



**HAL**  
open science

# GALERKIN METHOD FOR TIME FRACTIONAL SEMILINEAR EQUATIONS

Yamina Ouedjedi, Arnaud Rougirel, Khaled Benmeriem

► **To cite this version:**

Yamina Ouedjedi, Arnaud Rougirel, Khaled Benmeriem. GALERKIN METHOD FOR TIME FRACTIONAL SEMILINEAR EQUATIONS. 2019. hal-02124150

**HAL Id: hal-02124150**

**<https://hal.science/hal-02124150>**

Preprint submitted on 9 May 2019

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# GALERKIN METHOD FOR TIME FRACTIONAL SEMILINEAR EQUATIONS

YAMINA OUEDJEDI, ARNAUD ROUGIREL, KHALED BENMERIEM

ABSTRACT. This paper gathers the tools for solving Riemann-Liouville time fractional non-linear reaction-diffusion equations by the Galerkin method. As a model problem, existence and uniqueness is proved for semilinear heat equations with polynomial growth at infinity.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The Galerkin approximation method is an efficient and robust tool for solving partial differential equations (see for instance [Tem88], [Lio69], [Rou05]). In that paper, only low order Riemann-Liouville time fractional derivatives are considered; that is to say, the order of the fractional derivatives is less than 1. In order to implement this method for solving time fractional non-linear problems, three tools have to be developed: (i) a suitable functional framework; (ii) time fractional inequalities; (iii) an Aubin-Lions theory. Let us introduce these elements.

Usually, fractional Gagliardo-Sobolev spaces are used. However, they are not very suitable for time fractional problems since the connection between these spaces and time fractional derivatives is not straightforward. The consequence is that a trivial initial condition is needed. Moreover, these spaces are quite complicated to handle.

Recently, suitable and simpler fractional spaces appear in the literature. See for instance [DR18], [LL18b], [dF19]. These spaces are natural generalizations of the spaces involved in the integer case. See Section 3 for details.

By *time fractional inequalities*, we mean for example

$$\frac{1}{2}D_{0,t}^\alpha \int_{\Omega} u(t,x)^2 dx \leq \int_{\Omega} D_{0,t}^\alpha u(t,x)u(t,x) dx. \quad (1.1)$$

According to the integer setting, we cannot expect such a relationship to hold without imposing a zero initial condition. Under some smoothness conditions, (1.1) is proved in [Zac12, Theorem 2.4], and in [LL18b, Proposition 2.18] by a simple and smart convexity argument. In order to apply (1.1) to nonlinear problems, we have relaxed the smoothness assumptions by a density argument: see Corollary 3.3 and Proposition 3.4 where an integral version of (1.1) is featured. We refer also to [dF19], where time fractional inequalities hold for Hilbert valued functions. However,

Aubin-Lions theory allows to get point-wise convergence by compactness arguments, and to pass to the limit in non-linear terms. By adapting the arguments of [LL18b], we obtain the compactness result stated in Corollary 3.5.

---

*Key words and phrases.* Riemann-Liouville derivatives, Galerkin method, Fractional semilinear equations.

May 2, 2019.

In the two forthcoming sections, we recall or develop the tools for solving fractional equations. The Galerkin method is implemented in section 4 for solving time fractional semi-linear heat equations.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

As far as integrable functions are concerned, convolution is a basic tool in fractional calculus. However, in order to obtain a density result, namely Theorem 3.1, we will need to make the convolution of non absolutely integrable functions. That can be achieved following [GS77], for *causal functions*.

Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a real Banach space, and  $T$  be a positive number. Let us recall that  $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}; X)$  is said to be *causal* if  $f = 0$  a.e. on  $(-\infty, 0)$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}; X)$ ,  $g \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$  be causal functions. Then the *convolution* of  $f$  and  $g$  is the causal function of  $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}; X)$  defined, for a.e.  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , by

$$g * f(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(t-y)f(y) dy.$$

Classically, fractional derivatives involve another kind of convolution, since the functions are defined on  $[0, T]$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $f \in L^1(0, T; X)$  and  $g \in L^1(0, T)$ . Then the *convolution* of  $g$  and  $f$  is the element of  $L^1(0, T; X)$  defined, for a.e.  $t \in [0, T]$ , by

$$g *_T f(t) := \int_0^t g(t-y)f(y) dy.$$

Of course these two definitions are consistent. Indeed, the following result holds true.

**Proposition 2.1.** Let  $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}; X)$  and  $g \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$  be causal functions. Then

$$g|_{[0, T]} *_T f|_{[0, T]} = (g * f)|_{[0, T]} \quad \text{in } L^1(0, T; X).$$

Above,  $f|_{[0, T]}$  denotes the restriction of  $f$  to  $[0, T]$ . The elementary proof of that proposition is omitted. Owing to the above result, we will write  $g * f$  instead of  $g *_T f$ , if no confusion can occur.

The following standard inequality will be useful. Let  $I = [0, T]$  or  $\mathbb{R}$ . If  $f \in L^p(I; X)$  with  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , and  $g \in L^1(I)$  then  $g * f$  belongs to  $L^p(I; X)$  and

$$\|g * f\|_{L^p(I; X)} \leq \|g\|_{L^1(I)} \|f\|_{L^p(I; X)}. \quad (2.1)$$

Let us turn our attention to *fractional derivatives*. The following kernels are of fundamental importance in the theory of fractional derivatives. For  $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$ , we denote by  $g_\alpha$  the causal function of  $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$  defined, for a.e.  $t > 0$ , by

$$g_\alpha(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} t^{\alpha-1}.$$

These Kernels satisfy the following semi-group property : for  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\beta > 0$ ,

$$g_\alpha * g_\beta = g_{\alpha+\beta} \quad \text{in } L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}). \quad (2.2)$$

Now, we are able to introduce the *fractional Riemann-Liouville derivative* of vector-valued functions. In the sequel,  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  will denote the fractional order of differentiation.

