



Annual dissolved fluxes from Central Nepal rivers: budget of chemical erosion in the Himalayas

Christian France-Lanord, Matthew Evans, Jean-Emmanuel Hurtrez, Jean
Riotte

► To cite this version:

Christian France-Lanord, Matthew Evans, Jean-Emmanuel Hurtrez, Jean Riotte. Annual dissolved fluxes from Central Nepal rivers: budget of chemical erosion in the Himalayas. Comptes Rendus Géoscience, 2003, 335 (16), pp.1131-1140. 10.1016/j.crte.2003.09.014 . hal-02152767

HAL Id: hal-02152767

<https://hal.science/hal-02152767v1>

Submitted on 11 Jun 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

France-Lanord, C., Evans, M. J., Hurtrez, J.-E., & Riotte, J. (2003). Annual dissolved fluxes from Central Nepal rivers: budget of chemical erosion in the Himalayas.
Comptes Rendus Geoscience, 335(16), 1131–1140.
<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.crte.2003.09.014>

Annual dissolved fluxes from Central Nepal rivers: budget of chemical erosion in Himalaya

Flux dissous des rivières du Népal Central : bilan de l'érosion chimique en Himalaya

Christian France-Lanord¹, Matthew Evans²,
Jean-Emmanuel Hurtrez³ et Jean Riotte⁴

1 : CRPG-CNRS, BP20 54501 Vandœuvre les Nancy, France

2 : Hamilton College 98 College Hill Road, Clinton, NY13323 USA

3 : EPOC, Université de Bordeaux I, avenue des Facultés, 33405 Talence, France

4 : CGS Université Louis Pasteur, 1 rue Blessig, 67084 Strasbourg, France

Corresponding author :

Christian France-Lanord : CRPG-CNRS, BP20 54501 Vandœuvre les Nancy
cfl@crpg.cnrs-nancy.fr, tel : 03 83 59 42 20

**Note révisée le 29 aout 2003, soumise aux Comptes Rendus - Géosciences - Rubrique :
Géosciences de surface -**

Abstract - Annual dissolved element fluxes of Himalayan rivers from Central Nepal are calculated using published river discharge and a new set chemical data of rivers including monsoon sampling. These are used to study the control on chemical erosion of carbonate and silicate over the whole basin. Chemical erosion of carbonate is mainly controlled by the river runoff but it can be limited by the availability of carbonate in limestone free basin. Chemical erosion of silicate is well correlated to the runoff. However differences between High Himalayan and Lesser Himalayan basins suggest that physical erosion may also play an important control on silicate weathering.

1. Introduction :

Chemical weathering, especially that of silicate rocks and minerals, is a vital component of the long term regulation of the carbon cycle which determines first order climatic variation (e.g.[1, 2]). Understanding how chemical weathering is controlled by different parameters like temperature, lithology, or vegetation is therefore crucial for testing models of climatic regulation. Among those parameters, physical erosion has been highlighted as it may play a key role in tectonic forcing of climate [3]. Such hypotheses are still largely debated and the controlling factors on silicate weathering is a complex issue [4-6]. The Himalaya is dominated by physical erosion with only 3 % of the total mass loss transported in the dissolved phase. Most of this dissolved flux, however, is related to carbonate dissolution [7] and is a function of complex basin physiography, which combines highly variable climate, lithology, vegetation, and relief. Any study of chemical weathering fluxes from the Himalaya is complicated by the wide disparity in precipitation from monsoon to dry season. Rivers swelled by the significant rains received from June to September carry up to 80% of the annual dissolved flux. Despite this observation, few data exist for Himalayan rivers during

the wet season [7, 8]. In this work, we present the first comprehensive estimate of annual dissolved fluxes from the rivers of Central Nepal using waters from several sampling campaigns including one during July 1998 and detailed data on hydrological fluxes [9]. These samples allow for a more inclusive analysis of the distribution of chemical erosion and its controlling factors over the Himalayan Basin.

2. The Himalayan basin

The Central Nepal basin covers 31800 km² and includes four trans-Himalayan rivers (from west to east : Kali Gandaki, Marsyandi, Bhuri Gandaki , and Trisuli). These North-South rivers incise the high Himalayan range in deep gorges and then join in an east-west drainage guided by the uplift of the Siwalik foothills (Fig. 1). Only the Narayani river crosscuts the Siwalik range and joins the Ganga floodplain where it takes the name Gandak.

