



**HAL**  
open science

# Amyloid PETs are commonly negative in suspected Alzheimer's disease with an increase in CSF phosphorylated-tau protein concentration but an A $\beta$ 42 concentration in the very high range: a prospective study

Chloé Manca, Thérèse Rivasseau Jonveaux, Véronique Roch, Pierre-Yves Marie, Gilles Karcher, Zohra Lamiral, Catherine Malaplate, Antoine Verger

## ► To cite this version:

Chloé Manca, Thérèse Rivasseau Jonveaux, Véronique Roch, Pierre-Yves Marie, Gilles Karcher, et al.. Amyloid PETs are commonly negative in suspected Alzheimer's disease with an increase in CSF phosphorylated-tau protein concentration but an A $\beta$ 42 concentration in the very high range: a prospective study. *Journal of Neurology*, 2019, 266 (7), pp.1685-1692. 10.1007/s00415-019-09315-y . hal-02144154

**HAL Id: hal-02144154**

**<https://hal.science/hal-02144154>**

Submitted on 30 Nov 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

**Amyloid PET are commonly negative in suspected Alzheimer's disease with an increase in CSF phosphorylated-tau protein concentration but an A $\beta$ 42 concentration far from the abnormal zone: a prospective study**

*Chloé Manca<sup>1</sup>, Thérèse Rivasseau Jonveaux<sup>3</sup>, Véronique Roch<sup>1</sup>, Pierre-Yves Marie,<sup>1,3</sup> Gilles Karcher<sup>1</sup>, Zohra Lamiral<sup>4</sup>, Catherine Malaplate<sup>5</sup>, Antoine Verger<sup>1,6</sup>*

<sup>1</sup> *Université de Lorraine, CHRU-Nancy, Department of Nuclear Medicine & Nancyclotep Imaging platform, Nancy, F-54000 France;*

<sup>2</sup> *Université de Lorraine, CHRU-Nancy, Department of Geriatrics, Nancy, F-54000 France;*

<sup>3</sup> *Université de Lorraine, INSERM, UMR-1116 DCAC, Nancy F-54000 France;*

<sup>4</sup> *Université de Lorraine, CHRU-Nancy, INSERM, CIC 1433, Nancy, F-54000 France;*

<sup>5</sup> *Université de Lorraine, CHRU-Nancy, Department of Biochemistry, Molecular Biology and Nutrition, Nancy, F-54000 France;*

<sup>6</sup> *Université de Lorraine, INSERM, UMR-947 IADI, Nancy, F-54000 France.*

**Address for correspondence:**

Antoine VERGER, a.verger@chru-nancy.fr

Service de de Médecine Nucléaire, rue du Morvan, 54500 Vandoeuvre-les-Nancy, France

Tel: +33-4383153911; Fax: +33-383153839

*Funding*

This work was carried out thanks to the support of the Nancyclotep Imaging Platform and Nancy University Hospital.

*Acknowledgements*

The authors thank Pierre Pothier for critical review of the manuscript.

## **Abstract**

Atypical cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) patterns, involving an increase in the concentration of phosphorylated-tau (P-tau) proteins but normal amyloid- $\beta$  concentration, are not uncommon in patients with mild neurocognitive disorders and suspected Alzheimer's disease (AD). In these conditions, however, AD diagnosis may be ruled out in the absence of any amyloid deposition at positron emission tomography (PET). This pilot cross-sectional study was aimed to determine whether this negativity of amyloid PET can be predicted by CSF profiles in such patients.

**Methods:** Twenty-five patients (73[68-80] years, 10 women) with mild neurocognitive disorders, suspected AD and an increase in the CSF concentration of P-tau proteins but normal A $\beta$ 42 concentration and A $\beta$ 42/A $\beta$ 40 ratio, were prospectively included and referred to a <sup>18</sup>F-florbetaben PET. The latter was considered as definitively negative with the conjunction of both visual (brain amyloid plaque load score) and quantified (standard uptake values ratios) criteria. Predictors of a negative PET were searched among current CSF biomarkers (A $\beta$ 42, A $\beta$ 40, T-tau, P-tau, A $\beta$ 42/A $\beta$ 40, A $\beta$ 42/p-tau).