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $1 \leq q < \infty$  and  $u \in L^q(0, T; X)$ . We say that  $u$  admits a *fractional derivative of order  $\alpha$  in  $L^q(0, T; X)$*  if

$$g_{1-\alpha} * u \in W^{1,q}(0, T; X).$$

In this case, the *fractional derivative of order  $\alpha$*  of  $u$  is the function of  $L^q(0, T; X)$  defined by

$$D_{0,t}^\alpha u := \frac{d}{dt} \{g_{1-\alpha} * u\}.$$

Above,  $W^{1,q}(0, T; X)$  denotes the space of functions belonging to  $L^q(0, T; X)$  whose first order derivative (in the sense of distributions) belongs to  $L^q(0, T; X)$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** Let  $1 \leq q < \infty$ ,  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and  $u \in L^q(0, T; X)$ . If  $u$  admits a *fractional derivative in  $L^q(0, T; X)$*  then

$$u = (g_{1-\alpha} * u)(0)g_\alpha + g_\alpha * D_{0,t}^\alpha u \quad \text{in } L^q(0, T; X). \quad (2.3)$$

Moreover, if  $\alpha \leq 1 - \frac{1}{q}$  then  $(g_{1-\alpha} * u)(0) = 0$ .

Any function  $u$  satisfying the assumptions of Proposition 2.2 has, in some sense, a weak singularity at  $t = 0$ . Indeed, let us assume that  $u = vg_\beta$  where  $v \in X \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\beta > 0$ . Then by (2.2),  $u$  belongs to  $L^q(0, T; X)$  and has a  $\alpha$ -derivative in  $L^q(0, T; X)$  iff  $\beta > 1 - \frac{1}{q}$  or  $\beta = \alpha$ .

*Proof of Proposition 2.2.* Equality (2.3) is well known (see for instance [DR18, Proposition 3.4]). In order to prove the second assertion, we observe that  $g_\alpha$  does not belong to  $L^q(0, T)$  if  $\alpha \leq 1 - \frac{1}{q}$ . Thus we must have  $(g_{1-\alpha} * u)(0) = 0$ . □

Let us now focus on *weak fractional derivative* of vector-valued functions. The starting point is the following standard integration by-part formula.

**Proposition 2.3.** [DR18, Proposition 3.1] Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ,  $f \in L^1(0, T; X)$  and  $\psi \in C^1([0, T])$ . Assume that  $f$  admits a derivative of order  $\alpha$  in  $L^1(0, T; X)$ . Then

$$\int_0^T D_{0,t}^\alpha f(t)\psi(t) dt = - \int_0^T f(t)D_{t,T}^\alpha \psi(t) dt + [g_{1-\alpha} * f \psi]_0^T \quad \text{in } X, \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$D_{t,T}^\alpha \psi(t) := \int_t^T g_{1-\alpha}(y-t)\psi'(y) dy, \quad \forall t \in [0, T],$$

and  $\psi' := \frac{d}{dt}\psi$  denotes the derivative of  $\psi$ . Moreover, if in addition,  $\psi(0) = \psi(T) = 0$  then

$$\left\| \int_0^T f(t)D_{t,T}^\alpha \psi(t) dt \right\| \leq g_{2-\alpha}(T) \|f\|_{L^q(0,T;X)} \|\psi'\|_{L^\infty(0,T)}. \quad (2.5)$$

That property allows us to define fractional derivative in the sense of distributions. Indeed, (2.5) shows that the linear map

$$\mathcal{D}(0, T) \rightarrow X, \quad \varphi \mapsto - \int_0^T f(t)D_{t,T}^\alpha \varphi(t) dt$$

is a vector-valued distribution, whose order is (at most) 1. The set of distributions with values in  $X$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{D}'(0, T; X)$ . That allows us to set this definition.

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ,  $q \in [1, \infty)$  and  $f \in L^q(0, T; X)$ . Then the *weak derivative of order  $\alpha$  of  $f$*  is the vector-valued distribution, denoted by  $D_{0,t}^\alpha f$ , and defined, for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(0, T)$ , by

$$\langle D_{0,t}^\alpha f, \varphi \rangle = - \int_0^T f(t) D_{t,T}^\alpha \varphi(t) dt \quad \text{in } X.$$

If we want to highlight the duality taking place in the above bracket, we will write

$$\langle D_{0,t}^\alpha f, \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(0,T;X), \mathcal{D}(0,T)}$$

instead of  $\langle D_{0,t}^\alpha f, \varphi \rangle$ .

Clearly, *weak fractional derivatives* is a natural extension of (first order) *weak derivatives*, also called *derivatives in the sense of distribution*.

Of course, the concept of *weak derivative* extends that of fractional derivative given in Definition 2.3. See [DR18, Proposition 3.2] for details. Finally, we recall a proposition useful for passing to the limit in fractional derivatives.

**Proposition 2.4.** [DR18, Proposition 3.3] *Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ,  $V$  be a real Banach space,  $q \in [1, \infty)$ , and  $f \in L^q(0, T; V')$ . We assume that  $f$  admits a derivative of order  $\alpha$  in  $L^q(0, T; V')$ . Then, for each  $v$  in  $V$ ,  $\langle f, v \rangle_{V', V}$  admits a derivative of order  $\alpha$  in  $L^q(0, T)$  and*

$$\langle D_{0,t}^\alpha f(\cdot), v \rangle_{V', V} = D_{0,t}^\alpha \{ \langle f, v \rangle_{V', V} \}, \quad \text{in } L^q(0, T). \quad (2.6)$$

Here,  $V'$  denotes the dual space of  $V$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{V', V}$  the corresponding duality bracket.

### 3. FRACTIONAL SPACES

In this section, we introduce the functional framework for solving fractional semilinear equations. Let  $X, Y$  be real Banach spaces such that  $X$  is continuously embedded into  $Y$ . Also, let  $T > 0$ ,  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and  $p, q \in [1, \infty)$ . Then we introduce the following space

$$W_{p,q}^\alpha(0, T; X, Y) := \{ u \in L^p(0, T; X) : D_{0,t}^\alpha u \in L^q(0, T; Y) \} \quad (3.1)$$

and

$${}_0W_{p,q}^\alpha(0, T; X, Y) := \{ u \in W_{p,q}^\alpha(0, T; X, Y) : (g_{1-\alpha} * u)(0) = 0 \text{ in } Y \}. \quad (3.2)$$

$W_{p,q}^1(0, T; X, Y)$  is the standard Sobolev space used for solving non-linear PDE's by the Galerkin method (see for instance [Rou05]). Therefore,  $W_{p,q}^\alpha(0, T; X, Y)$  is the ‘‘simplest’’ spaces we can think of when solving (4.1).

In (3.1),  $D_{0,t}^\alpha u$  is understood in the sense of distribution, i.e. in the sense of Definition 2.4. Alternatively,  $W_{p,q}^\alpha(0, T; X, Y)$  may be defined through Definition 2.3, as the set of functions in  $L^p(0, T; X)$  which admits a fractional derivative in  $L^q(0, T; Y)$ .

Equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W^\alpha} := \left( \|u\|_{L^p(0,T;X)}^2 + \|D_{0,t}^\alpha u\|_{L^q(0,T;Y)}^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad (3.3)$$

it is clear that  $W_{p,q}^\alpha(0, T; X, Y)$  and  ${}_0W_{p,q}^\alpha(0, T; X, Y)$ , are Banach spaces.

We start by a density result. As far as the above fractional spaces are considered, such results are quite uncommon in the literature (see however [dF19, Theorem 39]). This Theorem allows to extend the coercivity result of [LL18b] from an hilbertian setting into Banach setting.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $X, Y$  be real Banach spaces such that  $X$  is continuously embedded into  $Y$  and, for  $p, q \in [1, \infty)$ ,*

$$u \in {}_0W_{p,q}^\alpha(0, T; X, Y). \quad (3.4)$$

*Then there exists a sequence  $(u_n)_{n \geq 1}$  in  $C^\infty([0, T]; X)$  such that  $u_n(0) = 0$  for each  $n$ , and*

$$u_n \rightarrow u, \quad \text{in } {}_0W_{p,q}^\alpha(0, T; X, Y). \quad (3.5)$$

To prove this theorem we use the following lemma, whose proof can be found in [Oue].

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $T > 0$  and  $u \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}; X)$  be a causal function such that  $u|_{[0, T]}$  belongs to  ${}_0W_{p,q}^\alpha(0, T; X, Y)$ . Then, for each  $h > 0$ ,  $u(\cdot - h)$  lies in  ${}_0W_{p,q}^\alpha(0, T; X, Y)$  and*

$$u(\cdot - h) \xrightarrow{h \rightarrow 0} u, \quad \text{in } W_{p,q}^\alpha(0, T; X, Y).$$

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.* By lemma 3.2 we may assume that there exists  $h > 0$  such that  $u = 0$  a.e. on  $[0, h]$ . For each integer  $n \geq 1$ , let us choose  $\rho_n : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  to be a mollifier function such that

$$\text{supp } \rho_n \subseteq [0, h], \quad \forall n \geq 1, \quad (3.6)$$

where  $\text{supp } \rho_n$  denotes the support of  $\rho_n$ . Let

$$\tilde{u} := \begin{cases} u & \text{on } [0, T] \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases}, \quad (3.7)$$

and define

$$u_n := \rho_n * \tilde{u} \in L^p(\mathbb{R}, X). \quad (3.8)$$

We observe that  $u_n \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}; X)$  and  $u_n(0) = 0$  by (3.6). Firstly, it is well known that the restriction of  $u_n$  converges towards  $u$  in  $L^p(0, T; X)$ . Secondly, let us show that

$$D_{0,t}^\alpha(u_n|_{[0, T]}) \rightarrow D_{0,t}^\alpha u \quad \text{in } L^q(0, T; Y). \quad (3.9)$$

For, let

$$F := \begin{cases} D_{0,t}^\alpha u & \text{on } [0, T] \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases}.$$

For each  $n \geq 1$ , the function

$$v_n := \rho_n * g_\alpha * F \quad (3.10)$$

lies in  $L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}; Y)$  and satisfies, according to [LL18a, Lemma 2.2] or [GS77, Chap I.5],

$$\begin{aligned} g_{1-\alpha} * v_n &= g_{1-\alpha} * \rho_n * g_\alpha * F \\ &= g_{1-\alpha} * g_\alpha * \rho_n * F \\ &= g_1 * \rho_n * F. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling that  $g_1$  is the causal function equal to 1 a.e. on  $[0, \infty)$ , we get by differentiability

$$\frac{d}{dt} \{g_{1-\alpha} * v_n\} = \rho_n * F \quad \text{in } L^q(\mathbb{R}; Y). \quad (3.11)$$

Whence, since  $F = D_{0,t}^\alpha u$  on  $[0, T]$ ,

$$D_{0,t}^\alpha(v_n|_{[0, T]}) = \frac{d}{dt} \{g_{1-\alpha} * v_n\}|_{[0, T]} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} D_{0,t}^\alpha u \quad \text{in } L^q(0, T; Y). \quad (3.12)$$

Besides, since  $g_\alpha * F$  is supported in  $[0, \infty[$ , one has for a.e.  $t \in [0, T]$ ,

$$v_n(t) = \int_0^t \rho_n(t-y)(g_\alpha * F)(y) dy,$$

and, by definition of  $F$ ,

$$(g_\alpha * F)|_{[0,T]} = g_\alpha * D_{0,t}^\alpha u = u \quad \text{in } L^q(0, T; Y),$$

thanks to Proposition 2.2 and the fact that  $(g_{1-\alpha} * u)(0) = 0$ , since  $u = 0$  a.e. on  $[0, h]$ . Thus, in view of (3.8),

$$v_n|_{[0,T]} = u_n|_{[0,T]};$$

so that (3.9) follows from (3.12). That completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

Let us now turn to time fractional inequalities. For, let  $X$  be a real Banach space densely and continuously embedded into a real Hilbert space  $H$ . Then  $X$  is a Banach subspace of its dual space  $X'$  and

$$\langle v, \cdot \rangle_{X', X} = (v, \cdot)_H, \quad \forall v \in X,$$

where the bracket denotes the duality between  $X'$  and  $X$ , and  $(\cdot, \cdot)_H$  the inner product of  $H$ . By [LL18b, Proposition 2.18], any  $u$  in  $W^{1,1}(0, T; H)$  with  $u(0) = 0$ , satisfies

$$\frac{1}{2} g_{1-\alpha} * \|u(\cdot)\|_H^2(t) \leq \int_0^t (D_{0,t}^\alpha u(s), u(s))_H ds, \quad \forall t \in [0, T]. \quad (3.13)$$

Then combining Theorem 3.1 and (3.13), we get easily the following result.

**Corollary 3.3.** *For  $X, H$  as above, let  $p \geq 2$  whose conjugate exponent is denoted by  $p'$ . Assume that*

$$u \in {}_0W_{p,p'}^\alpha(0, T; X, X'). \quad (3.14)$$

Then, for each  $t \in [0, T]$ ,

$$\frac{1}{2} g_{1-\alpha} * \|u(\cdot)\|_H^2(t) \leq \int_0^t \langle D_{0,t}^\alpha u(s), u(s) \rangle_{X', X} ds. \quad (3.15)$$

That corollary will be useful to get uniqueness results. Regarding existence, the following proposition will be used.

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $X, H$  as above,  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and  $p \geq 2$  be such that  $\alpha > 1/p'$ . Assume*

$$u \in W_{p,p'}^\alpha(0, T; X, X'), \quad (3.16)$$

and  $(g_{1-\alpha} * u)(0) \in X$ . Then

$$\int_0^T \langle D_{0,t}^\alpha u(t), u(t) - (g_{1-\alpha} * u)(0)g_\alpha(t) \rangle_{X', X} dt \geq 0.$$

*Proof.* Set for simplicity  $v := (g_{1-\alpha} * u)(0)$ . Since  $\alpha > 1/p'$ , the function  $u - vg_\alpha$  belongs to  $L^p(0, T; X)$ . Moreover, for each  $t \in [0, T]$ , there holds

$$g_{1-\alpha} * (u - vg_\alpha)(t) = g_{1-\alpha} * u(t) - v \xrightarrow[t \rightarrow 0^+]{} 0, \quad \text{in } X'.$$

Hence,  $u(t) - vg_\alpha$  lies in  ${}_0W_{p,p'}^\alpha(0, T; X, X')$  and  $D_{0,t}^\alpha(u - vg_\alpha) = D_{0,t}^\alpha u$ . Then the assertion follows from Corollary 3.3.  $\square$

The following compactness result is proved in [LL18b] for Caputo's derivatives. We just adapt their proof to our framework.

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $X \subset X_0 \subset Y$  be Banach spaces such that  $X$  is compactly embedded into  $X_0$ . Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and  $p > 1$ . Then  $W_{p,1}^\alpha(0, T; X, Y)$  is compactly embedded into  $L^r(0, T; X_0)$ , for all  $r \in [1, p)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $B(0, R)$  denote the closed ball of  $W_{p,1}^\alpha(0, T; X, Y)$  with radius  $R > 0$  and center 0. Since  $B(0, R)$  is bounded in  $L^p(0, T; X)$ , it is enough to prove, according to classical Simon's result [Sim87, Theorem 6], that for each  $\tau \in (0, T)$  and  $h \in [0, T - \tau]$ ,

$$\sup_{u \in B(0, R)} \|u(\cdot + h) - u(\cdot)\|_{L^1(0, \tau; Y)} \xrightarrow{h \rightarrow 0} 0 \quad (3.17)$$

For, by Proposition 2.2, we have

$$u = g_\alpha(\cdot) g_{1-\alpha} * u(0) + g_\alpha * D^\alpha u \quad \text{in } L^1(0, T; Y).$$

Thus, for all  $h \in [0, T - \tau]$  such that  $h \leq 1$ , one has, for a.e.  $t \in [0, \tau]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} u(t+h) - u(t) &= (g_\alpha(t+h) - g_\alpha(t)) g_{1-\alpha} * u(0) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t (g_\alpha(t+h-y) - g_\alpha(t-y)) D^\alpha u(y) dy \\ &\quad + \int_t^{t+h} g_\alpha(t+h-y) D^\alpha u(y) dy. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

Let us estimate the first term in the right hand side of the above equation. Since  $W^{1,1}(0, T; X, Y)$  is embedded into  $C([0, T], Y)$ , we have

$$\|g_{1-\alpha} * u(0)\|_{C([0, T], Y)}^2 \leq C \|g_{1-\alpha} * u\|_{L^1(0, T, X)}^2 + C \|D^\alpha u\|_{L^1(0, T, Y)}^2.$$

With (2.1) and  $u \in B(0, R)$ , we get

$$\|g_{1-\alpha} * u(0)\|_{C([0, T], Y)} \leq C (\|g_{1-\alpha}\|_{L^1(0, T)}^2 + 1)^{1/2} R.$$

Besides,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\tau |g_\alpha(t+h) - g_\alpha(t)| dt &= g_{\alpha+1}(\tau) - g_{\alpha+1}(\tau+h) + g_{\alpha+1}(h) \\ &\leq g_{\alpha+1}(h), \end{aligned}$$

since  $g_{\alpha+1}$  is increasing. There result that the first term is bounded in  $L^1(0, \tau, Y)$  by  $C(R)g_{\alpha+1}(h)$ , for some constant  $C(R)$  independent of  $u$  and  $h$ .

Regarding the second term, its  $L^1(0, \tau, Y)$ -norm is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\tau \int_0^t |g_\alpha(t+h-y) - g_\alpha(t-y)| \|D^\alpha u(y)\|_Y dy dt \\ \leq \int_0^\tau \|D^\alpha u(y)\|_Y dy \int_y^\tau g_\alpha(t-y) - g_\alpha(t+h-y) dt, \end{aligned}$$

by Fubini's Theorem. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_y^\tau g_\alpha(t-y) - g_\alpha(t+h-y) dt &= g_{\alpha+1}(\tau-y) - g_{\alpha+1}(\tau+h-y) + g_{\alpha+1}(h) \\ &\leq g_{\alpha+1}(h), \end{aligned}$$

since  $g_{\alpha+1}$  is increasing. Thus second term is bounded in  $L^1(0, \tau, Y)$  by  $Rg_{\alpha+1}(h)$ .

We proceed in the same way for the third term of (3.18). Its  $L^1(0, \tau, Y)$ -norm is bounded by

$$\int_0^{\tau+h} \|D^\alpha u(y)\|_Y dy \int_{y-h}^y g_\alpha(t+h-y) dt \leq Rg_{\alpha+1}(h).$$

Finally, (3.17) holds which completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$



## 4. TIME FRACTIONAL SEMILINEAR HEAT EQUATIONS

Let  $n$  be a positive integer,  $\Omega$  be a bounded open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $T > 0$ , and  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . The problem under consideration is

$$\begin{cases} \text{Find } u : [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ such that} \\ D_{0,t}^\alpha u - \Delta u + f(u) = 0 & \text{on } [0, T] \times \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } [0, T] \times \partial\Omega \\ (g_{1-\alpha} * u)(0, \cdot) = v & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

Here  $v : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the initial condition and  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a non-linear function with polynomial growth at infinity. We will assume that  $f$  has the convenient sign at  $\pm\infty$  in order to avoid blow-up phenomena and get global in time solutions. These assumptions on  $f$  are standard in *pattern formation equations* (see [Tem88]).

