

Changes in habitat selection patterns of the gray partridge Perdix perdix in relation to agricultural landscape dynamics over the past two decades

Clément Harmange, Vincent V. Bretagnolle, Mathieu Sarasa, Olivier Pays

▶ To cite this version:

Clément Harmange, Vincent V. Bretagnolle, Mathieu Sarasa, Olivier Pays. Changes in habitat selection patterns of the gray partridge Perdix perdix in relation to agricultural landscape dynamics over the past two decades. Ecology and Evolution, 2019, 9 (9), pp.5236-5247. 10.1002/ece3.5114. hal-02143350

HAL Id: hal-02143350 https://hal.science/hal-02143350

Submitted on 20 Jan 2023 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Habitat selection in grey partridge Changes in habitat selection patterns of the grey partridge Perdix perdix
2	in relation to agricultural landscape dynamics over the past two decades
3	
4	Clément Harmange ^{1,2} , Vincent Bretagnolle ^{2,5} , Mathieu Sarasa ^{3,4} and Olivier Pays ¹
5	
6	¹ Université d'Angers, CNRS, UMR LETG, UFR Sciences, 2 Bd Lavoisier, 49045 Angers Cedex 01, France
7	² Centre d'Etudes Biologiques de Chizé, UMR 7372, CNRS and Université La Rochelle, F-79360
8	Beauvoir-sur-Niort, France
9	³ BEOPS, 1 Esplanade Compans Caffarelli, 31000 Toulouse, France (present address)
10	⁴ Fédération Nationale des Chasseurs, 13 rue du Général Leclerc, 92136 Issy-les-Moulineaux cedex,
11	France (former address)
12	⁵ LTSER « Zone Atelier Plaine & Val de Sèvre », CNRS, 79360, Beauvoir sur Niort, France
13	
14	Correspondence : Clément Harmange, Université d'Angers, CNRS, UMR LETG, UFR Sciences, 2 Bd
15	Lavoisier, 49045 Angers Cedex 01, France. Email: <u>clement.harmange@orange.fr</u>
16	
17	Abstract
18	1. Changes in agricultural practices have reshaped agricultural landscapes and triggered a drastic
19	decline in spatial and temporal heterogeneity leading to changes in habitat quality and food
20	resources for birds. However the precise relationships between landscape changes, spatial
21	and temporal heterogeneity, and habitat preferences in response to those changes, remain
22	poorly known.
23	2. We investigated patterns of habitat selection and causes for changes over the years 1997-
24	2017 for the grey partridge Perdix perdix, an iconic farmland species which has experienced a
25	severe decline since the 1950's. Using a long-term (1997-2017) dataset collected over 435

- 26 km², we modeled relationships between annual land-cover maps and partridge sightings over 27 17 five-year moving windows, assessing the effects of landscape metrics, the strength of the 28 relationships, and the dynamics of habitat suitability.
- We detected a shift in grey partridge habitat preferences over time, toward more risky
 habitats. Avoidance of predator reservoirs (woodlands and buildings) has weakened and
 selection of human infrastructure, such as roads and tracks, has increased. Since 1997, the
 mean size of suitable patches has also decreased by about 26%.
- We have interpreted these changes in habitat selection as being the result of decreasing
 habitat quality and the increasing prevalence of captive-reared birds, currently released in
 their thousands in the study site.
- 5. Synthesis and applications. The grey partridge has not adjusted well to changes in farming 36 37 practices and the low, still decreasing, population density suggests that it is not sustainable, 38 despite local initiatives and the investment in captive-bird releases. We emphasize that efforts must be redirected towards habitat improvement to restore the density of refuge cover, 39 insects and seeds in the landscape, hunting management to ensure self-sustainable 40 populations and massive releases of high-quality birds. Only integrated local management, 41 involving hunters, farmers, gamekeepers and scientists can ensure the recovery of this 42 43 species.
- 44
- 45
- 46

47 Key words

Agricultural landscapes, Farmland birds, Grey partridge, Habitat quality, Habitat selection, Habitat
suitability maps, Long-term trends, *Perdix perdix*.

51 Introduction

For decades, evidence has accumulated that the main driver of the decline in farmland biodiversity 52 lies in the rapid post-war changes in agricultural practices (Benton, Vickery, & Wilson, 2003; Krebs, 53 Wilson, Bradbury, & Siriwardena, 1999; Robinson & Sutherland, 2002). Agricultural intensification 54 and specialization have reshaped and simplified agricultural landscapes (Benton et al., 2003). The 55 56 resulting loss of spatial and temporal heterogeneity has degraded habitat quality and food resources, resulting in severe declines in European farmland birds (Benton et al., 2003; Chamberlain, Fuller, 57 Bunce, Duckworth, & Shrubb, 2000; Robinson & Sutherland, 2002). Though agricultural landscapes 58 cover about 40% of the earth's terrestrial biomes, and are highly dynamic, long-term changes in 59 60 agricultural landscapes have seldom been quantified: only seven (3%) in a review of 244 studies assessing land-cover changes (Uuemaa, Mander, & Marja, 2013). The scarcity in such studies comes 61 62 from the challenge of accessing land-cover data over the long term (Bertrand, Burel, & Baudry, 2016) 63 as well as the complexity of analyzing interacting landscape features, each with their own spatiotemporal dynamics. For instance, in intensive agricultural landscapes, buildings, roads, hedgerows 64 and woodlands have broadly remained stable over the years, while crops change annually in a quasi-65 stochastic spatial pattern of crop rotation (Bretagnolle et al., 2018b). As a result, very few studies 66 have investigated the temporal changes in habitat selection by farmland birds associated with the 67 68 temporal changes in the agricultural landscape (but see Brambilla et al., 2010), despite the behavioral 69 process of habitat selection being critical in determining population dynamics, survival, and productivity (Jones, 2001). 70

The grey partridge *Perdix perdix* L. used to be one of the most common farmland birds in Europe, but has been in a steep, widespread decline since the mid-20th century (Aebischer & Potts, 1994; Gée, Sarasa, & Pays, 2018; Sotherton, Aebischer, & Ewald, 2014). In many European countries, numbers are at less than 10% of their pre-war level (Aebischer & Kavanagh, 1997). For example, the drop in abundance was estimated at 92% between 1970 and 2015 in the UK, and it is now a red-listed