**Results:** Amyloid PET was negative in 15 patients (60%) with a CSF A $\beta$ 42 concentration being the sole independent predictor of this negativity. The criterion of an A $\beta$ 42 concentration far from the abnormal zone (>843 pg/mL), observed in 60% (15/25) of the study patients, was associated with a negative amyloid PET in 93% (14/15) of cases.

**Conclusions:** In mild neurocognitive disorders patients with suspected AD and showing an increase in CSF P-tau protein level, amyloid PETs are commonly negative, when A $\beta$ 42 concentration is far from the abnormal zone. In such case, AD diagnosis based on biomarkers can be ruled out with reasonable certainty, without any need of additional CSF second-line assays or results from amyloid PET.

Key words: Alzheimer's disease; CSF biomarkers, A $\beta$ 42, amyloid PET; quantitative analysis

## Background

The dual criterion of a brain increase in phosphorylated-tau (P-tau) proteins, combined with the presence of an amyloid deposition in the brain, was recently proposed by the National Institute on Aging and Alzheimer's Association (NIA-AA) for the definition of Alzheimer's disease (AD) [1]. The combination of such increase in P-tau proteins in cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), which are considered as relatively specific biomarkers of AD [2], with normal amyloid- $\beta$  concentration correspond to an atypical CSF pattern. These CSF profiles are far from being uncommon in patients suspected of AD [3], even when taking into account the A $\beta$ 42/A $\beta$ 40 ratio to improve the accuracy of the CSF analysis [3, 4]. However, according to the NIA-AA criteria, AD diagnosis can be ruled out by a definite assessment of an absence of any amyloid deposition in the brain in these patients [1].

Interestingly, this assessment may now be provided by amyloid positron emission tomography (PET) brain imaging.  $^{18}\text{F}$ -florbetaben is one of the PET tracers of amyloid plaques which may be used in clinical routine [5] following its approval by the United States Food and Drug Administration and of the European Medicines Agency for this purpose [6]. In particular,  $^{18}\text{F}$ -florbetaben PET is associated with a very high negative predictive value for AD diagnosis (96%), especially when using not only visual but also quantitative analyses (5). Such additional quantitative assessments have clearly been shown to improve the interpretation of  $^{18}\text{F}$ -florbetaben PET in this setting [7–11]. Nevertheless, a negative  $^{18}\text{F}$ -florbetaben PET is now established as a reliable indicator of the absence of sufficient plaque pathology in the brain to support a diagnosis of AD [5].

In light with the above, this pilot cross-sectional study was aimed to determine whether CSF profiles could predict the negativity of a  $^{18}\text{F}$ -florbetaben PET and thus, help to rule out AD

diagnosis in mild neurocognitive disorders patients suspected of AD with abnormal CSF levels of P-tau-proteins but normal CSF amyloid- $\beta$  levels.

## **Methods**

### *Population*

Among the 800 CSF analyses performed between December 2015 and September 2017 in the Department of Biochemistry, Molecular Biology and Nutrition of the University Hospital of Nancy in patients with mild neurocognitive disorders [12] and suspected AD, according to the National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke and the Alzheimer's Disease and Related Disorders Association (NINCDS ADRDA) criteria [13, 14], 25 exhibited an increase in CSF P-tau concentrations ( $>60$  pg/mL) along with normal A $\beta$ 42 concentration ( $>600$  pg/mL) and A $\beta$ 42/A $\beta$ 40 ratio ( $>0.07$ ), according to routine cut-off values [3, 15]. The patients presenting this CSF biomarker profile were included in this ancillary analysis of the MAF (Maladie d'Alzheimer Florbetaben) study (NCT02556502) [16] with the following main additional inclusion criteria: age  $\geq 18$  years old, absence of formal or relative contraindication to  $^{18}\text{F}$ -florbetaben PET, affiliation to a health care system, no guardianship or curatorship, and absence of pregnancy. In addition, patients unable to undergo  $^{18}\text{F}$ -florbetaben PET due to agitation or confusion, as well as those unable to sign the informed consent form, were not included. Eligible patients were referred to  $^{18}\text{F}$ -florbetaben PET scanning less than one year after the CSF analysis.