Four physiographic domains can be defined in the basin: the floodplain, the lesser Himalaya, the High range and southern Tibet. A sharp climatic contrast exists between Tibet and the rest of the basin. The southern flank of the range and the plain are exposed to monsoonal precipitation (2 to 4 m/yr) whereas Tibet, in the rain shadow of the high Himalaya, is cold and receives only 0.2-0.5 m/yr of precipitation [10]. Following the major geographical bounds, the geology of the Himalaya can be divided into four units separated by major thrust systems [11, 12]. The rocks of southern part of the Tibetan plateau mostly belong to the Tethyan Sedimentary Series (TSS), composed of Palaeozoic-Mesozoic carbonate and clastic sediments (North Indian shelf sediments). Underlying the TSS, the High Himalaya Crystalline (HHC) is the principal formation of the high range. It consists of ortho- and paragneisses, migmatites and highly metamorphosed marbles. The Lesser Himalaya (LH) is composed of variably metamorphosed Precambrian sediments. The lithologies are quartzo-

pelitic schists, quartzites, and dolomitic carbonates. The southernmost part of the range consists of the recently uplifted Siwaliks, formed from Mio-Pliocene detrital sediments accumulated in the former Ganga plain.

3. Sampling and analyses

The monsoon sampling presented in this work was collected in July from the main tributaries of the Narayani watershed, including a profile along the Kali Gandaki river and some high-range southern-flank basins (Fig. 1). Additional samples, collected during earlier campaigns (February 1992 to July 2001 [7]), are used to calculate annual compositions.

All samples were filtered at $0.2 \mu\text{m}$ on nylon Millipore filters and stored in HNO_3 acidified and non acidified vials. Temperature, salinity and pH values were measured in the field. Major element concentrations were measured by ion chromatography at the CRPG. Separation of F^- , Cl^- , and SO_4^{2-} was carried out on a AS12A column using non-acidified water. Acidified water was passed through a CS10 column in order to analyse Na^+ , K^+ , Mg^{2+} and Ca^{2+} . Each ion was calibrated in a range from 0 to 2 mg/l and the water samples were diluted to fit this range. The detection limit for F^- is 2 ppb, and for all other ions, the measured amounts are well above their detection limits. Reproducibility is around 10% (relative) for all ions. HCO_3^- was determined by charge balance from the other ions. Silica was measured by spectrophotometric measurement of the Mo blue complex.

4. Results

Unpublished data for major element concentrations including monsoon samples are listed in Table I. Compared to dry season samples, they are more dilute but they have similar relative

compositions. Overall, the river salinity during the monsoon drops by ca. 50% while the river flux increase by a factor of ten. This implies that chemical erosion increases strongly during the wet period. The relative abundance of major cations is essentially stable over the year except in the Trisuli which shows a clear increase in the Ca^{++} fraction. This has been interpreted to reflect a change in the precipitation distribution for this basin [7]. Seasonal changes in anion concentrations are systematic however Cl^- is more strongly diluted than any other element. This is particularly clear for the Kali Gandaki for which the $[\text{Cl}^-]$ drops by a factor 5 to 10. Cl^- is mainly supplied to Nepali rivers by thermal hot springs [13]. This likely reflects the fact that the flux of these hot springs does not increase in the same proportion than the rest of the hydrological system during the monsoon.

Calculated annual river compositions are listed in Table II. For each month, one composition has been assigned using the best available data (Table I, [7, 13, 14]). Depending on station, 2 to 14 samples were available (column N on Table II). Annual compositions were then calculated using the monthly average discharge recorded over 5 to 20 years near sampling locations [9]. When no hydrological data were available, we used model fluxes computed from combined DEM and meteorological data over the watershed. For most locations, our estimates are potentially limited by the small sample size (2 to 4 samples). To test the accuracy of our approach, we calculated the annual river composition of the Trisuli river at Betrawati with two samples sets. The first consisted of only two dry season samples and one monsoon sample and average discharge over 28 years. The second calculation used a more detailed average based on a 14 sample time-series from 1994-95 [7] and the corresponding discharge values. The detailed average is more dilute however both averages agree within 10%.

From these average compositions, we calculated rates of chemical erosion for the different watersheds. We distinguished the erosion of carbonate and silicate following the

approach developed in [7]. This approach corrects for atmospheric input and allows for the determination of the relative contribution of carbonate vs. silicate dissolution based on the lithology of the Himalayan formations. Rate of erosion corresponds to the annual flux of dissolved elements derived from a given lithology, corrected from the amount of atmospheric CO₂, and divided by the total area of the basin. Each cation is accounted for its oxide mass. On plots of carbonate erosion rate versus runoff (Fig. 2a), there is no straightforward correlation, which suggests that multiple factors control weathering. On the contrary, silicate erosion appears more directly related to runoff (Fig. 2b).