76 species in the UK Birds of Conservation Concern (Hayhow et al., 2017). Despite a decline of 23% in abundance from 1989 to 2015 (Vigie-Nature, 2018) and 20% in the distribution range from 1985 to 77 2013 (Comolet-Tirman et al., 2015), grey partridge is still considered as 'Least Concern' in France. The 78 79 decline was attributed to three main causes, all linked to the decrease in habitat quality resulting from agricultural intensification: the loss of breeding habitat, the decrease in availability of insects for 80 81 chicks, and the concentration of partridges and predation pressure in remaining habitats (Aebischer & Ewald, 2012). Grey partridge habitat selection has been well studied: at a large scale, the species 82 avoids woodlands, associated with high predation risk, as woodlands are predator reservoirs 83 (Dudzinski, 1992), and also avoids the proximity of buildings with their predation risks from cats and 84 85 mustelids (Reitz, Le Goff, & Fuzeau, 2002). At a more local scale, during the breeding season, grey partridges were found to be attracted by cereal cover, with a high nesting success rate, (Bro, Reitz, & 86 87 Mayot, 1998; Bro et al., 2013), rape and grassy covers (Birkan, Serre, Skibnienski, & Pelard, 1992; Bro 88 et al., 2013), crop diversity (Reitz et al., 2002) and linear features such as hedgerow fragments, roads and tracks (Blank, Southwood, & Cross, 1967; Bro et al., 2013; Potts & Aebischer, 1991), even though 89 these linear features are associated with lower nesting success (Bro et al., 1998). However, with a 90 few exceptions (Reitz et al., 2002; Ronnenberg, Strauß, & Siebert, 2016), in most studies, the effects 91 of landscape features have been studied independently from each other so that the relative 92 93 contributions of different landscape features on grey partridge habitat selection remain unknown. Even less is known about potential changes in patterns of habitat selection over time in highly 94 dynamic agricultural landscapes. 95

Here we investigated long-term trends in grey partridge habitat preferences in a French farmland landscape, through a multi-step approach using data collected annually in an area of 435 km² over 21 years (from 1997 to 2017). We first identified the key landscape features that explain the grey partridge occurrence over 1997-2017 studying the variable contributions to the probability of occurrence. Contributions reflect the importance of variables to explain (or predict) the occurrence of

101 a species, and are commonly used to identify the key drivers of species distribution (e.g. Bellard, Leroy, Thuiller, Rysman, & Courchamp, 2016; Wilson, Sexton, Jobe, & Haddad, 2013). Secondly, to 102 explore whether and how the habitat selection patterns have varied with changes in the landscape, 103 104 we quantified changes in the relative contributions and effect of landscape features on grey partridge occurrence with time, over 17 five-year moving windows. Thirdly, we investigated whether overall 105 106 habitat suitability for grey partridge has changed over time, using as reference the oldest habitat 107 preferences of grey partridge available (i.e. models based on data from 1997-2001) (see Pearman, 108 Guisan, Broennimann, & Randin, 2008). In this study area, significant changes in land-cover, for 109 example a 20% increase in cereal cover since 1994 (Bretagnolle et al., 2018b), and a decrease in insect availability (Bretagnolle et al., 2011) have been recorded while observed partridge densities 110 have strongly decreased (Fig. 1b) despite huge annual releases of captive-reared birds to maintain 111 112 local partridge populations (Bro & Crosnier, 2012). Given this observed decline in the study 113 population associated with the relatively stable amount of captive-reared grey partridges annually 114 released in France from 1995 to 2015 (around 2 million individuals in Bro, 2016), we therefore, assumed that the population in our study area has changed from mainly wild birds in the nineties to 115 mainly released captive-reared birds currently. We thus suggested the massive releases of captive-116 reared birds as a potential driver of changes in the pattern of habitat preferences. Indeed, we might 117 118 expect that naïve partridges would be less likely to avoid predator-rich habitats. If there has been a 119 general decline in habitat quality, partridges may select the least altered or highest quality habitats. 120 Although habitat selection is density dependent (Morris, 1989), over the same period the population of partridges has strongly declined, so that habitat preferences may have remained targeted to the 121 122 highest quality habitats.

123

124 Material & methods

125 Study site and environmental layers

The Long-Term Socio-Ecological Research platform (LTSER) "Zone Atelier Plaine & Val de Sèvre" is 126 located in Central Western France, Nouvelle-Aquitaine region (Fig. 1a, Bretagnolle et al., 2018b). This 127 128 area is characterized by an intensive agricultural landscape for cereal production. Land-cover has 129 been exhaustively surveyed annually from 1994 onwards using more than 40 crop categories. Winter 130 cereals largely dominate (41.5%, mean value calculated over the years 2009 to 2016), followed by 131 sunflower (10.4%), maize (9.6%), rape (8.3%) and meadows (13.5%). Woodland fragments represent 132 2.9% of the study area (excluding the Chizé forest), and built-up areas (including isolated buildings), 133 9.8%. A preliminary analysis of landscape features showed that the density of hedgerows, buildings 134 and woodlands have varied little whereas the areas of cereals, meadows and rape, as well as crop 135 diversity and the size of crop patches have changed far more over the period (Fig. 1c-d, Fig. S1 and 136 Table S1 for statistical details). In addition, crop locations change annually because of crop rotation.

137 The vector based GIS was first transformed into a grid of 200*200 m² cells (4 ha), using R (R 138 Core Team, 2017) and QGIS (Quantum GIS Development Team, 2017), excluding all pixels that had 139 less than half their area intersecting with the study area. The pixel size was chosen to have a meaningful fine scale resolution in relation to landscape dynamics that was close to the average field 140 141 size (Bretagnolle et al., 2018b). Landscape features already identified in the literature as being selected by grey partridges were chosen for analyses as potential predictors of the grey partridge 142 143 occurrence: cereals, rape, meadows, hedgerows, roads and tracks (Birkan et al., 1992; Blank et al., 144 1967; Bro et al., 2013), and woodland and buildings, features that partridges avoid (Dudzinski, 1992; Reitz et al., 2002). The landscape metrics (i.e. layers assessing landscape features) used in the 145 analyses comprised the densities of various landscape features (the area in ha, or length in meters, of 146 147 each landscape feature divided by area of the pixel in ha), the crop diversity (Shannon-Wiener 148 diversity index calculated for five main crops (cereals, rape, meadow, maize, and sunflower), and "other crops"), the mean size of the crop patches (mean area of the patches of the main crops 149 150 intersecting each pixel), and the distances from features avoided by partridges (distances from the

151 center of the pixel to the closest woodland and closest building) (Table S2). Each of these seven 152 metrics was calculated for each of the 21 years from 1997 to 2017 giving 147 map layers. Roads, 153 tracks, and hedgerows have remained fairly stable over the period (Appendix A, Fig. S1) so one map 154 layer was used for roads and tracks and three layers for hedgerows in 2006, 2011 and 2014 when 155 aerial photographs were available. A total of 151 layers were, therefore, included.