### *CSF biomarkers*

CSF was collected in the clinical setting by lumbar puncture of the L3-L4 or L4-L5 intervertebral space after local anesthesia in non-fasting patients, as part of the investigation of the patient's cognitive dysfunction. Two levels of supplier-provided internal quality controls

were analyzed in each series, with the laboratory furthermore participating in the Alzheimer's Association Quality Control Program by analyzing, 4 times yearly, two levels of external quality controls and one longitudinal control. According to standard procedure, CSF was collected in a 5 mL Gosselin polypropylene tube. All samples were transported within 4h at 4°C to the Biochemistry Laboratory of the University Hospital of Nancy (Central Laboratory) and were immediately centrifuged and stored at -80°C until assayed.

A $\beta_{42}$ , A $\beta_{40}$ , T-tau and P-tau concentrations were determined using commercially available sandwich ELISA procedures (Innotest®, Fujirebio, Ghent, Belgium) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Biomarker concentrations were proportional to optical density at 450 nm. A CSF pool sampled in the same polypropylene tubes and stored at -80°C was used as internal quality control in each experiment. Standards, samples and controls were run in duplicate. Samples were re-assayed if the variation coefficient between both values was >10%. A $\beta_{42}$  peptide, Tau and P-Tau protein values of 600, 350 and 60 pg/mL, respectively, have been proposed as pathological thresholds while an A $\beta_{42}$ /A $\beta_{40}$  ratio above 0.07 is considered pathological [3, 15].

#### *Amyloid PET recording*

All <sup>18</sup>F-florbetaben PET images were recorded on the same Biograph™ 6 hybrid PET/Computed Tomography (CT) system (Siemens Medical Solutions, Erlangen, Germany) after the intravenous injection of a bolus of 300 MBq (+/- 10%) of <sup>18</sup>F-florbetaben. Ninety minutes later, the imaging protocol was initiated by a low-dose brain CT scan for attenuation correction (110 keV, 40 mAs, matrix size 512x512, 3mm slice thickness and a pitch of 1), immediately followed by a 20-min brain PET recording. PET images were reconstructed with an iterative 3-dimensional Ordered Subset Expected Minimization (OSEM) method, corrected for attenuation and diffusion and displayed through 2.7 mm isotropic voxels [17].

### *Amyloid PET analysis*

Only amyloid PET being classified as negative upon both visual and quantitative analyses were considered as definitely negative (the characterization of patients with a definite negative amyloid PET was the primary objective of the present study). Accordingly, amyloid PET were considered as positive in cases of positive visual and/or quantitative analyses.

The visual analysis was obtained from 2 experienced nuclear physicians (CM, AV) who were blinded to all other patient data. Amyloid PET results were interpreted in a consensual manner as either “positive” or “negative” by conventional means (6), according to the amount of  $^{18}\text{F}$ -florbetaben uptake observed on the lateral temporal cortex, frontal cortex, posterior cingulate cortex/precuneus and parietal cortex. Only brain amyloid plaque load (BAPL) scores higher than 1 were considered as reflecting positivity, as currently recommended [6].

The quantitative analysis was obtained with the use of the low-dose CT images for spatial normalization and subsequent PET quantification [18]. More precisely, each set of CT images was spatially normalized into the standard Montreal National Institute (MNI) space through the segmentation-normalization algorithm from the SPM12 software (Wellcome Trust Centre for Neuroimaging, London) [18]. Thereafter, each set of PET images was registered into the MNI-152 space, using the deformation matrix obtained for the spatial normalization of the CT scan, and was segmented for the grey-matter volume, using the segmentation of the CT scan.

Standard uptake values ratios ( $\text{SUV}_r$ ) were obtained for each patient in a conventional way, with the averaged activity from six ROIs being divided by the mean activity from a cerebellar reference region [19]. These 6 ROIs were located on the dorsolateral and medial frontal cortex, the cingulum, the precuneus, the inferior and superior parietal lobules, the lateral occipital cortex and the lateral temporal cortex, and were automatically defined through the Automated

Anatomical Labeling atlas [5, 20]. The criterion of a SUVr  $<1.478$  was used to identify the negative  $^{18}\text{F}$ -florbetaben PET, according to that previously recommended [5].

