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#### Abstract

The IEEE 754 Standards Committee for FloatingPoint Arithmetic is recommending, in its draft standard, that new "augmented" operations should be added in the next release of the standard. These operations use a new "rounding direction": round to nearest ties-to-zero. We show how they can be implemented using the currently available operations, using rounded-to-nearest ties-to-even.
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## I. Introduction and notation

The IEEE 754 Standards Committee for Floating-Point Arithmetic is recommending ${ }^{1}$, in its draft standard, that new "augmented" operations should be added in the next release of the standard [14]. These operations are called augmentedAddition, augmentedSubtraction, and augmentedMultiplication. They use a new "rounding direction": round to nearest ties-to-zero. The reason behind this recommendation is that these operations would significantly help to implement reproducible summation and dot product, using an algorithm described in [4]. Obtaining very fast reproducible summation with that algorithm will certainly require a direct hardware implementation of these operations. However, having these operations available on common processors will certainly take time. The purpose of this paper is to show that, in the meantime, one can emulate these operations with conventional floating-point operations (with the usual round to nearest "ties to even" rounding direction), with reasonable efficiency.

In the following, we assume radix-2, precision- $p$ floatingpoint (FP) arithmetic. The minimum floating-point exponent is $e_{\min }$, so that $2^{e_{\text {min }}}$ is the smallest positive normal number and $2^{e_{\text {min }}-p+1}$ is the smallest positive floating-point number. The maximum floating-point exponent is $e_{\max }$. The largest positive floating-point number is $\Omega=\left(2-2^{-p+1}\right) \cdot 2^{e_{\max }}$. The usual round to nearest, ties-to-even function (which is the default in the IEEE-754 Standard) will be noted $\mathrm{RN}_{e}$. We recall its definition [7]:
$\mathrm{RN}_{e}(t)$ (where $t$ is a real number) is the floatingpoint number nearest $t$. If $t$ is exactly halfway between two consecutive floating-point numbers,

[^0]$\mathrm{RN}_{e}(t)$ is the one whose rightmost bit of the significand is a zero. If $|t| \geq \Omega+2^{e_{\max }-p}$ then $\mathrm{RN}_{e}(t)=\infty$, with the same sign as $t$.
We will also assume that an FMA (fused multiply-add) instruction is available.

As said above, the new recommended operations use a new "rounding direction": round to nearest ties-to-zero. It corresponds to the rounding function $\mathrm{RN}_{0}$ defined as follows:
$\mathrm{RN}_{0}(t)$ (where $t$ is a real number) is the floatingpoint number nearest $t$. If $t$ is exactly halfway between two consecutive floating-point numbers, $\mathrm{RN}_{0}(t)$ is the one with smaller magnitude. If $|t|>$ $\Omega+2^{e_{\max }-p}$ then $\mathrm{RN}_{0}(t)=\infty$, with the same sign as $t$.
This is illustrated Fig. 1. As one can infer from the definitions, $\mathrm{RN}_{e}(t)$ and $\mathrm{RN}_{0}(t)$ can differ in only two circumstances: when $t$ is halfway between two consecutive floating-point numbers, and when $t= \pm\left(\Omega+2^{e_{\max }-p}\right)$.


Fig. 1. Round to nearest ties-to-zero (assuming we are in the positive range). Number $x$ is rounded to the (unique) FP number nearest to $x$. Number $y$ is exactly halfway between two consecutive FP numbers: it is rounded to the one that has the smallest magnitude.

The augmented operations are required to behave as follows:

- augmentedAddition $(x, y)$ delivers $\left(a_{0}, b_{0}\right)$ such that $a_{0}=\mathrm{RN}_{0}(x+y)$ and, where $a_{0} \notin\{ \pm \infty, \mathrm{NaN}\}$, $b_{0}=(x+y)-a_{0}$ (with the same sign as $a_{0}$ when both are 0 ). One easily shows that $b_{0}$ is a floating-point number. For special rules when $a_{0} \in\{ \pm \infty, \mathrm{NaN}\}$, see [14];
- augmentedSubtraction $(x, y)$ is exactly the same as augmentedAddition $(x,-y)$, so we will not discuss that operation further;
- augmentedMultiplication $(x, y)$ delivers $\left(a_{0}, b_{0}\right)$ such that $a_{0}=\mathrm{RN}_{0}(x \cdot y)$ and, where $a_{0} \notin\{ \pm \infty, \mathrm{NaN}\}$, $b_{0}=\mathrm{RN}_{0}\left((x \cdot y)-a_{0}\right)$. Note that in some corner cases
(see below), $b_{0}$ may differ from $(x \cdot y)-a_{0}$ (in other words, $(x \cdot y)-a_{0}$ is not always a floating-point number). Again, rules for handling infinities, NaNs and the signs of zeroes are given in [14].
Because of the different rounding function, these augmented operations differ from the well-known Fast2Sum, 2Sum, and Fast2Mult algorithms (Algorithms 1, 2 and 3 below). As said above, the goal of this paper is to show that one can implement these augmented operations on a system compliant with IEEE $754-2008$, just by using rounded-to-nearest-even floating-point operations.