156

157 Partridge data

In total, there were 989 sightings of grey partridges in three distinct datasets covering 1997 to 2017 158 159 (Fig. 1e). The largest dataset (90% of total data), comprised opportunistic sightings either when 160 ornithologists working in the LTSER were studying other bird species (Bretagnolle et al., 2018b) and 161 saw partridges, or during the land-cover surveys carried out twice a year systematically over the 162 entire study site. Each year, opportunistic sightings were reported daily between late March and late 163 July (see Fig. 1f). In this dataset, the observation effort is not standardized and reporting is not systematic. In the second dataset, with 5% of total sightings, observation pressure is standardized as 164 this dataset collates the results from systematic bird point counts since 1995 (see Brodier, Augiron, 165 Cornulier, & Bretagnolle, 2014 for methods). The number of point counts has increased from 160 166 (1995-2008) to about 450 since 2009, spread over the whole of the LTSER (Bretagnolle et al., 2018a). 167 168 Count duration was either 5 or 10 minutes (see Brodier et al., 2014) between 7:00 am to 11:00 am, 169 without call playback. The third dataset, with 5% of total sightings, collates the results of 170 standardized call playback counts specifically for both grey partridges and red-legged partridges Alectoris rufa L., in March-April 2016 (140 sites) and 2017 (275 sites), either in the morning (from 171 172 7:00 am to 11:00 am) or evening (from 5:00 pm to 9:00 pm). Count duration was 10 min. In all three 173 datasets, the location of the birds, the date and time, and the number of birds were recorded. We restricted our analyses to data collected during the breeding season, from late March until July (Fig. 174 175 1f), using the data in all three datasets.

176

177 Species distribution models

A multi-step approach using species distribution modeling was carried out to (1) identify key landscape features and their effect on the grey partridge occurrence over the entire period 1997-2017; (2) explore trends in the contribution of key features and in their effect over 17 successive 5year moving periods; (3) assess changes in habitat suitability for grey partridge over time.

182

183 Modeling process

The following modeling process was first applied over the entire study period (1997-2017) to investigate the general pattern of habitat selection. Each landscape metric was pooled over the entire study period 1997-2017 (calculating an average value by pixel). To perform the trend analysis assessing changes in habitat selection patterns, the modeling process was also applied using a fiveyear moving window, giving 17 successive 5-year periods from 1997-2001 to 2013-2017, to have between 100 and 300 sightings at each time step (see Barbet-Massin, Jiguet, Albert, & Thuiller, 2012). Each landscape metric was thus also pooled by 5-year periods.

191 We began by selecting uncorrelated landscape metrics to ensure the validity of the predictions (Barbet-Massin & Jetz, 2014) using the method described by Leroy et al. (2013), resulting 192 193 in nine uncorrelated explanatory variables for the dataset with 5-year moving windows (Table S2), 194 however the rape density was excluded for modeling the dataset aggregated over the whole period 195 (Appendix B). Secondly, to select the modeling technique (Elith, Ferrier, Huettmann, & Leathwick, 2005), we used version 2.0 BIOMOD multi-model platform (Thuiller, Lafourcade, Engler, & Araújo, 196 197 2009) implemented in R. This can (1) use heterogeneous data from different counting methods 198 (Farashi & Shariati, 2017; Jackson, Gergel, & Martin, 2015) and (2) compare the most frequently used modeling techniques (Barbet-Massin et al., 2012; Monnet, Hingrat, & Jiguet, 2015) (see Appendix C). 199 200 As these modeling techniques require presence and absence data but as our dataset did not contain

201 true absences (the absence of a sighting does not imply the absence of partridges), we generated 100 replicates of 1000 random pseudo-absences to obtain reliable confidence intervals (Barbet-Massin et 202 al., 2012) (Appendix C gives the methods and numbers of pseudo-absences). Generalized linear 203 204 models (GLM, binomial response variable, logit link) were selected for the rest of the analyses as they showed a high true skill statistic (TSS = sensitivity + specificity - 1) (Allouche, Tsoar, & Kadmon, 2006) 205 206 and high sensitivity (Appendix C). For each period, the models were calibrated on a random subset of 207 70% of the presence / pseudo-absence data, and then cross-validated using the remaining 30%: this cross-validation was performed three times (Thuiller, Lafourcade, & Araujo, 2009). The TSS was used 208 209 to evaluate the predictive performance: TSS ranges from -1 to 1, where 1 represents perfect 210 agreement while scores \leq 0 represent a performance no better than random (Allouche et al., 2006). 211 For each period, the mean TSS was calculated averaging each model's TSS. The final calibration of 212 each model used 100% of the available data.

213

214 Assessing the contribution of each landscape metric

The average contribution of each landscape metric on the probability of the occurrence of grey 215 partridge was determined for the entire period 1997-2017, and for each of the successive 5-year 216 periods using the *biomod2 variables importance* function. First, a standard prediction of grey 217 218 partridge probability of occurrence is made across all pixels of the study area from a model calibrated 219 with all explanatory variables. Then, the values of a given variable are randomly permutated across 220 pixels and a new prediction is made. The contribution of the given variable to the prediction of the probability of occurrence is 1 less the correlation between the standard and the random predictions: 221 222 the higher the correlation, the weaker the contribution or predictive power of the variable to explain 223 the species occurrence (Leroy et al., 2013). Ten randomizations were used for each variable with a 224 threshold of 0.1 to distinguish between strong and weak contributions (Leroy et al., 2013) which 225 corresponded to the mean importance for all variables (Capinha & Anastácio, 2010).

227 Effect of landscape metrics on the grey partridge occurrence

The relationship between landscape metrics and the probability of the occurrence of grey partridge was assessed using the average response curves from GLMs calibrated on 100% of the data. The 95% confidence intervals were calculated as a measure of the uncertainty for 100 GLM runs. The range of habitat values selected by partridges were drawn based on the average cutoff calculated for each period (Wilfried Thuiller, pers. comm., 2016). The cutoff is the threshold maximizing TSS and is calculated for each model run under *biomod2* (Leroy et al., 2013; Wilfried Thuiller, pers. comm., 2016).

235

236 Trends in the relation between partridge occurrence and landscape metrics

237 To test whether time (explanatory variable) had a significant effect on the contribution (response 238 variable) of landscape metrics, we used a generalized least squares (GLS) model using the nlme 239 package. To handle autocorrelation in our time series, we run an autocorrelation function on each dependent variable to identify the time lag after which the non-autocorrelation assumption was 240 confirmed at 95% confidence level (Shumway & Stoffer, 2011). Then GLSs were implemented with an 241 autoregressive moving average (ARMA) term in which the moving average (MA) errors were 242 243 accounted for using the maximum time lag determined in the previous step. The lack of sequential 244 autocorrelation in the residuals was checked using the acf function. The 5-year moving average windows allow a smoothing effect in the shape of the relationship between time and variable 245 contribution. Finally, we included a quadratic time term in the GLS models and used backward 246 247 stepwise selection procedure (Faraway, 2005).

The curves for each of four contiguous windows (1997-2001, 2002-2006, 2007-2011 and 2012-2016) were also drawn for each landscape metric that both made a large contribution and changed significantly with time.

