Homogenization of linear transport equations. A new approach

Marc Briane

Homogenization of linear transport equations. A new approach.

Homogénéisation d'équations de transport linéaires. Une nouvelle approche.

Marc Briane
Univ Rennes, INSA Rennes, CNRS, IRMAR - UMR 6625, F-35000 Rennes, France
mbriane@insa-rennes.fr

March 4, 2020

Abstract

The paper is devoted to a new approach of the homogenization of linear transport equations induced by a uniformly bounded sequence of vector fields $b_\varepsilon(x)$, the solutions of which $u_\varepsilon(t,x)$ agree at $t=0$ with a bounded sequence of $L^p_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for some $p\in(1,\infty)$. Assuming that the sequence $b_\varepsilon\cdot\nabla w^1_\varepsilon$ is compact in $L^q_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ (q conjugate of p) for some gradient field ∇w^1_ε bounded in $L^N_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)^N$, and that there exists a uniformly bounded sequence $\sigma_\varepsilon>0$ such that $\sigma_\varepsilon\,b_\varepsilon$ is divergence free if $N=2$ or is a cross product of $(N-1)$ bounded gradients in $L^N_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)^N$ if $N\geq 3$, we prove that the sequence $\sigma_\varepsilon\,u_\varepsilon$ converges weakly to a solution to a linear transport equation. It turns out that the compactness of $b_\varepsilon\cdot\nabla w^1_\varepsilon$ is a substitute to the ergodic assumption of the classical two-dimensional periodic case, and allows us to deal with non-periodic vector fields in any dimension. The homogenization result is illustrated by various and general examples.

Résumé

Cet article propose une nouvelle approche de l'homogénéisation des équations de transport linéaires induites par une suite uniformément bornée de champs de vecteurs $b_\varepsilon(x)$ et dont les solutions $u_\varepsilon(t,x)$ coïncident en $t=0$ avec une suite bornée de $L^p_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ pour un certain $p\in(1,\infty)$. En supposant que la suite $b_\varepsilon\cdot\nabla w^1_\varepsilon$ est compacte dans $L^q_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ (q exposant conjugué de p) pour un champ de gradients ∇w^1_ε borné dans $L^N_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)^N$ et qu'il existe une suite uniformément bornée $\sigma_\varepsilon>0$ telle que $\sigma_\varepsilon\,b_\varepsilon$ est à divergence nulle si $N=2$ ou est un produit vectoriel de $(N-1)$ gradients bornés dans $L^N_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)^N$ si $N\geq 3$, on montre que la suite $\sigma_\varepsilon\,u_\varepsilon$ converge faiblement vers une solution d'une équation de transport. Il s'avère que la compacité de $b_\varepsilon\cdot\nabla w^1_\varepsilon$ remplace la condition d'ergodicité du cas périodique bidimensionnel classique et permet de traiter des champs de vecteurs non périodiques en toute dimension. Le résultat d'homogénéisation est illustré par différents exemples généraux.

Keywords: homogenization, transport equation, dynamic flow, rectification
Mots clés : homogénéisation, équation de transport, flot dynamique, redressement

Mathematics Subject Classification: 35B27, 35F05, 37C10

1 Introduction

In this paper we study the homogenization of the sequence of linear transport equations indexed by $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial t} - b_\varepsilon(x) \cdot \nabla_x u_\varepsilon = 0 & \text{in } (0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u_\varepsilon(0,\cdot) = u_\varepsilon^0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $N \geq 2$, $T > 0$ and $p \in [1, \infty]$ with conjugate exponent q. Equation (1.1) is associated with the flow $Y_\varepsilon(t,x)$ defined by

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial Y_\varepsilon(t,x)}{\partial t} = b_\varepsilon(Y_\varepsilon(t,x)), \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \\ Y_\varepsilon(0,x) = x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

Using the DiPerna-Lions transport theory [8, Corollary II.1], if for instance b_ε is a vector field in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)^N \cap W^{1,q}_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)^N$ with bounded divergence and the initial condition u_ε^0 is in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then there exists a unique solution $u_\varepsilon(t,x)$ to equation (1.1) in $L^\infty(0,T;L^p(\mathbb{R}^N))$.

Tartar [21] showed that the homogenization of first-order hyperbolic equations may lead to nonlocal effective equations with memory effects. In this framework Amirat, Hamdache and Ziani obtained memory effects for the homogenization of the transport equation (1.1), first in dimension two when $b_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_x u_\varepsilon = b_\varepsilon(t,x_2)\,\partial_{x_1} u_\varepsilon$ [1], and in a more general setting [2, 3] they derived an integral representation of the memory term of the homogenized equation using Young's measures and a Radon transform.

In the present paper, rather than determining the "closure" set of the homogenized equations of (1.1) as in [1, 2, 3], we provide some sufficient conditions under which the homogenized equation of (1.1) remains a transport equation, namely the nature of equation (1.1) is preserved through homogenization. Assuming that $b_\varepsilon(x) = b(x/\varepsilon)$ is a divergence free periodically oscillating vector field, Brenier [4] showed thanks to the ergodic theorem that the solution u_ε of (1.1) converges. This result was improved in dimension two by Hou and Xin [15] who proved thanks to a two-scale convergence approach that the homogenization of (1.1) leads to the averaged transport equation with $\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} b(y)\,dy$ ($\mathbb{T}^N$ denotes the N-dimensional torus). Golse [12, Theorem 8] extended these results to the locally periodic case $b_\varepsilon(x) = b(x, x/\varepsilon)$ with $\mathrm{div}_y b(x,\cdot) = 0$, assuming some ergodic property involving the flow associated with $-\,b(x,\cdot)$. In [12, 13] and in the generalizations [11, 10] with an application to Physics [9], Golse *et al.* also studied the perturbed differential system satisfied by the pair $(I_\varepsilon, J_\varepsilon)$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{T}^N$:

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{dI_\varepsilon}{dt} = \varepsilon f(I_\varepsilon, J_\varepsilon), & t \in \mathbb{R} \\ \dfrac{dJ_\varepsilon}{dt} = \omega(I_\varepsilon) + \varepsilon g(I_\varepsilon, J_\varepsilon), \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

with $f(I,\cdot)$, $g(I,\cdot)$ periodic, which after an ε-rescaling is associated with a Liouville partial differential equation of type (1.1) but more complicated. Assuming among others that ω satisfies the Kolmogorov non-degeneracy type ergodic condition

$$\mathrm{meas}\left(\left\{I \in \mathbb{R}^N,\ |I| \leq R : |\omega(I)\cdot\xi| < \alpha\right\}\right) \underset{\alpha\to 0}{\longrightarrow} 0 \quad \text{uniformly in } \xi \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}, \tag{1.4}$$

or some variant, Golse *et al.* obtained an error estimate between the solution to system (1.3) and the averaged system with $\int_{\mathbb{T}^N} f(I,y)\,dy$, as well as the velocity averaging (homogenization) of the associated Liouville partial differential equation. They also extended this result to a non-periodic framework.