Typical axial slices of positive and negative amyloid PET are displayed in Figure 1.

### *Statistical analysis*

Continuous variables are expressed as median and interquartile range [IQR] with 2-group comparisons performed with Mann–Whitney tests. Categorical variables are expressed as percentages and were compared using Chi-square tests. The concordance between the results of visual and quantitative PET analyses was additionally assessed with a kappa coefficient.

A multivariate analysis was performed using ascending logistic regression models with the negative/positive status of amyloid PET as the outcome variable and the CSF and clinical parameters listed in Table 1 and showing a p value  $<0.10$  at univariate analysis as explanatory variables. The criteria of p values  $\leq 0.10$  and  $p > 0.10$  were used to respectively enter and remove the variables from the model. The validity of assumptions of the models was verified, with the variation inflation factor and conditional index being used for multicollinearity diagnosis.

The predictive value of the CSF concentration biomarkers was additionally assessed by Receiver-Operating-Characteristic (ROC) curves. The A $\beta$ 42 cut-off value was considered as optimal for identifying patients with negative PET when the product of paired values for sensitivity and specificity reached its maximum. For all univariate tests, a p value  $<0.05$  was considered as significant. Statistical analyses were performed with SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, N.C., USA) and SPSS 20 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA).

## Results

Patient characteristics are detailed in Table 1. Of the 25 study patients, ten (40%) were women, the median age was 73 years and the median of the Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE) score was 23. According to the Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) [21], none of the patients were classified with a score of 0 (no dementia) or 3 (severe dementia).

### *Identification of definitely negative amyloid PET*

Fifteen among the 25 amyloid PET scans (60%) were considered to be definitively negative and thus without any abnormal amyloid deposition according to both visual and quantitative criteria. The concordance rate between visual and quantitative identifications of negative amyloid PET was 88% (22/25) with a corresponding kappa coefficient of 0.73 ( $p < 0.001$ ). As detailed in Figure 1, amyloid PET was negative quantitatively but positive visually in a single patient for whom the visual BAPL score was of only 2, just above the normal limit, whereas all other positive PETs were associated with BALP scores of 3. Amyloid PET was negative visually but positive quantitatively in 2 patients for whom the SUVr were also just above the normal limit of 1.478 – i.e. 1.523 and 1.629 (see Figure 1).

### *Prediction of a definitely negative PET*

As detailed in Table 1, there were non-significant trends toward higher Mini Mental State Examination ( $p=0.09$ ) and educational level ( $p=0.07$ ) in patients with definitely negative PET. Moreover, the CSF concentrations of A $\beta$ 40 and A $\beta$ 42, as well as the A $\beta$ 42/A $\beta$ 40 and A $\beta$ 42/p-tau concentration ratios, were significant predictors of a negative amyloid PET on univariate analysis (respective areas under the curve of 0.85, 0.95, 0.79 and 0.90). On multivariate analysis, however, A $\beta$ 42 concentration was the sole independent predictor of a definitively

negative PET. No other clinical or CSF variable was able to provide significant additional predictive information.

The ROC curve of the identification of definitely negative PET according to the level of CSF A $\beta$ 42 concentration is displayed in Figure 2. The threshold of 843 pg/mL provided the best sensitivity specificity product and is highlighted on this curve.

As shown in Figure 1, only one patient with an A $\beta$ 42 concentration >843 pg/mL had a positive amyloid PET although this positivity was based on a SUVR value of 1.523, just above the normal limit of 1.478, whereas the visual analysis was negative (BALP score of 1). This Figure also shows that an A $\beta$ 42 concentration  $\leq$ 843 pg/mL was associated with a negative PET in a single patient. As a result, for the identification of a definitely negative PET, the criterion of an A $\beta$ 42 concentration >843 pg/mL was associated with a global accuracy of 92% (23/25).

