



HAL
open science

A uniform continuity property of the winding number of self-mappings of the circle

Petru Mironescu, Itai Shafrir

► **To cite this version:**

Petru Mironescu, Itai Shafrir. A uniform continuity property of the winding number of self-mappings of the circle. *Pure and Applied Functional Analysis*, 2019, 5 (5), pp.1199-1204. hal-02151571v2

HAL Id: hal-02151571

<https://hal.science/hal-02151571v2>

Submitted on 2 Sep 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

A uniform continuity property of the winding number of self-mappings of the circle

Petru Mironescu⁽¹⁾_{fi}, Itai Shafrir^{(2),(3)}

July 1st, 2019

Dedicated to Haim Brezis, with friendship and admiration on his 75th birthday

Abstract

Let $u : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$. When u is continuous, it has a winding number $\deg u$, which satisfies $\deg u = \deg v$ if $u, v \in C^0(\mathbb{S}^1; \mathbb{S}^1)$ and $\|u - v\|_{L^\infty} < 2$. In particular, $u \mapsto \deg u$ is uniformly continuous for the sup norm.

The winding number $\deg u$ can be naturally defined, by density, when u is merely *VMO*. For such u 's, the winding number \deg is continuous with respect to the *BMO* norm.

Let $1 < p < \infty$. In view of the above and of the embedding $W^{1/p,p}(\mathbb{S}^1) \hookrightarrow VMO$, maps in $W^{1/p,p}(\mathbb{S}^1; \mathbb{S}^1)$ have a well-defined winding number, continuous with respect to the $W^{1/p,p}$ norm. However, an example due to Brezis and Nirenberg yields sequences $(u_n), (v_n) \subset W^{1/p,p}(\mathbb{S}^1; \mathbb{S}^1)$ such that $\|u_n - v_n\|_{W^{1/p,p}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\deg u_n \neq \deg v_n, \forall n$. Thus \deg is not uniformly continuous with respect to the $W^{1/p,p}$ norm (and, a fortiori, with respect to the *BMO* norm).

The above sequences satisfy $\|u_n\|_{W^{1/p,p}} \rightarrow \infty, \|v_n\|_{W^{1/p,p}} \rightarrow \infty$. We prove that a similar phenomenon cannot occur for bounded sequences. More specifically, we prove the following uniform continuity result. Given $1 < p < \infty$ and $M > 0$, there exists some $\delta = \delta(p, M) > 0$ such that

$$[\|u\|_{W^{1/p,p}} \leq M, \|u - v\|_{W^{1/p,p}} \leq \delta] \implies \deg u = \deg v.$$

1 Introduction

If $u \in C^0(\mathbb{S}^1; \mathbb{S}^1)$, then u has a winding number $\deg u$, which is continuous with respect to the uniform convergence, and in particular is a homotopic invariant. In fact, \deg is “better than just continuous”: it is uniformly continuous, since

$$[u, v \in C^0(\mathbb{S}^1; \mathbb{S}^1), \|u - v\|_{L^\infty} < 2] \implies \deg u = \deg v. \tag{1.1}$$

The winding number can still be “naturally” defined (i.e., by density, starting from smooth maps) when u is slightly less than continuous, more specifically when $u \in VMO(\mathbb{S}^1; \mathbb{S}^1)$. This has been first noticed by Boutet de Monvel and Gabber [3, Appendix] for the space $H^{1/2}(\mathbb{S}^1; \mathbb{S}^1)$, and then extended and investigated in depth by Brezis and Nirenberg for maps $u \in VMO(\mathbb{S}^1; \mathbb{S}^1)$ [5]. While, in this setting, the winding number is still continuous with respect to norm convergence,

Keywords. Sobolev space; VMO; winding number
2010 AMS classification subject. 46E35

and thus provides a homotopic invariant, there is no *global* analogue of (1.1), even if we replace the *BMO* norm by one of the *stronger* norms $W^{1/p,p}$, $1 < p < \infty$. More specifically, a construction from [5] yields two sequences of smooth maps $u_n, v_n : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ such that

$$\|u_n - v_n\|_{W^{1/p,p}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \forall 1 < p < \infty, \text{ and } \deg u_n \neq \deg v_n, \forall n \quad (1.2)$$

(see [4, Lemma 6.4]). Here, $\| \cdot \|_{W^{1/p,p}} = \| \cdot \|_{L^p} + | \cdot |_{W^{s,p}}$, where $| \cdot |_{W^{s,p}}$ stands for the Gagliardo seminorm, given for $0 < s < 1$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ and Ω , an N -dimensional Lipschitz bounded domain or compact embedded manifold, by

$$|u|_{W^{s,p}}^p = \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy.$$

(The fact that the $W^{1/p,p}$ norm is stronger than the *BMO* one on \mathbb{S}^1 follows from the embedding $W^{1/p,p} \hookrightarrow BMO$, valid in 1D.)

