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#### Abstract

Let $u: \mathbb{S}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{1}$. When $u$ is continuous, it has a winding number $\operatorname{deg} u$, which satisfies $\operatorname{deg} u=\operatorname{deg} v$ if $u, v \in C^{0}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1} ; \mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ and $\|u-v\|_{L^{\infty}<2}$. In particular, $u \mapsto \operatorname{deg} u$ is uniformly continuous for the sup norm.

The winding number $\operatorname{deg} u$ can be naturally defined, by density, when $u$ is merely VMO. For such $u$ 's, the winding number deg is continuous with respect to the BMO norm.

Let $1<p<\infty$. In view of the above and of the embedding $W^{1 / p, p}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{VMO}$, maps in $W^{1 / p, p}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1} ; \mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ have a well-defined winding number, continuous with respect to the $W^{1 / p, p}$ norm. However, an example due to Brezis and Nirenberg yields sequences $\left(u_{n}\right),\left(v_{n}\right) \subset$ $W^{1 / p, p}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1} ; \mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ such that $\left\|u_{n}-v_{n}\right\|_{W^{1 / p, p}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\operatorname{deg} u_{n} \neq \operatorname{deg} v_{n}, \forall n$. Thus deg is not uniformly continuous with respect to the $W^{1 / p, p}$ norm (and, a fortiori, with respect to the $B M O$ norm).

The above sequences satisfy $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{W^{1 / p, p}} \rightarrow \infty,\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{W^{1 / p, p}} \rightarrow \infty$. We prove that a similar phenomenon cannot occur for bounded sequences. More specifically, we prove the following uniform continuity result. Given $1<p<\infty$ and $M>0$, there exists some $\delta=\delta(p, M)>0$ such that


$$
\left[\|u\|_{W^{1 / p, p}} \leq M,\|u-v\|_{W^{1 / p, p}} \leq \delta\right] \Longrightarrow \operatorname{deg} u=\operatorname{deg} v
$$

## 1 Introduction

If $u \in C^{0}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1} ; \mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$, then $u$ has a winding number $\operatorname{deg} u$, which is continuous with respect to the uniform convergence, and in particular is a homotopic invariant. In fact, deg is "better than just continuous": it is uniformly continuous, since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[u, v \in C^{0}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1} ; \mathbb{S}^{1}\right),\|u-v\|_{L^{\infty}}<2\right] \Longrightarrow \operatorname{deg} u=\operatorname{deg} v \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The winding number can still be "naturally" defined (i.e., by density, starting from smooth maps) when $u$ is slightly less than continuous, more specifically when $u \in \operatorname{VMO}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1} ; \mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$. This has been first noticed by Boutet de Monvel and Gabber [1, Appendix] for the space $H^{1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1} ; \mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$, and then extended and investigated in depth by Brezis and Nirenberg for maps $u \in \operatorname{VMO}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1} ; \mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ [3]. While, in this setting, the winding number is still continuous with respect to the norm
convergence, and thus provides a homotopic invariant, there is no global analogue of (1.1), even if we replace the $B M O$ norm by one of the stronger norms $W^{1 / p, p}, 1<p<\infty$. More specifically, a construction from [3] yields two sequences of smooth maps $u_{n}, v_{n}: \mathbb{S}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{n}-v_{n}\right\|_{W^{1 / p, p}} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty, \forall 1<p<\infty, \text { and } \operatorname{deg} u_{n} \neq \operatorname{deg} v_{n}, \forall n \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see [2, Lemma 6.4]). Here, $\left\|\left\|_{W^{1 / p, p}}=\right\|\right\|_{L^{p}}+| |_{W^{1 / p, p}}$, where $\left|\left.\right|_{W^{s, p}}\right.$ stands for the Gagliardo seminorm, given for $0<s<1,1 \leq p<\infty$ and $\Omega$, an $N$-dimensional Lipschitz bounded domain or compact embedded manifold, by

$$
|u|_{W^{s, p}}^{p}=\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^{p}}{|x-y|^{N+s p}} d x d y .
$$

(The fact that the $W^{1 / p, p}$ norm is stronger than the $B M O$ one on $\mathbb{S}^{1}$ follows from the embedding $W^{1 / p, p} \hookrightarrow B M O$, valid in 1D.)