## Discussion

In the particular population of mild neurocognitive disorders patients with suspected AD and an abnormal CSF P-tau-protein level but normal CSF amyloid- $\beta$ , our study shows that amyloid PET is commonly negative if the A $\beta$ 42 concentration is far from the abnormal zone. More precisely, in patients with A $\beta$ 42 concentration higher than 843 pg/mL and thus far from the lower limit of the normal range (600 pg/mL), 93% (14/15) had a definitely negative amyloid PET, a strong criterion for ruling out the diagnosis of AD [5]. This percentage is in agreement with the high negative predictive value for AD diagnosis (96%) currently documented with  $^{18}\text{F}$ -florbetaben PET in patients with suspected AD (5). In the present study, a positive  $^{18}\text{F}$ -florbetaben PET was associated with an A $\beta$ 42 concentration higher than 843 pg/mL in only one patient for whom this PET positivity was furthermore at the borderline of significance with a slightly abnormal quantitative analysis and a negative visual analysis (Figure 1).

This relationship between A $\beta$ 42 concentration and amyloid PET status is in accordance with the previous observations of an inverse relationship between the amount of abnormal amyloid PET uptake and CSF A $\beta$ 42 levels [22–27]. A $\beta$ 42 has also been previously shown to be the best CSF biomarker of total brain amyloid load at autopsy, presumably since A $\beta$ 42 is a major component of brain amyloid plaques *in vivo* [28].

On univariate analysis, however, the CSF concentration of A $\beta$ 40 as well as the A $\beta$ 42/A $\beta$ 40 and A $\beta$ 42/P-tau ratios were additional predictors of a negative amyloid PET (Table 1); although this is likely the result of all these biomarkers being linked to the process of amyloid plaque load. By contrast, the CSF concentrations of T-tau and P-tau proteins, which are linked to the neurodegenerative and not to the amyloid components of the AD process, were not univariate predictors of a negative amyloid PET (Table 1). On multivariate analysis, however, A $\beta$ 42 concentration was the sole independent predictor of the amyloid PET status,

with no other clinical or CSF variable able to provide any significant predictive value in addition to that provided by CSF A $\beta$ 42 concentration. On the other hand, more restrictive criterion may be used for the definitions of abnormal CSF concentrations of T-tau and especially of P-tau proteins (< 80 pg/mL (ref) instead of <60 pg/mL in the current study). However, it is likely our results would have been unchanged by the use of these different criterion, mainly because this is the CSF concentration of A $\beta$ 42 and not that of P-tau protein which is predictive of the amyloid PET results in such study populations. For further illustration, the rate of a negative amyloid PET was 60% (3/5 patients) in our patients with a p-Tau concentration > 80 pg/mL, comparable to that of the other patients for whom the p-Tau concentration ranged between 60 and 80 pg/mL –i.e. 60% (12/20 patients). By contrast, the rates of a negative PET was 90% (14/15) for patients with an A $\beta$ 42 concentration above 843 pg/mL and 10% (1/10) in the other patients (p<0.001).

Although this remains to be confirmed on a larger scale, our results suggest that in patients with an A $\beta$ 42 concentration far from the abnormal zone (>843 pg/mL in the present study), AD diagnosis based on biomarkers [1] can be ruled out with reasonable certainty, even in the presence of an abnormal CSF concentration of P-tau protein. Indeed, in this particular instance, no other additional investigation such as second-line assays of CSF A $\beta$ 42/A $\beta$ 40 or A $\beta$ 42/p-tau ratios, or amyloid PET would be required to determine the risk of AD. Both diagnostic and therapeutic strategies could thus be reoriented to other mild neurocognitive disorders not due to AD (primary age-related tauopathy, argyrophilic grain disease, Pick disease, corticobasal degeneration or progressive supranuclear palsy, etc.) [29].

By contrast, the risk of AD would remain significant in patients in whom A $\beta$ 42 concentrations are normal but close to the abnormal range (from 600 to 843 pg/mL in the present study), especially when associated with mild neurocognitive disorders and an increase

in CSF P-tau proteins [16]. This was indeed the case of 10 patients herein among whom 1 had a definitely negative amyloid PET although 9 had not (Figure 1).