Let $t$ be the exact sum $x+y$ (if we consider implementing augmentedAddition) or the exact product $x y$ (if we consider implementing augmentedMultiplication). To implement the augmented operations, in the general case (i.e., the sum or product does not overflow, and in the case of augmentedMultiplication, the floating-point exponents $e_{x}$ and $e_{y}$ of $x$ and $y$ satisfy $e_{x}+e_{y} \geq e_{\min }+p-1$ ), we first use the classical Fast2Sum, 2Sum, or Fast2Mult algorithms to generate two floating-point numbers $a_{e}$ and $b_{e}$ such that $a_{e}=\mathrm{RN}_{e}(t)$ and $b_{e}=t-a_{e}$. We explain how augmentedAddition $(x, y)$ and augmentedMultiplication $(x, y)$ can be obtained from $a_{e}$ and $b_{e}$ in Sections III and IV, respectively.

In the following, we need to use a definition inspired from Harrison's definition [5] of function ulp ("unit in the last place"). If $x$ is a floating-point number different from $-\Omega$, first define $\operatorname{pred}(x)$ as the floating-point predecessor of $x$, i.e., the largest floating-point number $<x$. We define $\operatorname{ulp}_{H}(x)$ as follows

Definition 1 (Harrison's ulp). If $x$ is a floating-point number, then $\operatorname{ulp}_{H}(x)$ is

$$
|x|-\operatorname{pred}(|x|)
$$

Notation ulp ${ }_{H}$ is to avoid confusion with the usual definition of function ulp. The usual ulp and function $u l p_{H}$ differ at powers of 2 , except in the subnormal domain. For instance, $\operatorname{ulp}(1)=2^{-p+1}$, whereas $\operatorname{ulp}_{H}(1)=2^{-p}$. One easily checks that if $|t|$ is not a power of 2 , then $\operatorname{ulp}(t)=\operatorname{ulp}_{H}(t)$, and if $|t|=2^{k}$, then $\operatorname{ulp}(t)=2^{k-p+1}=2 \operatorname{ulp}_{H}(t)$, except in the subnormal range where $\operatorname{ulp}(t)=\operatorname{ulp}_{H}(t)=2^{e_{\text {min }}-p+1}$.

The reason for choosing function $\mathrm{ulp}_{H}$ instead of function ulp is twofold:

- if $t>0$ is a real number, each time $\mathrm{RN}_{0}(t)$ differs from $\mathrm{RN}_{e}(t), \mathrm{RN}_{0}(t)$ will be the floating-point predecessor of $\mathrm{RN}_{e}(t)$. Hence, in these cases, to obtain $\mathrm{RN}_{0}(t)$ we will have to subtract from $\mathrm{RN}_{e}(t)$ a number which is exactly $\operatorname{ulp}_{H}\left(\mathrm{RN}_{e}(t)\right)$ (for negative $t$, we will have to add $\operatorname{ulp}_{H}\left(\mathrm{RN}_{e}(t)\right)$ ); and
- there is a very simple algorithm for computing $\operatorname{ulp}_{H}(t)$ in the range where we need it (Algorithm 4 below).
Let us now briefly present the classical Algorithms Fast2Sum, 2Sum, and Fast2Mult.

If $x=0$ or $y=0$, or if the floating-point exponents $e_{x}$ and $e_{y}$ satisfy $e_{x} \geq e_{y}$, then the two variables $a_{e}$ and $b_{e}$ returned by Algorithm 1 (Fast2Sum) satisfy $a_{e}+b_{e}=x+y$. Hence, $b_{e}$

```
ALGORITHM 1: - Fast2Sum \((x, y)\). The Fast2Sum
algorithm [3].
    \(a_{e} \leftarrow \mathrm{RN}_{e}(x+y)\)
    \(y^{\prime} \leftarrow \mathrm{RN}_{e}\left(a_{e}-x\right)\)
    \(b_{e} \leftarrow \mathrm{RN}_{e}\left(y-y^{\prime}\right)\)
```

is the error of the floating-point addition $a_{e} \leftarrow \mathrm{RN}_{e}(x+y)$. Another property that will be useful in Section IV-C is that $y^{\prime}=a_{e}-x$ (i.e., there is no rounding error at line 2 of the algorithm, see for instance [12] for a proof). In practice, condition " $e_{x} \geq e_{y}$ " may be hard to check. However, if $|x| \geq$ $|y|$ then that condition is satisfied. Algorithm 1 is immune from spurious overflow: it was proved in [1] that if the addition $\mathrm{RN}_{e}(x+y)$ does not overflow then the other two operations cannot overflow.

```
ALGORITHM 2: 2Sum \((x, y)\). The 2 Sum algo-
rithm [11], [10].
    \(a_{e} \leftarrow \mathrm{RN}_{e}(x+y)\)
\(x^{\prime} \leftarrow \mathrm{RN}_{e}\left(a_{e}-y\right)\)
\(y^{\prime} \leftarrow \mathrm{RN}_{e}\left(a_{e}-x^{\prime}\right)\)
\(\delta_{x} \leftarrow \mathrm{RN}_{e}\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)\)
\(\delta_{y} \leftarrow \mathrm{RN}_{e}\left(y-y^{\prime}\right)\)
\(b_{e} \leftarrow \mathrm{RN}_{e}\left(\delta_{x}+\delta_{y}\right)\)
```