We prove that the above phenomenon can occur only when $u_{n}, v_{n}$ "escape to infinity", that is, we have uniform continuity of the degree on bounded sets:

Theorem 1. Let $1<p<\infty$ and $M>0$. Then there exists some $\delta=\delta(p, M)>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[u, v \in W^{1 / p, p}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1} ; \mathbb{S}^{1}\right),|u|_{W^{1 / p, p}} \leq M,|u-v|_{W^{1 / p, p}} \leq \delta\right] \Longrightarrow \operatorname{deg} u=\operatorname{deg} v . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This provides a partial (positive) answer to [2, Open Problem 3].
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## 2 Proof

We first recall some basic properties of the winding number. Given $p \in(1, \infty), \operatorname{deg} u$ for $u \in$ $W^{1 / p, p}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1} ; \mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ is defined as follows. To start with, $C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1} ; \mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ is dense in $W^{1 / p, p}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1} ; \mathbb{S}^{1}\right)[3$, Lemmas A. 11 and A.12], and the map $u \mapsto \operatorname{deg} u$, initially defined for smooth maps $u \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1} ; \mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$, is continuous with respect to the $B M O$ norm [3, Theorem 1]. In view of the embedding $W^{1 / p, p} \hookrightarrow$ $V M O$ in 1D, we find that deg has a unique continuous extension to $W^{1 / p, p}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1} ; \mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$. In particular, it suffices to work in (1.3) with smooth maps.

For further use, let us note the property

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg}(u v)=\operatorname{deg} u+\operatorname{deg} v, \forall u, v \in W^{1 / p, p}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1} ; \mathbb{S}^{1}\right) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a consequence of (2.1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg} \bar{u}=-\operatorname{deg} u, \forall u \in W^{1 / p, p}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1} ; \mathbb{S}^{1}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

These properties are well-known if $u$ and $v$ are smooth. The general case is obtained by density, using the continuity of the degree with respect to the $W^{1 / p, p}$ norm and the following easy and standard fact (" $W^{s, p} \cap L^{\infty}$ is an algebra").

Lemma 1. Let $0<s<1$ and $1 \leq p<\infty$. Let $\Omega$ be an $N$-dimensional Lipschitz bounded domain or compact embedded manifold. If $\left(u_{n}\right),\left(v_{n}\right) \subset W^{s, p}(\Omega ; \mathbb{C})$ and $u, v \in W^{s, p}(\Omega ; \mathbb{C})$ satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|u_{n}-u\right|_{W^{s, p}} \rightarrow 0,\left|v_{n}-v\right|_{W^{s, p}} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty,  \tag{2.3}\\
& u_{n} \rightarrow u \text { and } v_{n} \rightarrow v \text { a.e. as } n \rightarrow \infty,  \tag{2.4}\\
& \left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq C,\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq C, \forall n, \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{n} v_{n}-u v\right|_{W^{s, p}} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\left[\left(u_{n}-u\right) v\right](x)-\left[\left(u_{n}-u\right) v\right](y)\right|^{p} & \lesssim\left|\left(u_{n}-u\right)(x)\right|^{p}|v(x)-v(y)|^{p} \\
& +\left|\left(u_{n}-u\right)(x)-\left(u_{n}-u\right)(y)\right|^{p}|v(y)|^{p}, \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|u_{n} v-u v\right|_{W^{s, p}}^{p} \lesssim & \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|\left(u_{n}-u\right)(x)\right|^{p}|v(x)-v(y)|^{p}}{|x-y|^{N+s p}} d x d y \\
& +\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|\left(u_{n}-u\right)(x)-\left(u_{n}-u\right)(y)\right|^{p}|v(y)|^{p}}{|x-y|^{N+s p}} d x d y \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

here, we use dominated convergence for the first integral, and the facts that $v$ is bounded and $\left|u_{n}-u\right|_{W^{s, p}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for the second integral. Thus $\left|u_{n} v-u v\right|_{W^{s}, p} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Similarly, $\left|u v_{n}-u v\right|_{W^{s, p}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In view of the identity

$$
u_{n} v_{n}-u v=\left(u_{n} v-u v\right)+\left(u v_{n}-u v\right)+\left(u_{n}-u\right)\left(v_{n}-v\right),
$$

it remains to prove that $\left|\left(u_{n}-u\right)\left(v_{n}-v\right)\right|_{W^{s, p}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This follows, by dominated convergence, from

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(u_{n}-u\right)\left(v_{n}-v\right)\right|_{W^{s, p}}^{p} & \lesssim \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|\left(u_{n}-u\right)(x)\right|^{p}\left|\left(v_{n}-v\right)(x)-\left(v_{n}-v\right)(y)\right|^{p}}{|x-y|^{N+s p}} d x d y \\
& +\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|\left(u_{n}-u\right)(x)-\left(u_{n}-u\right)(y)\right|^{p}\left|\left(v_{n}-v\right)(y)\right|^{p}}{|x-y|^{N+s p}} d x d y .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Given $u: \mathbb{S}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ measurable and bounded, we let $T_{u}: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ denote its harmonic extension to the unit disc $\mathbb{D}$. We set

