

# Cauchy and uniform temporal functions of globally hyperbolic cone fields

Patrick Bernard, Stefan Suhr

#### ▶ To cite this version:

Patrick Bernard, Stefan Suhr. Cauchy and uniform temporal functions of globally hyperbolic cone fields. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 2020, 148, pp.4951-4966. hal-02129166v2

### HAL Id: hal-02129166 https://hal.science/hal-02129166v2

Submitted on 25 Mar 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## CAUCHY AND UNIFORM TEMPORAL FUNCTIONS OF GLOBALLY HYPERBOLIC CONE FIELDS.

#### PATRICK BERNARD AND STEFAN SUHR

ABSTRACT. We study a class of time functions called uniform temporal functions in the general context of globally hyperbolic closed cone fields. We prove some existence results for uniform temporal functions, and establish the density of uniform temporal functions in Cauchy causal functions.

#### 1. Introduction

Let us first recall some definitions from [6], see also [11]. We say that  $C \subset E$  is a convex cone in the vector space E if it is a (possibly empty) convex set C contained in an open half space which is positively homogeneous:  $tx \in C$  if t > 0 and  $x \in C$ . A cone field on a smooth manifold M is a subset  $C \subset TM$  such that  $C(x) := C \cap T_x M$  is a convex cone for each  $x \in M$ . We say that C is a closed cone field if it is a cone field such that  $C \cup T^0 M$  is closed in TM, where  $T^0 M$  is the zero section of the tangent bundle. Our definitions imply that cone fields are disjoint from  $T^0 M$ . We say that E is an open cone field if it is a cone field open in TM. The main example of closed cone fields we have in mind is the set of future pointing non spacelike vectors associated to a continuous time oriented Lorentzian metric. Our setting is more general, but our main results are new even in this important case. The main example of open cone field is the set of future pointing timelike vectors associated to a continuous time oriented Lorentzian metric.

The domain of C is the set of points x such that C(x) is not empty. It is a closed subset of M. The points where C(x) is empty are called degenerate points.

For a closed cone field  $\mathcal{C}$ , the curve  $\gamma:I\longrightarrow M$  is said to be  $\mathcal{C}\text{-}causal$  (or just causal) if it is locally Lipschitz and if the inclusion  $\dot{\gamma}(t)\in\mathcal{C}(\gamma(t))$  holds for almost all  $t\in I$ . It is convenient to declare that the trivial curve  $\gamma:\{0\}\longmapsto x$  is causal if and only if x belongs to the domain of  $\mathcal{C}$ . The causal future  $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}^+(x)$  of x is the set of points  $y\in M$  such that there exists a causal curve  $\gamma:[0,T]\longrightarrow M, T\geqslant 0$  satisfying  $\gamma(0)=x$  and  $\gamma(T)=y$ . The strictly causal future  $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}^{++}(x)$  of x is the set of points  $y\in M$  such that there exists a non trivial causal curve  $\gamma:[0,T]\longrightarrow M, T>0$  satisfying  $\gamma(0)=x$  and  $\gamma(T)=y$ . We thus have  $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}^+(x)=\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}^{++}(x)\cup\{x\}$  if  $x\in\mathcal{D}$ , and  $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}^{++}(x)\subset\mathcal{J}^+(x)$  are empty otherwise. The causal past  $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}^-(x)$  is the set of points  $x'\in M$  such that  $x\in\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}^+(x')$ . More generally, for each subset  $A\subset M$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}^\pm(A):=\cup_{x\in A}\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}^\pm(x)$  the causal future and past of A. We have the inclusion  $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}^+(y)\subset\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}^+(x)$  if  $y\in\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}^+(x)$ .

The closed cone field  $\mathcal{C}$  is said to be *locally solvable* if, for each point x in the domain of  $\mathcal{C}$ , there exists a nonconstant causal curve  $\gamma:]-1,1[\longrightarrow M$  satisfying

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 53C50, 37B25.

This research has been supported by the SFB/TRR 191 "Symplectic Structures in Geometry, Algebra and Dynamics", funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

 $\gamma(0) = x$  (if this property is satisfied for the point x, we say that  $\mathcal{C}$  is solvable at x). A closed cone field is always locally solvable in the interior of its domain. In particular, closed cone fields without degenerate points are locally solvable. We will say that the closed cone field  $\mathcal{C}$  has smooth domain if its domain  $\mathcal{D}$  is a submanifold with boundary. This case includes the setting of [1]. Note that the hypothesis of timelike boundary in [1] implies local solvability.

We say that the closed cone field  $\mathcal{C}$  is causal if  $x \notin \mathcal{J}^{++}(x)$  for each  $x \in M$ . We say that  $\mathcal{C}$  is hyperbolic if it is causal and if moreover  $\mathcal{J}^+(K) \cap \mathcal{J}^-(K')$  is compact for each compact sets  $K, K' \subset M$ . We say that  $\mathcal{C}$  is globally hyperbolic if it is hyperbolic and locally solvable (this definition is more general than the one in [6] as it allows the possibility of degenerate points).

In the case where  $\mathcal{C}$  contains a non-degenerate open cone field (for example in the case of a continuous time oriented Lorentzian metric), the above definition is equivalent to the usual (since [5]) condition that  $\mathcal{C}$  is causal and that the diamonds  $\mathcal{J}^+(x) \cap \mathcal{J}^-(x')$  are all compact, as was proved in [6].

A continuous function  $\tau: M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said *causal* if  $\tau \circ \gamma$  is non decreasing for each causal curve  $\gamma$ ; a *time function* if  $\tau \circ \gamma$  is increasing for each causal curve  $\gamma$ ; temporal if it is smooth and if  $d\tau_x \cdot v > 0$  for each  $(x, v) \in \mathcal{C}$ .

A smooth function is causal if and only  $d\tau_x \cdot v \geqslant 0$  for each  $(x,v) \in \mathcal{C}$ . A temporal function is a time function, and a time function is causal. Being causal, a time function, or temporal depends only on the values of  $\tau$  in a neighborhood of the domain.

A causal curve  $\gamma\colon I\longrightarrow M$  is said complete if I is an open interval and neither  $\lim_{t\uparrow\sup I}\gamma(t)$  nor  $\lim_{t\downarrow\inf I}\gamma(t)$  exist. A causal curve  $\gamma\colon I\to M$ , where I is a (not necessarily open) time interval, is said inextensible if it cannot be extended to a larger time interval. Each causal curve can be extended to an inextensible causal curve. Complete causal curves are inextensible, but the converse is true only in the locally solvable case. More precisely:

**Lemma 1.1.** The closed cone field C is locally solvable if and only if all inextensible causal curves are complete.

*Proof.* If  $\mathcal{C}$  is locally solvable, then a causal curve  $\gamma:]a,b[\longrightarrow M$  which has a limit at b (resp. a) can be extended to a causal curve defined on ]a,b+1[ (resp. ]a-1,b[). Conversely, assume that each inextensible causal curve is complete. Then for each x in the domain of  $\mathcal{C}$ , the trivial causal curve  $\{0\} \longmapsto x$  can be extended to a complete curve defined on some open interval ]a,b[. By reparametrization, we can assume that a=-1,b=1.

The causal function  $\tau$  is said Cauchy if  $\tau \circ \gamma : I \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is onto for each inextensible causal curve  $\gamma : I \longrightarrow M$ . The existence of a Cauchy causal function implies that inextensible causal curves are complete, hence that  $\mathcal{C}$  is locally solvable.

It is known in the classical setting that a spacetime is globally hyperbolic if and only if it admits a Cauchy temporal function, as was proved in [3], see also [9, 10, 12, 11]. In order to study this question in the present setting, it is useful to consider the notion of uniform temporal functions, which was introduced in [6] where they are called steep temporal functions:

**Definition 1.2.** Given a Riemannian metric g, the temporal function  $\tau$  is said g-uniform (or uniform with respect to g) if  $d\tau_x \cdot v \geqslant |v|_x^g := \sqrt{g_x(v,v)}$  for each  $(x,v) \in \mathcal{C}$ .

The function  $\tau$  is said completely uniform if it is uniform with respect to some complete metric.

The motivation for this definition comes from:

**Lemma 1.3.** If C is a locally solvable cone field, and  $\tau$  a completely uniform temporal function for C, then  $\tau$  is a Cauchy temporal function for C.

