



HAL
open science

Thermal flows in fractured porous media

Isabelle Gruais, Dan Poliřevski

► **To cite this version:**

Isabelle Gruais, Dan Poliřevski. Thermal flows in fractured porous media. *ESAIM: Mathematical Modelling and Numerical Analysis*, 2021, 55 (3), pp.789-805. <10.1051/m2an/2020087>. <hal-02129941>

HAL Id: hal-02129941

<https://hal.science/hal-02129941v1>

Submitted on 23 May 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



HAL Authorization

Thermal flows in fractured porous media

Isabelle Gruais and Dan Poliřevski

Abstract. We consider the thermal flow problem occurring in a fractured porous medium. The incompressible filtration flow in the porous matrix and the viscous flow in the fractures obey the Boussinesq approximation of the Darcy-Forchheimer law and respectively, the Stokes system. They are coupled by the Saffman's variant of the Beavers-Joseph condition. Existence and uniqueness properties are presented. In the ε -periodic framework, the two-scale homogenized system which governs the asymptotic behaviour (when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$) is found.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). 35B27; 76M50; 76Rxx; 74F10; 74Q05.

Keywords. fractured porous media, ε -domes, two-scale homogenized system, Darcy-Forchheimer law, Boussinesq approximation, Beavers-Joseph condition.

1. Introduction

Among the issues raised by the heat and mass transfer in fractured porous media, the requirement for further construction and characterization of macroscopic models is of special interest. It is a difficult task because the two components have highly contrasting behaviours. The models of flows through fractured porous media (see [5], [6], [12], [27] and [29]) are usually obtained by asymptotic methods, from the alteration of a homogeneous porous medium by a distribution of microscopic fractures/fissures. In this context, the periodic homogenization, based on the assumption of the ε -periodicity of the structure properties, is an important modelling tool for a fractured porous media process. Although it looks like an idealistic assumption, it usually authorizes a rigorous approach, yielding many of the properties which must be taken into account at macroscopic level.

We consider that the heat and mass transfer takes place in a periodically structured domain consisting of two interwoven regions, separated by an interface. It was not until the non-connectedness assumption could be dropped out that the homogenization of phenomena in fractured media could be studied rigorously (see [2], [25] and [26]). As the process at the microscopic scale takes place under the assumption of ε -periodicity, the study of its asymptotic behaviour (when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$) is amenable to the procedures of the homogenization theory. We improve the properties of the ε -periodic biphasic structure introduced in [26], by attaching the so-called ε -domes. They are placed in the last entire ε -cells contained in the domain, near its boundary, and they complete the ε -periodic interface such that it can be as smooth as it is needed, all the properties of [26] remaining valid.

The first region, the only one reaching the boundary of the domain, represents a connected porous matrix, where, disregarding its pore scale, we consider the movement of an incompressible average filtration fluid governed by the Boussinesq approximation of the Darcy-Forchheimer system. The linear Darcy's law relating the flow and the pressure gradient in the porous surrounding matrix relies on the assumption of laminar flow (see [31]). Unfortunately, this assumption does not hold when high imposed pressure gradients and resistance from fracture walls lead to reduced flow rates compared to the linear Darcy relation. The standard extended model involves a Forchheimer correction term (see [13]) which introduces a non-linear coupling between pressure gradient and flow rates. This Forchheimer term was proved to be valid at higher Reynolds number by Muskat (see [23]).

The second region, representing the fractures which are not necessarily connected, is saturated by an incompressible viscous fluid governed by the Boussinesq approximation of the Stokes system.

These two flows are coupled on the interface by the Saffman's variant [28] of the Beavers-Joseph condition [7], [19] which was confirmed by [18] as the limit of a homogenization process. Besides the

continuity of the normal component of the velocity, it imposes the proportionality of the tangential velocity with the tangential component of the viscous stress on the fluid-side of the interface.

The tensors of thermal diffusion of the two phases are ε -periodic and not necessarily equal. On the interface, the heat flux is continuous and proportional with the temperature jump. This first-order jump condition presents an heat transfer coefficient which is also assumed ε -periodic. Finally, a temperature distribution is imposed on the boundary of the domain.

We prove the existence and uniqueness properties of the velocity, pressure and temperature distribution, solutions of the corresponding thermal flow boundary problem. An L^∞ -estimate of the temperature, uniform with respect to ε , is also presented.

As the Forchheimer effect vanishes with the small period of the distribution shrinking to zero, we study the asymptotic behaviour of the flow when the Rayleigh number is of unity order, the permeability of the porous blocks of unity order and the Beavers-Joseph transfer coefficient of ε -order, balancing the measure of the interface. Using the techniques of the two-scale convergence theory (see [4], [9] and [24]), we find the two-scale system verified by the limits of the ε -solutions and the local problems which allow us to define the effective coefficients of the leading homogenized system.

The paper is organized as follows.

In Section 2 we present our fractured porous medium, the ε -periodic structure provided with the useful ε -domes. The direct form of the thermal flow problem is introduced.

In Section 3 we prove the existence and uniqueness properties. The weak solutions of our nonlinear problem are found by means of the Browder-Minty and Schauder fixed-point theorems. The primary estimates are also obtained.

Section 4 is devoted to the homogenization in the case when the Forchheimer effect is vanishing. We present the a priori estimates which serve as departure point for adapting the compacity results of the two-scale convergence theory (see [4], [22] and [24]). Using the techniques of the two-scale convergence theory (see [4], [9] and [24]), we obtain the so-called two-scale homogenized problem and the solutions of the local problems which allow us to define the effective coefficients of the homogenized system and eliminate some of the oscillating unknowns. In the case of non-oscillating permeability tensor, we present an interesting macroscopic problem, governing all the leading non-oscillating unknowns.

At the end, there is added an Appendix A, where we prove a usefull inequality that was already used in some particular cases (see [14] and [30]).

2. The fractured structure and the governing system

Let Ω be an open connected bounded set in \mathbb{R}^N , $N \in \{2, 3\}$, a manifold of class C^2 composed of a finite number of connected components, locally located on one side of the boundary $\partial\Omega$ with ν its outward normal.

We describe now the geometric structure of our fractured porous medium, similar to that introduced in [17].

Let E be the rhombic polyhedron obtained by affixing square pyramids of $1/2$ height on each face of the cube $Y =]-1/2, 1/2[^N$, that is

$$E = \text{int}(\text{Conv}(\overline{Y} \cup \{\pm e_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, N\})), \quad (2.1)$$

where e_i are the unit vectors of the canonical basis in \mathbb{R}^N .

For $D \subset\subset E$, an open set of class C^2 , we assume that $Y_f := Y \cap D$ has the property

$$\overline{Y}_f \cap \Sigma^{\pm i} \subset\subset \Sigma^{\pm i}, \quad \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}, \quad (2.2)$$

where $\Sigma^{\pm i} = \{y \in \partial Y : y_i = \pm 1/2\}$.

For every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ we define the corresponding two domes of Y_f by

$$D_i^+ = (Y + e_i) \cap D \quad \text{and} \quad D_i^- = (Y - e_i) \cap D. \quad (2.3)$$

Denoting $Y_s := Y \setminus \overline{Y}_f$, we assume that the reunion in \mathbb{R}^N of all the \overline{Y}_s parts, denoted by \mathbb{R}_s^N , has a C^2 boundary; \mathbb{R}_f^N is similarly defined. The characteristic functions of Y_s and Y_f are denoted by χ_s and χ_f , respectively; we also assume $m := |Y_f| \in]0, 1[$.

Without loss of the generality, we set the origin of the coordinate system in such a way that there exists $r > 0$ with the property $B(0, r) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_s^N$.

For any $\varepsilon \in]0, 1[$ we denote

$$\mathbb{Z}_\varepsilon = \{k \in \mathbb{Z}^N : \varepsilon k + \varepsilon Y \subseteq \Omega\}, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\mathbb{I}_\varepsilon = \{k \in \mathbb{Z}_\varepsilon : \varepsilon k \pm \varepsilon e_i + \varepsilon Y \subseteq \Omega, \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}\}, \quad (2.5)$$

$$\Omega_{\varepsilon f}^\square = \cup_{k \in \mathbb{I}_\varepsilon} (\varepsilon k + \varepsilon Y_f). \quad (2.6)$$

For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_\varepsilon \setminus \mathbb{I}_\varepsilon$, denoting by

$$\mathbb{J}_{\varepsilon k}^\pm = \{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}, (\varepsilon k + \varepsilon \overline{D}_i^\pm) \cap \overline{\Omega_{\varepsilon f}^\square} \neq \emptyset\}, \quad (2.7)$$

we define the ε -domes which have to be attached to $\Omega_{\varepsilon f}^\square$ in order to regularize the interface between the free fluid saturating the fractures and the filtration fluid saturating the porous matrix, by

$$D_{\varepsilon k} = (\cup_{i \in \mathbb{J}_{\varepsilon k}^+} (\varepsilon k + \varepsilon D_i^+)) \cup (\cup_{i \in \mathbb{J}_{\varepsilon k}^-} (\varepsilon k + \varepsilon D_i^-)). \quad (2.8)$$

We consider that the free fluid takes place in

$$\Omega_{\varepsilon f} = \text{int}((\cup_{k \in \mathbb{I}_\varepsilon} (\varepsilon k + \varepsilon \overline{Y}_f)) \cup (\cup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_\varepsilon \setminus \mathbb{I}_\varepsilon} D_{\varepsilon k})). \quad (2.9)$$

Consequently, the porous matrix and the interface between the two components are defined by:

$$\Omega_{\varepsilon s} = \Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega_{\varepsilon f}}, \quad (2.10)$$

$$\Gamma_\varepsilon = \partial\Omega_{\varepsilon f} \cap \partial\Omega_{\varepsilon s} = \partial\Omega_{\varepsilon f}. \quad (2.11)$$

We assume that $\Omega_{\varepsilon s}$ is connected and that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $k_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$, $k_\varepsilon \geq 1$, such that

$$\Omega_{\varepsilon f} = \cup_{k=1}^{k_\varepsilon} \Omega_{\varepsilon f}^k \quad (2.12)$$

where every $\Omega_{\varepsilon f}^k$ is a connected subdomain of $\Omega_{\varepsilon f}$ with $\text{dist}(\Omega_{\varepsilon f}^i, \Omega_{\varepsilon f}^j) > 0$ if $i \neq j$. The characteristic functions of $\Omega_{\varepsilon s}$ and $\Omega_{\varepsilon f}$ are denoted by $\chi_{\varepsilon s}$ and $\chi_{\varepsilon f}$, respectively.

