the nonlinear modulation occurring between the pump and the probe signals 71 without a priori information. The proposed method requires no preliminary 72

⁷³ modal analysis and use a simple optimization genetic algorithm. In a first ⁷⁴ time, the cost function must be adapted to the VAM. An empirical search of ⁷⁵ the cost function behavior as a function of the optimization parameter was ⁷⁶ achieved in order to show the relevance of our choices.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follow, in the Section 2, we present our closed loop optimization system for the VAM technique. Section 3 describes the experiment including the studied sample and the setup. The Section 4 is devoted to the experimental results of the empirical optimization, a modal analysis for a comparison purpose, and the genetic algorithm optimization. Finally, a discussion and conclusion are given in Section 5.

⁸³ 2. Closed Loop Pump Frequency Optimization

The proposed method is an optimal command method using a closed loop, 84 in order to optimize the VAM sensitivity of crack detection. Indeed, it makes 85 it possible to find the pump frequency which optimizes the nonlinear mod-86 ulation effects. The cost function and its parameters need to be adequately 87 chosen. Here, only an iterative optimization procedure is implemented. The 88 conventional open loop system is replaced by a closed loop system in which 89 the transmitted pump frequency is modified by adding a feedback, ensuring 90 the optimization of the cost function. The closed loop system of the VAM 91 optimization is described in Fig. 1. 92

93 2.1. Cost function

As mentioned above, the goal of our study is to find automatically the best pump frequency f_p^* , which maximizes the Nonlinear modulation (NM)



Figure 1: Bloc diagram of closed loop optimization of pump frequency for the VAM technique. The same probe excitation signal $x_s(t)$ is transmitted to the sample without the pumping excitation. The received reference probe coda signal $y_{r,k}(t)$ is recorded for a next use(switch position 1). In a second time (switch position 2), a pumping excitation $x_{p,k}(t)$ at a frequency $f_{p,k}$ is transmitted simultaneously with the probe excitation to the medium. The modulated coda probe signal $y_{m,k}(t)$ is also recorded. The added feedback consists of evaluating and minimizing the correlation coefficient ρ between $y_{r,k}(t)$ and $y_{m,k}(t)$. The optimization algorithm allowed to find a new pumping frequency $f_{p,k+1}$.

effects. Usually, to quantify the resulting NM effects in the context of multiple scattering media, the Coda Wave Interferometry (CWI) in the time domain is used [13, 14, 39, 40]. We have chosen to proceed similarly, and extract the nonlinear modulation information in the time domain as it seems to be more accessible. Indeed, the frequency response is very complex in multiple scattering media, and the modulation sidebands are difficult to see (see section 3.3).

The correlation coefficient ρ between the received probe coda signal with-103 out pumping and with the pump excitation constitutes our cost function. 104 With the presence of a nonlinear scatterer in the sample, the probe signal is 105 expected to be modulated by the pump excitation which induces to a decor-106 relation between the two signals. A correlation coefficient equal to unity 107 means that there is no influence of the pump on the probe wave, *i.e.*, no non-108 linear modulation effect in the sample. On the contrary, for the same pump 109 amplitude value, a deviation of ρ from 1 indicates the presence of nonlinear 110 damage leading to a nonlinear modulation effect. In a theoretical point of 111 view, the problem consists in calculating: 112

$$f_p^* = \arg\min_{f_p}(\rho(f_p)). \tag{1}$$

During the optimization process, for each iteration k, the same probe 113 excitation signal $x_s(t)$ is transmitted to the sample without the pumping 114 signal (see Fig.1 switch position 1), and the received reference probe coda 115 signal $y_{r,k}(t)$ is recorded for a next use. In a second time (switch position 2), a 116 pump excitation $x_{p,k}(t)$ at a frequency $f_{p,k}$ is transmitted simultaneously with 117 the probe excitation to the medium. The modulated coda probe signal $y_{m,k}(t)$ 118 is also recorded. The added feedback consists in evaluating and optimizing 119 a cost function, which is, in our case, the correlation coefficient between 120 the two recorded received signals $\rho(y_{r,k},(t), y_{m,k}(t))$ within the time interval 121

122 $[t_1, t_2]$ such as:

$$\rho\left(y_{r,k}(t), y_{m,k}(t)\right) = \frac{\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left(y_{r,k}(t) - \overline{y}_{r,k}(t)\right) \left(y_{m,k}(t) - \overline{y}_{m,k}(t)\right) \,\mathrm{d}t}{\sqrt{\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left(y_{r,k}(t) - \overline{y}_{r,k}(t)\right)^2 \,\mathrm{d}t \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left(y_{m,k}(t) - \overline{y}_{m,k}(t)\right)^2 \,\mathrm{d}t}} \quad (2)$$

where $\overline{y}_{r,k}(t)$ is the average of the reference signal within the interval $[t_1, t_2]$, 123 and $\overline{y}_{m,k}(t)$ that of the modulated signal. This coefficient quantifies the re-124 semblance between the reference probe signal and the modulated probe signal 125 by the pump signal. The higher the nonlinear modulation between the probe 126 and the pump signals is, the lower the value of the correlation coefficient 127 is. An optimization algorithm is required to find a new pumping frequency 128 $f_{p,k+1}$, at the iteration k+1, which maximizes the NM effect and therefore 129 minimizes the correlation coefficient. The frequency is then modified and 130 all the process described above is reiterated until the algorithm converges 131 toward the best solution. In our study, the genetic algorithm was applied. 132

