

Comparative microsatellite linkage analysis and genetic structure of two populations of F6 lines derived from Lycopersicon pimpinellifolium and L. cheesmanii

Irene Villalta, A. Reina-Sánchez, J. Cuartero, E. Carbonell, M. J Asins

To cite this version:

Irene Villalta, A. Reina-Sánchez, J. Cuartero, E. Carbonell, M. J Asins. Comparative microsatellite linkage analysis and genetic structure of two populations of F6 lines derived from Lycopersicon pimpinellifolium and L. cheesmanii. TAG Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 2005, 110 (5), pp.881-894. $10.1007/\mathrm{s}00122$ -004-1906-3. hal-02140672

HAL Id: hal-02140672 <https://hal.science/hal-02140672>

Submitted on 8 Nov 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

ORIGINAL PAPER

I. Villalta A. Reina-Sánchez J. Cuartero E. A. Carbonell \cdot M. J. Asins

Comparative microsatellite linkage analysis and genetic structure of two populations of F_6 lines derived from *Lycopersicon* pimpinellifolium and L. cheesmanii

Received: 30 September 2004 / Accepted: 11 December 2004 / Published online: 3 February 2005 Springer-Verlag 2005

Abstract A population of recombinant inbred lines (RILs) has several advantages over its F_2 population counterpart with respect to quantitative trait loci (QTLs) and genomic studies. The objective of the investigation reported here was the comparative characterization by simple sequence repeat (SSR) and sequence characterized amplified region (SCAR) markers of two populations of F_6 lines derived from Lycopersicon pimpinellifolium (P population, consisting of 142 lines) and L. cheesmanii (C population, consisting of 115 lines) and sharing the female parent, L. esculentum var. cerasiforme. Almost the same percentage of polymorphic markers was found for each population although a different set of markers was involved. The proportion of SSR primer pairs (93 in total) that resulted in polymorphism for the main band was larger (55–56%) than for SCAR ones (13–16%). The C population showed the largest proportion of markers with zygotic and gametic segregation distortion, which is in agreement with the larger genetic distance reported between L. esculentum and L. *cheesmanii* than with the former and L. *pimpi*nellifolium. Zygotic distortion corresponded primarily to an excess of heterozygotes in both populations, suggesting that the increment of homozygosity was the main factor limiting viability/self-fertility of the lines. Despite both populations sharing the female parent, P alleles were slightly favored in the P population while E alleles were the most frequently fixed in the C population. A linkage map for each population was obtained, with the average distances between consecutive markers

Communicated by I. Paran

I. Villalta E. A. Carbonell \cdot M. J. Asins (\boxtimes) Instituto Valenciano de Investigaciones Agrarias, Apdo. Oficial, 46113 Moncada-Valencia, Spain E-mail: mjasins@ivia.es Tel.: $+34-963424067$ Fax: 34-96-3424001

A. Reina-Sánchez J. Cuartero Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas-Estación Experimental La Mayora, 29750 Algarrobo-Costa, Spain being 3.8 cM or 3.4 cM depending on the population. Discrepancy between the maps for the location of only four markers on chromosomes 3, 6 and 10 was observed. Two possible causes of this discrepancy were investigated and can not be discarded: (1) the presence of duplicated markers and (2) segregation distortion caused by the selective advantage of gametes carrying one of the two alleles. This marker characterization of both populations will continue and will enable the comparative QTLs and candidate gene analysis of complex traits towards a more efficient utilization of genetic resources and breeding strategies.

Introduction

Tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum Mill.) is one of the most important horticultural crops in the world. In terms of human health, the tomato fruit is a major component of daily meals in many countries and constitutes an important source of minerals, vitamins and antioxidant compounds. Carotenoids, in addition to their role in fruit coloring, are an excellent source of vitamin A and antioxidant agents and thus play an important role in preventing cancer and heart diseases (Krinsky 1992). Flavonoids (diphenylpropanes) are antimutagenic and anticarcinogenic (Verma et al. 1988; Francis et al. 1989). In addition to its economical and nutritional importance, the tomato is an ideal research material for physiological, cellular, biochemical and molecular genetic or genomic investigations. It is easy to cultivate, has a short life cycle and is amenable to varied horticultural manipulations, including grafting or cutting, and to genetic transformation. A large number of genes have been described and assigned to specific locations on the 12 chromosomes of its genome, and numerous unigenic mutants are available for a better knowledge of their biochemical and phenotypic effect(s). A vast array of genetic diversity is also available for the cultivated tomato and related taxa from several

germplasm banks. The presence of co-adapted genic complexes in their accessions is a key point that supports the need for germplasm conservation (Brown 1978). Epistatic interactions among loci at two-locus, three-locus and higher order levels have often been shown to produce major effects on adaptability, especially in autogamous species, and have a considerable influence on phenotype (Allard 1988; Pérez de la Vega et al. 1994; Lukens and Doebley 1999). How can we use the continuously growing knowledge on tomato genomics to understand and exploit for plant breeding those co-adapted genic complexes? The possibility to study genotype-by-environment and epistatic interactions through quantitative trait locus (QTL) analysis is envisaged as a valuable tool for this purpose.

The construction of reliable linkage maps based on segregant analysis of co-dominant markers in experimental and breeding populations is a basic requirement for successful comparative studies of the genetic control of traits. Most breeding efforts are centered around locating genes or QTLs conferring resistance or tolerance to biotic and abiotic stress factors. With this aim, breeders have developed many experimental populations derived from interspecific crosses using L. esculentum as the receptor parent and various wild relatives as parental donors (Table 1): L. pimpinellifolium (Monforte et al. 1996; Tanksley et al. 1996; Chen and Foolad 1999), L. cheesmanii (Paran et al. 1995; Monforte et al. 1999), L. pennellii (Tanksley et al. 1992; Eshed and Zamir 1995; Haanstra et al.1999), L. hirsutum (Monforte and Tanksley 2000), L. peruvianum (Fulton et al. 1997) and L. parviflorum (Fulton et al. 2000).