5. Discussion

Chemical erosion of carbonates in the Narayani basin (Fig. 2a) is highly variable between 10 and 300 t/km²/yr. The primary control is the lithology or the presence of carbonate in the basin. The lowest rates of erosion are observed for the Chepe, Darondi and Trisuli rivers. The Chepe and Darondi are small High Himalayan basins and have respectively no or very minor carbonates in their drainages. The Trisuli river is a very large basin that includes carbonate-bearing formations of the Tethyan Sedimentary Series. Compared to other large basins, the Trisuli drains significantly less carbonates and these are restricted to the northern part of the basin where precipitation is low. In addition, the Chepe, Darondi, and Trisuli have river sediments free of calcite. In contrast, the other central Nepal rivers carry calcite in their sediments [15] and are oversaturated with respect to carbonate [7, 16]. This holds true during the monsoon and suspended sediments sampled during the monsoon for these rivers contain between 5 and 40% carbonates (unpublished data). Chemical erosion of carbonates is therefore limited by saturation and it should be linearly correlated with runoff. This relationship appears roughly if we consider only the large

watersheds (Marsyandi, Kali 5, Seti, Narayani). Such correlation cannot be perfect since the rate of chemical erosion of carbonate is normalised to the total basin area and not to the carbonate area. The Seti river shows very high carbonate erosion rates (>300 t/km 2 /yr) which fit well with the very high runoff of the river. The Chepe, Darondi and the Trisuli plot above the correlation due to the lack of carbonates in their basins. The Andi also appears to have low carbonate erosion despite the present of carbonated rocks in the basin and even in the river sediments. The low carbonate erosion could then derive from low physical erosion in this southern basin. On the other side, rivers draining the Siwaliks and the northern Kali Gandaki show higher carbonate erosion. Higher efficiency of carbonate dissolution can be related to several factors. In the northern Kali, this can be related to: (1) the low temperature as carbonate solubility increases with low temperature and (2) the effect of sulphide oxidation, which is particularly important in this basin. In the Siwaliks, the temperature is not a factor as average temperatures are 20 to 30°C higher than in the upper Kali. More likely, the Siwaliks are porous formations rich in carbonate [17] which host aquifers that favour carbonate dissolution compared to higher Himalayan basin.

Silicate chemical erosion rates in the central Nepal rivers vary between 5 and 42 t/km 2 /yr and one river from the Siwaliks shows an extreme rate of 105 t/km 2 /yr (Fig 2b). To this exception, the other rivers display a clear correlation with runoff, the Seti river showing the highest erosion rate at 52 t/km 2 /yr associated with the highest runoff. This suggests that precipitation play the key role for the control of silicate weathering despite the large contrasts in temperature and vegetation conditions that are observed here. In detail, rivers having similar runoff like the Andi, Chepe and Darondi can show quite variable silicate chemical erosion rate (23, 36, 45 t/km 2 /yr respectively). These basins have quite contrasted characters which can qualitatively explain their differences. The Chepe and the Darondi are High

Himalayan basins with very steep relief. The headwater of the Darondi is a large glacier above 6000m whereas that of the Chepe is a deglaciated basin around 5000 m. Basins of the high Himalaya are characterized by high denudation rate (4 to 6 mm/yr) whereas the Andi is a Lesser Himalayan basin with smoother relief and lower denudation rate around 2 mm/yr [18]. Vegetation and temperature is higher for the Andi basin and the Darondi than for the Chepe as a consequence of their respective mean elevation: 1300, 1960 and 2790 m. The Darondi, which combines high denudation and rich vegetation in the lower part of the basin appears with the highest rate of chemical erosion of silicates. In contrast, the Andi, which have likely the lowest rate of physical erosion, shows comparatively low chemical erosion of silicate despite its higher temperature and vegetation cover.