252 Habitat suitability maps and trends in habitat quality

We forecasted habitat suitability maps for the periods 1998-2002 to 2013-2017 from the models fitted to the period 1997-2001 to assess how suitable habitats formerly selected by partridges have shifted over time. Habitat suitability was mapped as the probability of occurrence from an ensemble model, averaging all GLMs with TSS > 0.4 (Engler et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2015), each one weighted by its TSS. Then, we calculated Schoener's D (Schoener, 1968 reviewed by Warren, Glor, Turelli, & Funk, 2008) as a measure of similarity in the geographical space between the habitat suitability maps of each period and the earliest one (1997-2001).

260
$$D(p_x, p_y) = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_i |p_{x,i} - p_{y,i}|$$

where p_{X,i} and p_{y,i} represent the probabilities of occurrence of the grey partridge in the pixel i for the periods X and Y. The maps were then transformed into binary maps of suitable *versus* unsuitable areas, the threshold being the cutoff of the models calibrated on data of 1997-2001 (Leroy et al., 2013). The averaged value of habitat suitability index (i.e. the probability of occurrence) and the mean size of suitable habitat patches were calculated for each period.

266

267 Results

268 First, we investigated the key landscape metrics explaining the grey partridge occurrence over the 269 study period (1997-2017), and their effect on grey partridge occurrence. Of the nine candidate 270 explanatory variables, cereal density, distance to woodlands and distance to the nearest building 271 were the metrics with the highest contributions when fitting to the aggregated dataset for 1997-2017 272 (contributions >0.1, Fig. 2; Table S3). Most response curves were quadratic: moderate values of cereal density (25% to 65%) and distance to woodlands (300 m to 1310 m) were preferred by 273 274 partridges while extreme values were avoided (Fig. 3). Partridges also preferred being more than 125 275 m from a building (Fig. 3).

For the contiguous five-year periods, most of the metrics had similar contributions to those for the whole dataset (Fig. 2; compare black and grey boxes), though the contribution of the distance to buildings was below the 0.1 threshold and the contribution of the road density increased above the 0.1 threshold (Fig. 2). The response curve for road density was also quadratic with a preference for densities between 20 m/ha and 200 m/ha (high road densities were mainly in built-up areas) (Fig. 3).

282 Then, we performed a trend analysis to investigate whether the importance of the key 283 landscape metrics and their effect on grey partridge occurrence have changed with time. The trend 284 analysis of the 17 five-year moving windows showed that there were significant variations over time 285 in the contributions of some metrics (Table S4). For example the contribution of cereal density was 286 variable in the first few years and then increased in the last 15 years. This increase in contribution 287 was associated with a decrease of sightings in areas with low cereal density unselected by partridges 288 (13% of sightings in area with cereal density < 0.25 in 1997-2001; 7% in 2012-2016; Table S5). The 289 contribution of road density increased over the whole period with the selected range contracting from 0-270 m/ha in 1997-2001 to 35-165 m/ha in 2012-2016 (Fig. 4, Table S6). Recently partridges 290 appeared to avoid woodlands and to buildings less, as the contributions of these metrics decreased 291 (Fig. 4, Table S4). Currently, partridges prefer areas closer to woodlands (distance to woodlands is 292 293 19.5% shorter) than at the start of the study period (820 m in 1997-2001 decreasing to 660 m in 294 2012-2016, Fig. 4, Table S6). This result is also consistent with raw numbers of partridge sightings 295 (15% of sightings at a distance to woodlands < 250 m in 1997-2001; 22% in 2012-2016; Table S5). Partridges were less likely to avoid buildings in recent years than in 1997-2001 when they avoided 296 297 areas closer than 160 m to a building (Fig. 4, Table S6). In 1997-2001, 13% of sightings were collected 298 at a distance lower than 160 m to buildings, against 21% in 2012-2016 (Table S5). The contribution of the size of crop patches decreased while that of hedgerow density increased, but both contributions 299 300 were small (Fig. S2, Table S4).

301 Finally, we assessed the trends in habitat suitability with time. Habitat suitability maps calibrated on the first period (1997-2001) and forecast using the 1998-2002 to 2013-2017 metrics, 302 suggested a general decrease by about 26% in the mean size (from 26.4 ha in 1997-2001 to 19.6 ha in 303 304 2013-2017) and a slight decrease in the mean suitability index (from 0.696 in 1997-2001 to 0.682 in 2013-2017) of suitable patches for partridges (Fig. 5). Schoener's D statistic assessing the similarity of 305 306 the habitat suitability maps between each 5-year period and the first one decreased by 18.5% over 307 time (Fig. 6, Table S7). Even though the quality of our models remained relatively low (TSS= 0.42±0.03 308 for the seventeen 5-year periods, Table S3), it was high enough to be considered useful being above 309 the recognized threshold of 0.4 (Engler et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2015).

310

311 Discussion

Despite many releases of captive-reared birds in the study area, the population of grey partridges has suffered a drastic decline over the last 21 years. While we observed a general decrease in the size and suitability index of suitable patches, our study showed that occurrence of partridges has changed with landscape features.

Considering the overall 21-year period (1997-2017), the density of cereals, the distance to 316 woodlands and the distance to the nearest building were identified as the main contributors or key 317 318 drivers of grey partridge occurrence (Bellard et al., 2016; Wilson et al., 2013). Cereals is well known as 319 the main breeding cover selected by grey partridges (69 % of nests in Bro et al., 2013), associated 320 with high nesting success (Bro et al., 1998). However, the response curve shows saturation, indicating a need for complementary habitats, for example rape for food (Birkan et al., 1992). High 321 322 contributions of distances to woodlands and buildings were expected because of avoidance of 323 carnivore reservoirs (Dudzinski, 1992; Reitz et al., 2002; Reitz & Mayot, 1999) and woodlands have already been identified as the main driver of grey partridge distribution at local district scale 324 325 (Ronnenberg et al., 2016). For the four five-year contiguous periods the distance to buildings was less

important while road density was more important. Partridges avoided low road densities, as nesting sites are frequently close to roads (25% of nests located in cereals, Bro et al., 2013), but they also avoided high road densities, either because of predation risk (Reitz & Mayot, 1999) or disturbance by traffic (Fahrig & Rytwinski, 2009). Although the population was mainly captive bred, this suggests that the partridges showed patterns of habitat selection that were driven by well-known ecological requirements.

