

# Impact of 3-week citrulline supplementation on postprandial protein metabolism in malnourished older patients: The Ciproage randomized controlled trial

O. Bouillanne, J. C. Melchior, C. Faure, M. Paul, F. Canoui-Poitrine, Yves Boirie, D. Chevenne, C. Forasassi, Ester Guery, S. Herbaud, et al.

## ▶ To cite this version:

O. Bouillanne, J. C. Melchior, C. Faure, M. Paul, F. Canoui-Poitrine, et al.. Impact of 3-week citrulline supplementation on postprandial protein metabolism in malnourished older patients: The Ciproage randomized controlled trial. Clinical Nutrition, 2019, 38 (2), pp.564-574. 10.1016/j.clnu.2018.02.017 . hal-02154521

## HAL Id: hal-02154521 https://hal.science/hal-02154521

Submitted on 22 Oct 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Version of Record: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0261561418300803 Manuscript cd89eabe3686122115ff0b9d197b1682

malnourished older patients: The Ciproage randomized controlled trial

1

2 3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29 30

31

32

33

34

Aussel<sup>2,18</sup>

Impact of 3-week citrulline supplementation on postprandial protein metabolism in

Olivier Bouillanne<sup>1,2</sup>, Jean-Claude Melchior<sup>2,3</sup>, Cécile Faure<sup>2</sup>, Muriel Paul<sup>4</sup>, Florence Canouï-

Poitrine<sup>5,6</sup>, Yves Boirie<sup>7,8,9</sup>, Didier Chevenne<sup>10</sup>, Christine Forasassi<sup>11</sup>, Ester Guery<sup>5,6,12</sup>,

Stéphane Herbaud<sup>13</sup>, Philippe Le Corvoisier<sup>14</sup>, Nathalie Neveux<sup>2,15</sup>, Valérie Nivet-Antoine<sup>2,16</sup>,

Alain Astier<sup>4</sup>, Agathe Raynaud-Simon<sup>2,17</sup>, Stéphane Walrand<sup>7,8</sup>, Luc Cynober<sup>2,15</sup>, Christian

# <sup>1</sup> Assistance Publique Hôpitaux de Paris (AP-HP), Groupe Hospitalier (GH) Henri-Mondor, Hôpital Emile-Roux, Département de Gérontologie, 94456 Limeil-Brévannes, France; <sup>2</sup> Université Paris-Descartes, Faculté des Sciences Pharmaceutiques et Biologiques, EA 4466, Laboratoire de Biologie de la Nutrition, 75006 Paris, France; <sup>3</sup> AP-HP, Hôpitaux Universitaires (HU) Paris-Ile-de-France-Ouest, Hôpital Raymond-Poincaré, Unité de Nutrition clinique-TCA, 92380 Garches, France. <sup>4</sup> AP-HP, Hôpital Henri-Mondor, Département de Pharmacie, 94000 Créteil, France; <sup>5</sup> Université Paris-Est, UPEC, DHU A-TVB, IMRB, EA 7376, CEpiA (Clinical Epidemiology and Aging), 94000 Créteil, France; <sup>6</sup> AP-HP, Hôpital Henri-Mondor, Service de Santé Publique, 94000 Créteil, France; <sup>7</sup> INRA, CRNH Auvergne, UMR 1019, Unité de Nutrition Humaine, 63009 Clermont-Ferrand, France; <sup>8</sup> Université d'Auvergne, Clermont Université, Unité de Nutrition Humaine, 63009 Clermont-Ferrand, France; <sup>9</sup> Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Clermont-Ferrand, Département de Nutrition Clinique, 63003 Clermont-Ferrand, France; <sup>10</sup> AP-HP, Hôpital Robert Debré, Département de Biochimie et d'Hormonologie, 75019 Paris, France: <sup>11</sup> AP-HP, HU Est-Parisien, Hôpital Rothschild, Service de Gériatrie, 75012 Paris, France; <sup>12</sup> AP-HP, Hôpital Henri-Mondor, Unité de Recherche Clinique (URC-Mondor), 94000 Créteil, France; <sup>13</sup> AP-HP, GH Henri-Mondor, Hôpital Albert-Chenevier, Département de Gérontologie, 94000 Créteil, France; <sup>14</sup> INSERM, Centre d'Investigation Clinique 1430, Plateforme de Ressources Biologiques, 94000 Créteil, France; © 2018 published by Elsevier. This manuscript is made available under the CC BY NC user license https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/

35	<sup>15</sup> AP-HP, HU Paris-Centre, Hôpital Cochin, Service de Biochimie, 75014 Paris, France;
36	<sup>16</sup> AP-HP, HU Paris-Ouest, Hôpital Georges Pompidou, Département de Biochimie, 75015
37	Paris, France;
38	<sup>17</sup> AP-HP, HU Paris-Nord-Val-de-Seine, Hôpital Bichat, Département de Gérontologie, 75018
39	Paris, France;
40	<sup>18</sup> AP-HP, Hôpital Henri-Mondor, Département de Pharmacie, Unité de Nutrition, 94000
41	Créteil, France;
42	
43	Corresponding author:
44	Bouillanne Olivier, Hôpital Emile-Roux, 94456 Limeil-Brévannes, France. Tel.: + 33 1 45 95
45	83 20; e-mail: olivier.bouillanne@erx.aphp.fr
46	
47	This trial is registered at http://clinicaltrials.gov (study ID number NCT00714675).
48	
49	Abbreviation used:
50	ASMM, appendicular skeletal muscle mass; AUC, area under the curve; BMI, body mass
51	index; CIT, citrulline; CO <sub>2</sub> , carbon dioxide; CRP, C-reactive protein; DXA, dual-energy X-ray
52	absorptiometry; EAA, essential amino acids; Endo Ra leu, endogenous leucine flux; FM, fat
53	mass; FSR, fractional synthesis rate; KIC, L-ketoisocaproate; LBM, lean body mass; Leu Ox,
54	leucine oxidation; LM, lean mass; MNA, mini nutritional assessment; MPE, mole percent
55	excess; NEAA, non-essential amino acid; NOLD, non-oxidative leucine disposal; Ra, rate of
56	appearance.

57 Abstract

Background: Citrulline (CIT), is not extracted by the splanchnic area, can stimulate muscle
protein synthesis and could potentially find clinical applications in conditions involving low
amino acid (AA) intake, such as in malnourished older subjects.

61 **Objective:** Our purpose was to research the effects of CIT supplementation on protein 62 metabolism in particular on non-oxidative leucine disposal (NOLD, primary endpoint), and 63 splanchnic extraction of amino acids in malnourished older patients.

**Design:** This prospective randomized multicenter study determined whole-body and liver protein synthesis, splanchnic protein metabolism and appendicular skeletal muscle mass (ASMM) in 24 malnourished older patients [80–92 years; 18 women and 6 men] in inpatient rehabilitation units. All received an oral dose of 10 g of CIT or an equimolar mixture of six non-essential amino acids (NEAAs), as isonitrogenous placebo, for 3 weeks.

Results: NOLD and albumin fractional synthesis rates were not different between the NEAA 69 and CIT groups. Splanchnic extraction of dietary amino acid tended to decrease (p = 0.09) in 70 the CIT group (45.2%) compared with the NEAA group (60.3%). Total differences in AA and 71 72 NEAA area under the curves between fed-state and postabsorptive-state were significantly 73 higher in the CIT than in the NEAA group. There were no significant differences for body mass index, fat mass (FM), lean mass (LM) or ASMM in the whole population except for a 74 75 tendential decrease in FM for the citrulline group (p = 0.089). Compared with Day 1, lean 76 mass and ASMM significantly increased (respectively p = 0.016 and p = 0.018) at Day 20 in 77 CIT-treated women (mean respective increase of 1.7 kg and 1.1 kg), and fat mass significantly decreased (p = 0.001) at Day 20 in CIT-group women (mean decrease of 1.3 kg). 78 79 **Conclusions:** Our results demonstrate that CIT supplementation has no effect on whole-body

80 protein synthesis or liver protein synthesis in malnourished older subjects. However, CIT

83

Keywords: citrulline, malnutrition, lean mass, protein metabolism, amino acids, older adults
85

## 86 Introduction

Aging is associated with a loss of skeletal muscle mass and function. Malnutrition can 87 88 accelerate this process to the point where it becomes pathological: this condition is termed sarcopenia (1). Sarcopenia is associated with a decreased postprandial rate of muscle protein 89 synthesis indicating a state of anabolic resistance (1). This blunted muscle protein metabolism 90 91 response to meal intake is due in part to higher first-pass splanchnic extraction of dietary 92 amino acids, which limits peripheral amino acid availability (2), as shown in humans for 93 leucine (3) and phenylalanine (4), and in aged rats (5,6). Muscle signaling pathways needed to translate the initiation of protein synthesis may also be impaired (7,8). The rationale for 94 95 nutritional supplementation with essential amino acids (EAAs), particularly leucine at high dosages, is their ability to stimulate postprandial muscle protein synthesis (9). 96 Supplementation with EAAs for 3 to 6 months has increased lean body mass in healthy older 97 98 women and sarcopenic older subjects (10,11). However, EAAs do not escape splanchnic extraction, as evidenced by higher extraction of dietary AAs in older subjects (2). In addition, 99 long-term use of a leucine-supplemented diet failed to improve muscle mass and function 100 101 (12,13), maybe because participants were habitually consuming sufficient leucine in their 102 regular diets.