Returning to the periodic case $b_\varepsilon(x) = b(x/\varepsilon)$, Tassa [22] replaced in dimension two the divergence free of b by the existence of a periodic positive regular function σ such that

$$\operatorname{div}(\sigma b) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \tag{1.5}$$

i.e. σ is an invariant measure for b by the Liouville theorem. The main assumption of the periodic framework $b_\varepsilon(x) = b(x/\varepsilon)$ of [4, 15, 22] is the ergodicity of the flow associated with b (see, *e.g.*, [20, Lect. 1], or [19, Chap. II, § 5]), namely any periodic invariant function by the flow is constant, or equivalently, for any periodic regular function v,

$$b\cdot\nabla v = 0 \ \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^2 \ \Rightarrow\ \nabla v = 0 \ \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^2, \tag{1.6}$$

together with $b \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^2$. By virtue of the Kolmogorov theorem (see, *e.g.*, [20, Lect. 11] or [22, Sec. 2]) in dimension two with $b \neq 0$, condition (1.6) is equivalent to the ergodic assumption

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} b_1(y)\,\sigma(y)\,dy,\ \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} b_2(y)\,\sigma(y)\,dy \quad \text{are rationally independant,} \tag{1.7}$$

which is equivalent to the irrationality of the rotation number. In the locally periodic case $b_\varepsilon(x) = b(x, x/\varepsilon)$ of [12, Section 8] the ergodicity assumption states that for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, the fluctuation of the vector field $b(x,\cdot)$ around its average value is ergodic with respect to the flow associated with $-\,b(x,\cdot)$.

In the present paper, besides the closure results of [1, 2, 3] and the ergodic approaches of [4, 15, 12, 13, 22], we propose a new approach which holds both in a non-periodic framework and in any dimension, assuming that the vector field b_ε satisfies a non-ergodic condition which preserves the nature of equation (1.1) through homogenization. More precisely, the ergodic assumption (1.6) or (1.7) of the periodic framework is now replaced by the existence of a sequence w^1_ε in $C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $q \in (1,\infty)$ such that

$$0 < b_\varepsilon\cdot\nabla w^1_\varepsilon \ \to\ \theta_0 > 0 \quad \text{strongly in } L^q_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N), \tag{1.8}$$

which is equivalent in the periodic case to the existence of a periodic gradient ∇w satisfying

$$b\cdot\nabla w = 1 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{1.9}$$

Moreover, the invariant measure σ of the periodic case is replaced by a sequence σ_ε satisfying $0 < c^{-1} < \sigma_\varepsilon < c$ for some constant $c > 1$, and (see Remark 2.1 for an equivalent expression)

$$\operatorname{div}(\sigma_\varepsilon\, b_\varepsilon) = 0 \ \text{ if } N = 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_\varepsilon\, b_\varepsilon = \nabla w^2_\varepsilon \times \cdots \times \nabla w^N_\varepsilon \ \text{ if } N \geq 3. \tag{1.10}$$

The case where $\sigma_\varepsilon\, b_\varepsilon$ is only divergence free in dimension $N \geq 3$ remains open. In this way the vector field b_ε is naturally associated with the vector field $W_\varepsilon := (w^1_\varepsilon, \dots, w^N_\varepsilon)$ which induces a global rectification of the field b_ε in the direction e_1 (see Remark 2.1). Then, assuming in addition to (1.8), (1.10) that W_ε is uniformly proper (see condition (2.1) below) and converges both in $C^0_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)^N$ and weakly in

$W^{1,N}_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)^N$, we prove (see Theorem 2.2) that up to a subsequence $\sigma_\varepsilon\, u_\varepsilon$ converges weakly in $L^\infty(0,T;L^p(\mathbb{R}^N))$ to a solution v to the transport equation

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial v}{\partial t} - \xi_0 \cdot \nabla_x \left(\dfrac{v}{\sigma_0} \right) = 0 & \text{in } (0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^N \\ v(0,\cdot) = v^0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases} \tag{1.11}$$

where σ_0 is the weak-$*$ limit of σ_ε in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, ξ_0 is the weak limit of $\sigma_\varepsilon\, b_\varepsilon$ in $L^{\frac{N}{N-1}}_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)^N$ and v^0 the weak limit of $\sigma_\varepsilon\, u^0_\varepsilon$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Moreover, if σ_ε converges strongly to σ_0 in $L^1_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ (see Remark 2.4) or u^0_ε converges strongly to u^0 in $L^p_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then up to a subsequence u_ε converges weakly in $L^\infty(0,T;L^p(\mathbb{R}^N))$ to a solution u to the transport equation

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \dfrac{\xi_0}{\sigma_0} \cdot \nabla_x u = 0 & \text{in } (0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^N \\ u(0,\cdot) = u^0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases} \tag{1.12}$$

The convergence of u_ε also turns out to be strong in $L^\infty(0,T;L^2_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N))$ if u^0_ε converges strongly to u^0 in $L^p_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $p>2$ (see the second part of Theorem 2.2).

The compactness condition (1.8) is the main assumption of Theorem 2.2. It is equivalent to the compactness of the product $\sigma_\varepsilon \det(DW_\varepsilon)$ which is connected to the vector field b_ε by (1.10). The examples of Section 3 show that this condition may be satisfied in quite general situations.

Section 2 is devoted to the statement of the main result and to its proof. Section 3 deals by three applications of Theorem 2.2. In Section 3.1 we study the case of a diffeomorphism W_ε on $\mathbb{R}^2$ such that $\det(DW_\varepsilon)$ is compact in $L^p_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for some $q\in(1,\infty)$. In Section 3.2 we extend the periodic case of [4, 15, 22] with $b_\varepsilon(x)=b(x/\varepsilon)$ and the periodic case of [5, Sec. 4] on the asymptotic of the flow associated with b, in the light of Theorem 2.2 with a periodically oscillating function $\sigma_\varepsilon(x)=\sigma(x/\varepsilon)$ (see Proposition 3.1). In Section 3.3 we consider the case of a diffeomorphism W_ε which agrees at a fixed time t to a flow $X_\varepsilon(t,\cdot)$ associated with a suitable vector field a_ε (see Proposition 3.2). In this general setting assumption (1.8) holds simply when $\operatorname{div} a_\varepsilon$ is compact in $L^q_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for some $q\in(1,\infty)$.

Notations

- $(e_1,\dots,e_N)$ denotes the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^N$.
- $\cdot$ denotes the scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^N$ and $|\cdot|$ the associated norm.
- I_N is the unit matrix of $\mathbb{R}^{N\times N}$, and $R_\perp$ is the clockwise 90° rotation matrix in $\mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}$.
- For $M\in\mathbb{R}^{N\times N}$, M^T denotes the transpose of M.
- $Y_N := [0,1)^N$, and $\langle f\rangle$ denotes the average-value of a function $f\in L^1(Y_N)$.
- For any open set Ω of $\mathbb{R}^N$ and $k\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{\infty\}$, $C^k_c(\Omega)$, respectively $C^k_b(\Omega)$, denotes the space of the C^k functions with compact support in Ω, respectively bounded in Ω.

- For $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ and $p \in [1, \infty]$, $C^k_\sharp(Y_N)$ denotes the space of the Y_N-periodic functions in $C_k(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and $L^p_\sharp(Y_N)$ denotes the space of the Y_N-periodic functions in $L^p_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ (*i.e.* in $L^p(K)$ for any compact set K of $\mathbb{R}^N$).
- For $u \in L^1_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $U = (U_j)_{1 \le j \le d} \in L^1_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)^N$.

$$\nabla_x u := (\partial_{x_1} u, \dots, \partial_{x_N} u) \quad \text{and} \quad DU := \left[\partial_{x_i} U_j\right]_{1 \le i,j \le d}.$$

  The matrix-valued function DU stands for the transposition of the Jacobian matrix of the vector field U.
- For $\xi^1, \dots, \xi^N$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$, the cross product $\xi^2 \times \cdots \times \xi^N$ is defined by

$$\xi^1 \cdot (\xi^2 \times \cdots \times \xi^N) = \det(\xi^1, \xi^2, \dots, \xi^N) \quad \text{for } \xi^1 \in \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{1.13}$$

  where det is the determinant with respect to the canonical basis $(e_1, \dots, e_N)$.
- o_ε denotes a term which tends to zero as $\varepsilon \to 0$.
- C denotes a constant which may vary from line to line.

