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# Geometry of universal embedding spaces for almost complex manifolds 
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#### Abstract

We study the geometry of universal embedding spaces for compact almost complex manifolds of a given dimension. These spaces are complex algebraic analogues of twistor spaces that were introduced by J-P. Demailly and H. Gaussier. Their original goal was the study of a conjecture made by F. Bogomolov, asserting the "transverse embeddability" of arbitrary compact complex manifolds into foliated algebraic varieties. In this work, we introduce a more general category of universal embedding spaces, and elucidate the geometric structure of the integrability locus characterizing integrable almost complex structures. Our approach can potentially be used to investigate the existence (or non-existence) of topological obstructions to integrability.
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## Introduction

J-P. Demailly and H. Gaussier proved that every compact almost complex manifold $\left(X, J_{X}\right)$ of dimension $n$ admits an embedding $F: X \hookrightarrow Z$ that is transverse to an algebraic distribution $\mathcal{D} \subset T_{Z}(\mathrm{cf}$. Theorem 1.2 [4]), and that if $J_{X}$ is integrable, $\operatorname{Im}\left(\bar{\partial}_{J_{X}} F\right)$ is contained in a subvariety $\mathcal{I}$ of the Grassmannian bundle $\operatorname{Gr}^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{D}, n) \rightarrow Z$ that is the isotropic locus of the torsion tensor $\theta: \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D} \rightarrow T_{Z} / \mathcal{D}, \theta(\zeta, \eta)=[\zeta, \eta] \bmod \mathcal{D}(c f$. Theorem $1.6[4])$. J-P. Demailly proposed using this result to study Yau's Challenge, namely to determine whether there exists a compact almost complex manifold of dimension at least 3 that does not support an integrable almost complex structure [3]. The proposed strategy is particularly promising when the homotopy classes of almost complex structures are well understood. This is the case for the (oriented) sphere $S^{6}$. Any almost complex structure on $S^{6}$ is homotopic to the well-known octonion almost complex structure.

Let $J$ be a hypothetical integrable almost complex structure on $X$ that is homotopic to $J_{X}$. A (smooth) homotopy $J_{t}$ such that $J_{0}=J_{X}$ and $J_{1}=J$ produces an isotopy of transverse to $\mathcal{D}$ embeddings $F_{t}:\left(X, J_{t}\right) \hookrightarrow Z$, giving rise, in turn, to a homotopy $\operatorname{Im}\left(\bar{\partial}_{J_{t}} F_{t}(x)\right):[0,1] \times X \rightarrow \operatorname{Gr}^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{D}, n)$, where $\operatorname{Im}\left(\bar{\partial}_{J_{0}} F_{0}(x)\right) \subset \operatorname{Gr}^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{D}, n)$ and $\operatorname{Im}\left(\bar{\partial}_{J_{1}} F_{1}(x)\right) \subset \mathcal{I}$. The original proposal was to study the topology of $\mathcal{I}$ relative to $\operatorname{Gr}^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{D}, n)$ independently in hopes of detecting an obstruction to the existence of the homotopy $\operatorname{Im}\left(\bar{\partial}_{J_{t}} F_{t}(x)\right)$. In the special case of a homotopically unique $J_{X}$, the conclusion would be that $X$ cannot be a complex manifold. At this time, we do not know exactly which aspects of the topology or geometry of $\mathcal{I}$ relative to $\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{D}, n)$ could, in principle, generate an obstruction class. Moreover, it is unclear if such obstructions exist at all.

The main goal of this article is to study the geometry of spaces that lead to a refinement of the original strategy (see Section 2.2). We also provide a generalization of the embedding theory that was incepted by J-P. Demailly and H. Gaussier in [4].

Acknowledgement I thank Jean-Pierre Demailly, my PhD supervisor, for his guidance, patience and generosity. I thank the European Research Council for financial support in the form of a PhD grant from the project "Algebraic and Kähler geometry" (ALKAGE, no. 670846). This project emerged at the CIME School on Non-Kähler geometry [3].

## 1 Universal embedding spaces associated to even-dimensional complex manifolds

A complex directed manifold is a pair $(X, \mathcal{D})$ of complex manifold $X$ and distribution $\mathcal{D} \subset T_{X}$, i.e. $\mathcal{D}$ is a holomorphic sub-bundle of the tangent bundle of $X$. Complex directed manifolds form a category whose morphisms are holomorphic maps $\Psi: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ with $\Psi_{*}(\mathcal{D}) \subset \mathcal{D}^{\prime}[2]$. A morphism is étale if it is a local isomorphism. For example, an étale morphism of real analytic manifolds is a real analytic map that is locally a diffeomorphism and an étale morphism of complex manifolds is a holomorphic map that is locally a biholomorphism. If $(X, J)$ and $\left(X^{\prime}, J^{\prime}\right)$ are almost complex manifolds, a map $f: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ is pseudo-holomorphic provided that it satisfies the corresponding Cauchy-Riemann equation with $\bar{\partial}_{J, J^{\prime}} f:=\frac{1}{2}\left(d f+J^{\prime} \circ d f \circ J\right)$. If $W^{\mathbb{R}}$ is a real analytic manifold and $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(W^{\mathbb{R}}\right)=m$, by the complexification of $W^{\mathbb{R}}$, we mean the unique (germ of the) complex manifold $W$ that results from selecting an atlas on $W^{\mathbb{R}}$ and complexifying each of its real analytic transition functions near the real points. The complexification $W$ is such that $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}(Y)=m$, and it possesses a natural anti-holomorphic involution whose set of fixed points is $W^{\mathbb{R}}$.

Let $k \geq n \geq 1$ and $Y$ be a complex manifold of complex dimension $2 k$. For every $y \in Y$, consider the complex projective manifold of flags of signature $(k-n, k)$ in $T_{Y, y}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{(k-n, k)}\left(T_{Y, y}\right)= & \left\{\left(S^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}\right) \mid S^{\prime} \subset \Sigma^{\prime} \subset T_{Y, y}\right. \text { is a sequence of linear subspaces, } \\
& \left.\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(S^{\prime}\right)=k-n \text { and } \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right)=k\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the product manifold

$$
F_{(k-n, k)}^{2}\left(T_{Y, y}\right)=\left\{\left(S^{\prime}, S^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right) \mid\left(S^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}\right),\left(S^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right) \in F_{(k-n, k)}\left(T_{Y, y}\right)\right\}
$$

Let

$$
Q_{y}=\left\{\left(S^{\prime}, S^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right) \in F_{(k-n, k)}^{2}\left(T_{Y, y}\right) \mid \Sigma^{\prime} \oplus \Sigma^{\prime \prime}=T_{Y, y}\right\}
$$

Define

$$
\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y):=\coprod_{y \in Y} Q_{y}
$$

This is a complex manifold of complex dimension

$$
N_{n, k}:=2 k+2\left(k^{2}+n(k-n)\right),
$$

and it bears a resemblance to twistor bundles and Grassmannians [4]. Let $\pi_{Y}: \mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y) \rightarrow Y$ be the projection map defined for any $y \in Y$ and $q_{y} \in Q_{y}$ by $\pi_{Y}\left(y, q_{y}\right)=y$.

Define $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{n, k}$ to be the sub-bundle of $\pi_{Y}^{*}\left(T_{Y}\right)$ such that for any $w=$ $\left(y, S^{\prime}, S^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right) \in \mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y)$, we have $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{n, k, w}=S^{\prime} \oplus \Sigma^{\prime \prime}$. Now define a distribution $\mathbf{D}_{n, k} \subset T_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y)}$ by $\mathbf{D}_{n, k}:=d \pi_{Y}^{-1}\left(\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{n, k}\right)$.

In the case $Y=\mathbb{C}^{2 k}$, if we let

$$
Q:=\left\{\left(S^{\prime}, S^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right) \in F_{(k-n, k)}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2 k}\right) \mid \Sigma^{\prime} \oplus \Sigma^{\prime \prime}=\mathbb{C}^{2 k}\right\}
$$

then we simply get that $\mathcal{Z}_{n}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2 k}\right)=\mathbb{C}^{2 k} \times Q$. Therefore, the above construction recovers the one made by J-P. Demailly and H. Gaussier, who introduced the complex directed manifolds $\left(Z_{n, k}, \mathcal{D}_{n, k}\right)$, where $Z_{n, k}$ is the complex, quasiprojective manifold of all 5 -tuples

$$
\left\{\left(z, S^{\prime}, S^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right) \mid z \in \mathbb{C}^{2 k},\left(S^{\prime}, S^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right) \in Q\right\}
$$

and $\mathcal{D}_{n, k}$ is the co-rank $n$ sub-bundle of $T_{Z_{n, k}}$, whose fiber at any $w \in Z_{n, k}$ is

$$
\mathcal{D}_{n, k, w}=\left\{\left(\zeta, u^{\prime}, u^{\prime \prime}, v^{\prime}, v^{\prime \prime}\right) \in T_{Z_{n, k}, w} \mid \zeta \in S^{\prime} \oplus \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right\}
$$

(cf. Theorem $1.2[4]$ ). For $n$ fixed, the above defined complex directed manifold $\left(\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y), \mathbf{D}_{n, k}\right)$ will be called here the universal embedding space associated with $Y$, as we will soon see its universal property.
Remark 1. $Q \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y) \xrightarrow{\pi_{Y}} Y$ is a (holomorphic) fiber bundle and if $Y=$ $\mathbb{C}^{2 k}$, the bundle is trivial. The universal embedding space $\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y)$ is locally diffeomorphic to $\mathcal{Z}_{n}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2 k}\right)$.