103 Citrulline (CIT), an amino acid not extracted in the splanchnic area (14), can stimulate muscle 104 protein synthesis via the mTOR signaling pathway (15), and so could potentially find clinical 105 applications in conditions involving low amino acid intake, such as in malnourished older 106 subjects (14,16). In malnourished aged rats, CIT increases muscle mass and function (17), muscle protein content and synthesis (18), and the expression of myofibrillar proteins (19). 107 108 Healthy young adults fed a hypoprotein diet showed increased muscle protein synthesis when 109 given oral CIT compared with isonitrogenous non-essential amino acids (NEAAs) (20). This anabolic action of CIT appeared to be independent of insulin or insulin-like growth factor 1 110

111 (IGF-1) action (20,21). However, in two studies (20,21) in young adults, oral CIT did not modify whole-body protein kinetics or nitrogen balance compared with iso-nitrogenous 112 NEAAs. The fact that action of CIT is muscle-specific may explain why nitrogen balance did 113 114 not differ between CIT-supplemented diet and NEAA-enriched diet in old malnourished rats 115 (18). The CIT-supplemented diet increased muscle synthesis, whereas a NEAA-enriched diet 116 increased liver protein synthesis. Oral CIT rapidly increases CIT and arginine availability in adults (22,23) and older patients (24). CIT administration is safe and well tolerated (25); the 117 118 human adult dose most appropriate for clinical practice is 10 g per day (23).

To our knowledge, no study has yet investigated the effects of oral CIT supplementation in 119 120 older malnourished human subjects to determine whether CIT has a protein anabolic effect in this population. Our study compared the effects of CIT supplementation against NEAA 121 122 supplementation, as isonitrogenous placebo, on whole-body and liver protein synthesis, and 123 on muscle mass in malnourished older persons. Our main objective was to assess the impact 124 of CIT on postprandial protein synthesis. Secondary objectives were to evaluate the effect of CIT on anthropometric parameters, particularly lean mass, and to determine whether effects of 125 126 CIT were related to modifications in AA splanchnic sequestration.

127

### 128 Subjects and methods

#### 129 Materials

Citrulline, alanine, aspartic acid, glycine, histidine, proline and serine were purchased from Kyowa Hakko Kogyo Co. (Tokyo, Japan); L-leucine  $(1-{}^{13}C)$ , L-leucine  $(5,5,5-D_3)$  and sodium bicarbonate ( ${}^{13}C$ ) were from Eurisotop (Saint-Aubin, France). All AAs were checked for isotopic and chemical purity by chromatography–mass spectrometry (Hewlett-Packard 5971A, Palo-Alto, CA). Tracer solutions were tested for sterility, pyrogenicity and stability before use, and were prepared in sterile non-pyrogenic saline. In each experiment, the tracers were filtered through 0.22 µm filters.

#### 137 **Ethical approval and registration**

This study was approved by the hospital ethics committee under number P070127, and conformed to the standards for the use of human subjects in research prescribed in the most recent update of the Declaration of Helsinki. Each participant was informed of the purpose of the study, the experimental procedures, and the potential risks before giving written consent. This prospective, randomized, doubled-blind trial was designed as a proof-of-concept study. This trial is registered at http://clinicaltrials.gov (study ID number NCT00714675) and was

funded by the French Ministry for Health under the Hospital-led Clinical Research Program(PHRC).

#### 146 Setting and participants

Patients were screened from seven geriatric rehabilitation care units affiliated to the Greater
Paris public hospital system (AP-HP) for an average length of stay of 60 days (intermediate
care). These units focus on rehabilitation for daily living activities and prevention of falls.
Patients were prospectively selected and consecutively included in the study.

The inclusion criteria were: age > 70 years; moderate undernutrition defined according to French health high authority guidelines (26) as albuminemia  $\geq$  30 g/L and < 35 g/L and/or body mass index (BMI)  $\ge 18$  and < 21 and/or mini nutritional assessment (MNA) (27) score (154) < 17 (but with albuminemia  $\ge 30$  g/L), spontaneous energy intake > 20 kcal/kg body (155) weight/day and protein intake > 0.8 g/kg body weight/day.

Patients were ineligible if they presented severe cognitive impairment (mini mental state score 156 < 18), severe inflammation (C-reactive protein (CRP) > 50 mg/L) or diabetes mellitus, if they 157 158 were being fed by parenteral or enteral nutrition, if they presented severe renal insufficiency (creatinine clearance calculated with the Cockcroft-Gault formula < 30 mL/min), class-IV 159 160 heart failure, severe liver disease, a documented intestinal insufficiency, respiratory failure, chronic infectious or inflammatory disease, corticosteroid medications or progressive cancer. 161 162 The trial was managed by the Henri-Mondor University Hospital Clinical Research Unit. 163 Participating sites received initiation, routine monitoring, and closeout visits.

#### 164 **Diet and treatments**

The study was a double-blind, randomized, controlled prospective, multicenter trial of 165 superiority in two parallel groups: one CIT-supplemented and one placebo-supplemented. All 166 patients received standard nutritional care during the study and were given a diet supplying 167 168 2000 kcal/day and 66 g/day protein. They also received either an oral dose (double-blind 169 procedure) of 10 g of CIT or an equimolar mixture of six NEAAs (alanine 1.91 g, aspartic acid 2.85 g, glycine 1.61 g, histidine 3.32 g, proline 2.46 g, serine 2.25 g) given iso-170 171 nitrogenously every morning from Day 1 to Day 21. We note that histidine is not an NEAA 172 (28), but has no known pharmacological effect on protein metabolism.

173 No adverse events due to the treatments were recorded.

## 174 **Random assignment**

175 Patients were randomly assigned by a centralized computer procedure (Excel 2003, Microsoft

176 Corporation, Washington DC) on a 1:1 basis to receive CIT or NEAAs, stratified by center

and by permuted blocks of four. Physicians were blinded to block size. Patients were enrolled

by their treating physician, and registered with the central trial coordinating office by fax.

179 Endpoints

The primary endpoint was non-oxidative leucine disposal. Secondary endpoints were leucine balance, splanchnic extraction, albumin fractional synthesis rate, changes in body composition, and fed-state *vs.* postabsorptive state differences in AA concentrations.

183 Variables studied

184 *Dietary intake* 

185 Energy and protein intakes were recorded (food diaries) on study Days 1, 2, 3, 12, 13, 14, 18,

186 19 and 20. Patients were also asked to rate their appetite on Days 1 and 20 using a 100 mm

187 visual analog scale with "no appetite" and "extremely good appetite" as anchors.

188 *Physical activity* 

189 Patients were invited to wear a pedometer (Tanita PD-637, Tokyo, Japan) every day during

190 the study to monitor their step count. Patients were instructed to begin using the pedometer as

soon as they started walking and to remove it immediately before going to sleep.

192 *Body composition measurements* 

Body composition measurements were evaluated at Day 1 and Day 20. Total lean soft-tissue

194 mass (LM), appendicular skeletal muscle mass (ASMM) and fat mass (FM) were determined

using dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) (Lunar Prodigy Advance, General Electric

196 Medical Systems, Vélizy, France). ASMM was measured as the sum of the LM for arms plus

197 legs. LM index, ASMM index and FM index were calculated as the ratios of LM, ASMM and

198 FM to the square of the person's height in meters. Height correction allows the clinical

significance of these values to be interpreted in patients of differing heights.

On Day 1, serum albumin, C-reactive protein (CRP), orosomucoid, transthyretin, creatinine, insulin, insulin-like growth factor-1(IGF-1) and plasma AA concentrations were measured in the morning after fasting overnight. Creatinine clearance (mL/min) was calculated using the Cockcroft-Gault formula. On Day 21, plasma AA concentrations were measured in the morning after fasting overnight.