In the Siwaliks, very high silicate erosion rates are observed for the Surai (105 t/km²/yr) whereas the other river, the Rangsing, shows only a slightly higher rate compared to the general tendency. In the Surai this is related to a remarkably high concentrations of Na, and to a lesser extent Mg, which are higher than those of Ca during the dry season. This likely derives from aquifer circulation as spring water sampled in the Surai river shows similar chemical characteristics [19]. Such high Na concentrations may reflect high plagioclase alteration in the Siwaliks [19] or, more likely, the dissolution of sodium carbonates that are frequently observed in the soils of the semi-arid Gangetic plain [20]. Those carbonates would have accumulated in the paleo-soils of the Siwaliks and would be rapidly dissolved during the erosion of these series. High Na concentrations in these rivers would then reflect indirect silicate weathering in the Gangetic plain. Nevertheless, the Rangsing river is draining the same Siwaliks formations and does not show such extreme compositions. In comparing Siwalik rivers during the dry season [7], we observe that two groups: (1) high Na and Mg rivers that drain the Siwaliks front where rates of uplift are very high (up to 10 mm/yr) and (2) "normal" Ca dominated rivers which drain the northern

Siwaliks where rates of uplift are much lower e.g. [21, 22]. The very high flux of sodium could therefore be related to the rapid exhumation of the Siwaliks series which supports our sodium carbonate hypothesis as rapid dissolution would be favoured by rapid exhumation. The Siwaliks Na flux would therefore reflect past silicate erosion in the Gangetic plain rather than modern and extremely rapid silicate weathering.

7. Conclusion

This set of data shows that chemical erosion is controlled on the first order by the runoff except for carbonates when their abundance is limited. Physical erosion is also a critical parameter as shown by the contrast between the Andi, Chepe and Darondi rivers. To the exception of the Andi river, all others belong to high Himalayan range or the Siwaliks characterized by very high denudation rates. Hence the database is limited to really test the dependence of chemical erosion to the physical erosion. Millot et al. [23] have shown a relationship between physical and chemical erosion of granitoid and basaltic watersheds and they proposed a power law : $\text{Chem}=0,39x(\text{Phy})^{0,66}$ that we can apply to Himalayan rivers. Few data exists for these rivers and they certainly underestimate the real physical erosion flux as the transport of bedload sand and pebble was not monitored. The suspended load flux for the Marsyandi, Trisuli, and Narayani rivers, physical erosion is between 1000 and 4500 t/km²/yr [24]. Following the relationship of Millot, these sediment fluxes would imply chemical erosion rates between 40 and 100 t/km²/yr. These rates are only based on the sum of silicate cations (Na, K, Mg, and Ca) excluding Si and using the cation mass instead of the oxide mass [23]. The corresponding chemical erosion rates derived from the dissolved load of the Marsyandi, Trisuli, and Narayani are between 6 and 7 t/km²/yr which is well below the values predicted from physical erosion. Millot's relationship is based on rivers having much

lower rates of physical erosion. It is quite possible that for very high rate of erosion the system becomes "saturated" and that the relationship cannot be applied as already suggested by Millot et al.. Himalayan rates of silicate chemical erosion can also be compared to those of granitic and basaltic lithologies following Dessert et al. [25]. The calculated CO₂ uptake by silicate weathering for Himalayan rivers is between 0.2 and 0.3 million mol/km²/yr. This is very similar to those of other granitic basin under temperate climate and high runoff and clearly lower than for volcanic lithologies. Both comparisons suggest that the rates of chemical erosion in Himalaya are not exceptional in spite of the very high physical erosion. Rather, it is the high runoff due to the Indian monsoon, which controls the intensity of the silicate weathering.

Acknowledgements: This work was supported by the French INSU Programme National Sol Erosion.(PNSE). We are grateful to Prof. B.N. Upreti, Dr. A. Gajurel and Sarki Man for precious help and support during field work in Nepal. We thank Jérôme Gaillardet and François Chabaux for constructive comments. CRPG contribution XXXX.

Version Française abrégée :

1. Introduction

L'érosion chimique ou l'altération des silicates est un des éléments clefs de la régulation du cycle long du carbone qui détermine les variations climatiques de premier ordre (e.g.[1, 2]). L'érosion chimique est soumise à un contrôle complexe comprenant les conditions climatiques, la végétation, l'érosion physique ou encore la lithologie. Parmi ces paramètres, l'érosion physique a été au centre des débats car elle pourrait jouer un rôle fondamental pour le forçage tectonique du climat [3]. Ce type d'hypothèse reste largement débattu car le contrôle de l'altération des silicates est complexe à déterminer [4-6]. L'érosion physique est

très intense sur les bassins himalayens et l'on estime à seulement 3% le flux d'érosion chimique transporté sous forme dissoute par les rivières. La plupart de ce flux dissous est cependant lié à la dissolution de carbonates [7] et résulte d'une grande variabilité des bassins sur le plan de leur climat, de leur végétation ou de leur topographie. Toute étude de l'érosion chimique en Himalaya est complexe en raison très forts contrastes saisonniers des précipitations entre la période aride de l'hiver et la mousson, qui se caractérise par des précipitations pouvant atteindre deux mètres par mois. Les rivières transportent ainsi près de 80% de leur charge dissoute durant les seuls mois de mousson de juin à septembre. Malgré cela, très peu de données sur les rivières himalayennes existent pour les périodes de mousson [7, 8] en raison des difficultés d'échantillonnage.