133 2.2. Genetic Algorithm

To find iteratively the pumping frequency giving the global minimum of 134 the correlation coefficient, the genetic algorithm is used [41]. It is a search 135 optimization technique based on the principles of genetics and natural se-136 lection. The genetic algorithm allows a population composed of a set of 137 pumping frequencies to evolve under specified selection rules to a state that 138 maximizes the "fitness" (*i.e.*, minimizes the correlation coefficient)[34, 42]. 139 The first step (called generation 1) consists of choosing randomly N pumping 140 frequencies from a uniform distribution on a given frequencies interval. In 141 our case, we have chosen $10\text{Hz} \le f_p \le 900\text{Hz}$. This choice is directly related 142

to the empirical optimization for a comparison purpose. Indeed, a largest initial population could been chosen. The correlation coefficient is evaluated for each pumping frequency value and sorted in descending order. To prepare the next step, the N/2 best pumping frequencies that minimize the correlation coefficient are kept for the next generation k+1 and become parents. N/2 new pumping frequencies named offspring are generated following the expressions [41, 42]:

$$offspring1 = f_{pm} - \beta [f_{pm} - f_{pd}]$$

$$offspring2 = f_{pm} + \beta [f_{pm} - f_{pd}]$$
(3)

where β is a random value between 0 and 1. the subscripts m and d discrim-150 inates between the *mum* and the *dad* pumping frequencies. A percentage 151 of the samples is mutated to obtain a robust optimization. There are some 152 algorithm parameters that must be chosen such the population size N and 153 the mutation rate R. In our case, N = 12 and the mutation rate R = 40% [34]. 154 Finally, after cost function evaluation, the pumping frequency with the lower 155 correlation coefficient is the best solution of generation k+1. The genetic 156 algorithm is adapted to global optimization problem; it means that it will be 157 able to find the global minimum even if the function presents local minima. 158 The GA is good for at identifying promising area of the search space but less 159 efficient at fine-tuning the approximation to the minimum [43]. 160

¹⁶¹ 3. Material

¹⁶² 3.1. Sample description

An aluminium bar (600 mm ×15 mm× 3mm), with density ρ =2700 kg/m³, Poisson ratio σ =0.33, and Young modulus E=69 GPa [44], is used as

the specimen for our experiments. The probe wave propagation velocity in 165 the bulk of the bar is estimated to 4838 m/s. 10 tapped holes with a 4 mm 166 diameter and localized at distances of 10 mm, 30 mm, 50 mm, 100 mm and 167 200 mm from each sides of the bar center are drilled (see Fig. 2 from S1 to S10 168 from left to right). Identical screws (m=4.42 g) can be placed in the tapped 169 holes to mimic nonlinear solid contacts (cracks) such as in [45, 39, 40, 46]. 170 These holes constitute linear scatterers when no screw is present. According 171 to the number of nonlinear scatterers on the bar, different levels of "effective" 172 damage can be obtained. 173

In the present study, three configurations have been more particularly 174 studied: the first one (Config 1) corresponds to the case where no screw is 175 placed in the sample bar; the reference. Nevertheless, one fixation screw is 176 placed in the middle to insure the link between the shaker and the bar (S0 177 in Fig. 2). Configuration 2 (Config 2) corresponds to the case where only 178 2 screws are positioned at S2 and S9, and a third configuration (Config 3) 179 is the case where all screws are placed (at S1, S2, S3, S4, S5, S6, S7, S8, 180 S9, and S10). Note that the nonlinearity level in the sample depends on the 181 screw number and the nuts tightening. 182

183 3.2. Experimental Setup

The closed loop pump frequency optimization process requires the experimental setup depicted in Fig. 3. Two broadband piezoelectric transducers with 250kHz central frequencies for transmitting and receiving the probe signal are glued to the ends of the sample. For the transmission of the probe signal, a 100 mVpp sinusoidal burst of 3 periods of 250 kHz frequency, repeated every 20 ms, was emitted by a function generator (AFG3022, Tektronix,



Figure 2: Specimen schemes of the three configurations. One Aluminium bar (600 mm $\times 15 \text{ mm} \times 3\text{mm}$) containing 10 tapped holes. Config 1: no screws are placed. Config 2: 2 screws are placed at S2 and S9. Config 3: all the screws are placed on the bar.