Proof. Given a holomorphic atlas $\left(U_{\alpha}, \psi_{\alpha}\right)$ for $Y$ and $p \in U_{\alpha}$, the isomorphism $T_{Y, p} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{2 k}$ induces a biholomorphism $Q_{p} \simeq_{q_{\alpha}} Q$, and so $\left(U_{\alpha}, I d_{U_{\alpha}} \times q_{\alpha}\right)$ is a local trivialization.

Now observe that $\mathcal{Z}_{n}\left(U_{\alpha}\right)=\pi_{Y}^{-1}\left(U_{\alpha}\right) \simeq U_{\alpha} \times Q \simeq \mathbb{C}^{2 k} \times Q=\mathcal{Z}_{n}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2 k}\right)$, where $\mathcal{Z}_{n}\left(U_{\alpha}\right)=\coprod p \in U_{\alpha} Q_{p}$, where the first identification comes from the local trivialization and the second one, from the map $\psi_{\alpha}: U_{\alpha} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2 k}$.

### 1.1 Universal embedding property

Next we construct embeddings of compact almost complex manifolds. Our approach is based on that used in the proof of Theorem 1.2 of [4]. Throughout, $\left(X, J_{X}\right)$ is a compact almost complex manifold of dimension $n \geq 1$.

Proposition. Assume that there is a $C^{\infty}$ real embedding of $X$ into a real analytic $2 k$-dimensional manifold $Y^{\mathbb{R}}$. Assume further that $N_{X / Y^{\mathbb{R}}}$ admits a complex structure $J_{N}$. Then, there is a totally real embedding $F: X \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y)$ that is transverse to $\mathbf{D}_{n, k}$ and that induces the almost complex structure $J_{X}$.

Proof. Define $\tilde{J}:=J_{X} \oplus J_{N}$, which is a complex structure on $T_{Y_{\mathbb{R}}} \mid X \simeq T_{X} \oplus$ $N_{X / Y^{\mathbb{R}}}$. Consider the $J_{Y}$-complexification of $\tilde{J}, \tilde{J}^{\mathbb{C}}=J_{X}^{\mathbb{C}} \oplus J_{N}^{\mathbb{C}}:\left.\left.T_{Y}\right|_{X} \rightarrow T_{Y}\right|_{X}$, and the sub-bundle $S:=\{0\} \oplus N_{X / Y^{\mathbb{R}}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ of $\left.T_{Y}\right|_{X}$. For any $x \in X$ and $\left(0, \eta_{x}\right) \in$ $S_{x}=\{0\} \oplus N_{X / Y^{\mathbb{R}}, x}^{\mathbb{C}}, \tilde{J}^{\mathbb{C}}(x)\left(0, \eta_{x}\right)=\left(0, J_{N}^{\mathbb{C}}\left(\eta_{x}\right)\right) \in S_{x}$, implying that $S_{x}$ is $\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{C}}(x)$-stable so that $\left.\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{C}}(x)\right|_{S_{x}} \in \operatorname{End}\left(S_{x}\right)$. Let $\Sigma_{x}^{\prime}$ be the $+i$ eigenspace for $\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{C}}(x)$ and $\Sigma_{x}^{\prime \prime}$ be the $-i$ eigenspace for $\widetilde{J}^{\mathbb{C}}(x)$. Then, the $+i$, respectively $-i$, eigenspaces for $\left.\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{C}}(x)\right|_{S_{x}}$ are $S_{x}^{\prime}:=S_{x} \cap \Sigma_{x}^{\prime}$ and $S_{x}^{\prime \prime}:=S_{x} \cap \Sigma_{x}^{\prime \prime}$. More explicitly, these eigenspaces are $\Sigma_{x}^{\prime}=T_{X, x}^{1,0} \oplus \operatorname{Eig}\left(J_{N}^{\mathbb{C}}(x), i\right), \Sigma_{x}^{\prime \prime}=T_{X, x}^{0,1} \oplus \operatorname{Eig}\left(J_{N}^{\mathbb{C}}(x),-i\right)$, $S_{x}^{\prime}=\{0\} \oplus \operatorname{Eig}\left(J_{N}^{\mathbb{C}}(x), i\right)$, and $S_{x}^{\prime \prime}=\{0\} \oplus \operatorname{Eig}\left(J_{N}^{\mathbb{C}}(x),-i\right)$. Note that $S_{x}^{\prime} \subset \Sigma_{x}^{\prime}$, $S_{x}^{\prime \prime} \subset \Sigma_{x}^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma_{x}^{\prime} \oplus \Sigma_{x}^{\prime \prime}=\left.T_{Y}\right|_{X, x}$, where $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\Sigma_{x}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\Sigma_{x}^{\prime \prime}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\left.T_{Y}\right|_{X, x}\right)=$ $k$, and $S_{x}=S_{x}^{\prime} \oplus S_{x}^{\prime \prime}$, where $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(S_{x}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(S_{x}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(N_{X / Y^{\mathbb{R}}, x}^{\mathbb{C}}\right)=$ $\frac{1}{2}(2 k-2 n)=k-n$. Therefore, for any $x \in X$ (though in reality $x$ belongs to $f(X)),\left(S_{x}^{\prime}, S_{x}^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma_{x}^{\prime}, \Sigma_{x}^{\prime \prime}\right) \in Q_{x}=\left\{\left(S^{\prime}, S^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right) \in F_{(k-n, k)}^{2}\left(T_{Y, x}\right) \mid \Sigma^{\prime} \oplus \Sigma^{\prime \prime}=\right.$ $\left.T_{Y, x}\right\}$. If $f: X \hookrightarrow Y^{\mathbb{R}}$ is the given $C^{\infty}$ real embedding, we get an embedding $F: X \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y)$, where $F(x)=\left(f(x), S_{x}^{\prime}, S_{x}^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma_{x}^{\prime}, \Sigma_{x}^{\prime \prime}\right)$.

Let $\sigma: Y \rightarrow Y, y \mapsto \bar{y}$, be an anti-holomorphic involution that realizes $Y^{\mathbb{R}}$ as its fixed point set. For $\left(S^{\prime}, S^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right) \in Q_{y}$, put $\overline{S^{\prime}}:=\left.d \sigma\right|_{y}\left(S^{\prime}\right) \subset T_{Y, \bar{y}}$, $\overline{\Sigma^{\prime}}:=\left.d \sigma\right|_{y}\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right) \subset T_{Y, \bar{y}}$, and define $\overline{S^{\prime \prime}}$ and $\overline{\Sigma^{\prime \prime}}$ similarly. Then, $\sigma$ gives rise to the anti-holomorphic involution $\tilde{\sigma}: \mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y)$,

$$
\left(y, S^{\prime}, S^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right) \mapsto\left(\bar{y}, \overline{S^{\prime \prime}}, \overline{S^{\prime}}, \overline{\Sigma^{\prime \prime}}, \overline{\Sigma^{\prime}}\right)
$$

The real points $\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y)^{\mathbb{R}}$ of $\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y)$ are the fixed points of $\tilde{\sigma}$, so

$$
\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y)^{\mathbb{R}}=\left\{\left(y, S^{\prime}, S^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right) \in \mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y) \mid y \in Y^{\mathbb{R}}, S^{\prime \prime}=\overline{S^{\prime}}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}=\overline{\Sigma^{\prime}}\right\}
$$

The anti-holomorphic character of $\sigma$ implies that $d \sigma$ is type-reversing and point-wise conjugate linear, so $\overline{\Sigma_{x}^{\prime}}=T_{X, x}^{0,1} \oplus \operatorname{Eig}\left(J_{N}^{\mathbb{C}}(x),-i\right)=\Sigma_{x}^{\prime \prime}$ and similarly, $\overline{S_{x}^{\prime}}=S_{x}^{\prime \prime}$. Therefore, $F(X) \subset \mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y)^{\mathbb{R}}$.

Since $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\left.d F\right|_{x}\left(T_{X, x}\right)\right)+\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbf{D}_{n, k, F(x)}\right)=2 N_{n, k}=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(T_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y), F(x)}\right)$, the embedding $F$ is transverse to $\mathbf{D}_{n, k, F(x)}$ if $\left.d F\right|_{x}\left(T_{X, x}\right)$ and $\mathbf{D}_{n, k, F(x)}$ intersect trivially. But the latter follows from $\left.d \pi_{Y}\right|_{x}\left(\left.d F\right|_{x}\left(T_{X, x}\right) \cap \mathbf{D}_{n, k, F(x)}\right)=$ $\left.d f\right|_{x}\left(T_{X, x}\right) \cap S_{x}^{\prime} \oplus \Sigma_{x}^{\prime \prime}=\{0\}$. Hence $T_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y), F(x)}=\left.d F\right|_{x}\left(T_{X, x}\right) \oplus \mathbf{D}_{n, k, F(x)}$.

Let $J_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y)}$ be the given complex structure on $\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y)$. The quotient $T_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y)} / \mathbf{D}_{n, k}$ is a holomorphic vector bundle on $\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y)$. Since $\mathbf{D}_{n, k} \subset T_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y)}$ is a holomorphic distribution, $J_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y)}\left(\mathbf{D}_{n, k}\right)=\mathbf{D}_{n, k}$. So $J_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y)}$ descends to a complex structure on $T_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y)} / \mathbf{D}_{n, k}$. The transversality of $F$ implies that at any $x \in X$, there is a real isomorphism $\rho: T_{F(X), F(x)} \rightarrow T_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y), F(x)} / \mathbf{D}_{n, k, F(x)}$. Then, $J_{F(X)}^{\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y), \mathbf{D}_{n, k}}(x):=\rho^{-1} \circ J_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y)}(x) \circ \rho$ defines an almost complex structure $J_{F(X)}^{\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y), \mathbf{D}_{n, k}}$ on $F(X)$, and then since $F$ is an embedding, the pullback section $J_{F}:=F^{*}\left(J_{F(X)}^{\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y), \mathbf{D}_{n, k}}\right)$ is an almost complex structure on $X$. Note that

$$
T_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y), F(x)} / \mathbf{D}_{n, k, F(x)} \simeq \Sigma_{x}^{\prime} / S_{x}^{\prime}
$$

and so $T_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y), F(x)} / \mathbf{D}_{n, k, F(x)}$ is isomorphic to the holomorphic tangent space $T_{X, x}^{1,0}$, which is $T_{X}$ endowed with the complex structure $J_{X}^{\mathbb{C}}(x)$. Run the above construction, with $T_{X, x}^{1,0}$ now playing the role of $T_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y), F(x)} / \mathbf{D}_{n, k, F(x)}$, to find that $J_{F}=J_{X}$.