*Proof.* Since inextensible curves have infinite length with respect to a complete metric and  $|\tau \circ \gamma(t) - \tau \circ \gamma(s)|$  is bounded from the below by the length of  $\gamma|_{[s,t]}$ , the claim is immediate.

We give an example in Section 4 showing that the converse of this lemma does not hold: not all Cauchy temporal functions are completely uniform.

We proved in [6] that a hyperbolic cone field admits uniform temporal functions with respect to any metric, hence completely uniform temporal functions. As a consequence, globally hyperbolic cone fields admit Cauchy temporal functions. Conversely, the existence of a Cauchy causal function implies global hyperbolicity, this follows from Proposition 2.2 below.

Even more, the existence of a completely uniform temporal function implies that the hyperbolic cone field  $\mathcal{C}$  admits a hyperbolic enlargement (a hyperbolic closed cone field  $\mathcal{C}'$  which contains  $\mathcal{C}$  in its interior). Indeed, if g is a complete metric and  $\tau$  is g-uniform, then  $\tau$  has no critical point on the domain  $\mathcal{D}$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then the function  $\tau$  is g/4-uniform (hence completely uniform) for the enlargement

$$C' := \{(x, v) : d\tau_x \cdot v \geqslant |v|_x^g/2, v \neq 0\}.$$

This extends [2] and [9, Theorem 1.2]. The cone field  $\mathcal{C}'$  constructed above is not necessarily locally solvable, but it can be made so by the following lemma provided that  $\mathcal{C}$  is locally solvable. This proves that each globally hyperbolic cone field has a globally hyperbolic enlargement.

**Lemma 1.4.** Each locally solvable closed cone field C admits a locally solvable enlargement. More precisely, if  $C_1$  is an enlargement of C, then there exists a closed neighborhood F of D such that  $C' := C_{1|F}$  is locally solvable.

In the case where C has a smooth boundary, the closed neighborhood F can be assumed to have smooth boundary too.

Proof. We endow M with a complete Riemannian metric g. We define F as the union of the images of all  $\mathcal{C}_1$ -causal curves of length less than one and with endpoints in  $\mathcal{D}$ . It is easy to see that F contains  $\mathcal{D}$  in its interior. It follows from the limit curve lemma, see [6, Section 2.3] that F is closed. Let us prove that  $\mathcal{C}_{1|F}$  is locally solvable. By the definition of F, each point  $z \in F$  is of the form  $z = \gamma(t)$  for a  $\mathcal{C}_1$ -causal curve  $\gamma : [-1,1] \longrightarrow M$  having its endpoints in  $\mathcal{D}$ . If  $t \in ]-1,1[$ , then the curve  $\gamma$  solves  $\mathcal{C}_{1|F}$  at points z. If  $t \in \{-1,1\}$ , then  $z \in \mathcal{D}$ , and then  $\mathcal{C}$  is solvable at z, hence so is  $\mathcal{C}_{1|F}$ .

We make a different construction in the case with smooth boundary. There exist smooth non singular vector fields  $X^{\pm}$  which are defined in a neighborhood of  $\mathcal{D}$ , are contained in  $\mathcal{C}_1$ , and points strictly to the interior (resp. the exterior) of  $\mathcal{D}$  at points of the boundary. Then, there exists a neighborhood F of  $\mathcal{D}$  with smooth boundary such that  $X^+$  still points inside and  $X^-$  outside. This implies that  $\mathcal{C}_{1|F}$  is locally solvable.

It is not hard to prove (but not very useful) that each temporal function (not necessarily Cauchy) is uniform with respect to some (not necessarily complete) Riemannian metric. As we just saw, in order to be completely uniform a temporal function has to be Cauchy. We will however see in Section 4 that a Cauchy temporal function is not necessarily completely uniform.

Our goal in the present paper is to clarify the differences between the notions of completely uniform temporal functions and of Cauchy temporal functions. In order to do so, we will prove some existence results of completely uniform temporal functions which mimic corresponding results for Cauchy temporal functions. We will deduce that each Cauchy temporal function can be uniformly approximated by completely uniform temporal functions.

The subset  $H \subset M$  is said to be acausal if  $H \cap \mathcal{J}^{++}(x)$  is empty for each  $x \in H$ ; spacelike if there exists an open neighborhood  $\tilde{M}$  of the domain  $\mathcal{D}$  such that  $H \cap \tilde{M}$  is a smooth hypersurface of  $\tilde{M}$ , and if  $T_xH$  is disjoint from  $\mathcal{C}(x)$  for each  $x \in H$ ; spatial if it is spacelike, intersects each inextensible causal curve at one and only one point, and if moreover  $\tilde{H} \cap \mathcal{D} = H \cap \mathcal{D}$ .

Each level set of a Cauchy temporal function is spatial. Our first results is a converse which extends [4, Theorem 1.2] to the more general setting of closed cone fields and to uniform temporal functions (instead of Cauchy temporal functions):

**Theorem 1.** Let H be a spatial subset and g a Riemannian metric. Then there exists a g-uniform temporal function which is null on H.

A proof is given in Section 3, it is different from the one in [4]. Note that Theorem 1 corresponds to case (B) of [4, Theorem 1.2]. The other cases, when H may be not spacelike or not acausal, are also extended in the present paper, see Corollary 2.5 below. Theorem 1 implies the stability of spatial subsets:

**Corollary 1.5.** If H is a spatial subset for the cone field C, then there exists a globally hyperbolic enlargement C' of C such that H is spatial for C'.

The stability of spatial subsets implies the stability of Cauchy temporal functions:

**Corollary 1.6.** If  $\tau$  is a Cauchy temporal function for C, then there exists a globally hyperbolic enlargement C' of C such that  $\tau$  is a Cauchy temporal function for C'.

In the Lorenzian case, this result is [1, Theorem 4.2]. This paper considers the case with boundary, which is also included in our setting. In the setting of non-degenerate closed cone fields, it was obtained in [11, Theorem 2.46].

Proof. The levels  $N_i := \{\tau = i\}$  are spatial. For each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we consider a globally hyperbolic enlargement  $C_i$  of C such that  $N_i$  is spatial for  $C_i$ , and  $\tau$  is temporal (but not necessarily Cauchy). We then consider the closed cone field C' which is equal to  $C_i \cap C_{i+1}$  on  $\tau^{-1}(]i, i+1[)$  for each i, and to  $C_i$  on  $N_i$ . It is not hard to verify that C' is a globally hyperbolic cone field, and that all the levels  $N_i$  are spatial for C', so that  $\tau$  is a Cauchy temporal function.

We also have a product structure if the domain is smooth (the result does not hold in general for globally hyperbolic cone fields with degenerate points):

**Corollary 1.7.** Let C be a globally hyperbolic cone field with smooth boundary, let V be a neighborhood of D, and let  $\tau$  be a completely uniform temporal function of C. There exists a neighborhood  $U \subset V$  of D, and a smooth map  $\pi: U \longrightarrow N = C$ 

 $\{x \in U : \tau(x) = 0\}$  such that  $(\pi, \tau) : U \longrightarrow N \times \mathbb{R}$  is a diffeomorphism which sends  $\mathcal{D}$  to  $(N \cap \mathcal{D}) \times \mathbb{R}$ .

The proof will be given in section 7.

It is not much harder to find a Cauchy temporal function with two given level sets than with one given level set (see Proposition 3.4 below) but the following statement is more delicate, and is the main result of the present paper:

**Theorem 2.** Let C be a globally hyperbolic cone field with smooth boundary. Let  $H_0, H_1$  be two spatial subsets such that  $H_1 \cap \mathcal{J}^-(H_0) = \emptyset$ . Then there exists a completely uniform temporal function  $\tau \colon M \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\tau = i$  on  $H_i$  for i = 0, 1 (hence  $\mathcal{D} \cap \tau^{-1}(i) = \mathcal{D} \cap H_i$ ).

The proof in the case where  $\mathcal{D} = M$  is given in Section 5, the full result is deduced in Section 7. We do not know if the assumption that the domain has smooth boundary is necessary. We deduce in Section 6:

**Theorem 3.** Let C be a globally hyperbolic cone field with smooth boundary, let  $\tau$  be a Cauchy causal function, and let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Then there exists a completely uniform temporal function v such that  $\sup |v - \tau| \le \epsilon$ .