Denoting $\Gamma_\varepsilon^k = \partial\Omega_{\varepsilon f}^k$, it follows that

$$\Gamma_\varepsilon = \cup_{k=1}^{k_\varepsilon} \Gamma_\varepsilon^k. \quad (2.13)$$

Denoting by $\Gamma = \partial Y_f \cap \partial Y_s \subseteq \partial D$, by n the normal on ∂D (inward to D) and by n^ε the normal on Γ_ε (outward to $\Omega_{\varepsilon s}$), we have

$$n^\varepsilon(x) = n(x/\varepsilon), \text{ for any } x \in (\varepsilon k + \varepsilon \Gamma) \text{ with } k \in \mathbb{I}_\varepsilon, \quad (2.14)$$

where the Y-periodic prolongation of $n|_\Gamma$ is still denoted by n .

The class of the connections between $\Omega_{\varepsilon f}^\square$ and the corresponding ε -domes is similar to that between Y_f and its domes, that is the class of D. This is an important advantage of the structures with ε -domes: the class of Γ_ε is given by D and by the reunion of all the \overline{Y}_s parts in \mathbb{R}^N , which can be assumed as smooth as it is needed. There is also an important feature of our periodic structure, provided with ε -domes. As the $(\varepsilon k + \varepsilon Y)$ -cells containing ε -domes are of at most $(4^N - 2)$ types and the distance between Γ_ε and $\partial\Omega$ is greater than $\varepsilon/2$, they do not affect the results obtained for the classical ε -periodic structures. The present structure preserves many specific properties (see [8], [12], [16], [17], [26]).

Now we can present the thermal flow problem which corresponds to our framework. If $(u^{\varepsilon s}, p^{\varepsilon s}, \theta^{\varepsilon s})$ and $(u^{\varepsilon f}, p^{\varepsilon f}, \theta^{\varepsilon f})$ stand for the velocities, pressures and temperatures associated to the corresponding phase of our structure, then they verify the following system:

$$\text{div} u^{\varepsilon s} = 0 \text{ in } \Omega_{\varepsilon s}, \quad \text{div} u^{\varepsilon f} = 0 \text{ in } \Omega_{\varepsilon f}, \quad u^{\varepsilon s} \cdot n^\varepsilon = u^{\varepsilon f} \cdot n^\varepsilon \text{ on } \Gamma_\varepsilon, \quad (2.15)$$

$$\nabla p^{\varepsilon s} + (1 + d_\varepsilon |u^{\varepsilon s}|_{A_\varepsilon}^{r-2}) A_\varepsilon^\varepsilon u^{\varepsilon s} + \alpha_\varepsilon \theta^{\varepsilon s} g = 0, \quad |u^{\varepsilon s}|_{A_\varepsilon} = (A_{ij}^\varepsilon u_i^{\varepsilon s} u_j^{\varepsilon s})^{1/2} \text{ in } \Omega_{\varepsilon s}, \quad (2.16)$$

$$-\operatorname{div} \Sigma^{\varepsilon i} + \alpha_\varepsilon \theta^{\varepsilon f} g_i = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega_{\varepsilon f}, \quad \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}, \quad (2.17)$$

$$\Sigma_j^{\varepsilon i} = -p^{\varepsilon f} \delta_{ij} + e_{ij}(u^{\varepsilon f}), \quad e_{ij}(u^{\varepsilon f}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u_i^{\varepsilon f}}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j^{\varepsilon f}}{\partial x_i} \right) \quad \text{in } \Omega_{\varepsilon f}, \quad (2.18)$$

$$p^{\varepsilon s} n_i^\varepsilon + \Sigma^{\varepsilon i} n^\varepsilon + \varepsilon \beta_\varepsilon (u_i^{\varepsilon f} - (u^{\varepsilon f} \cdot n^\varepsilon) n_i^\varepsilon) = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_\varepsilon, \quad \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}, \quad (2.19)$$

$$u^{\varepsilon f} \nabla \theta^{\varepsilon f} - \operatorname{div}(B^{\varepsilon f} \nabla \theta^{\varepsilon f}) = Q^f \quad \text{in } \Omega_{\varepsilon f}, \quad (2.20)$$

$$u^{\varepsilon s} \nabla \theta^{\varepsilon s} - \operatorname{div}(B^{\varepsilon s} \nabla \theta^{\varepsilon s}) = Q^s \quad \text{in } \Omega_{\varepsilon s}, \quad (2.21)$$

$$B_{ij}^{\varepsilon f} \frac{\partial \theta^{\varepsilon f}}{\partial x_j} n_i^\varepsilon = B_{ij}^{\varepsilon s} \frac{\partial \theta^{\varepsilon s}}{\partial x_j} n_i^\varepsilon, \quad \theta^{\varepsilon s} = \theta^{\varepsilon f} \quad \text{on } \Gamma_\varepsilon \quad (2.22)$$

$$u^{\varepsilon s} \cdot \nu = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \quad \nu \text{ the outward normal}, \quad (2.23)$$

$$\theta^\varepsilon = \tau \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \quad (2.24)$$

where $\tau \in H^1(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ has the property that $\exists \tau_0 > 0$ for which

$$|\tau| \leq \tau_0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \text{ in the sense of } H^1(\Omega) \text{ (see [20])}. \quad (2.25)$$

The symmetric permeability tensor $A^\varepsilon \in L^\infty(\Omega)^{N \times N}$, the Beavers-Joseph coefficient $\beta_\varepsilon \in C^1(\Omega)$ and the symmetric conductivities $B^{\varepsilon f}, B^{\varepsilon s} \in L^\infty(\Omega)^{N \times N}$ are given with the property that there exist b_2 and $b_1 > 0$, $b_1 < b_2$, independent of ε , such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$|A^\varepsilon|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq b_2, \quad |B^{\varepsilon s}|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq b_2, \quad |B^{\varepsilon f}|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq b_2, \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega, \quad (2.26)$$

$$\beta_\varepsilon \geq b_1, \quad A_{ij}^\varepsilon \xi_i \xi_j \geq b_1 \xi_i \xi_i, \quad B_{ij}^{\varepsilon s} \xi_i \xi_j \geq b_1 \xi_i \xi_i, \quad B_{ij}^{\varepsilon f} \xi_i \xi_j \geq b_1 \xi_i \xi_i, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega. \quad (2.27)$$

The rest of the data are the Forchheimer coefficient $d_\varepsilon > 0$, the Rayleigh number $\alpha_\varepsilon > 0$, the exterior forces $g \in L^2(\Omega)^N$, the heat sources $Q^f, Q^s \in L^2(\Omega)$ and the Forchheimer exponent $r \in \mathbb{R}$ with the property:

$$r \geq 2 \quad \text{if } N = 2 \quad \text{and} \quad 3 \leq r < 6 \quad \text{if } N = 3. \quad (2.28)$$

3. Existence and estimates of the weak solutions

We present in this section the existence and uniqueness properties of the weak solutions of the convection problem (2.15) – (2.24), together with an L^∞ -estimate of the temperature.

Let us introduce the following spaces:

$$H = \{v \in H(\operatorname{div}, \Omega), \quad v \in L^r(\Omega), \quad v_\nu = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega\}, \quad (3.1)$$

$$V = \{v \in H, \quad \operatorname{div} v = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega\}, \quad (3.2)$$

$$H_\varepsilon = \{v \in H, \quad v|_{\Omega_{\varepsilon f}} \in H^1(\Omega_{\varepsilon f})^N\}, \quad (3.3)$$

$$V_\varepsilon = \{v \in H_\varepsilon, \quad \operatorname{div} v = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega\}, \quad (3.4)$$

$$L_0^2(\Omega) = \{p \in L^2(\Omega), \quad \int_\Omega p = 0\}, \quad (3.5)$$

where v_ν stands for the normal trace on $\partial\Omega$.