### 206 Measurement of protein turnover and related parameters

207 On Day 21, after a 10 h overnight fast, a catheter was inserted into a vein of the arm for tracer infusion. A second catheter was inserted retrograde into a dorsal vein of the contralateral hand 208 for blood sampling after first placing the hand in a ventilated box heated to 60 °C. At 7:30 209 a.m. ( $t_0 - 180$  min), a continuous infusion of L-leucine (1-<sup>13</sup>C) was begun at 0.10  $\mu$ mol.kg 210 lean mass<sup>-1</sup>.min<sup>-1</sup> and continued for 390 min after a priming dose (8.4 µmol.kg lean 211 mass<sup>-1</sup>.min<sup>-1</sup> of leucine  $(1-{}^{13}C)$  and 6 mg of sodium bicarbonate  $({}^{13}C)$ ) to reach a tracer steady-212 213 state during both basal period and fed period (**Fig.1**). After 180 min of infusion (i.e. at  $t_0$ ), a 214 liquid meal was ingested in small boluses (50 mL) given every 20 min to reach a tracer steady-state as previously described (29). L-Leucine (5,5,5-D<sub>3</sub>) was added to the meal to 215 obtain an oral administration rate of 0.10 µmol.kg lean mass<sup>-1</sup>.min<sup>-1</sup>. The treatment (CIT or 216 NEAA) was given only once, with the first bolus. The diet provided 15 kcal. kg lean mass<sup>-1</sup>, 217 218 15% as protein (in the form of milk protein concentrate: Protifar Plus®, Nutricia, Rueil-219 Malmaison, France) containing 10.2% leucine and 3% phenylalanine), 50% as carbohydrate 220 (dextrin maltose) and 35% as fat (in the form of vegetable oil).

As shown in Fig. 1, blood and breath samples were taken before every infusion, at the isotopic plateau of the intravenous tracer before the meal, and after meal ingestion. After centrifugation, the plasma supernatant was separated and stored at -80 °C for subsequent analysis of plasma enrichments in L-leucine  $(1^{-13}C)$ , L-leucine  $(5,5,5^{-}D_3)$ , L-ketoisocaproate (KIC)  $(1^{-13}C)$  and L-KIC  $(5,5,5^{-}D_3)$ .

Plasma AA concentrations were measured before meal intake, i.e. at  $t_0 - 180$  min and  $t_0$ - 60 min, at  $t_0$ , and after meal intake, i.e. at  $t_0 + 120$  min and  $t_0 + 180$  min. As shown in Fig.1, total carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) production rates were measured twice for 60 min each time in the postabsorptive state, and in the fed state by open-circuit indirect calorimetry (Deltratrac, Datex, Geneva, Switzerland).

#### 231 Analytical methods

232 Plasma L-leucine (1-<sup>13</sup>C), L-leucine (5,5,5- D<sub>3</sub>), L-ketoisocaproate (KIC) (1-<sup>13</sup>C) and L-KIC

(5,5,5-D<sub>3</sub>) enrichments were measured by gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (HewlettPackard 5971A, Palo-Alto, CA) using tert-butyldimethylsilyl derivates. Isotopic enrichment
of plasma KIC is reported to reflect intracellular leucine enrichment more closely than the
isotopic enrichment of plasma leucine.

To determine plasma AA concentrations, plasma was deproteinized with sulfosalicylic acid then centrifuged. The supernatant was stored at -80 °C until analysis. To determine the concentrations of insulin, IGF-1, albumin, transthyretin, glucose, creatinine, and C-reactive protein (CRP), plasma supernatant was separated and stored at -20 °C until analysis. Breath samples were kept in Vacutainers (Becton Dickinson) for further measurements of <sup>13</sup>C enrichment of CO<sub>2</sub>.

Isotopic albumin enrichments for fractional synthesis rate measurements were analyzed by gas chromatography–combustion–isotope ratio mass spectrometry (GC/C/IRMS) after precipitation, hydrolysis and derivatization as previously described (2).

246 Plasma AA concentrations were determined by ion-exchange liquid chromatography using an

247 AminoTac JLC-500/V AA analyzer (Jeol, Tokyo, Japan).

Serum albumin, CRP and transthyretin were measured by laser nephelometry (BN2, Siemens,
Paris, France).

Plasma IGF-1 concentrations were measured using an immunoradiometric method (IGF1RIACT, Cisbio Assays, Gif-sur-Yvette, France). Plasma insulin concentrations were
determined by fully-automated two-site chemiluminescent immunoenzymatic assay
(ACCESS II, Beckman-Coulter, Villepinte, France).

254 Calculations

255 Steady-state equations for whole-body leucine kinetics were used as below for L-leucine (1-256  $^{13}$ C):

where Ra [ $^{13}$ C] leu (µmol.kg lean body mass<sup>-1</sup>.min<sup>-1</sup>) is total leucine flux or rate of appearance (Ra), F [ $^{13}$ C] leu (µmol.kg lean body mass<sup>-1</sup>.min<sup>-1</sup>) is tracer infusion rate corrected for isotope purity, and [ $^{13}$ C] leu MPE (µmol.kg<sup>-1</sup> lean body mass.min<sup>-1</sup>) is plasma enrichment of metabolite [ $^{13}$ C] leucine at isotopic plateau.

262 The resulting mole ratios were converted algebraically into tracer mole percent excess (MPE)

after subtracting the corresponding baseline plasma values. The parameters were normalized

<sup>264</sup> for lean mass in order to consider differences in body composition between subjects.

265 The same equation was used to obtain  $Ra [D_3]$  leu.

Non-oxidative leucine disposal (NOLD) ( $\mu$ mol.kg lean body mass<sup>-1</sup>.min<sup>-1</sup>), an index of wholebody protein synthesis, is the difference between total leucine Ra and leucine oxidation (Leu Ox). Leu Ox ( $\mu$ mol.kg lean body mass<sup>-1</sup>.min<sup>-1</sup>) was calculated by dividing <sup>13</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> production rate by [<sup>13</sup>C] KIC enrichment, as KIC is the immediate precursor of irreversible leucine decarboxylation in cells. Where <sup>13</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> production was the product of CO<sub>2</sub> production and 275 Leucine balance (µmol.kg lean body mass<sup>-1</sup>.min<sup>-1</sup>) was calculated as the difference between
276 NOLD and endogenous leucine fluxes.

During feeding, leucine intake was subtracted from the respective total fluxes to obtain endogenous leucine fluxes. However, as a fraction of dietary AA were extracted by the splanchnic tissues and did not reach the systemic circulation, endogenous leucine flux had to be corrected for actual leucine entry into the systemic circulation, where isotope enrichments were measured to calculate whole-body leucine turnover. Splanchnic extraction of leucine was calculated as follows:

283

Leu splanchnic extraction (%) =  $[1 - ([^{13}C] \text{ leu}/ [D_3] \text{ leu})] \times 100$ ,

where  $[^{13}C]$  leu and  $[D_3]$  leu are total leucine fluxes calculated according to Balagopal *et al.* (30) with the intravenous <sup>13</sup>C leu or oral D<sub>3</sub> leu tracers, respectively. Splanchnic extraction is the fraction of ingested leucine taken up by the gut or liver during its first pass (3).

Albumin fractional synthesis rate (FSR) (%/day) was calculated by dividing the incorporation rate of  $[^{13}C]$  leu into albumin with plasma  $[^{13}C]$  leu enrichment as precursor pool.

#### 289 Sample size estimation

Based on an expected difference in fed-state protein synthesis of 0.34 µmol.kg lean body mass<sup>-1</sup>.min<sup>-1</sup> of NOLD (corresponding to 0.8 g protein.kg lean mass<sup>-1</sup>.day<sup>-1</sup>) between NEAA group and CIT group and a standard deviation of 0.21 µmol.kg lean mass<sup>-1</sup>.min<sup>-1</sup> of NOLD (corresponding to 0.5 g of protein.kg lean mass<sup>-1</sup>.day<sup>-1</sup>), using two-sided error rate of 5% and a power at 95%, we calculated that we would need n = 11 patients in each group to see differences between means. We took the values found by other authors (31,32).

#### 296 Statistical analysis

297 Data were analyzed and a flow diagram was created according to CONSORT guidelines.

298 Descriptive analysis compared the two randomized groups in terms of baseline demographics, body composition and biological parameters. Only descriptive statistics were used at this 299 stage. Quantitative variables were expressed as median [min-max] or first quartile (25<sup>th</sup> 300 percentile, 'Q1') and third quartile (75th percentile, 'Q3') according to distribution. 301 302 Qualitative variables were expressed as numbers [%]. Plasma AA concentrations are 303 expressed as area under the curve (AUC) above the baseline, as calculated by the trapezoidal method, both before (at  $t_0 - 180$ ,  $t_0 - 60$ ,  $t_0$ ) and after meals (at  $t_0 + 120$ ,  $t_0 + 180$ ), for each AA. 304 305 Endpoints were analyzed using the intent-to-treat principle taking into account all the patients 306 who completed the study. Subgroup analyses in women were conducted according to gender 307 owing to gender-related differences in body composition. The results for the subgroup of men 308 were not considered because the number enrolled in the study was too low.