We will however see in Section 4 an example of a Cauchy temporal function which is not completely uniform.

#### 2. Cauchy subsets of locally solvable closed fields

We consider in this section a locally solvable closed cone field  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Definition 2.1.** We say that  $H \subset M$  is a Cauchy subset if there exists a neighborhood  $\tilde{M}$  of the domain  $\mathcal{D}$  and two open sets  $U^{\pm}$  of  $\tilde{M}$  such that  $U^{+}, U^{-}$  and  $\tilde{M} \cap H$  form a partiction of  $\tilde{M}$  and such that, for each inextensible causal curve  $\gamma: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow M$ , there exist two finite times  $a \leq b$  such that  $\gamma(]-\infty,a[) \subset U^{-}, \gamma(]b,,\infty[) \subset U^{+}$  and  $\gamma([a,b]) \subset H$ .

This slightly complicated definition is designed to be as coherent as possible with the terminology used in the classical setting of Lorentzian manifolds.

**Proposition 2.2.** If the closed cone field C is locally solvable and admits a Cauchy subset, then it is globally hyperbolic.

*Proof.* It follows immediately from the definition that inextensible causal curves start in  $U^-$  and end in  $U^+$ , hence they cannot be periodic: the cone field is causal.

We now prove the compactness of  $\mathcal{J}^+(K_1) \cap \mathcal{J}^-(K_2)$  when  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are compact. If  $x_n$  is a sequence in  $\mathcal{J}^+(K_1) \cap \mathcal{J}^-(K_2)$ , then for each n there exists an inextensible causal curve  $\gamma_n : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow M$ , parametrized by arclength, and either times  $s_n \geq 0$ ,  $L_n \geq t_n \geq 0$ , such that  $\gamma_n(0) \in K_1$ ,  $\gamma_n(t_n) = x_n$ ,  $\gamma_n(s_n) \in H$ ,  $\gamma_n(L_n) \in K_2$ , or times  $s_n \leq 0$ ,  $-L_n \leq t_n \leq 0$ , such that  $\gamma_n(0) \in K_2$ ,  $\gamma_n(t_n) = x_n$ ,  $\gamma_n(s_n) \in H$ ,  $\gamma_n(-L_n) \in K_1$ .

By an extraction, we can suppose that only one of the two situations occurs, we will treat the first case, the second being similar.

The curves  $\gamma_n$  converge, uniformly on compact sets, to an inextensible causal curve  $\gamma: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow M$  (see [6, Proposition 2.14]). Since H is Cauchy, there exists  $\theta$  such that  $\gamma(\theta) \in U^+$ , hence  $\gamma_n(\theta) \in U^+$  for large n, hence  $s_n \leqslant \theta$ . The sequence  $s_n$  is thus bounded.

If  $L_n \leq s_n$  for infinitely many values of n, then up to extraction,  $x_n = \gamma_n(t_n) \longrightarrow \gamma(t) \in A$ .

Otherwise, we can assume that  $L_n \ge s_n$  for each n. Then, we can prove as above, by considering a limit of the curves  $\gamma_n(t-L_n)$ , that  $L_n-s_n$  is bounded and the conclusion follows.

Another lemma will be useful:

**Lemma 2.3.** Let C be a globally hyperbolic cone field, and  $F \subset M$  be a closed past subset, i.e.  $\mathcal{J}^-(F) \subset F$ . Then there exists a smooth causal function v which is null on F, positive and temporal outside of F, and such that  $v \circ \gamma(\mathbb{R}) \supset ]0, \infty[$  for each inextensible causal curve which is not contained in F. If H is acausal, then v is a smooth time function.

Proof. Let U be the complement of F. It is easy to see that  $(U, \mathcal{C}|_U)$  is globally hyperbolic, hence admits a smooth Cauchy temporal function  $\tau: U \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\eta_k \colon \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a smooth function such that  $\eta_k(t) = 0$  for  $t \leqslant -k$ ,  $\eta'_k(t) > 0$  for t > -k, and  $\eta_k(t) = t$  for  $t \geqslant 1$ . Each of the functions  $\eta_k \circ \tau$  extends by 0 to a smooth function  $v_k$  on M. If  $\{a_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of positive numbers which decreases sufficiently fast, (see [8, Lemma 3.2] for the existence of such a sequence) then  $\eta := \sum a_k \eta_k$  is a smooth diffeomorphism from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $]0, \infty[$  and  $v := \sum a_k v_k$  is a smooth function on M, which is null on F, and which is positive and temporal on its complement. Finally, if  $\gamma$  is an inextensible causal curve for C which is not contained in F, then either  $\gamma(\mathbb{R})$  is contained in U, and then  $\gamma$  is an inextensible causal curve of  $(U, C|_U)$ , hence  $\tau \circ \gamma(\mathbb{R}) = ]-\infty, \infty[$  and  $\tau \circ \gamma(\mathbb{R}) = ]0, \infty[$ ; or  $\gamma$  enters F and then  $v \circ \gamma(\mathbb{R}) = [0, \infty[$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** Let  $H \subset M$  be a Cauchy subset, U an open neighborhood of H, and g a Riemannian metric. Then there exists a smooth causal Cauchy function  $v \colon M \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfying  $v|_H \equiv 0$  and  $v^{-1}(0) = H$  in a neighborhood of  $\mathcal{D}$ , which is temporal outside of H and g-uniform outside of U. In the case where H is moreover acausal, v is a smooth Cauchy time function.

**Remark 2.5.** For U = M the proposition extends [4, Theorem 5.15].

Proof of Proposition 2.4. We denote by  $J^{\pm} := (H \cap \tilde{M}) \cup U^{\pm}$ , where  $\tilde{M}$  and  $U^{\pm}$  are chosen according to Definition 2.1.

For each compact set  $K \subset J^+$ , the set  $\mathcal{J}^-(K) \cap J^+ = \mathcal{J}^-(K) \cap \mathcal{J}^+(H)$  is compact. We can therefore build a sequence of compact sets  $K_n \subset J^+$  such that  $J^+ = \bigcup_n K_n$ ,  $\mathcal{J}^-(K_n) \cap J^+ \subset K_n$ , and such that  $K_{n-1}$  is contained in the interior  $\mathring{K}_n$  of  $K_n$  in  $J^+$  for each  $n \geq 1$ .

Using Lemma 2.3 in M with  $F = J^-$ , we find a smooth causal function  $v_0 : \tilde{M} \longrightarrow [0, \infty[$  which is null on  $J^-$ , positive and temporal on the complement of F, and which moreover has the property that  $v_0 \circ \gamma$  takes all values in  $[0, \infty)$  when  $\gamma$  is an inextensible causal curve.

For each  $n \ge 1$ , we set  $F_n = K_n \cup J^-$ . By Lemma 2.3, there exists a smooth causal function  $v_n$  on  $\tilde{M}$  which is null on  $F_n$  and which is positive and temporal outside of this set.

Since the set  $K_2 \setminus U$  is compact and disjoint from  $J^-$ , there exists a positive constant  $a_0$  such that  $a_0v_0$  is uniform on  $K_2 \setminus U$ . Then for each  $n \ge 1$ , since the set  $F_{n+2} \setminus (U \cup \mathring{K}_{n+1})$  is compact and disjoint from  $F_n$ , there exists a positive

number  $a_n$  such that  $a_nv_n$  is uniform on  $F_{n+2}\setminus (\check{K}_{n+1}\cup U)$ . The locally finite sum  $v^+:=\sum_{n\geqslant 0}a_nv_n$  is a smooth causal function which is null on  $J^-$ , temporal outside of this set, and uniform on  $J^+\setminus U$ . Moreover,  $v^+\circ \gamma$  takes all positive values if  $\gamma$  is an inextensible causal curve.

In a similar way we prove the existence of a smooth causal function  $v^-$  on  $\tilde{M}$  which is null on  $J^+$ , negative and temporal outside of this set, uniform on  $\mathcal{J}^-(H)\setminus U$ , and such that  $v^-\circ \gamma$  takes all negative values for each inextensible causal curve  $\gamma$ . The function  $\tilde{v}:=v^++v^-$  is a smooth causal Cauchy function on  $\tilde{M}$  such that  $\{\tilde{v}=0\}=H$ .