For any $v \in H_\varepsilon$ we denote the normal trace on Γ_ε in $H(\operatorname{div}, \Omega)$ by v_{n^ε} and the trace on Γ_ε in $H^1(\Omega_{\varepsilon f})$ by $\gamma_{\varepsilon f} v$. As Γ_ε is of class C^2 , let us remark that

$$v_{n^\varepsilon} = (\gamma_{\varepsilon f} v) n^\varepsilon \in H^{1/2}(\Gamma_\varepsilon). \quad (3.6)$$

Denoting

$$v_{t^\varepsilon} = \gamma_{\varepsilon f} v - (v_{n^\varepsilon}) n^\varepsilon \in H^{1/2}(\Gamma_\varepsilon)^N, \quad (3.7)$$

we have also

$$(\gamma_{\varepsilon f} v)^2 = (v_{n^\varepsilon})^2 + (v_{t^\varepsilon})^2 \quad \text{a.e. on } \Gamma_\varepsilon. \quad (3.8)$$

We see now that H and H_ε , endowed with the norms

$$|v|_H = |v|_{L^r(\Omega)} + |\operatorname{div} v|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \quad (3.9)$$

$$|v|_{H_\varepsilon} = |v|_{L^r(\Omega_{\varepsilon s})} + |\operatorname{div}v|_{L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon s})} + |e(v)|_{L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon f})} + \varepsilon^{1/2}|v_{t^\varepsilon}|_{\Gamma_\varepsilon}, \quad (3.10)$$

are Banach spaces. Moreover, by rescaling the corresponding inequalities in Y , we obtain

$$|v|_{L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon f})} \leq C(\varepsilon|\nabla v|_{L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon f})} + \varepsilon^{1/2}|\gamma_{\varepsilon f}v|_{L^2(\Gamma_\varepsilon)}), \quad (3.11)$$

$$\varepsilon^{1/2}|v_{n^\varepsilon}|_{L^2(\Gamma_\varepsilon)} \leq C(|v|_{L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon s})} + \varepsilon|\operatorname{div}v|_{L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon s})}), \quad (3.12)$$

and consequently

$$|v|_{L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon f})} \leq C(|v|_{L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon s})} + \varepsilon|\operatorname{div}v|_{L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon s})} + \varepsilon|e(v)|_{L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon f})} + \varepsilon^{1/2}|v_{t^\varepsilon}|_{L^2(\Gamma_\varepsilon)}). \quad (3.13)$$

A useful property of the present structure is the existence of a bounded extension operator similar to that introduced in [1], [3], [8] and [10] in the case of isolated fractures.

Theorem 3.1. *There exists an extension operator $P_\varepsilon : H^1(\Omega_{\varepsilon f}) \rightarrow H^1(\Omega)$ such that*

$$P_\varepsilon u = u \quad \text{in } \Omega_{\varepsilon f} \quad (3.14)$$

$$|e(P_\varepsilon u)|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C|e(u)|_{L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon f})}, \quad \forall u \in H^1(\Omega_{\varepsilon f}) \quad (3.15)$$

where C is independent of ε .

A straightforward consequence, via the corresponding Korn inequality, is

Lemma 3.2. *There exists some constant $C > 0$, independent of ε , such that*

$$|u|_{H^1(\Omega_{\varepsilon f})} \leq C|u|_{H_\varepsilon}, \quad \forall u \in H_\varepsilon. \quad (3.16)$$

Denoting $T^\varepsilon = \theta^\varepsilon - \tau$ in (2.15)–(2.24), we are led to the following variational problem:

To find $(u^\varepsilon, T^\varepsilon) \in V_\varepsilon \times H_0^1(\Omega)$ which verifies

$$\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon s}} (1 + d_\varepsilon|u^\varepsilon|_{A^\varepsilon}^{r-2})A^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon v + \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon f}} e_{ij}(u^\varepsilon)e_{ij}(v) + \varepsilon\beta_\varepsilon \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon} u_{t^\varepsilon}^\varepsilon v + \alpha_\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} (T^\varepsilon + \tau)gv = 0, \quad \forall v \in V_\varepsilon, \quad (3.17)$$

$$\int_{\Omega} B^\varepsilon \nabla T^\varepsilon \nabla S + \int_{\Omega} u^\varepsilon S \nabla T^\varepsilon = \int_{\Omega} QS - \int_{\Omega} u^\varepsilon S \nabla \tau - \int_{\Omega} B^\varepsilon \nabla \tau \nabla S, \quad \forall S \in H_0^1(\Omega), \quad (3.18)$$

where we denoted

$$B^\varepsilon = \begin{cases} B^{\varepsilon s} & \text{in } \Omega_{\varepsilon s}, \\ B^{\varepsilon f} & \text{in } \Omega_{\varepsilon f} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad Q = \begin{cases} Q^s & \text{in } \Omega_{\varepsilon s}, \\ Q^f & \text{in } \Omega_{\varepsilon f}. \end{cases} \quad (3.19)$$

Theorem 3.3. *There exists a solution of the problem (3.17)–(3.18). Any solution $(u^\varepsilon, T^\varepsilon)$ of (3.17)–(3.18) has the property that $T^\varepsilon \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and that for some $c > 0$, independent of ε , we have*

$$|\nabla T^\varepsilon|_{L^2(\Omega)} + |T^\varepsilon + \tau|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq c \quad (3.20)$$

$$|u^\varepsilon|_{L^2(\Omega)} + |u^\varepsilon|_{H^1(\Omega_{\varepsilon f})} + \varepsilon^{1/2}|u_{t^\varepsilon}^\varepsilon|_{L^2(\Gamma_\varepsilon)} \leq c\alpha_\varepsilon \quad (3.21)$$

$$|u^\varepsilon|_{L^r(\Omega_{\varepsilon s})} \leq c\alpha_\varepsilon^{2/r}d_\varepsilon^{-1/r} \quad (3.22)$$

Proof. By splitting the system according to the two distinct types of nonlinearities involved, we expect to complete the proof by the Schauder fixed-point theorem.

For $w \in V_\varepsilon$, we define $T_w \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ to be the unique solution of the problem:

$$\int_{\Omega} B^\varepsilon \nabla T_w \nabla S + \int_{\Omega} w S \nabla T_w = \int_{\Omega} QS - \int_{\Omega} w S \nabla \tau - \int_{\Omega} B^\varepsilon \nabla \tau \nabla S, \quad \forall S \in H_0^1(\Omega) \quad (3.23)$$

First, let us examine the continuity of the convective term.

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} w S \nabla T_w \right| \leq |w|_{L^r(\Omega)} |T_w|_{L^{2r/(r-2)}(\Omega)} |S|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}. \quad (3.24)$$

As $r \geq N$, that is $2r/(r-2) \leq 2N/(N-2)$, and using the corresponding Sobolev inequality, we get

$$|T_w|_{L^{2r/(r-2)}(\Omega)} \leq c|T_w|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}. \quad (3.25)$$

Also, as $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and $r < 6$ if $N = 3$, we have

$$|w|_{L^r(\Omega_{\varepsilon f})} \leq c|w|_{H^1(\Omega_{\varepsilon f})}, \quad (3.26)$$

and together with (3.25), we finally obtain

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} w S \nabla T_w \right| \leq |w|_{H_{\varepsilon}} |T_w|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} |S|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}. \quad (3.27)$$

Then, the existence and uniqueness results follow straightly from the Lax-Milgram Theorem.

Moreover, acting like in Theorem 3.9 (see [11] and [17]), we prove that $T_w \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and there exists $c > 0$ (independent of ε) such that (3.18) is satisfied. Setting $S = T_w$ in (3.23), we obtain

$$|\nabla T_w|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq c(|Q|_{L^2(\Omega)} + |\nabla \tau|_{L^2(\Omega)} + (|Q|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \tau_0)|w|_{L^2(\Omega)}), \quad (3.28)$$

where $c > 0$ is independent of ε .

Also, we define $F(w) \in V_{\varepsilon}$ as the unique solution of the problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon s}} (1 + d_{\varepsilon}|F(w)|_{A^{\varepsilon}}^{r-2}) A^{\varepsilon} F(w) v + \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon f}} e_{ij}(F(w)) e_{ij}(v) + \varepsilon \beta_{\varepsilon} \int_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon}} F(w)_{t_{\varepsilon}} v = \\ = -\alpha_{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} (T_w + \tau) g v, \quad \forall v \in V_{\varepsilon}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

The existence and the uniqueness can be proved by the Browder-Minty Theorem (see [32]) applied to the strictly monotone function (see Corollary A.3 in the Appendices) $G_{\varepsilon} : V_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow V'_{\varepsilon}$ defined by

$$\langle G_{\varepsilon} u, v \rangle_{V_{\varepsilon}, V'_{\varepsilon}} = \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon s}} (1 + d_{\varepsilon}|u|_{A^{\varepsilon}}^{r-2}) A^{\varepsilon} u v + \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon f}} e_{ij}(u) e_{ij}(v) + \varepsilon \beta_{\varepsilon} \int_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon}} u_{t_{\varepsilon}} v, \quad (3.30)$$

which is also bounded and hemicontinuous. As $r \geq 2$ and as for any $u \in H_{\varepsilon}$ we have

$$\langle G_{\varepsilon} u, u \rangle_{V_{\varepsilon}, V'_{\varepsilon}} \geq c_{\varepsilon} (|u|_{L^r(\Omega_{\varepsilon s})}^r + |e(u)|_{L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon f})}^2 + |u_{t_{\varepsilon}}|_{L^2(\Gamma_{\varepsilon})}^2), \quad (3.31)$$

for some $c_{\varepsilon} > 0$ independent of u , the coerciveness of G_{ε} follows.

Next, we estimate the range of $F(w)$ with respect to $w \in V_{\varepsilon}$. Setting $v = F(w)$ in (3.29) and calling (3.18) we get for some $c > 0$ independent of ε

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\varepsilon}|F(w)|_{L^r(\Omega_{\varepsilon s})}^r + |F(w)|_{L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon s})}^2 + |e(F(w))|_{L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon f})}^2 + \varepsilon |F(w)_{t_{\varepsilon}}|_{L^2(\Gamma_{\varepsilon})}^2 \leq \\ \leq c \alpha_{\varepsilon} (\tau_0 + |Q|_{L^2(\Omega)}) |F(w)|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

Using (3.13) we finally obtain:

$$|F(w)|_{L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon s})} + |e(F(w))|_{L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon f})} + \varepsilon^{1/2} |F(w)_{t_{\varepsilon}}|_{L^2(\Gamma_{\varepsilon})} \leq c \alpha_{\varepsilon} (\tau_0 + |Q|_{L^2(\Omega)}), \quad (3.33)$$

$$|F(w)|_{L^r(\Omega_{\varepsilon s})} \leq c \alpha_{\varepsilon}^{2/r} (\tau_0 + |Q|_{L^2(\Omega)})^{2/r} d_{\varepsilon}^{-1/r}, \quad (3.34)$$

that is, there exists $c_F > 0$ independent of ε such that

$$|F(w)|_{H_{\varepsilon}} \leq c_F (\alpha_{\varepsilon} + \alpha_{\varepsilon}^{2/r} d_{\varepsilon}^{-1/r}). \quad (3.35)$$

Thus we have defined a mapping $w \in M_{\varepsilon} \mapsto F(w) \in M_{\varepsilon}$, where

$$M_{\varepsilon} = \{v \in V_{\varepsilon}, \quad |v|_{H_{\varepsilon}} \leq c_F (\alpha_{\varepsilon} + \alpha_{\varepsilon}^{2/r} d_{\varepsilon}^{-1/r})\}. \quad (3.36)$$

We check now that F is compact. Let $(w_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be bounded in V_{ε} ; then, using (3.28) and (3.13), we see that $(\nabla T_{w_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $L^2(\Omega)$. As $H_0^1(\Omega)$ is compactly included in $L^2(\Omega)$, we find that there exists a subsequence $(T_{w_{k'}})_{k' \in \mathbb{N}}$ which is a Cauchy sequence in $L^2(\Omega)$. Using the strict monotony of G_{ε} , it follows from (3.29) that $(F(w_{k'}))_{k' \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in V_{ε} .

We see that the Schauder fixed-point theorem can be applied. Thus we obtain an element $u \in V_{\varepsilon}$ such that $u = F(u)$ and obviously $(u, T_u) \in V_{\varepsilon} \times H_0^1(\Omega)$ is a solution of the problem (3.17)–(3.18).

The rest of the proof is straightforward. \blacksquare

Remark 3.4. Problem (3.17)–(3.18) has a unique solution only if we assume the Rayleigh number $\alpha_{\varepsilon} > 0$ to be small enough.

We proceed by recovering the pressure which was hidden by the (3.17)–(3.18) formulation. Let us introduce the spaces

$$\mathcal{V}(\Omega_{\varepsilon h}) = \{v \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega_{\varepsilon h})^N, \quad \operatorname{div} v = 0 \text{ in } \Omega_{\varepsilon h}\}, \quad h = s \text{ or } f, \quad (3.37)$$

$$L_\varepsilon = \{q \in L_0^2(\Omega), q|_{\Omega_{\varepsilon s}} \in W^{1,r'}(\Omega_{\varepsilon s})\}, \quad \frac{1}{r'} + \frac{1}{r} = 1. \quad (3.38)$$

Remark 3.5. $W^{1,r'}(\Omega_{\varepsilon s}) \subset L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon s})$.

Theorem 3.6. *Let $(u^\varepsilon, T^\varepsilon) \in V_\varepsilon \times H_0^1(\Omega)$ be a solution of (3.17)–(3.18). Then there exists $p^\varepsilon \in L_\varepsilon$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon s}} (1 + d_\varepsilon |u^\varepsilon|_{A^\varepsilon}^{r-2}) A^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon v + \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon f}} e_{ij}(u^\varepsilon) e_{ij}(v) + \varepsilon \beta_\varepsilon \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon} u_{t_\varepsilon}^\varepsilon v + \alpha_\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} (T^\varepsilon + \tau) g v = \\ = \int_{\Omega} p^\varepsilon \operatorname{div} v, \quad \forall v \in H_\varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (3.39)$$

Moreover, there exists $c > 0$ independent of ε such that

$$|p^\varepsilon|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq c (d_\varepsilon^{1/r} \alpha_\varepsilon^{2/r'} + \alpha_\varepsilon + \alpha_\varepsilon \beta_\varepsilon) \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla p^\varepsilon|_{L^{r'}(\Omega_{\varepsilon s})} \leq c (\alpha_\varepsilon + \alpha_\varepsilon^{2/r'} d_\varepsilon^{1/r'}) \quad (3.40)$$

Proof. For some $w \in \mathcal{V}(\Omega_{\varepsilon s})$, we set in (3.17)

$$v = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{in } \Omega_{\varepsilon f} \\ w & \text{in } \Omega_{\varepsilon s} \end{cases}. \quad (3.41)$$

Applying the corresponding version of the De Rham theorem we find that $\exists p^{\varepsilon s} \in W^{1,r'}(\Omega_{\varepsilon s})$, unique up to an additive constant, such that

$$-\nabla p^{\varepsilon s} = (1 + d_\varepsilon |u^{\varepsilon s}|_{A^\varepsilon}^{r-2}) A^\varepsilon u^{\varepsilon s} + \alpha_\varepsilon (T^\varepsilon + \tau) g \quad \text{in } L^{r'}(\Omega_{\varepsilon s}). \quad (3.42)$$

The corresponding Green formula follows:

$$\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon s}} (1 + d_\varepsilon |u^\varepsilon|_{A^\varepsilon}^{r-2}) A^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon v + \alpha_\varepsilon \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon s}} (T^\varepsilon + \tau) g v = \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon s}} p^{\varepsilon s} \operatorname{div} v + \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon} p^{\varepsilon s} v_{n^\varepsilon}, \quad \forall v \in H_\varepsilon. \quad (3.43)$$

Next, let $w \in \mathcal{V}(\Omega_{\varepsilon f})$ and set in (3.17)

$$v = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{in } \Omega_{\varepsilon s} \\ w & \text{in } \Omega_{\varepsilon f} \end{cases}. \quad (3.44)$$

Using again De Rham theorem, we find that $\exists p^{\varepsilon f} \in L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon f})$, unique up to additive constants corresponding to each connected component of $\Omega_{\varepsilon f}$, and such that

$$-\frac{\partial p^{\varepsilon f}}{\partial x_i} = \alpha_\varepsilon (T^\varepsilon + \tau) g_i - \frac{\partial e_{ij}(u^\varepsilon)}{\partial x_j} \quad \text{in } H^{-1}(\Omega_{\varepsilon f}). \quad (3.45)$$

Defining $\Sigma^{\varepsilon i} \in L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon f})^N$ by

$$\Sigma_j^{\varepsilon i} = -p^{\varepsilon f} \delta_{ij} + e_{ij}(u^\varepsilon) \quad (3.46)$$

we see that $\operatorname{div}(\Sigma^{\varepsilon i}) = \alpha_\varepsilon (T^\varepsilon + \tau) g_i \in L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon f})$ and the Green formula follows:

$$\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon f}} e_{ij}(u^\varepsilon) e_{ij}(v) + \alpha_\varepsilon \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon f}} (T^\varepsilon + \tau) g v = \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon f}} p^{\varepsilon f} \operatorname{div} v + \langle \Sigma_{n^\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon i}, v_i \rangle_{H^{-1/2}, H^{1/2}(\Gamma_\varepsilon)}, \quad \forall v \in H_\varepsilon. \quad (3.47)$$

From (3.43) and (3.47) we deduce that

$$\langle \Sigma_{n^\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon i}, v_i \rangle_{H^{-1/2}, H^{1/2}(\Gamma_\varepsilon)} + \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon} p^{\varepsilon s} v_{n^\varepsilon} + \varepsilon \beta_\varepsilon \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon} u_{t_\varepsilon}^\varepsilon v = 0, \quad \forall v \in V_\varepsilon. \quad (3.48)$$

We shall prove now that for a certain choice of the free constants, (3.48) holds for any $v \in H_\varepsilon$.

As Ω_ε^f is of class C^2 , we can introduce $\Sigma_{n^\varepsilon n^\varepsilon}^\varepsilon \in H^{-1/2}(\Gamma_\varepsilon)$ by

$$\langle \Sigma_{n^\varepsilon n^\varepsilon}^\varepsilon, u \rangle_{H^{-1/2}, H^{1/2}(\Gamma_\varepsilon)} = \langle \Sigma_{n^\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon i}, u n_i^\varepsilon \rangle_{H^{-1/2}, H^{1/2}(\Gamma_\varepsilon)}, \quad \forall u \in H^{1/2}(\Gamma_\varepsilon). \quad (3.49)$$

Also, for $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, k_\varepsilon\}$, we define $Q_\varepsilon^k : H^{1/2}(\Gamma_\varepsilon^k) \rightarrow H^{1/2}(\Gamma_\varepsilon)$ as the natural extension with zero:

$$Q_\varepsilon^k w(x) = \begin{cases} w(x), & x \in \Gamma_\varepsilon^k \\ 0, & x \in \Gamma_\varepsilon^i, \quad i \neq k. \end{cases} \quad (3.50)$$

First, let $w \in H^{1/2}(\Gamma_\varepsilon)^N$; we set in (3.48) $v \in V_\varepsilon$ with the properties

$$v = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega_{\varepsilon s} \quad \text{and} \quad v = w - w_{n^\varepsilon} n^\varepsilon \quad \text{on } \Gamma_\varepsilon. \quad (3.51)$$

Thus we obtain

$$\langle \Sigma_{n^\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon i}, w_i \rangle_{H^{-1/2}, H^{1/2}(\Gamma_\varepsilon)} - \langle \Sigma_{n^\varepsilon}^\varepsilon, w_{n^\varepsilon} \rangle_{H^{-1/2}, H^{1/2}(\Gamma_\varepsilon)} + \varepsilon \beta_\varepsilon \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon} u_{t^\varepsilon}^\varepsilon w = 0, \quad \forall w \in V_\varepsilon. \quad (3.52)$$