Beaverton, Oregon, USA) and amplified to 60 dB (100Vpp) by a power am-190 plifier (Type 2713, Bruël & Kjær, Nærum, Danemark). Simultaneously, a 191 lower frequency continuous sine pump signal is generated by the computer-192 controlled function generator (Tektronix, AFG3021C, Beaverton, Oregon, 193 USA) to change the excitation frequency during the closed-loop optimiza-194 tion process. The pump signal is amplified by a power amplifier (PA100E, 195 Bruël & Kjær, Nærum, Danemark), and transmitted to the shaker (LDS 196 V406, Bruël & Kjær, Nærum, Danemark) which is connected to the center 197 of the sample by a screw. The coda probe signal is detected by the receiving 198 transducer and amplified by a preamplifier (Ciprian, Saint ISMIER, France), 199 then, transmitted to an oscilloscope (LT 264ML, Lecroy, Chestnut Ridge, 200 NY, USA). In order to improve the signal to noise ratio, an average of 300 201 successive acquisitions is carried out, and a coda averaged signal is recorded. 202 Each measurement lasts about 10s. Both the function generator and the 203 oscilloscope are controlled by MATLAB (Mathworks, Natick, MA, USA). 204

The pump signal is desynchronized from the probe so that the nonlinear effects are correctly distributed over the successive acquisitions and averaged over the acquired signal. By comparison, An experimental modal analysis



Figure 3: Experimental setup for the closed loop Vibro-Acoustic Modulation (VAM) technique.

207

is achieved to identify the frequencies of the first structural resonances of the sample. For this purpose, a frequency swept sine signal from 5Hz to 1000Hz is generated using a signal analyzer (SR785, Stanford Research Systems Inc., Sunnyvale, CA, USA). The signal is amplified by a PA100E power amplifier ,then, transmitted to a LDS406 shaker. The data are acquired by an accelerometer (352C23, PCB Piezotronics Inc, Depew, NY, USA) then transmitted to the signal analyzer. Both the input excitation and the output response are used to obtain the averaged Frequency Response Function
(FRF) of the sample. The excitation and the acquisition are controlled by a
GPIB connection via MATLAB.

218 3.3. Nonlinear modulation effects on the probe coda signal

In order to show the NM effects on the temporal coda signal, two con-219 figurations are considered. The first one corresponds to the case without 220 screws (Config 1), and the second one corresponds to the Config 2. The 221 pump frequency is 150 Hz. Fig. 4 shows the received probe coda signal from 222 the Config 1 (Fig. 4a) and Config 2 (Fig. 4d). For each case, early and late 223 temporal windows are shown with and without the presence of the pump 224 excitation (Figs. 4b, c and e, f). The pump presence causes no detectable 225 change on the probe coda signal obtained from the intact sample, for early 226 and late windows: there is no nonlinear modulation of the probe by the pump 227 with a correlation coefficient of 1. On the contrary, for the damaged sample, 228 it can easily be noted that the two coda signals recorded with and without 229 the pumping wave are different for the late window t=[1,1.05] ms (Fig. 4f), 230 with a correlation coefficient $\rho = 0.976$. It indicates that a NM has occurred 231 between the probe and the pump waves. Moreover, the nonlinear effects are 232 more visible in the late window because, at this time, the wave has crossed 233 the medium and interacted with the nonlinear scatterers several times. From 234 previous implementations of the nonlinear modulation of a coda wave by a 235 pump wave in a multiple scattering medium [13, 14, 46], the decorrelation is 236 either due to a localized velocity variation, to an amplitude- dependent dissi-237 pation effect or to an amplitude-dependent scattering effect. By introducing 238

internal solid contacts in the medium, between the screws and the holes, 239 amplitude- dependent clapping, tapping and frictional effects are expected. 240 These results consolidate our choice of quantifying the nonlinear modulation 241 effects by calculating the correlation coefficient between the probe coda sig-242 nals obtained with and without the presence of the pump excitation, in a 243 time interval between $t_1=1$ ms and $t_2=1.2$ ms. It is important to notice that 244 the choice of the central time and the width of the temporal window in which 245 the correlation coefficient is estimated, obeys to some constraints [47]. The 246 characteristic scattering time t* is estimated according to the method pro-247 posed in [48], and is find to be around 3 μ s for our specimen. The starting 248 time of our window $t_1=1$ ms satisfies the condition $t_1 \gg t^*$. This ensures a 249 global inspection of the entire studied sample. Moreover the time interval 250 width is set to 200 μ s, such as it includes enough signal periods (50 periods 251 of the probe signal at 250 kHz). The choice of the window position is also 252 limited by the signal quality. Indeed, the more t is increased, the more the 253 signal to noise ratio is degraded and the higher the uncertainty on the result 254 is. 255

In parallel, we illustrate in Fig. 5, the frequency spectra (right) of the 256 coda probe signals (left), obtained for Config 2, with and without the presence 257 of the pump wave excitation at $f_p=150$ Hz. The selected time window is $\Delta t=$ 258 [1,1.2]ms. We can notice that both frequency spectra are centered on 250 259 kHz, and include peaks due to the multiple scattered probe signal. The 260 modulation sidebands are difficult to see in this case, and the only difference 261 between the two spectra is an amplitude variation and a slight frequency 262 shift. Moreover, the frequency resolution is not sufficient to observe the 263



Figure 4: Experimental temporal coda probe signals obtained from the Config 1 (without screws): (a), (b) and (c), and for the Config 2 (with screws): (d), (e) and (f), with and without the pump excitation. The pump excitation is a continuous sine signal at a resonance frequency $f_p=150$ Hz. (b) and (e) are captures of the coda signals (a) and (d), respectively, during an early time interval between [0.2, 0.25] ms. (c) and (f) are captures of (a) and (d), respectively, during a late interval between [1, 1.05] ms.

modulation sidebands when $f_{pump} \ll f_{probe}$. Therefore, we choose to extract to modulation effects information in the time domain.