We compared leucine kinetics, albumin synthesis rate and plasma AA concentrations between the two groups (CIT and NEAA), in postabsorptive state and in fed state, using Wilcoxon tests for unpaired samples.

312 Effect of treatment on BMI, lean mass, muscular appendicular skeletal mass and fat mass was 313 studied using mixed linear regression models (one model for one anthropometric measure) 314 adapted to the repeated nature of the data (D1 and D20 for each anthropometric variable). The 315 response variable was the anthropometric variable at the two times of measure, and the 316 explanatory variables, the group of randomization (i.e. the treatment), the time (D1 or D20), 317 an interaction term between time and group of randomization (assessing the treatment effect) 318 and a supplemental adjustment for baseline anthropometric variable. Similar modeling was 319 done for energy and protein intake and appetite ratings as response variables.

320 Factors associated with leucine splanchnic extraction were also assessed: leucine splanchnic 321 extraction was the response variable, handled in continuous mode, and the association 322 between several factors (anthropometric and metabolic parameters) and the leucine splanchnic 323 extraction. For this purpose, uni- and multivariate linear regression was used. Factors associated with p < 0.20 in univariate analysis were considered for multivariate analysis. The 324 325 treatment group was introduced into the model as explanatory variable. The interactions were tested, in particular between the treatment group variables and the other explanatory variables. 326 327 The final multivariate linear model of regression included factors significantly associated with leucine splanchnic extraction. As there are no clear associated factors of leucine extraction in 328 329 the literature, non-adjustment for non-significant factor was forced in the model. The 330 coefficients of the final multivariate model correspond to the slope of the linear regression. 331 All tests were two-tailed. Threshold of significance was p < 0.05. No multiple imputations for

missing data were performed. Statistical analysis was performed using Stata v12.0 (College
Station, TX).

334

#### 335 **Results**

The study enrolled 29 patients. **Fig. 2** summarizes the inclusion process. Patient characteristics at baseline are listed in **Table 1**. Age was 74–97 years, and three-quarters of the sample were women.

339 Normal residence of patients was own home for 81%. The main patient diagnoses were:

neurological disease (38%), rehabilitation after fracture (35%), cardiovascular disease (16%),

341 post-infectious disease (7%), and other medical diseases (4%).

At Day 20 evolution of protein intakes were different between the two groups, with a decrease in the CIT group and an increase in the NEAA group (**Table 2**), but patient appetite scores were not different between the two groups.

Compliance on pedometer use for step counts was not excellent (n=17; 11 NEAA/6CIT) and reached 2478 steps [1265; 14822] (median [Q1-Q3]) in the NEAA group and 1741 steps [82;

347 272 13854] in the CIT group, i.e. not significantly different between groups (p = 0.48).

In the postabsorptive state and in fed state, leucine kinetics expressed per kg of lean mass and albumin FSR were not different between groups (**Table 3**), except for D<sub>3</sub>-leucine total flux (p = 0.01).

351 Splanchnic extraction of dietary AAs tended to decrease (p = 0.09) in the CIT group (45.2%) compared with the NEAA group (60.3%). Factors associated with leucine splanchnic 352 353 extraction were albumin FSR (mean decrease of 7.77% in leucine splanchnic extraction for an 354 1%/d increase in albumin FSR; 95% CI [-10.52; -5.00]; p = 0.001), CIT treatment and BMI 355 (mean decrease of 11.52% in leucine splanchnic extraction for a 1 kg/m<sup>2</sup> increase in BMI; 356 95% CI [-21.51; -1.52]; p = 0.026). When adjusted for albumin FSR and changes in BMI, splanchnic extraction of dietary AA decreased (p = 0.004) (Table 3) in the CIT group 357 358 compared with the NEAA group.

Table 4 shows the changes in body composition in the NEAA and CIT groups in the whole population and in women. There were no significant differences for BMI, FM, LM and ASMM in the whole population except for a tendential decrease in FM in the citrulline group (p = 0.089). However, there was as significant increase in LM (p = 0.016) and ASMM (p = 0.018) and a significant decrease in FM in the citrulline group compared with the controlled group in the population of women.

Citrulline, EAA and NEAA concentrations just before and after the treatment are given in **Table 5.** Citrulline concentrations increased rapidly and had not fallen back to baseline 3h
after the intake.

368 **Table 6** reports the differences in AA AUCs between fed state and postabsorptive state. Apart from the AAs supplied by the treatments, six AA AUC differences between fed state and 369 postabsorptive state showed between-group differences: three were related to CIT metabolism 370 (arginine and ornithine increased in the CIT group, glutamine increased in the NEAA group 371 372 and decreased in the CIT group), while phenylalanine and lysine increased in the CIT group and asparagine increased in the NEAA group. Total AA and NEAA AUC differences 373 374 (excluding the AAs of the treatment) increased significantly more in the CIT group than in the 375 NEAA group.

There was no change in postabsorptive-state plasma AAs between Day 21 and Day 1 except for arginine and ornithine, both of which are related to CIT metabolism (arginine: -23.0 $\mu$ mol/1 [-43; 20] in the NEAA group, 28.5  $\mu$ mol/1 [3; 85] in the CIT group, p = 0.001 on Wilcoxon test for unpaired samples; ornithine:  $-13.0 \mu$ mol/1 [-31; 10] in the NEAA group, 44.0  $\mu$ mol/1 [28; 116] in the CIT group, p < 0.001).

381

#### 382 Discussion

Our results show that CIT supplementation has no effect on whole-body protein synthesis or liver protein synthesis in malnourished older subjects. However, CIT supplementation was associated with a higher systemic AA availability. In the subgroup of women, CIT supplementation increased LM and ASMM, and decreased FM. After a 3-week supplementation, this represents a mean increase in lean mass of about 1.7 kg, a mean increase in appendicular skeletal muscle mass of about 1.1 kg and a mean decrease in fat mass of about 1.3 kg. These changes appear to be clinically relevant.

390 CIT did not modify either whole-body protein synthesis or albumin FSR in either the post 391 absorptive or postprandial states.

392 There are few studies on the effect of CIT on whole-body protein synthesis in humans. Of two studies conducted in healthy young adults in the post-absorptive state, one showed that CIT 393 394 ingestion in subjects fed a low-protein diet had no significant effect on whole-body protein synthesis compared with NEAAs (20), although it did demonstrate that CIT stimulates muscle 395 protein synthesis. The other study found no effect of CIT on whole-body protein synthesis and 396 397 nitrogen balance when compared with iso-nitrogenous placebo (21). Finally, in old 398 malnourished rats, CIT increased muscle protein synthesis compared with NEAAs, but not liver protein synthesis (18). Here, CIT did not increase albumin FSR in the liver. As its action 399 400 is muscle-specific, and muscle protein synthesis contributes to only 25% of whole-body 401 synthesis (20), this may explain why whole-body protein synthesis remained unchanged. A 402 limitation of our study is that we did not measure muscle protein synthesis, largely because at 403 the time the protocol was drafted, neither Jourdan et al. (20) nor Thibault et al. (21) had been 404 published. In addition, obtaining muscle biopsies in older hospitalized patients is delicate. Note that 3 hours after the CIT bolus, plasma CIT concentration was still very high (median 405 1426  $\mu$ mol/l, see Table 5) compared to the CIT concentration of around 700  $\mu$ mol/l found at 406

steady-state in Jourdan et al. (20) in which CIT stimulated muscle protein synthesis. Finally, a
pharmacokinetic study (23) reports that plasma CIT returned to baseline values 5–8 h postloading only.

410 This study also demonstrates for the first time, to the best of our knowledge, that oral 411 administration of CIT is associated with an increased peripheral bioavailability of AAs in the 412 fed state, as shown by the calculated AA AUCs. The systemic availability of dietary AAs is a 413 key determinant of protein synthesis. First-pass splanchnic (i.e. gut and liver) extraction of 414 dietary AAs increases with age and defines age-related splanchnic sequestration of AAs 415 (SSAA) (3). Age-related SSAA could result from an increase in AA oxidation in the liver, an 416 increase in liver and/or gut protein synthesis, or an increase in inflammation-driven Kupffer 417 cell activity. Some of us have already shown (5) that SSAA in old rats is not related to 418 Kupffer cell activity or to increased urea production. Here we show that splanchnic extraction 419 and albumin FSR are inversely correlated. Increased SSAA is not therefore explained by 420 increased liver protein synthesis (2), or at least not by secreted proteins.