In order to obtain the desired function v on the whole manifold M, we just consider a function h which is equal to 1 in a neighborhood of  $\mathcal{D}$  and null outside of  $\tilde{M}$ , and take  $v = h\tilde{v}$ .

#### 3. One spatial hypersurface

We prove Theorem 1 and then give a proposition on the extension of acausal compact sets to spatial hypersurfaces.

Proof of Theorem 1. We first prove the existence of a smooth causal function u which is null on H and g-uniform in a neighborhood U of H, see Proposition 3.1 below.

Since H is a Cauchy subset (see Corollary 3.2 below), we can apply Proposition 2.4, and get the existence of a smooth causal function v which is null on H and uniform outside of U. We deduce that  $\tau := u + v$  is a uniform temporal function null on H.

The first step is a variant of [6, Proposition 5.1].

**Proposition 3.1.** Let  $H \subset M$  be a spatial subset, g be a Riemannian metric, and V be a neighborhood of H. Given  $\alpha \in ]0,1[$ , there exists a smooth causal function  $u:M \longrightarrow [-1,1]$  with the following properties:

- $u_{|H} = 0$ .
- The function u is g-uniform on  $u^{-1}(]-\alpha,\alpha[)$  and temporal on  $u^{-1}(]-1,1[)$ .
- The function u is equal to +1 in a neighborhood of  $\mathcal{J}^+(H) \setminus V$  and to -1 in a neighborhood of  $\mathcal{J}^-(H) \setminus V$ .

*Proof.* We consider a spatial hypersurface (of M)  $\hat{H} \subset H$  which is smooth and contains  $H \cap \mathcal{D}$ , and we work first in a tubular neighborhood  $\hat{M} \approx \hat{H} \times \mathbb{R} \subset V \subset M$ , such that  $(\hat{H} \times [-1, 1]) \cap \mathcal{D}$  is closed in M.

There exists a smooth positive function  $y \mapsto \delta(y)$  on  $\hat{H}$  such that

$$C(y,0) \subset \{(v_u,v_z) : v_z \geqslant 3\delta(y) \|v_u\|, v_z \geqslant 3\delta(y) \|(v_u,v_z)\|\}$$

for each  $y \in \hat{H}$ . Here we have used the notation  $||v_y|| := ||(v_y, 0)||_{(y,z)}$ , where the norms are measured with respect to the metric g on M. Then, there exists a smooth positive function  $\epsilon : H \longrightarrow ]0,1[$  such that

$$C(y,z) \subset \{(v_y,v_z) : v_z \geqslant 2\delta(y) ||v_y||, v_z \geqslant 2\delta(y) ||(v_y,v_z)||\}$$

provided  $|z| \leq \epsilon(y)$ . We also assume that  $\epsilon \leq \delta$ .

Let  $f: \hat{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a smooth positive function such that  $||df_y|| + f(y) \le \epsilon(y)$  for all  $y \in \hat{H}$ , (see for example [6, Lemma 5.4] for the existence of such a function).

We set

$$\hat{u}(y,z) = \phi(z/f(y)),$$

where  $\phi: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow [-1,1]$  is a smooth nondecreasing function which has positive derivative on ]-1,1[ and is equal to 1 on  $[1,\infty)$  and to -1 on  $(-\infty,-1]$ . We moreover assume that  $\phi(t)=t$  on  $[-\alpha,\alpha]$ .

On the open set  $\Omega := \{(y, z) : |z| < f(y)\} = \hat{u}^{-1}(] - 1, 1[)$ , we have

$$d\hat{u}_{(y,z)} \cdot (v_y, v_z) = \frac{\phi'(z/f(y))}{f(y)} \left(v_z - \frac{z}{f(y)} df_y \cdot v_y\right) \geqslant \frac{\phi'(z/f(y))}{2f(y)} v_z$$

for  $(v_y,v_z)\in\mathcal{C}(y,z)$  since  $|(z/f(y))df_y\cdot v_y|\leqslant \delta(y)\|v_y\|\leqslant v_z/2$ . This implies that  $\hat{u}$  is temporal on  $\Omega$ . Outside of this open set,  $\hat{u}$  is locally constant, hence it is a causal function.

Finally, on  $\hat{u}^{-1}(]-\alpha,\alpha[)$ , we have  $\phi'(z/f(y))=1$  hence

$$d\hat{u}_{(y,z)} \cdot (v_y, v_z) \geqslant \frac{1}{2f(y)} v_z \geqslant \frac{\delta(y)}{f(y)} \| (v_y, v_z) \|_{(y,z)} \geqslant \| (v_y, v_z) \|_{(y,z)}$$

for each  $(v_y, v_z) \in \mathcal{C}(y, z)$ . In other words, the causal function  $\hat{u}$  is uniform on  $\hat{u}^{-1}(]-\alpha, \alpha[)$ .

Let us now extend the function  $\hat{u}$  from  $\hat{M}$  to M. The domain  $\mathcal{D}$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  is the union of the closed sets  $\mathcal{J}^{\pm}(H)$ , and the intersection of these sets is  $H \cap \mathcal{D}$ . Let  $F^{\pm}$  be the disjoint closed sets  $\mathcal{J}^{\pm}(H) \setminus \hat{M}$ . Let  $W^{\pm}$  be open sets containing  $F^{\pm}$ , which are disjoint from each other, and also disjoint from  $(\hat{H} \times [-1,1]) \cap \mathcal{D} \subset \hat{M}$  and from the closure  $\bar{H}$  of H (this is possible since  $\bar{H} \cap \mathcal{D} = H \cap \mathcal{D}$ ). We consider a partition of the unity (a,b,c,d) associated to the finite open covering  $M = \hat{M} \cup W^+ \cup W^- \cup (M \setminus \mathcal{D})$  and set  $u := a\hat{u} + b - c$ .

This proposition has the following corollary:

Corollary 3.2. Each spatial subset is a Cauchy subset.

*Proof.* We consider the function u obtained in the Proposition 3.1. There exists a neighborhood  $\tilde{M}$  of  $\mathcal{D}$  such that  $\tilde{M} \cap u^{-1}(0) = \tilde{M} \cap H$ . Indeed, let  $x_n$  be a sequence of points converging to  $x \in \mathcal{D}$  and such that  $u(x_n) = 0$ . Since u(x) = 0 and  $x \in \mathcal{D}$ , we have  $x \in H$ . In the neighborhood of x, the function u is non-degenerate, hence, locally,  $u^{-1}(0) = H$ . This implies that  $x_n \in H$  for large n, proving the claim.

We define  $U^+ := \{x \in \tilde{M} : u(x) > 0\}, U^- := \{x \in \tilde{M} : u(x) < 0\}$ . They satisfy all the requirements of the definition of a Cauchy subset.

Theorem 1 is proved. Let us also mention:

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $\tau$  be a Cauchy temporal function, and let  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let g be a Riemannian metric. Then there exists a Cauchy temporal function  $\tau_1$  such that  $\tau_1 = \tau$  on  $\{\tau \ge a + 1/3\} \cup \{\tau = a\} \cup \{\tau \le a - 1/3\}$ , and which is g-uniform on  $\tau_1^{-1}(]a - 1/10, a + 1/10[)$ .

*Proof.* We first apply Proposition 3.1 in the hyperbolic strip  $\tau^{-1}(]a-1/3, a+1/3[)$  to the spatial set H and the metric 16g. We get a smooth causal function  $u:M\longrightarrow [-1,1]$  which is 16g-uniform on  $u^{-1}(]a-3/4, a+3/4[)$ , which is equal to 0 on H, and which is equal to 1 on  $\{\tau \ge a+1/3\}$  and to -1 on  $\{\tau \le a-1/3\}$ .

Second, we consider a smooth increasing diffeomorphism  $\eta: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\eta(a) = a, \ \eta(t) = t - 1/4 \ \text{on} \ [a+1/3, \infty) \ \text{and} \ \eta(t) = t + 1/4 \ \text{on} \ (-\infty, a-1/3].$ 

Finally we set  $\tau_1 = u/4 + \eta \circ \tau$ . This function is equal to a on  $\{\tau = a\}$ , it is equal to  $\tau$  on  $\{\tau \ge a + 1/3\} \cup \{\tau \le a - 1/3\}$  it is g-uniform on  $\tau_1^{-1}(]a - 1/10, a + 1/10[) \subset u^{-1}(]a - 3/4, a + 3/4[)$  because u/4 is.