We will solve (4.1) by the Galerkin method. In the standard case where  $\alpha = 1$ , that method consists in solving first a finite dimensional approximated problem, and then pass to the limit. In the fractional case, it turns out that some extra condition is needed for the solvability of the approximated problem. Roughly speaking, that condition looks like a growth condition on the derivative of  $f$  (see [Oue]). However, it is not needed to pass to the limit. Also such assumption is not needed in the case  $\alpha = 1$ .

In order to avoid that extra assumption, we truncate the non-linear term  $f$ . Then we solve the truncated fractional PDE by projecting onto a finite dimensional space. Finally, we pass to the limit in the truncated problem. Hence, we will first solve (4.1) for sub-linear  $f$ . The general case will be investigated in the second subsection.

**4.1. The hilbertian case.** When  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  has a sub-linear growth, we may work with Hilbert spaces. Thus we have only to control the fractional derivative. More precisely, we will assume that there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that

$$|f(u) - f(v)| \leq C|u - v| \quad (4.2)$$

$$f(u)u \geq -C, \quad \forall u, v \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (4.3)$$

Recalling the notation (3.1) for fractional spaces, the problem under consideration is then

$$\begin{cases} \text{Find } u \in W_{2,2}^\alpha(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega), H^{-1}(\Omega)) & \text{such that} \\ D_{0,t}^\alpha u - \Delta u + f(u) = 0 & \text{in } L^2(0, T; H^{-1}(\Omega)) \\ (g_{1-\alpha} * u)(0) = v & \text{in } L^2(\Omega). \end{cases} \quad (4.4)$$

**Theorem 4.1.** *Assume  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  and  $f$  satisfies (4.2), (4.3).*

- (i) *If  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$  then (4.2) has a unique solution.*
- (ii) *If  $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$  then*
  - (a) *if  $v \neq 0$  then (4.2) has no solution;*
  - (b) *if  $v = 0$  then (4.2) has a unique solution.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 2.2, we derive that (4.4) has no solution if  $\alpha \leq 1/2$  and  $v \neq 0$ . On the other hand, if  $v = 0$  then the solvability of (4.4) can be achieved as in the case  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ . Thus we will only consider in the sequel the case where  $\alpha > 1/2$ .

*Existence of a solution.* We will implement the Galerkin approximation method. For, let us introduce some notation. Denote by  $(\cdot, \cdot)_0$  the inner product of  $L^2(\Omega)$  and

$$A : H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-1}(\Omega), \quad u \mapsto -\Delta u.$$

For  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , let  $(w_k, \lambda_k) \in H_0^1(\Omega) \times (0, \infty)$  be a  $k^{\text{th}}$  mode of  $A$  such that  $(w_k)_{k \geq 1}$  forms an hilbertian basis of  $L^2(\Omega)$ . For  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , we denote by  $F_n$  the vector space generated by  $w_1, \dots, w_n$ . Finally, we decompose the initial condition  $v$ , by writing

$$v = \sum_{k \geq 1} b_k w_k \quad \text{in } H_0^1(\Omega),$$

and we set

$$v_n := \sum_{k=1}^n b_k w_k. \quad (4.5)$$

Whence  $v_n \in F_n$  and  $v_n \rightarrow v$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ .

(i) *An approximated problem.* For each integer  $n \geq 1$ , our approximated problem takes the form

$$\begin{cases} \text{Find } u_n \in L^2(0, T; F_n) \text{ such that } D_{0,t}^\alpha u_n \in L^2(0, T, F_n) \\ (D_{0,t}^\alpha u_n, w)_0 + (\nabla u_n, \nabla w)_0 + (f(u_n), w)_0 = 0 \quad \text{in } L^2(0, T), \forall w \in F_n \\ (g_{1-\alpha} * u_n)(0) = v_n. \end{cases} \quad (4.6)$$

Thanks (4.2), we may show by standard methods in fractional calculus (see [Oue] for details) that the ordinary fractional differential equation (4.6) is uniquely solvable.

(ii) *Estimates.* Since  $\alpha > 1/2$  and  $v_n \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ ,  $w := u_n - g_\alpha v_n$  is a suitable test-function for (4.6), hence

$$\begin{aligned} (D_{0,t}^\alpha u_n, u_n - g_\alpha v_n)_0 + \int_\Omega |\nabla u_n|^2 dx + \int_\Omega f(u_n) u_n dx \\ = g_\alpha \int_\Omega \nabla u_n \nabla v_n dx + \int_\Omega f(u_n) g_\alpha v_n dx, \end{aligned}$$

in  $L^2(0, T)$ . Since  $u_n$  belongs to  $W_{2,2}^\alpha(0, T; L^2(\Omega), L^2(\Omega))$ , Proposition 3.4 yields that the time integral of the term involving the fractional derivative is non negative. Then, using (4.2), (4.3) and the boundedness of  $(v_n)$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ , we derive by standard estimates

$$\|u_n\|_{L^2(0,T;H_0^1(\Omega))} \leq C \quad (4.7)$$

$$\|D_{0,t}^\alpha u_n(t)\|_{L^2(0,T;H^{-1}(\Omega))} \leq C \quad (4.8)$$

$$\|f(u_n)\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \leq C. \quad (4.9)$$

(iii) *Passage to the limit.* According to (4.7), there exists  $u \in L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega))$  such that up to a subsequence

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u \quad \text{in } L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega))\text{-weak.}$$

Moreover, by Corollary 3.5,  $W_{2,1}^\alpha(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega), H^{-1}(\Omega))$  is compactly embedded into to  $L^1(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$ . Thus, up to a subsequence,

$$u_n \rightarrow u \quad \text{a.e on } [0, T] \times \Omega.$$

Then, by continuity of  $f$ ,

$$f(u_n) \rightarrow f(u) \quad \text{a.e on } [0, T] \times \Omega.$$

Thus, using also (4.9), Lion's Lemma [Lio69, lemma I-1.3] yields

$$f(u_n) \rightharpoonup f(u) \quad \text{in } L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)).$$

(iv) *Solvability of the equation of (4.4).* Let us show that

$$D_{0,t}^\alpha u - \Delta u + f(u) = 0 \quad \text{in } L^2(0, T; H^{-1}(\Omega)).$$