Here CIT supplementation was negatively associated with leucine splanchnic extraction. This induces an increase in the postprandial systemic bioavailability of AAs, as demonstrated in Table 6. SSAA certainly has an impact on protein metabolism, as it most likely participates in the age-related decrease in lean body mass. The effect of CIT on muscle mass observed in the women may thus be related to the increased availability of AAs. This is in line with the negative correlation between SSAA and changes in BMI.

427 An important question is how CIT blunts the SSAA of other AAs that are not metabolically 428 linked. One hypothesis is that CIT inhibits hepatocyte uptake of other AAs because it uses a 429 large number of transporters (33). However, CIT is not taken up by the liver (34), at least in 430 physiological conditions. 431 The CIT and NEAA groups showed significant differences in fed-state vs. postabsorptive-state 432 concentrations for some AAs: two AAs related to CIT metabolism (arginine and ornithine) were significantly increased in the CIT group. Consistent with previous studies, we found that 433 434 oral CIT resulted in hyperargininemia (20,22,23,35) and hyperornithinemia (20,22,23). We previously showed that CIT-supplemented malnourished aged rats showed a significant 435 436 increase in arginine and ornithine plasma concentrations compared with their NEAAsupplemented counterparts (17). The kidney is the main producer of arginine from CIT (14). 437 438 As kidney expresses argininosuccinate synthetase and argininosuccinate lyase, it releases arginine as a function of CIT uptake (14). Plasma arginine is then converted to ornithine by 439 440 arginase in the liver. The conversion of CIT to arginine regulates the conversion of arginine to ornithine and vice versa (36). 441

Glutamine increased in the NEAA group and decreased in the CIT group. The same changes 442 443 in glutamine plasma levels were observed following NEAA or CIT administrations in a study 444 in healthy adults fed a low-protein diet (20). Quantitatively, the main site of glutamine production in the body is muscle, and the main consumption sites in the physiological state 445 446 are the gut, liver and kidneys (36). Hence the observed decrease in postprandial glutamine in 447 the CIT group could be related to an increase in ureagenesis stimulated by the high levels of 448 arginine (37), or to a decrease in glutamine production by muscles. This second hypothesis 449 could explain the increase in lean body mass in the CIT group observed in the women, since 450 muscle glutamine content correlates with protein synthesis rates (38). Interestingly, 451 postprandial alanine AUC seemed very low in the CIT group compared with our previous 452 results in older malnourished patients without CIT supplementation (39). Like glutamine, alanine is characterized by a high production rate in muscles. 453

Lysine, like arginine, increased in the CIT-treated group. As lysine and arginine use the same transport system (40), hyperarginemia may result in a lower lysine uptake and thus higher

456

postprandial lysinemia.

Sarcopenia in older subjects is associated with mobility disorders, increased risk of fall and 457 458 fracture, less ability to perform daily living activities, loss of independence, and increased risk 459 of death (1,41). Our findings on the effects of citrulline on body composition in women are in line with results describing a beneficial effect of CIT on lean mass in experimental models. In 460 461 malnourished aged rats, CIT supplementation (5 g/kg/day) increased muscle mass, muscle protein content and muscle protein synthesis compared with NEAA supplementation (18). 462 463 These effects of CIT on muscle mass were also found in healthy aged rats (1 g/kg/day for 12 weeks) that lost fat mass (42). Aging is characterized by fat mass infiltration leading to a low 464 465 grade inflammation (43), which accelerates the decrease in lean mass (44). The decrease in fat mass observed in women in our study may therefore have contributed to the increase in 466 muscle mass. This decrease in fat mass may be explained by the fact that CIT directly 467 increases fatty acid release from rat visceral adipose tissue (45), whereas glyceroneogenesis is 468 469 downregulated (46). Moreover, results of proteomics investigations in malnourished aged rats 470 showed that CIT increases the expression of the main myofibrillar proteins and of enzymes 471 involved in glycogenolysis and glycolysis (19).

Behaviors of protein intakes were different between the two groups, with a decrease in the
CIT group and an increase in the NEAA group, but patient appetite scores were not different.
We cannot explain this effect, but even so observed an increase in lean mass in women.

Up to now, field-research efforts have focused on supplementation with either leucine, EAA or mixtures. However, there are few randomized controlled studies in older subjects yielding results on the effects of AA supplementations on lean mass. Two studies found an effect on lean mass after 3 or 6 months of EAA supplementation (10,11), and another study found an 479 effect on muscle mass after 3 months, but only when combined with exercise (47). On leucine-rich protein supplement, a recent meta-analysis of 16 randomized controlled studies 480 enrolling a total of 999 older subjects (48) found that leucine-rich supplementation increased 481 482 lean body mass in sarcopenic subjects. However, the two studies investigating long-term 483 effects (3 to 6 months) of leucine supplementation (7.5 g/d) in older subjects both reported 484 negative results on muscle mass and strength (12,13). Note that splanchnic extraction of 485 leucine is about 50% in older subjects (2,3) whereas CIT is not extracted in the splanchnic 486 area (14).

This study was designed as a proof-of-concept study to investigate the protein anabolic effect of CIT in older patients, as such data is lacking, despite a strong rationale for testing this hypothesis. These results also give insight into the physiological disturbances of protein metabolism in very old subjects.

There are some limitations to this study, in particular the low number of patients. Metabolic 491 492 studies like this one are very difficult to conduct in frail hospitalized older patients. Also, the 493 enrolment of men, who displayed differences from women, was very low: data on men were 494 therefore discarded in the subgroup analysis. Blood was not sampled in the first 2 hours 495 because reaching a steady state requires waiting for a homogeneous mixing of the tracer in the tracee pool to ensure that all the calculations are validated. This approach is of course open to 496 497 debate but it is still robust, especially for the calculation of splanchnic metabolism of AA 498 which is more difficult to approach using a bolus dose of protein.

In conclusion, the results of our study demonstrate that CIT supplementation has no effect on whole-body protein synthesis or liver protein synthesis in malnourished older subjects. However, CIT supplementation was associated with a higher systemic AA availability. These results on ASMM and fat mass in our subgroup of women should now spur further studies on the clinical benefits (on nutritional status, sarcopenia, physical activity, recovery) in older

- 504 patients suffering from malnutrition, especially during the period of recovery from acute
- 505 disease.

506

### 507 **Conflict of interest statement:**

508 Conflict of interest: Luc Cynober and Cécile Faure are shareholders in the Citrage company. 509 Luc Cynober receives honoraria from Citrage. Citrage is a laboratory for research and 510 development specializing in dietary supplements for older adults. None of the other authors 511 have any conflict of interest to declare in relation with this work.

512

#### 513 Authors' contributions to the manuscript:

- 514 Aussel C and Bouillanne O designed the research.
- 515 Melchior JC, Faure C, Forasassi C, Herbaud S, Le Corvoisier P and Raynaud-Simon A
- 516 conducted the research.
- 517 Paul M, Boirie Y, Astier A, Walrand S provided essential reagents or essential materials.
- 518 Canouï-Poitrine F and Guery E analyzed the data or performed statistical analysis.
- 519 Bouillanne O, Aussel C and Cynober L wrote the paper.
- 520 Neveux N, Chevenne D and Nivet-Antoine V were responsible for the biological analyses.
- 521

## 522 Funding sources:

- 523 This work was supported by the French Ministry of Health via the *Programme Hospitalier de*
- 524 Recherche Clinique (PHRC Hospital-led Clinical Research Program) DRRC: AOM07014-
- 525 P070127

## **References**

1 Walrand S, Guillet C, Salles J, Cano N, Boirie Y. Physiological mechanism of sarcopenia. Clin Geriatr Med 2011; 27: 365–85.

2 Moreau K, Walrand S, Boirie Y. Protein redistribution from skeletal muscle to splanchnic tissue on fasting and refeeding in young and older healthy individuals. JAMDA 2013; 14: 696–704.

3 Boirie Y, Gachon P, Beaufrère B. Splanchnic and whole-body leucine kinetics in young and elderly men. Am J Clin Nutr 1997; 65: 489–95.

4 Volpi E, Mittendorfer B, Wolf SE, Wolfe RR. Oral amino acids stimulate muscle protein anabolism in the elderly despite higher first-pass splanchnic extraction. Am J Physiol 1999; 277: E513–20.