Recombinant inbred lines (RILs) have many advantages over other populations that are used for genetic mapping and QTL analysis. Because the genotype is fixed for each line, the whole population can be distributed and replicated for use in experiments in different laboratories and environments. This feature is crucial for quantifying the effect of genotype \times environment (G \times E) interaction within a QTL analysis of agronomic traits, especially those related to the adaptation or tolerance to abiotic stress factors, such as salinity (Monforte et al. 1997a). A RIL population is more efficient than its F_2 population because fewer individuals are needed to detect linkage of the same magnitude between a marker and a QTL (Simpson 1989), it is genotyped once and as many traits or molecules can be genetically analyzed as needed. In tomato, most experimental populations have been obtained using the advanced backcross design (Table 1), which is not a useful design for detecting epistatic QTLs (Tanksley and Nelson 1996) since every backcross generation greatly reduces the number of genotypic combinations. RILs have been used extensively for genetic mapping in several plants species and in Arabidopsis and rice in particular. In tomato, two studies have been reported but just one from an interspecific cross (Paran et al. 1995). Because RILs undergo several rounds of meiosis, the chance that a recombination event will occur between linked loci is greater than in a single-meiosis population of the same size, thereby yielding higher

resolution maps. Therefore, RILs enable investigators to obtain more dense maps. For these reasons, the development of this kind of population has been pursued as the first step of the investigation reported here.

Since co-dominant markers are preferred for future QTLs studies and the level of polymorphism for restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) is low, simple sequence repeats (SSRs) or microsatellites are becoming the preferred molecular markers of choice in crop breeding. Due to their properties of co-dominance, high reproducibility and multiallelic variation, they are the most practical markers for genomic mapping and marker-assisted selection. In tomato, many microsatellite markers have been developed (Smulders et al. 1997; Bredemeijer et al. 1998; Areshchenkova and Ganal 1999; He et al. 2003), but only a limited number of SSR markers have been mapped (Areshchenkova and Ganal 2002). We have employed SSR markers, and SCARs (sequence characterized amplified regions) from previously mapped RFLPs to comparatively characterize two populations of F_6 lines derived from two crosses in which L. pimpinellifolium and L. cheesmanii were the respective male parent and L. esculentum var. cerasiforme was the common female parent. The choice of parental lines was based on their contrasting salt tolerance and lack of domestication to minimize segregation distortion and fertility problems during the development of RILs.

Materials and methods

Plant material

Two populations of F_6 lines were developed from crosses of Lycopersicon esculentum var. cerasiforme (line $E9$) as the female parent with L . *pimpinellifolium* (line L5, P population) and L . *cheesmanii* (line L3, C population), respectively, as male parents. Both populations were developed by single seed descent from 300 (L. pimpinellifolium) or 400 $F₂$ (L. cheesmanii) individual plants (Monforte et al. 1997a), with no conscious selection at any generation, under greenhouse or screenhouse conditions. One hundred and forty-two P lines and 115 C lines were obtained at F_6 by the IVIA (Instituto Valenciano de Investigaciones Agrarias).

Marker analysis

DNA was extracted from a bulk of six plants per F_7 line. This bulk reconstituted the genotype of F_6 plants from which seeds were obtained for the subsequent generation. Every F_6 line from population P was genotyped at 140 marker loci (87 co-dominant, 53 dominant) using 15 SCAR and 64 SSR primer pairs. F_6 lines from the C population were genotyped at 117 marker loci (74 co-dominant, 43 dominant) using 14 SCAR and 48 SSR primer pairs.

TG markers are SCARs derived by primer design from the sequences of TG clones (Table 2). These clones were

already mihlished Table 1 Some of the most important Lycopersicon interspecific linkage maps already published $\ddot{\cdot}$ j maadina linkaaa $\frac{1}{2}$ r.
S $most important I.$ Table 1 Some of the

a Family size

"Family size

"RFLP, of markers used in the map

polymorphic DNA

"Chromosomes presenting distortion towards the *E* alleles; n.s., not specified

"Chromosomes presenting distortion towards the vild alleles; cRFLP, Restriction fragment length polymorphism; SCAR, sequence-characterized amplified region; CAPS, cleaved amplified polymorphic sequence; RAPD, random amplified a_{Family size}
bNumber of markers used in the map

polymorphic DNA
^dChromosomes presenting distortion towards the *E* alleles; n.s., not specified

eChromosomes presenting distortion towards the wild alleles fChromosomes displaying an excess of heterozygotes

^gn.s., Not specified

*Segregation distortion caused by artificial selection

Table 2 Primers for markers that are not available at the SGN databases Table 2 Primers for markers that are not available at the SGN databases

884

(1), Smulders et al. (1997); (2), Broun and Tanksley (1996); (3), Vosman and Arens (1997); (4), primers derived from RFLP markers (see Materials and methods
Annealing temperatures (°C) within the amplification profile ^a (1), Smulders et al. (1997); (2), Broun and Tanksley (1996); (3), Vosman and Arens (1997); (4), primers derived from RFLP markers (see Materials and methods
^b Annealing temperatures (°C) within the amplification prof \hat{c} (p) and (c) indicate polymorphic markers in the P and/or C family, respectively $c(p)$ and (c) indicate polymorphic markers in the P and/or C family, respectively C) within the amplification profile

kindly provided by Dr. S. Tanksley. CT and CD markers (Table 2) are also SCARs and these were obtained by primer design using cDNA sequences (Ganal et al. 1998) available in the National Center of Biotechnology Information website database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). The PRIME program of the University of Wisconsin Genetics Computer Group (GCG) software package was used for primer design. The PCR products we obtained did not always correspond to the expected length due to the presence of introns in the genomic DNA sequence (Table 2). Additionally, more than one main band was usually obtained due to the amplification of secondary bands. For these reasons their location cannot be assumed to be the same as that reported by Tanksley et al. (1992). We decided not to modify the conditions nor eliminate these additional loci, given that the extra products did not interfere with the main one with respect to genetic interpretation and contributed more polymorphic markers to be included in the genetic maps. SSRW markers correspond to SSR primers available from the International Solanaceae Genomics Network (SGN) website database (http://www.sgn.cornell.edu/) and were chosen based on their map location. Other SSR primers were obtained from different authors and are also summarized in Table 2 . Nomenclature used for all marker loci consists of primer name and the size of segregating band in base pairs. PCR amplification was performed as described by Kijas et al. (1997) with minor modifications, using

300 ng of genomic DNA per 20- ll reaction. Each reaction was overlaid with 25μ of mineral oil and amplified in a PTC-100 thermal cycler (MJ Research, Waltham, Mass.) under the following conditions; an initial step at 94 °C for 3 min; 30 cycles of 1 min at 94 °C, 45 s at 45– 55 -C (depending on the marker, see Table 2) and 1 min and 45 s at 72 $^{\circ}$ C; final steps of 45 s at 55 $^{\circ}$ C and 3 min at 72 °C. PCR products were mixed with 5 μ l of 5× loading buffer [50% (v/v) glycerol, $1 \times AE$, 10% (v/v) saturated bromophenol blue, 0.2% (w/v) xylene cyanole] and analyzed by electrophoresis in sequencing-type 10% polyacrylamide gels (acrylamide: N , N' -methylene bisacrylamide, 29:1) under non-denaturing conditions in $1\times$ TBE buffer (90 m *M* Tris borate, 2 m *MEDTA*, pH 8.3). The procedures used for electrophoresis conditions and silver staining are described by Ruíz et al. (2000).

Linkage analysis

Genotype data of both populations were used independently to perform linkage analyses using JOINMAP 3.0 software for Windows (Van Ooijen and Voorrips 2001). A minimum LOD of 3 was set as a threshold to allocate marker loci into linkage groups, and a recombination fraction of 0.5 was used for linkage analysis. The Kosambi function (Kosambi 1944) was used to order markers and to estimate interval distances. Segregation distortion at each marker locus was checked for deviation from the expected $F₆$ ratio based on a chi-square goodness-of-fit test. Additional chi-square tests were calculated to study gametic segregation distortion. Linkage between groups with distorted segregation ratios was confirmed using a chi-square (Mather 1957) for the independence of two segregations, conditional on their marginal frequencies.

Cloning and sequencing of problematic markers

In order to investigate any possible duplications of markers presenting high values of segregation distortion within non-distorted chromosomal regions (Frisch et al. 2004), we cloned and sequenced some of these problematic marker bands.

PCR reactions were cloned into the p-GEM- easy vector system (Promega, Madison, Wis.). To evaluate the diversity of inserts from white colonies, we performed PCR reactions using 2 µ of bacterial culture from selected colonies and analyzed these by means of 10% polyacrylamide sequencing type gel electrophoresis. Clones of the expected length were purified using the Concert nucleic acid purification system (Life Technologies, Gaithersburg, Md.). Both strands of the selected clones were sequenced by the IBMCP (Instituto de Biología Molecular y Celular de Plantas, Valencia) Sequencing Service. Sequence analysis and alignment were performed using the SEQUENCHER [Gene Codes Corporation (GCG), Ann Arbor, Mich.] computer program.

Homology searches were done using the online service of the National Center for Biotechnology Information (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast) and the Solanaceae Genomics Network database (http://www.sgn.cornell.edu/ cgi-bin/tools/blast/simple.pl), using the BLASTN search tool (Altschul et al. 1997).

The GCG and SEQUENCHER programs were accessed through the Bioinformatics Service of the University of Valencia.

Results

Marker polymorphism

Preliminary tests were carried out in order to determine the degree of polymorphism between the parents for the two kinds of markers. The percentage of polymorphic markers for SSRs and SCARs was exactly the same for each population, but with different sets of markers. Of 93 SSR primer pairs (79.5%), 74 were polymorphic in the P and C populations. However, when polymorphism was considered only for the main band, the level of polymorphism decreased to 55% and 56% for the P and C populations, respectively. Ultimately, 64 and 50 SSR primer pairs were actually used to genotype the F_6 lines from the P and C populations, respectively. Each of these primer pairs revealed an average of 1.6 polymorphic loci. Of the 42 SCAR primer pairs tested, 23 (54%) were polymorphic in the P and C populations. If polymorphism was considered only for the main amplified band, the level of polymorphism decreased once again to 13% and 16% for the P and

Fig. 2 Linkage maps obtained for the P (L. esculentum \times L. pimpinellifolium) and C (L. esculentum \times L. cheesmanii) populations. Common markers are connected by lines, if the order of marker has changed a *discontinuous line* is drawn. *Framed* linkage groups are those where no common markers with other linkage group has been found. Markers displaying significant $(P < 0.001)$ genotypic segregation distortion are shown in bold. Underlined markers show significant $(P < 0.001)$ gametic distortion

 \blacktriangleright

C populations, respectively. Of the 23 SCAR polymorphic markers, 15 and 14 were ultimately selected to genotype the P and C populations, respectively. Each of these primer pairs detected an average of 2.3 polymorphic loci.

New alleles (not present in parents) appeared in the P population (Fig. 1) and always involved the same F_6 lines: lines 137 and 189 for markers SSRW63_240 and SSRW344_290 (chromosome 8), SSRW241_200 and SSRW285 290 (chromosome 7) and line 137 for SSRW383_270 (chromosome 9), CD40_700 (chromosome 8) and SSRW450_310 (chromosome 4). These markers were eliminated from these lines during construction of the linkage map. Although apparently the same new allele has been fixed for the common marker loci in both lines, if these marker loci are ignored, the similarity between lines 137 and 189 is 0.329 while the mode of the population corresponds to the 0.4–0.5 class. In fact, the mean fruit weight of these lines was very different, 2.85 g for line 137 and 16.97 g for line 189. The presence of foreign pollen during the development of the lines is a highly unlikely possibility, and the chance that this pollen affected only these loci, in the same lines (they were never contiguous for multiplication), is also very unlikely. These observations negate the hypothesis of a common origin of both lines after the F_2 plants they derive from and support

Fig. 1 Examples of markers showing new SSR alleles not present in the parents as revealed by silver-stained polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis in P lines 137 and 189. $E \times P$ Interspecific hybrid between the E and P parental species, arrows new bands

the hypothesis of asymmetric recombination at these loci as the source of this new variability.

Linkage maps

The genetic map of the P population (Fig. 2) consists of 132 SSR and SCAR markers distributed over 14 linkage groups (chromosomes 1 and 10 were split in two parts). The average and maximal distances between two adjacent markers were 3.8 cM and 25 cM, respectively. Large gaps $(>20 \text{ cM})$ were found on chromosomes 1 (two gaps) and 7 (one gap).

The map of the C population (Fig. 2) contains 114 markers in 16 linkage groups. Chromosomes 6 and 10 were split into two parts, and the chromosomal identity of two linkage groups remains unknown. The average and maximal distances between two individual markers are 3.4 cM and 27 cM, respectively. A large gap $(>20 \text{ cM})$ was found only on chromosome 9.

Considerable similarity between the maps from the P and C populations was found. Fourteen homologous linkage groups could be identified based on one to six common markers per linkage group, with a total of 48 common markers. Marker order was the same between maps except in four cases. In two of these, CT156_1200 on chromosome 12 and SSR14_180 on chromosome 3, only 1 cM and 2 cM of localization discrepancy were found, respectively. On chromosome 3, SSR6_180 showed 4 cM of localization discrepancy between maps. Finally, a discrepancy for the ordering of markers within chromosome 10 was solved by ignoring marker SSRW318 298. As we will demonstrate, the sequence analysis of SSRW318_298 revealed more than one sequence for the E and P parents (Table 3).

Genetic structure of populations

 E and P homozygotes were present in the P population at an average frequency of 0.44 and 0.48, respectively. Of the markers examined, 56.4% showed a higher frequency of P homozygotes than E homozygotes. Only chromosomes 7, 8 and 11 had large regions where the E homozygotes were more abundant than the P homozygotes. The average frequency of the heterozygous class was 0.082.

In the C population, average frequencies of E and C homozygotes at the examined loci were 0.50 and 0.39, respectively. E homozygotes were more frequent than the C ones at 73.1% of the markers. Only chromosomes 4, 6

Table 3 Variability for the number of AT repeats and nucleotide substitutions among clones isolated from L. esculentum (E), L. pimpinellifolium (P) and L. cheesmanii (c) for markers SSR6_180, SSR29_250, SSRW47_220 and SSRW318_298

| Marker | Parent | Size $(bp)^a$ | Nucleotide substitution | Homologies—e-value |
|-------------|-------------|---------------------------------------|--|---|
| SSR6_180 | E C | 170 162 162 162 | | LAT59 gene for protein P59 (L. esculentum). e-value: 2e-24 |
| SSR29_250 | E | 162 222(1) 222(1) 220 220 | | TOMLE25 gene ABA regulated, and accumulated in developing seeds and drought-stressed leaves $(L.$ esculentum). e-value: 9e-46 |
| | P | 218(1) 218(1) 218(1) 216 | | |
| | $\mathbf C$ | 218 218 218 218 | A to G at nt 207 A to G at nt 207 | |
| SSRW47_220 | E | 189(2) 191 191 | C to T at nt 1 | sgn-u146705 Lycopersicon contig homolog to putative pectinesterase (Oryza sativa). e-value: $2e-158$ |
| | P | 173 173 | | |
| | $\mathbf C$ | 203 203 | C to T at nt 48 | |
| SSRW318 298 | E | 273 273 273 | | TP5 gene putative beta-galactosidase (Nicotiana tabacum). e-value: 8e-19 |
| | P | 275(1) 271 271 271 267(2) | G to A at nt 29 G to A at nt 228 T to C at nt 83, C to T at nt 220 | |

a Size: (1), AT insertion; (2), AT deletion. BLASTN results using the consensus sequence and corresponding e-values

and 12 had large regions at which C homozygotes were more abundant than E homozygotes. The average frequency of the heterozygous class was 0.11, while the expected frequency of this class in the F_6 plants is 0.03125.

The distribution of the percentage of E alleles per marker locus in the P and C populations is presented in Fig. 3a. P population mode was 50% and second major class, 40%. On the other hand, C population major classes were 60% (mode) and 50% .

We observed a clear difference between populations for the distribution of the percentage of E alleles per line (Fig. 3b). The population modes are 50% and 60% in the P and C populations, respectively. The same displacement of distributions was observed for the percentage of heterozygotes per marker (Fig. 3c), with the

Fig. 3 Distribution of the percentage of L . *esculentum* (E) alleles and the percentage of heterozygosity in P (black bars) and C (white $bars)$ populations. a Distribution of the percentage of E alleles relative to the number of molecular markers, b distribution of the percentage of E alleles relative to the number of RILs, c distribution of the percentage of heterozygosity of the populations relative the number of molecular markers

modes being 5.1–7.5% and 10.1–12.5% in the P and C populations, respectively.

Marker segregation distortion and allele composition of populations

The distribution of the allelic ratios observed in both populations is presented in Fig. 4. Of the marker loci examined, 84% showed the expected 1:1 ratio and the E:P proportion mode was 0.9 in P population. The P population showed a moderate selection favoring P alleles at most of the marker loci. Of the markers, 16% showed allelic distortion, while 42 markers (30%) deviated significantly ($P < 0.001$) from the F₆ expected genotypic ratio (this percentage was 13.4% in the F₂ generation; data from Monforte et al. 1997a).

In the C population only 70% of markers showed the expected 1:1 allelic ratio, and the E:C ratio was 1.1. Therefore, the C population presented a strong segregation distortion against C alleles. A total of 30% of the markers showed gametic distortion. Sixty markers (51%) deviated significantly ($P < 0.001$) from the F₆ expected genotypic ratio that clearly favored E alleles. Although this advantage of E alleles was also observed in the F_2 generation, only 20% of markers presented genotypic distortion in the latter.

In general, loci with a skewed allelic ratio were scattered throughout the genome, although markers presenting maximum χ^2 values with respect to segregation distortion in both populations were found on chromosome 2: SSRW26 175 and TG30 320 in the P population and SSR12_140 and TG48_350 in the C population. The distortion in these four markers is mainly due to an excess of heterozygotes. In most genomic regions the degree of deviation ($P < 0.001$) was similar for all linked markers. However, in a few regions one marker deviated strongly while its nearest neighbor did not. Examples of such markers are: in the C population, SSR6 180 on chromosome 3 ($E=0.64$, $C=0.36$), CT141 320 ($E=0.41$, $C=0.59$) on chromosome 6 and SSR29_250 ($E=0.64$, $C=0.36$ on chromosome 10; in the P population, SSR29 250 on chromosome 10 ($E=0.36$, $P=0.64$).

Linked markers showing segregation distortion $(P<0.001)$ were frequently found, especially in the C population. Chromosomes 2, 4 and 10 showed regions with distorted segregation towards the P allele in the P population, while in the C population chromosomes 1, 2, 3, 8, 9 and 10 had large regions with segregation distortion towards the E allele.

Sequence analysis of problematic markers

The sequences of a few specific markers were analyzed: SSR6_180 and SSR29_250 on chromosomes 3 and 10 because of their high allelic segregation distortion and location discrepancy between maps and SSRW47_220 and SSRW318_298 on chromosomes 6 and 10 due to 890

their high genetic segregation distortion within chromosomal regions that did not show distortion for surrounded markers. The sequence analysis (Table 3) indicated that some of these markers are presumably present in the genome in more than one copy.

Markers SSR29_250, SSRW47_220 and SSRW318_298 showed variability among clones isolated from the same parental line for the number of AT repeats. Four SSR29 250 clones were isolated for the E, P and C parental lines. Two clones from the E parent and three clones from the P parent showed a dinucleotide (AT) insertion at the microsatellite sequence. For SSRW47_220, a dinucleotide (AT) deletion in the microsatellite sequence was found in one of the three clones isolated from the E parental line. For SSRW318_298, one of the four clones from the E parent showed a dinucleotide (AT) insertion. For the P parent, we found that one of the four clones had a dinucleotide (AT) deletion in the microsatellite sequence.

No difference among the four clones isolated from the C parent was found for the SSR6_180 marker.

Indels were not the only variation found among sequences cloned from the same parental line; nucleotide substitutions were also found for SSR29 250 (C), SSR47_220 (E and C) and SSRW318_298 (E and P).

All sequences per marker were aligned, and the consensus sequence was used for BLASTN analysis in the NCBI database and the SGN database. These microsatellites are placed in intragenic regions, and homologies for the flanking regions of the Poly(AT) microsatellite core are summarized in Table 3.

Discussion

Polymorphism of microsatellites and genetic diversity

The co-dominant marker type most commonly used in the construction of earlier linkage maps is the RFLP marker. In comparison to SSR marker technology, RFLP genotyping protocol is very laborious and has a

low level of polymorphism. For mapping purposes, a higher level of polymorphism is needed when the initial cross involves genetically closely related species, as in the present study. The level of polymorphism we observed between the species examined was much higher in the present study than in the one reported by Alvarez et al. (2001): the latter found that only 29% of the microsatellites were polymorphic between L. esculentum and L. cheesmanii, while we found 56% were polymorphic taking into account just the main band. This difference polymorphism level can be explained within the framework of the set of SSR markers used: when we estimated the percentage of polymorphic SSR that just included the 14 primer pairs in common with both the present study and that of Alvarez et al. (2001), the amount of polymorphism changed from 56% to 28%. This indicates that any estimation of genetic differences between species using SSR markers might be highly dependent on the set of SSR markers used. If we take our percentage of polymorphic SSRs as a measure of genetic distance, L. pimpinellifolium and L. cheesmanii would be equally similar to L. esculentum, a result that coincides with the study on genetic relationships reported by Alvarez et al. (2001). Nevertheless, differences between the sizes of SSR alleles are usually much larger between *L. chees*manni and L. esculentum than between L. pimpinellifolium and L. esculentum and, moreover, the much higher gametic and genotypic segregation distortion found in the C population $(31\%$ and 52% , respectively) relative to that found in the P population $(18\%$ and 29% , respectively) suggests that *L. pimpinellifolium* is closer to L. esculentum than L. cheesmanii is, as has been reported in previous studies using isozymatic markers (Rick and Fobes 1975; Bretó et al. 1993). Therefore, the results of any study of genetic diversity and genetic relationships among species using SSR markers should be considered with caution because diversity does not seem to be exclusively a matter of number of alleles, differences among alleles per SSR marker should be also considered, i.e. not all of the alleles found for a set of species should be considered to be equally different from one another.

New SSR alleles (not present in parents) arose in the P population (Fig. 1) and always in the same F_6 lines: 137 and 189. After we had discarded errors in the multiplication of these lines, we hypothesized that the genotype of these lines, which were fixed during the selfing generations, increased the occurrence of genetic recombination. Conversely, the fact that new alleles arose in both lines at the same four loci (SSRW63_240 and SSRW344_290 (chromosome 8), SSRW241_200 and SSRW285_290 (chromosome 7) suggests that these loci might be hotspots of recombination. Meiotic recombination is not distributed uniformly throughout eukaryotic genomes, and variation in recombination between different chromosomal regions can be of several orders of magnitude in some species. This variation has given rise to the concept of recombination hotspots. Although genes comprise a small fraction of the genome, they behave in general as recombination hotspots in the sense that intragenic recombination frequencies have been found to be several times greater than recombination between genes (Dooner and Martínez-Férez 1997). In Lycopersicon, a recombination hotspot, within an apoplastic invertase, facilitated the precise mapping of the Brix9-2-5 QTL derived from L. pennellii (Fridman et al. 2000). Our finding agrees with these results because SSRW markers were derived from expressed sequences. Investigations currently in progress are focused on testing this hypothesis.

Zygotic and gametic segregation distortions confirm the genetic structure of the populations

Despite having a common female parent, both F_6 populations were found to be quite different with respect to genetic constitution. These populations share only a high frequency of markers that show a genotypic segregation distortion towards an excess of heterozygotes along all chromosomes, with chromosome 2 showing the highest percentage of genotypic and allelic segregation distortion—although in different directions (toward P in the P population and toward E in the C population). In general, P alleles are favored, if present, in the P population, while six chromosomes showed markers with gametic segregation distortion towards the E allele in the C population. This observation is in agreement with data on marker segregation distortion at the corresponding F_2 progenies (Monforte et al. 1997a).

There are two main differences between the F_2 and $F₆$ populations: (1) the large increment in genotypic segregation distortion from generation 2 to generation 6; (2) the origin of this distortion is an excess of homozygotes (for the P or E alleles) in the F_2 population, while in the F_6 population, it is mostly due to an excess of heterozygotes. Therefore, as the number of generations of self-pollination increases, the viability and/or fertility of homozygotes (at any genomic location) seem to decrease, thereby making allele fixation

difficult. Why does it happen in populations derived from autogamous, self-compatible species? Our results suggest that the genes controlling the reproductive system, which are fixed in each of these three species, are not the same, especially between L. esculentum and L. cheesmanii. A decrease in the frequency of the wild allele and/or the maintenance of a high level of heterozygosity as found in the C population have also been observed in the development of other advanced populations of tomato interspecific crosses (Table 1) involving *L. chees*manii (Paran et al. 1995), L. peruvianum (Fulton et al. 1997) and L. hirsutum (Monforte and Tanksley 2000).

Minor changes in the percentage of markers with gametic segregation distortion or the origin of the favored allele were observed during advancement from the F_2 to the F_6 generation. E alleles are favored in the C population, especially on chromosome 2, while gametes carrying the P allele in this chromosome are more viable and/or fertile in the P population. This different direction in gametic selection might be explained by differences in the fertility of the pollen on pistils with esculentum cytoplasm that both populations share. This preference in the transmission of the E allele occurs in five other chromosomes in population C. Given that all markers that showed gametic distortion also showed genotypic distortion, but not the reverse, it seems clear that it is not only heterozygosity that is related with a higher viability/fertility of lines but also the fixation of E alleles in the genomic regions of six chromosomes in population C. In the P population, heterozygosity is the main factor ensuring the viability/fertility of lines, and the presence of the fixation of P allele seems to be important only on chromosome 2, i.e. fewer loci controlling self-fertility would be segregating in this population. In fact, although there were 100 fewer F_2 plants in the P population than in C population, more F_6 selffertile lines were obtained in the former. A locus affecting self-compatibility on chromosome 2 has been reported in other studies (Paterson et al. 1990; Bernacchi and Tanksley 1997; Monforte and Tanksley 2000). The presence of a high degree of heterozygosity in this region of chromosome 2 resulted in significantly enhanced selffertility in a cross between L . *esculentum* $\times L$. *hirsutum* (Bernacchi and Tanksley 1997) and in a BC_1 derived from L . esculentum \times L . chmielewskii (Paterson et al. 1990). Zamir and Tadmor (1986) also reported an enrichment of homozygotes for *L. pennellii* alleles in a $F₂$ population derived from a cross between L. esculen $tum \times L$. pennellii for the same region of chromosome 2.

Comparison of linkage maps

Genetic linkage maps are an essential tool for practical applications such as marker-assisted selection and the map-based cloning of target genes in which a correct linear order of loci within linkage groups is essential. Intrachromosomal rearrangements in the genus Lycopersicon have been reported for chromosome 9 in a progeny derived from L. esculentum and L. peruvianum (Fulton et al. 1997). Considerable similarity between the maps from the P and C populations was observed in the present study (Fig. 2): marker order was the same between maps except in four cases. In two of these cases, CT156_1200 on chromosome 12 and SSR14_180 on chromosome 3, just 1 cM and 2 cM, respectively, of localization discrepancy were found. Loci presenting slight differences in localization discrepancy between maps can be explained by random errors, especially when markers with large segregation distortion are involved. For the other two cases, the location discrepancy was larger and the possibility of duplicate marker loci was investigated.

More than one-third of a typical eukaryotic genome consists of duplicated genes and gene families. The complete genome sequence of Arabidopsis has revealed that an estimated 17% of the 25,000 genes are arranged in tandemly repeated segments (The Arabidopsis Genome Initiative 2000), with 60% of the genome contained within large duplicated segments (Blanc et al. 2000; Goff et al. 2002) and almost one-half of the Arabidopsis genes within the duplicated segments being conserved. If a duplicated chromosome region contains a DNA sequence that can be used as a molecular marker, the marker alleles at the two duplicated marker loci cannot be distinguished. The equal fragment length results in an identical banding pattern and, consequently, the alleles of duplicated markers are scored in a mapping population as the alleles of one single marker. Frisch et al. (2004) reasoned that segregation distortion caused by gametic/genotypic selection can be distinguished from that caused by a possible duplication event by the fact that segregation distortion for duplicated markers only occurs at the ghost locus (where the four alleles at the two duplicated markers are scored as the alleles of only one marker). In contrast, for zygotic/allelic selection, segregation distortion occurs not only at the locus that is affected by selection but also at closely linked loci. Consequently, we carried out sequencing analysis of markers showing isolated segregation distortion on chromosomes 3 (SSR6_180) and 10 (SSR29_250, SSRW318_298) and 6 (SSRW47_220) in order to test for the presence of duplicated SSR loci as the origin of their distorted segregation and mapping location discrepancy.

Microsatellite sequences of these four markers revealed some differences with respect to the number of dinucleotide (AT) repetitions (Table 3) in accordance with the stepwise mutation model (SMM) proposed by Otha and Kimura (1973) for microsatellite allele diversification. The resolution properties of acrylamide gel electrophoresis enables a 1-bp discrimination between amplification products, but none of the amplified fragments used for genotyping the population showed appreciable differences in fragment length. Nevertheless, differences in the length of inserts from clones of the same genotype could be visualized using acrylamide gel electrophoresis, which suggests only two possible explanations: errors in taq polymerase synthesis or preferential amplification of one of the two duplicate SSR loci differing in the number of dinucleotide repeats. In support of the hypothesis of duplicated SSR loci, differences involving simple nucleotide transitions were also found among clones of the same marker loci. However, sequence differences were not as large as those reported by Frisch et al. (2004) for 10 of the 13 amplified fragment length polymorphic (AFLP) bands showing location discrepancy between two maize maps.

Sequence homologies found in the database suggest that the four microsatellites are placed in coding genes. The genes containing the microsatellites pectin esterase (SSR47 220) and β -galactosidase (SSRW318 298) belong to gene families, indicating that duplication events did indeed occur in the past. In the case of SSRW318_298, its elimination from map construction in P population resulted in a common ordering of markers. In addition, pectin esterase, pectate lyase and β -galactosidase are members of a set of genes whose mRNA accumulates late in pollen development, and they are presumed to be stored in readiness for pollen germination. The putative β -galactosidase TP5 gene, highly homologous to the gene including marker SSRW318_298, seems to play an important role in pollen-tube wall turnover and pollen fertility (Rogers et al. 2001). Therefore, segregation distortion at these loci might be also explained by their effect on pollen fertility during the development of the inbred lines. In the case of marker SSR6_180, for which no difference among L. cheesmanii clones was found, the presence of a selective advantage of E homozygotes at this locus that includes a pectate lyase gene might explain its large zygotic segregant distortion in linkage group 3C.

Despite the low level of polymorphism usually found when constructing linkage maps using phylogenetically closely related species, the use of microsatellite markers has allowed us to construct medium-density linkage maps for two populations of F_6 lines derived from L. esculentum \times L. pimpinellifolium and L. esculentum \times L. cheesmanii. Efforts are still needed to increase the number of common markers and candidate genes to allow a more complete comparison. The present marker characterization of both populations will continue and will enable comparative QTL and candidate gene analysis of complex traits towards a more efficient utilization of genetic resources and breeding strategies.

Acknowledgements This work was supported in part by grants from IVIA (IV), CSIC (ARS) and INIA (RTA01-113-C2). The authors thank Drs. G.P. Bernet and J. Puchades for technical assistance.

References

- Allard RW (1988) Genetic changes associated with the evolution of adaptedness in cultivated plants and their wild progenitors. J Hered 79:225–238
- Altschul SF, Madden TL, Schaffer, AA, Zhang J, Zhang Z, Miller W, Lipman D (1997) Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. Nucleic Acids Res 25:3389–3402
- Alvarez AE, Van de Wiel CCM, Smulders MJM, Vosman B (2001) Use of microsatellites to evaluate genetic diversity and species relationships in the genus Lycopersicon. Theor Appl Genet 103:1283–1292
- Areshchenkova T, Ganal MW (1999) Long tomato microsatellites are predominantly associated with centromeric regions. Genome 42:536–544
- Areshchenkova T, Ganal M (2002) Comparative analysis of polymorphism and chromosomal location of tomato microsatellite markers isolated from different sources. Theor Appl Genet 104:229–235
- Bernacchi D, Tanksley SD (1997) An interspecific backcross of $Lycopersicon-esculentum×L. hirsutum: linkage analysis and a$ QTL study of sexual compatibility factors and floral traits. Genetics 147:861–877
- Blanc G, Barakat A, Guyot R, Cook R, Delseny M (2000) Extensive duplication and reshuffling in the Arabidopsis genome. Plant Cell 12:1093–1101
- Bredemeijer GMM, Arens P, Wouters D, Visse D, Vosman B (1998) The use of semi-automated fluorescent microsatellite analysis for tomato cultivar identification. Theor Appl Genet 97:584–590
- Bretó MP, Asins MJ, Carbonell EA (1993) Genetic variability in Lycopersicon species and their genetic relationships. Theor Appl Genet 86:113–120
- Broun P, Tanksley SD (1996) Characterization and genetic mapping of simple repeat sequences in the tomato genome. Theor Appl Genet 250:39–49
- Brown AHD (1978) Isozymes, plant population genetic structure and genetic conservation. Theor Appl Genet 52:145–157
- Chen FQ, Foolad MR (1999) A molecular linkage map of tomato based on a cross between Lycopersicon esculentum and L. pimpinellifolium and its comparison with other molecular maps of tomato. Genome 42:94–103
- deVicente MC, Tanksley SD (1993) QTL analysis of transgressive segregation in an inter specific tomato cross. Genetics 134:585– 596
- Dooner HK, Martinez-Ferez IM (1997) Germinal excisions of the maize transposon activator do not stimulate meiotic recombination or homology-dependent repair at the bz locus. Genetics 147:1923–1932
- Eshed Y, Zamir D (1995) An introgression line population of Lycopersicon pennellii in the cultivated tomato enables the identification and fine mapping of yield associated QTL. Genetics 141:1147–1162
- Francis Ar, Shetty TK, Bhattacharya RK (1989) Modifying role of dietary factors on the mutagenicity of aflatoxin B1: in vitro effect of plant flavonoids. Mutant Res 222:393–401
- Frary A, Fulton TM, Zamir D, Tanksley SD (2004) Advanced backcross QTL analysis of a Lycopersicon esculentum×L. pennellii cross and identification of possible orthologs in the Solanaceae. Theor Appl Genet 108:485–496
- Fridman E, Pleban T, Zamir D (2000) A recombination hotspot delimits a wild-species quantitative trait locus for tomato sugar content to 484 bp within an invertase gene. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 97:4718–4723
- Frisch M, Quint M, Melchinger AE (2004) Duplicate marker loci can result in incorrect locus orders on linkage maps. Theor Appl Genet 108:485–496
- Fulton TM, Nelson JC, Tanksley SD (1997) Introgression and DNA marker analysis of *Lycopersicon peruvianum*, a wild relative of the cultivated tomato, into Lycopersicon esculentum, followed through three successive backcross generations. Theor Appl Genet 95:895–902
- Fulton TM, Grandillo S, Beck-Bunn T, Fridman E, Frampton A, Lopez J, Petiard V, Uhlig J, Zamir D, Tanksley SD (2000) Advanced backcross QTL analysis of a Lycopersicon esculentum×L. parviflorum cross. Theor Appl Genet 100:1025-1042
- Ganal MW, Czihal R, Hannappel U, KloosD-U, Polley A, Ling H-Q (1998) Sequencing of cDNA clones from the genetic map of tomato (*Lycopersicum esculentum*). Genome Res 8:842– 847
- Goff SA, Ricke D, Lan TH, Presting G, Wang R, Dunn M, Glazebrook J, Sessions A, Oeller P, Varma H (2002) A draft sequence of the rice genome (Oryza sativa L. ssp. japonica). Science 296:92–100
- Grandillo S, Tanksley SD (1996) Genetic analysis of RFLPs, GATA microsatellites and RAPDs in a cross between L. esculentum and L. pimpinellifolium. Theor Appl Genet 92:957–965
- Haanstra JPW, Wye C, Verbakel H, Meijer-Dekens F, van den Berg P, Odinot P, van Heusden AW, Tanksley SD, Lindhout P, Peleman J (1999) An integrated high-density RFLP-AFLP map of tomato based on two Lycopersicon esculentum $\times L$. pennellii F_2 populations. Theor Appl Genet 99:254–271
- He C, Poysa V, Yu K (2003) Development and characterization of simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers and their use in determining relationships among Lycopersicon esculentum cultivars. Theor Appl Genet 106:363–373
- Kijas JMH, Thomas MR, Fowler JCS, Roose ML (1997) Integration of trinucleotide microsatellites into a linkage map of Citrus. Theor Appl Genet 94:701–706
- Kosambi DD (1944) The estimation of map distance from recombination values. Ann Eugen 12:172–175
- Krinsky NI (1992) Anticarcinogenic activities of caretenoids in animals and cellular systems. In: Emers I, Chance B (eds) Free radicals and aging. Birkhäuser, Basel, pp 227–234
- Lippman Z, Tanksley SD (2001) Dissecting the genetic pathway to extreme fruit size in tomato using a cross between the small fruited wild species Lycopersicon pimpinellifolium and L.esculentum var. Giant Heirloom. Genetics 158:413–422
- Lukens LN, Doebley J (1999) Epistatic and environmental interactions for QTL involved in maize evolution. Genet Res 74:291–302
- Mather K (1957) The measurement of linkage in heredity. Methuen, London
- Monforte AJ, Tanksley SD (2000) Development of a set of near isogenic and backcross recombinant inbred lines containing most of the Lycopersicon hirsutum genome in a L. esculentum genetic background: a tool for gene mapping and gene discovery. Genome 43:803–813
- Monforte AJ, Asins MJ, Carbonell EA (1996) Salt tolerance in Lycopersicon species. IV. Efficiency of marker-assisted selection for salt tolerance improvement. Theor Appl Genet 93:765–772
- Monforte AJ, Asins MJ, Carbonell EA (1997a) Salt tolerance in Lycopersicon species V. Does genetic variability at quantitative trait loci affect their analysis?. Theor Appl Genet 95:284–293
- Monforte AJ, Asins MJ, Carbonell EA (1997b) Salt tolerance in Lycopersicon species. VI. Genotype by salinity interaction in quantitative trait loci detection. Constitutive and response QTLs. Theor Appl Genet 95:706–713
- Monforte AJ, Asins MJ, Carbonell EA (1999) Salt tolerance in Lycopersicon species. VII. Pleiotropic action of genes controlling earlyness. Theor Appl Genet 98:593–601
- Ohta T, Kimura M (1973) A model of mutation appropriate to estimate the number of electroforetically detectable alleles in a finite population. Genet Res 22:201–204
- Paran I, Goldman IL, Tanksley SD, Zamir D (1995) Recombinant inbred lines for genetic mapping in tomato. Theor Appl Genet 90:542–548
- Paterson AH, Deverna JW, Lanini B (1990) Fine mapping of quantitative trait loci using selected overlapping recombinant chromosomes, in an interspecific cross of tomato. Genetics 124:735–742
- Paterson AH, Damon S, Hewitt JD, Zamir D, Rabinowitch HD, Lincoln SE, Lander ES, Tanksley SD (1991) Mendelian factors underlying quantitative traits in tomato: comparison across species, generations, and environments. Genetics 127:181–197
- Pérez de la Vega M, Sáenz-de-Miera LE, Allard RW (1994) Ecogeographical distribution and differential adaptedness of multilocus allelic associations in Spanish Avena sativa L. Theor Appl Genet 88:56–64
- Rick CM, Fobes JF (1975) Allozymes of Galápagos tomatoes: polymorphism, geographic distribution, and affinities. Evolution 29:443–457
- Rogers HJ, Maund SL, Johnson LH (2001) A beta-galactosidase like gene is expressed during tobacco pollen development. J Exp Bot 52:67–75
- Ruíz C, Bretó MP, Asins MJ (2000) A quick methodology to identify sexual seedlings in citrus breeding programs using SSR markers. Euphytica 112:89–94
- Simpson SP (1989) Detection of linkage between quantitative trait loci and restriction fragment length polymorphisms using inbred lines. Theor Appl Genet 77:815–819
- Smulders MJM, Bredemeijer G, Rus-Kortekaas W, Arens P, Vosman B (1997) Use of short microsatellites from database sequences to generate polymorphisms among *Lycopersicon es*culentum cultivars and accessions of other Lycopersicon species. Theor Appl Genet 94:264–272
- Tanksley SD, Nelson JC (1996) Advanced backcross QTL analysis: A method for the simultaneous discovery and transfer of valuable QTLs from unadapted germplasm into elite breeding lines. Theor Appl Genet 92:191–203
- Tanksley SD, Ganal MW, Prince JP, deVicente MC, Bonierbale MW, Broun P, Fulton TM, Giovannoni JJ, Grandillo S, Martin GB, Messeguer R, Miller JC, Miller L, Paterson AH, Pineda O, Röder MS, Wing RA, Wu W, Young ND (1992) High density molecular linkage map of the tomato and potato genomes. Genetics 132:1141–1160
- Tanksley SD, Grandillo S, Fulton TM, Zamir D, Eshed Y, Petiard V, Lopez J, Beck-Bunn T (1996) Advanced backcross QTL analysis in a cross between an elite processing line of tomato and its wild relative *L. pimpinellifolium*. Theor Appl Genet 92:213–224
- The Arabidopsis Genome Initiative (2000) Analysis of the genome sequence of the flowering plant Arabidopsis thaliana. Nature 408:796–815
- Van Ooijen JW, Voorrips RE (2001) JOINMAP version 3.0, Software for the calculation of genetic linkage maps. Release 3.0. Plant Research International, Wageningen
- Verma AK, Johnson JA, Gould MN, Tanner MA (1988) Inhibition of 7,12-dimethylbenz(a)anthracene and N-nitrosomethylurea induced rat mammary cancer by dietary flavonol quercitin. Cancer Res 48:5754–5788
- Vosman B, Arens P (1997) Molecular characterization of GATA/GACA microsatellite repeats in tomato. Genome 40:25–33
- Zamir D, Tadmor Y (1986) Unequal segregation of nuclear genes in plants. Bot Gaz 147:355–358
- Zhang LP, Khan A, Nino-Liu D, Foolad MR (2002) A molecular linkage map of tomato displaying chromosomal locations of resistance gene analogs based on a Lycopersicon esculentum×Lycopersicon hirsutum cross. Genome 45:133-146