

Accessing Two-Coordinate ZnII Organocations by NHC Coordination: Synthesis, Structure, and Use as π -Lewis Acids in Alkene, Alkyne, and CO2 Hydrosilylation

David Specklin, Frédéric Hild, Christophe Fliedel, Christophe Gourlaouen,

Luis Veiros, Samuel Dagorne

▶ To cite this version:

David Specklin, Frédéric Hild, Christophe Fliedel, Christophe Gourlaouen, Luis Veiros, et al.. Accessing Two-Coordinate ZnII Organocations by NHC Coordination: Synthesis, Structure, and Use as π -Lewis Acids in Alkene, Alkyne, and CO2 Hydrosilylation. Chemistry - A European Journal, 2017, 23 (63), pp.15908-15912. 10.1002/chem.201704382. hal-02121109

HAL Id: hal-02121109 https://hal.science/hal-02121109

Submitted on 4 Feb 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Accessing Two-coordinate Zn(II) Organocations *via* NHC coordination: synthesis, structure and reactivity studies in hydrosilylation

David Specklin,^[a] Frédéric Hild,^[a] Christophe Fliedel,^[a] Christophe Gourlaouen,^[a] Luis. F. Veiros^[b] and Samuel Dagorne^{*[a]}

Dedication ((optional))

Abstract: Discrete two-coordinate Zn(II) organocations are first reported, presently of the type (NHC)Zn–R⁺, thanks to NHC stabilization. In preliminary reactivity studies Such entities, which are direct cationic analogues of long-known ZnR₂ species, act as effective and tunable π -Lewis acid catalysts in alkene, alkyne and CO₂ hydrosilylation.

Neutral diorganozinc species, first prepared by Frankland in 1849, have long been established as useful reagents in organic synthesis and catalysis, most notably for the fonctionalization of unsaturated substrates.^[1] Structurally, such species are typically monomeric, display a linear C-Zn-C array and bears a twocoordinate sp-hybridized Zn(II) center with two low-lying vacant π orbitals available for coordination. Since Zn(II) is only moderately Lewis acidic (HSAB scale), Zn(II) organocations are of particular interest for enhanced Lewis acidity at Zn(II) to Lewis-acid improve substrate activation in stoichiometric/catalytic processes. In this area, a number of structurally characterized three- and four-coordinate Zn(II) supported by various N-/O-/P-/C-based supporting ligands have been reported over the past twenty years and successfully exploited in polymerization, alkene/alkyne hydroamination and CO₂ functionalization catalysis.^[2-5] Such ligand-stabilized Zn(II) organocations are usually stable but typically display moderate catalytic performances. For more Lewis acidic Zn-based organocations, challenging studies were recently performed by the groups of Wehmschulte and Krossing to access thus-farunknown "free" mono-coordinate cation [EtZn]+ via direct ionization of ZnEt₂ in the presence of a weakly coordinating anion, such as perfluorinated aluminate anions of the type $[AI(OR)_4]$ or the carborane anion $[CHB_{11}CI_{11}]^{\,\,[4a,6]}$ Ion-like species such as $EtZn(\eta^3-C_6H_6)(CHB_{11}CI_{11})$ and $EtZn[AI(OR)_4]$ display weak anion-Zn(II) bonding interactions leading, for instance, to a three-coordinate Zn(II) center in EtZn(Al(OR)₄. Discrete $[EtZn(arene)_2]^+ Zn(II)$ cations, with arene π -coordination to the Zn(II) center, were also recently characterized.^[6]

- [a] Dr. D. Specklin, Dr. F. Hild, Dr. Fliedel, Dr. C. Gourlaouen, Dr. S. Dagorne
 Institut de Chimie de Strasbourg, CNRS-Université de Strasbourg (UMR 7177), 1, rue Blaise Pascal, 67000 Strasbourg, France.
- E-mail: dagorne@unistra.fr
 [b] Prof. L. F. Veiros
 Centro de Química Estrutural, Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa, Av. Rovisco Pais No. 1, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal

Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document.((Please delete this text if not appropriate))

Structurally characterized and isolable two-coordinate Zn(II) organocations of the type $[(L)Zn-R]^+$ (L monodentate) are unknown thus far, though (DMF)Zn-alkyl⁺ cations have been observed in the gas phase.^[7] In principle, in addition to their fundamental interest, such electrophilic entities may represent a better reactivity/stability balance when compared to, on the one hand, more robust but less Lewis acidic three-/four-coordinate cationic analogues and, on the other hand, elusive mono-coordinate [RZn]⁺ cations.

Stable two-coordinate $[(L)Zn-R]^+$ cations (L monodentate) are expected to require a strong σ -donating L ligand (for a robust L–Zn–R⁺ array) providing a significant steric protection of the Lewis acidic Zn(II) center, ligand properties for which *N*heterocyclic carbenes (NHCs) are so priviledged and widely used.^[8] We thus herein report on the synthesis and structure of two-coordinate Zn(II) di-organyl cations (NHC)Zn–R⁺ (R = alkyl, aryl), as stable and robust entities thanks to NHC stabilization. Such entities, which are the first direct cationic analogues of long-known ZnR₂ species, are shown to act as effective and tunable π -Lewis acid catalysts in alkene, alkyne and CO₂ hydrosilylation.

Two-coordinate cations (NHC)Zn–R⁺ may be prepared *via* an alkide (R⁻) abstraction reaction from the corresponding neutral dialkyl precursors with an electrophile such as Ph_3C^+ , an approach successfully used by Bochmann to access three-/fourcoordinate Zn(II)–alkyl cations.^[2c-e] Thus, the reaction of adducts (IDipp)ZnR₂ (R = Me, Et) with 1 equiv [Ph₃C][B(C₆F₅)₄] (RT, 30 min, PhBr) led to the high yield formation and isolation of the corresponding two-coordinate cations [(IDipp)Zn–R]⁺ (as B(C₆F₅)₄⁻ salts; R = Me, [1][B(C₆F₅)₄]; R = Et, [2][B(C₆F₅)₄]; Scheme 1), as deduced from solution and solid state data.



Scheme 1. Synthesis of Zn(II) cations 1⁺-3⁺ and 6⁺.



Figure 1. Molecular structure of cation 2^+ (H atoms are omitted for clarity). Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°): Zn-Et = 1.909(5), Zn-NHC = 1.961(3), C-Zn-C = 173.0(3).

The molecular structures of salts [1-2][B(C₆F₅)₄] were determined through single-crystal X-ray diffraction studies (XRD) and both display similar features (Table S1; Figures 1, S20-21). Taking the example of $[2][B(C_6F_5)_4]$, it crystallizes from PhBr as discrete two-coordinate (IDipp)Zn-Et⁺ cations and $B(C_6F_5)_4^$ anions with no cation/anion short contacts (Figure XXX). Cation 2⁺ contains a central *sp*-hybridized two-coordinate Zn(II) center, with a nearly linear C_{NHC}-Zn-C_{Et} array [173.0(3)°]. The Zn-C_{Et} bond distance in 2^+ [1.909(5) Å] is significant shorter than that in higher coordinate Zn(II)-alkyl cations [1.964(6) and 1.964(3) Å in Et–Zn(η^3 -toluene)(κ^2 -CHB₁₁Cl₁₁)] and $Et-Zn(OEt_2)_3^+$, respectively]^[9,4a] and in ZnEt₂ [1.948(5) Å]^[10], reflecting an enhanced electrophilicity at Zn(II). The NMR data for [1-**2**][B(C_6F_5)₄] (CD₂Cl₂, RT) are consistent with weakly interacting anions and cations in solution. The ¹H and ¹⁹F DOSY NMR data for salt [1][B(C₆F₅)₄] suggests the presence of contact ion pairs (RT, CD₂Cl₂).^[11] In line with their ionic nature, cations 1⁺ and 2⁺ are only sparingly soluble in benzene and toluene while they are soluble and stable (for days) in CD₂Cl₂ and C₆D₅Br (RT, under N₂). Steric protection at Zn(II) by the NHC ligand may matter for stability. Thus, the less sterically bulky cation (IMes)Zn-Me⁺ (3⁺, Scheme 1), generated by ionization of (IMes)ZnMe2 with $[Ph_3C][B(C_6F_5)_4]$, is unstable at room temperature and decomposes within a few hours to unknown species, precluding its isolation and further use. Expectedly, cation 1⁺ reacts fast and irreversibly with Lewis bases (L) such as THF and benzaldehyde to form the corresponding (IDipp)Zn(Me)(L)⁺ adducts (4⁺ and 5⁺, respectively). The Zn-PhCHO cation 5⁺ is however stable for hours in C₆D₅Br (90 °C, 12h) or THF (70 °C, 12h) with no C=O insertion reactivity (into the Zn-Me bond), unlike the typical behavior of ZnR₂ towards carbonyl substrates.

Cations 1⁺ and 2⁺ may be further derivatized for enhanced Lewis acidity at Zn(II). Thus, 1⁺ cleanly reacts with 1 equiv

 $B(C_6F_5)_3$ (RT, PhF) via a Me/C₆F₅ exchange reaction to quantitatively afford two-coordinate cation (IDipp)Zn- $C_6F_5^+$ (6⁺), isolated as [6][B(C₆F₅)₄] (Scheme 1).^[12] From CH₂Cl₂, it crystallizes as discrete (IDipp)Zn- $C_6F_5^+$ and B($C_6F_5)_4^-$ ions as determined from XRD studies (Figure 2a). Two-coordinate cation 6⁺ is structurally similar to 1⁺ and 2⁺, albeit with a shorter Zn-C_{NHC} bond distance [1.938(3) vs. 1.961(3) Å in 2⁺], indicative of a more electrophilic Zn(II) center. DOSY ¹H and ¹⁹F NMR data for $[6][B(C_6F_5)_4]$ agree with separated ions in solution (CD₂Cl₂, RT; Figures S17-20). From PhBr, cation 6⁺ crystallizes as adduct (IDipp)Zn–C₆F₅⁺PhBr (Figure 2b) with a side-on position of PhBr and a Zn^{...}Br distance [2.6909(5) Å] well below the sum of VdW radii of Zn and Br (3.24 Å). π -interactions between the PhBr and $Zn-C_6F_5^+$ aromatic rings may also stabilize such an arrangement in the solid state. Similar M. BrPh interactions were observed with the strong Lewis acid $AI(C_6F_5)_3$ and may account for the excellent thermal stability of cation 6⁺ in PhBr.^[13]



Figure 2. Molecular structures of cation **6**⁺ (a) and **6**⁺ PhBr (b). Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°): for **6**⁺; Zn-C6F5 = 1.919(3), Zn-NHC = 1.938(3), C-Zn-C = 175.4(1). For **6**⁺ PhBr; Zn⁻⁻Br = 2.6909(5), C-Zn-C = 155.8(1).

To gain further insight on cations 1^+ , 2^+ and 6^+ as π -Lewis acids, their Lewis acidity was experimentally estimated with the Gutmann-Beckett method, where the ³¹P NMR chemical shift of Et₃P=O (acting as a Lewis base) is used to probe Lewis acidity.^[14] According to these measurements, the Lewis acidity of 6^+ is comparable to that of B(C₆F₅)₃ and higher than that of 1^+ and $Zn(C_6F_5)_2$ [$\Delta\delta^{31}P$ (CD_2Cl_2) = 26.4, 24.7, 17.2 and 14.5 ppm for B(C₆F₅)₃, 6^+ , Zn(C₆F₅)₂ and 1^+ , respectively], albeit within the limit of validity of such a method.^[15] Since best suited for HSAB hard Lewis acid/base interactions, the Fluoride Ion Affinity (FIA), which also correlates to Lewis acidity (the higher the FIA, the stronger the Lewis acid), was also calculated for cations 1⁺ and 6⁺ using a known methodology (see Supporting Information).^[16] FIA calculations agree with 6⁺ and 1⁺ being more Lewis acidic than $B(C_6F_5)_3$ [FIA = 156, 146, 106 and 86 kcal/mol for 6⁺, 1⁺, $B(C_6F_5)_3$ and $Zn(C_6F_5)_2$, respectively]. In any case, the latter estimations establish 6^+ and, to a lesser extent, 1^+ as potent π -Lewis acids. Furthermore, as DFT-estimated (BLYP/def2-SVP). it is interesting to note that the LUMOs of 1⁺ and 6⁺ both exhibit a significant π -bonding interaction between the C_{carbene} and Zn(II), which suggests that the NHC π -Lewis acid character may further enhance the Lewis acidity of these cations (for 1^+ and 6^+ , see Figures 3 and S35, respectively).



Figure 3. Front (left) and side (right) view of the DFT-computed (BLYP/def2-SVP) LUMO of cation 6^+ (-4.756 eV).

Cations 1⁺, 2⁺ and 6⁺ were evaluated in alkene, alkyne and CO₂ hydrosilylation catalysis and their performance compared to $B(C_6F_5)_3$.^[17] Thus, in the presence of HSiEt₃, cation 6⁺ (5% mol.) catalyzes 1-hexene hydrosilylation at room temperature (CD₂Cl₂, quantitative conv. to 7 within 18h, Scheme 2) while less Lewis acidic cations 1^+ and 2^+ are inactive under these conditions (Figures S25). Hydrosilylation of methylcyclohexene catalyzed by 6⁺ (5% mol., CD₂Cl₂, RT, 14h) only led to hydrosilylated product 8, thus consistent with a selective anti-1,2-addition of Si-H to the C=C bond (Figures S26). Cation 6⁺ retains its integrity during catalysis with no apparent catalyst/substrates interactions, as monitored by ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, RT). Altogether, these data are consistent a Lewis-acid-catalyzed process, as observed with strong group 13 Lewis acids $E(C_6F_5)_3$ (E = B, Al).^[18] Also, cation 6⁺ is highly active and selective in 1-octyne hydrosilylation to afford the Z-selective product 9 (5% mol. of 6⁺, quantitative conv. to 9 within 15 min, RT, CD₂Cl₂, Scheme 2, Figure S27). This contrasts with the absence of any catalytic activity when using $B(C_6F_5)_3$ as 1-octyne hydrosilylation catalyst under identical conditions (< 5% conv. to 9, 24h, CD₂Cl₂). A Lewis-acid-mediated reaction is likely operating based on experimental data, with a hydrosilylation proceeding an *anti*-1,2-addition of Si–H to the C-C triple bond.^[19]



Scheme 2. Alkene and alkyne hydrosilylation catalysis by cation 6⁺

There are a number of metal-based catalysts able to efficiently hydrosilylate CO₂ to the formate level (HCO₂SiR₃), but effective and selective systems for CO2 reduction to aldehyde-, methanol-equivalent and methane (i.e. R₃SiOCH₂OSiR₃, CH₃OSiR₃ and CH₄) remain challenging.^[20,21] As recently shown, strong group 13 Lewis acids, such as ion pair Et₂Al(CHB₁₁Cl₁₁) and mixed AI(C₆F₅)₃/B(C₆F₅)₃ catalytic systems, may convert CO2 to CH4.^[5a,22] Satisfyingly, Zn(II) cation 1⁺ (5% mol.) catalyzes CO₂ hydrosilylation in the presence of HSiEt₃ to quantitatively and selectively yield methanol-equivalent MeOSiEt₃ (1.5 bar of CO₂, C₆D₅Br, 90 °C, 12h), along with Et₃SiOSiEt₃ as a side-product (Scheme 3, Figures S28-S29). Instead, when using more Lewis acidic 6⁺ (5% mol.), CO₂ is completely converted to CH4 within 6h under identical reaction conditions (Scheme 3, Figures S30-S31). As a comparison, benchmark Lewis acids $Zn(C_6F_5)_2$, $B(C_6F_5)_3$ and $EtZn(OEt_2)_3^+$ are inactive in CO₂ hydrosilylation under such conditions. In line with the robustness of 6⁺, decreasing catalyst loading by ten (0.5% mol. in 6⁺) led to a 95% conv. of the CO₂/HSiEt₃ mixture to CH₄ within 23h (C₆D₅Br, 90 °C; Figure S32).

$$CO_{2} + HSiEt_{3} \xrightarrow{1^{+}(5\% \text{ mol.})} MeOSiEt_{3}$$

$$g_{0} \circ C, PhBr \\ - Et_{3}SiOSiEt_{3} \xrightarrow{quantitative}$$

$$CO_{2} + HSiEt_{3} \xrightarrow{6^{+}(0.5 \text{ or } 5\% \text{ mol.})} CH_{4}$$

$$g_{0} \circ C, PhBr \\ - 2 Et_{3}SiOSiEt_{3} \xrightarrow{} CH_{4}$$

$$quantitative$$

Scheme 3. CO₂ hydrosilylation catalyzed by cations 1⁺ and 6⁺.

According to ¹H NMR control experiments, both **2**⁺ and **6**⁺ are unreactive under CO₂ alone (1.5 bar, C₆D₅Br, 90 °C, 24h), and, likewise, do not react with HSiEt₃ on its own (5 equiv, C₆D₅Br, 90 °C, 24h). Also, while CO₂ hydrosilylation is neglectible at room temperature (< 5% conv. to CH₄ after 24h), **6**⁺ reacts at room temperature with silyl formate HCO₂SiEt₃ (7 equiv) in the presence of HSiEt₃ (21 equiv) to quantitatively afford a mixture of MeOSiEt₃ and CH₄ (15 and 85% conv., respectively; 24h, C₆D₅Br), with catalyst **6**⁺ retaining its integrity (Figure S33). Under identical conditions, the stoichiometric reaction of **6**⁺ with a 1/1 HCO₂SiEt₃/HSiEt₃ mixture leads to the fast formation of a 1/5 CH₂(OSiEt₃)₂/MeOSiEt₃ mixture (15 min, 50% conv. of HSiEt₃) along with unreacted HCO₂SiEt₃ (Figure S34). Altogether, these observations suggest a Lewis-acid-mediated CO₂ reduction catalysis with the initial CO₂ functionalization (*i.e.* formation of HCO₂SiEt₃) being rate-limiting, followed by faster sequential hydrosilylation of HCO₂SiEt₃ to CH₂(OSiEt₃)₂, MeOSiEt₃ and finally CH₄. In that regard, for the initial functionalization of CO₂, DFT calculations (BLYP/def2-SVP, Figure 4) agree with an initial CO₂ activation/coordination by **VI**⁺ (**VI-CO2**, Figures 4 and S36), followed by Et₃Si-*H* attack at *C*=O (reactant complex **A**, Figures 4 and S37) to afford the hydrosilylated thermodynamic product **C** through transition state **B** (Δ G = 21.6 kcal/mol, Figure 4). The reaction energy barrier (22.8 kcal/mol) for CO₂ functionalization by **VI**⁺ is compatible with experimental observations.



Figure 4. DFT-computed (BLYP/def2-SVP, gas phase) Gibbs free energy (kcal/mol) reaction of CO₂ with HSiEt₃ mediated by VI⁺ (model of 6^+)

In summary, we first reported on discrete two-coordinate Zn(II) organocations, presently of the type (NHC)Zn–R⁺, thanks to NHC stabilization. Structurally analogous to ZnR₂ compounds, preliminary reactivity studies show such cations behave as effective and selective π -Lewis acid catalysts for a number of organic transformations of current interest, outperforming classical Lewis acids such as B(C₆F₅)₃ in some instances. These cations benefit from several attractive features which should promote their further use and development, including: i) stability, ii) possible tunability of the Lewis acidity (*via* the nature of the NHC and Zn– R^+ moieties) and iii) straightforward synthesis from commonly used reagents. With Zn being a cheap and low toxic metal source, this may lead to new developments in fundamental and applied reactivity.

Acknowledgements ((optional))

The authors thank the CNRS, the University of Strasbourg and the University of Lisbon for financial support. The high computing center of the University of Strasbourg is acknowledged for computing time. The laboratory of Prof. J. Moran (ISIS, University of Strasbourg) is thanked for GC-MS analysis.

Keywords: Zinc • organocation • carbene • Lewis acid • hydrosilylation

- T. Hirose, K. Kodama, in *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis*, eds. P. Knochel, G. A. Molander, Elsevier B. V., Amsterdam, 2nd edition, **2014**, Vol. 1, pp 204-266.
- Selected recent examples: a) M. Haufe, R. D. Köhn, R. Weimann, G. Seifert, D. Zeigan, J. Organomet. Chem. 1996, 520, 121; b) H. Tang, M. Parvez, H. G. Richey, Organometallics 2000, 19, 4810; c) D. A. Walker, T. J. Woodman, D. L. Hughes, M. Bochmann, Organometallics 2001, 20, 3772; d) M. D. Hannant, M. Schormann, M. Bochmann, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 2002, 4071; e) D. A. Walker, T. J. Woodman, M. Schormann, D. L. Hughes, M. Bochmann, Organometallics 2003, 22, 797; f) M. Bochmann, Coord. Chem. Rev. 2009, 253, 2000; c) M. A. Chilleck, T. Braun, B. Braun, Chem. Eur. J. 2011, 17, 12902. b) K. Freitag, H. Banh, C. Ganesamoorty, C. Gemel, R. W. Seidel, R. A. Fischer, Dalton Trans. 2013, 42, 10540; a) M. A. Chilleck, L. Hartenstein, T. Braun, P. W. Roesky, B. Braun, Chem. Eur. J. 2015, 21, 2594.
- Recent examples in polymerization, see : a) C. A. Wheaton, B. J. Ireland, P. G. Hayes, Organometallics 2009, 28, 1282; b) C. Romain, V. Rosa, C. Fliedel, F. Bier, F. Hild, R. Welter, S. Dagorne, T. Avilés, Dalton Trans. 2012, 41, 3377; c) Y. Sarazin, J.-F. Carpentier, Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 3564.
- Alkene/alkyne hydroamination: a) R. J. Wehmschulte, L. Wojtas, *Inorg. Chem.* 2011, *50*, 11300; a) A. Lühl, H. P. Nayek, S. Blechert, P. W. Roesky, *Chem. Commun.* 2011, *47*, 8280; b) M. A. Chilleck, T. Braun, B. Braun, S. Mebs, *Organometallics* 2014, *33*, 551; c) T. O. Petersen, E. Tausch, J. Schaefer, H. Scherer, P. W. Roesky, I. Krossing, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2015, *21*, 13696.
- [5] Ketone and CO₂ functionalization catalysis: a) M. Khandelwal, R. J. Wehmschulte, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 7323; Angew. