



HAL
open science

VANISHING VISCOSITY ON A STAR-SHAPED GRAPH UNDER GENERAL TRANSMISSION CONDITIONS AT THE NODE

Giuseppe M Coclite, Carlotta Donadello

► **To cite this version:**

Giuseppe M Coclite, Carlotta Donadello. VANISHING VISCOSITY ON A STAR-SHAPED GRAPH UNDER GENERAL TRANSMISSION CONDITIONS AT THE NODE. 2019. hal-02130570

HAL Id: hal-02130570

<https://hal.science/hal-02130570>

Preprint submitted on 15 May 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

VANISHING VISCOSITY ON A STAR-SHAPED GRAPH UNDER GENERAL TRANSMISSION CONDITIONS AT THE NODE

G. M. COCLITE AND C. DONADELLO

ABSTRACT. In this paper we consider a family of scalar conservation laws defined on an oriented star shaped graph and we study their vanishing viscosity approximations subject to general matching conditions at the node. In particular, we prove the existence of converging subsequence and we show that the limit is a weak solution of the original problem.

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider a family of scalar conservation laws defined on an oriented graph Γ consisting of m incoming and n outgoing edges Ω_ℓ , $\ell = 1, \dots, m+n$ joining at a single vertex. Incoming edges are parametrized by $x \in (-\infty, 0]$ while outgoing edges by $x \in [0, \infty)$ in such a way that the junction is always located at $x = 0$. We use the index i , $i = 1, \dots, m$, to refer to incoming edges and j , $j = m+1, \dots, m+n$ for the outgoing ones.

On the edge Ω_ℓ we introduce a scalar conservation law, describing the evolution of a density ρ_ℓ . Then on the incoming edges we have

$$\text{eq:basicL} \quad (1.1) \quad \partial_t \rho_i + \partial_x f_i(\rho_i) = 0, \quad t > 0, x < 0, i = 1, \dots, m,$$

and on the outgoing ones

$$\text{eq:basicR} \quad (1.2) \quad \partial_t \rho_j + \partial_x f_j(\rho_j) = 0, \quad t > 0, x > 0, j = m+1, \dots, m+n.$$

The fluxes f_1, \dots, f_{m+n} , differ in general, however we assume that they are bell-shaped (unimodal), Lipschitz and non-degenerate nonlinear, i.e.

ass:f **(H.1)** for each $\ell \in \{1, \dots, m+n\}$, $f_\ell \in C^2([0, 1])$, $f_\ell(0) = f_\ell(1) = 0$, $f_\ell \geq 0$, and there exist $\bar{\rho}_\ell \in (0, 1)$ such that $f'_\ell(\rho) (\bar{\rho}_\ell - \rho) > 0$ for every $\rho \in [0, 1] \setminus \{\bar{\rho}_\ell\}$;

ass:f-gn **(H.2)** for any $\ell \in \{1, \dots, m+n\}$, $|\{\rho : f''_\ell(\rho) = 0\}| = 0$.

We augment (1.1) and (1.2) with the initial conditions

$$\text{eq:init} \quad (1.3) \quad \begin{cases} \rho_i(0, x) = \rho_{i,0}(x), & x < 0, i = 1, \dots, m, \\ \rho_j(0, x) = \rho_{j,0}(x), & x > 0, j = m+1, \dots, m+n, \end{cases}$$

assuming that

ass:init **(H.3)** $\rho_{m,0} \in L^1(-\infty, 0) \cap BV(-\infty, 0)$,
 $\rho_{m+1,0}, \dots, \rho_{m+n,0} \in L^1(0, \infty) \cap BV(0, \infty)$
 and $0 \leq \rho_{1,0}, \dots, \rho_{m+n,0} \leq 1$.

Finally, we introduce the necessary conservation assumption at the node, which transforms our family of independent equations into a single problem


$$\sum_{i=1}^m f_i(\rho_i(t, 0-)) = \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} f_j(\rho_j(t, 0+)) \quad \text{for a.e. } t \geq 0.$$

Date: May 15, 2019.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35L65, 35R02.

Key words and phrases. conservation laws, vanishing viscosity, networks, transmission conditions at nodes.

junction

FIGURE 1. A junction consisting of m incoming and n outgoing edges.

Questions related to existence, uniqueness and stability of solutions for problems of this kind have been extensively investigated in recent years, mainly in relation with traffic modeling. The interested reader can refer to [7, 13] for an overview of the subject. Here our point of view is different, as we do not focus on a specific model. We consider a parabolic regularization of the problem, similarly to what has been done in [10, 11], but instead of enforcing a continuity condition at the node for the regularized solutions, we introduce a more general set of transmission conditions on the parabolic fluxes.

In this work we adopt the following definition of weak solution for the problem (1.1), (1.2), and (1.3). We stress that this definition is for sure not sufficient to ensure uniqueness. On the contrary it fix somehow a minimal set of properties that any reasonable solution is expected to satisfy, see [5] and references therein for a more detailed discussion on this point.

:weaksol

Definition 1.1. Let $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_m : [0, \infty) \times (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\rho_{m+1}, \dots, \rho_{m+n} : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be functions. We say that $(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{m+n})$ is a weak solution of (1.1), (1.2), and (1.3) if

def:reg

(D.1) $f_1(\rho_1), \dots, f_m(\rho_m) \in BV_{loc}((0, \infty) \times (-\infty, 0))$ and $f_{m+1}(\rho_{m+1}), \dots, f_{m+n}(\rho_{m+n}) \in BV_{loc}((0, \infty) \times (0, \infty))$;

ef:entrL

(D.2) for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, every $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and every nonnegative test function $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times (-\infty, 0))$ with compact support

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^0 (|\rho_i - c| \partial_t \varphi + \text{sign}(\rho_i - c) (f_i(\rho_i) - f_i(c)) \partial_x \varphi) dt dx + \int_{-\infty}^0 |\rho_{i,0}(x) - c| \varphi(0, x) dx \geq 0;$$

ef:entrR

(D.3) for every $j \in \{m+1, \dots, m+n\}$, every $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and every nonnegative test function $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty))$ with compact support

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty (|\rho_j - c| \partial_t \varphi + \text{sign}(\rho_j - c) (f_j(\rho_j) - f_j(c)) \partial_x \varphi) dt dx + \int_0^\infty |\rho_{j,0}(x) - c| \varphi(0, x) dx \geq 0;$$

def:flux

(D.4) $\sum_{i=1}^m f_i(\rho_i(t, 0-)) = \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} f_j(\rho_j(t, 0+))$ for a.e. $t \geq 0$.

In [11] the authors approximated (1.1), (1.2), and (1.3) in the following way

$$(1.4) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \partial_t \rho_{i,\varepsilon} + \partial_x f_i(\rho_{i,\varepsilon}) = \varepsilon \partial_{xx}^2 \rho_{i,\varepsilon}, & t > 0, x < 0, i, \\ \partial_t \rho_{j,\varepsilon} + \partial_x f_j(\rho_{j,\varepsilon}) = \varepsilon \partial_{xx}^2 \rho_{j,\varepsilon}, & t > 0, x > 0, j, \\ \rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t, 0) = \rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t, 0), & t > 0, i, j, \\ \sum_{i=1}^m (f_i(\rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t, 0)) - \varepsilon \partial_x \rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t, 0)) \\ \quad = \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} (f_j(\rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t, 0)) - \varepsilon \partial_x \rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t, 0)), & t > 0, \\ \rho_{i,\varepsilon}(0, x) = \rho_{i,0,\varepsilon}(x), & x < 0, i, \\ \rho_{j,\varepsilon}(0, x) = \rho_{j,0,\varepsilon}(x), & x > 0, j, \end{array} \right.$$

where $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $j \in \{m+1, \dots, m+n\}$ and $\rho_{i,0,\varepsilon}, \rho_{j,0,\varepsilon}$ are smooth approximations of $\rho_{i,0}, \rho_{j,0}$. In this setting they showed that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{i,\varepsilon} &\rightarrow \rho_i \quad \text{a.e. in } (0, \infty) \times (-\infty, 0) \text{ and in } L_{loc}^p((0, \infty) \times (-\infty, 0)), 1 \leq p < \infty, \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \text{ for every } i, \\ \rho_{j,\varepsilon} &\rightarrow \rho_j \quad \text{a.e. in } (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \text{ and in } L_{loc}^p((0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)), 1 \leq p < \infty, \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \text{ for every } j, \end{aligned}$$

where $(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{m+n})$ is a weak solution of (1.1), (1.2), (1.3), in the sense of Definition 1.1.

In this paper we modify the transmission condition of (1.4) and inspired by [14] we consider the following viscous approximation of (1.1), (1.2), and (1.3)

$$(1.5) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \partial_t \rho_{i,\varepsilon} + \partial_x f_i(\rho_{i,\varepsilon}) = \varepsilon \partial_{xx}^2 \rho_{i,\varepsilon}, & t > 0, x < 0, i, \\ \partial_t \rho_{j,\varepsilon} + \partial_x f_j(\rho_{j,\varepsilon}) = \varepsilon \partial_{xx}^2 \rho_{j,\varepsilon}, & t > 0, x > 0, j, \\ f_i(\rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t, 0)) - \varepsilon \partial_x \rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t, 0) = \beta_i(\rho_{1,\varepsilon}(t, 0), \dots, \rho_{m+n,\varepsilon}(t, 0)), & t > 0, i, \\ f_j(\rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t, 0)) - \varepsilon \partial_x \rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t, 0) = \beta_j(\rho_{1,\varepsilon}(t, 0), \dots, \rho_{m+n,\varepsilon}(t, 0)), & t > 0, j, \\ \rho_{i,\varepsilon}(0, x) = \rho_{i,0,\varepsilon}(x), & x < 0, i, \\ \rho_{j,\varepsilon}(0, x) = \rho_{j,0,\varepsilon}(x), & x > 0, j, \end{array} \right.$$

where, of course,

$$(1.6) \quad \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i(\rho_{1,\varepsilon}(t, 0), \dots, \rho_{m+n,\varepsilon}(t, 0)) = \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \beta_j(\rho_{1,\varepsilon}(t, 0), \dots, \rho_{m+n,\varepsilon}(t, 0)).$$

The additional assumptions we make on the functions β_ℓ and on the initial conditions $\rho_{\ell,0,\varepsilon}$ are postponed to the next section.

The main result of the paper is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume (H.1), (H.2), and (H.3). There exist a sequence $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (0, \infty)$, $\varepsilon_k \rightarrow 0$, and a solution $(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{m+n})$ of (1.1), (1.2), and (1.3), in the sense of Definition 1.1, such that*

$$(1.7) \quad \rho_{i,\varepsilon_k} \rightarrow \rho_i, \quad \text{a.e. and in } L_{loc}^p((0, \infty) \times (-\infty, 0)), 1 \leq p < \infty, i \in \{1, \dots, m\},$$

$$(1.8) \quad \rho_{j,\varepsilon_k} \rightarrow \rho_j, \quad \text{a.e. and in } L_{loc}^p((0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)), 1 \leq p < \infty, j \in \{m+1, \dots, m+n\},$$

$$(1.9) \quad f_1(\rho_1), \dots, f_m(\rho_m) \in BV((0, \infty) \times (-\infty, 0)), \quad f_{m+1}(\rho_{m+1}), \dots, f_{m+n}(\rho_{m+n}) \in BV((0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)),$$

where $(\rho_{1,\varepsilon_k}, \dots, \rho_{m+n,\varepsilon_k})$ is the corresponding solution of (1.5).

It worth mentioning that a complete characterization of the limit solution obtained from (1.4) as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ is given in [5], where the authors adapt to a star shaped graph setting some ideas and techniques originally developed for conservation laws with discontinuous flux, see in particular [2, 3, 4].

At the moment we are not able to formulate a similar characterization of the limit of (1.5). In general, however, the limits coming from parabolic regularization subject to the two different kinds of transmission conditions are different.

To show this consider the simple case of a junction with one incoming and one outgoing edges. So we have the conservation law

$$(1.10) \quad \partial_t \rho_1 + \partial_x f_1(\rho_1) = 0, \quad t > 0, x < 0,$$

on the incoming edge and

$$(1.11) \quad \partial_t \rho_2 + \partial_x f_2(\rho_2) = 0, \quad t > 0, x > 0,$$

on the outgoing one. Assume that

$$(1.12) \quad \begin{aligned} f_1(0) = f_1(1) = f_2(0) = f_2(1) = 0, \quad f_1'', f_2'' < 0, \\ \text{there exists } 0 < \check{\rho} < \hat{\rho} < 1 \text{ and } G > 0 \text{ such that } f_1(\hat{\rho}) = f_2(\check{\rho}) = G(\hat{\rho} - \check{\rho}). \end{aligned}$$

Consider the simplified version of (1.5)

$$(1.13) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \rho_{1,\varepsilon} + \partial_x f_1(\rho_{1,\varepsilon}) = \varepsilon \partial_{xx}^2 \rho_{1,\varepsilon}, & t > 0, x < 0, \\ \partial_t \rho_{2,\varepsilon} + \partial_x f_2(\rho_{2,\varepsilon}) = \varepsilon \partial_{xx}^2 \rho_{2,\varepsilon}, & t > 0, x > 0, \\ f_1(\rho_{1,\varepsilon}(t, 0)) - \varepsilon \partial_x \rho_{1,\varepsilon}(t, 0) = f_2(\rho_{2,\varepsilon}(t, 0)) - \varepsilon \partial_x \rho_{2,\varepsilon}(t, 0) = G(\rho_{1,\varepsilon} - \rho_{2,\varepsilon}), & t > 0, \\ \rho_{1,\varepsilon}(0, x) = \hat{\rho}, & x < 0, \\ \rho_{2,\varepsilon}(0, x) = \check{\rho}, & x > 0. \end{cases}$$

The unique solution of (1.13) is

$$(1.14) \quad \rho_{1,\varepsilon}(\cdot, \cdot) = \hat{\rho}, \quad \rho_{2,\varepsilon}(\cdot, \cdot) = \check{\rho}, \quad \varepsilon > 0.$$

Therefore, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we get the solution of (1.10)-(1.11)

$$(1.15) \quad \rho_1(\cdot, \cdot) = \hat{\rho}, \quad \rho_2(\cdot, \cdot) = \check{\rho}.$$

This stationary solution is not admissible in the sense of the classical vanishing viscosity germ, see [4, Sec. 5], as it consists of a nonclassical shock. However, when dealing with conservation laws with discontinuous flux, it is well known that infinitely many L^1 contractive semigroups of solutions exist, also in relation with different physical applications. In particular, when the right and left fluxes are bell-shaped, as we assume in condition **(H.1)**, each of those notions of admissible solution is uniquely determined by the choice of a (A, B) -connection, see [1, 4, 9, 12] for precise definitions and examples. In the example above the couple $(\hat{\rho}, \check{\rho})$ is a connection.

It is worth noticing that entropy solutions admissible in the sense of a (A, B) -connection can be obtained as limits of a sequence of parabolic approximations made with adapted viscosities but a classical condition of continuity at the interface, see [4, Sec. 6.2] for a general result, but also [2, 15] for an application to the Buckley-Leverett equation.

It is difficult, however, to establish a direct equivalence between the aforementioned results and the one we put forward in this paper. In particular, in the present case we miss information on the boundary layers at the parabolic level and we do not know how the transmission conditions we impose on the parabolic fluxes translates into a condition for the hyperbolic problem.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 contains the precise list of assumptions on the initial and transmission conditions in the parabolic problem (1.5). In Section 3 we present the proofs of all necessary a priori estimates on (1.5). Finally, in Section 4 we detail the proof of Theorem 1.1.

2. INITIAL AND TRANSMISSION CONDITIONS FOR THE PARABOLIC PROBLEM

The initial conditions $\rho_{\ell,0}$, $\ell = 1, \dots, m+n$, on the hyperbolic problem (1.1), (1.2), and (1.3) satisfy **(H.3)**.

:basicL1

:basicR1

q:ass-ex

q:eps-ex

sec:1

Once the functions $\rho_{\ell,0}$ are fixed, we impose on (1.5) initial conditions $\rho_{\ell,0,\varepsilon}$ such that

eq:asseps

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} &\rho_{i,0,\varepsilon} \in C^\infty((-\infty, 0]) \cap L^1(-\infty, 0), \quad \rho_{j,0,\varepsilon} \in C^\infty([0, \infty)) \cap L^1(0, \infty), \quad \varepsilon > 0, \\ &\rho_{i,0,\varepsilon} \rightarrow \rho_{i,0} \quad \text{a.e. in } (-\infty, 0) \text{ and in } L^p_{loc}(-\infty, 0), \quad 1 \leq p < \infty, \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \\ &\rho_{j,0,\varepsilon} \rightarrow \rho_{j,0} \quad \text{a.e. in } (0, \infty) \text{ and in } L^p_{loc}(0, \infty), \quad 1 \leq p < \infty, \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \\ &0 \leq \rho_{i,0,\varepsilon}, \rho_{j,0,\varepsilon} \leq 1, \quad \varepsilon > 0, \\ &\|\rho_{i,0,\varepsilon}\|_{L^1(-\infty,0)} \leq \|\rho_{i,0}\|_{L^1(-\infty,0)}, \quad \|\rho_{j,0,\varepsilon}\|_{L^1(0,\infty)} \leq \|\rho_{j,0}\|_{L^1(0,\infty)}, \quad \varepsilon > 0, \\ &\|\rho_{i,0,\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(-\infty,0)} \leq \|\rho_{i,0}\|_{L^2(-\infty,0)}, \quad \|\rho_{j,0,\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(0,\infty)} \leq \|\rho_{j,0}\|_{L^2(0,\infty)}, \quad \varepsilon > 0, \\ &\|\partial_x \rho_{i,0,\varepsilon}\|_{L^1(-\infty,0)} \leq TV(\rho_{i,0}), \quad \|\partial_x \rho_{j,0,\varepsilon}\|_{L^1(0,\infty)} \leq TV(\rho_{j,0}), \quad \varepsilon > 0, \\ &\varepsilon \|\partial_x \rho_{i,0,\varepsilon}\|_{L^1(-\infty,0)}, \varepsilon \|\partial_{xx}^2 \rho_{j,0,\varepsilon}\|_{L^1(0,\infty)} \leq C, \quad \varepsilon > 0, \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C > 0$ independent on ε .

The functions β_ℓ appearing in the trasmission conditions in (1.5) take the form

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} &\beta_i(\rho_{1,\varepsilon}(t, 0), \dots, \rho_{m+n,\varepsilon}(t, 0)) = \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} G_{i,j}(\rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t, 0), \rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t, 0)) \\ &+ \varepsilon \left(\sum_{h=1}^m K_{i,h}(\rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t, 0), \rho_{h,\varepsilon}(t, 0)) - \sum_{h=1}^{m+n} K_{h,i}(\rho_{h,\varepsilon}(t, 0), \rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t, 0)) \right); \end{aligned}$$

for $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, and for $j \in \{m+1, \dots, m+n\}$

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} &\beta_j(\rho_{1,\varepsilon}(t, 0), \dots, \rho_{m+n,\varepsilon}(t, 0)) = \sum_{i=1}^m G_{i,j}(\rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t, 0), \rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t, 0)) \\ &+ \varepsilon \left(\sum_{h=m+1}^{m+n} K_{h,j}(\rho_{h,\varepsilon}(t, 0), \rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t, 0)) - \sum_{h=1}^{m+n} K_{j,h}(\rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t, 0), \rho_{h,\varepsilon}(t, 0)) \right). \end{aligned}$$

The functions $G_{i,j}(u, v) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, $j \in \{m+1, \dots, m+n\}$, and $K_{h,\ell}(u, v) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $h, \ell \in \{1, \dots, m+n\}$, satisfy

eq:assG

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} &\partial_v G_{i,j}(\cdot, \cdot) \leq 0 \leq \partial_u G_{i,j}(\cdot, \cdot), \quad G_{i,j}(0, 0) = G_{i,j}(1, 1) = 0, \\ &\partial_u K_{h,\ell}(\cdot, \cdot) \leq 0 \leq \partial_v K_{h,\ell}(\cdot, \cdot), \quad K_{h,\ell}(0, 0) = K_{h,\ell}(1, 1) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, (2.4) implies

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} &(\text{sign}(u) - \text{sign}(v)) \nabla G_{i,j}(\cdot, \cdot) \cdot (u, v) \geq 0, \quad u, v \in \mathbb{R}, \\ &(\text{sign}(u) - \text{sign}(v)) \nabla K_{h,\ell}(\cdot, \cdot) \cdot (u, v) \leq 0, \quad u, v \in \mathbb{R}, \\ &(\text{sign}(u - u') - \text{sign}(v - v')) (G_{i,j}(u, v) - G_{i,j}(u', v')) \geq 0, \quad u, u', v, v' \in \mathbb{R}, \\ &(\text{sign}(u - u') - \text{sign}(v - v')) (K_{h,\ell}(u, v) - K_{h,\ell}(u', v')) \leq 0, \quad u, u', v, v' \in \mathbb{R}, \\ &(\chi_{(-\infty, 0)}(u) - \chi_{(-\infty, 0)}(v)) G_{i,j}(u, v) \leq 0, \quad u, v \in \mathbb{R}, \\ &(\chi_{(-\infty, 0)}(u) - \chi_{(-\infty, 0)}(v)) K_{h,\ell}(u, v) \geq 0, \quad u, v \in \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\chi_{(-\infty, 0)}$ is the characteristic function of the set $(-\infty, 0)$.

This specific form of transmission conditions is reminiscent of the parabolic transmission conditions considered in [14, 8], which were originally inspired from the Kedem-Katchalsky conditions for membrane permeability introduced in [16]

eq:assG''

$$(2.6) \quad \mathcal{K}_{h,\ell}(u, v) = \mathbf{c}_{h,\ell}(u - v),$$

for some constants $\mathbf{c}_{h,\ell} > 0$. Our conditions are more general and in particular we can notice that the function $\mathcal{K}_{h,\ell}$ above satisfies

eq:assL2

$$(2.7) \quad \mathcal{K}_{h,\ell}(u, v)(u - v) \geq 0,$$

that allows the authors in [14] to get the L^2 conservation (see Lemma 3.3 below).

We can observe that the equality (1.6) holds as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i(\rho_{1,\varepsilon}(t,0), \dots, \rho_{m+n,\varepsilon}(t,0)) = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} G_{i,j}(\rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t,0), \rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t,0)) \\
& + \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\sum_{h=1}^m K_{i,h}(\rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t,0), \rho_{h,\varepsilon}(t,0)) - \sum_{h=1}^{m+n} K_{h,i}(\rho_{h,\varepsilon}(t,0), \rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t,0)) \right) \\
& = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} (G_{i,j}(\rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t,0), \rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t,0)) - \varepsilon K_{j,i}(\rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t,0), \rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t,0)))
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.8}$$

and analogously

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \beta_j(\rho_{1,\varepsilon}(t,0), \dots, \rho_{m+n,\varepsilon}(t,0)) \\
& = \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \sum_{i=1}^m (G_{i,j}(\rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t,0), \rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t,0)) - \varepsilon K_{j,i}(\rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t,0), \rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t,0))).
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.9}$$

3. A PRIORI ESTIMATES

This section is devoted to establish a priori estimates, uniform with respect to ε , which are necessary toward the proof of our main convergence result in the next section.

For every $\varepsilon > 0$, let $(\rho_{1,\varepsilon}, \dots, \rho_{m+n,\varepsilon})$ be a solution of (1.5) satisfying (2.1).

Lemma 3.1 (L^∞ estimate). *We have that*

$$0 \leq \rho_{i,\varepsilon}, \rho_{j,\varepsilon} \leq 1, \quad i, j.$$

Proof. Consider the function

$$\eta(\xi) = -\xi \chi_{(-\infty,0)}(\xi).$$

Since

$$\eta'(\xi) = -\chi_{(-\infty,0)}(\xi),$$

using (2.4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \int_{-\infty}^0 \eta(\rho_{i,\varepsilon}) dx + \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \int_0^\infty \eta(\rho_{j,\varepsilon}) dx \right) \\
& = \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{-\infty}^0 \eta'(\rho_{i,\varepsilon}) \partial_t \rho_{i,\varepsilon} dx + \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \int_0^\infty \eta'(\rho_{j,\varepsilon}) \partial_t \rho_{j,\varepsilon} dx \\
& = - \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{-\infty}^0 \chi_{(-\infty,0)}(\rho_{i,\varepsilon}) \partial_t \rho_{i,\varepsilon} dx - \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \int_0^\infty \chi_{(-\infty,0)}(\rho_{j,\varepsilon}) \partial_t \rho_{j,\varepsilon} dx \\
& = \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{-\infty}^0 \chi_{(-\infty,0)}(\rho_{i,\varepsilon}) \partial_x (f_i(\rho_{i,\varepsilon}) - \varepsilon \partial_x \rho_{i,\varepsilon}) dx \\
& \quad + \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \int_0^\infty \chi_{(-\infty,0)}(\rho_{j,\varepsilon}) \partial_x (f_j(\rho_{j,\varepsilon}) - \varepsilon \partial_x \rho_{j,\varepsilon}) dx \\
& = \sum_{i=1}^m \chi_{(-\infty,0)}(\rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t,0)) (f_i(\rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t,0)) - \varepsilon \partial_x \rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t,0))
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \chi_{(-\infty,0)}(\rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t,0))(f_j(\rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t,0)) - \varepsilon \partial_x \rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t,0)) \\
& + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^m \int_{-\infty}^0 \partial_x \rho_{i,\varepsilon}(f_i(\rho_{i,\varepsilon}) - \varepsilon \partial_x \rho_{i,\varepsilon}) d\delta_{\{\rho_{i,\varepsilon}=0\}}}_{\leq 0} \\
& + \underbrace{\sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \int_0^\infty \partial_x \rho_{j,\varepsilon}(f_j(\rho_{j,\varepsilon}) - \varepsilon \partial_x \rho_{j,\varepsilon}) d\delta_{\{\rho_{j,\varepsilon}=0\}}}_{\leq 0} \\
& \leq \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \sum_{i=1}^m (\chi_{(-\infty,0)}(\rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t,0)) - \chi_{(-\infty,0)}(\rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t,0))) \cdot \\
& \quad \cdot (G_{i,j}(\rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t,0), \rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t,0)) - \varepsilon K_{j,i}(\rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t,0), \rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t,0))) \leq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\delta_{\{\rho_{i,\varepsilon}=0\}}$ and $\delta_{\{\rho_{j,\varepsilon}=0\}}$ are the Dirac deltas concentrated on the sets $\{\rho_{i,\varepsilon} = 0\}$ and $\{\rho_{j,\varepsilon} = 0\}$, respectively and we apply [6, Lemma 2]. Integrating over $(0, t)$ and using (2.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
0 & \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{-\infty}^0 \eta(\rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t,x)) dx + \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \int_0^\infty \eta(\rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t,x)) dx \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{-\infty}^0 \eta(\rho_{i,0,\varepsilon}) dx + \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \int_0^\infty \eta(\rho_{j,0,\varepsilon}) dx = 0
\end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\rho_{i,\varepsilon}, \rho_{j,\varepsilon} \geq 0, \quad i, j,$$

that proves the lower bounds in (3.1). The upper bounds in (3.1) can be proved in the same way using the function $\xi \mapsto (\xi - 1)\chi_{(1,\infty)}(\xi)$. \square

lm:11 **Lemma 3.2** (L^1 estimate). *We have that*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^m \|\rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1(-\infty,0)} + \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \|\rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1(0,\infty)} \\
\text{eq:11} \quad (3.2) \quad & \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \|\rho_{i,0}\|_{L^1(-\infty,0)} + \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \|\rho_{j,0}\|_{L^1(0,\infty)}, \quad t \geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Thanks to (1.5), (2.8), (2.9), and (3.1), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \int_{-\infty}^0 |\rho_{i,\varepsilon}| dx + \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \int_0^\infty |\rho_{j,\varepsilon}| dx \right) \\
& = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \int_{-\infty}^0 \rho_{i,\varepsilon} dx + \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \int_0^\infty \rho_{j,\varepsilon} dx \right) \\
& = \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{-\infty}^0 \partial_t \rho_{i,\varepsilon} dx + \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \int_0^\infty \partial_t \rho_{j,\varepsilon} dx \\
& = - \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{-\infty}^0 \partial_x (f_i(\rho_{i,\varepsilon}) - \varepsilon \partial_x \rho_{i,\varepsilon}) dx - \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \int_0^\infty \partial_x (f_j(\rho_{j,\varepsilon}) - \varepsilon \partial_x \rho_{j,\varepsilon}) dx
\end{aligned}$$

$$= - \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i(\rho_{1,\varepsilon}(t,0), \dots, \rho_{m+n,\varepsilon}(t,0)) + \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \beta_j(\rho_{1,\varepsilon}(t,0), \dots, \rho_{m+n,\varepsilon}(t,0)) = 0.$$

Integrating over $(0, t)$ and using (2.1) we get (3.2). \square

lm:12 **Lemma 3.3** (L^2 estimate). *We have that*

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^m \|\rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(-\infty,0)}^2 + \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \|\rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(0,\infty)}^2 \\ & + 2\varepsilon \int_0^t \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \|\partial_x \rho_{i,\varepsilon}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2(-\infty,0)}^2 + \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \|\partial_x \rho_{j,\varepsilon}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2(0,\infty)}^2 \right) ds \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \|\rho_{i,0}\|_{L^2(-\infty,0)}^2 + \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \|\rho_{j,0}\|_{L^2(0,\infty)}^2 + 2 \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{m+n} \|\beta_\ell\|_{L^\infty((0,1)^{m+n})} + \sum_{i=1}^m \|f_i\|_{L^1(0,1)} \right) t, \end{aligned}$$

for every $t \geq 0$.

Proof. Thanks to (1.5), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{\rho_{i,\varepsilon}^2}{2} dx + \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \int_0^\infty \frac{\rho_{j,\varepsilon}^2}{2} dx \right) \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{-\infty}^0 \rho_{i,\varepsilon} \partial_t \rho_{i,\varepsilon} dx + \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \int_0^\infty \rho_{j,\varepsilon} \partial_t \rho_{j,\varepsilon} dx \\ & = - \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{-\infty}^0 \rho_{i,\varepsilon} \partial_x (f_i(\rho_{i,\varepsilon}) - \varepsilon \partial_x \rho_{i,\varepsilon}) dx - \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \int_0^\infty \rho_{j,\varepsilon} \partial_x (f_j(\rho_{j,\varepsilon}) - \varepsilon \partial_x \rho_{j,\varepsilon}) dx \\ & = - \sum_{i=1}^m \rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t,0) (f_i(\rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t,0)) - \varepsilon \partial_x \rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t,0)) + \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t,0) (f_j(\rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t,0)) - \varepsilon \partial_x \rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t,0)) \\ & \quad + \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{-\infty}^0 \partial_x \left(\int_0^{\rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t,x)} f_i(\xi) d\xi \right) dx + \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \int_0^\infty \partial_x \left(\int_0^{\rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t,x)} f_j(\xi) d\xi \right) dx \\ & \quad - \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{-\infty}^0 (\partial_x \rho_{i,\varepsilon})^2 dx - \varepsilon \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \int_0^\infty (\partial_x \rho_{j,\varepsilon})^2 dx \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^m \rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t,0) \beta_i(\rho_{1,\varepsilon}(t,0), \dots, \rho_{m+n,\varepsilon}(t,0)) - \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t,0) \beta_j(\rho_{1,\varepsilon}(t,0), \dots, \rho_{m+n,\varepsilon}(t,0)) \\ & \quad + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^{\rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t,0)} f_i(\xi) d\xi - \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \int_0^{\rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t,0)} f_j(\xi) d\xi}_{\leq 0} - \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{-\infty}^0 (\partial_x \rho_{i,\varepsilon})^2 dx - \varepsilon \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \int_0^\infty (\partial_x \rho_{j,\varepsilon})^2 dx \\ & \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^{m+n} \|\beta_\ell\|_{L^\infty((0,1)^{m+n})} + \sum_{i=1}^m \|f_i\|_{L^1(0,1)} - \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{-\infty}^0 (\partial_x \rho_{i,\varepsilon})^2 dx - \varepsilon \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \int_0^\infty (\partial_x \rho_{j,\varepsilon})^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating over $(0, t)$ and using (2.1) we get (3.3). \square

lm:BVt **Lemma 3.4 (BV estimate).** *We have that*

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^m \|\partial_t \rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1(-\infty, 0)} + \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \|\partial_t \rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1(0, \infty)} \\ & \leq (m+n)C + \sum_{i=1}^m \|f'_i\|_{L^\infty(0,1)} TV(\rho_{i,0}) + \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \|f'_j\|_{L^\infty(0,1)} TV(\rho_{j,0}), \end{aligned}$$

for every $t \geq 0$.