Next, let $w \in H^{1/2}(\Gamma_\varepsilon^k)$ with $\int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon^k} w = 0$; obviously, there exists $v \in V_\varepsilon$ such that $v = wn^\varepsilon$ on Γ_ε^k and $v = 0$ in $\Omega \setminus \Omega_\varepsilon^k$. By setting such a v in (3.48) we get

$$\langle \Sigma_{n^\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon k}, w \rangle_{H^{-1/2}, H^{1/2}(\Gamma_\varepsilon^k)} + \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon^k} p^{\varepsilon s} w = 0. \quad (3.53)$$

where $\Sigma_{n^\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon k} \in H^{-1/2}(\Gamma_\varepsilon^k)$ is defined by

$$\langle \Sigma_{n^\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon k}, v \rangle_{H^{-1/2}, H^{1/2}(\Gamma_\varepsilon^k)} = \langle \Sigma_{n^\varepsilon}^\varepsilon, Q_\varepsilon^k v \rangle_{H^{-1/2}, H^{1/2}(\Gamma_\varepsilon)}, \quad \forall v \in H^{1/2}(\Gamma_\varepsilon^k). \quad (3.54)$$

Classic manipulations of (3.53) yield

$$\Sigma_{n^\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon k} + p^{\varepsilon s} = \frac{1}{|\Gamma_\varepsilon^k|} \left(\langle \Sigma_{n^\varepsilon}^\varepsilon, Q_\varepsilon^k 1 \rangle_{H^{-1/2}, H^{1/2}(\Gamma_\varepsilon)} + \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon^k} p^{\varepsilon s} \right) \quad \text{in } H^{-1/2}(\Gamma_\varepsilon^k). \quad (3.55)$$

Choosing the free constants of $p^{\varepsilon f}$ and $p^{\varepsilon s}$ such that

$$\langle \Sigma_{n^\varepsilon}^\varepsilon, Q_\varepsilon^k 1 \rangle_{H^{-1/2}, H^{1/2}(\Gamma_\varepsilon)} + \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon^k} p^{\varepsilon s} = 0, \quad \forall k \in \{1, 2, \dots, k_\varepsilon\}, \quad (3.56)$$

$$\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon f}} p^{\varepsilon f} + \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon s}} p^{\varepsilon s} = 0 \quad (3.57)$$

we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Sigma_{n^\varepsilon}^\varepsilon, w_{n^\varepsilon} \rangle_{H^{-1/2}, H^{1/2}(\Gamma_\varepsilon)} &= \sum_{k=1}^{k_\varepsilon} \langle \Sigma_{n^\varepsilon}^\varepsilon, Q_\varepsilon^k(w_{n^\varepsilon}|_{\Gamma_\varepsilon^k}) \rangle_{H^{-1/2}, H^{1/2}(\Gamma_\varepsilon)} = \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{k_\varepsilon} \langle \Sigma_{n^\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon k}, w_{n^\varepsilon}|_{\Gamma_\varepsilon^k} \rangle_{H^{-1/2}, H^{1/2}(\Gamma_\varepsilon^k)} = - \sum_{k=1}^{k_\varepsilon} \langle p^{\varepsilon s}, w_{n^\varepsilon}|_{\Gamma_\varepsilon^k} \rangle_{H^{-1/2}, H^{1/2}(\Gamma_\varepsilon^k)} = \\ &= - \sum_{k=1}^{k_\varepsilon} \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon^k} p^{\varepsilon s}(w_{n^\varepsilon}|_{\Gamma_\varepsilon^k}) = - \int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon} p^{\varepsilon s} w_{n^\varepsilon}, \quad \forall w \in H_\varepsilon \end{aligned} \quad (3.58)$$

and hence (3.48) holds for any $v \in H_\varepsilon$.

Also, by adding (3.43) and (3.47), it follows that $p^\varepsilon \in L^\varepsilon$, defined by

$$p^\varepsilon = \begin{cases} p^{\varepsilon f} & \text{in } \Omega_{\varepsilon f}, \\ p^{\varepsilon s} & \text{in } \Omega_{\varepsilon s}, \end{cases} \quad (3.59)$$

satisfies (3.39).

Finally, as $p^\varepsilon \in L^\varepsilon$, there exists $v^\varepsilon \in H_\varepsilon$ such that

$$\operatorname{div} v^\varepsilon = p^\varepsilon \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad (3.60)$$

$$|v^\varepsilon|_{H_\varepsilon} \leq C |p^\varepsilon|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \quad (3.61)$$

with $C > 0$ independent of ε . The estimate (3.40) is obtained by using (3.18)–(3.22) and (3.61) in a straightforward manner. \blacksquare

4. Homogenizing the case of negligible Forchheimer effect

In this section we shall study the asymptotic behaviour (when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$) of $(u^\varepsilon, T^\varepsilon, T^\varepsilon) \in V_\varepsilon \times L_\varepsilon \times H_0^1(\Omega)$ verifying (3.18) and (3.39), as the Forchheimer effect is vanishing, that is,

$$d_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.1)$$

In the framework of the homogenization procedure, we assume that there exist $A \in L^\infty(\Omega, L^\infty_{\text{per}}(Y))^{N \times N}$, $\beta \in C^1_{\text{per}}(Y)$, B^f and $B^s \in L^\infty_{\text{per}}(Y)^{N \times N}$ such that

$$\beta^\varepsilon(x) = \beta\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right), \quad A^\varepsilon(x) = A\left(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right), \quad B^{\varepsilon s}(x) = B^s\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad B^{\varepsilon f}(x) = B^f\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \quad \text{for a.a. } x \in \Omega, \quad (4.2)$$

$$\beta \geq b_1, \quad A\xi_i\xi_j \geq b_1 \xi_i\xi_j, \quad B^f \xi_i\xi_j \geq b_1 \xi_i\xi_j, \quad \text{and} \quad B^s \xi_i\xi_j \geq b_1 \xi_i\xi_j, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega \times Y. \quad (4.3)$$

Also, there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$\alpha_\varepsilon \rightarrow \alpha \quad \text{when} \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.4)$$

Under these conditions, the estimates (3.20)–(3.22), (3.40) and the relation (3.42) yield

$$|u^\varepsilon|_{L^r(\Omega_{\varepsilon s})} \leq C d_\varepsilon^{-1/r}, \quad (4.5)$$

$$|u^\varepsilon|_{L^2(\Omega)} + |\nabla u^\varepsilon|_{L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon f})} + \varepsilon |u^\varepsilon_t|_{L^2(\Gamma_\varepsilon)} \leq C, \quad (4.6)$$

$$|\nabla T^\varepsilon|_{L^2(\Omega)} + |T^\varepsilon|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C, \quad (4.7)$$

$$|p^\varepsilon|_{L^2(\Omega)} + |\nabla p^\varepsilon|_{L^{r'}(\Omega_{\varepsilon s})} \leq C, \quad (4.8)$$

for some $C > 0$ independent of ε .

From (4.5) we obtain immediately

$$\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon s}} d_\varepsilon |u^\varepsilon|^{r-2} A^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon v \rightarrow 0, \quad \forall v \in H_\varepsilon, \quad (4.9)$$

that is, the Forchheimer term has no macroscopic influence in this case.

For any $h \in \{s, f\}$ and for any function φ defined on $\Omega \times Y$, let us introduce the following notations.

$$H_{\text{per}}(\text{div}, Y) = \{\varphi \in H_{\text{loc}}(\text{div}, \mathbb{R}^N), \varphi \text{ is } Y\text{-periodic}\}, \quad (4.10)$$

$$V_{\text{per}}(\text{div}, Y) = \{\varphi \in H_{\text{per}}(\text{div}, Y), \text{div}_y \varphi = 0 \text{ in } Y\}, \quad (4.11)$$

$$\varphi^h = \varphi|_{\Omega \times Y_h}, \quad \tilde{\varphi}^h = \frac{1}{|Y_h|} \int_{Y_h} \varphi(\cdot, y) dy, \quad h \in \{s, f\}, \quad (4.12)$$

$$\tilde{\varphi} = \int_Y \varphi(\cdot, y) dy, \quad \text{that is} \quad \tilde{\varphi} = (1 - m)\tilde{\varphi}^s + m\tilde{\varphi}^f. \quad (4.13)$$

$$H^1_{\text{per}}(Y_h) = \{\varphi \in H^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N), \varphi \text{ is } Y\text{-periodic}\}, \quad (4.14)$$

$$\tilde{H}^1_{\text{per}}(Y_h) = \{\varphi \in H^1_{\text{per}}(Y_h), \tilde{\varphi} = 0\}, \quad (4.15)$$

Also, for any sequence $(\varphi^\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$, bounded in $L^p(\Omega \times Y)$, $1 < p < \infty$, we denote

$$\varphi^\varepsilon \xrightarrow{p} \varphi$$

when φ^ε is two-scale convergent to $\varphi \in L^p(\Omega \times Y)$ in the sense of [22] and as usual

$$H_0(\text{div}, \Omega) = \{v \in H(\text{div}, \Omega), v_\nu = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}, \quad (4.16)$$

$$V_0(\text{div}, \Omega) = \{v \in H_0(\text{div}, \Omega), \text{div} v = 0 \text{ in } \Omega\}. \quad (4.17)$$

From (4.6), it follows that $\exists u \in L^2(\Omega \times Y)^N$ such that, on some subsequence

$$u^\varepsilon \xrightarrow{2} u \quad (4.18)$$

$$u^\varepsilon \rightharpoonup \int_Y u(\cdot, y) dy \in V_0(\text{div}, \Omega) \quad \text{weakly in} \quad L^2(\Omega)^N \quad (4.19)$$

Also, we see that $(\chi_{\varepsilon s} u^\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$, $(\chi_{\varepsilon f} u^\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ and $\left(\chi_{\varepsilon f} \frac{\partial u^\varepsilon}{\partial x_i}\right)_\varepsilon$ are bounded in $(L^2(\Omega))^N$, $\forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$.