2 The main result

Let $W_\varepsilon = (w^1_\varepsilon, \dots, w^N_\varepsilon)$, $\varepsilon > 0$, be a sequence of vector fields in $C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)^N$ which is *uniformly proper*, *i.e.* for any compact set K of $\mathbb{R}^N$ there exists a compact set K' of $\mathbb{R}^N$ satisfying

$$W_\varepsilon^{-1}(K) \subset K' \quad \text{for any small enough } \varepsilon > 0, \tag{2.1}$$

and let $W \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)^N$ be such that

$$W_\varepsilon \to W \ \text{ in } C^0_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)^N \quad \text{and} \quad W_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup W \ \text{ in } W^{1,N}_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)^N. \tag{2.2}$$

Let b_ε be a vector field in $C^0_b(\mathbb{R}^N)^N \cap W^{1,q}_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)^N$ with bounded divergence and let σ_ε be a positive function in $C^0(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap W^{1,q}_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfying for some constant $c > 1$,

$$c^{-1} \le \sigma_\varepsilon \le c \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_\varepsilon\, b_\varepsilon = \begin{cases} R_\perp \nabla w^2_\varepsilon & \text{if } N = 2 \\ \nabla w^2_\varepsilon \times \cdots \times \nabla w^N_\varepsilon & \text{if } N \ge 3, \end{cases} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{2.3}$$

Also assume that for $p \in (1, \infty)$ with conjugate exponent q, there exists a positive function θ_0 in $C^0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that

$$\theta_\varepsilon := b_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla w^1_\varepsilon > 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \qquad \text{and} \qquad \theta_\varepsilon \to \theta_0 > 0 \quad \text{strongly in } L^q_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N). \tag{2.4}$$

Finally, assume:

- either that there exists a constant $B > 0$ such that

$$|\operatorname{div} b_\varepsilon| \le B \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{2.5}$$

- or the regularity condition

$$b_\varepsilon \in C^1_b(\mathbb{R}^N)^N, \quad \sigma_\varepsilon \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \text{and} \quad u^0_\varepsilon \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N). \tag{2.6}$$

Remark 2.1. The definition (2.3) of b_ε can be also written for any dimension $N \geq 2$ as the existence of $(N-1)$ gradients $\nabla w_\varepsilon^2, \dots, \nabla w_\varepsilon^N$ satisfying

$$\forall\, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad \sigma_\varepsilon\, b_\varepsilon \cdot \xi = \det\left(\xi, \nabla w_\varepsilon^2, \dots, \nabla w_\varepsilon^N\right). \tag{2.7}$$

In dimension $N \geq 3$ this is exactly the definition of the cross product $\nabla w_\varepsilon^2 \times \cdots \times \nabla w_\varepsilon^N$ (see (1.13)). In dimension $N = 2$ this means exactly that $\sigma_\varepsilon\, b_\varepsilon = R_\perp \nabla w_\varepsilon^2$, which is equivalent to

$$\operatorname{div}(\sigma_\varepsilon\, b_\varepsilon) = 0 \ \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^2. \tag{2.8}$$

However, in dimension $N \geq 3$ condition (2.3) is stronger than $\sigma_\varepsilon\, b_\varepsilon$ divergence free.

The definition (2.3) of b_ε and the definition (2.4) of θ_ε are equivalent to the global rectification of the field b_ε by the diffeomorphism W_ε

$$DW_\varepsilon^T\, b_\varepsilon = \theta_\varepsilon\, e_1 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{2.9}$$

in the direction e_1 with the compact range θ_ε.

Then, we have the following homogenization result.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $T > 0$, let $p \in (1, \infty)$ and let u_ε^0 be a bounded sequence in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $N \geq 2$. Assume that conditions (2.1) to (2.4) together with (2.5) or (2.6) hold true. Let u_ε be the solution to the transport equation (1.1) and set $v_\varepsilon := \sigma_\varepsilon\, u_\varepsilon$. Then, up to a subsequence v_ε converges weakly in $L^\infty(0,T;L^p(\mathbb{R}^N))$ to a solution v to the transport equation*

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial v}{\partial t} - \xi_0 \cdot \nabla_x \left(\dfrac{v}{\sigma_0}\right) = 0 & \text{in } (0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^N \\ v(0,\cdot) = v^0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases} \tag{2.10}$$

*where (*Cof *denotes the cofactors matrix)*

$$\xi_0 = \operatorname{Cof}(DW)\, e_1 \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^N)^N, \tag{2.11}$$

$$\sigma_\varepsilon\, b_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup \xi_0 \ \text{ in } L^{\frac{N}{N-1}}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)^N, \quad \sigma_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup \sigma_0 \ \text{ in } L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)*, \quad \sigma_\varepsilon\, u_\varepsilon^0 \rightharpoonup v^0 \ \text{ in } L^p(\mathbb{R}^N). \tag{2.12}$$

Moreover, if in addition $b_\varepsilon \in W^{1,p/(p-2)}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)^N$ with $p > 2$ and the sequence u_ε^0 converges strongly to $u^0 \in L^p_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $\sigma_0 \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\xi_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)^N \cap W^{1,p/(p-2)}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)^N$, then u_ε converges strongly in $L^\infty(0,T;L^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N))$ to the solution u to the transport equation

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \dfrac{\xi_0}{\sigma_0} \cdot \nabla_x u = 0 & \text{in } (0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^N \\ u(0,\cdot) = u^0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases} \tag{2.13}$$

Remark 2.3. If in Theorem 2.2 we assume in addition that σ_0 is in $W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and ξ_0 belongs to $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)^N \cap W^{1,q}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)^N$, then by virtue of [8, Corollary II.1] there exists a unique solution v to the transport equation (2.10).

Remark 2.4. In addition to the conditions (2.1) to (2.4) assume that σ_ε converges strongly in $L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to $\sigma_0 \in W^{1,q}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then, we have $v = \sigma_0\, u$ and $v^0 = \sigma_0\, u^0$ where u^0 is the weak limit of u_ε^0 in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, which implies that equation (2.10) is equivalent to equation (2.13). Therefore, u_ε converges weakly in $L^\infty(0,T;L^p(\mathbb{R}^N))$ to a solution u to the transport equation (2.13).