For, let  $k \geq 1$  be fixed and  $n \geq k$ . For each  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(0, T)$ , we derive from (4.6), Proposition 2.4 and Proposition 2.3 that

$$\left\langle \int_0^T -u_n(t) D_{t,T}^\alpha \varphi(t) + (Au_n - f(u_n)) \varphi(t) dt, w_k \right\rangle_{H^{-1}(\Omega), H_0^1(\Omega)} = 0.$$

Passing to the limit in  $n$  and using Definition 2.4, we get

$$D^\alpha u + Au + f(u) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(0, T; H^{-1}(\Omega)).$$

Since  $Au$  and  $f(u)$  belong to  $L^2(0, T; H^{-1}(\Omega))$ , we derive that  $u$  lies in  $W_{2,2}^\alpha(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega), H^{-1}(\Omega))$  and

$$D^\alpha u + Au + f(u) = 0 \quad \text{in } L^2(0, T; H^{-1}(\Omega)). \quad (4.10)$$

(v) *Initial condition.* Let  $1 \leq k \leq n$  and  $\psi \in H^1(0, T)$  with  $\psi(T) = 0$ . Then, starting from (4.10) and using Proposition 2.4 and Proposition 2.3, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_0^T (u(t), w_k)_0 D_{t,T}^\alpha \psi(t) dt - ((g_{1-\alpha} * u)(0), w_k)_0 \psi(0) \\ & \quad + \int_0^T \langle Au, w_k \rangle_{H^{-1}(\Omega), H_0^1(\Omega)} \psi(t) dt + \int_0^T (f(u(t)), w_k)_0 \psi(t) dt = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

Besides, going back to the equation of (4.6) and proceeding in the same way, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_0^T (u_n(t), w_k)_0 D_{t,T}^\alpha \psi(t) dt - ((g_{1-\alpha} * u_n)(0), w_k)_0 \psi(0) \\ & \quad + \int_0^T \langle Au_n, w_k \rangle_{H^{-1}(\Omega), H_0^1(\Omega)} \psi(t) dt + \int_0^T (f(u_n(t)), w_k)_0 \psi(t) dt = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We pass to the limit to get

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_0^T (u(t), w_k)_0 D_{t,T}^\alpha \psi(t) dt - (v, w_k)_0 \psi(0) \\ & \quad + \int_0^T \langle Au, w_k \rangle_{H^{-1}(\Omega), H_0^1(\Omega)} \psi(t) dt + \int_0^T (f(u(t)), w_k)_0 \psi(t) dt = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

Comparing (4.11) with (4.12), we deduce that  $(g_{1-\alpha} * u)(0) = v$ .

*Uniqueness for Problem (4.4).* Let  $u_1, u_2$  be two solutions to (4.4). Then  $u := u_1 - u_2$  satisfies

$$D_{0,t}^\alpha u - \Delta u + f(u_1) - f(u_2) = 0 \quad \text{in } L^2(0, T; H^{-1}(\Omega)) \quad (4.13)$$

$$(g_{1-\alpha} * u)(0) = 0. \quad (4.14)$$

Let  $\tau \in (0, T]$ . Testing (4.13) with  $u$ , we derive with the Lipschitz assumption (4.2)

$$\int_0^\tau \langle D_{0,t}^\alpha u(t), u(t) \rangle_{H^{-1}(\Omega), H_0^1(\Omega)} dt \leq C \int_0^\tau \|u(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 dt. \quad (4.15)$$

By (4.14),  $u$  lies in  ${}_0W_{2,2}^\alpha(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega), H^{-1}(\Omega))$ . Then Corollary 3.3 yields

$$g_{1-\alpha} * \|u(\cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2(\tau) \leq 2C \int_0^\tau \|u(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 dt. \quad (4.16)$$

If there exists  $\tau \in (0, T]$  such that  $u = 0$  a.e. on  $[0, \tau]$  then we set

$$t_0 := \sup\{\tau \in (0, T] : u = 0 \text{ a.e. on } [0, \tau]\}.$$

Otherwise, we put  $t_0 := 0$ . Now, in order to get uniqueness, it is enough to show that  $t_0 = T$ . Arguing by contradiction, let us assume that  $t_0 \in [0, T)$ . Then for each  $\tau \in (t_0, T]$ , we have

$$\int_{t_0}^\tau \|u(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 dt = \int_0^\tau \|u(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 dt \neq 0.$$

Then going back to (4.16) and using the decay of  $g_{1-\alpha}$ , we derive

$$g_{1-\alpha}(\tau - t_0) \int_{t_0}^\tau \|u(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 dt \leq 2L \int_{t_0}^\tau \|u(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 dt.$$

The condition  $\int_{t_0}^t \|u(y)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 dy \neq 0$  leads to the boundedness of  $\tau \mapsto g_{1-\alpha}(\tau - t_0)$  on  $(t_0, T]$ . That impossibility shows that  $t_0 = T$ . The proof of the theorem is now completed.  $\square$

**4.2. The polynomial growth case.** We will assume that the reaction term  $f$  has a polynomial growth at infinity. Thus we cannot work no more with fractional Hilbert spaces. However, our functional framework remains, in some sense hilbertian, since the initial condition is constrained to stay in a subspace of  $L^2(\Omega)$ .

Let  $n$  be a positive integer,  $\Omega$  be a bounded open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfy, for some positive constants  $C$ ,  $c$  and  $p$

$$f(u)u \geq c|u|^{p+1} - C \quad (4.17)$$

$$|f(u)| \leq C|u|^p + C, \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R} \quad (4.18)$$

$$f \text{ is non decreasing on some neighborhood of } -\infty \text{ and } \infty \quad (4.19)$$

$$f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}). \quad (4.20)$$

The latter condition means that  $f$  is a *locally Lipschitz function* on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Also, (4.19) means that there exists some  $M_0 \in (0, \infty)$  such that  $f$  is non decreasing on  $(-\infty, -M_0]$  and on  $[M_0, \infty)$ .

Let us denote by  $(p+1)'$  the conjugate exponent of  $p+1$  i.e.  $(p+1)' \in (1, \infty)$  and

$$(p+1)' := \frac{p+1}{p} \iff \frac{1}{(p+1)'} + \frac{1}{p+1} = 1.$$

The problem under consideration is

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Find } u \in L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap L^{p+1}(0, T; L^{p+1}(\Omega)) \quad \text{such that} \\ D_{0,t}^\alpha u \in L^2(0, T; H^{-1}(\Omega)) + L^{(p+1)'}(0, T; L^{(p+1)'(\Omega)}) \\ D_{0,t}^\alpha u - \Delta u + f(u) = 0 \quad \text{in } L^2(0, T; H^{-1}(\Omega)) + L^{(p+1)'}(0, T; L^{(p+1)'(\Omega)}) \\ (g_{1-\alpha} * u)(0) = v. \end{array} \right. \quad (4.21)$$

Let us notice that (4.21) is a natural extension of the standard case where  $\alpha = 1$ . See for instance [Tem88], [Lio69] or [Chi00].