Lemma 1. Let $M$ be a real analytic manifold of dimension $k>n$, let $f$ : $X \hookrightarrow M$ be a $C^{\infty}$ real embedding, and $i_{\Delta}: M \hookrightarrow M \times M$ be the diagonal embedding $i_{\Delta}(x)=(x, x)$. Embed $X$ into $M \times M$ via $i_{\Delta} \circ f$. Then, the normal bundle $N_{X / M \times M}$ has a natural complex structure $J_{N}$.

Proof. Since $T_{M} \simeq N_{M / M \times M}$, we obtain the following real analytic splittings

$$
\left.\left.N_{X / M \times M} \simeq N_{X / M} \oplus N_{M / M \times M}\right|_{X} \simeq N_{X / M} \oplus T_{M}\right|_{X} \simeq N_{X / M} \oplus N_{X / M} \oplus T_{X}
$$

Let $J_{N_{X / M} \oplus N_{X / M}}$ be the tautological complex structure that is given by $J_{N_{X / M} \oplus N_{X / M}}(\zeta, \eta)=(-\eta, \zeta)$. Put $J_{N}:=J_{N_{X / M} \oplus N_{X / M}} \oplus\left(-J_{X}\right)$, which defines a complex structure on $N_{X / M \times M}$.

If we take $Y^{\mathbb{R}}=M \times M$ as in the lemma, we then have that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Sigma_{x}^{\prime}=T_{X, x}^{1,0} \oplus\left\{(u,-i u) \mid u \in N_{X / M, x}^{\mathbb{C}}\right\} \oplus T_{X, x}^{0,1}, \\
\Sigma_{x}^{\prime \prime}=T_{X, x}^{0,1} \oplus\left\{(u, i u) \mid u \in N_{X / M, x}^{\mathbb{C}}\right\} \oplus T_{X, x}^{1,0}, \\
S_{x}^{\prime}=\{0\} \oplus\left\{(u,-i u) \mid u \in N_{X / M, x}^{\mathbb{C}}\right\} \oplus T_{X, x}^{0,1}, \text { and } \\
S_{x}^{\prime \prime}=\{0\} \oplus\left\{(u, i u) \mid u \in N_{X / M, x}^{\mathbb{C}}\right\} \oplus T_{X, x}^{1,0} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Here we can see why it is nicer to take $-J_{X}$ in the definition of $J_{N}$, as otherwise $\Sigma_{x}^{\prime}$ and $\Sigma_{x}^{\prime \prime}$ would have repeated direct sum factors of $T_{X, x}^{1,0}$ and $T_{X, x}^{0,1}$.

Corollary 1. If $n \geq 1$ and $k \geq 2 n$, any compact almost complex $n$-dimensional manifold $\left(X, J_{X}\right)$ admits a universal embedding $F:\left(X, J_{X}\right) \hookrightarrow\left(\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y), \mathbf{D}_{n, k}\right)$, where $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(Y^{\mathbb{R}}\right)=2 k$.
Proof. Take any real analytic manifold $M$ of dimension $k$ and put $Y^{\mathbb{R}}=$ $M \times M$. Let $\psi: X^{2 n} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{4 n}$ be a Whitney embedding and $\phi: \mathbb{R}^{4 n} \rightarrow B$ be a diffeomorphism of $\mathbb{R}^{4 n}$ with some open ball $B \subset Y^{\mathbb{R}}$. Then, $\phi \circ \psi: X \hookrightarrow Y^{\mathbb{R}}$ is a real analytic embedding. The proposition builds $F: X \hookrightarrow\left(\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y), \mathbf{D}_{n, k}\right)$ out of the embedding $\phi \circ \psi$.

We call an embedding $F$ as in Corollary 1 a universal embedding and denote it by $F:\left(X, J_{X}\right) \hookrightarrow\left(\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y), \mathbf{D}_{n, k}\right)$.
Remark 2. Consider the 6-dimensional sphere $S^{6}$ equipped with the octonion almost complex structure $J_{\mathbb{O}}$. The Proposition manufactures a universal embedding $F:\left(S^{6}, J_{\mathbb{O}}\right) \hookrightarrow\left(\mathcal{Z}_{3}\left(\mathbb{C}^{4}\right), \mathcal{D}_{3,4}\right)$ from the inclusion mapping $i: S^{6} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Im}(\mathbb{O}) \subset \mathbb{R}^{8}$, where $\operatorname{Im}(\mathbb{O}) \simeq \mathbb{R}^{7}$ are the imaginary octonions.

### 1.2 Functorial property with respect to étale morphisms

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathbb{C}-\operatorname{Man}_{E t}^{n}$ be the category of complex manifolds of dimension $n$ whose morphisms are étale morphisms. For each $k \geq n \geq 1$, we have functors $\mathbf{Z}_{n}: \mathbb{C}-\operatorname{Man}_{E t}^{2 k} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}-\operatorname{Man}_{E t}^{N_{n, k}}$, given by $\mathbf{Z}_{n}(Y)=\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y)$, and for any étale morphism $f: Y \rightarrow Y^{\prime}, \mathbf{Z}_{n}(f): \mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{n}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)$ is the map that is defined at each $w=\left(y, S^{\prime}, S^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right) \in \mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y)$ by

$$
\mathcal{Z}_{n}(f)(w)=\left(f(y),\left.d f\right|_{y}\left(S^{\prime}\right),\left.d f\right|_{y}\left(S^{\prime \prime}\right),\left.d f\right|_{y}\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right),\left.d f\right|_{y}\left(\Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right)\right),
$$

where $\left.d f\right|_{y}\left(S^{\prime}\right):=\operatorname{Im}\left(\left.d f(y)\right|_{S^{\prime}}\right),\left.d f\right|_{y}\left(S^{\prime \prime}\right):=\operatorname{Im}\left(\left.d f(y)\right|_{S^{\prime \prime}}\right)$, and so forth.
For a fixed $n$, the universal embedding space associated with $Y$ is a complex directed manifold $\left(\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y), \mathbf{D}_{n, k}\right)$ such that every compact almost complex $n$ dimensional manifold $X$ admits an embedding $F: X \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y)$ that is transverse to $\mathbf{D}_{n, k}$. It satisfies the following universal property. For any $n^{\prime}$ dimensional compact almost complex manifold $X^{\prime}$, any $C^{\infty}$ real embeddings $g: X \hookrightarrow Y^{\mathbb{R}}$ and $g^{\prime}: X^{\prime} \hookrightarrow Y^{\mathbb{R}}$, any pseudo-holomorphic étale map $\psi_{X}$ : $X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$, and any étale morphism $\psi_{Y}: Y^{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow Y^{\prime \mathbb{R}}$ that fit into a commutative diagram

there is a corresponding functorially defined morphism $\mathcal{Z}_{n}\left(\psi_{Y}\right):\left(\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y), \mathcal{D}_{n, k}\right) \rightarrow$ $\left(\mathcal{Z}_{n^{\prime}}\left(Y^{\prime}\right), \mathcal{D}_{n^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}\right)$ of complex directed manifolds making the diagram

commute. By the Nash-Tognoli Theorem, we may assume that $X$ and $X^{\prime}$ are smooth real algebraic varieties and that $g: X \hookrightarrow Y^{\mathbb{R}}$ and $g^{\prime}: X^{\prime} \hookrightarrow Y^{\mathbb{R}}$ are algebraic.