It is not much more difficult to find a Cauchy temporal function with prescribed values on two spatial hypersurfaces than on one spatial hypersurface. More precisely:

**Proposition 3.4.** Let  $H_0, H_1$  be two spatial subsets such that  $H_1 \cap \mathcal{J}^-(H_0) = \emptyset$ , and let g be a complete Riemannian metric on M. Then there exists a Cauchy temporal function  $\tau$  such that  $\tau = i$  on  $H_i$  for i = 0, 1, and which is g-uniform in  $\{\tau \ge 9/10\}$  and in  $\{\tau \le 1/10\}$ .

In view of this result, the remaining difficulty in the proof of Theorem 2 is to interpolate between  $H_0$  and  $H_1$  in a uniform way. This will be done in Section 5.

*Proof.* The open set  $M \setminus \mathcal{J}^-(H_0)$  is globally hyperbolic and contains  $H_1$ . As a consequence, there exists a 4g-uniform temporal function  $\tilde{\tau}_1: M \setminus \mathcal{J}^-(H_0) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which is equal to 1 on  $H_1$ . In view of (the proof of) Lemma 2.3, there exists a smooth function  $\eta: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow ]0, \infty$ ) with positive derivative such that  $\eta(t) = t$  for  $t \ge 1/2$  and such that  $\eta \circ \tilde{\tau}_1$  extends (with the value 0) to a smooth function on M, that will be denoted by  $\tau_1$ .

By a similar method in the globally hyperbolic manifold  $\{\tau_1 < 3/4\}$ , there exists a smooth function  $\tau_2 : M \longrightarrow (-\infty, 1]$  which is equal to 1 on  $\{\tau_1 \ge 3/4\}$ , temporal in  $\{\tau_1 < 3/4\}$ , null on  $H_0$ , and 4g-uniform in  $\{\tau_2 \le 1/2\}$ .

The function  $\tau := (\tau_1 + \tau_2)/2$  is temporal, it is g-uniform in  $\{\tau \geqslant 9/10\} \subset \{\tau_1 \geqslant 4/5\}$  because  $\tau_1/2$  is g-uniform on this set, and it is g-uniform on  $\{\tau \leqslant 1/10\} \subset \{\tau_2 \leqslant 1/5\}$  because  $\tau_2/2$  is g-uniform on this set.

We finish this section with an extension result which is a generalization of [4, Theorem 1.1].

**Proposition 3.5.** Let C be a globally hyperbolic cone field without degenerate points, and let  $\Sigma$  be a spacelike hypersurface. Then each compact subset  $K \subset \Sigma$  is contained in a spatial hypersurface H.

Moreover, if  $N^-, N^+$  are two spatial sets such that  $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{J}^+(N^-) \cap \mathcal{J}^-(N^+)$ , the spatial hypersurface H containing K can be chosen inside  $\mathcal{J}^+(N^-) \cap \mathcal{J}^-(N^+)$  and equal to  $N^-$  at infinity.

*Proof.* We prove the second part of the Proposition, the first part easily follows from it.

By Corollary 1.5, there exist globally hyperbolic enlargements  $\mathcal{C}^{\pm}$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $N^{\pm}$  is a Cauchy hypersurface for  $\mathcal{C}^{\pm}$ .

We associate to K the transverse open cone field  $\mathcal{E}_K$ , which is defined by:

 $\mathcal{E}_K(x) = T_x M$  if  $x \notin K$  and

 $\mathcal{E}_K(x)$  is the component of  $T_XM \setminus T_x\Sigma$  which contains  $\mathcal{C}(x)$  if  $x \in K$ .

By definition, this open cone field contains  $\mathcal{C}$ , hence there exists an enlargement  $\mathcal{C}'$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  which is contained in  $\mathcal{E}_K$  (by [6, Lemma 2.8]). The intersection  $\mathcal{C}_1 := \mathcal{C}^+ \cap \mathcal{C}^- \cap \mathcal{C}'$  is a globally hyperbolic closed cone field,  $N^{\pm}$  are spatial hypersurfaces of  $\mathcal{C}_1$ , and  $\Sigma$  is spacelike for  $\mathcal{C}_1$  at each point of K.

Note that the set of points of  $\Sigma$  at which  $\Sigma$  is spacelike is relatively open in  $\Sigma$  and contains K. We can thus assume (by possibly reducing it), that  $\Sigma$  is a spacelike hypersurface for  $\mathcal{C}_1$ .

We consider a Cauchy temporal function  $\tau$  for  $C_1$  such that  $\tau = 0$  on  $N^-$  and  $\tau = 1$  on  $N^+$ , according to Proposition 3.4.

We can finally assume, by possibly reducing  $C_1$ , that K is acausal for  $C_1$ , and even that there exists a compact subset  $Z \subset \Sigma$ , which contains K in its relative interior, and which is acausal for  $C_1$ .

To prove this last claim, we consider a decreasing sequence  $Z_n$  of compact subsets of  $\Sigma$  each of which is contained in the relative interior of the previous one, and such that  $K = \cap Z_n$ . We also consider a decreasing sequence  $\mathcal{C}_n$  of enlargements of  $\mathcal{C}$ , each of which is an enlargement of the next one, and such that  $\mathcal{C} = \cap \mathcal{C}_n$  (the existence of such a sequence of enlargements is proved in [6, Lemma 2.9]). We assume by contradiction that for each n there exists a  $\mathcal{C}_n$ -causal curve  $\gamma_n : I_n \longrightarrow M$ ,  $I_n \subset [0,1]$  starting and ending in  $Z_n$  and parametrized by  $\tau$ , i.e. such that  $\tau \circ \gamma_n(t) = t$ . Then at the limit (along a subsequence), we obtain a  $\mathcal{C}$ -causal curve  $\gamma: I \longrightarrow M$  starting and ending in K. The acausality of K implies that this curve is reduced to a point  $\kappa \in K$ . A local study at  $\kappa$  leads to a contradiction.

The cone field  $C_1$  contains a non-degenerate open cone, hence a smooth vector field X. Each inextensible orbit of X intersects  $N^-$  exactly once. This defines a smooth map  $\pi: M \longrightarrow N^-$  which, to each point x, associates the intersection of its orbit with  $N^-$ . The map

$$M \ni x \longmapsto (\pi(x), \tau(x)) \in N^- \times \mathbb{R}$$

is a smooth diffeomorphism. In other words, we can assume that  $M = N^- \times \mathbb{R}$ ,  $N^- = N^- \times \{0\}$ ,  $N^+ = N^- \times \{1\}$ , that  $\mathcal{C}_1(x)$  contains the vector (0,1) at each point, that the vertical coordinate  $\tau$  is a Cauchy temporal function for  $\mathcal{C}_1$ , and that  $\tau(\Sigma) \in [0,1]$ .

The set  $F := \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}_1}^-(Z) \cup \{\tau \leqslant 0\}$  is a past set for  $\mathcal{C}_1$ , i.e.  $F = \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}_1}^-(F)$ , and it is equal to  $\{\tau \leqslant 0\}$  at infinity (meaning, outside of a compact set of M). Its complement is therefore a trapping domain for  $\mathcal{C}$  in the terminology of [6]. Since Z is acausal, it is contained in the boundary of F. In the identification  $M = N^- \times \mathbb{R}$ , the boundary of F is the graph of a compactly supported Lipschitz function  $\tilde{f}: N^- \longrightarrow [0,1]$ , which is smooth in a neighborhood of  $\pi(K)$ . We can then regularize  $\tilde{f}$  to a compactly supported smooth function  $f: N^- \longrightarrow [0,1]$  which is equal to  $\tilde{f}$  near  $\pi(K)$ , and the graph H of which is spacelike for  $\mathcal{C}_1$ . We can use for example [6, Proposition 4.5] or a more standard smoothing procedure. The graph H is the desired spatial hypersurface.