In order to rule out the diagnosis of AD with a high degree of certainty, amyloid PET was considered as definitively negative in the present study only if both visual and quantitative criteria were negative, which represented a non-negligible proportion of our specific population (n=15 patients, 60%). Such visual [6] and quantitative [7–11] analyses have already been shown to provide excellent diagnostic performances for AD diagnosis, with the visual BAPL score commonly used in this setting [6], and with the SUV ratios for which the abnormal range was recently defined according to histopathological criteria of AD [5]. These visual and quantitative assessments showed an excellent concordance herein, with a kappa coefficient of 0.73, in accordance with previously published data [9, 11], although 3 discordance cases were nonetheless documented. These 3 cases hovered at the borderline of the visual and quantitative thresholds defining a negative  $^{18}\text{F}$ -florbetaben PET (see Figure 1), although the presence of these discordances strengthens our choice of considering both visual and quantitative criteria for the definite diagnosis of a negative amyloid PET.

The main limitations of the present study are its single-center and cross-sectional nature and the limited number of study patients, thus restricting the generalization of our results. In addition, further longitudinal studies would be useful to assess the time-evolution of A $\beta$ 42 concentrations, amyloid PET status and, ultimately, the rate of AD conversion, in those mild neurocognitive disorders patients with atypical CSF biomarker profiles. The interest of patient monitoring through serial amyloid PET has moreover already been suggested in such atypical cases [30].

## **Conclusions**

Altogether, this pilot study shows that in mild neurocognitive disorders patients with suspected AD and with abnormal CSF P-tau-protein levels but with normal CSF amyloid- $\beta$  levels, amyloid PET is commonly negative when the CSF concentration of A $\beta$ 42 is additionally far from the abnormal zone. In such case, AD diagnosis based on biomarkers can be ruled out with reasonable certainty, without any need of additional CSF second-line assays or results from amyloid PET.

## **Integrity of research and reporting**

### *Ethical standards*

All patients provided written informed consent for participation in the study. The study was approved by the French ethics committee CPP Est III on September 15<sup>th</sup>, 2015, as well as received the authorization from the national competent authority (ANSM) on September 18<sup>th</sup> 2015, and adhered to the Declaration of Helsinki. All patients provided written informed consent for publication.

### *Availability of data and materials*

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article and its supplementary information files.

### *Conflict of Interest*

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

## References

1. Jack CR, Bennett DA, Blennow K, et al (2018) NIA-AA Research Framework: Toward a biological definition of Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement J Alzheimers Assoc* 14:535–562. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2018.02.018>
2. Koopman K, Le Bastard N, Martin J-J, et al (2009) Improved discrimination of autopsy-confirmed Alzheimer's disease (AD) from non-AD dementias using CSF P-tau(181P). *Neurochem Int* 55:214–218. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuint.2009.02.017>
3. Sauvée M, DidierLaurent G, Latache C, et al (2014) Additional use of  $A\beta_{42}/A\beta_{40}$  ratio with cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers P-tau and  $A\beta_{42}$  increases the level of evidence of Alzheimer's disease pathophysiological process in routine practice. *J Alzheimers Dis JAD* 41:377–386. <https://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-131838>
4. Gabelle A, Dumurgier J, Vercurysse O, et al (2013) Impact of the 2008-2012 French Alzheimer Plan on the use of cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers in research memory center: the PLM Study. *J Alzheimers Dis JAD* 34:297–305. <https://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-121549>
5. Sabri O, Sabbagh MN, Seibyl J, et al (2015) Florbetaben PET imaging to detect amyloid beta plaques in Alzheimer's disease: phase 3 study. *Alzheimers Dement J Alzheimers Assoc* 11:964–974. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2015.02.004>
6. Sabri O, Seibyl J, Rowe C, Barthel H (2015) Beta-amyloid imaging with florbetaben. *Clin Transl Imaging* 3:13–26. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40336-015-0102-6>
7. Pontecorvo MJ, Arora AK, Devine M, et al (2017) Quantitation of PET signal as an adjunct to visual interpretation of florbetapir imaging. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* 44:825–837. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-016-3601-4>
8. Harn NR, Hunt SL, Hill J, et al (2017) Augmenting Amyloid PET Interpretations With Quantitative Information Improves Consistency of Early Amyloid Detection. *Clin Nucl Med* 42:577–581. <https://doi.org/10.1097/RLU.0000000000001693>
9. Thurfjell L, Lilja J, Lundqvist R, et al (2014) Automated quantification of 18F-flutemetamol PET activity for categorizing scans as negative or positive for brain amyloid: concordance with visual image reads. *J Nucl Med Off Publ Soc Nucl Med* 55:1623–1628. <https://doi.org/10.2967/jnumed.114.142109>
10. Nayate AP, Dubroff JG, Schmitt JE, et al (2015) Use of Standardized Uptake Value Ratios Decreases Interreader Variability of [18F] Florbetapir PET Brain Scan Interpretation. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 36:1237–1244. <https://doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A4281>
11. Bullich S, Seibyl J, Catafau AM, et al (2017) Optimized classification of 18F-Florbetaben PET scans as positive and negative using an SUVR quantitative approach and comparison to visual assessment. *NeuroImage Clin* 15:325–332. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2017.04.025>