## 2 The geometry of $\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y)$ and related bundles

### 2.1 Coordinates on $\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y)$

Let $y \in Y$ and $U_{y} \simeq_{\psi} \mathbb{C}^{2 k}$ be any holomorphic coordinate chart that is centered at $y$. We write $\psi(p)=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 k}\right)$ for the holomorphic coordinates of a point $p \in U_{y}$. Let $S_{y}^{\prime}=\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}\right)_{j=n+1}^{k}, S_{y}^{\prime \prime}=\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}\right)_{j=n+k+1}^{2 k}, \Sigma_{y}^{\prime}=$ $\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}\right)_{j=1}^{k}$ and $\Sigma_{y}^{\prime \prime}=\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}\right)_{j=k+1}^{2 k}$. Put $f_{y}:=\left(S_{y}^{\prime}, S_{y}^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma_{y}^{\prime}, \Sigma_{y}^{\prime \prime}\right) \in Q_{y}$. We call $f_{y}$ the standard product flag in $T_{Y, y}$. Define $w_{y}:=\left(y, f_{y}\right)$, which indeed belongs to $\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y)$ because $\Sigma_{y}^{\prime} \oplus \Sigma_{y}^{\prime \prime}=T_{Y, y}$. We develop a coordinate chart $U_{y} \times \mathcal{A}\left(f_{y}\right) \simeq \mathbb{C}^{2 k} \times \mathbb{C}^{N_{n, k}-2 k}=\mathbb{C}^{N_{n, k}}$ that is centered at the standard product flag in $T_{Y, y}$. Let $E_{S_{y}^{\prime}}=\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}\right)_{j=1}^{n}$ and $E_{S_{y}^{\prime \prime}}=\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}\right)_{j=k+1}^{n+k}$. In addition to $\Sigma_{y}^{\prime} \oplus \Sigma_{y}^{\prime \prime}=\mathrm{T}_{Y, y}$, there are direct sum decompositions $S_{y}^{\prime} \oplus E_{S_{y}^{\prime}}=$ $\Sigma_{y}^{\prime}$ and $S_{y}^{\prime \prime} \oplus E_{S_{y}^{\prime \prime}}=\Sigma_{y}^{\prime \prime}$. Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}\left(f_{y}\right):= & \left\{\left(S^{\prime}, S^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right) \in Q_{y} \mid y \in U_{y}, S^{\prime} \cap E_{S_{y}^{\prime}}=\{0\}, S^{\prime \prime} \cap E_{S_{y}^{\prime \prime}}=\{0\},\right. \\
& \left.\Sigma^{\prime} \cap \Sigma_{y}^{\prime \prime}=\{0\}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime} \cap \Sigma_{y}^{\prime}=\{0\}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For any $\left(S^{\prime}, S^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right) \in \mathcal{A}\left(f_{y}\right), S^{\prime}, S^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}$ and $\Sigma^{\prime \prime}$ correspond uniquely to maps $f_{S^{\prime}} \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(S_{y}^{\prime}, E_{S_{y}^{\prime}}\right), f_{S^{\prime \prime}} \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(S_{y}^{\prime \prime}, E_{S_{y}^{\prime \prime}}\right), f_{\Sigma^{\prime}} \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\Sigma_{y}^{\prime}, \Sigma_{y}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and $f_{\Sigma^{\prime \prime}} \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\Sigma_{y}^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma_{y}^{\prime}\right)$, respectively, in the sense that $S^{\prime}=\Gamma\left(f_{S^{\prime}}\right), S^{\prime \prime}=\Gamma\left(f_{S^{\prime \prime}}\right)$, $\Sigma^{\prime}=\Gamma\left(f_{\Sigma^{\prime}}\right)$, and $\Sigma^{\prime \prime}=\Gamma\left(f_{\Sigma^{\prime \prime}}\right)$, where $\Gamma(g)$ denotes the graph of the function $g$; e.g. $\Gamma\left(f_{S^{\prime}}\right)=\left\{x+f_{S^{\prime}}(x) \mid x \in S_{y}^{\prime}\right\}$. Suppose that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{S^{\prime}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\right) & =\sum_{j=1}^{n} z_{i j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}, \text { for } n+1 \leq i \leq k, \\
f_{S^{\prime \prime}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\right) & =\sum_{j=k+1}^{n+k} z_{i j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}, \text { for } n+k+1 \leq i \leq 2 k, \\
f_{\Sigma^{\prime}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\right) & =\sum_{j=k+1}^{2 k} z_{i j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq k, \text { and } \\
f_{\Sigma^{\prime \prime}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\right) & =\sum_{j=1}^{k} z_{i j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}, \text { for } k+1 \leq i \leq 2 k .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S^{\prime}=\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{i j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\right)_{j=n+1}^{k}, S^{\prime \prime}=\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}+\sum_{i=k+1}^{n+k} z_{i j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\right)_{j=n+k+1}^{2 k}, \\
& \Sigma^{\prime}=\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}+\sum_{i=k+1}^{2 k} z_{i j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\right)_{j=1}^{k} \text { and } \Sigma^{\prime \prime}=\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} z_{i j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\right)_{j=k+1}^{2 k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This defines a coordinate map $q: \mathcal{A}\left(f_{y}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2\left(k^{2}+n(k-n)\right)}=\mathbb{C}^{N_{n, k}-2 k}$,

$$
q\left(S^{\prime}, S^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right)=Z:=\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
Z_{S^{\prime}} & Z_{\Sigma^{\prime}} \\
\hline Z_{\Sigma^{\prime \prime}} & Z_{S^{\prime \prime}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
Z_{S^{\prime}}=\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
\mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{k}} \\
\hline z_{i j} & \mathbf{0}_{(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{n}) \times(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{n})}
\end{array}\right)_{n+1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq n} \\
Z_{S^{\prime \prime}}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{k}} \\
\hline z_{i j} \\
\mathbf{0}_{(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{n}) \times(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{n})}
\end{array}\right)_{n+k+1 \leq i \leq 2 k, k+1 \leq j \leq n+k}, \\
Z_{\Sigma^{\prime}}=\left(z_{i j}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq k, k+1 \leq j \leq 2 k},
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
Z_{\Sigma^{\prime}}=\left(z_{i j}\right)_{k+1 \leq i \leq 2 k, 1 \leq j \leq k}
$$

and note that $q\left(f_{y}\right)=0$.
Coordinates on $\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y)$ centered at $w_{y}$ are then given by the map $\phi:=$ $\psi \times q: U_{y} \times \mathcal{A}\left(f_{y}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{N_{n, k}}, \phi\left(y, S^{\prime} S^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(\psi(y), q\left(S^{\prime} S^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)=$ $(\psi(y), Z)$, and note that $\phi\left(w_{y}\right)=0$.

### 2.2 Sub-bundles of the Grassmannian bundle

The torsion operator is the section

$$
\theta \in H^{0}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y), \mathcal{O}\left(\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbf{D}_{n, k}^{*}\right) \otimes T_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y)} / \mathbf{D}_{n, k}\right)\right)
$$

where

$$
\theta(w): \mathbf{D}_{n, k, w} \times \mathbf{D}_{n, k, w} \rightarrow T_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y), w} / \mathbf{D}_{n, k, w},
$$

$\theta(w)(\zeta, \eta)=[\zeta, \eta] \bmod \mathbf{D}_{n, k, w}$. At the central point, we have the coordinate form

## Lemma 2.

$$
\theta\left(w_{y}\right)=-2 \sum_{j=n+1}^{2 k} \sum_{i=1}^{n} d x_{j} \wedge d z_{i j} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}
$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{I}:=\{1, \ldots, n\} \times\{n+1, \ldots, k\} \cup\{k+1, \ldots, n+k\} \times\{n+k+$ $1, \ldots, 2 k\} \cup\{k+1, \ldots, 2 k\} \times\{1, \ldots, k\} \cup\{1, \ldots, k\} \times\{k+1, \ldots, 2 k\}$ and define

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{I}):= & (1, n+1), \ldots,(1, k), \ldots,(n, n+1), \ldots,(n, k),(k+1, n+k+1), \ldots,(k+1,2 k), \\
& \ldots,(n+k, n+k+1), \ldots,(n+k, 2 k),(k+1,1) \ldots,(k+1, k), \ldots,(2 k, 1), \\
& \ldots,(2 k, k),(1, k+1), \ldots,(1,2 k), \ldots,(k, k+1), \ldots,(k, 2 k)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we work with the less compact, but equivalent coordinates $\phi\left(p, S^{\prime} S^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right)=$ $\left(x,\left(z_{i j}\right)_{(i, j) \in(\mathcal{I})}\right)$. Let $w=\left(p, S^{\prime}, S^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right) \in U_{y} \times \mathcal{A}\left(f_{y}\right)$ so that

$$
\left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l}}\right)_{l=1}^{2 k},\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i j}}\right)_{(i, j) \in(\mathcal{I})}\right)
$$

is a basis of the tangent space $T_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y), w}$. Then,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{D}_{n, k, w_{y}}=\left\{\left(\zeta, u^{\prime}, u^{\prime \prime}, v^{\prime}, v^{\prime \prime}\right) \in T_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2 k}\right), w_{y}} \left\lvert\, \zeta \in S_{y}^{\prime} \oplus \Sigma_{y}^{\prime \prime}=\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l}}\right)_{l=n+1, \ldots, 2 k}\right.\right\} \text { and } \\
\mathbf{D}_{n, k, w}=\left\{\left(\zeta, u^{\prime}, u^{\prime \prime}, v^{\prime}, v^{\prime \prime}\right) \in T_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2 k}\right), w} \mid \zeta \in S^{\prime} \oplus \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $a: U_{y} \times \mathcal{A}\left(f_{y}\right) \rightarrow M_{n \times\left(N_{n, k}-n\right)}(\mathbb{C})$ be the function $a(w)=\left(\chi_{\mathcal{I}} z_{i j}\right)$, where

$$
\chi_{\mathcal{I}}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if }(i, j) \in \mathcal{I} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Then, since $\chi_{\mathcal{I}}=0$ on $\{n+1, \ldots, k\} \times\{n+1, \ldots, k\}$,

$$
S^{\prime} \oplus \Sigma^{\prime \prime}=\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i j}(w) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\right)_{j=n+1, \ldots, 2 k}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{D}_{n, k, w_{y}}=\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l}}\right)_{l=n+1, \ldots, 2 k} \oplus \operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i j}}\right)_{(i, j) \in(\mathcal{I})}, \\
\mathbf{D}_{n, k, w}=\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i j}(w) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\right)_{j=n+1, \ldots, 2 k} \oplus \operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i j}}\right)_{(i, j) \in(\mathcal{I})}, \\
\text { and so } T_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y), w_{y}} / \mathbf{D}_{n, k, w_{y}} \simeq \operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l}}\right)_{l=1, \ldots, n} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Note that $a\left(w_{y}\right)=0$. At $w_{y}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l}}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i l} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\right] } & =\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\frac{\partial a_{i l}}{\partial x_{j}}-\frac{\partial a_{i j}}{\partial x_{l}}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

because the function $a$ is independent of $x_{i}$ for all $i$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta\left(w_{y}\right)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{m l}}\right) & =\sum_{i=1}^{k} \theta\left(w_{y}\right)\left(a_{i j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{m l}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(-\frac{\partial a_{i j}}{\partial z_{m l}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \bmod \mathbf{D}_{n, k, w_{y}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n}-\frac{\partial a_{i j}}{\partial z_{m l}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then since, $1 \leq i \leq n, n+1 \leq j \leq 2 k$, and $(m, l) \in \mathcal{I}$, the index domains (i.e. the domains of $i, j, l$ and $m$ ) overlap exactly at $\{1, \ldots, n\} \times$ $\{n+1, \ldots, 2 k\} \cap \mathcal{I}=\{1, \ldots, n\} \times\{n+1, \ldots, 2 k\}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta\left(w_{y}\right)= & \sum_{j, l=n+1}^{2 k} \sum_{m=1}^{n} \theta\left(w_{y}\right)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{m l}}\right) d x_{j} \wedge d z_{m l}+ \\
& \sum_{j, l=n+1}^{2 k} \sum_{m=1}^{n} \theta\left(w_{y}\right)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{m l}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\right) d z_{m l} \wedge d x_{j} \\
= & \sum_{j, l=n+1}^{2 k} \sum_{m=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}-\frac{\partial a_{i j}}{\partial z_{m l}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\right) d x_{j} \wedge d z_{m l}+ \\
& \sum_{j, l=n+1}^{2 k} \sum_{m=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial a_{i j}}{\partial z_{m l}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\right) d z_{m l} \wedge d x_{j} \\
= & -2 \sum_{j, l=n+1}^{2 k} \sum_{i, m=1}^{n} \frac{\partial a_{i j}}{\partial z_{m l}} d x_{j} \wedge d z_{m l} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \\
= & -2 \sum_{j=n+1}^{2 k} \sum_{i=1}^{n} d x_{j} \wedge d z_{i j} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 3. For any $X=\sum_{l=n+1}^{2 k} X_{l} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l}}+\sum_{(i, j) \in(\mathcal{I})} X_{i j} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i j}}, Y=\sum_{l=n+1}^{2 k} Y_{l} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l}}+$ $\sum_{(i, j) \in(\mathcal{I})} Y_{i j} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i j}} \in \mathbf{D}_{n, k, w_{y}}$,

$$
\theta\left(w_{y}\right)(X, Y)=-2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=n+1}^{2 k}\left(X_{j} Y_{i j}-Y_{j} X_{i j}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}
$$

Recall the projection mapping $\pi_{Y}: \mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y) \rightarrow Y, \pi_{Y}\left(y, q_{y}\right)=y$. If $Y=$ $\mathbb{C}^{2 k}$, we simply denote this map by $\pi$. Consider the Grassmannian bundle $\operatorname{Gr}^{C}\left(\mathbf{D}_{n, k}, n\right)$ on $\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y)$, whose fiber at $w \in \mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y)$ is the Grassmannian $\operatorname{Gr}^{\mathbb{C}}\left(\mathbf{D}_{n, k, w}, n\right)$. For any $w \in \mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y)$, define

$$
\mathbf{G r}_{n, k, w}^{\mathrm{o}}:=\left\{S \in \operatorname{Gr}^{\mathbb{C}}\left(\mathbf{D}_{n, k, w}, n\right)\left|d \pi_{Y}(w)\right|_{S} \text { is injective }\right\}
$$

and

$$
\mathbf{I}_{n, k, w}:=\left\{S \in \operatorname{Gr}^{\mathbb{C}}\left(\mathbf{D}_{n, k, w}, n\right)|\theta(w)|_{S \times S}=0\right\}
$$

Let $\mathbf{G r}_{n, k}^{0}$ be the sub-bundle of the Grassmannian bundle with fiber $\mathbf{G r}_{n, k, w}^{0}$ at $w$, and define $\mathbf{I}_{n, k}$ similarly. Now let $\mathbf{I}_{n, k}^{0}$ be the sub-bundle whose fiber over $w$ is $\mathbf{I}_{n, k, w}^{\mathrm{o}}:=\mathbf{G r}_{n, k, w}^{\mathrm{o}} \cap \mathbf{I}_{n, k, w}$. When $Y=\mathbb{C}^{2 k}$, we denote these bundles by $\mathrm{Gr}_{n, k}^{\mathrm{o}}, \mathcal{I}_{n, k}$, and $\mathcal{I}_{n, k}^{\mathrm{o}}$.

A refinement of the strategy that was mentioned in the introduction is obtained by replacing the Grassmannian bundle and the isotropic locus $\mathcal{I}_{n, k}$ with the Zariski open $\operatorname{Gr}_{n, k}^{\mathrm{o}} \subset \operatorname{Gr}^{\mathbb{C}}\left(\mathcal{D}_{n, k}, n\right)$ and with $\mathcal{I}_{n, k}^{\mathrm{o}}$, respectively. Indeed, such a replacement makes sense because if $F:\left(X, J_{X}\right) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{n}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2 k}\right)^{\mathbb{R}}$ is a universal embedding, since $\left.\bar{\partial}_{J_{X}} F\right|_{x}$ is injective (Lemma 2.2 [5]), the composition $\left.\left.d \pi\right|_{F(x)} \circ \bar{\partial}_{J_{X}} F\right|_{x}$ must also be injective. So $\operatorname{Im}\left(\bar{\partial}_{J_{X}} F\right) \subset \mathrm{Gr}_{n, k}^{\mathrm{o}}$, and therefore if $J_{X}$ is integrable, $\operatorname{Im}\left(\bar{\partial}_{J_{X}} F\right) \subset \mathcal{I}_{n, k}^{\circ}$. So we are now interested in the topology of $\mathcal{I}_{n, k}^{\circ}$ relative to $\mathrm{Gr}_{n, k}^{\mathrm{o}}$.

### 2.3 A functorial group action

This is a brief overview of basic facts that we will use in future work on understanding the geometry of $\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y)$ and related bundles via group actions.

Let $\left(e_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{2 k}$ be the standard basis of $\mathbb{C}^{2 k}, S_{0}^{\prime}=\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(e_{j}\right)_{j=n+1}^{k}, S_{0}^{\prime \prime}=$ $\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(e_{j}\right)_{j=n+k+1}^{2 k}, \Sigma_{0}^{\prime}=\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(e_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{k}$, and $\Sigma_{0}^{\prime \prime}=\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(e_{j}\right)_{j=k+1}^{2 k}$. Put $f_{0}:=$ $\left(S_{0}^{\prime}, S_{0}^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma_{0}^{\prime}, \Sigma_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right) \in F_{(k-n, k)}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2 k}\right)$ and $w_{0}:=\left(0, f_{0}\right)$, which belongs to $\mathcal{Z}_{n}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2 k}\right)$ because $\Sigma_{0}^{\prime} \oplus \Sigma_{0}^{\prime \prime}=\mathbb{C}^{2 k}$.
Lemma 3. The group $G L_{2 k}(\mathbb{C})$ acts transitively on $Q$ and the stabilizer $\Lambda$ of $f_{0}$ is the subgroup of $G L_{2 k}(\mathbb{C})$ of all matrices of the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
B_{k \times k} & 0_{k \times k} \\
\hline 0_{k \times k} & B_{k \times k}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right),
$$

where

$$
B_{k \times k}=\left(\frac{\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{n}} \underset{\mathbf{B}_{(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{n}) \times \mathbf{k}}}{ } \mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{n} \times(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{n})}}{}\right) \in G L_{k}(\mathbb{C})
$$

and

$$
B_{k \times k}^{\prime}=\left(\frac{\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{n}}^{\prime}}{} \begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{B}_{(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{n}) \times \mathbf{k}}^{\prime} \\
\mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{n} \times(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{n})} \\
\end{array}\right) \in G L_{k}(\mathbb{C}) .
$$

As a result, $Q \simeq G L_{2 k}(\mathbb{C}) / \Lambda$.
Proof. For any subspace $S=\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(s_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{r}$ of $\mathbb{C}^{2 k}$, define $G S:=\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(G s_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{r}$, for any $G \in \mathrm{GL}_{2 k}(\mathbb{C})$. The action of $\mathrm{GL}_{2 k}(\mathbb{C})$ on $Q$, given by $\left(G,\left(S^{\prime}, S^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right)\right) \mapsto$ $\left(G S^{\prime}, G S^{\prime \prime}, G \Sigma^{\prime}, G \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right)$, is transitive. To compute the stabilizer of $f_{0}$, let $B=\left(B_{i j}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2 k} \in \mathrm{GL}_{2 k}(\mathbb{C})$ and note that $B f_{0}=f_{0}$ iff

$$
\text { for each } n+1 \leq j \leq k, B_{i j}=0 \text { for all } 1 \leq i \leq n \text { and } k+1 \leq i \leq 2 k,
$$

for each $n+k+1 \leq j \leq 2 k, B_{i j}=0$ for all $k+1 \leq i \leq n+k$,
for each $1 \leq j \leq k, B_{i j}=0$ for all $k+1 \leq i \leq 2 k$,
and

$$
\text { for each } k+1 \leq j \leq 2 k, B_{i j}=0 \text { for all } 1 \leq i \leq k .
$$

Therefore, $\left(B_{i j}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq n, n+1 \leq j \leq k}=0_{n \times(k-n)},\left(B_{i j}\right)_{k+1 \leq i \leq n+k, n+k+1 \leq j \leq 2 k}=0_{n \times(k-n)}$, $\left(B_{i j}\right)_{k+1 \leq i \leq 2 k, 1 \leq j \leq k}=0_{k \times k}$, and $\left(B_{i j}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq k, k+1 \leq j \leq 2 k}=0_{k \times k}$ so that $B$ is as claimed.