To prove Theorem 2.2 we need the following L^p-estimate.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $b_\varepsilon \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)^N \cap W^{1,q}_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)^N$ with bounded divergence be such that*

- *either estimate (2.5) holds true,*
- *or both conditions (2.3) and (2.6) hold true.*

Then, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $u^0_\varepsilon \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $p \in [1, \infty)$, the solution u_ε to equation (1.1) satisfies the estimate

$$\|u_\varepsilon(t,\cdot)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \le C\,\|u^0_\varepsilon\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in (0,T), \tag{2.14}$$

Proof of Theorem 2.2. First of all, note that by (2.3) and (2.4) we have

$$\det(DW_\varepsilon) = \sigma_\varepsilon\,\theta_\varepsilon > 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{2.15}$$

This combined with property (2.1) and Hadamard-Caccioppoli's theorem [6] (or Hadamard-Lévy's theorem) implies that W_ε is a C^1-diffeomorphism on $\mathbb{R}^N$. Moreover, since by (2.15) $\det(DW_\varepsilon)$ is positive and by (2.2) W_ε converges weakly in $W^{1,N}_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)^N$, by virtue of Müller's theorem [16] $\det(DW_\varepsilon)$ weakly converges to $\det(DW)$ in $L^1_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Hence, passing to the limit in (2.15) together with the strong convergence (2.4) of θ_ε, the weak convergence (2.12) of σ_ε and the boundedness (2.3) of σ_ε we get that

$$\det(DW) = \sigma_0\,\theta_0 \ge c^{-1}\,\theta_0 > 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{2.16}$$

which taking into account the continuity of DW and θ_0 implies that $\det(DW) > 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Moreover, again by the uniform character of (2.1) W is a proper mapping. Therefore, W is also a C^1-diffeomorphism on $\mathbb{R}^N$.

The weak formulation of equation (1.1) is that for any function $\phi \in C^1_c([0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$\int_0^T\!\!\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_\varepsilon\,\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t}\,dx\,dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^0_\varepsilon(x)\,\phi(0,x)\,dx = \int_0^T\!\!\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_\varepsilon\,\mathrm{div}\,(\phi\,b_\varepsilon)\,dx\,dt. \tag{2.17}$$

Using a density argument with $\sigma_\varepsilon \in W^{1,q}_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we can replace the test function ϕ by $\sigma_\varepsilon\,\varphi$ for any $\varphi \in C^1_c([0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^N)$. This combined with the divergence free of $\sigma_\varepsilon\,b_\varepsilon$ leads us to the new formulation

$$\int_0^T\!\!\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \sigma_\varepsilon\,u_\varepsilon\,\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}\,dx\,dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \sigma_\varepsilon(x)\,u^0_\varepsilon(x)\,\varphi(0,x)\,dx = \int_0^T\!\!\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_\varepsilon\,\sigma_\varepsilon\,b_\varepsilon\cdot\nabla_x\varphi\,dx\,dt. \tag{2.18}$$

We pass easily to the limit in the left hand-side of (2.18). The delicate point comes from the right-hand side of (2.18).

By the L^p-estimate (2.14) of Lemma 2.5 combined with the uniform boundedness of σ_ε in (2.3) there exists a subsequence, still denoted by ε, such that $v_\varepsilon = \sigma_\varepsilon\,u_\varepsilon$ converges weakly to some function v in $L^\infty(0,T;L^p(\mathbb{R}^N))$.

Let $\psi \in C^1_c([0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^N)$ the support of which is contained in some compact set $[t_0,t_1]\times K$ of $[0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^N$, and define

$$\varphi_\varepsilon(t,x) := \psi(t, W_\varepsilon(x)) \quad \text{for } (t,x) \in (0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^N, \tag{2.19}$$

so that $\nabla_x \varphi_\varepsilon(t,x) = DW_\varepsilon(x)\nabla_y\psi(t,y)$. Hence, making the change of variables $y = W_\varepsilon(x)$ and using (2.9) we deduce that

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_\varepsilon(t,x)\, b_\varepsilon(x)\cdot\nabla_x\varphi_\varepsilon(t,x)\,dx\,dt = \int_0^T \int_{W_\varepsilon^{-1}(K)} v_\varepsilon(t,x)\, b_\varepsilon(x)\cdot\nabla_x\varphi_\varepsilon(t,x)\,dx\,dt$$
$$= \int_0^T \int_K v_\varepsilon(t,W_\varepsilon^{-1}(y))\,\theta_\varepsilon(W_\varepsilon^{-1}(y))\,e_1\cdot\nabla_y\psi(t,y)\,\det(DW_\varepsilon^{-1})(y)\,dy\,dt. \tag{2.20}$$

First, using successively the Hölder inequality combined with the L^p-estimate (2.14), the inclusion (2.1) and the L^q-strong convergence (2.4) of θ_ε, we have

$$\left| \int_0^T \int_K v_\varepsilon(t,W_\varepsilon^{-1}(y))\,(\theta_\varepsilon-\theta_0)(W_\varepsilon^{-1}(y))\,e_1\cdot\nabla_y\psi(t,y)\,\det(DW_\varepsilon^{-1})(y)\,dy\,dt \right|$$
$$\le C_\psi \int_0^T \left(\int_K \left| v_\varepsilon(t,W_\varepsilon^{-1}(y)) \right|^p \det(DW_\varepsilon^{-1})(y)\,dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \times$$
$$\left(\int_K \left| (\theta_\varepsilon-\theta_0)(W_\varepsilon^{-1}(y)) \right|^q \det(DW_\varepsilon^{-1})(y)\,dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} dt$$
$$\le C_\psi \int_0^T \|v_\varepsilon(t,\cdot)\|_{L^p(K')} \|\theta_\varepsilon-\theta_0\|_{L^q(K')}\,dt = o_\varepsilon,$$

which implies that

$$\int_0^T \int_K v_\varepsilon(t,W_\varepsilon^{-1}(y))\,\theta_\varepsilon(W_\varepsilon^{-1}(y))\,e_1\cdot\nabla_y\psi(t,y)\,\det(DW_\varepsilon^{-1})(y)\,dy\,dt$$
$$= \int_0^T \int_K v_\varepsilon(t,W_\varepsilon^{-1}(y))\,\theta_0(W_\varepsilon^{-1}(y))\,e_1\cdot\nabla_y\psi(t,y)\,\det(DW_\varepsilon^{-1})(y)\,dy\,dt + o_\varepsilon.$$

Next, by the uniform convergence (2.2)

$$\nabla_y\psi(t,W_\varepsilon(x)) \to \nabla_y\psi(t,W(x)) \quad \text{in } C^0_{\rm loc}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Then, making the inverse change of variables $x = W_\varepsilon^{-1}(y)$ together with (2.1) and using the weak convergence of v_ε to v in $L^\infty(0,T;L^p(\mathbb{R}^N))$, we have

$$\int_0^T \int_K v_\varepsilon(t,W_\varepsilon^{-1}(y))\,\theta_0(W_\varepsilon^{-1}(y))\,e_1\cdot\nabla_y\psi(t,y)\,\det(DW_\varepsilon^{-1})(y)\,dy\,dt$$
$$= \int_0^T \int_{K'} v_\varepsilon(t,x)\,\theta_0(x)\,e_1\cdot\nabla_y\psi(t,W_\varepsilon(x))\,dx\,dt$$
$$= \int_0^T \int_{K'} v(t,x)\,\theta_0(x)\,e_1\cdot\nabla_y\psi(t,W(x))\,dx\,dt + o_\varepsilon.$$

Let $\varphi \in C^1_c([0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^N)$ and define similarly to (2.19)

$$\varphi(t,x) := \psi(t,W(x)) \quad \text{for } (t,x)\in[0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^N,$$

so that $\nabla_x\varphi(t,x) = DW(x)\nabla_y\psi(t,y)$. Therefore, passing to the limit in (2.20) we

obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_\varepsilon(t,x)\,b_\varepsilon(x)\cdot\nabla_x\varphi_\varepsilon(t,x)\,dx\,dt\\&=\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v(t,x)\,\theta_0(x)\left(DW(x)^T\right)^{-1}e_1\cdot\nabla_x\varphi(t,x)\,dx\,dt+o_\varepsilon.\end{aligned}\tag{2.21}$$