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{p}^{\prime}:=\inf \left\{|u|_{W^{1 / p}, p}^{p} ; u \in W^{1 / p, p}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1} ; \mathbb{S}^{1}\right), T_{u}(0)=0\right\} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Clearly, the inf is achieved in (2.8), but we will need this fact.) We have [4, Section 2, item 5]

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{p}^{\prime}>0 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will prove (1.3) by complete (strong) induction on the integer part $L$ of $\frac{M^{p}}{c_{p}^{\prime}}$.
Step 1. Proof of (1.3) when $L=0$
Let $\delta>0$ be such that $(M+\delta)^{p}:=c<c_{p}^{\prime}$. If $u, v$ are smooth and as in (1.3), then $|u|_{W^{1 p, p}}^{p} \leq c$ and $|v|_{W^{1 / p, p}}^{p} \leq c$, and therefore there exists some $\varepsilon>0$ such that $\left|T_{u}(x)\right| \geq \varepsilon,\left|T_{v}(x)\right| \geq \varepsilon, \forall x \in \mathbb{D}[4$, Section 2, items 8 and 9]. Thus $u, v: \mathbb{S}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{1}$ are smooth functions with smooth non vanishing extensions to $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. It follows that $\operatorname{deg} u=\operatorname{deg} v=0$.

Step 2. Proof of (1.3) for $L \geq 1$ (assuming that (1.3) holds for $0, \ldots, L-1$ )
Argue by contradiction. Then there exist $c<(L+1) c_{p}^{\prime}$ and sequences $\left(u_{n}\right),\left(v_{n}\right) \subset C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1} ; \mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ such that $\left|u_{n}\right|_{W^{1 / p, p}}^{p} \leq c,\left|v_{n}\right|_{W^{1 / p, p}}^{p} \leq c, \operatorname{deg} u_{n} \neq \operatorname{deg} v_{n}, \forall n$, and $\left|u_{n}-v_{n}\right|_{W^{1 / p, p}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Since at least one the the integers $\operatorname{deg} u_{n}, \operatorname{deg} v_{n}$ is non zero, we may assume that $\operatorname{deg} u_{n} \neq 0$, $\forall n$. Therefore, $T_{u_{n}}$ has to vanish at some point $a_{n} \in \mathbb{D}$.

Let $M_{a}(z)=\frac{a^{n}-z}{1-\bar{a} z}, \forall a \in \mathbb{D}, \forall z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, denote the (normalized) Möbius transform vanishing at $a$. Let $N_{a}: \mathbb{S}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{1}$ be the restriction of $M_{a}$ to $\mathbb{S}^{1}$. We note the following properties, valid for each $a \in \mathbb{D}$ and each $u \in C^{0}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1} ; \mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ [4, Section 2, item 1].

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{deg}\left(u \circ N_{a}\right)=\operatorname{deg} u,  \tag{2.10}\\
& T_{u \circ N_{a}}=\left(T_{u}\right) \circ M_{a},  \tag{2.11}\\
& T_{u \circ N_{a}}(0)=T_{u}(a) . \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

In addition, we have [4, Section 2, item 2]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f \circ N_{a}\right|_{W^{1 / p, p}}=|f|_{W^{1 / p, p}}, \forall 1<p<\infty, \forall f \in W^{1 / p, p}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1} ; \mathbb{C}\right) . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider $U_{n}:=u_{n} \circ N_{a_{n}}$ and $V_{n}:=v_{n} \circ N_{a_{n}}$. By properties (2.10)-(2.13) above and by the assumptions on $u_{n}$ and $v_{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|U_{n}\right|_{W^{1 / p, p}}^{p} \leq c \text { and }\left|V_{n}\right|_{W^{1 / p, p}}^{p} \leq c,  \tag{2.14}\\
& T_{U_{n}}(0)=0, \forall n,  \tag{2.15}\\
& \operatorname{deg} U_{n} \neq \operatorname{deg} V_{n}, \forall n,  \tag{2.16}\\
& \left|U_{n}-V_{n}\right|_{W^{1 / p, p}} \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

and, possibly up to subsequences still denoted $\left(U_{n}\right)$ and $\left(V_{n}\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& U_{n} \rightarrow U \in W^{1 / p, p}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1} ; \mathbb{S}^{1}\right) \text { a.e. and weakly in } W^{1 / p, p} \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty,  \tag{2.18}\\
& V_{n} \rightarrow V \in W^{1 / p, p}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1} ; \mathbb{S}^{1}\right) \text { a.e. and weakly in } W^{1 / p, p} \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty,  \tag{2.19}\\
& U-V=C \in \mathbb{C},  \tag{2.20}\\
& T_{U}(0)=0 . \tag{2.21}
\end{align*}
$$