#### 4. A CAUCHY TEMPORAL FUNCTION WHICH IS NOT COMPLETELY UNIFORM

Let us consider the plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$  endowed with the constant cone field

$$C(x) = \{(v_x, v_y) : v_y \geqslant |v_x|\},$$

i.e. the Minkowsky plane. In this plane, we consider the strip  $U = \mathbb{R} \times ]-1,1[$ . The restriction of  $\mathcal C$  to U is globally hyperbolic.

Let  $\varphi:]-1,1[\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a smooth increasing function onto  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then the function  $\varphi\circ y$  is a Cauchy time function on U.

An easy computation shows that the function  $\tau(x,y) := y/(1+x^2)$  is a temporal function on U. Indeed

$$|\partial_x \tau| < \frac{2|x|}{(1+x^2)^2} \leqslant \frac{1}{1+x^2} = \partial_y \tau.$$

The function  $h(x,y) = \tau(x,y) + \varphi(y)$  is thus a Cauchy temporal function.

**Proposition 4.1.** If  $\varphi'(0) = 0$ , then h is not completely uniform.

*Proof.* We prove that, if g is a metric such that h is g-uniform, then g is not complete.

We consider the constant C-causal vector fields  $V^+ = (1,1)$  and  $V^- = (-1,1)$ . For the metric g, we have

$$|V^+|_{(x,0)} + |V^-|_{(x,0)} \le dh_{(x,0)} \cdot (V^+ + V^-) = 2\partial_y h(x,0) = \frac{2}{1+x^2}.$$

The g-length  $\ell$  of the axis y = 0 thus satisfies

$$\ell = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} |V^+ - V^-|_{(x,0)} dx \leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|V^+|_{(x,0)} + |V^-|_{(x,0)}}{2} dx \leqslant \int \frac{1}{1 + x^2} dx < \infty.$$

This implies that the metric g on U is not complete.

#### 5. Two Cauchy Hypersurfaces

We consider in this section a non degenerate  $(\mathcal{D} = M)$  globally hyperbolic cone field  $\mathcal{C}$  and prove Theorem 2 under this assumption, i.e. we prove:

**Proposition 5.1.** Let C be a globally hyperbolic non degenerate cone field with smooth boundary. Let  $H_0, H_1$  be two spatial subsets such that  $H_1 \cap \mathcal{J}^-(H_0) = \emptyset$ . Then there exists a completely uniform temporal function  $\tau \colon M \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\tau = i$  on  $H_i$  for i = 0, 1 (hence  $\mathcal{D} \cap \tau^{-1}(i) = \mathcal{D} \cap H_i$ ).

It is convenient in this section to fix once and for all a complete Riemannian metric g on M (but we shall be led to consider also other metrics). In view of Proposition 3.4, Proposition 5.1 follows from:

**Proposition 5.2.** Let  $\tau$  be a smooth Cauchy temporal function, and g be a complete Riemannian metric on M. We assume that there exists a < b in ]0,1[ such that  $\tau$  is g-uniform on  $\tau^{-1}(]0,a[\cup]b,1[)$ . Then there exists a smooth Cauchy temporal function  $\theta$  and a complete Riemannian metric h on M such that:

The function  $\theta$  is h-uniform on  $\tau^{-1}(]0,1[)$  and equal to  $\tau$  outside this strip. The metric h is equal to g outside of the strip  $\tau^{-1}(]0,1[)$ , and it satisfies

$$d_h(\tau^{-1}(0), \tau^{-1}(1)) \geqslant 1/3.$$

*Proof.* We assume without loss of generality that a < 1/10, b > 9/10. We introduce intermediate values  $a_1 \in ]0, a[$  and  $b_1 \in ]b, 1[$ .

We will construct a spatial hypersurface G which oscillates between the hypersurfaces  $\{\tau = a_1\}$  and  $\{\tau = b_1\}$ :

**Lemma 5.3.** There exists a spatial hypersurface  $G \subset \tau^{-1}([a_1, b_1])$  such that :

The intersection  $\mathcal{J}^{++}(G) \cap \{\tau < b_1\}$  is the disjoint union of a countable family of bounded sets  $F_n, n \ge 0$  such that  $d_q(F_n, F_m) \ge 1$  if  $n \ne m$ .

The intersection  $\mathcal{J}^{--}(G) \cap \{\tau > a_1\}$  is the disjoint union of a countable family of bounded sets  $P_n, n \ge 0$  such that  $d_q(P_n, P_m) \ge 1$  if  $n \ne m$ .

Assuming the lemma for the moment, we finish the proof of Proposition 5.2.

We consider a smooth modification  $\eta \circ \tau$  of  $\tau$ , where  $\eta : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is an increasing diffeomorphism satisfying  $\eta(t) = t - 1/3$  for t > 9/10 and  $\eta(t) = t + 1/3$  for t < 1/10.

We apply Proposition 3.1 to the hypersurface G in the globally hyperbolic open set  $\tau^{-1}(]0,1[)$  and obtain a smooth causal function  $u:M\longrightarrow [-1/6,1/6]$  which is equal to 1/6 in  $\{\tau\geqslant 1\}$ , to -1/6 in  $\{\tau\leqslant 0\}$ , and which is g-uniform on  $u^{-1}(]-1/7,1/7[)$ . We set

$$V := \tau^{-1}((-\infty, a_1[) \cup u^{-1}(] - 1/10, 1/10[) \cup \tau^{-1}(]b_1, \infty)),$$

the temporal function  $\eta \circ \tau + u$  is g-uniform in a neighborhood of  $\bar{V}$ , hence there exists a smooth function  $\lambda : M \longrightarrow ]0,1]$ , which is equal to one on V, and such that  $\eta \circ \tau + u$  is  $\lambda g$ -uniform for C. We don't know, though, whether the metric  $\lambda g$  is complete. To solve this problem, we define

$$\theta := \eta \circ \tau + 2u$$
 ,  $h := \lambda g + du \otimes du$ .

The function  $\theta$  is the sum of the function  $\eta \circ \tau + u$ , which is  $\lambda g$ -uniform, and u, which is  $du \otimes du$ -uniform. It is therefore h-uniform. It is moreover equal to  $\tau$  outside of the strip  $\tau^{-1}([0,1])$ .

We now prove that the metric h is complete. This implies that  $\theta$  is completely uniform for  $\mathcal{C}$ . We denote by A the complement of V, and set  $A^+ := A \cap \mathcal{J}^+(G)$  and  $A^- := A \cap \mathcal{J}^-(G)$ . We first observe that  $d_h(\{u \ge 1/10\}, \{u \le -1/10\}) \ge 1/5$ . Indeed, the h-length L of a curve  $\gamma$  is bounded below by  $|u(\gamma(1)) - u(\gamma(0))|$  and  $u_{|A^+} \ge 1/10$ ,  $u_{|A^-} \le -1/10$ . This remark also proves that  $d_h(\{\tau = 0\}, \{\tau = 1\}) \ge 1/3$ .

The closed set  $A^+$  is the disjoint union of the bounded sets  $A_n^+ := A^+ \cap F_n$ , and the closed set  $A^-$  is the disjoint union of the bounded sets  $A_n^- := A^- \cap P_n$ . Since  $A^+ \subset \{\tau \ge 1/10\}$  and  $A^- \subset \{\tau \le -1/10\}$ , we have  $d_h(A^+, A^-) \ge 1/5$ .

Let  $\gamma$  be a curve connecting  $A_n^+$  and  $\bigcup_{n\neq m} A_m^+$ . If  $\gamma$  enters  $A^-$ , then  $L_h(\gamma) \geqslant d_h(\{u \geqslant 1/10\}, \{u \leqslant -1/10\}) \geqslant 1/5$ . If  $\gamma$  does not enter  $A^-$ , then  $L_{\lambda g}(\gamma) \geqslant L_{\lambda g}(\gamma \cap V) = L_g(\gamma \cap V) \geqslant d_g(A_n^+, \bigcup_{n\neq m} A_m^+) \geqslant 1$ , since  $\lambda = 1$  on V. In both cases,  $L_h(\gamma) \geqslant 1/5$ , hence (using similar arguments for  $A_n^-$ )  $d_h(A_n^+, \bigcup_{m\neq n} A_m^+) \geqslant 1/5$  and  $d_h(A_n^-, \bigcup_{m\neq n} A_m^-) \geqslant 1/5$ . In view of these inequalities, a curve  $\gamma$  of finite h-length L visits only finitely many of the sets  $A_i^{\pm}$ . If K is the union of these finitely many visited sets, then K is compact, and  $\gamma$  is contained in the compact set  $\{x: d_g(K, x) \leqslant L\}$  (recall that g is a complete metric).