Algorithm 2 (2Sum) gives the same results as Algorithm 1, but without any requirement on the exponents of $x$ and $y$. It is almost immune from spurious overflow: if $|x| \neq \Omega$ and the addition $\mathrm{RN}_{e}(x+y)$ does not overflow then the other five operations cannot overflow [1].
Let $x$ and $y$ be two floating-point numbers, with exponents $e_{x}$ and $e_{y}$, respectively, such that $e_{x}+e_{y} \geq e_{\min }+p-1$. Define $a_{e}=\mathrm{RN}_{e}(x y)$. The number $b_{e}=x y-a_{e}$ is a floating-point number (see [13] for a proof). An immediate consequence is that Algorithm 3 (Fast2Mult) delivers these numbers $a_{e}$ and $b_{e}$. Checking if $e_{x}+e_{y} \geq e_{\min }+p-1$ may be difficult, however, a sufficient condition for that is $\left|\mathrm{RN}_{e}(x \cdot y)\right|>2^{e_{\text {min }}+p}$.

## ALGORITHM 3: Fast2Mult $(x, y)$. The Fast2Mult al-

 gorithm (see for instance [9], [13], [12]). It requires the availability of a fused multiply-add (FMA) instruction for computing $\mathrm{RN}_{e}\left(x y-a_{e}\right)$.$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{e} \leftarrow \mathrm{RN}_{e}(x \cdot y) \\
& b_{e} \leftarrow \mathrm{RN}_{e}\left(x \cdot y-a_{e}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We will also use the following results, due to Hauser [6] and Sterbenz [16] (the proofs are straightforward, see [12]).

Lemma 1 (Hauser). If $x$ and $y$ are floating-point numbers, and if the number $\mathrm{RN}_{e}(x+y)$ is subnormal, then $x+y$ is a floating-point number, which implies $\mathrm{RN}_{e}(x+y)=x+y$.

Lemma 2 (Sterbenz). If $x$ and $y$ are floating-point numbers that satisfy $x / 2 \leq y \leq 2 x$, then $x-y$ is a floating-point number, which implies $\mathrm{RN}_{e}(x-y)=x-y$.

As said above, when $\mathrm{RN}_{0}(t)$ and $\mathrm{RN}_{e}(t)$ differ, $\mathrm{RN}_{0}(t)$ is obtained by subtracting $\operatorname{sign}(t) \cdot \operatorname{ulp}_{H}\left(\mathrm{RN}_{e}(t)\right)$ from $\mathrm{RN}_{e}(t)$. Therefore, we need to be able to compute function $\operatorname{sign}(a)$. $\operatorname{ulp}_{H}(a)$. If $|a|>2^{e_{\text {min }}}$, this can be done using Algorithm 4 below.

```
ALGORITHM 4: Computing \(\operatorname{sign}(a) \cdot \operatorname{ulp}_{H}(a)\) for
\(|a|>2^{e_{\text {min }}}\). Uses the FP constant \(\psi=1-2^{-p}\).
    \(z \leftarrow \mathrm{RN}_{e}(\psi a)\)
    \(\delta \leftarrow \mathrm{RN}_{e}(a-z)\)
    return \(\delta\)
```

The fact that Algorithm 4 returns $\operatorname{sign}(a) \cdot \operatorname{ulp}_{H}(a)$ when $|a|>2^{e_{\text {min }}}$ is a direct consequence of [15, Lemma 3.6]. See also [8]. Note that when $a>2^{e_{\text {min }}}, z$ equals pred $(a)$. If $a$ is subnormal (i.e., $|a|<2^{e_{\min }}$ ), then Algorithm 4 returns 0. Interestingly enough, Algorithm 4 returns the same result if we change the tie-breaking rule. Another remark is that the fact that the radix is 2 is important here (a counterexample in radix 10 is $p=3$ and $a=101$ ). This means that our work cannot be straightforwardly generalized to decimal floatingpoint arithmetic.

## II. Recomposition

In this section, we start from two floating-point numbers $a_{e}$ and $b_{e}$, that satisfy $a_{e}=\operatorname{RN}_{e}(t)$, with $t=a_{e}+b_{e}$, and we assume $\left|a_{e}\right|>2^{e_{\text {min }}}$. These numbers may have been preliminarily generated by the 2Sum, Fast2Sum or Fast2Mult algorithms. We want to deduce from them two floating-point numbers $a_{0}$ and $b_{0}$ such that $a_{0}=\mathrm{RN}_{0}(t)$, and $a_{0}+b_{0}=t$.


Fig. 2. Illustration of the transformation to be performed in the case $a_{e}+b_{e}>$ 0 (the case $a_{e}+b_{e}<0$ is symmetrical). The thick vertical lines represent the floating-point numbers. The numbers $a_{e}$ and $b_{e}$ may have been previously obtained using 2Sum, Fast2Sum, or Fast2Mult.