Proof. From (1.5) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{tt}^2 \rho_{i,\varepsilon} + \partial_x (f'_i(\rho_{i,\varepsilon}) \partial_t \rho_{i,\varepsilon}) &= \varepsilon \partial_{tx}^3 \rho_{i,\varepsilon}, \\ \partial_{tt}^2 \rho_{j,\varepsilon} + \partial_x (f'_j(\rho_{j,\varepsilon}) \partial_t \rho_{j,\varepsilon}) &= \varepsilon \partial_{tx}^3 \rho_{j,\varepsilon}, \\ f'_i(\rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t, 0)) \partial_t \rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t, 0) - \varepsilon \partial_{tx}^2 \rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t, 0) &= \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \nabla G_{i,j}(\rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t, 0), \rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t, 0)) \cdot (\partial_t \rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t, 0), \partial_t \rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t, 0)) \\ &+ \varepsilon \sum_{h=1}^m \nabla K_{i,h}(\rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t, 0), \rho_{h,\varepsilon}(t, 0)) \cdot (\partial_t \rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t, 0), \partial_t \rho_{h,\varepsilon}(t, 0)) \\ &- \varepsilon \sum_{h=1}^{m+n} \nabla K_{h,i}(\rho_{h,\varepsilon}(t, 0), \rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t, 0)) \cdot (\partial_t \rho_{h,\varepsilon}(t, 0), \partial_t \rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t, 0)), \\ f'_j(\rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t, 0)) \partial_t \rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t, 0) - \varepsilon \partial_{tx}^2 \rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t, 0) &= \sum_{i=1}^m \nabla G_{i,j}(\rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t, 0), \rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t, 0)) \cdot (\partial_t \rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t, 0), \partial_t \rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t, 0)) \\ &+ \varepsilon \sum_{h=m+1}^{m+n} \nabla K_{h,j}(\rho_{h,\varepsilon}(t, 0), \rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t, 0)) \cdot (\partial_t \rho_{h,\varepsilon}(t, 0), \partial_t \rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t, 0)) \\ &- \varepsilon \sum_{h=1}^{m+n} \nabla K_{j,h}(\rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t, 0), \rho_{h,\varepsilon}(t, 0)) \cdot (\partial_t \rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t, 0), \partial_t \rho_{h,\varepsilon}(t, 0)). \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to (2.5), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \int_{-\infty}^0 |\partial_t \rho_{i,\varepsilon}| dx + \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \int_0^\infty |\partial_t \rho_{j,\varepsilon}| dx \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{-\infty}^0 \partial_{tt}^2 \rho_{i,\varepsilon} \text{sign}(\partial_t \rho_{i,\varepsilon}) dx + \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \int_0^\infty \partial_{tt}^2 \rho_{j,\varepsilon} \text{sign}(\partial_t \rho_{j,\varepsilon}) dx \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{-\infty}^0 \text{sign}(\partial_t \rho_{i,\varepsilon}) \partial_x (f'_i(\rho_{i,\varepsilon}) \partial_t \rho_{i,\varepsilon} - \varepsilon \partial_{tx}^2 \rho_{i,\varepsilon}) dx \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \int_0^\infty \text{sign}(\partial_t \rho_{j,\varepsilon}) \partial_x (f'_j(\rho_{j,\varepsilon}) \partial_t \rho_{j,\varepsilon} - \varepsilon \partial_{tx}^2 \rho_{j,\varepsilon}) dx \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^m \text{sign}(\partial_t \rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t, 0)) (f'_i(\rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t, 0)) \partial_t \rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t, 0) - \varepsilon \partial_{tx}^2 \rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t, 0)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \text{sign}(\partial_t \rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t, 0)) (f'_j(\rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t, 0)) \partial_t \rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t, 0) - \varepsilon \partial_{tx}^2 \rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t, 0)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 2 \sum_{i=1}^m \underbrace{\int_{-\infty}^0 \partial_{tx}^2 \rho_{i,\varepsilon} (f'_i(\rho_{i,\varepsilon}) \partial_t \rho_{i,\varepsilon} - \varepsilon \partial_{tx}^2 \rho_{i,\varepsilon}) d\delta_{\{\partial_t \rho_{i,\varepsilon}=0\}}}_{\leq 0} \\
& + 2 \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \underbrace{\int_{-\infty}^0 \partial_{tx}^2 \rho_{j,\varepsilon} (f'_j(\rho_{j,\varepsilon}) \partial_t \rho_{j,\varepsilon} - \varepsilon \partial_{tx}^2 \rho_{j,\varepsilon}) d\delta_{\{\partial_t \rho_{j,\varepsilon}=0\}}}_{\leq 0} \\
& \leq - \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} (\text{sign}(\partial_t \rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t, 0)) - \text{sign}(\partial_t \rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t, 0))) \times \\
& \quad \times \nabla G_{i,j}(\rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t, 0), \rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t, 0)) \cdot (\partial_t \rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t, 0), \partial_t \rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t, 0)) \\
& + \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} (\text{sign}(\partial_t \rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t, 0)) - \text{sign}(\partial_t \rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t, 0))) \times \\
& \quad \times \nabla K_{j,i}(\rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t, 0), \rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t, 0)) \cdot (\partial_t \rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t, 0), \partial_t \rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t, 0)) \leq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\delta_{\{\partial_t \rho_{i,\varepsilon}=0\}}$ and $\delta_{\{\partial_t \rho_{j,\varepsilon}=0\}}$ are the Dirac deltas concentrated on the sets $\{\partial_t \rho_{i,\varepsilon} = 0\}$ and $\{\partial_t \rho_{j,\varepsilon} = 0\}$, respectively and we apply [6, Lemma 2].

Integrating over $(0, t)$ and using (2.1), (3.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^m \|\partial_t \rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1(-\infty, 0)} + \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \|\partial_t \rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1(0, \infty)} \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \|\partial_t \rho_{i,\varepsilon}(0, \cdot)\|_{L^1(-\infty, 0)} + \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \|\partial_t \rho_{j,\varepsilon}(0, \cdot)\|_{L^1(0, \infty)} \\
& = \sum_{i=1}^m \|\varepsilon \partial_{xx}^2 \rho_{i,0,\varepsilon} - \partial_x f_i(\rho_{i,0,\varepsilon})\|_{L^1(-\infty, 0)} + \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \|\varepsilon \partial_{xx}^2 \rho_{j,0,\varepsilon} - \partial_x f_j(\rho_{j,0,\varepsilon})\|_{L^1(0, \infty)} \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\varepsilon \|\partial_{xx}^2 \rho_{i,0,\varepsilon}\|_{L^1(-\infty, 0)} + \|f'_i(\rho_{i,0,\varepsilon})\|_{L^\infty(-\infty, 0)} \|\partial_x \rho_{i,0,\varepsilon}\|_{L^1(-\infty, 0)} \right) \\
& \quad + \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \left(\varepsilon \|\partial_{xx}^2 \rho_{j,0,\varepsilon}\|_{L^1(0, \infty)} + \|f'_j(\rho_{j,0,\varepsilon})\|_{L^\infty(0, \infty)} \|\partial_x \rho_{j,0,\varepsilon}\|_{L^1(0, \infty)} \right) \\
& \leq (m+n)C + \sum_{i=1}^m \|f'_i\|_{L^\infty(0,1)} TV(\rho_{i,0}) + \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \|f'_j\|_{L^\infty(0,1)} TV(\rho_{j,0}),
\end{aligned}$$