We prove that the above phenomenon can occur only when u_n, v_n “escape to infinity”, that is, we have uniform continuity of the degree on bounded sets:

Theorem 1. Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $M > 0$. Then there exists some $\delta = \delta(p, M) > 0$ such that

$$[u, v \in W^{1/p,p}(\mathbb{S}^1; \mathbb{S}^1), |u|_{W^{1/p,p}} \leq M, |u - v|_{W^{1/p,p}} \leq \delta] \implies \deg u = \deg v. \quad (1.3)$$

This provides a partial (positive) answer to [4, Open Problem 3].

Remark 1. The new contribution of this note concerns the case where $p > 2$. When $1 < p \leq 2$, Theorem 1 is a special case of [4, Proposition 7.9]. This result asserts that, when $N \geq 1$ and $1 < p \leq N + 1$, the degree of maps in $u \in W^{N/p,p}(\mathbb{S}^N; \mathbb{S}^N)$ is uniformly continuous on bounded sets.

Theorem 1 settles thus completely the case of the dimension one. We point out that its analogue for $W^{N/p,p}(\mathbb{S}^N; \mathbb{S}^N)$ maps, with $N \geq 2$ and $p > N + 1$, is widely open.

Remark 2. When $1 < p \leq 2$, the proof of [4, Proposition 7.9] yields an *explicit* δ (in terms of M and p) in (1.3). A similar explicit estimate eludes us when $p > 2$.

Acknowledgments

This work was completed while the second autor (IS) was a CNRS visiting professor at the Camille Jordan Institute. He thanks the CNRS and the Institute for their support. He was also supported by the Israel Science Foundation (Grant No. 894/18).

2 Proof

We first recall some basic properties of the winding number. Given $p \in (1, \infty)$, $\deg u$ for $u \in W^{1/p,p}(\mathbb{S}^1; \mathbb{S}^1)$ is defined as follows. To start with, $C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^1; \mathbb{S}^1)$ is dense in $W^{1/p,p}(\mathbb{S}^1; \mathbb{S}^1)$ [5, Lemmas A.11 and A.12], and the map $u \mapsto \deg u$, initially defined for smooth maps $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^1; \mathbb{S}^1)$, is continuous with respect to the *BMO* norm [5, Theorem 1]. In view of the embedding $W^{1/p,p} \hookrightarrow VMO$ in 1D, we find that \deg has a unique continuous extension to $W^{1/p,p}(\mathbb{S}^1; \mathbb{S}^1)$. In particular, it suffices to work in (1.3) with *smooth maps*.

For further use, let us note the property

$$\deg(uv) = \deg u + \deg v, \forall u, v \in W^{1/p,p}(\mathbb{S}^1; \mathbb{S}^1). \quad (2.1)$$

As a consequence of (2.1), we have

$$\deg \bar{u} = -\deg u, \forall u \in W^{1/p,p}(\mathbb{S}^1; \mathbb{S}^1). \quad (2.2)$$

These properties are well known if u and v are smooth. The general case is obtained by density, using the continuity of the degree with respect to the $W^{1/p,p}$ norm and the following easy and standard fact (“ $W^{s,p} \cap L^\infty$ is an algebra”).

Lemma 1. Let $0 < s < 1$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$. Let Ω be an N -dimensional Lipschitz bounded domain or compact embedded manifold. If $(u_n), (v_n) \subset W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{C})$ and $u, v \in W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{C})$ satisfy

$$|u_n - u|_{W^{s,p}} \rightarrow 0, |v_n - v|_{W^{s,p}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (2.3)$$

$$u_n \rightarrow u \text{ and } v_n \rightarrow v \text{ a.e. as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\|u_n\|_{L^\infty} \leq C, \|v_n\|_{L^\infty} \leq C, \forall n, \quad (2.5)$$

then

$$|u_n v_n - uv|_{W^{s,p}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.6)$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} |[(u_n - u)v](x) - [(u_n - u)v](y)|^p &\lesssim |(u_n - u)(x)|^p |v(x) - v(y)|^p \\ &\quad + |(u_n - u)(x) - (u_n - u)(y)|^p |v(y)|^p, \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} |u_n v - uv|_{W^{s,p}}^p &\lesssim \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|(u_n - u)(x)|^p |v(x) - v(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|(u_n - u)(x) - (u_n - u)(y)|^p |v(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty; \end{aligned}$$

here, we use dominated convergence for the first integral, and the facts that v is bounded and $|u_n - u|_{W^{s,p}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for the second integral. Thus $|u_n v - uv|_{W^{s,p}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Similarly, $|u v_n - uv|_{W^{s,p}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In view of the identity