On the other hand, using (2.9), (2.3) and the Murat-Tartar div-curl lemma in $L^{\frac{N}{N-1}}$-L^N (see, *e.g.*, [17, Theorem 2]) with convergences (2.2), (2.4), (2.12) we get that

$$DW_\varepsilon^T(\sigma_\varepsilon\,b_\varepsilon)=\sigma_\varepsilon\,\theta_\varepsilon\,e_1\rightharpoonup DW^T\xi_0=\sigma_0\,\theta_0\,e_1\quad\text{weakly in } L^1_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N).\tag{2.22}$$

This combined with (2.16) yields equality (2.11). Convergences (2.21) and (2.22) imply that

$$\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_\varepsilon\,b_\varepsilon\cdot\nabla_x\varphi_\varepsilon\,dx\,dt\underset{\varepsilon\to0}{\longrightarrow}\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\frac{v}{\sigma_0}\,\xi_0\cdot\nabla_x\varphi\,dx\,dt.$$

Finally, passing to the limit in formula (2.18) with φ_ε, it follows that for any $\varphi\in C_c^1([0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v\,\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}\,dx\,dt+\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v^0(x)\,\varphi(0,x)\,dx=\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\frac{v}{\sigma_0}\,\xi_0\cdot\nabla_x\varphi\,dx\,dt,$$

which taking into account that ξ_0 is divergence free yields the weak formulation of the desired limit equation (2.10). This concludes the proof of the first part of Theorem 2.2.

Now, assume in addition that $b_\varepsilon\in W^{1,p/(p-2)}_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)^N$ with $p>2$ and u_ε^0 converges strongly to u^0 in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $\sigma_0\in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\xi_0\in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)^N\cap W^{1,p/(p-2)}_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)^N$. By [8, Theorem II.3 and Corollary II.1] u_ε^2 is the unique solution to the equation (1.1) with initial condition $(u_\varepsilon^0)^2$, or equivalently, for any $\phi\in C_c^1([0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_\varepsilon^2\,\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t}\,dx\,dt+\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(u_\varepsilon^0)^2(x)\,\phi(0,x)\,dx=\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_\varepsilon^2\,\mathrm{div}\,(\phi\,b_\varepsilon)\,dx\,dt,$$

Replacing u_ε by u_ε^2 in the first part of Theorem 2.2 and using the strong convergence of u_ε^0 we get that the sequence $\sigma_\varepsilon\,u_\varepsilon^2$ converges weakly in $L^\infty(0,T;L^{p/2}(\mathbb{R}^N))$ to the solution w to the transport equation

$$\begin{cases}\dfrac{\partial w}{\partial t}-\xi_0\cdot\nabla_x\left(\dfrac{w}{\sigma_0}\right)=\dfrac{\partial w}{\partial t}-\dfrac{\xi_0}{\sigma_0}\cdot\nabla_x w+\dfrac{\xi_0\cdot\nabla\sigma_0}{\sigma_0^2}\,w=0 & \text{in } (0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^N\\ w(0,\cdot)=\sigma_0\,(u^0)^2 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.\end{cases}\tag{2.23}$$

Note that by virtue of [8, Corollary II.1] the solution w to equation (2.23) is unique due to the regularities $\sigma_0\in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $\xi_0\in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)^N\cap W^{1,p/(p-2)}_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)^N$ with divergence free. Moreover, again by [8, Theorem II.3 and Corollary II.1] v^2 is the unique solution to the equation induced by (2.10)

$$\begin{cases}\dfrac{\partial(v^2)}{\partial t}-\dfrac{\xi_0}{\sigma_0}\cdot\nabla_x(v^2)+2\,\dfrac{\xi_0\cdot\nabla\sigma_0}{\sigma_0}\,v^2=0 & \text{in } (0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^N\\ v^2(0,\cdot)=(\sigma_0\,u^0)^2 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N,\end{cases}$$

or equivalently, for any $\phi \in C_c^1([0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v^2\,\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t}\,dx\,dt+\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(\sigma_0\,u^0)^2(x)\,\phi(0,x)\,dx\\&=\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v^2\,\mathrm{div}\left(\phi\,\frac{\xi_0}{\sigma_0}\right)dx\,dt+\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} 2\,v^2\,\frac{\xi_0\cdot\nabla\sigma_0}{\sigma_0^2}\,\phi\,dx\,dt.\end{aligned}$$

Replacing the test function ϕ by φ/σ_0 by a density argument, it follows that for any function $\varphi\in C_c^1([0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\frac{v^2}{\sigma_0}\,\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}\,dx\,dt+\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\sigma_0(x)\,(u^0)^2(x)\,\varphi(0,x)\,dx\\&=\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v^2\,\mathrm{div}\left(\varphi\,\frac{\xi_0}{\sigma_0^2}\right)dx\,dt+\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} 2\,v^2\,\frac{\xi_0\cdot\nabla\sigma_0}{\sigma_0^3}\,\varphi\,dx\,dt\\&=\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\frac{v^2}{\sigma_0}\,\mathrm{div}\left(\varphi\,\frac{\xi_0}{\sigma_0}\right)dx\,dt+\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\frac{v^2}{\sigma_0}\,\frac{\xi_0\cdot\nabla\sigma_0}{\sigma_0^2}\,\varphi\,dx\,dt,\end{aligned}$$

which shows that v^2/σ_0 is also a solution to equation (2.23). By uniqueness we thus get that $w=v^2/\sigma_0$. Similarly, the solution u to equation (2.13) agrees with v/σ_0. Finally, using these two equalities we have for any compact set K of $\mathbb{R}^N$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^T\int_K\sigma_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon-u)^2\,dx\,dt=\int_0^T\int_K(\sigma_\varepsilon\,u_\varepsilon^2-2\,\sigma_\varepsilon\,u_\varepsilon\,u+\sigma_\varepsilon\,u^2)\,dx\,dt\\&\underset{\varepsilon\to0}{\longrightarrow}\int_0^T\int_K(w-2\,v\,u+\sigma_0\,u^2)\,dx\,dt=0,\end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof of Theorem 2.2. □

Proof of Lemma 2.5. If the uniform boundedness (2.5) of $\mathrm{div}\,b_\varepsilon$ is satisfied, then using the estimate (17) of [8, Proposition II.1] for the solution to the regularized equation of (1.1) and the lower semi-continuity of the L^p-norm ($p<\infty$) we get estimate (2.14).