During all this study, it should be noticed that the decorrelation values are not observed higher than 2.5%. We recall, here, that we want to test our approach in a rather unfavorable configuration, *i.e.*, when the variation of



Figure 5: (left) Experimental probe coda signals obtained from the Config 2, with and without the presence of the pump. The pump excitation is a continuous sine wave at 150Hz. The coda signal is filtered with a hanning window for a duration $\Delta t = [1,1.2]$ ms. (right) The frequency spectra of the coda signals.

the correlation coefficient is small. Indeed, a stronger nut tightening and ahigher pump amplitude would have given higher levels of decorrelation.

271 4. Experimental Results

In this section, the main experimental results are presented. First an experimental modal analysis is performed in order to obtain the resonance modes of the sample for a comparison purpose. Then, an empirical research of the correlation coefficient ρ behavior *versus* the pump frequency is presented and compared to the modal analysis results. Finally, a closed loop optimization of the correlation coefficient by the genetic algorithm is performed and presented.

279 4.1. Empirical optimization: Correlation coefficient dependency on the pump 280 frequency

The frequency response function (FRF) is obtained using the experimen-281 tal input and output data obtained from the experiment described in the 282 section 3.2. This preliminary experiment allows identifying the frequency 283 resonance modes of the sample in the Configs 2 and 3. Figs. 6a and 6c 284 show the FRFs obtained for Configs 2 and 3, respectively, in a frequency 285 range from 5Hz to 900 Hz. We can see that the magnitude of the resonance 286 peaks and the corresponding frequencies are different for the two configura-287 tions. The results show that there is a shift in the natural frequencies and 288 an overall change in the frequency response due to the effect of the added 289 screws. 290

The first performed experiment is to check the existence of global or lo-291 cal minima for the correlation coefficient *versus* the pump frequency. This 292 step is called "empirical optimization". For time saving, a pump frequency 293 sine sweeping from 10 Hz to 900 Hz with a step of 10 Hz is achieved. This 294 allows a global view of the correlation coefficient dependency on the pump 295 frequency. For this first pass, the prominent observation is the presence of 296 local minima peaks localized at specific vibration frequencies corresponding 297 well to the resonances of the bar, and $\rho \simeq 1$ elsewhere. To refine the results 298 and to get a better accuracy, a second sweeping was achieved with a finer 299 frequency step of 1 Hz, over the frequency regions where ρ deviates from 300 1 (Figs. 6b and 6d). The procedure cited in the section 2.1 is adopted to 301 calculate the correlation coefficient for each pump frequency. As illustrated 302 in Fig. 6, the pump frequencies that give minima peaks of ρ coincide well 303

with the resonance frequencies of the sample for the Configs 2 and 3. This 304 confirms the expected effects that at a resonance frequency of the sample, 305 the vibration amplitude is naturally amplified by constructive interferences, 306 leading to a more efficient nonlinear modulation effect. Also, we can note a 307 frequency shift to the lower frequencies with the increase of the number of 308 screws in the plate, as it can be seen in Figs. 6b and 6d. Additionally, we 309 can see clearly that for the same resonance mode, the correlation coefficient 310 values vary from one configuration to another. In fact, the second minimum 311 peak corresponding to $f_p=150$ Hz is the most important for the Config 2; 312 $\rho = 0.975$ (Fig. 6b) while $\rho = 0.981$ at $f_p = 144$ Hz for the Config 3 (Fig. 6d). 313 We can also notice the third ρ peak is very close to 1 ($\rho=0.998$) for the 314 Config 2 (Fig. 6b) and equal to 0.986 for the Config 3. These observations 315 confirm the well-known fact that in the pump resonance configuration, the 316 NM efficiency on the probe wave by the pump wave depends on the pump-317 ing frequency/mode and on the exact position of the nonlinear scatterers. 318 Based on the obtained curves, it can be stated that for each configuration, it 310 exists an optimal pump frequency that maximizes the nonlinear modulation 320 effects and, thus, the damage detection sensitivity. This optimal frequency 321 corresponds to the frequency giving the lowest minimum of ρ . The empiri-322 cal experiment also shows that the correlation coefficient is a good indicator 323 to evaluate the nonlinear wave mixing in the sample. For an easier com-324 parison of results obtained from Fig. 6, table 1 summarizes the correlation 325 coefficient minima peaks values and the corresponding pumping frequencies 326 obtained from the empirical optimization (EO) and those obtained from the 327 FRF for both configurations. 328



Figure 6: Comparison between the resonance frequencies obtained from the experimental FRF of the Config 2 (a) and the Config 3 (c). Experimental results of correlation coefficient *versus* pump frequency at the sample resonance frequencies areas for the two sample configurations: b) Config 2 and d) Config 3. The FRF peaks coincide with the minimum peaks of the correlation coefficient *versus* pumping frequency.