One easily notes that $a_{e} \neq \mathrm{RN}_{0}(t)$ only when $b_{e}=$ $-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sign}\left(a_{e}\right) \cdot \operatorname{ulp}_{H}\left(a_{e}\right)$. In that case,

$$
\operatorname{RN}_{0}(t)=a_{e}-\operatorname{sign}\left(a_{e}\right) \operatorname{ulp}_{H}\left(a_{e}\right),
$$

and

$$
t-\mathrm{RN}_{0}(t)=-b_{e}
$$

This is illustrated by Figure 2, and this leads to Algorithm 5 below.

```
ALGORITHM 5: \(\operatorname{Recomp}\left(a_{e}, b_{e}\right)\). From two FP
numbers \(a_{e}\) and \(b_{e}\) such that \(a_{e}=\operatorname{RN}_{e}\left(a_{e}+b_{e}\right)\)
and \(\left|a_{e}\right|>2^{e_{\text {min }}}\), computes \(a_{0}\) and \(b_{0}\) such that
\(a_{0}+b_{0}=a_{e}+b_{e}\) and \(a_{0}=\operatorname{RN}_{0}\left(a_{e}+b_{e}\right)\). Uses
the FP constant \(\psi=1-2^{-p}\).
    \(z \leftarrow \mathrm{RN}_{e}\left(\psi \cdot a_{e}\right)\)
    \(\delta \leftarrow \mathrm{RN}_{e}\left(z-a_{e}\right)\)
    if \(2 \cdot b_{e}=\delta\) then
        \(a_{0} \leftarrow z\)
        \(b_{0} \leftarrow-b_{e}\)
    else
        \(a_{0} \leftarrow a_{e}\)
        \(b_{0} \leftarrow b_{e}\)
    end if
    return \(\left(a_{0}, b_{0}\right)\)
```

Note that if $\left|a_{e}\right| \leq 2^{e_{\text {min }}}$, Algorithm 5 always returns $a_{0}=$ $a_{e}$ and $b_{0}=b_{e}$. This is not a problem for augmentedAddition thanks to Lemma 1, as we are going to see in Section III. For augmentedMultiplication this will require a special handling.
In the next sections, we examine how Algorithm 5 can be used to compute augmentedAddition $(x, y)$ and augmentedMultiplication $(x, y)$.

## III. Use of Algorithm Recomp for implementing AUGMENTEDADDITION

From two input floating-point numbers $x$ and $y$, we wish to compute $\mathrm{RN}_{0}(x+y)$ and $(x+y)-\mathrm{RN}_{0}(x+y)$. Let us first give a simple algorithm, that returns a correct result when no exception occurs.

```
ALGORITHM 6: AA-Simple \((x, y)\) : computes
augmentedAddition \((x, y)\) when no exception occurs.
    if \(|y|>|x|\) then
        \(\operatorname{swap}(x, y)\)
    end if
    \(\left(a_{e}, b_{e}\right) \leftarrow \operatorname{Fast} 2 \operatorname{Sum}(x, y)\)
    \(\left(a_{0}, b_{0}\right) \leftarrow \operatorname{Recomp}\left(a_{e}, b_{e}\right)\)
    return \(\left(a_{0}, b_{0}\right)\)
```

We have,
Theorem 1. The values $a_{0}$ and $b_{0}$ returned by Algorithm 6 satisfy:

1) if $a_{0}$ and $b_{0}$ are finite numbers then $\left(a_{0}, b_{0}\right)=$ augmentedAddition $(x, y)$;
2) when $x+y=0, a_{0}$ and $b_{0}$ are equal to zero too (as expected), but possibly with signs that differ from the ones specified in the draft standard;
3) if $|x+y|=\Omega+2^{e_{\max }-p}=\left(2-2^{-p}\right) \cdot 2^{e_{\max }}$ then $a_{0}= \pm \infty$ and $b_{0}$ is either NaN or $\pm \infty$, whereas the correct values
would have been $a_{0}= \pm \Omega$ and $b_{0}= \pm 2^{e_{\max }-p}$ (with the appropriate signs);
4) if $|x+y|>\Omega+2^{e_{\max }-p}$ then $a_{0}= \pm \infty$ (with the appropriate sign) and $b_{0}$ is either NaN or $\pm \infty$ (possibly with a wrong sign), whereas the draft standard requires $a_{0}=b_{0}=\infty$ (with the same sign as $x+y$ ).

The first property listed in Theorem 1 is an immediate consequence of the properties of the Fast2Sum and Recomp algorithms. More precisely: we have $a_{e}=\mathrm{RN}_{e}(x+y)$ and $a_{e}+b_{e}=x+y$. Hence,

- if $\left|a_{e}\right|>2^{e_{\text {min }}}$ then $\operatorname{Recomp}\left(a_{e}, b_{e}\right)$ gives the expected result;
- if $\left|a_{e}\right| \leq 2^{e_{\text {min }}}$ then from Lemma 1, we know that the floating-point addition of $x$ and $y$ is exact, hence $b_{e}=0$. We easily deduce that $\operatorname{Recomp}\left(a_{e}, b_{e}\right)=\left(a_{e}, b_{e}\right)$ which is the expected result. In particular, if $a_{e}=0$ then we obtain $a_{0}=b_{0}=0$ (possibly with wrong signs, as claimed in the second property listed in Theorem 1, see below for an explanation).
Note that if we are certain that $|x| \neq \Omega$ (so that $2 \operatorname{Sum}(x, y)$ can be called without any risk of spurious overflow) we can replace lines 1 to 4 of the algorithm by a simple call to $2 \operatorname{Sum}(x, y)$.