12. American Psychiatric Association Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (DSM-5®). In: American Psychiatric Pub
13. McKhann GM, Knopman DS, Chertkow H, et al (2011) The diagnosis of dementia due to Alzheimer's disease: Recommendations from the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement J Alzheimers Assoc* 7:263–269. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2011.03.005>
14. McKhann G, Drachman D, Folstein M, et al (1984) Clinical diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease: report of the NINCDS-ADRDA Work Group under the auspices of Department of Health and Human Services Task Force on Alzheimer's Disease. *Neurology* 34:939–944
15. Gervaise-Henry C, Watfa G, Albuissou E, et al (2017) Cerebrospinal Fluid A $\beta$ 42/A $\beta$ 40 as a Means to Limiting Tube- and Storage-Dependent Pre-Analytical Variability in Clinical Setting. *J Alzheimers Dis JAD* 57:437–445. <https://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-160865>
16. Manca C, Hopes L, Kearney-Schwartz A, et al (2019) Assessment of 18F-Florbetaben Amyloid PET Imaging in Patients with Suspected Alzheimer's Disease and Isolated Increase in Cerebrospinal Fluid Tau Proteins. *J Alzheimers Dis* 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-181146>
17. Chetouani A, Chawki MB, Hossu G, et al (2018) Cross-sectional variations of white and grey matter in older hypertensive patients with subjective memory complaints. *NeuroImage Clin* 17:804–810. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2017.12.024>
18. Presotto L, Iaccarino L, Sala A, et al (2018) Low-dose CT for the spatial normalization of PET images: A validation procedure for amyloid-PET semi-quantification. *NeuroImage Clin* 20:153–160. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2018.07.013>
19. Catafau AM, Bullich S, Seibyl JP, et al (2016) Cerebellar Amyloid- $\beta$  Plaques: How Frequent Are They, and Do They Influence 18F-Florbetaben SUV Ratios? *J Nucl Med Off Publ Soc Nucl Med* 57:1740–1745. <https://doi.org/10.2967/jnumed.115.171652>
20. Barthel H, Gertz H-J, Dresel S, et al (2011) Cerebral amyloid- $\beta$  PET with florbetaben (18F) in patients with Alzheimer's disease and healthy controls: a multicentre phase 2 diagnostic study. *Lancet Neurol* 10:424–435. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422\(11\)70077-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(11)70077-1)
21. Morris JC (1993) The Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR): current version and scoring rules. *Neurology* 43:2412–2414
22. Fagan AM, Mintun MA, Mach RH, et al (2006) Inverse relation between in vivo amyloid imaging load and cerebrospinal fluid Abeta42 in humans. *Ann Neurol* 59:512–519. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.20730>
23. Forsberg A, Engler H, Almkvist O, et al (2008) PET imaging of amyloid deposition in patients with mild cognitive impairment. *Neurobiol Aging* 29:1456–1465. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2007.03.029>