329 4.2. Optimal pumping frequency excitation

Fig. 7 shows the results of the pump frequency optimization for the Config 2 and Fig. 8 shows those obtained for the Config 3 when using the genetic algorithm optimization. For more clarity, the result of the empirical optimization is represented in Figs. 7a and 8a with a zoom on the GA covergence area. The initial population was included in a frequency range between 10 Hz

	Config 2			Config 3		
Modes	ρ_{EO}	$f_{p,EO}$ (Hz)	$f_{p,FRF}$ (Hz)	ρ_{EO}	$f_{p,EO}$ (Hz)	$f_{p,FRF}(\mathbf{Hz})$
1^{st} Mode	0.981	23	22.1	0.979	22	22.1
2^{nd} Mode	0.975	150	148.7	0.981	144	145.7
3^{rd} Mode	0.998	400	401.0	0.986	361	365.8
4^{th} Mode	0.991	862	861.3	0.984	770	773.9

Table 1: The correlation coefficient ρ and the f_p values corresponding to the minimum peaks obtained from the empirical optimization (EO) and the resonance frequencies obtained from the Frequency Response Function (FRF) for Config 2 and 3.

and 900 Hz, with a population size of 6 for the Config 2 and 12 for the Config 335 3. We can see that in both cases, the optimal pump frequency is reached 336 after 4 generations for the Config 2 with an optimal pump frequency of 153.2 337 Hz and $\rho=0.991$ (Figs. 7b and 7c), and after 7 generations for the Config 3 338 with $f_p=22.17$ Hz and $\rho=0.971$ (Figs. 8b and 8c). As it is illustrated in Figs. 339 7a and 8a the obtained results are quite similar with those obtained by the 340 empirical optimization. For Config 2, the optimal pump frequency is reached 341 by the genetic algorithm with a slight discrepancy in the pump frequency and 342 the corresponding correlation coefficient. Indeed, we can see in Fig. 7a, that 343 the GA converges nearly to the global minimum at $f_p=153.2$ Hz, in a pump 344 frequency range between 10 and 900 Hz, including four (4) local minima of 345 the cost function, but the accurate value of this minimum, at $f_p=150$ Hz, is 346 not reached. We suppose that a greater number of iterations should improve 347 this result. Moreover, it is important to notice that for the empirical search, 348 the step between two pump frequencies is chosen empirically, this may be 349



Figure 7: Genetic algorithm (GA) optimization results for the Config 2: (a) Empirical optimization and GA iteration numbers (red circles), a zoom on the GA convergence area is illustrated. (b) The correlation coefficient ρ versus generations. (c) The corresponding pump frequency versus generations. The GA converges to $f_{p,opt}=153.2$ Hz after the 4th generation.

the origin of the discrepancy observed between the empirical and the automatic optimization. For the Config 3, the optimal pump frequency is nearly reached. For both cases, the pump frequency converges while ρ remains variable for the same value of the pump frequency. This can be clearly seen in Figs. 8b and 8c where the pump frequency converges to $f_p=22.17$ Hz, and the corresponding ρ still varies slightly. This observation is directly due to



Figure 8: Genetic algorithm (GA) optimization results for the Config 3: (a) Empirical optimization and GA iteration numbers (red circles), a zoom on the GA convergence area is illustrated. (b) The correlation coefficient ρ versus generations. (c) The corresponding pump frequency versus generations. The GA converges to $f_{p,opt}=22.17$ Hz after the 7th generation.

the experimental conditions since the coda signal is very sensible to small temperature changes. Moreover, the correlation coefficient value reaches a lower value than that obtained by the empirical optimization (Fig. 8a). It is important to mention that the empirical cost function is not a continuous function, and a measurement is made with a pump frequency step of 1Hz. We think, that a finer pump frequency step between two successive cost function

	Config 3		Config 2	
	ρ	$f_{p,opt}$ (Hz)	ρ	$f_{p,opt}$ (Hz)
Genetic Algorithm	0.971	22.17	0.991	153.2
Empirical optimization	0.979	22.00	0.975	150.0

Table 2: Experimental optimization results of the genetic algorithm covergence and the empirical values of the global minimum obtained by the empirical search, for the Config 2 and 3.

measurements should give a better concordance between the empirical and 362 the automatic search by the GA. Another possible explanation is that for 363 the empirical optimization, one measurement of the cost function has been 364 made for each pump frequency value between 10-900Hz, while for the GA 365 optimization, and after convergence, several measurements have been made 366 at the same optimal pump frequency (resonance frequency). In fact, exciting 367 a sample at its resonance frequency during a long time (conditioning), could 368 gives rise to a greater decorrelation between the reference coda signal and 369 the modulated coda signal. Indeed, for the modal excitation, the vibration 370 response is amplified such as the medium does not reach the equilibrium and 371 the reference signal is still affected by the previous iterations. This may be 372 linked to "slow dynamics" effects [49]. 373

We can also note that the algorithm convergence is faster when the population size is lower. The strong point of our approach is that the algorithm converged to the global minimum of the correlation coefficient without *a priori* information. For more clarity, table 2 summarizes the results obtained by both the empirical and the GA optimization.