2. Résultats

Nous présentons ici la première estimation complète pour les rivières du Népal Central (Fig. 1) bâtie sur les données de plusieurs campagnes d'échantillonnage comprenant en particulier un échantillonnage complet en juillet 1998 (Tableau I) et sur des données de flux hydrologiques détaillées [9]. Elles permettent de calculer des compositions chimiques annuelles moyennes pour la plupart des grandes rivières du Népal Central et quelques petits bassins caractéristiques (Tableau II). Leur analyse suivant l'approche de Galy et France-Lanord [7] aboutit à l'estimation des parts respectives d'altération des carbonates et des silicates. Celles-ci varient respectivement entre 10 et 300 t/km²/an et 6 et 52 t/km²/an (Fig. 2). L'érosion chimique des carbonates est limitée par l'abondance des carbonates dans les bassins. Pour les grands bassins riches en carbonates, on distingue une relation positive entre le taux d'érosion chimique des carbonates et l'écoulement spécifique. Pour l'érosion chimique des silicates, cette relation est beaucoup plus claire et le facteur précipitation apparaît comme le principal contrôle de l'altération des silicates. Pour un même écoulement spécifique, les

rivières Andi, Chepe et Darondi montrent cependant des taux d'altération de silicate variants du simple au double (Fig. 2b). Cette différence pourrait être attribuée à la différence d'érosion physique entre les trois bassins. Les rivières des Siwaliks montrent des valeurs extrêmement élevées (exemple de la Surai) qui sont probablement liées à la dissolution de carbonates sodiques pédogéniques accumulés durant la formation des séries Siwaliks dans la paléo-plaine du Gange. Dans le détail, les facteurs de température, végétation ou érosion physique peuvent expliquer des variations plus discrètes telles que celles observées entre les rivières Chepe et Darondi.

3. Conclusion

L'ensemble de ces données montre que l'érosion chimique est déterminée au premier ordre par les précipitations sauf pour les carbonates lorsque leur présence dans le bassin est mineure. L'érosion physique apparaît aussi comme un paramètre critique comme le montre le contraste entre les rivières Andi, Chepe et Darondi. Toutefois une seule une rivière documente les zones à faible vitesse de dénudation ce qui limite la valeur du test à ce niveau. Millot et coll. [23] ont montré une relation entre vitesse d'érosion physique et chimique sur des bassins granitiques et volcaniques et ont proposé une loi puissance liant les deux processus. Appliquant cette relation aux bassins Marsyandi, Trisuli et Narayani pour lesquels il existe des données de flux de matières en suspension [24], on détermine des flux d'érosion chimiques de silicates qui sont un ordre de grandeur au-dessus de ceux qui sont dérivés des flux dissous des rivières. La relation de Millot et coll. est établie à partir de rivière à relativement faible vitesse d'érosion. Ceci implique qu'il existe peut-être un effet de saturation lorsque les vitesses d'érosion deviennent très importantes comme en Himalaya. Si l'on compare maintenant la consommation de CO₂ par altération de silicates des rivières himalayennes (0,2 à 0,3 million de moles/km²/an) à celles de bassins granitiques et

volcaniques présentés par Dessert et coll. [25], les rivières himalayennes apparaissent comparables aux rivières granitiques en climat tempéré et sont clairement inférieures à celles des bassins volcaniques. Ces deux comparaisons suggèrent que l'érosion physique très importante des bassins himalayens n'entraîne pas un flux d'altération des silicates disproportionné. C'est plutôt l'intensité des précipitations liées à la mousson indienne qui détermine l'importance de ce flux.