This situation was already studied in [15] and we recall the results proved there.

Theorem 4.1. *There exist $u \in L^2(\Omega, V_{\text{per}}(\text{div}, Y))$ and $w \in L^2(\Omega, (H_{\text{per}}^1(Y_f)/\mathbb{R})^N)$ such that the following convergences hold on some subsequence:*

$$u^\varepsilon \xrightarrow{2} u, \quad (4.20)$$

$$\chi_{\varepsilon f} \nabla u_i^\varepsilon \xrightarrow{2} \chi_f (\nabla u_i^f + \nabla_y w_i), \quad \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}. \quad (4.21)$$

Moreover, we have

$$u^f = \tilde{u}^f \in H_0^1(\Omega) \quad (4.22)$$

$$\tilde{u} \in V_0(\text{div}, \Omega) \quad (4.23)$$

$$\text{div}_y w + \text{div} u^f = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times Y_f. \quad (4.24)$$

Concerning the temperature behaviour, from (4.7), and using the compactness result of [4], we get

Theorem 4.2. *There exist $T \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $R \in L^2(\Omega, H_{\text{per}}^1(Y)/\mathbb{R})$ such that*

$$T^\varepsilon \xrightarrow{2} T, \quad (4.25)$$

$$\frac{\partial T^\varepsilon}{\partial x_i} \xrightarrow{2} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial R}{\partial y_i} \right), \quad \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}. \quad (4.26)$$

Moreover, $T \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and we have

$$T^\varepsilon \rightharpoonup T \quad \text{weakly in } H_0^1(\Omega) \quad \text{and weakly star in } L^\infty(\Omega). \quad (4.27)$$

Theorem 4.3. *There exists $p \in L_0^2(\Omega \times Y)$ with $p^s = \tilde{p}^s \in W^{1,r'}(\Omega)$, such that on some subsequence we have*

$$p^\varepsilon \xrightarrow{2} p. \quad (4.28)$$

Proof. Calling (4.8), the compactness result of [4] implies the existence of some $p \in L_0^2(\Omega \times Y)$ such that (4.28) holds on some subsequence.

By rescaling the corresponding Rellich-Kondrachov inequality in Y_s , we have

$$|q|_{L^r(\Omega_{\varepsilon s})} \leq C\varepsilon |q|_{W_0^{1,r}(\Omega_{\varepsilon s})}, \quad \forall q \in W_0^{1,r}(\Omega_{\varepsilon s}). \quad (4.29)$$

Thus, taking (3.42) into account, we obtain

$$\left| \langle \nabla p^{\varepsilon s}, q \rangle_{W^{-1,r'}, W_0^{1,r}(\Omega_{\varepsilon s})} \right| = \left| \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon s}} q \nabla p^{\varepsilon s} \right| \leq |q|_{L^r(\Omega_{\varepsilon s})} |\nabla p^{\varepsilon s}|_{L^{r'}(\Omega_{\varepsilon s})} \leq C\varepsilon |q|_{W_0^{1,r}(\Omega_{\varepsilon s})}, \quad (4.30)$$

that is,

$$|\nabla p^{\varepsilon s}|_{W^{-1,r'}(\Omega_{\varepsilon s})} \leq C\varepsilon. \quad (4.31)$$

Then, using the extension operator of Lipton-Avellaneda ([21]), $Q_{\varepsilon s} \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon s}), L^2(\Omega))$ defined by

$$Q_{\varepsilon s} \pi = \begin{cases} \pi(x) & \text{in } \Omega_{\varepsilon s}, \\ \frac{1}{\varepsilon |Y_s|} \int_{\varepsilon k + \varepsilon Y_s} \pi(y) dy & \text{in } \Omega_{\varepsilon f}, \end{cases} \quad (4.32)$$

Theorem 3.2 of [26] implies that there exists $q^s \in L^2(\Omega)$ such that

$$Q_{\varepsilon s} p^{\varepsilon s} \rightarrow q^s \quad \text{in } L^2(\Omega) \quad (4.33)$$

$$\chi_{\varepsilon s} p^{\varepsilon s} \xrightarrow{2} \chi_s(y) q^s(x) \quad \text{in } L^2(\Omega \times Y). \quad (4.34)$$

Passing the equality

$$\chi_{\varepsilon s} Q_{\varepsilon s} p^{\varepsilon s} = \chi_{\varepsilon s} p^\varepsilon \quad \text{in } L^2(\Omega), \quad (4.35)$$

at the two-scale limit, we obtain

$$\chi_s(y) q^s(x) = \chi_s(y) p(x, y) \quad \text{for a.a. } (x, y) \in \Omega \times Y, \quad (4.36)$$

that is, $\tilde{p}^s = p^s \in L^2(\Omega)$.

Moreover, (3.20)–(3.21) of [26] reads:

$$Q_{\varepsilon s} p^{\varepsilon s} \rightarrow p^s \quad \text{in } L^r(\Omega)/\mathbb{R}, \quad (4.37)$$

$$\nabla(Q_{\varepsilon s} p^{\varepsilon s}) \rightarrow \nabla p^s \quad \text{in } W^{-1,r'}(\Omega). \quad (4.38)$$

Noticing that

$$|\nabla(Q_{\varepsilon s} p^{\varepsilon s})|_{L^{r'}(\Omega)} + |\nabla p^{\varepsilon s}|_{L^{r'}(\Omega)} \leq C, \quad (4.39)$$

we infer that (4.38) implies

$$\nabla(Q_{\varepsilon s} p^{\varepsilon s}) \rightarrow \nabla p^s \quad \text{in } L^{r'}(\Omega), \quad (4.40)$$

that is, $\tilde{p}^s = p^s \in W^{1,r'}(\Omega)$. \blacksquare

Now, we can present the so-called two-scale homogenized problem, verified by the limits given by Theorems 4.1–4.3. We find this problem to be well-posed at least for α sufficiently small. Hence, the asymptotic behaviour of u^ε , T^ε and p^ε is completely described by the solutions of this problem, via (4.20)–(4.21), (4.25)–(4.27) and (4.28).

Denoting

$$H(\Omega \times Y) = \{u \in L^2(\Omega \times Y), \operatorname{div}_y u = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \times Y, u^f = \tilde{u}^f \in H_0^1(\Omega)^N, \tilde{u} \in H_0(\operatorname{div}, \Omega)\}, \quad (4.41)$$

$$V(\Omega \times Y) = \{u \in H(\Omega \times Y), \operatorname{div} \tilde{u} = 0 \text{ in } \Omega\}, \quad (4.42)$$

we see that

$$X = H(\Omega \times Y) \times L^2(\Omega, \tilde{H}_{\text{per}}^1(Y_f)^N) \quad (4.43)$$

is a Hilbert space endowed with the scalar product

$$((u, w), (\varphi, \psi))_X = \int_{\Omega \times Y_s} u \cdot \varphi + \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div} \tilde{u} \operatorname{div} \tilde{\varphi} + \int_{\Omega \times Y_f} (e(u) + e_y(w))(e(\varphi) + e_y(\psi)). \quad (4.44)$$

We also have to introduce the following spaces

$$M = \{q \in L_0^2(\Omega \times Y), \quad q^s = \tilde{q}^s \in H^1(\Omega)\},$$

$$X_0 = \{(u, w) \in X, \operatorname{div} \tilde{u} = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \operatorname{div}_y w + \operatorname{div} u^f = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \times Y_f\}.$$

Theorem 4.4. *$(u, w) \in X_0$, $(T, R) \in H_0^1(\Omega) \times H_{\text{per}}^1(Y)/\mathbb{R}$ and $p \in M$, the limits of the convergences (4.20)–(4.21), (4.25)–(4.27) and (4.28), verify the following system:*

$$\int_{\Omega \times Y} B(\nabla(T+\tau) + \nabla_y R)(\nabla \Phi + \nabla_y \Psi) + \int_{\Omega} \tilde{u} \Phi \nabla(T+\tau) = \int_{\Omega} \tilde{Q} \Phi, \quad \forall (\Phi, \Psi) \in H_0^1(\Omega) \times H_{\text{per}}^1(Y)/\mathbb{R}. \quad (4.45)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega \times Y_s} A u \varphi + \int_{\Omega \times Y_f} (e(u) + e_y(w))(e(\varphi) + e_y(\psi)) + \int_{\Omega \times \Gamma} \beta(u^f - u_n^f n) \varphi + \alpha \int_{\Omega} (T + \tau) g \tilde{\varphi} = \\ & = \int_{\Omega} p^s \operatorname{div} \tilde{\varphi} + \int_{\Omega \times Y_f} (p^f - p^s)(\operatorname{div} \varphi + \operatorname{div}_y \psi), \quad \forall (\varphi, \psi) \in X. \end{aligned} \quad (4.46)$$