The last property follows, by dominated convergence, from (2.15), (2.18) and the fact that $T_{v}(0)=f_{\mathbb{S}^{1}} v(x) d x, \forall v \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1} ; \mathbb{C}\right)$.

Claim 1. We have $C=0$, and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{n} \rightarrow U \text { a.e. as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Granted Claim 1, we continue as follows. Since $U_{n} \rightarrow U$ and $V_{n} \rightarrow U$ a.e. as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have [4, Section 2, item 10]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|U_{n}\right|_{W^{1 / p, p}}^{p}=|U|_{W^{1 / p, p}}^{p}+\left|U_{n} \bar{U}\right|_{W^{1 / p, p}}^{p}+o(1) \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty,  \tag{2.23}\\
& \left|V_{n}\right|_{W^{1 / p, p}}^{p}=|U|_{W^{1 / p, p}}^{p}+\left|V_{n} \bar{U}\right|_{W^{1 / p, p}}^{p}+o(1) \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty . \tag{2.24}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (2.21), the fact that $U \in W^{1 / p, p}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1} ; \mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ and the definition (2.8) of $c_{p}^{\prime}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
|U|_{W^{1 / p, p}}^{p} \geq c_{p}^{\prime} . \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.23)-(2.25), (2.1) and (2.2), we find that $\widetilde{U}_{n}:=U_{n} \bar{U}$ and $\widetilde{V}_{n}:=V_{n} \bar{U}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\widetilde{U}_{n}\right|_{W^{1 / p, p}}^{p} \leq c-c_{p}^{\prime}+o(1) \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty,  \tag{2.26}\\
& \left|\widetilde{V}_{n}\right|_{W^{1 / p, p}}^{p} \leq c-c_{p}^{\prime}+o(1),  \tag{2.27}\\
& \operatorname{deg} \widetilde{U}_{n}=\operatorname{deg} U_{n}-\operatorname{deg} U \neq \operatorname{deg} V_{n}-\operatorname{deg} U=\operatorname{deg} \widetilde{V}_{n}, \forall n . \tag{2.28}
\end{align*}
$$

Claim 2. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\widetilde{U}_{n}-\widetilde{V}_{n}\right|_{W^{1 / p, p}} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty . \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Granted Claim 2 and using (2.26)-(2.28) together with the fact that $c-c_{p}^{\prime}<L c_{p}^{\prime}$, we find that the sequences $\left(\widetilde{U}_{n}\right),\left(\widetilde{V}_{n}\right)$ contradict, for large $n$, the induction hypothesis.

In order to complete the proof of the theorem, it thus remains to justify Claims 1 and 2.
Proof of Claim 1. Since $|U|=1$ and $|V|=1$ a.e., (2.20) implies that $U$ takes values, a.e., into the set $\mathbb{S}^{1} \cap\left(C+\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$. When $C \neq 0$, this set contains at most two points. Since the essential range of $U$ is connected [3, Section I.5, Comment 2], we find that $U$ is constant a.e. Thus $T_{U}$ is a constant of modulus 1, which is impossible, by (2.21).
Proof of Claim 2. Let us note that $\bar{U} \in W^{1 / p, p} \cap L^{\infty}$. Claim 2 is then a consequence of (2.22) and Lemma 1, applied with $u_{n}=U_{n}-V_{n}, v_{n}=\bar{U}, u=0$ and $v=\bar{U}$.

Remark 1. When $p \leq 2$, it is possible to produce an explicit $\delta$ (in terms of $M$ and $p$ ) in (1.3) [2, Proposition 7.9]. A similar explicit estimate eludes us when $p>2$.

Remark 2. The analogue of Theorem 1 for maps $u \in W^{N / p, p}\left(\mathbb{S}^{N} ; \mathbb{S}^{N}\right), N \geq 2, p>N+1$, is widely open. By contrast, we know that the corresponding result holds (with an explicit $\delta$ ) when $p \leq N+1$ [2, Proposition 7.9].
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