5 Jourdan M, Deutz NE, Cynober L, Aussel C. Features, causes and consequences of splanchnic sequestration of amino acid in old rats. PLoS One 2011; 6: e27002.

6 Jourdan M, Deutz NEP, Cynober L, Aussel C. Consequence of age related splanchnic sequestration of leucine in interorgan glutamine metabolism in old rats. J Appl Physiol 2013; 115: 229–34.

7 Guillet C, Prod'homme M, Balage M, Gachon P, Giraudet C, Morin L, Grizard J, Boirie Y. Impaired anabolic response of muscle protein synthesis is associated with S6K1 dysregulation in elderly humans. FASEB J 2004; 18: 1586–7.

8 Cuthbertson D, Smith K, Babraj J, Leese G, Waddell T, Atherton P, Wackerhage H, Taylor PM, Rennie MJ. Anabolic signaling deficits underlie amino acid resistance of wasting, aging muscle. FASEB J 2005; 19: 422–4.

9 Hickson M. Nutritional interventions in sarcopenia: a critical review. Proc Nutr Soc 2015; 29: 1-9.

11 Solerte SB, Gazzaruso C, Bonacasa R, Rondanelli M, Zamboni M, Basso C, Locatelli E, Schifino N, Giustina A, Fioravanti M. Nutritional supplements with oral amino acid mixtures increases whole-body lean mass and insulin sensitivity in elderly subjects with sarcopenia. Am J Cardiol 2008; 101: 69E–77E.

12 Verhoeven S, Vanschoonbeek K, Verdijk LB, Koopman R, Wodzig WK, Dendale P, van Loon LJ. Long-term leucine supplementation does not increase muscle mass or strength in healthy elderly men. Am J Clin Nutr 2009; 89: 1468–75.

13 Leenders M, Verdijk LB, van der Hoeven L, van Kranenburg J, Hartgens F, Wodzig WK, Saris WH, van Loon LJ. Prolonged leucine supplementation does not augment muscle mass or affect glycemic control in elderly type 2 diabetic men. J Nutr 2011; 141: 1070–6.

14 Bahri S, Zerrouk N, Aussel C, Moinard C, Crenn P, Curis E, Chaumeil JC, Cynober L, Sfar S. Citrulline: from metabolism to therapeutic use. Nutrition 2013; 29: 479-84.

15 Le Plénier S, Walrand S, Noirt R, Cynober L, Moinard C. Effects of leucine and citrulline versus nonessential amino acids on muscle protein synthesis in fasted rat: a common activation pathway? Amino Acids 2012; 43: 1171-8.

16 Breuillard C, Cynober L, Moinard C. Citrulline and nitrogen homeostasis: an overview. Amino Acids 2015;47: 685–91.

17 Faure C, Raynaud-Simon A, Ferry A, Daugé V, Cynober L, Aussel C, Moinard C. Leucine and citrulline modulate muscle function in malnourished aged rats. Amino Acids 2012; 42: 1425–33.

18 Osowska S, Duchermann T, Walrand S, Paillard A, Boirie Y, Cynober L, Moinard C. Citrulline modulates muscle protein metabolism in old malnourished rats. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 2006; 291: E582–6.

19 Faure C, Morio B, Chafey P, Le Pleinier S, Noirez P, Randrianrison-Huetz V, Cynober L, Aussel C, Moinard C. Citrulline enhances myofibrillar constituents expression of skeletal muscle and induces a switch in muscle energy metabolism in malnourished aged rats. Proteomics 2013; 13: 2191–201.

20 Jourdan M, Nair KS, Schimke J, Ford GC, Marc J, Aussel C, Cynober L. Citrulline stimulates muscle protein synthesis in the post-absorptive state in healthy people fed a low-protein diet – A pilot study. Clin Nutr 2015; 34: 449–56.

21 Thibault R, Flet L, Vavasseur F, Lemerle M, Ferchaud-Roucher V, Picot D, Darmaun D. Oral citrulline does not affect whole body protein metabolism in healthy human volunteers: results of a prospective, randomized, double-blinded, cross-over study. Clin Nutr 2011; 30: 807–11.

22 Rougé C, Des Robert C, Robins A, Le Bacquer O, Volteau C, De La Cochetière MF, Daurmaun D. Manipulation of citrulline availability in humans. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 2007; 293: G1061–7.

23 Moinard C, Nicolis I, Neveux N, Darquy S, Benazeth S, Cynober L. Dose-ranging effects of citrulline administration on plasma amino acids and hormonal patterns in healthy subjects: the Citrudose pharmacokinetics study. Br J Nutr 2008; 99: 855–62.

24 Moinard C, Maccario J, Walrand S, Lasserre V, Marc J, Boirie Y, Cynober L. Arginine behaviour after arginine or citrulline administration in older subjects. Br J Nutr 2016; 115: 399–404.

25 Cynober L. Pharmacokinetics of arginine and related amino acids. J Nutr 2007; 137: 1646S-1649S.

26 Raynaud-Simon A, Revel-Delhom C, Hébuterne X; French Nutrition and Health Program, French Health High Authority. Clinical practice guidelines from the French Health High Authority: nutritional support strategy in protein-energy malnutrition in the elderly. Clin Nutr; 30: 312-9. 27 Vellas B, Guigoz Y, Garry PJ, Nourhashemi F, Bennahum D, Lauque S, Albarede JL. The Mini Nutritional Assessment (MNA) and its use in grading the nutritional state of elderly patients. Nutrition 1999; 15: 116–22.

28 Cynober L. Amino acid metabolism. In: Lennarz WJ, Lane M.D, editors. Encyclopedia of Biological Chemistry. Oxford: Elsevier, 2004; vol 1: 90–95.

29 Walrand S, Gryson C, Salles J, Giraudet C, Migné C, Bonhomme C, Le Ruyet P, Boirie Y. Fast-digestive protein supplement for ten days overcomes muscle anabolic resistance in healthy elderly men. Clin Nutr 2015 doi: 10.1016/j.clnu.2015.04.020

30 Balagopal P, Rooyackers OE, Adey DB, Ades PA, Nair KS. Effects of aging on in vivo synthesis of skeletal muscle myosin heavy-chain and sarcoplasmic protein in humans. Am J Physiol 1997; 273: E790–800.

31 Chevalier S1, Gougeon R, Nayar K, Morais JA. Frailty amplifies the effects of aging on protein metabolism: role of protein intake. Am J Clin Nutr 2003; 78: 422-9.

32 Gryson C, Walrand S, Giraudet C, Rousset P, Migné C, Bonhomme C, Le Ruyet P, Boirie Y. "Fast proteins" with a unique essential amino acid content as an optimal nutrition in the elderly: growing evidence. Clin Nutr. 2014; 33: 642-8.

33 Bahri S, Curis E, El Wafi FZ, Aussel C, Chaumeil JC, Cynober L, Zerrouk N. Mechanisms and kinetics of citrulline uptake in a model of human intestinal epithelial model. Clin Nutr 2008; 6: 872–880.

34 Curis E, Nicolis I, Moinard C, Osowska S, Zerrouk N, Benazeth S, Cynober L. Almost all about citrulline in mammals. Amino Acids 2005; 29: 177–205.

35 Churchward-Venne TA, Cotie LM, MacDonald MJ, Mitchell CJ, Prior T, Baker SK, Phillips SM. Citrulline does not enhance blood flow, microvascular circulation, or myofibrillar protein synthesis in elderly men at rest or following exercise. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 2014; 307: E71–83.

36 Deutz N. The 2007 ESPEN Sir David Cuthberson lecture: amino acids between and within organs. The glutamate-glutamine-citrulline-arginine pathway. Clin Nutr 2008; 27: 321–7.

37 Meijer AJ, Lamers WH, Chamuleau RA. Nitrogen metabolism and ornithine cycle function. Physiol Rev 1990; 70: 701–748.

38 Rennie MJ, MacLennan PA, Hundal HS, Weryk B, Smith K, Taylor PM, Egan C, Watt PW. Skeletal muscle glutamine transport, intramuscular glutamine concentration, and muscle-protein turnover. Metabolism 1989; 38: 47–51.

39 Bouillanne O, Curis E, Hamon-Vilcot B, Nicolis I, Chrétien P, Schauer N, Vincent JP, Cynober L, Aussel C. Impact of protein pulse feeding on lean mass in malnourished and at-risk hospitalized elderly patients: a randomized controlled trial. Clin Nutr 2013; 32: 186–92.

40 Cynober LA. Plasma amino acid levels with a note on membrane transport: characteristics, regulation, and metabolic significance Nutrition 2002; 18: 761–6.