Otherwise, assume that conditions (2.3) and (2.6) hold true. Using the regularity of the data the proof is based on an explicit expression of the solution to equation (1.1) from the flow Y_ε associated with the vector field b_ε by

$$\begin{cases}\dfrac{\partial Y_\varepsilon(t,x)}{\partial t}=b_\varepsilon(Y_\varepsilon(t,x)),\quad t\in\mathbb{R}\\ Y_\varepsilon(0,x)=x\in\mathbb{R}^N.\end{cases}\tag{2.24}$$

Let u_ε^0 be a function in $C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)\cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. It is classical that the regular solution u_ε to the transport equation (1.1) is given by

$$u_\varepsilon(t,x)=u_\varepsilon^0(Y_\varepsilon(t,x))\quad\text{for }(t,x)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^N.\tag{2.25}$$

Let $t\in[0,T]$. Making the change of variables combined with the semi-group property of the flow

$$y=Y_\varepsilon(t,x)\ \Leftrightarrow\ x=Y_\varepsilon(-t,y),$$

we get that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left|u_\varepsilon^0(Y_\varepsilon(t,x))\right|^p dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left|u_\varepsilon^0(y)\right|^p \left|\det(D_y Y_\varepsilon(-t,y))\right| dy. \tag{2.26}$$

Moreover, by (2.24) and the Liouville formula we have for any $(\tau, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N$,

$$\det(D_y Y_\varepsilon(\tau,y)) = \exp\left(\int_0^\tau (\operatorname{div} b_\varepsilon)(Y_\varepsilon(s,y))\, ds\right).$$

However, since by (2.3) $\sigma_\varepsilon\, b_\varepsilon$ is divergence free, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\tau (\operatorname{div} b_\varepsilon)(Y_\varepsilon(s,y))\, ds &= -\int_0^\tau \left(\frac{\nabla\sigma_\varepsilon \cdot b_\varepsilon}{\sigma_\varepsilon}\right)(Y_\varepsilon(s,y))\, ds \\ &= -\int_0^\tau \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\big(\ln \sigma_\varepsilon(Y_\varepsilon(s,y))\big)\, ds = \ln\left(\frac{\sigma_\varepsilon(y)}{\sigma_\varepsilon(Y_\varepsilon(\tau,y))}\right).\end{aligned}$$

This combined with the boundedness of σ_ε in condition (2.3) implies that

$$\forall\, (\tau,y) \in \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^N, \quad 0 < \det(D_y Y_\varepsilon(\tau,y)) = \frac{\sigma_\varepsilon(y)}{\sigma_\varepsilon(Y_\varepsilon(\tau,y))} \le c^2.$$

Hence, we deduce from (2.26) that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_\varepsilon(x)|^p\, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left|u_\varepsilon^0(Y_\varepsilon(t,x))\right|^p dx \le c^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left|u_\varepsilon^0(y)\right|^p dy,$$

which yields the desired estimate (2.14). This concludes the proof of Lemma 2.5. □

3 Examples

The purpose of this section is to illustrate the homogenization of the transport equation (1.1) by various oscillating fields b_ε which satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 2.2. It means giving examples of diffeomorphism W_ε on $\mathbb{R}^N$ satisfying the rectification (2.9) of the vector field b_ε where the sequence $\theta_\varepsilon > 0$ is compact in $L^q_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for some $q \in (1,\infty)$.

3.1 First example

Let $\alpha_\varepsilon, \alpha \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ be such that for some constant $c > 0$,

$$\alpha_\varepsilon \to \alpha \ \text{ in } C^0_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}), \quad \alpha_\varepsilon' \ge c \ \text{ in } \mathbb{R}, \quad \alpha_\varepsilon' \to \alpha' \ \text{ in } L^2_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}), \tag{3.1}$$

and let $\beta_\varepsilon, \beta \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ be such that for some constant $C > 0$,

$$\beta_\varepsilon \to \beta \ \text{ in } C^0_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}), \quad |\beta_\varepsilon| \le C \ \text{ in } \mathbb{R}, \quad \beta_\varepsilon' \text{ is bounded in } L^\infty_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}), \tag{3.2}$$

Consider the vector field $W_\varepsilon \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)^N$ defined by

$$W_\varepsilon(x) := \big(\alpha_\varepsilon(x_1)\, \exp\big\{\beta_\varepsilon(\alpha_\varepsilon(x_1)\alpha_\varepsilon(x_2))\big\}, \alpha_\varepsilon(x_2)\, \exp\big\{-\beta_\varepsilon(\alpha_\varepsilon(x_1)\alpha_\varepsilon(x_2))\big\}\big), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \tag{3.3}$$

which is based on the characterization of the holomorphic mappings on $\mathbb{C}^2$ with constant Jacobian [18]. The gradient of W_ε is given by

$$\begin{cases} \nabla w^1_\varepsilon(x) = \exp\big\{\beta_\varepsilon(\alpha_\varepsilon(x_1)\alpha_\varepsilon(x_2))\big\} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha'_\varepsilon(x_1)\big(1+\alpha_\varepsilon(x_1)\alpha_\varepsilon(x_2)\beta'_\varepsilon(\alpha_\varepsilon(x_1)\alpha_\varepsilon(x_2))\big) \\ \alpha'_\varepsilon(x_2)\alpha^2_\varepsilon(x_1)\beta'_\varepsilon(\alpha_\varepsilon(x_1)\alpha_\varepsilon(x_2)) \end{pmatrix} \\ \nabla w^2_\varepsilon(x) = \exp\big\{-\beta_\varepsilon(\alpha_\varepsilon(x_1)\alpha_\varepsilon(x_2))\big\} \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha'_\varepsilon(x_1)\alpha^2_\varepsilon(x_2)\beta'_\varepsilon(\alpha_\varepsilon(x_1)\alpha_\varepsilon(x_2)) \\ \alpha'_\varepsilon(x_2)\big(1-\alpha_\varepsilon(x_1)\,\alpha_\varepsilon(x_2)\beta'_\varepsilon(\alpha_\varepsilon(x_1)\alpha_\varepsilon(x_2))\big) \end{pmatrix}. \end{cases}$$

Also define $b_\varepsilon := R_\perp \nabla w^2_\varepsilon$ and $\sigma_\varepsilon := 1$, so that conditions (2.3) and (2.5) are fulfilled.

By (3.1) and (3.2) we have

$$W_\varepsilon(x) \overset{C^0_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^2)}{\longrightarrow} W(x) := \big(\alpha(x_1)\,\exp\big\{\beta(\alpha(x_1)\alpha(x_2))\big\}, \alpha(x_2)\,\exp\big\{-\beta(\alpha(x_1)\alpha(x_2))\big\}\big),$$
$$W_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup W \quad \text{in } H^1_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^2),$$

so that conditions (2.2) are satisfied, and

$$b_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla w^1_\varepsilon(x) = \det(DW_\varepsilon)(x) = \alpha'_\varepsilon(x_1)\,\alpha'_\varepsilon(x_2) \to \alpha'(x_1)\,\alpha'(x_2) \quad \text{in } L^2_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^2), \tag{3.4}$$

so that condition (2.4) is satisfied with $p = 2$. Moreover, since by (3.1)

$$\forall\, t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad |\alpha_\varepsilon(t) - \alpha_\varepsilon(0)| \geq c\,|t|,$$

the sequence $\alpha_\varepsilon(0)$ converges, and β_ε is uniformly bounded in $\mathbb{R}$, condition (2.1) holds for W_ε.

Note that the oscillations of the drift b_ε in equation (1.1) are only due to the oscillations of the sequence β'_ε which does not appear in the convergence (3.4) of the Jacobian.

3.2 The periodic case

This section extends the periodic framework of [4, 15, 22], [12, Theorem 8], and [5, Corollary 4.4].