Proof. First, for some $\Phi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ and $\Psi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega, C_{\text{per}}^\infty(Y))$, we set $S = \Phi + \varepsilon \Psi^\varepsilon$ in (3.18), where $\Psi^\varepsilon(x) = \Psi(x, x/\varepsilon)$ for a.a. $x \in \Omega$. Using (4.20)–(4.21) and (4.25)–(4.27) we easily obtain (4.45), even the convergence of the convective term, as

$$\int_{\Omega} u^\varepsilon \Phi \nabla T^\varepsilon = - \int_{\Omega} T^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon \nabla \Phi \quad \text{and} \quad u^\varepsilon \rightharpoonup \tilde{u} \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(\Omega). \quad (4.47)$$

Next, let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega, C_{\text{per}}^\infty(Y))^N$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega, C_{\text{per}}^\infty(Y_f))^N$ such that $(\varphi, \psi) \in X$. Let $\hat{\psi}$ a prolongation of ψ to $\mathcal{D}(\Omega, \tilde{H}_{\text{per}}(\operatorname{div}, Y))$, which can be done, for instance, by considering a certain Neumann problem in Y_s . Denoting, as usual, $\varphi^\varepsilon(x) = \varphi\left(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)$ and $\psi^\varepsilon(x) = \hat{\psi}\left(x, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)$, we can set $v(x) = \varphi^\varepsilon(x) + \varepsilon \psi^\varepsilon(x)$ in (3.39). Passing to the limit with $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and using the two-scale convergences of Theorems 4.1–4.3, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} p^\varepsilon \operatorname{div}(\varphi^\varepsilon + \varepsilon \psi^\varepsilon) = \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon f}} p^\varepsilon ((\operatorname{div}_x \varphi)^\varepsilon + (\operatorname{div}_y \psi)^\varepsilon + \varepsilon (\operatorname{div}_x \psi)^\varepsilon) + \\ & + \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon s}} p^\varepsilon ((\operatorname{div}_x \varphi)^\varepsilon + (\operatorname{div}_y \hat{\psi})^\varepsilon + \varepsilon (\operatorname{div}_x \psi)^\varepsilon) \rightarrow \int_{\Omega \times Y_f} p^f (\operatorname{div}_x \varphi + \operatorname{div}_y \psi) + \int_{\Omega \times Y_s} p^s (\operatorname{div}_x \varphi + \operatorname{div}_y \hat{\psi}). \end{aligned}$$

As $p \in M$, we have also

$$\int_{\Omega \times Y_s} p^s \operatorname{div}_y \hat{\psi} = - \int_{\Omega \times \Gamma} p^s \psi_n = - \int_{\Omega \times Y_f} p^s \operatorname{div}_y \psi$$

and the convergence of the right-hand side term of (3.39) is proved. All the other convergences are straightforward, except that on $\Omega \times \Gamma_\varepsilon$, which is similar to that in [17]. \blacksquare

The system (4.45)–(4.46) will provide all the local solutions of our problem, allowing us to successively eliminate some of the rapidly oscillating unknowns from the governing system.

First, denoting

$$V_f = \{\varphi \in (H_{\text{per}}^1(Y_f)/\mathbb{R})^N, \operatorname{div}_y \varphi = 0\}, \quad (4.48)$$

$$K_f = \{\varphi \in (H_{\text{per}}^1(Y_f)/\mathbb{R})^N, \operatorname{div}_y \varphi = -1\}, \quad (4.49)$$

for any $k, h \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ we define $R^k \in H_{\text{per}}^1(Y)/\mathbb{R}$, $(W^{kh}, q^{kh}) \in V_f \times L_0^2(Y_f)$ and $W \in K_f$ as the unique solutions of the following three problems:

$$\int_Y B \nabla(y_k + R^k) \nabla \psi = 0, \quad \forall \psi \in H_{\text{per}}^1(Y)/\mathbb{R}, \quad (4.50)$$

$$\text{where } B = \begin{cases} B^s & \text{in } Y_s, \\ B^f & \text{in } Y_f, \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \int_{Y_f} (\delta_{ik} \delta_{jh} + e_{y,ij}(W^{kh})) e_{y,ij}(\psi) = \int_{Y_f} q^{kh} \operatorname{div}_y \psi, & \forall \psi \in (H_{\text{per}}^1(Y_f)/\mathbb{R})^N, \\ \int_{Y_f} q \operatorname{div}_y(W^{hk}) = 0, & \forall q \in L_0^2(Y_f), \end{cases} \quad (4.51)$$

$$\int_{Y_f} e_y(W) e_y(\psi) = 0, \quad \forall \psi \in V_f. \quad (4.52)$$

The existence and uniqueness results for (4.50) and (4.51) are obtained by the Lax-Milgram Theorem. Regarding (4.52), we notice that W is the projection of 0 on the closed convex $K_f \neq \emptyset$ in $(H_{\text{per}}^1(Y_f)/\mathbb{R})^N$.

Setting $\Phi = 0$ in (4.45) and $\varphi = 0$ in (4.46), we find that R , w and p^f have closed expressions with respect to u^f , T and p^s :

$$R(x, y) = R^i(y) \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_i}(x), \quad (4.53)$$

$$w(x, y) = W^{ij}(y) e_{ij}(u^f)(x) + W(y) \operatorname{div}(u^f)(x), \quad (4.54)$$

$$p^f(x, y) = p^s(x) + q^{ij}(y) e_{ij}(u^f)(x), \quad \text{for a.a. } (x, y) \in \Omega \times Y. \quad (4.55)$$

Using (4.53)–(4.55), we eliminate R , w and p^f by an appropriate choice of test functions, respectively

$$\Psi = R^i \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_i} \text{ in (4.45) and } \psi = W^{ij} e_{ij}(\varphi) \text{ in (4.46).}$$

Thus we find the system which determines the leading limits of our homogenisation process.

Theorem 4.5. *If $u \in V(\Omega \times Y)$, $T \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $p \in M$ are the limits given by Theorems 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3, then they verify the following system:*

$$\int_{\Omega \times Y} B^H \nabla(T + \tau) \nabla \Phi + \int_{\Omega} \tilde{u} \Phi \nabla(T + \tau) = \int_{\Omega} \tilde{Q} \Phi, \quad \forall \Phi \in H_0^1(\Omega), \quad (4.56)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega \times Y_s} A u^s \varphi^s + m \mu_{ijkh}^H \int_{\Omega} e_{ij}(u^f) e_{kh}(\varphi^f) + m \beta_{ij}^H \int_{\Omega} u_i^f \varphi_j^f + \alpha \int_{\Omega} (T + \tau) g \tilde{\varphi} = \\ = \int_{\Omega} p^s \operatorname{div} \tilde{\varphi}, \quad \forall \varphi \in H(\Omega \times Y), \end{aligned} \quad (4.57)$$

where the so-called effective coefficients which appear in (4.56)–(4.57) are given by

$$B_{ij}^H = \int_Y B_{kh} \left(\delta_{ik} + \frac{\partial R^k}{\partial y_i} \right) \left(\delta_{jh} + \frac{\partial R^h}{\partial y_j} \right), \quad (4.58)$$

$$\mu_{ijkh}^H = \frac{1}{|Y_f|} \int_{Y_f} ((\delta_{\ell k} \delta_{mh} + e_{y, \ell m}(W^{kh})) (\delta_{\ell i} \delta_{mj} + e_{y, \ell m}(W^{ij})) + e_y(W) e_y(W) \delta_{ik} \delta_{jh}), \quad (4.59)$$

$$\beta_{ij}^H = \frac{1}{|Y_f|} \int_{\Gamma} \beta(y) (\delta_{ij} - \nu_i(y) \nu_j(y)) d\sigma_y. \quad (4.60)$$

Remark 4.6. The tensors B^H and μ^H are positive-definite and have the usual symmetry properties $B_{ij}^H = B_{ji}^H$ and $\mu_{ijkh}^H = \mu_{khij}^H = \mu_{jikh}^H$; β^H is also symmetric and has the property:

$$\beta_{ij}^H \int_{\Omega} \varphi_i \varphi_j = \int_{\Omega \times \Gamma} \beta (\gamma \varphi - (\gamma \nu \varphi) \nu)^2 \geq 0, \quad \forall \varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega)^N. \quad (4.61)$$

Remark 4.7. In the case when A is independent of y , that is $A \in L^\infty(\Omega)^{N \times N}$, we can go further. The system (4.56)–(4.57) yields:

$$u_i^s = u_i^f - \left(\frac{1}{|Y_s|} \int_{Y_s} U_i^k(y) \right) \left(A_{kj} u_j^f + \alpha(T + \tau) g_i + \frac{\partial p^s}{\partial x_k} \right) \quad \text{in } L^2(\Omega \times Y_s), \quad (4.62)$$

where $U^k \in H_0(\text{div}, Y_s)$ is the unique solution of

$$\int_{Y_s} A U^k \Theta = \int_{Y_s} \Theta_k, \quad \forall \Theta \in H_0(\text{div}, Y_s). \quad (4.63)$$

Noticing that $\left(\frac{1}{|Y_s|} \int_{Y_s} U_i^k(y) \right)$ are the elements of a symmetric and positive-definite matrix, we define its inverse by A^H . Thus, redenoting $\theta = T + \tau$, we find that

$(\tilde{u}^s, u^f, p^s, \theta) \in H_0(\text{div}, \Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega) \times W^{1,r'}(\Omega)/\mathbb{R} \times H^1(\Omega)$ is weak solution of the system

$$(1 - m) \text{div} \tilde{u}^s + m \text{div} u^f = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad (4.64)$$

$$\nabla p^s + A^H \tilde{u}^s + \alpha \theta g = (A^H - A) u^f \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (4.65)$$

$$\nabla p^s - \text{div}(\mu^H e(u^f)) + \alpha \theta g = -\beta^H u^f \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (4.66)$$

$$-\text{div}(B^H \nabla \theta) + \tilde{u} \nabla \theta = (1 - m) Q^s + m Q^f \quad \text{in } \Omega. \quad (4.67)$$

$$\theta = \tau \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega. \quad (4.68)$$

This is a model of two coupled thermal flows, neither of them being incompressible. The terms of the right-hand sides of (4.65) and (4.66) come from the Beavers-Joseph and the incompressible transfer conditions on the vanished interface.

Appendices

A. A result of strict monotonicity

We present here the inequality claimed in the proof of Theorem 3.3.

Theorem A.1. *Let $(\cdot, \cdot)_V$ be an inner product on a vector space V over \mathbb{R} and let $|\cdot|_V$ be the associated norm. Then, for every $p \geq 2$, it holds:*

$$|u + v|_V^p \leq (|2u|_V^{p-2} u + |2v|_V^{p-2} v, u + v)_V, \quad \forall u, v \in V. \quad (A.1)$$

Proof. The cases when $p = 2$ or $u = 0$ or $v = 0$ are obvious. Then, let $p > 2$, $u \neq 0$, $v \neq 0$; denoting $|u|_V = a > 0$, $|v|_V = b > 0$ and $|u + v|_V = t$ and defining $f : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by:

$$f(t) = t^p - 2^{p-3} (a^{p-2} + b^{p-2}) t^2 - 2^{p-3} (a^{p-2} - b^{p-2}) (a^2 - b^2) \quad (A.2)$$

we see that (A.1) is equivalent to:

$$f(t) \leq 0, \quad \forall t \in [|a - b|, a + b]. \quad (A.3)$$

As f is decreasing on $[0, t_0]$ and increasing on $[t_0, +\infty[$ where

$$t_0 = 2 \left(\frac{a^{p-2} + b^{p-2}}{p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}}, \quad (A.4)$$

the proof is completed by the following two inequalities:

$$f(0) = -2^{p-3}(a^{p-2} - b^{p-2})(a^2 - b^2) \leq 0 \quad (\text{A.5})$$

$$f(a+b) = 2^p \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right) \left(\left(\frac{(a+b)}{2} \right)^{p-1} - \frac{a^{p-1} + b^{p-1}}{2} \right) \leq 0 \quad (\text{A.6})$$

which holds for any $a, b > 0$ as $p > 2$. ■

When $A = I$, the following results have been already proved in \mathbb{R}^2 (see [14]) and in \mathbb{R}^N (see [30]).

Corollary A.2. *Let A be a positive-definite matrix on \mathbb{R}^N , $N \geq 1$, and $p \geq 2$. Denoting by $(x, y)_A = (y^T Ax)^{1/2}$, $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N$, and by $|\cdot|_A$ the associated norm, we have*

$$|x - y|_A^p \leq 2^{p-2}(x - y)^T (|x|_A^{p-2} Ax - |y|_A^{p-2} Ay), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

Corollary A.3. *Let $A \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ be symmetric with the property that $\exists \alpha > 0$ such that*

$$A_{ij}(x)\xi_i\xi_j \geq \alpha|\xi|^2, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega. \quad (\text{A.8})$$

with Ω a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N , $N \geq 1$. Then, there exists $m > 0$ such that for any $p \geq 2$ it holds:

$$\int_{\Omega} (|u|_A^{p-2} Au - |v|_A^{p-2} Av, u - v) dx \geq m \int_{\Omega} |u - v|^p dx \quad (\text{A.9})$$

where (\cdot, \cdot) denotes the Euclidean inner product on \mathbb{R}^N .

Acknowledgment

This work has been accomplished during the visit of D. Poliřevski at the I.R.M.A.R.'s Department of Mechanics (University of Rennes 1), whose support is gratefully acknowledged. The authors also acknowledge partial support from the International Network GDRI ECO-Math.

References

- [1] Acerbi, E., Chiadò Piat, V., Dal Maso, G., Percivale, D.: An extension theorem from connected sets, and homogenization in general periodic domains, *Nonlinear Anal.* **18**(5), 481-496 (1992).
- [2] Allaire, G.: Homogenization of the Stokes flow in a connected porous medium, *Asymptotic Analysis* **2**, 203-222 (1989).
- [3] Allaire, G.: Homogenization of the Navier-Stokes Equations with a Slip Boundary Condition, *Communications in Pure and Applied Mathematics* **44**, 605-641 (1991).
- [4] Allaire, G.: Homogenization and two-scale convergence, *S.I.A.M. J. Math. Anal.*, **23**, 1482-1511 (1992).
- [5] Barenblatt, G.I., Zheltov, Y.P., Kochina, I.N.: On basic conceptions of the theory of homogeneous fluids seepage in fractured rocks (in Russian), *Prikl.Mat. i Mekh.*, **24**, 852-864 (1960).
- [6] Barenblatt, G.I., Entov, V.M., Ryzhik, V.M.: *Theory of Fluid Flows Through Natural Rocks*, Kluwer Acad. Pub., Dordrecht, 1990.
- [7] Beavers, G.S., Joseph, D.D.: Boundary conditions at a naturally permeable wall, *J. Fluid Mech.* **30**, 197-207 (1967).
- [8] Cioranescu, D., Saint Jean-Paulin, J.: Homogenization in open sets with holes, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **71**(2), 590-607 (1979).
- [9] Cioranescu, D., Donato, P.: *An Introduction to Homogenization*, Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and Its Applications, vol. 17, Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 1999.
- [10] Conca, C.: On the application of the homogenization theory to a class of problems arising in fluid mechanics, *J. Math. Pures et Appl.* **64**, 31-75 (1985).
- [11] Ene, H.I., Poliřevski, D.: *Thermal Flow in Porous Media*, D. Reidel Pub. Co., Dordrecht, 1987.
- [12] Ene, H.I., Poliřevski, D.: Model of diffusion in partially fissured media, *Z.A.M.P.* **53**, 1052-1059 (2002).
- [13] Forchheimer, P: Wasserbewegung durch boden, *Z. Ver. Dtsch. Ing.* **45**, 1782-1788 (1901).

- [14] Glowinski, R., Marrocco, A.: Sur l'approximation, par éléments finis d'ordre un, et la résolution, par pénalisation-dualité, d'une classe de problèmes de Dirichlet non linéaires, *Rev. Française Automat. Informat. Recherche Opérationnelle, Sér. Rouge Anal. Numér.* **9**, 41–76 (1975).
- [15] Gruais I., Poliřevski, D.: Fluid flows through fractured porous media along Beavers-Joseph interfaces, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, **102**, 482–497 (2014).
- [16] Gruais I., Poliřevski, D.: Heat transfer models for two-component media with interfacial jump, *Appl. Anal.*, **96**(2), 247–260 (2017).
- [17] Gruais I., Poliřevski, D.: Model of two-temperature convective transfer in porous media, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.*, **68**(6), Art. 143, 11 (2017).
- [18] Jäger, W., Mikelić, A.: Modeling effective interface laws for transport phenomena between an unconfined fluid and a porous medium using homogenization, *Transp. Porous Med.* **78**, 489–508 (2009).
- [19] Jones, I.P.: Low Reynolds number flow past a porous spherical shell, *Proc Camb. Phil. Soc.* **73**, 231–238 (1973).
- [20] Kinderlehrer, D. and Stampacchia, G.: An introduction to Variational Inequalities and Their Applications, Academic Press, New-York, 1980.
- [21] Lipton, R., Avellaneda, M.: Darcy's law for slow viscous flow past a stationary array of bubbles, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* **114** (1-2), 71–79 (1990).
- [22] Lukkassen, D., Nguetseng, G., Wall, P.: Two-scale convergence. *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math.* **2**, 35–86 (2002).
- [23] Muskat, M.: *The Flow of Homogeneous Fluids through Porous Media*, Edwards, MI, (1946)
- [24] Nguetseng, G.: A general convergence result for a functional related to the theory of homogenization, *S.I.A.M. J.Math.Anal.* **20**, 608–623 (1989).
- [25] Poliřevski, D.: On the homogenization of fluid flows through periodic media, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univers. Politecn. Torino* **45**(2), 129–139 (1987).
- [26] Poliřevski, D.: Basic homogenization results for a biconnected ε -periodic structure, *Appl. Anal.* **82**(4), 301–309 (2003).
- [27] Poliřevski, D.: The Regularized Diffusion in Partially Fractured Porous Media, in: L. Dragos (Ed.) *Current Topics in Continuum Mechanics, Volume 2*, Ed. Academiei, Bucharest, 2003.
- [28] Saffman, P.G.: On the boundary condition at the interface of a porous medium. *Stud. Appl. Math.* **50**, 93–101 (1971).
- [29] Showalter, R.E., Walkington, N.J.: Micro-structure models of diffusion in fissured media, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **155**, 1-20 (1991).
- [30] Simon, J.: Régularité de la solution d'une équation non linéaire dans \mathbb{R}^N , *Journées d'Analyse non linéaire. Proceedings, Besançon, France (1977)*. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* 665. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 205-227 (1978).
- [31] Whitaker, S.: Flow in porous media I: A theoretical derivation of Darcys law. *Transp Por Med*, **1** (1), 3–25 (1986)
- [32] Zeidler, E.: *Nonlinear functional analysis and its applications. I, Fixed-point theorems*, Springer-Verlag, New York (1986).

Isabelle Gruais
 Université de Rennes 1, I.R.M.A.R
 Campus de Beaulieu
 35042 Rennes Cedex
 France
 e-mail: isabelle.gruais@univ-rennes1.fr

Dan Poliřevski
 Inst. Math. "S.Stoilow"
 Romanian Academy
 P.O. Box 1-764
 Bucharest
 Romania