379 5. Discussion and Conclusion

In this work, a widely studied nonlinear ultrasonic nondestructive testing 380 method has been combined with the optimal command method: an open loop 381 Vibro Acoustic Modulation system has been enriched with a feedback sys-382 tem. The main idea was to achieve an optimal damage detection by finding 383 automatically the best input pump frequency. This input frequency maxi-384 mizes the nonlinear modulation effects induced by the presence of nonlinear 385 scatterers and, therefore, should increase the sensitivity of the VAM method. 386 The best pump frequency adjusted to each configuration has been success-387 fully found by the feedback method. This method is based, principally, on 388 the good choice of a so called "cost function" and the optimization parame-389 ter. Moreover, the experiment setting is easy and not user dependent. The 390 genetic algorithm was tested on two sample configurations with different lev-391 els of nonlinearity to demonstrate the adaptivity of the proposed method. It 392 is found able to determine automatically the optimal pump frequency over 393 a wide frequency range including 4 resonance modes. Even if the genetic 394 algorithm is not always efficient to find the accurate optimal value, it guar-395 antees to find the global optimum region quite fast since four (4) generations 396 were sufficient in our case. A preliminary empirical search was achieved to 397 check for the behavior of the cost function, the correlation coefficient as a 398 function of the optimization parameter (the pump frequency). The results of 399 this empirical search, requiring a long blind experimental search, have been 400 presented in the form of correlation coefficient *versus* pump frequency. The 401 main outcome is the existence of frequencies, which correspond to some of the 402 resonance frequencies of the sample, giving a maximum of decorrelation (or 403

a minimum correlation). It constitutes a first suitable result which confirms 404 our hypothesis of choosing the correlation coefficient of the probe coda sig-405 nal, with and without pumping to quantify the NM effects, and the pumping 406 frequency as an optimization parameter. The quantitative variation of ρ with 407 the pump resonance frequencies, for the different configurations is related to 408 the modal vibration shapes and the associated strain amplitude changes from 409 a resonance mode to another, at the location of the nonlinear scatterers. For 410 different arrangements of nonlinear scatterers, we observe differences in the 411 values of the correlation coefficient, because a given resonant mode does not 412 necessarily excites differently located nonlinear scatterers with the same effi-413 ciency. Similarly, for a given medium configuration, but when comparing two 414 different resonant modes, the nodes and anti-nodes positions are not located 415 identically, and they do not excite with the same efficiency a given nonlinear 416 scatterer. As such, using a single pump frequency leads to the apparition of 417 blind zones for the VAM, e.g. when a single scatterer is at a strain node. For 418 this reason, a preliminary modal analysis to determine a resonance modes of 410 the studied medium seems to be not sufficient to ensure an increase of the 420 VAM sensitivity detection. 421

The proposed method, in a resonance configuration, ensures to avoid the low sensitivity regions associated with the strain nodes, which could compromise the nonlinear scatterer detection. Moreover, for a fixed pump amplitude, the adjusted pump frequency excitation may bring maximum detection sensitivity for nonlinear damages, which can be very useful to detect early stage damage in materials. Furthermore, the advantage of the automatic optimization is a time gain compared to the empirical optimization which ⁴²⁹ takes about 15 times more then our method.

The optimal command can be extended to other NDT methods by defin-430 ing the right cost functions adapted to the optimization purpose. Also, as 431 a perspective, the genetic algorithm outcomes could be used as the initial-432 ization for a gradient descent algorithm in order to refine the results. In 433 addition, information on the local minima of the correlation coefficient may 434 be relevant for locating the nonlinear scatterers: each resonant mode consti-435 tutes a spatial sensitivity kernel for the method and information stacking for 436 several modes may offer imaging capabilities. 437

438 References

- [1] O. Buck, W. Morris, J. M. Richardson, Acoustic harmonic generation at
 unbonded interfaces and fatigue cracks, Applied Physics Letters 33 (5)
 (1978) 371–373.
- [2] I. Y. Solodov, Ultrasonics of non-linear contacts: propagation, reflection
 and nde-applications, Ultrasonics 36 (1-5) (1998) 383–390.
- [3] P. B. Nagy, Fatigue damage assessment by nonlinear ultrasonic materials
 characterization, Ultrasonics 36 (1-5) (1998) 375–381.
- [4] Y. Zheng, R. G. Maev, I. Y. Solodov, Review/sythèse nonlinear acoustic
 applications for material characterization: a review, Canadian Journal
 of Physics 77 (12) (2000) 927–967.
- [5] K.-A. Van Den Abeele, J. Carmeliet, J. A. Ten Cate, P. A. Johnson, Non linear elastic wave spectroscopy (*NEWS*) techniques to discern material

- damage, part *II*: Single-mode nonlinear resonance acoustic spectroscopy,
 Journal of Research in Nondestructive Evaluation 12 (1) (2000) 31–42.
- [6] V. V. Kazakov, A. Sutin, P. A. Johnson, Sensitive imaging of an elastic nonlinear wave-scattering source in a solid, Applied Physics Letters
 81 (4) (2002) 646–648.
- [7] G. Renaud, S. Callé, M. Defontaine, Remote dynamic acoustoelastic
 testing: Elastic and dissipative acoustic nonlinearities measured under
 hydrostatic tension and compression, Applied Physics Letters 94 (1)
 (2009) 011905.
- [8] D. M. Donskoy, A. M. Sutin, Vibro-acoustic modulation nondestructive evaluation technique, Journal of intelligent material systems and
 structures 9 (9) (1998) 765–771.
- [9] L. Pieczonka, A. Klepka, A. Martowicz, W. J. Staszewski, Nonlinear
 vibroacoustic wave modulations for structural damage detection: an
 overview, Optical Engineering 55 (1) (2015) 011005.
- [10] K.-A. Van Den Abeele, P. A. Johnson, A. Sutin, Nonlinear elastic wave
 spectroscopy (*NEWS*) techniques to discern material damage, part *I*:
 nonlinear wave modulation spectroscopy (*NWMS*), Journal of Research
 in Nondestructive Evaluation 12 (1) (2000) 17–30.
- [11] M. Meo, U. Polimeno, G. Zumpano, Detecting damage in composite
 material using nonlinear elastic wave spectroscopy methods, Applied
 composite materials 15 (3) (2008) 115–126.