Let $W = (w^1, \dots, w^N)$ be a vector field in $C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)^N$, and let M be a matrix in $\mathbb{R}^{N\times N}$ such that

$$\big(x \mapsto W(x) - Mx\big) \in C^1_\sharp(Y_N)^N \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma := \det(DW) > 0 \ \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{3.5}$$

Consider the periodic vector field $b \in C^1_\sharp(Y^N)^N$ defined by

$$\sigma\, b := \begin{cases} R_\perp \nabla w^2 & \text{if } N = 2 \\ \nabla w^2 \times \cdots \times \nabla w^N & \text{if } N \geq 3. \end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

We have the following result.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $u^0_\varepsilon \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a bounded sequence in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $p \in (1,\infty)$. Assume that conditions (3.5) and (3.6) hold true. Then, the vector fields W_ε and b_ε defined by*

$$W_\varepsilon(x) := \varepsilon\, W\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad b_\varepsilon(x) := b\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{3.7}$$

satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 2.2.
Moreover, for any sequence u_ε^0 in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\sigma(x/\varepsilon)\,u_\varepsilon^0$ converges weakly to v^0 in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, the solution u_ε to equation (1.1) is such that $\sigma(x/\varepsilon)\,u_\varepsilon$ converges weakly in $L^\infty(0,T;L^p(\mathbb{R}^N))$ to the solution v to the equation (2.10) with $\sigma_0 = \langle\sigma\rangle$ and $\xi_0 = \langle\sigma\, b\rangle$.

Proof of Proposition 3.1. By the quasi-affinity of the determinant (see, *e.g.*, [7, Sec. 4.3.2]) and by (3.5) we have

$$\det(M) = \det\langle DW\rangle = \big\langle \det(DW)\big\rangle > 0,$$

and by (3.7) there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\forall\, x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad |W_\varepsilon(x) - Mx| \le C\varepsilon, \tag{3.8}$$

which implies condition (2.1). Moreover, estimate (3.8) and the uniform bounded of DW_ε imply easily the convergences (2.2) with the limit $W(x) := Mx$.

On the other hand, the definitions (3.5) of W, σ and the definition (3.6) of b show clearly that condition (2.3) and the regularity (2.6) hold true. Moreover, we have

$$\theta := b\cdot\nabla w^1 = \frac{\det(DW)}{\sigma} = 1 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

which implies (2.4) since $\theta_\varepsilon(x) = \theta(x/\varepsilon) = 1$.

Moreover, let u_ε^0 be a sequence in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\sigma(x/\varepsilon)\,u_\varepsilon$ converges weakly to v^0 in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By virtue of Theorem 2.2 combined with Remark 2.3 and using the weak limit of a periodically oscillating sequence, the sequence $\sigma(x/\varepsilon)\,u_\varepsilon$ converges weakly in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to the solution v to the equation (2.10) with $\sigma_0 = \langle\sigma\rangle$ and $\xi_0 = \langle\sigma\, b\rangle$. The proof of Proposition 3.1 is now complete. □

3.3 The dynamic flow case

In this section we construct a sequence W_ε from a dynamic flow associated with a suitable but quite general sequence of vector fields a_ε.

Let a_ε, a be vector fields in $C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)^N$ such that

$$a_\varepsilon \to a \ \text{ in } C^0_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)^N, \quad a_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup a \ \text{ in } W^{1,\infty}_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)^N{*}, \tag{3.9}$$

and for some constant $A > 0$,

$$|a_\varepsilon| + |\operatorname{div} a_\varepsilon| \le A \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{3.10}$$

Also assume that there exists $q \in (1,\infty)$ such that

$$\operatorname{div} a_\varepsilon \to \operatorname{div} a \quad \text{in } L^q_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^N). \tag{3.11}$$

Consider the dynamic flow X_ε associated with the vector field a_ε defined by

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial X_\varepsilon(t,x)}{\partial t} = a_\varepsilon(X_\varepsilon(t,x)), \quad t\in\mathbb{R} \\ X_\varepsilon(0,x) = x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \end{cases} \tag{3.12}$$

and let X be the limit flow associated with the limit vector field a.

Then, from any sequence of flows X_ε we may derive a general sequence of vector fields b_ε inducing the homogenization of the transport equation (1.1).

Proposition 3.2. *Let u_ε^0 be a bounded sequence in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $p \in (1,\infty)$. Assume that conditions (3.9), (3.10), (3.11) hold true. For a fixed $t > 0$, define the vector field $W_\varepsilon := X_\varepsilon(t,\cdot)$ from $\mathbb{R}^N$ into $\mathbb{R}^N$, and the vector field b_ε by (2.3) with $\sigma_\varepsilon = 1$. Then, the sequences W_ε and b_ε satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 2.2.*
Moreover, for any sequence u_ε^0 converging weakly to u^0 in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$, the solution u_ε to equation (1.1) converges weakly in $L^\infty(0,T;L^p(\mathbb{R}^N))$ to a solution u to the equation (2.13) where $\sigma_0 = 1$ and $\xi_0 = \mathrm{Cof}\,(D_x X(t,x))\,e_1$.

Remark 3.3. There is a strong correspondance between the conditions (3.9)-(3.10) and (3.11) satisfied by the vector field a_ε, and respectively the conditions (2.2) and (2.4) satisfied by the vector fields W_ε and b_ε.

Proof of Proposition 3.2. First of all, conditions (2.3) and (2.5) are straightforward, since $\sigma_\varepsilon = 1$ and b_ε is divergence free. Fix $T > 0$. By (3.10) we have

$$\forall\, t \in [0,T],\ \forall\, x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad |X_\varepsilon(t,x) - x| \le A\,T, \tag{3.13}$$

so that the uniform property (2.1) is satisfied by W_ε.

Let K be a compact set of $\mathbb{R}^N$. Again by (3.13) there exists a compact set K' of $\mathbb{R}^N$ such that

$$\big\{X_\varepsilon(t,x),\ (t,x) \in [0,T] \times K\big\} \subset K'. \tag{3.14}$$

Let $\delta > 0$. Since a_ε converges uniformly to a in K' and $a \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is k-Lipschitz in K' for some $k > 0$, we have for any small enough $\varepsilon > 0$ and for any $t \in [0,T]$, for any $x, y \in K$,

$$\begin{aligned}\big|X_\varepsilon(t,x) - X_\varepsilon(t,y)\big| &\le |x-y| + \int_0^t \big|a_\varepsilon(X_\varepsilon(s,x)) - a_\varepsilon(X_\varepsilon(s,y))\big|\,ds \\ &\le \delta + |x-y| + k\int_0^t \big|X_\varepsilon(s,x) - X_\varepsilon(s,y)\big|\,ds.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Gronwall's inequality (see, *e.g.*, [14, Sec. 17.3]) we get that for any small enough $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\forall\, t \in [0,T],\ \forall\, x, y \in K, \quad \big|X_\varepsilon(t,x) - X_\varepsilon(t,y)\big| \le (\delta + |x-y|)\,e^{kt},$$

which by (3.10) implies that for any small enough $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\forall\, s,t \in [0,T],\ \forall\, x, y \in K, \quad \big|X_\varepsilon(s,x) - X_\varepsilon(t,y)\big| \le A\,|s-t| + (\delta + |x-y|)\,e^{kt},$$

namely X_ε is uniformly equicontinuous in the compact set $[0,T] \times K$. Therefore, by virtue of Ascoli's theorem this combined with (3.14) and (3.9) implies that up to a subsequence X_ε converges uniformly in $[0,T] \times K$ to a solution X to

$$\forall\, t \in [0,T],\ \forall\, x \in K, \quad X(t,x) = x + \int_0^t a(X(s,x))\,ds,$$

i.e. X is the flow associated with the vector field a. Since a belongs to $C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, the flow X is uniquely determined (see, *e.g.*, [14, Sec. 17.4]). Therefore, the whole sequence X_ε converges uniformly to X in $[0,T] \times K$. Moreover, by the differentiability of the flow (see, *e.g.*, [14, Sec. 17.6]) we have

$$\forall\, t \in [0,T],\ \forall\, x \in K, \quad D_x X_\varepsilon(t,x) = I_N + \int_0^t D_x X_\varepsilon(s,x)\, D_x a_\varepsilon(X_\varepsilon(s,x))\,ds, \tag{3.15}$$

which using (3.9), (3.14) and Gronwall's inequality implies that there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\forall\, t \in [0,T],\ \forall\, x \in K, \quad |D_x X_\varepsilon(t,x)| \le |I_N|\, e^{ct}.$$

Therefore, convergences (2.2) hold true.