332 An unexpected result is that there was a strong increase in the contribution of cereals to 333 explain the grey partridge occurrence over the past 15 years. As the cereal density has increased by 334 about 20% of the preexisting density observed in 1997 (Bretagnolle et al., 2018b), this cover has 335 become more widespread, and a subsequent decrease in the contribution of cereals might be expected. The selection and high nesting success of cereals (Bro et al., 2013, 1998), associated with 336 337 the depletion of grey partridge densities may explain this result. The depletion would have been 338 higher in the low and unselected densities, as supported by the decreasing number of sightings in 339 areas providing low cereal densities. The other main result is the decreasing contributions of distances to woodlands, buildings, on the partridge occurrence, associated with increasing 340 proportions of partridge sightings close to these predator-rich features. While these landscape 341 features have remained fairly stable over time, this suggest that partridges became less likely to 342 343 avoid woodlands and buildings (predator reservoirs) and roads (high exposure to predation) (Reitz & 344 Mayot, 1999), though they were expected to select the best quality habitats. Nonetheless, lower avoidance of roads could also be partly explained by the decreasing partridge density associated with 345 the opportunistic nature of sightings, usually collected from roads. Such shifts in grey partridge 346 347 habitat preferences towards more risky habitats associated with lower survival expectancy have 348 already been described and explained by captive rearing of partridge and other galliformes, lacking antipredator behavior (Rantanen, Buner, Riordan, Sotherton, & Macdonald, 2010a, 2010b; Sokos, 349 Birtsas, & Tsachalidis, 2008). Furthermore, captive-reared birds could also suffer from natal habitat 350

351 preference induction (Stamps & Swaisgood, 2007), encouraging them to select anthropogenic features similar to those where they were reared. However, as habitat selection impairing fitness has 352 also been documented in wild grey partridges (Bro, Mayot, Corda, & Reitz, 2004) and other farmland 353 birds (e.g. the yellow wagtail Motacilla flava in Gilroy, Anderson, Vickery, Grice, & Sutherland, 2011), 354 there may be another explanation. This shift in habitat selection pattern could be linked to a 355 356 behavioral adjustment to the decreasing habitat guality and food availability. For instance, over the 357 last 30 years, seed availability has dropped faster in field cores than at field edges (Fried, Petit, 358 Dessaint, & Reboud, 2009). Partridges could, therefore avoid roads less, as roadside habitats may provide more insect and seed food (Hopwood, 2008; von der Lippe, Bullock, Kowarik, Knopp, & 359 360 Wichmann, 2013) and higher seed abundance has already been linked to higher densities of farmland birds, including grey partridge (Moorcroft, Whittingham, Bradbury, & Wilson, 2002). This hypothesis 361 362 could also explain the increase in the contribution of cereal density as the decrease in landscape 363 diversity would encourage partridges to prefer this cover which is associated with a high nesting 364 success rate (Bro et al., 2013, 1998). The continuous drop in habitat quality caused by agricultural intensification, could have increased the concentration of prey and the predation pressure on the 365 remaining suitable patches (Aebischer & Ewald, 2012). This raises concern about low-density 366 populations, which may be particularly damaged as a result of a behaviorally mediated Allee effect: 367 368 there is no competition for space and individuals are free to select their preferred, though possibly 369 associated with lower survival, habitats (Kokko & Sutherland, 2001).

370

371 Implications for management

The grey partridge study population, with a current density of around 1 male (or pair) per 400 ha, may not be sustainable. Additionally, the observed changes in habitat selection towards more risky habitats could impair the situation and may be exacerbated by releases of captive-reared birds, documented to suffer deficiencies in antipredator behaviour, poor survival and breeding success

376 (Parish & Sotherton, 2007; Rantanen et al., 2010b). Therefore, initiatives releasing captive-reared birds (about 250 birds per 1700 ha, the mean area of a local community) may actually precipitate, 377 rather than mitigate, the fate of local populations, since these naïve birds outnumber wild individuals 378 (Reitz, 2003; Sokos et al., 2008). Furthermore, these costly release programs, about €55k to €60k 379 380 each year in the LTSER (36 hunting associations × 250 birds × €6.5), have so far failed to help the 381 population recover, as numbers are still declining in the LTSER and the surrounding countryside. 382 Extrapolating to other gamebird species (€55k to €60k per year for red-legged partridges and €45k to 383 €50k for ring-necked pheasants) the costs of supplementing the local populations of gamebirds can 384 be estimated at €155k to €170k per year in our study area, with no perceivable improvement in 385 population sustainability.

This does not imply that reinforcement is an invalid strategy, since several conservation 386 387 programs would have sustainably increased partridge densities (Bro, 2016; Browne, Buner, & 388 Aebischer, 2009; Buner & Aebischer, 2008). These programs, however included supplementary feeding, reestablishment of refuge covers and insect-rich habitats for chicks (set-asides, grassy strips 389 390 along field edges, field divisions, game covers), and nest protection or predation control when 391 needed to accelerate population recovery (Aebischer, 2009; Aebischer & Ewald, 2012; Bro, 2016). In 392 our study area, money saved by reducing by half the amount of captive-reared bird released (i.e. by €80k) might be reallocated to create for example 270 ha of set-asides or wildlife covers, or a 393 394 maintenance of 40 km² (i.e. 1/5 of the cereal area) of stubble fields until the end of the chick rearing 395 period. In addition, the origin of the released birds was identified as a critical factor in success 396 whether the aim was game management or conservation (Fischer & Lindenmayer, 2000; Griffith, 397 Scott, Carpenter, & Reed, 1989). For instance, Sokos et al. (2008) reported a failure to re-establish a 398 pheasant population in a release program involving 3000 captive-reared birds, while the release of 1000 wild-caught individuals succeeded. 399

400 In the case of the grey partridge, we believe that we need to change from releasing captivereared gamebirds for 'put and take' shooting (Sokos et al., 2008), to conservation programs aiming to 401 restore self-sustaining populations. Efforts should be redirected towards habitat improvement 402 through selected agricultural practices, to greatly increase refuge covers, insects and seeds in the 403 404 landscape, with hunting restrictions to ensuring that the bird populations are self-sustaining, coupled 405 with releases of wild-caught or predator-trained birds (Sokos et al., 2008). Creating synergy between 406 all stakeholders, hunters, farmers and scientists, is, however, critical to achieve such integrated, local 407 biodiversity management.

408

409 Authors' contributions

VB set up the long-term partridge and land-cover monitoring; numerous fieldworkers supervised by VB collected the data. OP, VB, MS and CH conceived the ideas and designed methodology; CH and OP analyzed the data; CH, OP, VB and MS wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to the drafts and gave final approval for publication.

414

415 Acknowledgements

This study was possible thanks to the financial support of the Fédération Nationale des Chasseurs (FNC-PSN-PR15-2014). We thank all fieldworkers who contributed to data collection, and particularly Maxime Saillard for his dedicated work in digitalizing the partridge sightings. We also thank Nicholas Aebischer, Elisabeth Bro and Jérome Moreau for their helpful comments, and Karen Fournier for her graceful English corrections.