PROOF OF LEMMA 5.3: The proof consists of repeated applications of Proposition 3.5. We denote by  $N^+$  the hypersurface  $\{\tau = b_1\}$ , and by  $N^-$  the hypersurface  $\{\tau = a_1\}$ . Further we denote by S the open strip  $\tau^{-1}(]a_1,b_1[)$  and by  $\bar{S}$  its closure  $\tau^{-1}([a_1,b_1])$ . We set  $B(F,r) := \{y : d(y,F) \leq r\}$ .

By Proposition 3.5, applied to  $-\mathcal{C}$ , there exists a spatial hypersurface  $G_0^+ \subset \bar{S}$  which contains a point  $x_0 \in N^-$  and is equal to  $N^+$  at infinity. We set  $F_0 := \mathcal{J}^{++}(G_0^+) \cap S$ .

Then, by Proposition 3.5, there exists a spatial hypersurface  $G_0^- \subset \bar{S}$  which contains  $G_0^+ \cap \mathcal{J}^+(B(F_0,1))$  and is equal to  $N^-$  at infinity. Note then that

$$\mathcal{J}^{++}(G_0^-) \cap S \cap B(F_0, 1) = F_0.$$

Indeed, we have  $\mathcal{J}^-(G_0^+) \cap B(F_0,1) \subset \mathcal{J}^-(G_0^-)$  hence  $\mathcal{J}^-(G_0^+) \subset \mathcal{J}^-(G_0^-) \cup B^c(F_0,1)$ . Taking the complements in S, we get the inclusion  $\mathcal{J}^{++}(G_0^-) \cap S \cap B(F_0,1) \subset F_0$ . The opposite inclusion is obvious. We set  $P_0 := \mathcal{J}^{--}(G_0^-) \cap S$ .

We construct inductively sequences  $G_n^{\pm}$  of spatial hypersurfaces, and increasing sequences  $\mathcal{F}_n, \mathcal{P}_n$  of bounded subsets of S such that: (1)  $G_n^{\pm}$  is equal to  $N^{\pm}$  at infinity for each n; (2)  $\mathcal{F}_n = S \cap \mathcal{J}^{++}(G_n^+)$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_n = S \cap \mathcal{J}^{--}(G_n^-)$ ; (3)  $G_{n+1}^+$  contains

 $G_n^- \cap \mathcal{J}^-(B(\mathcal{F}_n \cup \mathcal{P}_n, 1) \cup B(x_0, 2n)); (4) G_{n+1}^- \text{ contains } G_{n+1}^+ \cap \mathcal{J}^+(B(\mathcal{F}_{n+1} \cup \mathcal{P}_n, 1) \cup \mathcal{F}_n)$  $B(x_0, 2n+2)$ ).

We denote  $P_{n+1} := \mathcal{P}_{n+1} \setminus \mathcal{P}_n$  and  $F_{n+1} := \mathcal{F}_{n+1} \setminus \mathcal{F}_n$ . Note that at each step we have  $G_{n+1}^+ \subset \mathcal{J}^+(G_n^-) \cap \mathcal{J}^-(G_n^+)$  and  $G_{n+1}^- \subset \mathcal{J}^+(G_n^-) \cap \mathcal{J}^-(G_n^+)$  $\mathcal{J}^{-}(G_{n+1}^{+}) \cap \mathcal{J}^{+}(G_{n}^{-}).$ 

Denoting  $B_n := B(\mathcal{F}_n \cup \mathcal{P}_n, 1) \cup B(x_0, 2n)$  and  $B_n^c$  its complement, (3) implies that that  $\mathcal{J}^+(G_n^-) \cap B_n \subset \mathcal{J}^+(G_{n+1}^+)$ . This implies that  $\mathcal{J}^+(G_n^-) \subset \mathcal{J}^+(G_{n+1}^+) \cup$  $B_n^c$ . Taking the complements and intersecting with S yields

$$\mathcal{J}^{--}(G_{n+1}^+)\cap B_n\cap S\subset \mathcal{J}^{--}(G_n^-)\cap S=\mathcal{P}_n$$

hence  $\mathcal{P}_{n+1} \cap B_n = \mathcal{P}_n$ , and in particular  $d(P_{n+1}, \mathcal{P}_n) \geqslant 1$ , hence  $d(P_n, P_m) \geqslant 1$ for  $n \neq m$ . Similarly, we have  $\mathcal{F}_{n+1} \cap (B(\mathcal{F}_n \cup \mathcal{P}_{n-1}, 1) \cup B(x_0, 2n+2)) = \mathcal{F}_n$ . Finally, we observe that  $G_{n+1}^+ = G_n^- = G_n^+$  on  $B(x_0, 2n)$ . As a consequence, the sequence  $G_n^+$  is stabilizing on any bounded set, and has a limit G, which is a spatial hypersurface, such that  $\mathcal{J}^{++}(G) \cap S = \bigcup F_n$  and  $\mathcal{J}^{--}(G) \cap S = \bigcup P_n$ . To prove that G is Cauchy, observe that the intersection  $\gamma \cap S$  of an inextensible causal curve  $\gamma$ with the strip S is bounded, hence the sequence  $G_n^+ \cap \gamma$  stabilizes and is equal to a single point. 

#### 6. Density of completely uniform temporal functions in Cauchy TEMPORAL FUNCTIONS

We prove Theorem 3. We do not assume explicitly that  $\mathcal{D}$  has smooth boundary, but we assume that the conclusion of Theorem 2 holds for C. We fix a complete metric a.

Since Cauchy temporal functions are dense in Cauchy causal functions, see [7, Corollary 9, we can assume without loss of generality that  $\tau$  is a Cauchy temporal

Notice that au is a uniform temporal function if u is and if a > 0. In view of this remark, it is enough to prove the result with  $\epsilon = 1$ , which will simplify notations.

We will show the existence of a completely uniform function v such that  $v^{-1}([k, k+$  $|1| = \tau^{-1}([k, k+1])$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , this implies that  $\sup |v-\tau| \leq 1$ .

By repeated applications of Lemma 3.3, we can replace the Cauchy temporal function  $\tau$  by a Cauchy temporal function  $\tilde{\tau}$  such that  $\tilde{\tau}^{-1}([k,k+1]) = \tau^{-1}([k,k+1])$ for each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and which moreover is g-uniform on  $\tilde{\tau}^{-1}(B)$ , with  $B := \{t \in \mathbb{R} : t \in \mathbb{R}$  $d(t, \mathbb{Z}) < 1/10$ .

By repeated use of Proposition 5.2, we inductively construct a sequence  $v_n$ ,  $n \ge 0$ , of smooth temporal functions and a sequence  $h_n$ ,  $n \ge 0$  of complete metrics with the following properties: (a)  $h_0 = g$ ,  $v_0 = \tilde{\tau}$ . (b) For each  $n \ge 1$ ,  $h_n = h_{n-1}$ and  $v_n = v_{n-1}$  outside of  $\tau^{-1}(]-n, 1-n[\cup]n-1, n[)$ . (c)  $v_n$  is  $h_n$ -uniform on  $v_n^{-1}([-n,n]\cup B)$ . (d)  $v_n^{-1}([k,k+1]) = \tau^{-1}([k,k+1])$  for each n and k. (e)  $d_{h_n}(\tau^{-1}(k), \tau^{-1}(k+1)) \ge 1/3$  for each k in  $\{-n, 1-n, \dots, n-2, n-1\}$ .

Since the sequences  $v_n$  and  $h_n$  stabilize on any compact set they converge respectively to a smooth temporal function v and a Riemannian metric h such that v is h-uniform. We just have to verify that h is a complete metric. Let  $\gamma$  be a curve of finite h-length. Since  $d_h(\tau^{-1}(k), \tau^{-1}(k+1)) \ge 1/3$  for each k, the curve  $\gamma$  crosses only a finite number of integral level sets of  $\tau$ . As a consequence, it is contained in  $\tau^{-1}([-N,N])$  for some large N. Then, the  $h_N$ -length of  $\gamma$  is equal to its h-length, hence it is finite. Since  $h_N$  is complete, we deduce that  $\gamma$  is relatively compact in M.