Now, consider the second property listed in Theorem 1. Note that Lemma 1 implies that $x+y=0$ and $\mathrm{RN}_{e}(x+y)=0$ are equivalent. In that case, the draft standard requires that $a_{0}=\mathrm{RN}_{0}(x+y)$ should be +0 except when $x=y=-0$ (and in that case, $a_{0}$ should be -0 ), and that $b_{0}$ should be equal to $a_{0}$ [14]. However, the signs of the zero values delivered by Algorithm 6 may differ from these specifications:

- if $(x=-y$ and $|x| \neq 0)$ or $(x=-0$ and $y=+0)$ or ( $x=+0$ and $y=+0$ ) then Algorithm 6 returns $a_{0}=+0$ and $b_{0}=-0$ whereas the desired result is $a_{0}=b_{0}=+0$;
- if $x=+0$ and $y=-0$ then Algorithm 6 returns the desired result, namely $a_{0}=b_{0}=+0$ (note that if we replace Fast 2 Sum by 2 Sum in the algorithm, we obtain $a_{0}=+0$ and $b_{0}=-0$ );
- if $x=-0$ and $y=-0$ then Algorithm 6 returns $a_{0}=-0$ and $b_{0}=+0$, whereas the desired result is $a_{0}=b_{0}=$ -0 (note that if we replace Fast2Sum by 2 Sum in the algorithm, we obtain $a_{0}=b_{0}=-0$ ).
Hence, if the signs of the zero variables are important in the target application, one has to add to add the following lines to Algorithm 6 after Line 5:

```
if}\mp@subsup{a}{0}{}=0\mathrm{ then
    b}\leftarrow\leftarrow\mp@subsup{a}{0}{
end if
```

Property 3 of Theorem 1 is immediate by applying Algorithm 6 to the corresponding input value.

Concerning Property 4 of Theorem 1, Table I gives the values returned by Algorithm 6 when $x+y>\Omega+2^{e_{\max }-p}$ (the case $x+y<-\Omega-2^{e_{\max }-p}$ is symmetrical).

If the considered applications only require augmentedAddition to follow the specifications when no exception occurs, Algorithm 6 (possibly with the above given additional lines if

TABLE I
Values obtained using Algorithm 6 (possibly with a REPLACEMENT OF FAST2SUM BY 2 SUM $)$ WHEN $x+y>2^{e_{\max }}\left(2-2^{-p}\right)$ (RESP. ALGORITHM 8 WHEN $x y>2^{e_{\max }}\left(2-2^{-p}\right)$ ). THE CASE WHERE $x+y$ (RESP. $x y$ ) IS NEGATIVE IS SYMMETRICAL.

|  | $\left(a_{e}, b_{e}\right)$ <br> obtained <br> through <br> 2Sum | $\left(a_{e}, b_{e}\right)$ <br> obtained <br> through <br> Fast2Sum | $\left(a_{e}, b_{e}\right)$ <br> obtained <br> through <br> Fast2Mult | Result <br> required <br> by draft <br> standard |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $a_{0}$ | $+\infty$ | $+\infty$ | $+\infty$ | $+\infty$ |
| $b_{0}$ | NaN | $-\infty$ | $-\infty$ | $+\infty$ |

the signs of zeros matter) is a good candidate. If we wish to always follow the specifications, we suggest using Algorithm 7 below.

```
ALGORITHM 7: AA-Full \((x, y)\) : computes
augmentedAddition \((x, y)\) in all cases.
```

```
if \(|y|>|x|\) then
```