421

422 Data accessibility

- 423 Partridge sightings and landscape metrics: OSF doi: 10.17605/OSF.IO/QJ237
- 424

425 References

- 426
- 427 Aebischer, N. J. (2009). The GWCT grey partridge recovery programme: a species action plan in
- 428 action. In: Cederbaum, S.B., Faircloth, B.C., Terhune, T.M., Thompson, J.J., Carroll, J.P. (Eds.),
- 429 Gamebird 2006: Quail VI and Perdrix XII. Warnell School of Forestry and Natural Resources,
- 430 Athens, GA, USA, pp. 291 301.
- 431 Aebischer, N. J., & Ewald, J. A. (2012). The grey partridge in the UK: population status, research,
- 432 policy and prospects. *Animal Biodiversity and Conservation*, *35*(2), 353–362.
- Aebischer, N. J., & Kavanagh, B. (1997). Grey partridge. *The EBCC Atlas of European Breeding Birds*,
 Their Distribution and Abundance. T&AD Poyser, London, 212–213.
- 435 Aebischer, N. J., & Potts, G. R. (1994). Partridge Perdix perdix. In Birds in Europe, their conservation
- 436 status. (BirdLife International, Vol. 3, pp. 220–221). Cambridge, UK: Tucker, M.F. and M. F
 437 Heath.
- 438 Allouche, O., Tsoar, A., & Kadmon, R. (2006). Assessing the accuracy of species distribution models:
- 439 prevalence, kappa and the true skill statistic (TSS). Journal of Applied Ecology, 43(6), 1223–
- 440 1232. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2664.2006.01214.x
- 441 Barbet-Massin, M., & Jetz, W. (2014). A 40-year, continent-wide, multispecies assessment of relevant
- 442 climate predictors for species distribution modelling. *Diversity and Distributions*, 20(11),
- 443 1285–1295.
- Barbet-Massin, M., Jiguet, F., Albert, C. H., & Thuiller, W. (2012). Selecting pseudo-absences for
 species distribution models: how, where and how many? *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*,
- 446 3(2), 327–338. doi:10.1111/j.2041-210X.2011.00172.x
- Bellard, C., Leroy, B., Thuiller, W., Rysman, J.-F., & Courchamp, F. (2016). Major drivers of invasion
 risks throughout the world. *Ecosphere*, 7(3), e01241.
- 449 Benton, T. G., Vickery, J. A., & Wilson, J. D. (2003). Farmland biodiversity: is habitat heterogeneity the
- 450 key? Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 18(4), 182–188. doi:10.1016/S0169-5347(03)00011-9

Bertrand, C., Burel, F., & Baudry, J. (2016). Spatial and temporal heterogeneity of the crop mosaic
influences carabid beetles in agricultural landscapes. *Landscape Ecology*, *31*(2), 451–466.

453 doi:10.1007/s10980-015-0259-4

- Birkan, M., Serre, D., Skibnienski, S., & Pelard, E. (1992). Spring-summer home range, habitat use and
- 455 survival of grey partridge (Perdix perdix) in a semi-open habitat. *Gibier Faune Sauvage*, 9,
- 456 431–442.
- 457 Blank, T. H., Southwood, T. R. E., & Cross, D. J. (1967). The Ecology of the Partridge: I. Outline of
- 458 Population Processes with Particular Reference to Chick Mortality and Nest Density. *Journal of*459 Animal Ecology, 36(3), 549–556. doi:10.2307/2811
- 460 Brambilla, M., Casale, F., Bergero, V., Bogliani, G., Crovetto, G. M., Falco, R., ... Negri, I. (2010).
- 461 Glorious past, uncertain present, bad future? Assessing effects of land-use changes on habitat
- 462 suitability for a threatened farmland bird species. *Biological Conservation*, 143(11), 2770–
- 463 2778.
- 464 Bretagnolle, V., Berthet, E., Gross, N., Gauffre, B., Plumejeaud, C., Houte, S., ... Monestiez, P. (2018a).
- 465 Description of long-term monitoring of farmland biodiversity in a LTSER. *Data in Brief*.
- 466 Bretagnolle, V., Berthet, E., Gross, N., Gauffre, B., Plumejeaud, C., Houte, S., ... Monestiez, P. (2018b).
- 467 Towards sustainable and multifunctional agriculture in farmland landscapes: Lessons from the
- 468 integrative approach of a French LTSER platform. Science of The Total Environment, 627, 822–
- 469 834.
- Bretagnolle, V., Villers, A., Denonfoux, L., Cornulier, T., Inchausti, P., & Badenhausser, I. (2011). Rapid
 recovery of a depleted population of Little Bustards Tetrax tetrax following provision of alfalfa
 through an agri-environment scheme. *Ibis*, *153*(1), 4–13. doi:10.1111/j.1474-
- 473 919X.2010.01092.x
- 474 Bro, E. (2016). *La Perdrix grise*. *Biologie, écologie, gestion et conservation*. Biotope, Mèze, 304 p.

- 475 Bro, E., & Crosnier, A. (2012). Grey Partridges Perdix perdix in France in 2008: distribution,
- 476 abundance, and population change. *Bird Study*, *59*(3), 320–326.
- 477 Bro, E., Mayot, P., Corda, E. V. E., & Reitz, F. (2004). Impact of habitat management on grey partridge
- 478 populations: assessing wildlife cover using a multisite BACI experiment. *Journal of Applied*
- 479 *Ecology*, *41*(5), 846–857.
- 480 Bro, E., Millot, F., Delorme, R., Polvé, C., Mangin, E., Godard, A., ... Brault, X. (2013). *PeGASE, bilan*
- 481 synthétique d'une étude perdrix grise «population-environnement». Faune Sauvag 298: 17–48.
- Bro, E., Reitz, F., & Mayot, P. (1998). A field survey of grey partridge (Perdix perdix) populations during
 breeding in France. (Y. Tachker, Ed.).
- 484 Brodier, S., Augiron, S., Cornulier, T., & Bretagnolle, V. (2014). Local improvement of skylark and corn
- 485 bunting population trends on intensive arable landscape: a case study of the conservation tool
 486 Natura 2000. *Animal Conservation*, *17*(3), 204–216.
- 487 Browne, S. J., Buner, F., & Aebischer, N. J. (2009). A review of gray partridge restocking in the UK and
- 488 its implications for the UK Biodiversity Action Plan. In *National Quail Symposium Proceedings*489 (Vol. 6, p. 40).
- Buner, F., & Aebischer, N. J. (2008). *Guidelines for re-establishing grey partridges through releasing*.
 Game and Wildlife Conservation Trust.
- 492 Capinha, C., & Anastácio, P. (2010). Assessing the environmental requirements of invaders using

493 ensembles of distribution models. *Diversity and Distributions*, 17(1), 13–24.

