

Uniqueness of tensor train decomposition with linear dependencies

Yassine Zniyed, Sebastian Miron, Remy Boyer, David Brie

▶ To cite this version:

Yassine Zniyed, Sebastian Miron, Remy Boyer, David Brie. Uniqueness of tensor train decomposition with linear dependencies. GRETSI 2019 - XXVIIème Colloque francophone de traitement du signal et des images, Aug 2019, Lille, France. hal-02141554

HAL Id: hal-02141554 https://hal.science/hal-02141554

Submitted on 28 May 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Uniqueness of Tensor Train Decomposition with Linear Dependencies

Yassine ZNIYED¹, Sebastian MIRON², Remy BOYER³, David BRIE²

¹Laboratoire des Signaux et Systèmes, CentraleSupelec, Gif-Sur-Yvette, France

²CRAN, Université de Lorraine, CNRS, Vandœuvre-lès-Nancy, France

³Laboratoire CRIStAL, Université de Lille, Villeneuve d'Ascq, France

yassine.zniyed@l2s.centralesupelec.fr, sebastian.miron@univ-lorraine.fr remy.boyer@univ-lille.fr, david.brie@univ-lorraine.fr

Résumé – Avec l'accroissement des moyens d'acquisition et de mesures, les données d'intérêt sont par essence de nature multidimensionnelle. Ceci peut s'interpréter comme un accroissement de la dimension/ordre des modèles tensoriels associés. Il y a donc là un besoin crucial d'avoir à notre disposition des représentations équivalentes d'un tenseur d'ordre élevé en un graphe de tenseurs d'ordre réduit. Dans ce travail nous considérons un graphe de type "train", c'est-à-dire, qu'un tenseur d'ordre Q sera représenté par un train de tenseur (TT) composé de Q - 2coeurs tensoriels d'ordre 3 et deux coeurs matriciels. Dans ce cadre, il a été démontré qu'un modèle CPD/PARAFAC de rang canonique Rpeut être toujours représenté de manière exacte par un modèle TT dont les coeurs sont eux-même CPD/PARAFAC de rang canonique R. Ce modèle est nommé TT-CPD. Nous généralisons cette équivalence au modèle PARALIND afin de prendre en compte des potentielles dépendances linéaires dans les facteurs. Nous donnons et discutons ici les conditions d'unicité dans le cas du modèle TT-PARALIND.

Abstract – With the increase in measurement/sensing technologies, the collected data are in intrinsically multidimensional in a large number of applications. This can be interpreted as a growth of the dimensionality/order of the associated tensor. There exists therefore a crucial need to derive equivalent and alternative models of a high-order tensor as a graph of low-order tensors. In this work we consider a "train" graph, *i.e.*, a *Q*-order tensor will be represented as a Tensor Train (TT) composed of Q - 2 3-order core tensors and two core matrices. In this context, it has been shown that a canonical rank-*R* CPD / PARAFAC model can always be represented exactly by a TT model whose cores are canonical rank-*R* CPD/PARAFAC. This model is called TT-CPD. We generalize this equivalence to the PARALIND model in order to take into account potential linear dependencies in factors. We derive and discuss here uniqueness conditions for the case of the TT-PARALIND model.

1 Introduction

Canonical Polyadic Decomposition (CPD) [6] is one of the most used tensor decompositions in signal processing. The CPD and its variants are attractive tools due to their ability to decompose tensors into physically interpretable quantities, called factors. Its uniqueness has been studied in several stateof-art articles such as [7, 10, 5]. Uniqueness and compactness are two of the advantages that make the CPD widespread. Indeed, the CPD is usually unique under mild conditions and its storage cost grows linearly with respect to the order. Recently, tensor networks (TNs) [4] have been subject of increasing interest, especially for high-order tensors, allowing more flexible tensor modelling. TNs split high-order (Q > 3) tensors into a set of lower-order tensors. Tensor train decomposition (TTD) [8] is one the most compact and simple TNs. Indeed, TTD breaks a high Q-order tensor into a set of Q lower-order tensors, called TT-cores. These TT-cores have orders at most equal to 3. In this sense, TNs are able to break the "curse of dimensionality".

In a recent work [12], an equivalence between the CPD and the TTD was proposed. In fact, it has been shown that a Qorder CPD of rank-R is equivalent to a train of 3-order CPD(s) of rank-R. This result makes it easier to jointly reduce the dimension and estimate the CPD factors using the TT-cores when the original tensor has a high order. Otherwise, when Q is high, the CPD factors estimation becomes a difficult task using ALSbased techniques [2]. At the same time, the existing results on the equivalence between CPD and TTD are based on assumption that the CPD factor matrices are all full column rank, in which case, estimating the factor matrices from the TT-cores is straightforward.

In this work, we focus on the case where linear dependencies are present between the columns on the factor matrices leading to high-order PARALIND (PARAIlel profiles with LINear Dependences) model [3]. PARALIND is a variant of the CPD with constrained factor/loading matrices, that models a linearly dependent factor P as a product of a full column rank matrix \tilde{P} and an interaction matrix Φ . Matrix Φ introduces the linear dependency and rank deficiency in P. Linear dependencies in factor matrices are of great interest in real scenarios and can be encountered in chemometrics applications [3] or in array signal processing [11], to mention a few. In this work, some new equivalence results between the TTD and PARALIND are presented. The TT-cores structure is exposed when the Q-order PARALIND has only two full column rank factor matrices. Partial and full uniqueness conditions for the new TT-PARALIND model are also studied.

The notations used in this paper are as follows. Scalars, vectors, matrices and tensors are represented by x, x, X and \mathcal{X} , respectively. The symbols $(\cdot)^{\mathsf{T}}$ and $(\cdot)^{-1}$ denote, respectively, the transpose and the inverse. $\mathcal{I}_{k,R}$ denotes the k-order identity tensor of size $R \times \cdots \times R$, and $\mathcal{I}_{2,R} = \mathbf{I}_R$. The matrix unfold_k \mathcal{X} of size $N_k \times N_1 \cdots N_{k-1}N_{k+1} \cdots N_Q$ refers to the k-mode unfolding of \mathcal{X} of size $N_1 \times \cdots \times N_Q$. The n-mode product is denoted by •. The contraction • between two tensors \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} of size $N_1 \times \cdots \times N_Q$ and $M_1 \times \cdots \times M_P$, with $N_q = M_p$ is a tensor of order (Q + P - 2) such that

$$[\mathcal{A} \stackrel{p}{\bullet} \mathcal{B}]_{n_1,\dots,n_{q-1},n_{q+1},\dots,n_Q,m_1,\dots,m_{p-1},m_{p+1},\dots,m_P}$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{N_q} [\mathcal{A}]_{n_1,\dots,n_{q-1},k,n_{q+1},\dots,n_Q} [\mathcal{B}]_{m_1,\dots,m_{p-1},k,m_{p+1},\dots,m_P}.$$

2 Equivalence between the PARALIND and the TTD

2.1 Tensor-Train Decomposition (TTD)

Definition 1. A *Q*-order tensor of size $N_1 \times \ldots \times N_Q$ that follows a Tensor Train decomposition (TTD) [8] of TT-ranks $\{R_1, \ldots, R_{Q-1}\}$ admits the following definition:

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}} = \boldsymbol{G}_1 \stackrel{1}{\underline{\circ}}_2 \boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}_2 \stackrel{1}{\underline{\circ}}_3 \stackrel{1}{\underline{\circ}}_4 \dots \stackrel{1}{\underline{\circ}}_{Q-1} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}_{Q-1} \stackrel{1}{\underline{\circ}}_Q \boldsymbol{G}_Q, \qquad (1)$$

where the TT-cores G_1 , \mathcal{G}_q , and G_Q are, respectively, of dimensions $N_1 \times R_1$, $R_{q-1} \times N_q \times R_q$, and $R_{Q-1} \times N_Q$, for $2 \le q \le Q-1$, and we have rank $\{G_1\} = R_1$, rank $\{G_Q\} = R_{Q-1}$, rank $\{\text{unfold}_1 \mathcal{G}_q\} = R_{q-1}$, and rank $\{\text{unfold}_3 \mathcal{G}_q\} = R_q$.

It is straightforward to see that the TTD of \mathcal{X} in eq. (1) is not unique since

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}} = \boldsymbol{A}_1 \stackrel{1}{\overset{1}{\bullet}} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_2 \stackrel{1}{\overset{0}{\bullet}} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_3 \stackrel{1}{\overset{0}{\bullet}} \dots \stackrel{1}{\overset{0}{\bullet}} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_{Q-1} \stackrel{1}{\overset{0}{\bullet}} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_Q,$$

where

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{A}_1 &= oldsymbol{G}_1oldsymbol{U}_1^{-1}, \ oldsymbol{A}_Q &= oldsymbol{U}_{Q-1}oldsymbol{G}_Q, \ oldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_q &= oldsymbol{U}_{q-1} \stackrel{1}{\overset{1}{_2}} oldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}_q \stackrel{1}{\overset{1}{_3}} oldsymbol{U}_q^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

For $1 \leq q \leq Q - 1$, U_q are square nonsingular matrices of dimension $R_q \times R_q$. In practice, the TTD is performed thanks to the state-of-art TT-SVD algorithm [8]. It is a sequential algorithm that recovers the TT-cores \mathcal{G}_q based on (Q - 1) SVDs applied to several matrix-based reshapings using the original tensor \mathcal{X} . This algorithm allows to recover the true TT-cores up to a post and pre-multiplication by transformation (*change-of-basis*) matrices due to the extraction of dominant subspaces when using the SVD. In the next section, we will derive the

structure of the estimated TT-cores when the original tensor \mathcal{X} follows a CPD with linear dependencies between the columns of the loading matrices.

2.2 PARALIND-TTD equivalence

Consider Q-order tensor \mathcal{X} of size $N_1 \times \cdots \times N_Q$ that follows a rank-R CPD:

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}} = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{I}}_{Q,R} \underbrace{\bullet}_{1} \boldsymbol{P}_{1} \underbrace{\bullet}_{2} \boldsymbol{P}_{2} \dots \underbrace{\bullet}_{Q} \boldsymbol{P}_{Q}, \qquad (2)$$

where the loading matrices P_q are of size $N_q \times R$. It was shown in [12] that if the loading matrices P_q are full-column rank for $1 \le q \le Q$, then they can be recovered from the TT-cores by order-3 CPD decompositions.

In this section we study the case where linear dependencies are present between the columns of the loading matrices of (2). Thus, a loading matrix P_q can be expressed as:

$$\boldsymbol{P}_q = \boldsymbol{P}_q \boldsymbol{\Phi}_q, \qquad (3)$$

where \tilde{P}_q is full column rank of size $N_q \times R_q$ ($R_q \leq R$) and Φ_q is a rank deficient matrix of size $R_q \times R$ containing the dependency pattern between the columns of \tilde{P}_q . This CPD model with linear dependencies is also known as *PARALIND* (*PARAllel profiles with LINear Dependences*) [3].

Theorem 1 (PARALIND - TTD equivalence). Decomposing tensor \mathcal{X} in (2) into a TT format, where \mathbf{P}_1 and \mathbf{P}_Q are full column rank matrices, and \mathbf{P}_q ($2 \le q \le Q - 1$) follow (3), recovers the estimated TT-cores such that

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{G}_1 &= \boldsymbol{P}_1 \boldsymbol{U}_1^{-1}, \\ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}_q &= \boldsymbol{\mathcal{I}}_{3,R} \bullet_1^{\bullet} \boldsymbol{U}_{q-1} \bullet_2^{\bullet} (\tilde{\boldsymbol{P}}_q \boldsymbol{\Phi}_q) \bullet_3^{\bullet} \boldsymbol{U}_q^{-\mathsf{T}}, \ 2 \leq q \leq Q-1 \\ \boldsymbol{G}_Q &= \boldsymbol{U}_{Q-1} \boldsymbol{P}_Q^{\mathsf{T}}, \end{split}$$

where, for $1 \le q \le Q - 1$, U_q is a square $R \times R$ nonsingular matrix. The TT-cores G_1, \mathcal{G}_q , and G_Q are, respectively, of dimensions $N_1 \times R, R \times N_q \times R$, and $R \times N_Q$, given TT-ranks all equal to R.

Proof. Note that tensor $\mathcal{I}_{Q,R}$ in eq. (2) can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{I}_{Q,R} = \mathbf{I}_{R} \stackrel{1}{\underbrace{\bullet}}_{2} \mathcal{I}_{3,R} \stackrel{1}{\underbrace{\bullet}}_{3} \cdots \stackrel{1}{\underbrace{\bullet}}_{Q-1} \mathcal{I}_{3,R} \stackrel{1}{\underbrace{\bullet}}_{Q} \mathbf{I}_{R}, \qquad (4)$$

replacing eq. (4) into eq. (2), we get

$$\mathcal{X} = (\mathbf{I}_R \stackrel{1}{\underline{\circ}}_2 \mathcal{I}_{3,R} \stackrel{1}{\underline{\circ}}_3 \cdots \stackrel{1}{\underline{\circ}}_Q \mathbf{I}_R) \stackrel{\bullet}{\underline{\circ}}_1 \mathbf{P}_1 \stackrel{\bullet}{\underline{\circ}}_2 \mathbf{P}_2 \stackrel{\bullet}{\underline{\circ}}_3 \cdots \stackrel{\bullet}{\underline{\circ}}_Q \mathbf{P}_Q$$
$$= (\mathbf{I}_R \stackrel{1}{\underline{\circ}}_2 \mathcal{I}_{3,R} \stackrel{1}{\underline{\circ}}_3 \cdots \stackrel{1}{\underline{\circ}}_Q \mathbf{I}_R) \stackrel{\bullet}{\underline{\circ}}_1 \mathbf{P}_1 \stackrel{\bullet}{\underline{\circ}}_2 \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_2 \Phi_2 \stackrel{\bullet}{\underline{\circ}}_3 \cdots \stackrel{\bullet}{\underline{\circ}}_Q \mathbf{P}_Q$$

Before introducing the ambiguity matrices U_q , tensor \mathcal{X} can then be expressed into a TT format as

$$\mathcal{X} = \underbrace{\mathbf{P}_{1}}_{A_{1}} \underbrace{\overset{\mathbf{0}}}{\overset{\mathbf{0}}{\overset{\mathbf{0}}}{\overset{\mathbf{0}}}}{\overset{\mathbf{0}}}{\overset{\mathbf{0}}{\overset{\mathbf{0}}{\overset{\mathbf{0}}{\overset{\mathbf{0}}}}{\overset{\mathbf{0}}{\overset{\mathbf{0}}{$$

One may note that for $2 \le q \le Q - 1$, the considered TT-cores A_1, A_q and A_Q verify the definition of the TTD given in Definition 1, *i.e.*, rank $\{A_1\}$ = rank $\{A_Q\}$ = rank $\{unfold_1A_q\}$ = rank $\{unfold_3A_q\}$ = R, which justify that matrices P_1 and P_Q must be of full column rank. By identifying the TT-cores A_q in eq. (5), introducing the pre- and post-multiplication ambiguity matrices U_q presented in 2.1, and using the following equivalence

$$\mathcal{G}_q = U_{q-1} \stackrel{1}{\overset{1}{\bullet}} \mathcal{A}_q \stackrel{1}{\overset{0}{\bullet}} U_q^{-1} = \mathcal{A}_q \stackrel{0}{\underset{1}{\bullet}} U_{q-1} \stackrel{0}{\overset{0}{\bullet}} U_q^{-T},$$

theorem 1 is proven.

3 Uniqueness of the PARALIND-TTD

One of the most popular condition for the uniqueness of the CPD decomposition is the Kruskal's condition [7] relying on the concept of "Kruskal-rank", or simply krank. The krank of an $N \times R$ matrix P, denoted by krank{P}, is the maximum value of $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ such that every ℓ columns of P are linearly independent. By definition, the krank of a matrix is less than or equal to its rank. Kruskal proved [7] that the condition

$$\operatorname{krank}\{\boldsymbol{P}_1\} + \operatorname{krank}\{\boldsymbol{P}_2\} + \operatorname{krank}\{\boldsymbol{P}_3\} \ge 2R + 2 \quad (6)$$

is sufficient for uniqueness of the CPD decomposition in (2), with Q = 3. Furthermore, it becomes a necessary and sufficient condition in the cases R = 2 or 3 (see [10]). Herein, by uniqueness, we understand "essential uniqueness", meaning that if another set of matrices \bar{P}_1 , \bar{P}_2 and \bar{P}_3 verify (6), then there exists a permutation matrix Π and three invertible diagonal scaling matrices (Δ_1 , Δ_2 , Δ_3) satisfying $\Delta_1 \Delta_2 \Delta_3 =$ I_R , where I_R is the *R*-th-order identity matrix, such that

$$\bar{\boldsymbol{P}}_1 = \boldsymbol{P}_1 \boldsymbol{\Pi} \boldsymbol{\Delta}_1, \quad \bar{\boldsymbol{P}}_2 = \boldsymbol{P}_2 \boldsymbol{\Pi} \boldsymbol{\Delta}_2, \quad \bar{\boldsymbol{P}}_3 = \boldsymbol{P}_3 \boldsymbol{\Pi} \boldsymbol{\Delta}_3.$$

The uniqueness condition (6) has been generalised to Qorder CPDs in [9]. It states that the loading matrices P_q (q = 1, ..., Q) in (2) can be uniquely estimated from \mathcal{X} if

$$\sum_{q=1}^{Q} \operatorname{krank}\{\boldsymbol{P}_{q}\} \ge 2R + (Q-1). \tag{7}$$

This condition is sufficient but not necessary for the uniqueness of the CPD decomposition.

Based on Kruskal's uniqueness condition as well as on the results derived in [5], we formulate in the following a partial and a full uniqueness condition for the PARALIND-TTD of a Q-order tensor.

Theorem 2 (Partial uniqueness of TT-PARALIND). *The load*ing matrix P_q can be uniquely recovered from the estimated TT decomposition of \mathcal{X} if there exist q_1 and q_2 ($q_1 \neq q_2 \neq q$), such that:

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{rank}\{\boldsymbol{P}_{q_1}\} = \operatorname{rank}\{\boldsymbol{P}_{q_2}\} = R, \\ \operatorname{rank}\{\boldsymbol{P}_q\} \ge 2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. In the CPD (2) the order of the factor matrices is arbitrary and can be changed by a simple index permutation. Thus, in the following we will suppose, without loss of generality, that $q_1 = 1$ and $q_2 = Q$. The fact that rank $\{P_1\} = \text{rank}\{P_Q\} = R$ implies that the square matrices U_q in theorem 1 are all full rank R. Therefore, the \mathcal{G}_q tensor can be uniquely recovered from \mathcal{X} by the TT-SVD algorithm.

According to theorem 1, the tensor \mathcal{G}_q can be expressed as:

$$\mathcal{G}_{q} = \mathcal{I}_{3,R} \underbrace{\bullet}_{1} U_{q-1} \underbrace{\bullet}_{2} P_{q} \underbrace{\bullet}_{3} U_{q}^{-\mathsf{T}}.$$
(8)

Following Kruskal's uniqueness condition (6), the factor matrices in (8) can be recovered from \mathcal{G}_q if

$$\operatorname{krank}\{\boldsymbol{U}_{q-1}\} + \operatorname{krank}\{\boldsymbol{P}_q\} + \operatorname{krank}\{\boldsymbol{U}_q^{-\mathsf{T}}\} \ge 2R + 2.$$
(9)

However, in our case we are only interested in recovering P_q , which allows to relax Kruskal's condition. It was proven in [5] that the matrix P_q can be be uniquely estimated from \mathcal{G}_q if

$$\operatorname{krank}\{\boldsymbol{U}_{q-1}\} + \operatorname{rank}\{\boldsymbol{P}_q\} + \operatorname{krank}\{\boldsymbol{U}_q^{-\mathsf{T}}\} \ge 2R + 2.$$
(10)

As U_{q-1} and U_q are full rank square matrices, and rank $\{P_q\} \ge 2$, (9) is verified, which completes the proof.

Theorem 3 (Full TT-PARALIND uniqueness). The loading matrices P_1, \ldots, P_Q can be uniquely recovered from the estimated TT-cores $G_1, \mathcal{G}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{G}_{Q-1}, G_Q$ if:

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{rank}\{\boldsymbol{P}_1\} = \operatorname{rank}\{\boldsymbol{P}_Q\} = R\\ \operatorname{rank}\{\boldsymbol{P}_q\} \ge 2, \quad 2 < q < Q - 1\\ \operatorname{krank}\{\boldsymbol{P}_2\}, \operatorname{krank}\{\boldsymbol{P}_{Q-1}\} \ge 2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. This result is a consequence of theorem 2. The uniqueness of factor matrices P_2, \ldots, P_{Q-1} can be proven by repeatedly applying theorem 2 to the different TT-cores \mathcal{G}_q , $2 \le q \le Q-1$. Meanwhile, condition (10) does not guarantee uniqueness of the change-of-basis matrices U_{q-1} and U_q . In order to guarantee this, Kruskal's condition (9) must be verified.

Thus, the condition krank $\{P_2\}$, krank $\{P_{Q-1}\} \geq 2$ implies uniqueness of the CPD decomposition of TT-cores \mathcal{G}_2 and \mathcal{G}_{Q-1} and consequently, the uniqueness of the $R \times R$ non-singular matrices U_1 and U_{Q-1} . From theorem 1 we get:

$$\boldsymbol{P}_1 = \boldsymbol{G}_1 \boldsymbol{U}_1$$
 and $\boldsymbol{P}_Q = \boldsymbol{G}_Q^\mathsf{T} \boldsymbol{U}_{Q-1}^{-\mathsf{T}}$

Thus, the unique recovery of G_1 and G_Q from \mathcal{X} along with uniqueness of U_1 and U_{Q-1} implies uniqueness of factor matrices P_1 and P_Q , which completes the proof.

4 Discussion

4.1 More restrictive conditions

Compared to Kruskal's condition (7) for order-Q CPD, the uniqueness condition of theorem 3 is more restrictive. For example, in the case of a fourth-order tensor (Q = 4), the condition of theorem 3 implies $\sum_{q=1}^{4} \operatorname{krank}\{P_q\} \ge 2R + 4$, while Kruskal's

condition requires $\sum_{q=1}^{4} \operatorname{krank}\{P_q\} \geq 2R + 3$. This is a direct consequence of imposing simultaneous (partial) uniqueness on all the order-3 TT-cores. More restrictive uniqueness conditions is the price to pay for having a numerically efficient algorithm, that guarantees recovery of the loading matrices for a wide variety of scenarios.

4.2 Estimation scheme architecture

It is worth noting that, from an algorithmic point of view, the estimation of the loading matrices P_q can be done either in parallel or sequentially. For a parallel estimation scheme, the conditions of theorem 3 are sufficient. In [12], a sequential scheme was proposed, based on a sequential retrieval of both matrices P_q and U_q . It requires at each step the knowledge of U_{q-1} for decomposing \mathcal{G}_q . To use a similar sequential scheme for the TT-PARALIND model, it is necessary to also ensure the uniqueness of matrices U_q . This can be done by replacing condition rank $\{P_q\} \ge 2$ (2 < q < Q - 1) in theorem 3 by a stronger one, krank $\{P_q\} \ge 2$ (2 < q < Q - 1).

4.3 Perspectives

- The condition rank {P₁} = rank {P_Q} in theorem 1 requires the knowledge of the indices of full-rank modes of tensor X, which are then arbitrarily fixed to 1 and Q; once these two modes are fixed, the order in which the remaining modes are processed is arbitrary. It is certainly possible to obtain a condition involving only one full rank matrix, but in this case the order in which the other modes are processed must be carefully chosen to guarantee the required rank conditions for the TT-SVD algorithm. This aspect is currently under investigation.
- 2. A very promising application domain of these results is the low-rank approximation of high-dimensional probability mass functions. In this case, these uniqueness results are of upmost importance as the linear dependencies in the model could account for the random variables correlations. A potential application is represented by the flow cytometry data analysis, as shown in [1].

5 Conclusion

The factorisation of a high-order tensor into a collection of loworder tensors, called cores, is an important research topic. Indeed, this family of methods called tensor Networks is an efficient way to mitigate the well-known "curse of dimentionality" problem. In this work, we prove that a Q-order PARALIND of rank R can be reformulated as a Q - 2 train of tensors possibly column-deficiency and two full column rank matrices. The condition of partial and full uniqueness are exposed and discussed.

References

- David Brie, Rémi Klotz, Sebastian Miron, Saïd Moussaoui, Christian Mustin, Philippe Bécuwe, and Stéphanie Grandemange. Joint analysis of flow cytometry data and fluorescence spectra as a non-negative array factorization problem. *Chemometrics and Intelligent Laboratory Systems*, 137:21–32, 2014.
- [2] R. Bro, N. D. Sidiropoulos, and G. B. Giannakis. A fast least squares algorithm for separating trilinear mixtures. In ICA99 - Int. Workshop on Independent Component Analysis and Blind Separation., 1999.
- [3] Rasmus Bro, Richard A. Harshman, Nicholas D. Sidiropoulos, and Margaret E. Lundy. Modeling multiway data with linearly dependent loadings. *Journal of Chemometrics: A Journal of the Chemometrics Society*, 23(7-8):324–340, 2009.
- [4] A. Cichocki. Era of big data processing: A new approach via tensor networks and tensor decompositions. *CoRR*, 2014.
- [5] Xijing Guo, Sebastian Miron, David Brie, and Alwin Stegeman. Uni-mode and partial uniqueness conditions for CANDECOMP/PARAFAC of three-way arrays with linearly dependent loadings. *SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications*, 33(1):111–129, 2012.
- [6] R. A. Harshman. Foundations of the PARAFAC procedure: Models and conditions for an explanatory multimodal factor analysis. UCLA Working Papers in Phonetics, 16:1–84, 1970.
- [7] J. B. Kruskal. Three-way arrays: Rank and uniqueness of trilinear decompositions with application to arithmetic complexity and statistics. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 18:95– 138, 1977.
- [8] I. V. Oseledets. Tensor-Train decomposition. SIAM J. Scientific Computing, 33(5):2295–2317, 2011.
- [9] Nicholas D. Sidiropoulos and Rasmus Bro. On the uniqueness of multilinear decomposition of N-way arrays. *Journal of Chemometrics: A Journal of the Chemometrics Society*, 14(3):229–239, 2000.
- [10] J. M. F. ten Berge and N. D. Sidiropoulos. On uniqueness in CANDECOMP/PARAFAC. *Psychometrika*, 67(3):399–409, September 2002.
- [11] L. Xu, T. Jing, Y. Longxiang, and Z. Hongbo. PARALIND-based identifiability results for parameter estimation via uniform linear array. *EURASIP Journal on Advances in Signal Processing*, 2012.
- [12] Y. Zniyed, R. Boyer, A. L. F. de Almeida, and G. Favier. Formulation du modèle CP d'ordre élevé en un train de tenseurs (TT) d'ordre réduit. Article soumis au GRETSI'19.