Références

- [1] R.A. Berner, E. Berner, Global Environment : Water, Air, and Geochemical Cycles, Number of., Prentice Hall, 1996, p. Pages
- [2] R.A. Berner, K.A. Maasch, Chemical weathering and controls on atmospheric O₂ and CO₂: fundamental principles were enunciated by J. J. Ebelmen in 1845, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 60 (1996) 1633-1637.
- [3] M.E. Raymo, W.F. Ruddiman, Tectonic forcing of late Cenozoic climate., Nature 359 (1992) 117-122.
- [4] A.F. White, A.E. Blum, Effects of climate on chemical weathering in watersheds, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 59 (1995).
- [5] J. Gaillardet, B. Dupré, P. Louvat, C.J. Allègre, Global silicate weathering and CO₂ consumption rates deduced from the chemistry of large rivers, Chem. Geol. 159 (1999) 3-30.
- [6] R. Millot, J. Gaillardet, B. Dupre, C.J. Allegre, Northern latitude chemical weathering rates: Clues from the Mackenzie river basin, Canada, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 67 (2003) 1305-1329.
- [7] A. Galy, C. France-Lanord, Processes of the Weathering in the Ganges-Brahmaputra basin and the riverine alkalinity budget, Chem. Geol. 159 (1999) 31-60.
- [8] M.M. Sarin, S. Krishnaswami, K. Dilli, B.L.K. Somayajulu, W.S. Moore, Major ion chemistry of the Ganga-Brahmaputra river system: Weathering processes and fluxes to the Bay of Bengal., Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 53 (1989) 997-1009.
- [9] K.S. Yogacharya, A.P. Pokhrel, S.R. Kansakar, Hydrological records of Nepal, Streamflow summary, pp. 264, H.M.G. of Nepal Ministry of Science and Technology, Department of Hydrology and Meteorology, Kathmandu, 1998.

- [10] S.P. Adhikary, P.B. Shresta, L.M. Acharya, Precipitation records of Nepal 1987-1990, pp. 253, H.M.G. of Nepal Ministry of Water Resources, Department of Hydrology and Meteorology, Kathmandu, 1992.
- [11] M. Colchen, P. Le Fort, A. Pêcher, Notice explicative de la carte géologique Annapurna-Manaslu-Ganesh (Himalaya du Népal) au 1:200.000e (bilingue: français-english). Number of., Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Paris, 1986, p. Pages
- [12] M. Colchen, P. Le Fort, A. Pêcher, Carte géologique Annapurna-Manaslu-Ganesh, Himalaya du Népal. Echelle 1:200.000, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Paris, 1980.
- [13] M.J. Evans, L.A. Derry, S.P. Anderson, C. France-Lanord, Hydrothermal source of radiogenic Sr to Himalayan rivers, *Geology* 29 (2001) 803–806.
- [14] F. Chabaux, J. Riotte, N. Clauer, C. France-Lanord, Isotopic tracing of the dissolved U fluxes in Himalayan rivers: implications for present and past U budgets of the Ganges-Brahmaputra system, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 65 (2001) 3201-3217.
- [15] A. Galy, C. France-Lanord, L.A. Derry, The Strontium Isotopic Budget of Himalayan Rivers in Nepal and Bangladesh, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 63 (1999) 1905-1925.
- [16] A.D. Jacobson, J.D. Blum, L.M. Walter, Reconciling the elemental and Sr isotope composition of Himalayan weathering fluxes; insights from the carbonate geochemistry of stream waters, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 66 (2002) 3417-3429.
- [17] B. Delcaillau, Les Siwalik de l'Himalaya du Népal Oriental. Fonctionnement et évolution d'un piémont, Number of., Editions du CNRS, Paris, 1992, p. Pages
- [18] J. Lavé, J.P. Avouac, Fluvial incision and tectonic uplift across the Himalayas of central Nepal, *Journal of Geophysical Research, B, Solid Earth and Planets* 106 (2001) 26,561-26,591.
- [19] P. Huyghe, A. Galy, J.-L. Mugnier, Micro-structures, clay mineralogy and geochemistry of the clay size fraction ($<2\mu\text{m}$) of the thrusted zones (Karnali area, Siwaliks of western Nepal), *Journal of Nepal Geological Society* (1998) 239-248.
- [20] D.K. Pal, P. Srivastava, S.L. Durge, T. Bhattacharyya, Role of microtopography in the formation of sodic soils in the semi-arid part of the Indo-Gangetic Plains, India, *Catena* 51 (2003) 3-31.
- [21] J. Lavé, J.P. Avouac, Fluvial incision and tectonic uplift across the Himalayas of central Nepal, *J. Geophys. Res.* 106 (2001) 26561-26592.