494 doi:10.1111/j.1472-4642.2010.00727.x

495 Chamberlain, D. E., Fuller, R. j., Bunce, R. g. h., Duckworth, J. c., & Shrubb, M. (2000). Changes in the

- 496 abundance of farmland birds in relation to the timing of agricultural intensification in England
- 497 and Wales. Journal of Applied Ecology, 37(5), 771–788. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2664.2000.00548.x

- Comolet-Tirman, J., Siblet, J.-P., Witté, I., Cadiou, B., Czajkowski, M. A., Deceuninck, B., ... Roché, J. E.
 (2015). Statuts et tendances des populations d'oiseaux nicheurs de France. *Bilan Simplifié Du*
- 500 Premier Rapportage National Au Titre de La Directive Oiseaux. Alauda, 83(1), 35–76.
- 501 Dudzinski, W. (1992). Grey Partridge (Perdix perdix) predation relationships in cropland and forest 502 habitat of central Poland. *Gibier Faune Sauvage*, *3*, 455–466.
- 503 Elith, J., Ferrier, S., Huettmann, F., & Leathwick, J. (2005). The evaluation strip: A new and robust
- 504 method for plotting predicted responses from species distribution models. *Ecological*
- 505 *Modelling*, 186(3), 280–289. doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2004.12.007
- 506 Engler, R., Randin, C. F., Thuiller, W., Dullinger, S., Zimmermann, N. E., Araújo, M. B., ... Guisan, A.
- 507 (2011). 21st century climate change threatens mountain flora unequally across Europe. *Global* 508 *Change Biology*, *17*(7), 2330–2341. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02393.x
- Fahrig, L., & Rytwinski, T. (2009). Effects of Roads on Animal Abundance: an Empirical Review and
 Synthesis. *Ecology and Society*, *14*(1). doi:10.5751/ES-02815-140121
- 511 Farashi, A., & Shariati, M. (2017). Biodiversity hotspots and conservation gaps in Iran. *Journal for*

512 *Nature Conservation, 39,* 37–57. doi:10.1016/j.jnc.2017.06.003

- 513 Faraway, J. J. (2005). *Linear models with R*. CRC press.
- Fischer, J., & Lindenmayer, D. B. (2000). An assessment of the published results of animal relocations.
 Biological Conservation, 96(1), 1–11.
- Fried, G., Petit, S., Dessaint, F., & Reboud, X. (2009). Arable weed decline in Northern France: crop
 edges as refugia for weed conservation? *Biological Conservation*, *142*(1), 238–243.
- 518 Gée, A., Sarasa, M., & Pays, O. (2018). Long-term variation of demographic parameters in four small
- 519 game species in Europe: opportunities and limits to test for a global pattern. Animal
- 520 *Biodiversity and Conservation, 41*(1), 33–60.

- 521 Gilroy, J. J., Anderson, G. Q. A., Vickery, J. A., Grice, P. V., & Sutherland, W. J. (2011). Identifying
- mismatches between habitat selection and habitat quality in a ground-nesting farmland bird.
 Animal Conservation, 14(6), 620–629.
- 524 Griffith, B., Scott, J. M., Carpenter, J. W., & Reed, C. (1989). Translocation as a species conservation 525 tool: status and strategy. *Science*, *245*(4917), 477–480.
- Hayhow, D. B., Audsen, M. A., Bradbury, R. B., Burnell, D., Copeland, A. I., Crick, H. Q. P., ... Williams, J.
- 527 M. (2017). The State of the UK's Birds 2017. RSPB, BTO, WWT, DAERA, JNCC, NE and NRW, 528 Sandy, Bedfordshire.
- 529 Hopwood, J. L. (2008). The contribution of roadside grassland restorations to native bee
- 530 conservation. *Biological Conservation*, 141(10), 2632–2640. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2008.07.026
- Jackson, M. M., Gergel, S. E., & Martin, K. (2015). Citizen science and field survey observations
- provide comparable results for mapping Vancouver Island White-tailed Ptarmigan (Lagopus
 leucura saxatilis) distributions. *Biological Conservation*, *181*, 162–172.
- Jones, J. (2001). Habitat Selection Studies in Avian Ecology: A Critical Review. The Auk, 118(2), 557–

535 562. doi:10.1642/0004-8038(2001)118[0557:HSSIAE]2.0.CO;2

- 536 Kokko, H., & Sutherland, W. J. (2001). Ecological traps in changing environments: ecological and
- evolutionary consequences of a behaviourally mediated Allee effect. *Evolutionary Ecology Research*, 3(5), 603–610.
- Krebs, J. R., Wilson, J. D., Bradbury, R. B., & Siriwardena, G. M. (1999). The second silent spring?
 Nature, 400(6745), 611.
- Leroy, B., Paschetta, M., Canard, A., Bakkenes, M., Isaia, M., & Ysnel, F. (2013). First assessment of
- 542 effects of global change on threatened spiders: Potential impacts on Dolomedes plantarius
- 543 (Clerck) and its conservation plans. *Biological Conservation*, 161, 155–163.
- 544 doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2013.03.022

- 545 Monnet, A.-C., Hingrat, Y., & Jiguet, F. (2015). The realized niche of captive-hatched Houbara
- 546 Bustards translocated in Morocco meets expectations from the wild. *Biological Conservation*,
- 547 *186*, 241–250. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2015.03.013
- 548 Moorcroft, D., Whittingham, M. J., Bradbury, R. B., & Wilson, J. D. (2002). The selection of stubble
- 549 fields by wintering granivorous birds reflects vegetation cover and food abundance. *Journal of*
- 550 *Applied Ecology*, *39*(3), 535–547.
- 551 Morris, D. W. (1989). Density-dependent habitat selection: testing the theory with fitness data.
- 552 *Evolutionary Ecology*, *3*(1), 80–94.
- 553 Parish, D. M. B., & Sotherton, N. W. (2007). The fate of released captive-reared grey partridges Perdix
- 554 perdix: implications for reintroduction programmes. *Wildlife Biology*, *13*(2), 140–149.
- 555 doi:10.2981/0909-6396(2007)13[140:TFORCG]2.0.CO;2
- Pearman, P. B., Guisan, A., Broennimann, O., & Randin, C. F. (2008). Niche dynamics in space and
 time. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 23(3), 149–158.
- 558 Potts, G. R., & Aebischer, N. J. (1991). Modelling the population dynamics of the grey partridge:
- 559 conservation and management. *Bird Population Studies. Relevance to Conservation and*
- 560 *Management*, 373–390.
- 561 Quantum GIS Development Team (2017). Quantum GIS Geographic Information System. Open Source 562 Geospatial Foundation Project. http://qgis.osgeo.org
- R Core Team (2017). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.R-project.org/.
- 565 Rantanen, E. M., Buner, F., Riordan, P., Sotherton, N. W., & Macdonald, D. W. (2010a). Habitat
- 566 preferences and survival in wildlife reintroductions: an ecological trap in reintroduced grey
- 567 partridges. Journal of Applied Ecology, 47(6), 1357–1364.