$$u_n v_n - uv = (u_n v - uv) + (u v_n - uv) + (u_n - u)(v_n - v),$$

it remains to prove that $|(u_n - u)(v_n - v)|_{W^{s,p}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This follows, by dominated convergence, from

$$\begin{aligned} |(u_n - u)(v_n - v)|_{W^{s,p}}^p &\lesssim \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|(u_n - u)(x)|^p |(v_n - v)(x) - (v_n - v)(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|(u_n - u)(x) - (u_n - u)(y)|^p |(v_n - v)(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Given $u : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ measurable and bounded, we let $T_u : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ denote its harmonic extension to the unit disc \mathbb{D} . We set

$$c'_p := \inf\{|u|_{W^{1/p,p}}^p; u \in W^{1/p,p}(\mathbb{S}^1; \mathbb{S}^1), T_u(0) = 0\}. \quad (2.8)$$

(Clearly, the inf is achieved in (2.8), but we will not need this fact.) We have [6, Section 2, item 5]

$$c'_p > 0. \quad (2.9)$$

We will prove (1.3) by complete (strong) induction on the integer part L of $\frac{M^p}{c'_p}$.

Step 1. Proof of (1.3) when $L = 0$

Let $\delta > 0$ be such that $(M + \delta)^p := c < c'_p$. If u, v are smooth and as in (1.3), then $|u|_{W^{1/p,p}}^p \leq c$ and $|v|_{W^{1/p,p}}^p \leq c$, and therefore there exists some $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $|T_u(x)| \geq \varepsilon$, $|T_v(x)| \geq \varepsilon$, $\forall x \in \mathbb{D}$ [6, Section 2, items 8 and 9]. Thus $u, v : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ are smooth functions with smooth non vanishing extensions to $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. It follows that $\deg u = \deg v = 0$.

Step 2. Proof of (1.3) for $L \geq 1$ (assuming that (1.3) holds for $0, \dots, L-1$)

Argue by contradiction. Then there exist $c < (L+1)c'_p$ and sequences $(u_n), (v_n) \subset C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^1; \mathbb{S}^1)$ such that $|u_n|_{W^{1/p,p}}^p \leq c$, $|v_n|_{W^{1/p,p}}^p \leq c$, $\deg u_n \neq \deg v_n$, $\forall n$, and $|u_n - v_n|_{W^{1/p,p}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Since at least one of the integers $\deg u_n, \deg v_n$ is non zero, we may assume that $\deg u_n \neq 0$, $\forall n$. Therefore, T_{u_n} has to vanish at some point $a_n \in \mathbb{D}$.

Let $M_a(z) = \frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a}z}$, $\forall a \in \mathbb{D}$, $\forall z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, denote the (normalized) Möbius transformation vanishing at a . Let $N_a : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ be the restriction of M_a to \mathbb{S}^1 . We note the following properties, valid for each $a \in \mathbb{D}$ and each $u \in C^0(\mathbb{S}^1; \mathbb{S}^1)$ [6, Section 2, item 1].

$$\deg(u \circ N_a) = \deg u, \quad (2.10)$$

$$T_{u \circ N_a} = (T_u) \circ M_a, \quad (2.11)$$

$$T_{u \circ N_a}(0) = T_u(a). \quad (2.12)$$

In addition, we have [6, Section 2, item 2]

$$|f \circ N_a|_{W^{1/p,p}} = |f|_{W^{1/p,p}}, \quad \forall 1 < p < \infty, \forall f \in W^{1/p,p}(\mathbb{S}^1; \mathbb{C}). \quad (2.13)$$

We consider $U_n := u_n \circ N_{a_n}$ and $V_n := v_n \circ N_{a_n}$. By properties (2.10)–(2.13) above and by the assumptions on u_n and v_n , we have

$$|U_n|_{W^{1/p,p}}^p \leq c \text{ and } |V_n|_{W^{1/p,p}}^p \leq c, \quad (2.14)$$

$$T_{U_n}(0) = 0, \quad \forall n, \quad (2.15)$$

$$\deg U_n \neq \deg V_n, \quad \forall n, \quad (2.16)$$

$$|U_n - V_n|_{W^{1/p,p}} \rightarrow 0 \quad (2.17)$$

and, possibly up to subsequences still denoted (U_n) and (V_n) ,

$$U_n \rightarrow U \in W^{1/p,p}(\mathbb{S}^1; \mathbb{S}^1) \text{ a.e. and weakly in } W^{1/p,p} \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (2.18)$$

$$V_n \rightarrow V \in W^{1/p,p}(\mathbb{S}^1; \mathbb{S}^1) \text{ a.e. and weakly in } W^{1/p,p} \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (2.19)$$

$$U - V = C \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (2.20)$$

$$T_U(0) = 0. \quad (2.21)$$

The last property follows, by dominated convergence, from (2.15), (2.18) and the fact that $T_v(0) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} v(x) dx$, $\forall v \in L^1(\mathbb{S}^1; \mathbb{C})$.