- [22] J.E. Hurtrez, F. Lucaleau, J. Lave, J.P. Avouac, Investigation of the relationships between basin morphology tectonic uplift and denudation from the study of an active belt in the Siwalik Hills (Central Nepal), *J. Geophys. Res.* 104 (1999) 12779-12796.
- [23] R. Millot, J. Gaillardet, B. Dupre, C.J. Allegre, The global control of silicate weathering rates and the coupling with physical erosion; new insights from rivers of the Canadian Shield, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 196 (2002) 83-98.
- [24] MHSP, Medium Hydropower Study Project, Sediment yield in the Nepal Himalayas,, Nepal Electricity Authority & Canadian International Water & Energy Consultant, Kathmandu, 1997.
- [25] C. Dessert, B. Dupre, L.M. Francois, J. Schott, J. Gaillardet, G. Chakrapani, S. Bajpai, Erosion of Deccan Traps determined by river geochemistry; impact on the global climate and the $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio of seawater, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 188 (2001) 459-474.

Figure caption

Figure 1 : Map of central Nepal showing the location of sampling sites on the river network.

Geological contours after Colchen et al. [11]. TSS = Tethian Sedimentary Series. HHC = High Himalaya Crystalline. LH = Lesser Himalaya. HHL = High Himalaya Leucogranite. LHN = Lesser Himalaya Nappe.

Figure 2 : Relationship between river runoff and chemical erosion rates for carbonate (2a) and silicate (2b) derived from dissolved annual fluxes (Table II). Chemical erosion rates are calculated from the mass flux of dissolved element divided by the total area of the watershed. Each cation is accounted for its oxide mass for silicate erosion (Na_2O , K_2O , MgO ; CaO and SiO_2) or carbonate mass (CaCO_3 and MgCO_3) for carbonate erosion.

Légendes des figures

Figure 1 : Carte du Népal Central avec la position des sites d'échantillonnage du système de rivières. Les contours géologiques sont tirés de Colchen et coll. [11]. TSS = Séries Sédimentaires Téthysiennes. HHC = Haut Himalaya Cristallin ou Dalle du Tibet. LH = Moyen Pays ou Bas Himalaya. HHL = Leucogranite du Haut Himalaya. LHN = Nappes du Bas Himalaya.

Figure 2 : Relations entre écoulement spécifique et érosion chimique des carbonates (2a) et des silicates (2b) dérivés des flux annuels dissous des rivières (Tableau II). Chaque cation dissous est pris en compte pour son poids d'oxyde (Na_2O , K_2O , MgO ; CaO et SiO_2) pour les silicates ou son poids de carbonate (CaCO_3 et MgCO_3) pour les carbonates.

Tableau I - Nouvelles données de concentrations en éléments dissous sur les rivières du Népal Central.

Table I - Unpublished chemical composition of Himalayan River water

Sample	Date	Location	pH	TDS	HCO_3^-	F^-	Cl^-	SO_4^{2-}	Na^+	K^+	Mg^{++}	Ca^{++}	H_2SiO_4^-
MO 2	1/5/97	Marsyandi	8.0	182	1746		341	317	381	60	329	812	150
MO 332	10/7/98	Marsyandi	8.4	116	1113	3.9	59	140	103	62	153	492	135
MO 84	9/5/97	Chepe		32	252		6	78	67	41	25	130	134
MO 364	16/7/98	Chepe	6.5	19	117	0	4	32	39	23	17	44	144
MO 91	10/5/97	Darondi		118	1356		27	102	113	86	248	447	126
MO 335	11/7/98	Darondi	8.2	60	616	2.3	10	30	78	44	102	181	180
MO 375	17/7/98	Darondi		56	576	2.1	10	28	81	41	92	169	176
MO 377	18/7/98	Darondi		52	548	2.1	11	22	70	46	78	167	154
MO 137	14/5/94	Trisuli 2		114	1252		36	112	159	50	146	503	116
MO 300	7/7/98	Trisuli 2		74	797	2.2	10	43	50	41	51	350	86
MO 200	18/5/97	Seti		225	3540		86	257	168	108	584	1349	100
MO 304	7/7/98	Seti		129	1424	0	15	80	46	57	205	543	109
MO 205	18/5/97	Andi		132	1837		52	19	73	34	424	488	100
MO 311	8/7/98	Andi	8.2	68	796	0	21	7	31	16	171	221	91
MO 308	7/7/98	Kali 4	8.6	120	1194	3.7	28	141	61	66	171	520	81
MO 314	8/7/98	Kali 5	8.7	140	1537	4.6	23	97	53	48	308	521	118
MO 500	26/7/98	Kali 1	8.0	180	1514	8.7	208	832	305	49	505	1015	54
MO 515	27/7/98	Kali 2		147	1549	8.6	133	594	212	69	391	908	59
MO 517	27/7/98	Kali 3	8.6	157	1614	5.8	153	413	200	72	317	846	60
MO 215	20/5/97	Narayani	8.3		2387		108	218	236	73	421	896	
MO 330	10/7/98	Narayani	8.4	139	1444	3.9	53	112	97	61	244	538	140
MO 325	9/7/98	Surai	8.4	306	3647	9.3	23	67	546	75	626	970	209

Location according to Fig 1 and Table II. TDS: Total dissolved solids in mg/l, all concentrations in $\mu\text{mol/l}$, $[\text{HCO}_3^-]$ are calculated for charge balance including nitrate concentrations.