- Rantanen, E. M., Buner, F., Riordan, P., Sotherton, N. W., & Macdonald, D. W. (2010b). Vigilance, time
 budgets and predation risk in reintroduced captive-bred grey partridges Perdix perdix. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*, *127*(1), 43–50.
- 571 Reitz, F. (2003). Le statut communal de la perdrix grise et de la perdrix rouge en France: résultats
- 572 d'une enquête. *Faune Sauvage*, *258*, 25–33.
- 573 Reitz, F., Le Goff, E., & Fuzeau, M. (2002). Landscape selection by grey partridge (Perdix perdix) for
- 574 nesting in the fields of French cereal agrosystems. *Game & Wildlife Science*, *19*(3), 209–220.
- 575 Reitz, F., & Mayot, P. (1999). Effects of habitat characteristics on the predation risk of grey partridges.
 576 In *XXIVth IUGB Congress, Greece* (pp. 248–258).
- 577 Robinson, R. A., & Sutherland, W. J. (2002). Post-war changes in arable farming and biodiversity in
- 578 Great Britain. Journal of Applied Ecology, 39(1), 157–176. doi:10.1046/j.1365-
- 579 2664.2002.00695.x
- 580 Ronnenberg, K., Strauß, E., & Siebert, U. (2016). Crop diversity loss as primary cause of grey partridge
- and common pheasant decline in Lower Saxony, Germany. *BMC Ecology*, *16*(1), 39.
- 582 doi:10.1186/s12898-016-0093-9
- Shumway, R. H., & Stoffer, D. S. (2011). *Time Series Analysis and Its Applications with R examples*.
 Springer New York.
- Sokos, C. K., Birtsas, P. K., & Tsachalidis, E. P. (2008). The aims of galliforms release and choice of
 techniques. *Wildlife Biology*, *14*(4), 412–422.
- Sotherton, N. W., Aebischer, N. J., & Ewald, J. A. (2014). Research into action: grey partridge
 conservation as a case study. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, *51*(1), 1–5. doi:10.1111/1365-
- 589 2664.12162
- Stamps, J. A., & Swaisgood, R. R. (2007). Someplace like home: experience, habitat selection and
 conservation biology. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*, *102*(3), 392–409.

- 592 Thuiller, W., Lafourcade, B., & Araujo, M. (2009). ModOperating manual for BIOMOD. *Thuiller W*,
- 593 Lafourcade B (2010) BIOMOD: Species/Climate Modelling Functions. R Package Version, 1–1.
- 594 Thuiller, W., Lafourcade, B., Engler, R., & Araújo, M. B. (2009). BIOMOD a platform for ensemble
- 595 forecasting of species distributions. *Ecography*, *32*(3), 369–373. doi:10.1111/j.1600-
- 596 0587.2008.05742.x
- 597 Uuemaa, E., Mander, Ü., & Marja, R. (2013). Trends in the use of landscape spatial metrics as 598 landscape indicators: a review. *Ecological Indicators*, *28*, 100–106.
- 599 Vigie-Nature. (2018). Vigie-Nature. Un réseau de citoyens qui fait avancer la science. Available at
 600 http://www2.mnhn.fr/vigie-nature/
- von der Lippe, M., Bullock, J. M., Kowarik, I., Knopp, T., & Wichmann, M. (2013). Human-Mediated
- Dispersal of Seeds by the Airflow of Vehicles. *PLOS ONE*, *8*(1), e52733.
- 603 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0052733
- Warren, D. L., Glor, R. E., Turelli, M., & Funk, D. (2008). Environmental Niche Equivalency versus
- 605 Conservatism: Quantitative Approaches to Niche Evolution. *Evolution*, *62*(11), 2868–2883.

606 doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.2008.00482.x

- Wilson, J. W., Sexton, J. O., Jobe, R. T., & Haddad, N. M. (2013). The relative contribution of terrain,
- land cover, and vegetation structure indices to species distribution models. *Biological Conservation*, 164, 170–176.
- 210 Zhang, L., Liu, S., Sun, P., Wang, T., Wang, G., Zhang, X., & Wang, L. (2015). Consensus Forecasting of
- 611 Species Distributions: The Effects of Niche Model Performance and Niche Properties. *PLOS*
- 612 ONE, 10(3), e0120056. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0120056

614 *Figure 1.*

- a) Location of the Long-Term Socio-Ecological Research (LTSER) platform "Zone Atelier Plaine & Val de
- 616 Sèvre" in France. Black dots inside the LTSER represent grey partridge sightings recorded from 1997 to 617 2017
- b) Density of grey partridge opportunistic sightings (see Methods), from 1997 to 2017.
- 619 c) Density of cereals (time varying) and woodland (stable) from 1997 to 2017. Solid lines represent
- significant trends from generalized least squares (GLS) model with autoregressive moving average
 (see Table S1 for statistical details).
- 622 d/ Average size of crop patches from 1997 to 2017 on the LTSER. Solid lines represent significant
 623 trends from GLS models with autoregressive moving average.
- 624 e/ Grey partridge sightings each year between 1997 and 2017 for each dataset (black: opportunistic
 625 sightings; dark grey: point counts; light grey: point counts with call playback).
- 626 *f*/Distribution of grey partridge sightings recorded in each month of the year from 1997 to 2017
- 627 628
- Figure 2. Contributions of landscape metrics to models calibrated on the whole 21-year period (black)
 and cumulative contributions to models calibrated on the four contiguous five-year periods (19972001, 2002-2006, 2007-2011, 2012-2016). Rape density was discarded from the analysis for the whole
 21-year period as it was correlated with cereal density. The dashed line represents the threshold used
 to distinguish the landscape metrics that contributed most to the model (Capinha & Anastácio, 2010;
 Leroy et al., 2013).
- 635 636

Figure 3. Probability of occurrence of grey partridge as a function of the landscape metrics that
contributed most. Dashed lines represent the mean probability of occurrence below the cutoff, dotted
lines represent 95% confidence intervals, solid lines represent the range of values selected by grey
partridge (above the cutoff, see Methods).

- Figure 4. Top: contributions (black dots) of landscape metrics in the 5-year moving window. Solid lines
 show the trends from the GLS model with autoregressive moving average (see Table S4 for statistical
 details). The dashed line represents the threshold used to distinguish the landscape metrics that
 contributed most to the models (Capinha & Anastácio, 2010; Leroy et al., 2013). Error bars correspond
 to the standard deviation of the contributions.
- Bottom: Probability of occurrence of grey partridge as a function of the landscape metrics for the four
 contiguous five-year periods (1997-2001, 2002-2006, 2007-2011, 2012-2016). Dashed lines represent
 the mean probability of occurrence below the cutoff, dotted lines represent 95% confidence intervals,
- 651 solid lines represent the range of values selected by grey partridge (above the cutoff, see Methods).
- 652
- Figure 5. Predicted habitat suitability index of the LTSER for the four five-year contiguous periods. X
 and Y axes are the coordinates (Lambert 93, EPSG:2154)



Figure 1.



Habitat selection in grey partridge



Figure 3.





Figure 5.