On the other hand, by the Liouville formula associated with equation (3.15) and estimate (3.10) we get that there exists a constant $c > 1$ such that

$$\forall\, t \in [0,T],\ \forall\, x \in K, \quad c^{-1} \le \det\left(D_x X_\varepsilon(t,x)\right) = \exp\left(\int_0^t (\operatorname{div} a_\varepsilon)(X_\varepsilon(s,x))\, ds\right) \le c, \tag{3.16}$$

which implies the existence of a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $t \in [0,T]$ and $x \in K$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\det\left(D_x X_\varepsilon(t,x)\right) - \det\left(D_x X(t,x)\right)\right| \\
&\le C \int_0^T |\operatorname{div} a_\varepsilon - \operatorname{div} a|(X_\varepsilon(s,x))\, ds + C \int_0^T \left|(\operatorname{div} a)(X_\varepsilon(s,x)) - (\operatorname{div} a)(X(s,x))\right| ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, using successively Jensen's inequality with respect to the integral in s, Fubini's theorem and the change of variables $y = X_\varepsilon(s,x)$ together with (3.14) and (3.16), it follows that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $t \in [0,T]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \det\left(D_x X_\varepsilon(t,\cdot)\right) - \det\left(D_x X(t,\cdot)\right) \right\|_{L^q(K)} \\
&\le C \left\|\operatorname{div} a_\varepsilon - \operatorname{div} a\right\|_{L^q(K')} + C \sup_{[0,T]\times K} \left|(\operatorname{div} a)(X_\varepsilon) - (\operatorname{div} a)(X)\right|.
\end{aligned}$$

This combined with convergence (3.11) and the uniform convergence of X_ε to X in the compact set $[0,T] \times K$ implies the convergence (2.4) of $\theta_\varepsilon = \det(D_x X_\varepsilon(t,\cdot))$.

Finally, let u_ε^0 be a sequence in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ converging weakly to u^0 in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By virtue of Theorem 2.2 combined with Remark 2.4 and recalling that $\sigma_\varepsilon = 1$, the sequence u_ε converges weakly in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to a solution u to the equation (2.13) where $\sigma_0 = 1$ and by (2.11)

$$\xi_0 = \operatorname{Cof}\left(D_x X(t,\cdot)\right) e_1 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Proposition 3.2 is thus proved. □

Acknowledgments. The author is very grateful to the unknown referees for several comments which have improved the presentation of the paper and enlarged the bibliography.

References

[1] Y. Amirat, K. Hamdache & A. Ziani: "Homogénéisation d'équations hyperboliques du premier ordre et application aux écoulements miscibles en milieu poreux" (French) [Homogenization of a system of first-order hyperbolic equations and application to miscible flows in a porous medium], *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, **6** (5) (1989), 397-417.

[2] Y. Amirat, K. Hamdache & A. Ziani: "Homogénéisation par décomposition en fréquences d'une équation de transport dans $\mathbb{R}^n$" (French) [Homogenization by decomposition into frequencies of a transport equation in $\mathbb{R}^n$], *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, **312** (1) (1991), 37-40.

[3] Y. Amirat, K. Hamdache & A. Ziani: "Homogenisation of parametrised families of hyperbolic problems", *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, **120** 3-4 (1992), 199-221.

[4] Y. Brenier: "Remarks on some linear hyperbolic equations with oscillatory coefficients", *Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Hyperbolic Problems* (Uppsala 1990) Vol. I, II, Studentlitteratur, Lund (1991), 119-130.

[5] M. Briane: "Isotropic realizability of fields and reconstruction of invariant measures under positivity properties. Asymptotics of the flow by a nonergodic approach", *SIAM J. App. Dyn. Sys.*, **18** (4) (2019), 1846-1866.

[6] R. Caccioppoli: "Sugli elementi uniti delle trasformazioni funzionali: un teorema di esistenza e unicit ed alcune sue applicazioni", *Rend. Sem. Mat. Padova*, **3** (1932), 1-15.

[7] B. Dacorogna: *Direct Methods in the Calculus of Variations*, Applied Mathematical Sciences **78**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989, 308 pp.

[8] R.J. DiPerna & P.-L. Lions: "Ordinary differential equations, transport theory and Sobolev spaces", *Invent. Math.*, **98** (3) (1989), 511-547.

[9] H.S. Dumas, J.A. Ellison & F. Golse: "A mathematical theory of planar particle channeling in crystals", *Phys. D*, **146** (1-4) (2000), 341-366.

[10] H.S. Dumas & F. Golse: "The averaging method for perturbations of mixing flows", *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, **17** (6)(1997), 1339-1358.

[11] H.S. Dumas, F. Golse & P. Lochak: "Multiphase averaging for generalized flows on manifolds", *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, **14** (1) (1994), 53-67.

[12] F. Golse: "Moyennisation des champs de vecteurs et EDP" (French), [The averaging of vector fields and PDEs], *Journées Équations aux Dérivées Partielles*, Saint Jean de Monts 1990, Exp. no. **XVI**, École Polytech. Palaiseau, 1990, 17 pp.

[13] F. Golse: "Perturbations de systèmes dynamiques et moyennisation en vitesse des EDP" (French), [On perturbations of dynamical systems and the velocity averaging method for PDEs], *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, **314** (2) (1992), 115-120.

[14] M.W. Hirsch, S. Smale & R.L. Devaney: *Differential equations, Dynamical Systems, and an Introduction to Chaos*, second edition, Pure and Applied Mathematics **60**, Elsevier Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2004, 417 pp.

[15] T.Y. Hou & X. Xin: "Homogenization of linear transport equations with oscillatory vector fields", *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, **52** (1) (1992), 34-45.

[16] S. Müller: "A surprising higher integrability property of mappings with positive determinant", *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, **21**, (2) (1989), 245-248.

[17] F. Murat: "Compacité par compensation", *Ann. Scuola. Norm. Sup. Pisa*, Serie IV, **5** (3) (1978), 489-507.

[18] Y. Nishimura: "Applications holomorphes injectives à jacobien constant de deux variables", (French) [Injective holomorphic mappings of two variables with constant Jacobian], *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, **26** (4) (1986), 697-709.

[19] M. Reed & B. Simon: *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics. I. Functional analysis*, revised and enlarged edition, Academic Press Inc., New York, 1980, 400 pp.

[20] Ya.G. Sinai: *Introduction to Ergodic Theory*, Translated by V. Scheffer, Mathematical Notes **18**, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1976, 144 pp.

[21] L. Tartar: "Nonlocal effects induced by homogenization", *Partial Differential Equations and the Calculus of Variations Vol. II*, F. Colombini et al. (eds.), 925-938, Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. **2**, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1989.

[22] T. Tassa: "Homogenization of two-dimensional linear flows with integral invariance", *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, **57** (5) (1997), 1390-1405.