Moreover, for each

$$u \in L^{p+1}(0, T; L^{p+1}(\Omega)),$$

(4.18) and the Hölder inequality yield that

$$f(u) \in L^{(p+1)'}(0, T; L^{(p+1)'}(\Omega)). \quad (4.22)$$

Hence the three terms involved in the equation of Problem (4.21) belong to

$$L^2(0, T; H^{-1}(\Omega)) + L^{(p+1)'}(0, T; L^{(p+1)'}(\Omega)).$$

If  $p \geq 1$  and

$$u \in L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega)), \quad D_{0,t}^\alpha u \in L^2(0, T; H^{-1}(\Omega)) + L^{(p+1)'}(0, T; L^{(p+1)'}(\Omega))$$

then  $u$  has a fractional derivative in  $L^{(p+1)'}(0, T; H^{-1}(\Omega) + L^{(p+1)'}(\Omega))$ . Hence  $g_{1-\alpha} * u$  lies in  $C([0, T]; H^{-1}(\Omega) + L^{(p+1)'}(\Omega))$ . Therefore the initial condition in Problem (4.21) is meaning full.

Now, we may state our main result.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let us assume the following.*

- (i)  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ,  $p \in [1, \infty)$ ;
- (ii)  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^{p+1}(\Omega)$ ;
- (iii)  $f$  satisfies (4.17)-(4.20).

Then

- (a) if  $\alpha > \frac{p}{p+1}$  then (4.21) has a unique solution;
- (b) if  $\alpha \leq \frac{1}{p+1}$  then
  - (b-1) if  $v \neq 0$  then (4.21) has no solution;
  - (b-2) if  $v = 0$  then (4.21) has a unique solution.

For sake of simplicity, we set

$$V_p := H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^{p+1}(\Omega), \quad (4.23)$$

and denote its dual space by  $V_p'$ .

*Proof of Theorem 4.2.* Let  $\alpha \leq \frac{1}{p+1}$  and  $u$  be a solution to (4.21). Since  $p \geq 1$ , we have  $(p+1)' \leq 2$ . Thus  $u$  and  $D^\alpha u$  belong to  $L^{(p+1)'}(0, T; V_p')$ . Hence Proposition 2.2 yields

$$(g_{1-\alpha} * u)(0)g_\alpha \in L^{(p+1)'}(0, T; V_p').$$

Since  $g_\alpha \notin L^{(p+1)'}(0, T)$ , the initial condition  $v$  must be trivial. In that case, existence and uniqueness may be achieved as in the case  $\alpha > \frac{p}{p+1}$ . So, in the sequel of that proof, we will assume that  $\alpha > \frac{p}{p+1}$ .

*Existence of a solution.* (i) *A truncated problem.* For all positive integer  $M$ , we define  $f_M : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$f_M(u) = \begin{cases} f(u) & \text{if } |u| \leq M \\ f(M) & \text{if } u > M \\ f(-M) & \text{if } u < -M. \end{cases} \quad (4.24)$$

By (4.17), one has

$$f_M(u)u \geq -C, \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (4.25)$$

where  $C$  is the constant appearing in (4.17). Then, according to Theorem 4.1, the following truncated problem

$$\begin{cases} \text{Find } u_M \in W_{2,2}^\alpha(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega), H^{-1}(\Omega)) & \text{such that} \\ D_{0,t}^\alpha u_M - \Delta u_M + f_M(u_M) = 0 & \text{in } L^2(0, T; H^{-1}(\Omega)) \\ (g_{1-\alpha} * u_M)(0) = v & \text{in } L^2(\Omega). \end{cases} \quad (4.26)$$

has a unique solution since  $\alpha > \frac{p}{p+1} \geq 1/2$ . Observe that  $f_M$  converges toward  $f$  uniformly on compact sets of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Thus it is expected that, in the limit  $M \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $u_M$  gives a solution to (4.21).

(ii) *Estimates.* Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 4.1, we get, using in particular Proposition 3.4

$$\int_0^T \int_\Omega |\nabla u_M|^2 dx + f_M(u_M)u_M dx dt \leq C + C \int_0^T \int_\Omega f_M(u_M)g_\alpha v dx dt.$$

Young inequality and Lemma 4.3 below lead to

$$\begin{aligned} |f_M(u_M)g_\alpha v| &\leq \varepsilon |f_M(u_M)|^{(p+1)'} + C_\varepsilon |g_\alpha v|^{p+1} \\ &\leq \varepsilon C_0 f_M(u_M)u_M + \varepsilon C_0 + C_\varepsilon |g_\alpha v|^{p+1}, \quad \forall M \geq M_0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_0$  and  $M_0$  are the constants appearing in Lemma 4.3. Thus

$$\int_0^T \int_\Omega |\nabla u_M|^2 + f_M(u_M)u_M dx dt \leq C. \quad (4.27)$$

Also, by (4.27) and Lemma 4.3 again,

$$\int_0^T \int_\Omega |f_M(u_M)|^{(p+1)'} dx dt \leq C. \quad (4.28)$$

Let us now show that the sequence  $(D^\alpha u_M)_{M \geq 0}$  remains bounded in  $L^1(0, T, V_p')$ . Indeed, testing (4.26) with  $w \in V_p$ , and using Hölder inequality, we arrive to

$$|\langle D^\alpha u_M, w \rangle_{H^{-1}(\Omega)}| \leq \|u_M\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \|w\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} + \|f_M(u_M)\|_{L^{(p+1)'(\Omega)}} \|w\|_{L^{p+1}(\Omega)}.$$

Moreover, by density,  $H^{-1}(\Omega)$  is a subspace of  $V_p'$ . Thus, with (4.27) and (4.28)

$$\int_0^T \|D^\alpha u_M\|_{V_p'} dt \leq C, \quad \forall M \geq M_0. \quad (4.29)$$

(iii) *Passage to the limit.* According to (4.27) and (4.28), Corollary 3.5 yields the existence of some  $u \in L^1(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$  such that up to a subsequence,

$$u_M \xrightarrow{M \rightarrow \infty} u \quad \text{a.e. on } [0, T] \times \Omega. \quad (4.30)$$