#### 7. Closed cone fields with smooth boundary

The main goal of the present section is to finish the proof of Theorem 2 by reducing the case with smooth boundary to the non-degenerate case.

Let us first give an example illustrating the kind of difficulties which can occur for general globally hyperbolic cone fields. We consider the standard Minkowski cone field  $\mathcal{C}_m$  on the plane, and the closed set  $\mathcal{D}$  formed by the union of the three half lines starting at 0 and directed by the vector (1,1), (-1,1) and (0,-1). The restriction  $\mathcal{C}$  to  $\mathcal{D}$  of  $\mathcal{C}_m$  is easily seen to be globally hyperbolic, the second coordinate being a Cauchy temporal function y. However, it does not admit a product structure, and not all level sets of  $y_{|\mathcal{D}}$  have the same topology (some have two points, some only one).

**Lemma 7.1.** Let C be a locally solvable closed cone field with smooth boundary. Then at each boundary point x of the domain, there exists a vector  $v \in C(x)$  which is tangent to the boundary and non-zero.

Proof. Let f be a smooth function at x such that, locally,  $\mathcal{D} = \{f \leq 0\}$ , and let  $\tau$  be a local time function with  $\tau(x) = 0$ . Let  $\gamma : ] - \epsilon, \epsilon[ \longrightarrow M, \epsilon > 0$  be a causal curve such that  $\gamma(0) = x$ , parametrized by  $\tau$  (meaning that  $t = \tau \circ \gamma(t)$ ). The local solvability of  $\mathcal{C}$  at x implies the existence of such a curve. We define the Clarke differential  $\partial \gamma(0)$  of  $\gamma$  at 0 as the smallest closed convex set containing the limits  $\gamma'(t_n)$  for all sequences  $t_n \longrightarrow 0$  of differentiability points of  $\gamma$ . The function  $f \circ \gamma$  has a maximum at t = 0, and this implies that  $df_x(\partial \gamma(0))$  contains 0, hence that  $\partial \gamma(0)$  contains a vector v tangent to the boundary. Since  $\tau \circ \gamma(t) = t$ , we have  $d\tau_x \cdot v = 1$ , hence  $v \neq 0$ . Since  $\gamma$  is causal, its Clarke differential is contained in  $\mathcal{C}(x)$ , see [6, Section 2.2].

The following result allows to deduce the study of globally hyperbolic cone fields with smooth boundary from the study of non-degenerate globally hyperbolic cone fields.

**Lemma 7.2.** Let C be a globally hyperbolic closed cone field with smooth domain  $\mathcal{D}$ . Let C' be an enlargement of C. Then there exists a smooth vector field X contained in C' (defined in a neighborhood of  $\mathcal{D}$ ) and a closed neighborhood with smooth boundary  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $\mathcal{D}$  (contained in the domain of X) such that X is tangent to the boundaries of  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$ .

If  $\mathcal{C}'$  is hyperbolic, then the cone field  $\mathcal{C}_1$ , defined to be equal to  $\mathcal{C}'$  on  $\mathcal{D}$  and to the half line directed by X on  $\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{D}$ , is globally hyperbolic.

The restriction of  $C_1$  to the interior U of  $\mathcal{F}$  is globally hyperbolic in U.

*Proof.* Let B be the boundary of the domain  $\mathcal{D}$ . By assumption it is a closed submanifold of M. The enlargement  $\mathcal{C}'$  contains two smooth vector fields  $X^{\pm}$  defined in a neighborhood of  $\mathcal{D}$  and pointing respectively strictly to the interior and strictly to the exterior of  $\mathcal{D}$ . Then, there exists a tubular neighborhood  $W \approx B \times ]-1,1[$  of B, which is contained in the common domain of  $X^{\pm}$ , such that the leaves  $B \times \{t\}$  are transverse to  $X^+$  and  $X^-$ , and such that  $B \times [-t,t]$  is closed in M for each  $t \in [0,1[$ . There exists a smooth positive function  $f:W \longrightarrow [0,1]$  such that

the vector field  $X := fX^- + (1-f)X^+$  is tangent to the leaves of W, it is obviously contained in  $\mathcal{C}'$ . We take  $F := \mathcal{D} \cup (B \times [0, 1/2])$ , so that  $U = \mathcal{D} \cup (B \times [0, 1/2])$ .

Since the closed cone field  $C_1$  is contained in the hyperbolic cone field C', it is hyperbolic. Moreover, it is locally solvable, hence globally hyperbolic.

Finally, if K and K' are compact subsets of U, then  $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}_1|U}^+(K) \cap \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}_1|U}^-(K') = \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}_1}^+(K) \cap \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}_1}^-(K')$  is a compact subset of U, which implies the hyperbolicity of  $\mathcal{C}_{1|U}$ .

Proof of Corollary 1.7. We consider a globally hyperbolic enlargement  $\mathcal{C}'$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $\tau$  is a Cauchy temporal function for  $\mathcal{C}'$ , and apply Lemma 7.2. Each integral curve of X on U intersects  $N = \{x \in U : \tau(x) = 0\}$  exactly once, and this defines the map  $\pi$ .

Proof of Theorem 2. We consider a globally hyperbolic enlargement  $\mathcal{C}'$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $H_0$  and  $H_1$  are spatial for  $\mathcal{C}'$ . We then apply Lemma 7.2. Since  $\mathcal{C}_{|U}$  is globally hyperbolic and non-degenerate on U, we can apply Proposition 5.2 to this cone field. We obtain a temporal function  $\theta: U \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which is uniform with respect to a complete metric h on h. We now take a complete metric h on h which is equal to h near h, and a smooth function h on h which is equal to h near h.

#### References

- 1. Aké Hau, L., Flores J. L. and Sánchez, M. Structure of globally hyperbolic spacetimes with timelike boundary, arXiv:1808.04412, to appear in Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana.
- 2. Benavides Navarro, J. J. and Minguzzi E. Global hyperbolicity is stable in the interval topology, J. Math. Phys. **52** (2011) no. 11, 112504, 8p.
- Bernal, A. N. and Sánchez, M., Smoothness of time functions and the metric splitting of globally hyperbolic spacetimes. Comm. Math. Phys., 257 (2005), 43-50.
- Bernal, A. N. and Sánchez, M., Further Results on the Smoothability of Cauchy Hypersurfaces and Cauchy Time Functions, Lett. Math. Phys. 77 (2006) no. 2, 183–197.
- Bernal, A. N. and Sánchez, M., Globally hyperbolic spacetimes can be defined as 'causal' instead of 'strongly causal', Class. Quant. Gravity 24 (2007) no. 3.
- Bernard, P. and Suhr, S. Lyapounov functions of closed cone fields: from Conley theory to time functions, Comm. Math. Phys. 359 (2018) no. 2, 467–498.
- Bernard, P. and Suhr, S. Smoothing causal functions, Journal of Physics: Conference Series 968 (2018), 10.1088/1742-6596/968/1/012001.
- Fathi, A., Partitions of unity for countable covers, Amer. Math. Monthly 104 (1997), 720–723.
- Fathi, A. and Siconolfi, A. On smooth time functions, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 152 (2012), 303–339.
- MINGUZZI, E. On the existence of smooth Cauchy steep time functions, Classical Quantum Gravity, 33 (2016), 115001.
- MINGUZZI, E. Causality theory for closed cone structures with applications, Rev. Math. Phys. 31 no. 5 (2019), 1930001.
- 12. Müller, O. and Sanchez, M. Lorentzian manifolds isometrically embeddable in  $\mathbb{L}^n$ , Trans. of the AMS 363, no. 10 (2011), 5367–5379.

Université Paris-Dauphine,, PSL Research University,, École Normale Supérieure,, DMA (UMR CNRS 8553), 45, rue d'Ulm, 75230 Paris Cedex 05, France E-mail address: patrick.bernard@ens.fr

FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK,, RUHR-UNIVERSITÄT BOCHUM,, UNIVERSITÄTSSTRASSE 150, 44780 BOCHUM, GERMANY

E-mail address: stefan.suhr@rub.de