Tableau II - Compositions chimiques annuelles moyennes calculées des rivières du Népal Central et flux d'érosion chimiques spécifiques.

Table II - Mean annual chemical compositions calculated for central Nepal river and Chemical erosion fluxes.

Site	Location	Position	Area km ²	Elevation (m)		Discharge 10 ⁶ m ³ /yr	Runoff m/yr	N	TDS mg/l	HCO ₃ μmol/l	Cl	SO ₄	Na	K	Mg	Ca	Sr	Si	Erosion t/yr/km ²	
				Site	Average														Carbonate	Silicate
Chepe	Labsibot	28°N 11'20" 84°E 30'10"	125	1092	2791	283	2.27	2	23	160	4.8	46	48	28	19	72	0.13	141	10	36
Darondi		28°N 04' 42" 84°E 39' 32"	370	700	1963	814	2.20	4	74	808	15.4	49	87	56	137	253	0.13	158	62	45
Andi kohla	Waling	28°N 05' 10" 83°E 50' 50"	249	820	1297	1091	2.29	3	94	1172	29.4	12	43	21	264	318	0.16	99	104	23
Surai		27°N 46' 08" 82°E 50' 52"	<80	300			1.34*	3	377	4379	33.2	139	1276	92	726	940	2.45	226	148	105
Rangsing	Bhaluban	27°N 48' 20" 82°E 47' 00"	<50	385			1.04*	3	296	2594	30.5	58	241	60	386	1304	1.12	148	153	27
Trisuli 1	Betrawati	27°N 58' 08" 85°E 11' 00"	4634	619	4340	5580	1.20	14	73	758	23.2	58	79	39	66	327	0.45	81	39	14
Trisuli 1	Betrawati	27°N 58' 08" 85°E 11' 00"	4634	619	4340	5853	1.26	3	80	819	25.8	67	97	47	75	346	0.47	94	43	18
Trisuli 2	Benighat	27°N 48' 49" 84°E 47' 27"	6131	465	3616	8082	1.32	2	88	955	19.4	67	88	44	84	403	0.29	96	53	18
Kali 1	Tukuche	28°N 42' 30" 83°E 38' 30"	3471	2530	4739	1573	0.45	3	238	1825	323.1	913	439	56	618	1126	5.59	64	66	6
Kali 2	Dana	28°N 32' 00" 83°E 38' 30"	3988	1450	4639	2349	0.59	2	202	1826	234.8	674	312	74	489	1027	4.20	69	75	8
Kali 3	Tatopani	28°N 28' 00" 83°E 38' 30"	4026	1180	4622	2428	0.60	3	200	1845	226.8	501	279	73	416	947	3.36	69	70	8
Kali 4	Baglung	28°N 16' 00" 83°E 36' 30"	5983	740	4077	5724	0.96	3	157	1465	85.5	239	130	67	265	653	1.38	87	73	13
Kali 5	Ramdi	27°N 54' 04" 83°E 38' 02"	10557	460	3073	15991	1.51	3	165	1752	54.7	156	90	49	373	619	1.33	104	123	21
Seti	Damauli	28°N 04' 20" 84°E 04' 15"	994	585	2058	3286	3.31	3	158	2013	36.1	134	81	70	315	769	0.77	107	303	52
Marsyandi	Dumre	27°N 57' 00" 84°E 25' 00"	4119	435	3661	7347	1.78	3	134	1275	136.7	187	177	60	198	578	1.30	132	117	30
Narayani	Narayanghat	27°N 42' 30" 84°E 25' 50"	31795	180	3028	50481	1.59	4	156	1607	66.0	144	123	61	291	600	0.93	140	116	29

N: number of samples used for the average, * : no discharge available, the runoff of the Tinau (Surai) and Rapti (Rangsing) rivers have been used instead. TDS : Total dissolved solids; all concentrations are in μmol/l. Data used to calculate erosion rates are from [7], [13], [14] and table 1.

Fig. 1