if $|y|>|x|$ then
$\operatorname{swap}(x, y)$
$\operatorname{swap}(x, y)$
end if
end if
$\left(a_{e}, b_{e}\right) \leftarrow \operatorname{Fast} 2 \operatorname{Sum}(x, y)$
$\left(a_{e}, b_{e}\right) \leftarrow \operatorname{Fast} 2 \operatorname{Sum}(x, y)$
$\left(a_{0}, b_{0}\right) \leftarrow \operatorname{Recomp}\left(a_{e}, b_{e}\right)$
$\left(a_{0}, b_{0}\right) \leftarrow \operatorname{Recomp}\left(a_{e}, b_{e}\right)$
if $a_{0}=0$ then
if $a_{0}=0$ then
$b_{0} \leftarrow a_{0}$
$b_{0} \leftarrow a_{0}$
else if $a_{e}= \pm \infty$ then
else if $a_{e}= \pm \infty$ then
$\left(a_{e}^{\prime}, b_{e}^{\prime}\right) \leftarrow \operatorname{Fast} 2 \operatorname{Sum}(0.5 x, 0.5 y)$
$\left(a_{e}^{\prime}, b_{e}^{\prime}\right) \leftarrow \operatorname{Fast} 2 \operatorname{Sum}(0.5 x, 0.5 y)$
if $\left(a_{e}^{\prime}=2^{e_{\max }}\right.$ and $\left.b_{e}^{\prime}=-2^{e_{\max }-p+1}\right)$ or
if $\left(a_{e}^{\prime}=2^{e_{\max }}\right.$ and $\left.b_{e}^{\prime}=-2^{e_{\max }-p+1}\right)$ or
$\left(a_{e}^{\prime}=-2^{e_{\max }}\right.$ and $\left.b_{e}^{\prime}=+2^{e_{\max }-p+1}\right)$ then
$\left(a_{e}^{\prime}=-2^{e_{\max }}\right.$ and $\left.b_{e}^{\prime}=+2^{e_{\max }-p+1}\right)$ then
$a_{0} \leftarrow \mathrm{RN}_{e}\left(a_{e}^{\prime} \cdot\left(2-2^{-p+1}\right)\right)$
$a_{0} \leftarrow \mathrm{RN}_{e}\left(a_{e}^{\prime} \cdot\left(2-2^{-p+1}\right)\right)$
$b_{0} \leftarrow-2 b_{e}^{\prime}$
$b_{0} \leftarrow-2 b_{e}^{\prime}$
else
else
$a_{0} \leftarrow a_{e} \quad$ (infinity with right sign)
$a_{0} \leftarrow a_{e} \quad$ (infinity with right sign)
$b_{0} \leftarrow a_{e}$
$b_{0} \leftarrow a_{e}$
end if
end if
end if
end if
return $\left(a_{0}, b_{0}\right)$

```
    return \(\left(a_{0}, b_{0}\right)\)
```

We have,
Theorem 2. The output $\left(a_{0}, b_{0}\right)$ of Algorithm 7 is equal to augmentedAddition $(x, y)$.

We just give a sketch of the proof.

## Proof.

- when $a_{0} \neq 0$ at Line 6 of the algorithm and $a_{e} \neq \pm \infty$, Algorithm 7 behaves exactly as Algorithm 6;
- we have just explained the case $a_{0}=0$ before;
- when $a_{e}= \pm \infty$, there are two possibilities (as discussed in cases 3 and 4 of Theorem 1): either $|x+y|=\Omega+$ $2^{e_{\max }-p}=\left(2-2^{-p}\right) \cdot 2^{e_{\max }}$, in which case we must return $a_{0}= \pm \Omega$ and $b_{0}= \pm 2^{e_{\max }-p}$ (with the appropriate signs), or $|x+y|>\Omega+2^{e_{\max }-p}$, in which case we must return $a_{0}=b_{0}= \pm \infty$ (with the appropriate sign, namely the sign of $a_{e}$ ). This issue is dealt with in Lines 8 to 16 of Algorithm 7: we divide $x$ and $y$ by 2 so that if
$|x+y|=\Omega+2^{e_{\max }-p}$, then $x / 2+y / 2$ is computed by Fast2Sum without overflow, which makes it possible to compare it with $\pm\left(\Omega+2^{e_{\max }-p}\right) / 2$.


## IV. Use of Algorithm Recomp for implementing AUGMENTEDMULTIPLICATION

## A. General case

From two input floating-point numbers $x$ and $y$, we wish to compute $\mathrm{RN}_{0}(x y)$ and $x y-\mathrm{RN}_{0}(x y)$ (or, merely, $\mathrm{RN}_{0}[x y-$ $\left.\mathrm{RN}_{0}(x y)\right]$ when $x y-\mathrm{RN}_{0}(x y)$ is not a floating-point number). As we did for augmentedAddition, let us first present a simple algorithm. Unfortunately, it will be less general than the simple addition algorithm: this is due to the fact that when the product of two floating-point numbers is less than or equal to $2^{e_{\text {min }}+p}$, it may not be exactly representable by the sum of two floatingpoint numbers

```
ALGORITHM 8: AM-Simple \((x, y)\) : computes
augmentedMultiplication \((x, y)\) when \(2^{e_{\min }+p}<\)
\(\left|\mathrm{RN}_{e}(x y)\right|<+\infty\).
    1: \(\left(a_{e}, b_{e}\right) \leftarrow \operatorname{Fast2Mult}(x, y)\)
    \(\left(a_{0}, b_{0}\right) \leftarrow \operatorname{Recomp}\left(a_{e}, b_{e}\right)\)
    return \(\left(a_{0}, b_{0}\right)\)
```

We have,
Theorem 3. If $2^{e_{\min }+p}<\left|\mathrm{RN}_{e}(x y)\right|<+\infty$ (i.e., $2^{e_{\min }+p}+$ $\left.2^{e_{\text {min }}+1} \leq\left|\mathrm{RN}_{e}(x y)\right| \leq \Omega\right)$ then $\operatorname{AM-Simple}(x, y)=$ augmentedMultiplication $(x, y)$.
Proof. If $2^{e_{\min }+p}+2^{e_{\min }+1} \leq\left|\mathrm{RN}_{e}(x y)\right| \leq \Omega$ then we know that

- $\left(a_{e}, b_{e}\right)=\operatorname{Fast} 2 \operatorname{Mult}(x, y)$ gives $a_{e}+b_{e}=x y ;$
- $\left|a_{e}\right|>2^{e_{\text {min }}}$;
therefore $\operatorname{Recomp}\left(a_{e}, b_{e}\right)$ returns the expected result.
The lower limit $2^{e_{\min }+p}+2^{e_{\min }+1}$ in Theorem 3 comes from the fact that if $\left|\mathrm{RN}_{e}(x y)\right|$ is below that value, Fast2Mult $(x, y)$ may not deliver a correct result.