The group Aut ${ }^{\text {hol }}(Y)$ of biholomorphisms of $Y$ acts functorially on $\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y)$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Aut}^{\mathrm{hol}^{\prime}}(Y) \times \mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y) \\
\left(f,\left(y, S^{\prime}, S^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right)\right) \mapsto \mathcal{Z}_{n}(f)\left(y, S^{\prime}, S^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

where recall $\mathcal{Z}_{n}(f)\left(y, S^{\prime}, S^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(f(y),\left.d f\right|_{y}\left(S^{\prime}, S^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)$. Of course it can happen that Aut ${ }^{\text {hol }}(Y)=\left\{I d_{Y}\right\}$. Although this action is generally non-transitive, there are exceptions, for example when $Y=\mathbb{C}^{2 k}$. Consider the subgroup $\operatorname{Aff}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2 k}\right)$ of Aut ${ }^{\text {hol }}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2 k}\right)$. For any $f=B z+c \in \operatorname{Aff}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2 k}\right)$, note that

$$
\mathcal{Z}_{n}(f)\left(y, S^{\prime}, S^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(B y+c,\left.d f\right|_{y}\left(S^{\prime}, S^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)=\left(B y+c, B\left(S^{\prime}, S^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)
$$

Lemma 4. The functorial action of $\operatorname{Aff}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2 k}\right)$ on $\mathcal{Z}_{n}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2 k}\right)$ is transitive, the stabilizer of $w_{0}$ is $\mathcal{L}:=\{f=B z \mid B \in \Lambda\}$, and $\mathcal{Z}_{n}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2 k}\right) \simeq A f f\left(\mathbb{C}^{2 k}\right) / \mathcal{L}$.

Proof. Let $\left(y, S^{\prime}, S^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right) \in \mathcal{Z}_{n}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2 k}\right)=\mathbb{C}^{2 k} \times Q$. We saw that $\mathrm{GL}_{2 k}(\mathbb{C})$ acts transitively on $Q$. So there is a $B_{0} \in \mathrm{GL}_{2 k}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\left(S^{\prime}, S^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right)=$ $B_{0} f_{0}$. Put $c_{0}=y$ so that $\mathcal{Z}_{n}\left(B_{0} z+c_{0}\right)\left(w_{0}\right)=\left(y, S^{\prime}, S^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right)$.

A more specific statement of Lemma 4 appeared on page 3 of [5].

## 3 Affine bundle structure

Let $\pi_{n, k}: \operatorname{Gr}^{\mathbb{C}}\left(\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{n, k}, n\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y)$ be the Grassmannian bundle. Let $\gamma_{n, k} \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Gr}^{\mathbb{C}}\left(\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{n, k}, n\right)$ be the tautological bundle with fiber $\gamma_{n, k, S}=S$ over any point $S \in \operatorname{Gr}^{\mathbb{C}}\left(\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{n, k}, n\right)$, viewed as a vector subspace of the corresponding fiber of $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{n, k} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y)$. Since both $\gamma_{n, k}$ and $\pi_{n, k}^{*}\left(T_{Z_{n}(Y) / Y}\right)$ are vector bundles on $\operatorname{Gr}^{\mathbb{C}}\left(\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{n, k}, n\right)$, we can form the vector bundle $h: \operatorname{Hom}\left(\gamma_{n, k}, \pi_{n, k}^{*}\left(T_{Z_{n}(Y) / Y}\right)\right) \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Gr}^{\mathbb{C}}\left(\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{n, k}, n\right)$. The typical fiber of this bundle is $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}, \mathbb{C}^{N_{n, k}-2 k}\right)$, coming from the fact that for any $\left(w, S_{w}\right) \in \operatorname{Gr}^{\mathbb{C}}\left(\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{n, k}, n\right)$,

$$
h^{-1}\left(w, S_{w}\right)=\operatorname{Hom}\left(\gamma_{n, k, S_{w}}, \pi_{n, k}^{*}\left(T_{Z_{n}(Y) / Y, w}\right)\right),
$$

$\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(S_{w}\right)=n$, and $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(T_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y) / Y, w}\right)=N_{n, k}-2 k$.
Remark 4. Let $V$ be a complex vector space and $W \subset V$ be a subspace. Let $p: V \rightarrow V / W$ be the linear projection map, $v \mapsto v \bmod W$. Define $G r^{\circ}(V, r):=\left\{U \in G r^{\mathbb{C}}(V, r)|p|_{U}\right.$ is injective $\}$. Choose a subspace $T$ that is complementary to $W$, i.e. $V=T \oplus W$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
G r^{\circ}(V, r) & =\left\{U \in G r^{\mathbb{C}}(V, r) \mid p(U) \in G r^{\mathbb{C}}(V / W, r)\right\} \\
& =\left\{U \in G r^{\mathbb{C}}(V, r) \mid U \cap W=\{0\}\right\} \\
& =\left\{\Gamma(f) \mid f \in \operatorname{Hom}(S, W) \text { for some } S \in G r^{\mathbb{C}}(T, r)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $T \simeq V / W, G r^{\mathbb{C}}(T, r)$ and $G r^{\mathbb{C}}(V / W, r)$ are indistinguishable as complex manifolds. Denote by $\eta \rightarrow G r^{\mathbb{C}}(V / W, r)$ the usual tautological bundle. Then, the above gives a biholomorphism (and even a biregular algebraic map) from $G r^{\circ}(V, r)$ to the total space of the vector bundle $\operatorname{Hom}(\eta, W) \rightarrow$ $G r^{\mathbb{C}}(V / W, r)$, and here $W$ in the Hom-bundle is actually the trivial vector


Figure 1: A point $\Gamma(f)$ in $\operatorname{Gr}^{\circ}(V, r)$, where $S \subset T$ is a moving $r$-dimensional subspace, namely a point of the Grassmannian $\operatorname{Gr}^{\mathbb{C}}(T, r) \simeq \operatorname{Gr}^{\mathbb{C}}(V / W, r)$.
bundle $G r^{\mathbb{C}}(V / W, r) \times W \rightarrow G r^{\mathbb{C}}(V / W, r)$. Notice, however, that this identification depends on the choice of complementary subspace $T$, and that the "zero section" of the vector bundle moves with the choice of $T$ when it is mapped into $G r^{\circ}(V, r)$.

The above remark and the claim that follows, verification of which we momentarily postpone, will be used in the proof of the theorem. Before stating the claim, let us recall that in our local coordinates on $\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y)$, $T_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y), w_{y}} / \mathbf{D}_{n, k, w_{y}}$ has basis $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l}}\right)_{l=1}^{n}$. Let $\Theta$ be the section of the bundle

$$
\operatorname{Hom}\left(\pi_{n, k}^{*}\left(T_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y) / Y}\right), \gamma_{n, k}\right) \otimes \pi_{n, k}^{*}\left(T_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y)} / \mathbf{D}_{n, k}\right)^{\otimes 3}
$$

on $\operatorname{Gr}^{\mathbb{C}}\left(\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{n, k}, n\right)$ with the following local description. For any

$$
f \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\gamma_{n, k, S_{w_{y}}}, \pi_{n, k}^{*}\left(T_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y) / Y, w_{y}}\right)\right)
$$

and any basis $\left(X^{m}\right)_{m=1}^{n}$ of $S_{w_{y}}$,

$$
\Theta\left(w_{y}, S_{w_{y}}\right)(f)=\sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \sum_{b=a+1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Theta_{i a b}(f) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{a}} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{b}}
$$

with components

$$
\Theta_{i a b}(f)=\sum_{j=n+1}^{2 k}-2 d x_{j} \wedge d z_{i j}\left(X^{a}+f\left(X^{a}\right), X^{b}+f\left(X^{b}\right)\right) .
$$

Equivalently, we can write

$$
\Theta\left(w_{y}, S_{w_{y}}\right)(f)=\sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \sum_{b=a+1}^{n} \theta\left(w_{y}\right)\left(X^{a}+f\left(X^{a}\right), X^{b}+f\left(X^{b}\right)\right) \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{a}} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{b}}
$$

Lemma 5. Let $S_{w_{y}} \in G r^{\mathbb{C}}\left(\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{n, k, w_{y}}, n\right)$. Then,

1. $\Theta\left(w_{y}, S_{w_{y}}\right)(f)=0$ iff $\left.\theta\left(w_{y}\right)\right|_{\Gamma(f) \times \Gamma(f)}=0$,
2. $\Theta\left(w_{y}, S_{w_{y}}\right)$ is linear, and
3. $\mathbf{I}_{n, k, w_{y}}^{\mathrm{o}} \cap \rho^{-1}\left(w_{y}, S_{w_{y}}\right) \simeq \operatorname{ker}\left(\Theta\left(w_{y}, S_{w_{y}}\right)\right.$ ) ( $\rho$ will be defined in the proof of the theorem).

Theorem. The spaces $\boldsymbol{G r} r_{n, k}^{\mathrm{o}}$ and $\mathbf{I}_{n, k}^{\mathrm{o}}$ have the structure of holomorphic affine linear bundles over the Grassmannian bundle $G r^{\mathbb{C}}\left(\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{n, k}, n\right)$.