Since  $f_M$  converges toward  $f$  uniformly on compact sets of  $\mathbb{R}$ , there holds  $f_M(u_M) \rightarrow f(u)$  a.e. on  $[0, T] \times \Omega$ . Thus, using also (4.25) and (4.27), Fatou's Lemma leads to

$$\int_0^T \int_\Omega f(u)u dx dt \leq C.$$

Thus, with (4.17), we get that  $u \in L^{p+1}(0, T; L^{p+1}(\Omega))$ , and (see (4.22)) that  $f(u)$  lies in  $L^{(p+1)'(0, T; L^{(p+1)'(\Omega)})}$ . Then Lion's Lemma yields

$$f_M(u_M) \rightharpoonup f(u) \quad \text{in } L^{(p+1)'(0, T; L^{(p+1)'(\Omega)})}.$$

For any  $w \in V_p$  and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(0, T)$ , testing (4.26) with  $w\varphi$  and using Proposition 2.4, we get in a standard way

$$D_{0,t}^\alpha u - \Delta u + f(u) = 0 \quad \text{in } L^2(0, T; H^{-1}(\Omega)) + L^{(p+1)'}(0, T; L^{(p+1)'(\Omega)}). \quad (4.31)$$

(v) *Initial condition.* Since  $(p+1)' \leq 2$ , (4.31) holds in  $L^1(0, T; V_p')$ . Then testing (4.31) with  $w\psi$  for any  $w \in V_p$  and  $\psi \in H^1(0, T)$  such that  $\psi(T) = 0$ , we derive, by applying Proposition 2.4 in  $L^1(0, T; V_p')$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_0^T \langle u(t), w \rangle_{V_p', V_p} D_{t,T}^\alpha \psi(t) dt - \langle (g_{1-\alpha} * u)(0), w \rangle_{V_p', V_p} \psi(0) \\ & + \int_0^T \langle Au, w \rangle_{H^{-1}(\Omega), H_0^1(\Omega)} \psi(t) dt + \int_0^T \int_\Omega f(u(t)) w \psi(t) dx dt = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.32)$$

On the other hand arguing as in the proof of Theorem 4.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_0^T (u(t), w)_0 D_{t,T}^\alpha \psi(t) dt - (v, w)_0 \psi(0) \\ & \int_0^T \langle Au, w \rangle_{H^{-1}(\Omega), H_0^1(\Omega)} \psi(t) dt + \int_0^T \int_\Omega f(u(t)) w \psi(t) dx dt = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.33)$$

Comparing (4.32) with (4.33), we deduce that  $(g_{1-\alpha} * u)(0) = v$ .

*Uniqueness for Problem (4.21).* We proceed as in the proof of Theorem 4.1. The nonlinear term is controlled in a usual way, by using the following property. There exists  $M > 0$  such that

$$(f(u) - f(v))(u - v) \geq 0, \quad \forall |u| \geq M, \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{R}.$$

See [Oue] for details. The proof of the theorem is now completed.  $\square$

If  $p > 1$  then the theorem tells nothing when  $\frac{1}{p+1} < \alpha \leq \frac{p}{p+1}$ . More regularity on  $D_{0,t}^\alpha u$  allows to fill that gap. Indeed, let  $\alpha \leq \frac{p}{p+1}$  and  $u$  be a solution to (4.21) such that

$$D_{0,t}^\alpha u \in L^{p+1}(0, T; H^{-1}(\Omega) + L^{(p+1)'(\Omega)}).$$

Then, according to the proof of Theorem 4.2,  $v = 0$ ; so that (4.21) has a unique solution for  $v = 0$  and  $\alpha \leq \frac{p}{p+1}$ .

The following result is used in the proof of Theorem 4.2. We refer to [Oue] for its proof.

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfy (4.17), (4.18) with  $p > 0$  and  $f_M$  defined by (4.24). Then there exist  $M_0 > 0$  and  $C_0 > 0$  such that*

$$|f(u)|^{\frac{p+1}{p}} \leq C_0 f_M(u) u + C_0, \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \forall M \geq M_0.$$

## REFERENCES

- [Chi00] Michel Chipot. *Elements of nonlinear analysis*. Birkhäuser Advanced Texts. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2000.
- [dF19] Paulo M. de Carvalho Neto and Renato Fehlberg Junior. On the fractional version of Leibniz rule. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1901.10376, Jan 2019.
- [DR18] Laid Djilali and Arnaud Rougirel. Galerkin method for time fractional diffusion equations. *J. Elliptic Parabol. Equ.*, 4(2):349–368, 2018.
- [GS77] I. M. Gelfand and G. E. Shilov. *Generalized functions. Vol. 1*. Academic Press, New York-London, 1964 [1977]. Properties and operations.

- [Lio69] J.-L. Lions. *Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires*. Dunod; Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969.
- [LL18a] Lei Li and Jian-Guo Liu. A generalized definition of Caputo derivatives and its application to fractional ODEs. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 50(3):2867–2900, 2018.
- [LL18b] Lei Li and Jian-Guo Liu. Some compactness criteria for weak solutions of time fractional PDEs. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 50(4):3963–3995, 2018.
- [Oue] Yamina Ouedjedi. *Phd Thesis of the university of Mascara*.
- [Rou05] Tomas Roubicek. *Nonlinear partial differential equations with applications*, volume 153 of *International Series of Numerical Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2005.
- [Sim87] Jacques Simon. Compact sets in the space  $L^p(0, T; B)$ . *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)*, 146:65–96, 1987.
- [Tem88] Roger Temam. *Infinite-dimensional dynamical systems in mechanics and physics*, volume 68 of *Applied Mathematical Sciences*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988.
- [Zac12] Rico Zacher. Global strong solvability of a quasilinear subdiffusion problem. *J. Evol. Equ.*, 12(4):813–831, 2012.

YAMINA OUEDJEDI,  
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF EXACT SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF MASCARA  
*E-mail address*: oudjedyamina@gmail.com

ARNAUD ROUGIREL  
 LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ DE POITIERS & CNRS. TELEPORT 2, BP 179, 86960  
 CHASSNEUIL DU POITOU CEDEX, FRANCE,  
*E-mail address*: rougirel@math.univ-poitiers.fr

KHALED BENMERIEM,  
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF EXACT SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF MASCARA  
*E-mail address*: benmeriem@univ-mascara.dz