Let us now examine how the cases $\mathrm{RN}_{e}(x y)= \pm \infty$ and $\left|\mathrm{RN}_{e}(x y)\right| \leq 2^{e_{\text {min }}+p}$ can be addressed.

## B. First special case: if $\mathrm{RN}_{e}(x y)= \pm \infty$

In this case, in a way very similar to what we did for augmented addition,

- either $|x y|=\Omega+2^{e_{\max }-p}=\left(2-2^{-p}\right) \cdot 2^{e_{\max }}$, in which case we must return $a_{0}= \pm \Omega$ and $b_{0}= \pm 2^{e_{\max }-p}$ (with the appropriate signs) whereas one easily checks that Algorithm 8 delivers a wrong result;
- or $|x y|>\Omega+2^{e_{\max }-p}$, in which case we must return $a_{0}=b_{0}= \pm \infty$, whereas Table I shows that Algorithm 8 delivers a wrong result for $b_{0}$.
The problem is addressed easily (and very similarly to what we did for augmented addition). It suffices to compute $\left(a_{e}^{\prime}, b_{e}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Fast2Mult}(0.5 \cdot x, y)$. If $|x y|=\Omega+2^{e_{\max }-p}$,
then $x y / 2$ is computed by Fast2Mult without overflow, which makes it possible to compare it with $\pm\left(\Omega+2^{e_{\max }-p}\right) / 2$. If it turns out that $|x y / 2| \neq\left(\Omega+2^{e_{\max }-p}\right) / 2$ we must return $a_{0}=b_{0}=\mathrm{RN}_{e}(x y)$.
The case $\left|\mathrm{RN}_{e}(x y)\right| \leq 2^{e_{\min }+p}$ is more complex. We will separately examine the case $\left|\mathrm{RN}_{e}(x y)\right| \leq 2^{e_{\min }+1}-2^{e_{\text {min }}-p+1}$ (for which $b_{0}$ is always zero) and the case $2^{e_{\min }+1} \leq$ $\left|\mathrm{RN}_{e}(x y)\right| \leq 2^{e_{\min }+p}$.
C. Second special case: if $\left|\mathrm{RN}_{e}(x y)\right| \leq 2^{e_{\min }+1}-2^{e_{\min }-p+1}$

In that case, the floating-point number nearest $x y-\mathrm{RN}_{0}(x y)$ is zero, so we only have to focus on the computation of $\mathrm{RN}_{0}(x y)$. We also assume that $\mathrm{RN}_{e}(x y) \neq 0$ (otherwise, it suffices to return $\mathrm{RN}_{0}(x y)=0$ ). We therefore have

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{e_{\min }-p}<|x y|<2^{e_{\min }+1}-2^{e_{\min }-p} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $a_{e}$ be $\mathrm{RN}_{e}(x y)$, and let us successively compute (using FMA instructions)

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{1} & =\mathrm{RN}_{e}\left(x y \cdot 2^{2 p}\right) \\
t_{2} & =\mathrm{RN}_{e}\left(x y \cdot 2^{2 p}-t_{1}\right)=x y \cdot 2^{2 p}-t_{1} \\
t_{3} & =\mathrm{RN}_{e}\left(t_{1}-a_{e} \cdot 2^{2 p}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us show that $\theta_{3}=t_{1}-a_{e} \cdot 2^{2 p}$ is a floating-point number. This will imply $t_{3}=\theta_{3}=t_{1}-a_{e} \cdot 2^{2 p}$ (hence, $\theta_{3}$ can be computed with an FMA, or with a multiplication followed by a subtraction). Note that (1) implies $\left|2^{2 p} x y\right|<2^{e_{\text {min }}+2 p+1}-$ $2^{e_{\text {min }}+p}$, so that $\left|t_{1}\right| \leq 2^{e_{\text {min }}+2 p+1}-2^{e_{\min }+p+1}$ and ulp $\left(t_{1}\right) \leq$ $2^{e_{\text {min }}+p+1}$. Also, we have $\left|x y \cdot 2^{2 p}\right|>2^{e_{\text {min }}+p}$, which implies $\left|t_{1}\right| \geq 2^{e_{\text {min }}+p}$.
Finally, since $a_{e}$ is a multiple of $2^{e_{\min }-p+1}$, the number $2^{2 p} \cdot a_{e}$ is a multiple of $2^{e_{\min }+p+1}$. Therefore, $\theta_{3}$ is a multiple of $\operatorname{ulp}\left(t_{1}\right)$.
Now, from $x y-2^{e_{\min }-p} \leq\left|a_{e}\right| \leq x y+2^{e_{\min }-p}$, we deduce $x y \cdot 2^{2 p}-2^{e_{\min }+p} \leq\left|a_{e}\right| \cdot 2^{2 p} \leq x y \cdot 2^{2 p}+2^{e_{\min }+p}$, which implies
$t_{1}-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{ulp}\left(t_{1}\right)-2^{e_{\min }+p} \leq\left|a_{e}\right| \cdot 2^{2 p} \leq t_{1}+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{ulp}\left(t_{1}\right)+2^{e_{\min }+p}$, so that

$$
\left|t_{1}-a_{e} \cdot 2^{2 p}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{ulp}\left(t_{1}\right)+2^{e_{\min }+p} \leq \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{ulp}\left(t_{1}\right)+\left|t_{1}\right| .
$$