Proof. Let $\left(U_{\alpha}\right)$ be a local trivialization of the fiber bundle $Q \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y) \xrightarrow{\pi_{Y}} Y$ so that $\mathcal{Z}_{n}\left(U_{\alpha}\right)=\pi_{Y}^{-1}\left(U_{\alpha}\right) \simeq U_{\alpha} \times Q$. The relative tangent bundle sequence is not necessarily globally split, however it does split locally with respect to a trivialization, meaning that, in particular, the short exact sequence

$$
\left.0 \rightarrow T_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y) / Y}\right|_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}\left(U_{\alpha}\right)} \rightarrow T_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y)}\left|{\mid \mathcal{Z}_{n}\left(U_{\alpha}\right)} \rightarrow \pi_{Y}^{*}\left(T_{Y}\right)\right|_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}\left(U_{\alpha}\right)} \rightarrow 0
$$

is split and induces the split sequence

$$
\left.\left.\left.(*) \quad 0 \rightarrow T_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y) / Y}\right|_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}\left(U_{\alpha}\right)} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{n, k}\right|_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}\left(U_{\alpha}\right)} \rightarrow \Delta_{n, k}\right|_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}\left(U_{\alpha}\right)} \rightarrow 0
$$

Not all splittings come from a trivialization of $\pi_{Y}: \mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y) \rightarrow Y$.
Define $G_{\alpha}:=\left.\operatorname{Gr}^{\mathbb{C}}\left(\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{n, k}, n\right)\right|_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}\left(U_{\alpha}\right)}$ and a map $\rho: \mathbf{G r}_{n, k}^{\mathrm{o}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Gr}^{\mathbb{C}}\left(\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{n, k}, n\right)$ by $\rho\left(w, V_{w}\right)=\left(w, d \pi(w)\left(V_{w}\right)\right)$ for any $w \in \mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y)$ and $V_{w} \in \mathbf{G r}_{n, k, w}^{0}$. Let $w_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{Z}_{n}\left(U_{\alpha}\right)$ and $S_{w_{\alpha}} \in \operatorname{Gr}^{\mathbb{C}}\left(\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{n, k, w_{\alpha}}, n\right)$. The direct sum of vector spaces $\left.\gamma_{n, k}\right|_{G_{\alpha}, S_{w_{\alpha}}} \oplus \pi_{n, k}^{*}\left(\left.T_{Z_{n}(Y) / Y}\right|_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}\left(U_{\alpha}\right), w_{\alpha}}\right)$ is defined thanks to the splitting of (*). Here $\left.\gamma_{n, k}\right|_{G_{\alpha}}$ is the restriction of the tautological bundle to $G_{\alpha}$. Notice that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho^{-1}\left(w_{\alpha}, S_{w_{\alpha}}\right) & =\left\{V_{w_{\alpha}} \in \mathbf{G r}_{n, k, w_{\alpha}}^{o} \mid d \pi_{Y}\left(w_{\alpha}\right)\left(V_{w_{\alpha}}\right)=S_{w_{\alpha}}\right\} \\
& =\left\{V_{w_{\alpha}} \in \operatorname{Gr}^{\mathbb{C}}\left(\left.\gamma_{n, k}\right|_{G_{\alpha}, S_{w_{\alpha}}} \oplus \pi_{n, k}^{*}\left(\left.T_{Z_{n}(Y) / Y}\right|_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}\left(U_{\alpha}\right), w_{\alpha}}\right), n\right) \mid\right. \\
& \left.V_{w_{\alpha}} \cap \pi_{n, k}^{*}\left(\left.T_{Z_{n}(Y) / Y}\right|_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}\left(U_{\alpha}\right), w_{\alpha}}\right)=\{0\}\right\} \\
& =\left\{\Gamma(f) \mid f \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\left.\gamma_{n, k}\right|_{G_{\alpha}, S_{w_{\alpha}}}, \pi_{n, k}^{*}\left(\left.T_{Z_{n}(Y) / Y}\right|_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}\left(U_{\alpha}\right), w_{\alpha}}\right)\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 4 is intended to provide some geometric intuition of the above. Consider the map

$$
\mathbf{t}: \operatorname{Hom}\left(\gamma_{n, k}, \pi_{n, k}^{*}\left(T_{Z_{n}(Y) / Y}\right)\right) \times{ }_{\operatorname{Gr}^{\mathbb{C}}}^{\left(\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{n, k}, n\right)}, \mathbf{G r}_{n, k}^{\mathrm{o}} \rightarrow \mathbf{G r}_{n, k}^{\mathrm{o}},
$$

where the domain is the fiber product, and where the map $t$ is defined on the fiber over ( $w_{\alpha}, S_{w_{\alpha}}$ ) by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Hom}\left(\left.\gamma_{n, k}\right|_{G_{\alpha}, S_{w_{\alpha}}}, \pi_{n, k}^{*}\left(\left.T_{Z_{n}(Y) / Y}\right|_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}\left(U_{\alpha}\right), w_{\alpha}}\right)\right) \times \rho^{-1}\left(w_{\alpha}, S_{w_{\alpha}}\right) \rightarrow \rho^{-1}\left(w_{\alpha}, S_{w_{\alpha}}\right), \\
(f, \Gamma(g)) \mapsto \Gamma(f+g) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Now, if $\Gamma\left(f^{\prime}\right), \Gamma\left(f^{\prime \prime}\right) \in \rho^{-1}\left(w_{\alpha}, S_{w_{\alpha}}\right), \Gamma\left(f^{\prime \prime}\right)=\Gamma\left(f+f^{\prime}\right)$ iff $f=f^{\prime \prime}-f^{\prime}$, which is to say that the action of $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\left.\gamma_{n, k}\right|_{G_{\alpha}, S_{w_{\alpha}}}, \pi_{n, k}^{*}\left(\left.T_{Z_{n}(Y) / Y}\right|_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}\left(U_{\alpha}\right), w_{\alpha}}\right)\right)$ on $\rho^{-1}\left(w_{\alpha}, S_{w_{\alpha}}\right)$ is free and transitive. The map $\mathbf{t}$ thus realizes the fiber $\rho^{-1}\left(w_{\alpha}, S_{w_{\alpha}}\right)$ as an affine linear space modelled on the vector space

$$
\operatorname{Hom}\left(\left.\gamma_{n, k}\right|_{G_{\alpha}, S_{w_{\alpha}}}, \pi_{n, k}^{*}\left(\left.T_{Z_{n}(Y) / Y}\right|_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}\left(U_{\alpha}\right), w_{\alpha}}\right)\right) .
$$

There are a few subtleties. Since $\rho^{-1}\left(w_{\alpha}, S_{w_{\alpha}}\right)$ is not genuinely a vector space, an isomorphism with $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\left.\gamma_{n, k}\right|_{G_{\alpha}, S_{w_{\alpha}}}, \pi_{n, k}^{*}\left(\left.T_{Z_{n}(Y) / Y}\right|_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}\left(U_{\alpha}\right), w_{\alpha} \alpha}\right)\right.$ ) cannot be defined. The biholomorphisms between fibers of the bundles $\rho: \mathbf{G r}_{n, k}^{\mathrm{o}} \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Gr}^{\mathbb{C}}\left(\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{n, k}, n\right)$ and $h: \operatorname{Hom}\left(\gamma_{n, k}, \pi_{n, k}^{*}\left(T_{Z_{n}(Y) / Y}\right)\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Gr}^{\mathbb{C}}\left(\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{n, k}, n\right)$ induce a biholomorphism $\mathbf{G r}_{n, k}^{0} \simeq \operatorname{Hom}\left(\gamma_{n, k}, \pi_{n, k}^{*}\left(T_{Z_{n}(Y) / Y}\right)\right)$ of total spaces. However, these identifications are not canonical. They depend on the local holomorphic splitting of the relative tangent bundle sequence. That $Y$ is a generic complex even dimensional manifold is the underlying reason for there being no natural splitting of the sequence, which in turn implies that the biholomorphisms cannot be natural.

Note that the sets $G_{\alpha}$, which are preimages of the open subsets $\mathcal{Z}_{n}\left(U_{\alpha}\right) \subset$ $\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y)$ under the continuous bundle projection $\pi_{n, k}$, form an open cover of the total space $\operatorname{Gr}^{\mathbb{C}}\left(\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{n, k}, n\right)$. Let $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}:=\left\{\Gamma(f) \mid f \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}, \mathbb{C}^{N_{n, k}-2 k}\right)\right\}$,
which is an affine linear space modelled after $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}, \mathbb{C}^{N_{n, k}-2 k}\right)$. For all $\left(w, S_{w}\right) \in G_{\alpha}$, we have a biholomorphism $\rho^{-1}\left(w, S_{w}\right) \simeq \Gamma$, inducing a biholomorphism $\rho^{-1}\left(G_{\alpha}\right) \simeq G_{\alpha} \times \Gamma$. So the $G_{\alpha}$ are a trivialization of $\rho$ : $\mathrm{Gr}_{n, k}^{\mathrm{o}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}^{\mathbb{C}}\left(\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{n, k}, n\right)$ as a holomorphic fiber bundle with typical fiber $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$, and so $\rho: \mathbf{G r}_{n, k}^{\mathrm{o}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}^{\mathbb{C}}\left(\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{n, k}, n\right)$ is a holomorphic affine linear bundle modelled on $h: \operatorname{Hom}\left(\gamma_{n, k}, \pi_{n, k}^{*}\left(T_{Z_{n}(Y) / Y}\right)\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Gr}^{\mathbb{C}}\left(\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{n, k}, n\right)$.

By Lemma 5, the fiber over $\left(w_{y}, S_{w_{y}}\right) \in \operatorname{Gr}^{\mathbb{C}}\left(\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{n, k}, n\right)$ of the sub-bundle $\left.\rho\right|_{\mathbf{I}_{n, k}^{\circ}}: \mathbf{I}_{n, k}^{\mathrm{o}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Gr}^{\mathbb{C}}\left(\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{n, k}, n\right)$ is

$$
\left.\rho\right|_{\mathbf{I}_{n, k}^{\mathrm{o}}} ^{-1}\left(w_{y}, S_{w_{y}}\right)=\rho^{-1}\left(w_{y}, S_{w_{y}}\right) \cap \mathbf{I}_{n, k, w_{y}}^{\mathrm{o}} \simeq \operatorname{ker}\left(\Theta\left(w_{y}, S_{w_{y}}\right)\right)
$$

and this is an affine linear space modelled on the vector subspace $\operatorname{ker}\left(\Theta\left(w_{y}, S_{w_{y}}\right)\right)$ of $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\gamma_{n, k, S_{w_{y}}}, \pi_{n, k}^{*}\left(T_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y) / Y, w_{y}}\right)\right)$. All of the fibers are isomorphic, so $\mathbf{I}_{n, k}^{\mathrm{o}}$ is also a holomorphic affine linear bundle on $\operatorname{Gr}^{\mathbb{C}}\left(\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{n, k}, n\right)$.