that is (3.4). □

lm:stab **Lemma 3.5 (Stability estimate).** *Let $(\rho_{1,\varepsilon}, \dots, \rho_{m+n,\varepsilon})$ and $(\bar{\rho}_{1,\varepsilon}, \dots, \bar{\rho}_{m+n,\varepsilon})$ be two solutions of (1.5). The following estimate holds*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^m \|\rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t, \cdot) - \bar{\rho}_{i,\varepsilon}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1(-\infty, 0)} + \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \|\rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t, \cdot) - \bar{\rho}_{j,\varepsilon}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1(0, \infty)} \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \|\rho_{i,0,\varepsilon} - \bar{\rho}_{i,0,\varepsilon}\|_{L^1(-\infty, 0)} + \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \|\rho_{j,0,\varepsilon} - \bar{\rho}_{j,0,\varepsilon}\|_{L^1(0, \infty)}, \quad t \geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. From (1.5) we get

$$\partial_t(\rho_{i,\varepsilon} - \bar{\rho}_{i,\varepsilon}) + \partial_x(f_i(\rho_{i,\varepsilon}) - f_i(\bar{\rho}_{i,\varepsilon})) = \varepsilon \partial_{xx}^2(\rho_{i,\varepsilon} - \bar{\rho}_{i,\varepsilon}),$$

$$\partial_t(\rho_{j,\varepsilon} - \bar{\rho}_{j,\varepsilon}) + \partial_x(f_j(\rho_{j,\varepsilon}) - f_j(\bar{\rho}_{j,\varepsilon})) = \varepsilon \partial_{xx}^2(\rho_{j,\varepsilon} - \bar{\rho}_{j,\varepsilon}).$$

Thanks to (1.5), (2.5), and (3.1), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \int_{-\infty}^0 |\rho_{i,\varepsilon} - \bar{\rho}_{i,\varepsilon}| dx + \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \int_0^{\infty} |\rho_{j,\varepsilon} - \bar{\rho}_{j,\varepsilon}| dx \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{-\infty}^0 \text{sign}(\rho_{i,\varepsilon} - \bar{\rho}_{i,\varepsilon}) \partial_t(\rho_{i,\varepsilon} - \bar{\rho}_{i,\varepsilon}) dx + \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \int_0^{\infty} \text{sign}(\rho_{j,\varepsilon} - \bar{\rho}_{j,\varepsilon}) \partial_t(\rho_{j,\varepsilon} - \bar{\rho}_{j,\varepsilon}) dx \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{-\infty}^0 \text{sign}(\rho_{i,\varepsilon} - \bar{\rho}_{i,\varepsilon}) \partial_x((f_i(\rho_{i,\varepsilon}) - f_i(\bar{\rho}_{i,\varepsilon})) - \varepsilon \partial_x(\rho_{i,\varepsilon} - \bar{\rho}_{i,\varepsilon})) dx \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \int_0^{\infty} \text{sign}(\rho_{j,\varepsilon} - \bar{\rho}_{j,\varepsilon}) \partial_x((f_j(\rho_{j,\varepsilon}) - f_j(\bar{\rho}_{j,\varepsilon})) - \varepsilon \partial_x(\rho_{j,\varepsilon} - \bar{\rho}_{j,\varepsilon})) dx \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} [\text{sign}(\rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t,0) - \bar{\rho}_{i,\varepsilon}(t,0)) - \text{sign}(\rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t,0) - \bar{\rho}_{j,\varepsilon}(t,0))] \times \\ &\quad \times [G_{i,j}(\rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t,0), \rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t,0)) - G_{i,j}(\bar{\rho}_{i,\varepsilon}(t,0), \bar{\rho}_{j,\varepsilon}(t,0))] \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} [\text{sign}(\rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t,0) - \bar{\rho}_{i,\varepsilon}(t,0)) - \text{sign}(\rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t,0) - \bar{\rho}_{j,\varepsilon}(t,0))] \times \\ &\quad \times [K_{j,i}(\rho_{i,\varepsilon}(t,0), \rho_{j,\varepsilon}(t,0)) - G_{i,j}(\bar{\rho}_{i,\varepsilon}(t,0), \bar{\rho}_{j,\varepsilon}(t,0))] \\ &\quad + 2 \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^m \int_{-\infty}^0 \partial_x(\rho_{i,\varepsilon} - \bar{\rho}_{i,\varepsilon})((f_i(\rho_{i,\varepsilon}) - f_i(\bar{\rho}_{i,\varepsilon})) - \varepsilon \partial_x(\rho_{i,\varepsilon} - \bar{\rho}_{i,\varepsilon})) d\delta_{\{\rho_{i,\varepsilon} = \bar{\rho}_{i,\varepsilon}\}}}_{\leq 0} \\ &\quad + 2 \underbrace{\sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \int_0^{\infty} \partial_x(\rho_{j,\varepsilon} - \bar{\rho}_{j,\varepsilon})((f_j(\rho_{j,\varepsilon}) - f_j(\bar{\rho}_{j,\varepsilon})) - \varepsilon \partial_x(\rho_{j,\varepsilon} - \bar{\rho}_{j,\varepsilon})) d\delta_{\{\rho_{j,\varepsilon} = \bar{\rho}_{j,\varepsilon}\}}}_{\leq 0} \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we use [6, Lemma 2] and we denote by $\delta_{\{\rho_{i,\varepsilon} = \bar{\rho}_{i,\varepsilon}\}}$ and $\delta_{\{\rho_{j,\varepsilon} = \bar{\rho}_{j,\varepsilon}\}}$ respectively the Dirac deltas concentrated on the sets $\{\rho_{i,\varepsilon} = \bar{\rho}_{i,\varepsilon}\}$ and $\{\rho_{j,\varepsilon} = \bar{\rho}_{j,\varepsilon}\}$.

Integrating over $(0, t)$ we get (3.5). \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

The well-posedness of smooth solutions for (1.5) can be proved following the argument used in [11, Theorem 1.2] to establish the well-posedness of smooth solutions for (1.4). Indeed, the existence of a linear semigroup of solutions in the linear case (i.e., when $f_\ell \equiv 0$) is shown in [14]. Then the Duhamel Formula, estimates similar to the ones in the previous section and a fixed point argument lead to the result.

The main result of this section is the following.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $(\rho_{1,\varepsilon}, \dots, \rho_{m+n,\varepsilon})$ be the solution of (1.5). There exist a sequence $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (0, \infty)$, $\varepsilon_k \rightarrow 0$, and $m+n$ maps $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{m+n}$ such that*

$$(4.1) \quad \rho_1, \dots, \rho_m \in L^1((0, \infty) \times (-\infty, 0)) \cap L^\infty((0, \infty) \times (-\infty, 0)),$$

$$(4.2) \quad \rho_{m+1}, \dots, \rho_{m+n} \in L^1((0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)) \cap L^\infty((0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)),$$

$$(4.3) \quad 0 \leq \rho_\ell \leq 1, \quad \ell \in \{1, \dots, m+n\},$$

$$(4.4) \quad \rho_{i,\varepsilon_k} \rightarrow \rho_i, \quad \text{a.e. and in } L_{loc}^p((0, \infty) \times (-\infty, 0)), \quad 1 \leq p < \infty, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, m\},$$

compactness

lm: comp

eq: comp1

eq: comp1.1

eq: comp2

eq: comp3

eq: comp4 (4.5) $\rho_{j,\varepsilon_k} \longrightarrow \rho_j$, a.e. and in $L^p_{loc}((0, \infty) \times (0, \infty))$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, $j \in \{m+1, \dots, m+n\}$.