Hence, $\theta_{3}$ is a multiple of $\operatorname{ulp}\left(t_{1}\right)$ of magnitude less than or equal to $\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{ulp}\left(t_{1}\right)+\left|t_{1}\right|$. An immediate consequence is that $\theta_{3}$ is a floating-point number, which implies $t_{3}=\theta_{3}$.

Now, we wish to compute $a_{0}=\mathrm{RN}_{0}(x y)$. If $x y=$ $a_{e}-\operatorname{sign}\left(a_{e}\right) \cdot 2^{e_{\min }-p}$ then $a_{0}=a_{e}-\operatorname{sign}\left(a_{e}\right) \cdot 2^{e_{\min }-p+1}$ (computed without error), otherwise $a_{0}=a_{e}$. Hence we have to check if $x y=a_{e}-\operatorname{sign}\left(a_{e}\right) \cdot 2^{e_{\text {min }}-p}$. This is equivalent to checking if $t_{2}+t_{3}=-\operatorname{sign}\left(a_{e}\right) \cdot 2^{e_{\text {min }}+p}$. This can be done as follows: first note that since $t_{3}$ is a multiple of $u \operatorname{lp}\left(t_{1}\right)$ and $\left|t_{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{ulp}\left(t_{1}\right)$, either $t_{3}=0$ or $\left|t_{3}\right|>\left|t_{2}\right|$. In any case, if follows from the properties of Algorithm 1 (Fast2Sum) that checking if

$$
t_{2}+t_{3}=-\operatorname{sign}\left(a_{e}\right) \cdot 2^{e_{\min }+p}
$$

is equivalent to checking if
$z:=\mathrm{RN}_{e}\left(t_{2}+t_{3}\right)=-\operatorname{sign}\left(a_{e}\right) \cdot 2^{e_{\text {min }}+p}$ and $\mathrm{RN}_{e}\left(z-t_{3}\right)=t_{2}$.
D. Last special case: if $2^{e_{\text {min }}+1} \leq\left|\mathrm{RN}_{e}(x y)\right| \leq 2^{e_{\text {min }}+p}$

In that case, we know that $x y-\mathrm{RN}_{0}(x y)$ is of magnitude less than or equal to $2^{e_{\text {min }}}$, but is not necessarily a floatingpoint number. The draft standard requires that we return $\mathrm{RN}_{0}(x y)$ and $\mathrm{RN}_{0}\left(x y-\mathrm{RN}_{0}(x y)\right)$.

First, we apply Algorithm 8 to the product $\left(2^{p} x\right) \cdot y$. This gives two values, say $a^{\prime}$ and $b^{\prime}$, such that $a^{\prime}=\mathrm{RN}_{0}\left(2^{p} x y\right)$ and $b^{\prime}=2^{p} x y-a^{\prime}$. We immediately deduce that $2^{-p} a^{\prime}$ is the expected $\mathrm{RN}_{0}(x y)$. Obtaining $\mathrm{RN}_{0}\left(x y-2^{-p} a^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{RN}_{0}\left(2^{-p} b^{\prime}\right)$ is slightly more tricky. We first compute $\beta=\mathrm{RN}_{e}\left(2^{-p} b^{\prime}\right)$. The number $\beta$ is equal to the expected $\mathrm{RN}_{0}\left(2^{-p} b^{\prime}\right)$ unless

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta-\left(2^{-p} b^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{sign}(\beta) \cdot 2^{e_{\min }-p} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which case, one should replace $\beta$ by $\beta-\operatorname{sign}(\beta) \cdot 2^{e_{\min }-p+1}$. Equation (2) is equivalent to

$$
2^{p} \beta-b^{\prime}=\operatorname{sign}(\beta) \cdot 2^{e_{\min }}
$$

which is easily testable since the subtraction is exact: $2^{p} \beta-b^{\prime}$ is a multiple of $2^{e_{\text {min }}-p+1}$, of magnitude less than or equal to $2^{e_{\text {min }}}$, hence it is a floating-point number.

All this gives Algorithm 9 and Theorem 4, below.
Theorem 4. Algorithm 9 always returns augmentedMultiplication $(x, y)$.

## Conclusion

We have presented algorithms that allow one to implement the newly suggested "augmented" floating-point operations using the classical, rounded-ties-to-even, operations. The algorithms are very simple in the general case. Special cases are slightly more involved but will remain unfrequent in most applications. Note that formal proofs of the general case using Coq and the Flocq library [2] are under development.
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