Intuitively, if $G_{\alpha} \cap G_{\beta} \neq \emptyset$, and

$$
g_{\alpha}: \rho^{-1}\left(G_{\alpha}\right) \rightarrow G_{\alpha} \times \operatorname{Hom}\left(\gamma_{n, k, S_{w_{\alpha}}}, \pi_{n, k}^{*}\left(T_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y) / Y, w_{\alpha}}\right)\right)
$$

and

$$
g_{\beta}: \rho^{-1}\left(G_{\beta}\right) \rightarrow G_{\beta} \times \operatorname{Hom}\left(\gamma_{n, k, S_{w_{\beta}}}, \pi_{n, k}^{*}\left(T_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y) / Y, w_{\beta}}\right)\right)
$$

are trivialization maps, then $g_{\alpha} \circ g_{\beta}^{-1}\left(\left(w, S_{w}\right), f_{\beta}\right)=\left(\left(w, S_{w}\right), \tau_{\alpha \beta}\left(w, S_{w}\right) f_{\beta}\right)$, where the transition function $\tau_{\alpha \beta}$ takes values in the the affine subgroup of translations $\mathrm{GL}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right) \rtimes\{I d\} \leq \operatorname{Aff}\left(\mathrm{GL}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)\right)$, where we are thinking of $\mathrm{GL}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ as a vector space. If $\sigma_{\alpha \beta}: S_{w_{\alpha}} \rightarrow S_{w_{\beta}}$ is a linear isomorphism, let $\tau_{\alpha \beta}\left(w, S_{w}\right) f_{\beta}=\sigma_{\alpha \beta}+f_{\beta}$, though it would be more accurate to write $\tau_{\alpha \beta}\left(w, S_{w}\right) f_{\beta}=\sigma_{\alpha \beta}+f_{\beta} \circ \sigma_{\alpha \beta}$ (see the figure below).

Proof of Lemma 5. Indeed, $\Theta\left(w_{y}, S_{w_{y}}\right)(f)=0$ iff $\theta\left(w_{y}\right)\left(X^{a}+f\left(X^{a}\right), X^{b}+\right.$ $\left.f\left(X^{b}\right)\right)=0$ for all $1 \leq a<b \leq n$, and since $\left(X^{m}+f\left(X^{m}\right)\right)_{m=1}^{n}$ is a basis of $\Gamma(f)$ and since $\theta\left(w_{y}\right)$ is bilinear, the conclusion follows.

To prove 2., note that since $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{n, k, w_{y}} \simeq S_{y}^{\prime} \oplus \Sigma_{y}^{\prime \prime}=\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l}}\right)_{l=n+1}^{2 k}$ and $T_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y) / Y, w_{y}}=\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i j}}\right)_{(i, j) \in(\mathcal{I})}$, for any $1 \leq m \leq n$

$$
X^{m}=\sum_{l=n+1}^{2 k} X_{l}^{m} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l}} \text { and } f\left(X^{m}\right)=\sum_{(i, j) \in(\mathcal{I})} X_{i j}^{m} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i j}}
$$

Remark 3 implies that $\theta\left(w_{y}\right)\left(X^{a}, X^{b}\right)=\theta\left(w_{y}\right)\left(f\left(X^{a}\right), f\left(X^{b}\right)\right)=0$. From the bilinearity and anti-symmetry of $\theta\left(w_{y}\right)$, it then follows that


Figure 2: An affine transition function. Here $w=(p, f)$ is a point in $\mathcal{Z}_{n}\left(U_{\alpha} \cap\right.$ $U_{\beta}$ ), and $T_{Y, p}$ and $T_{Q, f}$ are the complementary subspaces arising from the relative tangent bundle sequence.

$$
\theta\left(w_{y}\right)\left(X^{a}+f\left(X^{a}\right), X^{b}+f\left(X^{b}\right)\right)=\theta\left(w_{y}\right)\left(X^{a}, f\left(X^{b}\right)\right)-\theta\left(w_{y}\right)\left(X^{b}, f\left(X^{a}\right)\right) .
$$

As a result, for any $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ and $g \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\gamma_{n, k, S_{w_{y}}}, \pi_{n, k}^{*}\left(T_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y) / Y, w_{y}}\right)\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta\left(w_{y}\right)\left(X^{a}+(\lambda f+\mu g)\left(X^{a}\right), X^{b}+(\lambda f+\mu g)\left(X^{b}\right)\right)=\theta\left(w_{y}\right)\left(X^{a},(\lambda f+\mu g)\left(X^{b}\right)\right)- \\
& \theta\left(w_{y}\right)\left(X^{b},(\lambda f+\mu g)\left(X^{a}\right)\right) \\
&= \lambda\left(\theta\left(w_{y}\right)\left(X^{a}, f\left(X^{b}\right)\right)-\theta\left(w_{y}\right)\left(X^{b}, f\left(X^{a}\right)\right)\right)+ \\
& \mu\left(\theta\left(w_{y}\right)\left(X^{a}, g\left(X^{b}\right)\right)-\theta\left(w_{y}\right)\left(X^{b}, g\left(X^{a}\right)\right)\right) \\
&=\lambda\left(\theta\left(w_{y}\right)\left(X^{a}+f\left(X^{a}\right), X^{b}+f\left(X^{b}\right)\right)\right)+ \\
& \mu\left(\theta\left(w_{y}\right)\left(X^{a}+g\left(X^{a}\right), X^{b}+g\left(X^{b}\right)\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\Theta\left(w_{y}, S_{w_{y}}\right)(\lambda f+\mu g)=\lambda \Theta\left(w_{y}, S_{w_{y}}\right)(f)+\mu \Theta\left(w_{y}, S_{w_{y}}\right)(g)
$$

To prove 3., observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho^{-1}\left(w_{y}, S_{w_{y}}\right) & =\left\{\Gamma(f) \mid f \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\gamma_{n, k, S_{w_{y}}}, \pi_{n, k}^{*}\left(T_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y) / Y, w_{y}}\right)\right)\right\} \\
& \simeq \operatorname{Hom}\left(\gamma_{n, k, S_{w_{y}}}, \pi_{n, k}^{*}\left(T_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y) / Y, w_{y}}\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

together with 1 . imply that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{I}_{n, k, w_{y}}^{\mathrm{o}} \cap \rho^{-1}\left(w_{y}, S_{w_{y}}\right) & =\left\{\Gamma(f)\left|f \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\gamma_{n, k, S_{w_{y}}}, \pi_{n, k}^{*}\left(T_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y) / Y, w_{y}}\right)\right), \theta\left(w_{y}\right)\right|_{\Gamma(f) \times \Gamma(f)}=0\right\} \\
& \simeq\left\{f \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\gamma_{n, k, S_{w_{y}}}, \pi_{n, k}^{*}\left(T_{\mathcal{Z}_{n}(Y) / Y, w_{y}}\right)\right) \mid \Theta\left(w_{y}, S_{w_{y}}\right)(f)=0\right\} \\
& =\operatorname{ker}\left(\Theta\left(w_{y}, S_{w_{y}}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 2. If $Y=\mathbb{C}^{2 k}$, then $G r_{n, k}^{o}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{n, k}^{\circ}$ are holomorphic, trivial (or rather trivializable) vector bundles. In particular, $\pi_{i}\left(G r_{n, k}^{o}, \mathcal{I}_{n, k}^{0}\right)=0$ for all $i \geq 0$.

Proof. Recall that $Q \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{n}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2 k}\right) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{C}^{2 k}$ is a trivial fiber bundle and so in the above proof we may take $G_{\alpha}=\operatorname{Gr}^{\mathbb{C}}\left(\Delta_{n, k}, n\right)$. Then, the given bundles are holomorphic, trivial affine bundles. A trivial affine bundle is naturally a vector bundle. Once we distinguish a point in the typical affine linear fiber, it becomes a vector space.

Alternatively, observe that $\mathrm{Gr}_{n, k}^{\mathrm{o}}$ is a fiber bundle over the contractible base $\mathbb{C}^{2 k}$, and as such must be trivial. The pullback bundle $\left(\pi \circ \pi_{n, k}\right)^{*}\left(\operatorname{Gr}_{n, k}^{\mathrm{o}}\right) \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Gr}^{\mathbb{C}}\left(\Delta_{n, k}, n\right)$, which is isomorphic to the bundle $\rho: \operatorname{Gr}_{n, k}^{\circ} \rightarrow \operatorname{Gr}^{\mathbb{C}}\left(\Delta_{n, k}, n\right)$, must then also be trivial.

The vector bundle structure then ensures that the total spaces $\mathrm{Gr}_{n, k}^{\mathrm{o}}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{n, k}^{\circ}$ are manifolds with the homotopy type of the base $\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathbb{C}}\left(\Delta_{n, k}, n\right)$.

Ordinary relative homotopy groups might not be suitable for the study of our question about topological obstructions to integrability of almost complex structures. Perhaps, a better perspective is furnished by fibered homotopy groups [1]. In order to implement this viewpoint, it would be helpful to have a more complete understanding of the algebraic geometry of the fibers of $\mathcal{I}_{n, k}^{\circ}$ and $\operatorname{Gr}_{n, k}^{\mathrm{o}}$, and of the topological constraints affecting the map $\operatorname{Im}\left(\bar{\partial}_{J} F\right)$ : $X \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{n, k}^{\mathrm{o}}$. This will be the subject of future research.
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