24. Grimmer T, Riemenschneider M, Förstl H, et al (2009) Beta amyloid in Alzheimer's disease: increased deposition in brain is reflected in reduced concentration in cerebrospinal fluid. *Biol Psychiatry* 65:927–934. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2009.01.027>
25. Tolboom N, van der Flier WM, Yaqub M, et al (2009) Relationship of cerebrospinal fluid markers to 11C-PiB and 18F-FDDNP binding. *J Nucl Med Off Publ Soc Nucl Med* 50:1464–1470. <https://doi.org/10.2967/jnumed.109.064360>
26. Jagust WJ, Landau SM, Shaw LM, et al (2009) Relationships between biomarkers in aging and dementia. *Neurology* 73:1193–1199. <https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0b013e3181bc010c>
27. Landau SM, Lu M, Joshi AD, et al (2013) Comparing positron emission tomography imaging and cerebrospinal fluid measurements of  $\beta$ -amyloid. *Ann Neurol* 74:826–836. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.23908>
28. Tapiola T, Alafuzoff I, Herukka S-K, et al (2009) Cerebrospinal fluid {beta}-amyloid 42 and tau proteins as biomarkers of Alzheimer-type pathologic changes in the brain. *Arch Neurol* 66:382–389. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archneurol.2008.596>
29. Lebouvier T, Pasquier F, Buée L (2017) Update on tauopathies. *Curr Opin Neurol* 30:589–598. <https://doi.org/10.1097/WCO.0000000000000502>
30. Vlassenko AG, McCue L, Jasielec MS, et al (2016) Imaging and cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers in early preclinical alzheimer disease. *Ann Neurol* 80:379–387. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.24719>

**Table 1.** Patient characteristics in the overall population and according to patients with and without a definitely negative amyloid PET

	<b>TOTAL</b> N = 25	<b>PET +</b> N= 10	<b>PET -</b> N = 15	<b>p-value</b>
<b>Age (years)</b> (mean+/- SD)	73 [68-80]	73 [67-77]	75 [69-83]	0.53
<b>Gender (female)</b>	10 (40%)	5 (50%)	5 (33%)	0.41
<b>MMSE score</b> (mean +/- SD)	23 [18-25]	20 [16-25]	25 [20-26]	0.09
<b>CDR</b>				0.14
0.5	12 (42%)	3 (30%)	9 (60%)	
1-2	13 (58%)	7 (70%)	6 (40%)	
<b>Educational level</b>				0.07
None	2 (8%)	1 (10%)	1 (7%)	
Primary school	12 (48%)	8 (80%)	4 (27%)	
High school, College and above	11 (44%)	1 (10%)	10 (67%)	
<b>Biomarkers</b> (mean +/- SD)				
CSF T-Tau, pg/mL	429.7 [406.7-527.0]	449.1 [428.7-675.3]	412.3 [374.3-520.0]	0.06
CSF P-tau, pg/mL	67.4 [62.3-75.2]	70.8 [65.7-75.6]	63.4 [62.1-72.3]	0.22
CSF A $\beta$ 42, pg/mL	1033.0 [793.2-1233.3]	743.5 [696.1-802.9]	1232.5 [1079.8-1295.5]	<0.01*
CSF A $\beta$ 40, pg/mL	11022.0 [9407.7-13961.0]	9298.5 [8124.1-11022.0]	13467.0 [10952.5-14232.0]	0.01*
CSF A $\beta$ 42/A $\beta$ 40 ratio	0.083 [0.075-0.095]	0.075 [0.072-0.083]	0.093 [0.082-0.101]	0.02*
CSF A $\beta$ 42/P-tau ratio	14.0 [10.0-18.4]	9.9 [9.2-11.0]	18.0 [14.8-20.0]	<0.01*

*CDR: Clinical dementia rating; CSF: cerebrospinal fluid; MMSE: Mini Mental State Examination; SUVr: Standard uptake value ratios*

*p value for difference in characteristics between patients with PET + and PET -*

## Figure legends

### Figure 1.

Individual patient values of brain amyloid plaque load (BALP) scores (upper panel), standardized uptake values ratios (SUVr) (medium panel) and A $\beta$ 42 cerebrospinal fluid concentrations (lower panel) for the 25 study patients classified according to increasing values of firstly BALP score and secondly SUVr values. The blue columns indicate normal values for BALP score, SUVr and A $\beta$ 42 concentration  $>843$  pg/mL, whereas the red columns indicate abnormal values for BALP score, SUVr and A $\beta$ 42 concentration  $\leq 843$  pg/mL. Axial slices of negative (patient #1) and positive (patient#25) amyloid PET are additionally displayed in the upper portion of this Figure.

### Figure 2.

Receiver-operating characteristic curve of A $\beta$ 42 concentrations for the identification of patients with definitely negative amyloid PET.