Moreover, we have that

eq: comp5 (4.6)
$$\sum_{i=1}^m \|\rho_i(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1(-\infty, 0)} + \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \|\rho_j(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1(0, \infty)}$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^m \|\rho_{i,0}\|_{L^1(-\infty, 0)} + \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \|\rho_{j,0}\|_{L^1(0, \infty)},$$

eq: comp6 (4.7)
$$\sum_{i=1}^m \|\rho_i(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(-\infty, 0)}^2 + \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \|\rho_j(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(0, \infty)}^2$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^m \|\rho_{i,0}\|_{L^2(-\infty, 0)}^2 + \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \|\rho_{j,0}\|_{L^2(0, \infty)}^2$$

$$+ 2 \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{m+n} \|\beta_\ell\|_{L^\infty((0,1)^{m+n})} + \sum_{i=1}^m \|f_i\|_{L^1(0,1)} \right) t,$$

eq: comp7 (4.8)
$$\sum_{i=1}^m TV(f_i(\rho_i(t, \cdot))) + \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} TV(f_j(\rho_j(t, \cdot)))$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^m \|\partial_t \rho_i(t, \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{M}(-\infty, 0)} + \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \|\partial_t \rho_j(t, \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{M}(0, \infty)}$$

$$\leq (m+n)C + \sum_{i=1}^m \|f'_i\|_{L^\infty(0,1)} TV(\rho_{i,0}) + \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \|f'_j\|_{L^\infty(0,1)} TV(\rho_{j,0}).$$

Thanks to the genuine nonlinearity of f_1, \dots, f_{m+n} , we can use the Tartar compensated compactness method [18] to obtain strong convergence of a subsequence of viscosity approximations. The notation \mathfrak{A} can stand for $(0, \infty)$ or $(-\infty, 0)$.

lem: CC **Theorem 4.1 (Tartar).** *Let $\{v_\nu\}_{\nu>0}$ be a family of functions defined on $(0, \infty) \times \mathfrak{A}$ such that*

$$\|v_\nu\|_{L^\infty((0,T) \times \mathfrak{A})} \leq M_T, \quad T, \nu > 0,$$

and the family

$$\{\partial_t \eta(v_\nu) + \partial_x q_\ell(v_\nu)\}_{\nu>0}$$

is compact in $H^{-1}_{loc}((0, \infty) \times \mathfrak{A})$, for every convex $\eta \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$, where $q'_\ell = f'_\ell \eta'$. Then there exist a sequence $\{\nu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (0, \infty)$, $\nu_n \rightarrow 0$, and a map $v \in L^\infty((0, T) \times \mathfrak{A})$, $T > 0$, such that

$$v_{\nu_n} \longrightarrow v \quad \text{a.e. and in } L^p_{loc}((0, \infty) \times \mathfrak{A}), \quad 1 \leq p < \infty.$$

The following compact embedding of Murat [17] is useful.

em: Murat **Theorem 4.2 (Murat).** *Let Ω be a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^N , $N \geq 2$. Suppose the sequence $\{\mathcal{L}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of distributions is bounded in $W^{-1, \infty}(\Omega)$. Suppose also that*

$$\mathcal{L}_n = \mathcal{L}_{1,n} + \mathcal{L}_{2,n},$$

where $\{\mathcal{L}_{1,n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ lies in a compact subset of $H^{-1}_{loc}(\Omega)$ and $\{\mathcal{L}_{2,n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ lies in a bounded subset of $L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$. Then $\{\mathcal{L}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ lies in a compact subset of $H^{-1}_{loc}(\Omega)$.

Proof of Lemma 4.1. Let us fix $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and prove the lemma for the incoming edges, as the proof for the outgoing ones is analogous.

Let $\eta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be any convex C^2 entropy function, and let $q_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the corresponding entropy flux defined by $q'_i = \eta' f'_i$. By multiplying i -th equation in (1.5) by $\eta'(\rho_{i,\varepsilon})$ and using the chain rule, we get

$$(4.9) \quad \partial_t \eta(\rho_{i,\varepsilon}) + \partial_x q_i(\rho_{i,\varepsilon}) = \underbrace{\varepsilon \partial_{xx}^2 \eta(\rho_{i,\varepsilon})}_{\mathcal{L}_{1,\varepsilon}} - \underbrace{\varepsilon \eta''(\rho_{i,\varepsilon}) (\partial_x \rho_{i,\varepsilon})^2}_{\mathcal{L}_{2,\varepsilon}}.$$

We claim that

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{1,\varepsilon} &\longrightarrow 0 \text{ in } H^{-1}((0, T) \times (-\infty, 0)), T > 0, \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \\ \{\mathcal{L}_{2,\varepsilon}\}_\varepsilon &\text{ is uniformly bounded in } L^1((0, T) \times (-\infty, 0)), T > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, (3.1) and (3.3) imply

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varepsilon \partial_x \eta(\rho_{i,\varepsilon})\|_{L^2((0,T) \times (-\infty,0))} &\leq \sqrt{\varepsilon} \|\eta'\|_{L^\infty(0,1)} \|\sqrt{\varepsilon} \partial_x \rho_{i,\varepsilon}\|_{L^2((0,\infty) \times (-\infty,0))} \\ &\leq \sqrt{\varepsilon} \|\eta'\|_{L^\infty(0,1)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \|\rho_{i,\varepsilon,0}\|_{L^2(-\infty,0)} + \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \|\rho_{j,\varepsilon,0}\|_{L^2(0,\infty)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sqrt{2 \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{m+n} \|\beta_\ell\|_{L^\infty((0,1)^{m+n})} + \sum_{i=1}^m \|f_i\|_{L^1(0,1)} \right) T} \right) \rightarrow 0, \\ \|\varepsilon \eta''(\rho_{i,\varepsilon}) (\partial_x \rho_{i,\varepsilon})^2\|_{L^1((0,T) \times (-\infty,0))} &\leq \|\eta''\|_{L^\infty(0,1)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \|\rho_{i,\varepsilon,0}\|_{L^2(-\infty,0)}^2 + \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \|\rho_{j,\varepsilon,0}\|_{L^2(0,\infty)}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2 \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{m+n} \|\beta_\ell\|_{L^\infty((0,1)^{m+n})} + \sum_{i=1}^m \|f_i\|_{L^1(0,1)} \right) T \right). \end{aligned}$$

Due to (2.1), (4.10) follows. Therefore, Theorems 4.2 and 4.1 give the existence of a subsequence $\{\rho_{i,\varepsilon_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and a limit function ρ_i satisfying (4.1) such that as $k \rightarrow \infty$

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho_{i,\varepsilon_k} &\longrightarrow \rho_i \text{ in } L^p_{loc}((0, \infty) \times (-\infty, 0)) \text{ for any } p \in [1, \infty), \\ \rho_{i,\varepsilon_k} &\longrightarrow \rho_i \text{ a.e. in } (0, \infty) \times (-\infty, 0), \end{aligned}$$

that guarantees (4.3) and (4.4).

Finally, thanks to Lemmas 3.2, 3.3, and 3.4 we have (4.6), (4.7), and (4.8). \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The first part of the statement related to the convergence of vanishing viscosity approximations has been proved in Lemma 4.1.

Let us fix $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and prove (1.9) for the incoming edges, the case of the outgoing ones is analogous.

Thanks to (3.4) and (4.4), for all $\varphi \in C^\infty((0, \infty) \times (-\infty, 0))$ with compact support, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^0 \rho_i \partial_t \varphi \, dx dt &= \lim_k \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^0 \rho_{i,\varepsilon_k} \partial_t \varphi \, dx dt = - \lim_k \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^0 \partial_t \rho_{i,\varepsilon_k} \varphi \, dx dt \\ &\leq \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty((0,\infty) \times (-\infty,0))} \left((m+n)C + \sum_{i=1}^m \|f'_i\|_{L^\infty(0,1)} TV(\rho_{i,0}) + \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \|f'_j\|_{L^\infty(0,1)} TV(\rho_{j,0}) \right), \end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$(4.12) \quad \partial_t \rho_i \in \mathcal{M}((0, \infty) \times (-\infty, 0)),$$

where $\mathcal{M}((0, \infty) \times (-\infty, 0))$ is the set of all Radon measures on $(0, \infty) \times (-\infty, 0)$. Moreover, from the equations in (1.1) and (1.2) we have also

$$(4.13) \quad \partial_x f_i(\rho_i) \in \mathcal{M}((0, \infty) \times (-\infty, 0)).$$

Clearly (4.12) and (4.13) give (1.9) and so the trace at the junction $f(\rho_i(t, 0-))$ exists for a.e. $t > 0$.

We prove now that the identity

eq:RH

$$(4.14) \quad \sum_{i=1}^m f_i(\rho_i(t, 0-)) = \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} f_j(\rho_j(t, 0+))$$

holds for a.e. $t > 0$; consequently the functions $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{m+n}$ provide a solution to (1.1), (1.2), and (1.3) in the sense of Definition 1.1.

Let $\varphi \in C^1([0, \infty))$, $\varphi(0) = 0$ with compact support. Consider the sequence $\{r_\nu\}_{\nu \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}} \subset C^2([0, \infty))$ of cut-off functions satisfying

eq:r_nu

$$(4.15) \quad 0 \leq r_\nu(x) \leq 1, \quad r_\nu(0) = 1, \quad \text{supp}(r_\nu) \subseteq \left[0, \frac{1}{\nu}\right],$$

for every $x \geq 0$ and $\nu \geq 1$. Moreover, for every $\nu \geq 1$, we define the sequence $\{\tilde{r}_\nu\}_{\nu \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}} \subset C^2((-\infty, 0])$ by writing $\tilde{r}_\nu(x) = r_\nu(-x)$ for every $x \leq 0$.

From (1.5) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^0 (\partial_t \rho_{i,\varepsilon_k} + \partial_x f_i(\rho_{i,\varepsilon_k}) - \varepsilon_k \partial_{xx}^2 \rho_{i,\varepsilon_k}) \varphi(t) \tilde{r}_\nu(x) dx dt \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty (\partial_t \rho_{j,\varepsilon_k} + \partial_x f_j(\rho_{j,\varepsilon_k}) - \varepsilon_k \partial_{xx}^2 \rho_{j,\varepsilon_k}) \varphi(t) r_\nu(x) dx dt \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^0 (\rho_{i,\varepsilon_k} \varphi'(t) \tilde{r}_\nu(x) + f_i(\rho_{i,\varepsilon_k}) \varphi(t) \tilde{r}'_\nu(x) - \varepsilon_k \partial_x \rho_{i,\varepsilon_k} \varphi(t) \tilde{r}'_\nu(x)) dx dt \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty (\rho_{j,\varepsilon_k} \varphi'(t) r_\nu(x) + f_j(\rho_{j,\varepsilon_k}) \varphi(t) r'_\nu(x) - \varepsilon_k \partial_x \rho_{j,\varepsilon_k} \varphi(t) r'_\nu(x)) dx dt \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^\infty (f_i(\rho_{i,\varepsilon_k}(t, 0)) - \varepsilon_k \partial_x \rho_{i,\varepsilon_k}(t, 0)) \varphi(t) dt \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \int_0^\infty (f_j(\rho_{j,\varepsilon_k}(t, 0)) - \varepsilon_k \partial_x \rho_{j,\varepsilon_k}(t, 0)) \varphi(t) dt \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^0 (\rho_{i,\varepsilon_k} \varphi'(t) \tilde{r}_\nu(x) + f_i(\rho_{i,\varepsilon_k}) \varphi(t) \tilde{r}'_\nu(x) - \varepsilon_k \partial_x \rho_{i,\varepsilon_k} \varphi(t) \tilde{r}'_\nu(x)) dx dt \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty (\rho_{j,\varepsilon_k} \varphi'(t) r_\nu(x) + f_j(\rho_{j,\varepsilon_k}) \varphi(t) r'_\nu(x) - \varepsilon_k \partial_x \rho_{j,\varepsilon_k} \varphi(t) r'_\nu(x)) dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

As $k \rightarrow \infty$, due to (3.3), (4.4), and (4.5),

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= - \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^0 (\rho_i \varphi'(t) \tilde{r}_\nu(x) + f_i(\rho_i) \varphi(t) \tilde{r}'_\nu(x)) dx dt \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty (\rho_j \varphi'(t) r_\nu(x) + f_j(\rho_j) \varphi(t) r'_\nu(x)) dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, sending $\nu \rightarrow \infty$,

$$0 = - \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^\infty f_i(\rho_i(t, 0-)) \varphi(t) dt + \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \int_0^\infty f_j(\rho_j(t, 0+)) \varphi(t) dt,$$

that gives (4.14). □

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Both authors wish to thank professor Roberto Natalini for suggesting the problem.

The final version of this work was completed while the first author was invited professor at the University of Bourgogne Franche-Comté, projet "mobilité entre 2018 - MoDySpaPop". The second author acknowledges the support of the Région Bourgogne Franche-Comté, projet 2017-2020 "Analyse mathématique et simulation numérique d'EDP issus de problèmes de contrôle et du trafic routier".

The first author is member of the Gruppo Nazionale per l'Analisi Matematica, la Probabilità e le loro Applicazioni (GNAMPA) of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM)

REFERENCES

- [1] Adimurthi, S. Mishra, and G. D. V. Gowda. Optimal entropy solutions for conservation laws with discontinuous flux-functions. *J. Hyperbolic Differ. Equ.*, 2(4):783–837, 2005.
- [2] B. Andreianov and C. Cancès. On interface transmission conditions for conservation laws with discontinuous flux of general shape. *J. Hyperbolic Differ. Equ.*, 12(2):343–384, 2015.
- [3] B. Andreianov, K. H. Karlsen, and N. H. Risebro. On vanishing viscosity approximation of conservation laws with discontinuous flux. *Netw. Heterog. Media*, 5(3):617–633, 2010.
- [4] B. Andreianov, K. H. Karlsen, and N. H. Risebro. A theory of L^1 -dissipative solvers for scalar conservation laws with discontinuous flux. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 201(1):27–86, 2011.
- [5] B. P. Andreianov, G. M. Coclite, and C. Donadello. Well-posedness for vanishing viscosity solutions of scalar conservation laws on a network. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 37(11):5913–5942, 2017.
- [6] C. Bardos, A. Y. Leroux, and J.-C. Nédélec. First order quasilinear equations with boundary conditions. *Communications in partial differential equations*, 4(9):1017–1034, 1979.
- [7] A. Bressan, S. Čanić, M. Garavello, M. Herty, and B. Piccoli. Flows on networks: recent results and perspectives. *EMS Surv. Math. Sci.*, 1(1):47–111, 2014.
- [8] Bretti, G., Natalini, R., and Ribot, M. A hyperbolic model of chemotaxis on a network: a numerical study. *ESAIM: M2AN*, 48(1):231–258, 2014.
- [9] R. Bürger, K. H. Karlsen, and J. D. Towers. An Engquist-Osher-type scheme for conservation laws with discontinuous flux adapted to flux connections. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 47(3):1684–1712, 2009.
- [10] G. M. Coclite and L. Di Ruvo. Vanishing viscosity for traffic on networks with degenerate diffusivity. *To appear in Mediterr. J. Math.*, 2019.
- [11] G. M. Coclite and M. Garavello. Vanishing viscosity for traffic on networks. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 42(4):1761–1783, 2010.
- [12] R. M. Colombo and P. Goatin. A well posed conservation law with a variable unilateral constraint. *J. Differential Equations*, 234(2):654–675, 2007.
- [13] M. Garavello and B. Piccoli. *Traffic flow on networks*, volume 1 of *AIMS Series on Applied Mathematics*. American Institute of Mathematical Sciences (AIMS), Springfield, MO, 2006. Conservation laws models.
- [14] F. R. Guarguaglini and R. Natalini. Global smooth solutions for a hyperbolic chemotaxis model on a network. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 47(6):4652–4671, 2015.
- [15] E. F. Kaasschieter. Solving the buckley-leverett equation with gravity in a heterogeneous porous medium. *Comput. Geosci.*, 3:23–48, 1999.
- [16] O. Kedem and A. Katchalsky. Thermodynamic analysis of permeability of biological membranes to non-electrolytes. *Biochimica et biophysica acta*, 27:229–46, 03 1958.
- [17] F. Murat. L'injection du cône positif de H^{-1} dans $W^{-1,q}$ est compacte pour tout $q < 2$. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 60(3):309–322, 1981.
- [18] L. Tartar. Nonlinear analysis and mechanics: Heriot-watt symposium. In *Compensated compactness and applications to partial differential equations*, volume IV, pages 317–345. Pitman, Boston, 1979.

(Giuseppe Maria Coclite)

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICS, MATHEMATICS AND MANAGEMENT, POLYTECHNIC UNIVERSITY OF BARI, VIA E. ORABONA 4, 70125 BARI, ITALY.

E-mail address: `giuseppemaria.coclite@poliba.it`

URL: `http://www.dmmm.poliba.it/index.php/it/profile/gmcoclite`

(Carlotta Donadello)

LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES CNRS UMR6623, UNIVERSITÉ DE BOURGOGNE FRANCHE-COMTÉ, 16 ROUTE DE GRAY, 25030 BESANÇON CEDEX, FRANCE.

E-mail address: `carlotta.donadello@univ-fcomte.fr`

URL: `http://lmb.univ-fcomte.fr/Donadello-Carlotta`