- [12] G. Gao, D. Li, D. Shi, J. Dong, X. Shi, F. Teng, Nonlinear acoustic
 characteristics of fatigue microcracks in al alloy plate, JOM 63 (2) (2011)
 77–80.
- 476 [13] Y. Zhang, V. Tournat, O. Abraham, O. Durand, S. Letourneur,
 477 A. Le Duff, B. Lascoup, Nonlinear mixing of ultrasonic coda waves with
 478 lower frequency-swept pump waves for a global detection of defects in
 479 multiple scattering media, Journal of Applied Physics 113 (6) (2013)
 480 064905.
- [14] Y. Zhang, V. Tournat, O. Abraham, O. Durand, S. Letourneur,
 A. Le Duff, B. Lascoup, Nonlinear coda wave interferometry for the
 global evaluation of damage levels in complex solids, Ultrasonics 73
 (2017) 245–252.
- [15] H. Hu, W. Staszewski, N. Hu, R. Jenal, G. Qin, Crack detection using nonlinear acoustics and piezoceramic transducers instantaneous amplitude and frequency analysis, Smart materials and structures 19 (6)
 (2010) 065017.
- [16] A. Klepka, W. Staszewski, R. Jenal, M. Szwedo, J. Iwaniec, T. Uhl,
 Nonlinear acoustics for fatigue crack detection-experimental investigations of vibro-acoustic wave modulations, Structural Health Monitoring
 11 (2) (2012) 197-211.
- ⁴⁹³ [17] L. Pieczonka, A. Klepka, M. Adamczyk, W. Staszewski, F. Aymerich,
 ⁴⁹⁴ T. Uhl, Optimal selection of parameters for impact damage detec⁴⁹⁵ tion in composites based on nonlinear vibro-acoustics modulations, in:

- ECCM16 16th European Conference on Composite Materials, Seville,
 Spain, 22-26 June 2014.
- [18] B. Liu, Z. Luo, T. Gang, Influence of low-frequency parameter changes
 on nonlinear vibro-acoustic wave modulations used for crack detection,
 Structural Health Monitoring (2017) 1475921716689385.
- [19] N. C. Yoder, D. E. Adams, Vibro-acoustic modulation utilizing a swept
 probing signal for robust crack detection, Structural Health Monitoring
 9 (3) (2010) 257–267.
- ⁵⁰⁴ [20] H. Sohn, H. J. Lim, M. P. DeSimio, K. Brown, M. Derriso, Nonlinear
 ⁵⁰⁵ ultrasonic wave modulation for online fatigue crack detection, Journal
 ⁵⁰⁶ of Sound and Vibration 333 (5) (2014) 1473–1484.
- ⁵⁰⁷ [21] B. Liu, T. Gang, C. Wan, C. Wang, Z. Luo, Analysis of nonlinear modulation between sound and vibrations in metallic structure and its use for
 ⁵⁰⁹ damage detection, Nondestructive Testing and Evaluation 30 (3) (2015)
 ⁵¹⁰ 277–290.
- ⁵¹¹ [22] K. Dziedziech, L. Pieczonka, M. Adamczyk, A. Klepka, W. J.
 ⁵¹² Staszewski, Efficient swept sine chirp excitation in the non-linear vibroacoustic wave modulation technique used for damage detection, Structural Health Monitoring 17 (3) (2018) 565–576.
- ⁵¹⁵ [23] M. Dunn, A. Carcione, P. Blanloeuil, M. Veidt, Critical aspects of
 ⁵¹⁶ experimental damage detection methodologies using nonlinear vibro⁵¹⁷ ultrasonics, Procedia Engineering 188 (2017) 133–140.

- ⁵¹⁸ [24] C. R. Courtney, B. W. Drinkwater, S. A. Neild, P. D. Wilcox, Factors
 ⁵¹⁹ affecting the ultrasonic intermodulation crack detection technique using
 ⁵²⁰ bispectral analysis, NDT & E International 41 (3) (2008) 223–234.
- ⁵²¹ [25] W. Collis, P. White, J. Hammond, Higher-order spectra: the bispectrum
 and trispectrum, Mechanical systems and signal processing 12 (3) (1998)
 ⁵²³ 375–394.
- ⁵²⁴ [26] J. Jiao, J. Sun, N. Li, G. Song, B. Wu, C. He, Micro-crack detection
 ⁵²⁵ using a collinear wave mixing technique, NDT & E International 62
 ⁵²⁶ (2014) 122–129.
- [27] C. Courtney, S. Neild, P. Wilcox, B. Drinkwater, Application of the bispectrum for detection of small nonlinearities excited sinusoidally, Journal of Sound and Vibration 329 (20) (2010) 4279–4293.
- [28] N. Li, J. Sun, J. Jiao, B. Wu, C. He, Quantitative evaluation of microcracks using nonlinear ultrasonic modulation method, Ndt & E International 79 (2016) 63–72.
- [29] A. Sutin, P. Johnson, Nonlinear elastic wave nde ii. nonlinear wave modulation spectroscopy and nonlinear time reversed acoustics, in: AIP
 Conference Proceedings, Vol. 760, AIP, 2005, pp. 385–392.
- [30] G. Zumpano, M. Meo, A new nonlinear elastic time reversal acoustic
 method for the identification and localisation of stress corrosion cracking
 in welded plate-like structures-a simulation study, International journal
 of solids and structures 44 (11-12) (2007) 3666-3684.

- [31] T. Goursolle, S. Dos Santos, O. B. Matar, S. Calle, Non-linear based
 time reversal acoustic applied to crack detection: Simulations and experiments, International Journal of Non-Linear Mechanics 43 (3) (2008)
 170–177.
- [32] A. Carcione, P. Blanloeuil, L. Rose, C. H. Wang, M. Veidt, Modulated
 high frequency excitation approach to nonlinear ultrasonic ndt, Journal
 of Sound and Vibration 446 (2019) 238–248.
- [33] S. Ménigot, J.-M. Girault, I. Voicu, A. Novell, Optimization of contrast to-tissue ratio by frequency adaptation in pulse inversion imaging, IEEE
 transactions on ultrasonics, ferroelectrics, and frequency control 59 (11).
- [34] S. Ménigot, J.-M. Girault, Optimization of contrast resolution by genetic
 algorithm in ultrasound tissue harmonic imaging, Ultrasonics 71 (2016)
 231–244.
- [35] S. Ménigot, M. Geryes, J. M. Girault, Inclusion/flaw detection in ultrasound imaging through optimization of random transmitted wave, in:
 Acoustics 2013, 2013.
- [36] N. Houhat, S. Ménigot, T. Boutkedjirt, R. Drai, J.-M. Girault, Optimal
 stochastic excitation for linear flaw detection in a solid material, Lecture
 Notes in Computer Science 11401 (2019) 229–236.
- [37] K. E. Van Den Abeele, A. Sutin, J. Carmeliet, P. A. Johnson, Microdamage diagnostics using nonlinear elastic wave spectroscopy (*NEWS*),
 Ndt & E International 34 (4) (2001) 239–248.

- [38] V. Zaitsev, P. Sas, Nonlinear response of a weakly damaged metal sample: a dissipative modulation mechanism of vibro-acoustic interaction,
 Journal of Vibration and Control 6 (6) (2000) 803–822.
- [39] B. Hilloulin, Y. Zhang, O. Abraham, A. Loukili, F. Grondin, O. Durand,
 V. Tournat, Small crack detection in cementitious materials using nonlinear coda wave modulation, NDT & E International 68 (2014) 98–104.
- [40] J.-B. Legland, Y. Zhang, O. Abraham, O. Durand, V. Tournat, Evaluation of crack status in a meter-size concrete structure using the ultrasonic nonlinear coda wave interferometry, The Journal of the Acoustical
 Society of America 142 (4) (2017) 2233–2241.
- ⁵⁷² [41] A. H. Wright, Genetic algorithms for real parameter optimization, in:
 ⁵⁷³ Foundations of genetic algorithms, Vol. 1, Elsevier, 1991, pp. 205–218.
- ⁵⁷⁴ [42] R. L. Haupt, S. E. Haupt, S. E. Haupt, Practical genetic algorithms,
 ⁵⁷⁵ Vol. 2, Wiley New York, 1998.
- ⁵⁷⁶ [43] L. Costa, I. Santo, R. Denysiuk, E. Fernandes, Hybridization of a genetic
 ⁵⁷⁷ algorithm with a pattern search augmented lagrangian method, 2010.
- ⁵⁷⁸ [44] J. Krautkrämer, H. Krautkrämer, Ultrasonic testing of materials,
 ⁵⁷⁹ Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [45] J. Riviere, G. Renaud, S. Haupert, M. Talmant, P. Laugier, P. A. Johnson, Probing interface elastic nonlinearity applying nonlinear resonance
 ultrasound spectroscopy: The case of screw tightness-of-fit, Journal of
 Applied Physics 107 (2010) 124901.

- [46] G. Chen, D. Pageot, J.-B. Legland, O. Abraham, M. Chekroun, V. Tournat, Numerical modeling of ultrasonic coda wave interferometry in a
 multiple scattering medium with a localized nonlinear defect, Wave Motion 72 (2017) 228–243.
- [47] C. Payan, V. Garnier, J. Moysan, P. Johnson, Determination of third
 order elastic constants in a complex solid applying coda wave interferometry, Applied Physics Letters 94 (1) (2009) 011904.
- [48] D. M. Egle, Diffuse wave fields in solid media, The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 70 (2) (1981) 476–480.
- [49] J. A. Ten Cate, T. J. Shankland, Slow dynamics in the nonlinear elastic response of berea sandstone, Geophysical Research Letters 23 (21)
 (1996) 3019–3022.