Claim 1. We have $C = 0$, and thus

$$V_n \rightarrow U \text{ a.e. as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.22)$$

Granted Claim 1, we continue as follows. Since $U_n \rightarrow U$ and $V_n \rightarrow U$ a.e. as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have [6, Section 2, item 10]

$$|U_n|_{W^{1/p,p}}^p = |U|_{W^{1/p,p}}^p + |U_n \overline{U}|_{W^{1/p,p}}^p + o(1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (2.23)$$

$$|V_n|_{W^{1/p,p}}^p = |U|_{W^{1/p,p}}^p + |V_n \overline{U}|_{W^{1/p,p}}^p + o(1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.24)$$

Combining (2.21), the fact that $U \in W^{1/p,p}(\mathbb{S}^1; \mathbb{S}^1)$ and the definition (2.8) of c'_p , we obtain

$$|U|_{W^{1/p,p}}^p \geq c'_p. \quad (2.25)$$

From (2.23)–(2.25), (2.1) and (2.2), we find that $\tilde{U}_n := U_n \bar{U}$ and $\tilde{V}_n := V_n \bar{U}$ satisfy

$$|\tilde{U}_n|_{W^{1/p,p}}^p \leq c - c'_p + o(1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (2.26)$$

$$|\tilde{V}_n|_{W^{1/p,p}}^p \leq c - c'_p + o(1), \quad (2.27)$$

$$\deg \tilde{U}_n = \deg U_n - \deg U \neq \deg V_n - \deg U = \deg \tilde{V}_n, \quad \forall n. \quad (2.28)$$

Claim 2. We have

$$|\tilde{U}_n - \tilde{V}_n|_{W^{1/p,p}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.29)$$

Granted Claim 2 and using (2.26)–(2.28) together with the fact that $c - c'_p < L c'_p$, we find that the sequences (\tilde{U}_n) , (\tilde{V}_n) contradict, for large n , the induction hypothesis.

In order to complete the proof of the theorem, it thus remains to justify Claims 1 and 2.

Proof of Claim 1. Since $|U| = 1$ and $|V| = 1$ a.e., (2.20) implies that U takes values, a.e., in the set $\mathbb{S}^1 \cap (C + \mathbb{S}^1)$. When $C \neq 0$, this set contains at most two points. Since the essential range of U is connected [5, Section I.5, Comment 2], we find that U is constant a.e. Thus T_U is a constant of modulus 1, which is impossible, by (2.21).

Proof of Claim 2. Let us note that $\bar{U} \in W^{1/p,p} \cap L^\infty$. Claim 2 is then a consequence of (2.22) and Lemma 1, applied with $u_n = U_n - V_n, v_n = \bar{U}, u = 0$ and $v = \bar{U}$. \square

Remark 3. The idea of “extracting” information concerning a map $u : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ from the behavior of an appropriate extension of u to \mathbb{D} (which is at the heart of the asymptotic analysis in Step 2) originates in [1], where the harmonic extension is considered; see also [2] for an extension by averages.

References

- [1] J. Bourgain, H. Brezis, and P. Mironescu, *Lifting, degree, and distributional Jacobian revisited*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **58** (2005), no 4, 529–551.
- [2] J. Bourgain, H. Brezis, and H.-M. Nguyen, *A new estimate for the topological degree*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, **340** (2005), no 11, 787–791.
- [3] A. Boutet de Monvel-Berthier, V. Georgescu, and R. Purice, *A boundary value problem related to the Ginzburg-Landau model*, Comm. Math. Phys., **142** (1991), no 1, 1–23.
- [4] H. Brezis, P. Mironescu, and I. Shafrir, *Distances between homotopy classes of $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{S}^N; \mathbb{S}^N)$* , ESAIM COCV, **22** (2016), 1204–1235.
- [5] H. Brezis and L. Nirenberg, *Degree theory and BMO. I. Compact manifolds without boundaries*, Selecta Math. (N.S.), **1** (1995), no 2, 197–263.
- [6] P. Mironescu, *Profile decomposition and phase control for circle-valued maps in one dimension*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, **353** (2015), no 12, 1087–1092.

⁽¹⁾ Univ Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS UMR 5208, Institut Camille Jordan,
F-69622 Villeurbanne, France
mironescu@math.univ-lyon1.fr

⁽²⁾ Department of Mathematics, Technion - I.I.T., 32000 Haifa, Israel
shafrir@math.technion.ac.il

⁽³⁾ Univ Lyon, CNRS, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, UMR 5208, Institut Camille Jordan,
F-69622 Villeurbanne, France