41 Dufour AB, Hannan MT, Murabito JM, Kiel DP, McLean RR. Sarcopenia definitions considering body size and fat mass are associated with mobility limitations: the Framingham Study. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2013; 68: 168–74.

42 Moinard C, Le Plénier S, Cynober L, Raynaud-Simon A. Long-term effects of citrulline supplementation in healthy aged rats; effects on body composition. Clin Nutr Suppl 2009; 4: 12.

43 Zoico E, Rossi A, Di Francesco V, Sepe A, Olioso D, Pizzini F, Fantin F, Bosello O, Cominacini L, Harris TB *et al.* M. Adipose tissue infiltration in skeletal muscle of healthy elderly men: relationships with body composition, insulin resistance, and inflammation at the systemic and tissue level. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2010; 65: 295–9

44 Rieu I, Magne H, Savary-Auzeloux I, Averous J, Bos C, Peyron MA, Combaret L, Dardevet D. Reduction of low grade inflammation restores blunting of postprandial muscle anabolism and limits sarcopenia in old rats. J Physiol 2009; 587: 5483–92.

45 Joffin N, Jaubert AM, Durant S, Bastin J, De Bandt JP, Cynober L, Moinard C, Forest C, Noirez P. Citrulline induces fatty acid release selectively in visceral adipose tissue from old rats. Mol Nutr Food Res 2014; 58: 1765–75.

46 Joffin N, Jaubert AM, Durant S, Bastin J, De Bandt JP, Cynober L, Moinard C, Coumoul X, Forest C, Noirez P. Citrulline reduces glyceroneogenesis and induces fatty acid release in visceral adipose tissue from overweight rats. Mol Nutr Food Res 2014; 58: 2320–30.

47 Kim HK, Suzuki T, Saito K, Yoshida H, Kobayashi H, Kato H, Katayama M. Effects of exercise and amino acid supplementation on body composition and physical function in community-dwelling elderly Japanese sarcopenic women: a randomized controlled trial. J Am Geriatr Soc 2012; 60: 16–23.

48 Komar B, Schwingshackl L, Hoffmann G. Effects of leucine-rich protein supplements on anthropometric parameter and muscle strength in the elderly: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Nutr Health Aging 2015; 19: 437–46.

## Figure legends:

Fig. 1. Study protocol design.

There were two successive periods, a basal state from -180 min to  $t_0$ , and a fed state from  $t_0$  to + 180 min. The basal state was a fasted state, i.e. after an overnight fast. A continuous intravenous infusion of  $(1-^{13}C)$  L-leucine was performed after a priming dose to reach a tracer steady state during both the basal and fed periods. Another tracer,  $(5,5,5-D_3)$  L-leucine, was added to the liquid meal along with the treatment (CIT or NEAA) in the first meal. The meal was ingested in small aliquots (50 mL) given every 20 min to reach a steady state. Blood and breath sampling and CO<sub>2</sub> production measures were conducted throughout the study. NEAAs: non-essential amino acids; CIT: citrulline.

Fig. 2. Flowchart of the CIPROAGE trial

NEAAs: non-essential amino acids; CIT: citrulline



Fig. 1.



Fig. 2.

	NEAA	CIT
	<i>n</i> = 13	<i>n</i> = 11
Age (y)	88 [77; 92]	89 [74; 97]
Sex ratio		
Women $n$ (%)	10 (76.9)	8 (72.7)
Men <i>n</i> (%)	3 (23.1)	3 (27.3)
Body mass index	21.6 [18.2; 33.2]	19.7 [16.4; 26.5]
Lean mass (kg)	34.2 [28.7; 52.6]	32.0 [27.3; 54.0]
Lean mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	14.3 [12.6; 18.0]	13.8 [10.5; 19.1]
Appendicular skeletal muscle mass (kg)	14.1 [10.9; 21.6]	11.8 [9.9; 20.4]
Appendicular skeletal muscle mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	5.7 [4.8; 7.8]	5.1 [3.6; 7.2]
Fat mass (kg)	13.6 [7.6; 42.0]	14.2 [5.2; 25.0]
Fat mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	5.7 [3.5;14.4]	5.2 [1.9; 10.7]
Mini Nutritional Assessment score	17.2 [12; 20.5]	16 [10; 19.5)
Creatinine clearance (ml/min)	60 [40;104]	58 [37; 88]
Albumin (g/l)	31.2 [25.8; 34.8]	31.4 [24;41.1]
Transthyretin (mg/l)	190 [160; 270]	175 [140; 230]
CRP (mg/l)	4.8 [1.0; 51.8]	4.4 [1; 44.9]
Insulin (µU/ml)	6.4 [1.2; 61.6]	4.7 [1.8; 10.8]
IGF-1 (ng/ml)	127 [81; 280]	102 [46; 171]

**Table 1.** Patient characteristics at baseline in the NEAA and CIT groups.

Values are median [min-max] unless otherwise stated

NEAAs: non-essential amino acids; CIT: citrulline; CRP: C-reactive protein; IGF-1: insulin-like growth factor-1.

	Days	NEAA  n = 13	$\begin{array}{c} \text{CIT} \\ n = 11 \end{array}$	Between-group differences Regression coefficient [95%CI] <sup>1</sup>	p 1
Energy (kcal.kg	1 to 3	31.5 [15; 51]	35.3 [25; 53 ]	Reference	
weight <sup>-1</sup> .d <sup>-1</sup> )	12 to 14	28.7 [16.2; 53]	31.8 [13.2; 48.5]	-5.86 [-10.1; -1.63]	0.007
	18 to 20	36.0 [19.8; 52.7]	31.6 [11.9; 53.8]	-4.1 [-8.36;0.13]	0.058
Protein (g.kg weight <sup>-1</sup> .d <sup>-1</sup> )	1 to 3 12 to 14 18 to 20	1.1 [0.61; 1.7] 1.2 [0.29; 2.15] 1.2 [0.71; 2.08]	1.3 [0.88; 1.73] 1.1 [0.42; 1.82] 1.1 [0.37; 1.65]	Reference -0.28 [-0.54; -0.01] -0.30[-0.57; -0.04]	0.038 0.026
Appetite rating (mm)	1 20	45 [6; 97] 64 [6; 80]	52 [9; 88] 56 [6; 100]	Reference -5.37[-25.0;14.3]	0.59

**Table 2**. Energy and protein intakes and appetite rating during the study period in the NEAA and CIT groups.

Energy and protein intakes were recorded (food diaries) on study Days 1 to 3, 12 to 14, and 18 to 20. Patients were also asked to rate their appetite on Days 1 and 20 using a 100 mm visual analog scale with "no appetite" and "extremely good appetite" as anchors.

Values are median [min-max].

<sup>1</sup> Mixed linear regression models with an interaction term between time and randomization group to estimate the between-group differences

NEAAs: non-essential amino acids; CIT: citrulline.

	Postabsorptive state			Fed state			
	NEAA	CIT		p <sup>1</sup> NEAA	CIT	$p^{1}$	
	<i>n</i> = 13	<i>n</i> = 11		<i>n</i> = 13	<i>n</i> = 11		
Main endpoint							
NOLD (µmol.kg LBM <sup>-1</sup> .min <sup>-1</sup> )	2.1 [1.5; 3.4]	2.2 [1.9; 2.3]	0.98	2.1 [1.5; 4.2]	1.7 [1.3; 4.8]	0.54	
Secondary endpoints							
<sup>13</sup> C-Leucine total flux (μmol.kg LBM <sup>-1</sup> .min <sup>-1</sup> )	2.6 [1.8; 3.7]	2.5 [1.9; 5.0]	0.84	3.0 [2.1; 5.7]	3.4 [2.0; 6.6]	0.66	
Endogenous leucine flux (µmol.kg LBM <sup>-1</sup> .min <sup>-1</sup> )	2.5 [1.7; 3.6]	2.4 [1.8; 4.9]	0.79	1.2 [0.61; 3.7]	1.4 [-0.64; 4.8]	0.40	
Endogenous leucine flux corrected by splanchnic extraction $(\mu mol.kg LBM^{-1}.min^{-1})$				2.2 [1.6; 4.6]	2.0 [0.9; 4.8]	0.49	
Leucine oxidation (µmol.kg LBM <sup>-1</sup> .min <sup>-1</sup> )	0.38 [0.28; - 0.48]	0.35 [0.27; -0.48]	0.88	0.88 [0.68; 1.15]	1.13 [0.78; 1.71]	0.23	
Leucine balance $(\mu mol.kg LBM^{-1}.min^{-1})$	-0.27[-0.50; -0.10]	-0.25[-0.53; -0.14]	0.84	0.94 [0.53; 1.54]	0.84 [0.71; 1.95]	0.43	
Leucine balance corrected by splanchnic extraction (µmol.kg LBM <sup>-1</sup> .min <sup>-1</sup> )				-0.03 [-0.52; 0.34]	-0.03 [-0.83;0.996]	0.40	
Splanchnic extraction (%)				60.3 [35.0; 85.6]	45.2 [10.6; 93.0]	0.09 0.004 <sup>2</sup>	
D <sub>3</sub> -Leucine total flux (µmol.kg LBM <sup>-1</sup> .min <sup>-1</sup> )				6.3 [3.86; 12.7]	3.9 [3.4; 19.9]	0.01	
Albumin FSR (%/d)	7.0 [3.4; 8.6]	5.9 [2.8; 10.2]	0.40	8.2 [4.2; 10.0]	7.78 [3.3; 12.7]	0.75	
Albumin FSR-relative change				26.2 [6.5; 45.5]	35.5 [16.0; 71.7]	0.14	

Table 3. Leucine kinetics and albumin synthesis rate in postabsorptive state and in fed state

NEAAs: non-essential amino acids; CIT: citrulline; LBM: lean body mass; NOLD: non-oxidative leucine disposal; FSR: fractional synthesis rate.

FSR relative percent change = [(fed state FSR) – (postabsorptive-state FSR)]/postabsorptive-state FSR] Values are given as median [min-max]

<sup>1</sup> Wilcoxon test for unpaired samples

<sup>2</sup> Multivariate regression linear model with the splanchnic extraction as explanatory variable and treatment group as explicative variable adjusted for albumin FSR and changes in BMI.

		NEAA	CIT	Between-group differences	$n^{1}$
		All $n = 13$	All $n = 11$	Regression coefficient	P
	Day	Women $n = 10$	Women $n = 8$	[95%CI] <sup>1</sup>	
Body mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )					
All	D1	21.6 [18.2; 33.2]	19.7 [16.4; 26.5]	Reference	
All	D20	21.3 [18.3; 33.1 ]	19.6 [16.4; 25.6 ]	-0.04[-0.52; 0.43]	0.86
Women	D1	20.7 [18.3; 33.2]	19.9 [17.1; 26.5]	Reference	
Women	D20	20.7 [18.3; 33.1]	19.4 [17.1; 25.6]	-0.20 [-0.54; 0.14]	0.24
Fat mass (kg)					
All	D1	13.6 [7.6; 42.0]	14.2 [5.2; 25.0]	Reference	
All	D20	16.0 [5.6; 42.4]	11.2 [6.8; 23.0]	-0.97[-2.1; 0.15]	0.089
Women	D1	12.5 [7.6; 42.0]	14.5 [10.4; 25.0]	Reference	
Women	D20	12.6 [5.6; 42.3]	13.3 [9.4; 23.0]	-1.77[-2.84; -0.71]	0.001
Lean mass (kg)					
All	D1	34.2 [28.7; 52.6]	32.0 [27.3; 54.0]	Reference	
All	D20	34.4 [29.8; 57.2]	34.6 [28.5; 49.5]	0.55[-1.21; 2.32]	0.54
Women	D1	32.5 [28.7; 52.6]	31.5 [27.3; 38.9]	Reference	
Women	D20	33.6 [29.8; 49.1]	33.7 [28.5; 39.1]	1.85 [0.35; 3.35]	0.016
Appendicular skeletal					
muscle mass (kg)					
All	D1	14.1 [10.9; 21.6]	11.8 [9.9; 20.4]	Reference	
All	D20	14.0 [11.6; 24.9]	13.3 [11.4; 18.5]	0.1 [-0.85; 1.06]	0.83
Women	D1	13.4 [10.9; 20.1]	11.5 [9.9; 13.5]	Reference	
Women	D20	13.6 [11.6; 21.3]	12.1 [11.4; 14.5]	0.79 [0.14; 1.45]	0.018

Table 4. Changes in body composition in the NEAA and CIT groups.

Values are median [min-max]12.0 [11.0; 21.3]12.1 [11.4; 14.5]0.79 [0.14; 1.45]<sup>1</sup> Mixed linear regression models with an interaction term between days and group of randomization to estimate the between-group differences

Amino acids	Group	$t_0$	<i>t</i> <sub>0</sub> +120	<i>t</i> <sub>0</sub> +180
Cit r ul l ine	CIT <i>n</i> = 11	47 [ 40 ; 59]	2184 [ 1346; 2608]	1426 [ 1278 ; 1715]
EAAs	CIT $n = 11$ NEAA $n = 12$	75 [ 45 ; 124] 102 [ 52 · 154]	114 [ 73 ; 215] 123 [ 71 · 198]	113 [ 73 ; 210] 107 [ 74 · 206]
NEAAs except for	$CIT \qquad n = 11$	60 [ 36 ; 135]	92 [ 44 ; 292]	92 [ 44; 324]
suppl ement ed Cit r ull ine	NEAA <i>n</i> = 12	57 [ 33; 124]	75 [ 38 ; 328]	70 [ 35 ; 245]

**Table 5.** Pre- and post-treatment amino acid concentrations in the control (NEAA) and CIT-treated groups.

AAs: essential amino acids; NEAAs: non-essential amino acids; CIT: citrulline

Values are given as median [Q1-Q3] in  $\mu$ mol/L at t<sub>0</sub>, t<sub>0</sub> +120 and t<sub>0</sub> +180.

	NEAA	CIT	$n^1$
	n = 12	n = 11	P
Isoleucine	45.3 [18.5; 99.5]	60.0 [6.5; 111.5]	0.32
Leucine	107.3 [-16.5; 205]	136.0 [33; 228.5]	0.22
Lysine	94.3 [43; 188.5]	156.0 [14; 208]	0.02
Methionine	23.8 [7; 40.5]	17.5 [-3.5; 28.5]	0.15
Phenylalanine	27.3 [4; 50.0]	41.5 [-3; 63.5]	0.01
Threonine	63.8 [25.8; 101.0]	55.0 [10.0; 64.0]	0.20
Valine	90.5 [34.5; 180.5]	130.5 [27.5; 238.5.]	0.11
EAAs	430.8 [144; 899]	580.0 [57.5; 948.5]	0.16
Alanine *	526.0 [48; 1205]	18.5 [-315.5; 101.5]	< 0.001
Arginine	21.0 [5.5; 51]	483.5 [36; 607]	< 0.001
Asparagine	50.0 [13.5; 100]	27.5 [-6.5; 45.5]	0.04
Aspartic acid *	5.8 [-10; 14.5]	0.5 [-1.0; 5]	< 0.01
Citrulline	5.5 [-15.0; 21.5]	3819.0 [157; 5029]	< 0.001
Cysteine	8.5 [-3.5; 24]	6.5 [-6.5; 13.0]	0.09
Glutamate	16.8 [-4.5; 103.5]	21.0 [-21; 51]	0.93
Glutamine	173.3 [65.5; 412]	-107.0 [-222.5; 48.5]	< 0.001
Glycine *	397.8 [1060; 872]	-45.5 [-119.5; -4.0]	< 0.001
Histidine *	248.8 [65; 938]	19.0 [7; 40.5]	< 0.001
Ornithine	35.3 [19; 86]	551.5 [112.5; 817]	< 0.001
Proline *	771.5 [473.5; 1500]	227.0 [34; 297.5]	< 0.001
Serine *	386.0 [159.5; 745]	16.0 [-27; 50.5]	< 0.001
Taurine	2.5 [-318; 28.0]	-0.5 [-24.5; 29]	0.42
Tyrosine	30.0 [9; 77.5]	57.0 [16.0; 84.5]	0.06
NEAAs	2817.8 [1069.5; 5021]	5299.5 [96.5; 6294.5]	0.01
NEAAs except for supplemented AAs	351.0 [157; 643]	1171.0 [35.5; 1372.5]	0.001
Total AAs except for supplemented AAs	821.0 [301; 1519.5]	1804.0 [93; 2253.5]	< 0.01

**Table 6.** Amino acid AUC differences between fed state and postabsorptive state in the control (NEAA) and CIT-treated groups

NEAAs: non-essential amino acids; CIT: citrulline

Plasma AA concentrations were expressed as area under the curve (AUC) above baseline, as calculated by the trapezoid method, before the meal (at  $t_0 - 180$ ,  $t_0 - 60$ ,  $t_0$ ) and after the meal (at  $t_0 + 120$ ,  $t_0 + 180$ ).

Values are median [min-max] in µmol.h/L

<sup>1</sup> Wilcoxon test for unpaired samples.

\* NEAAs contained in the control group supplementation.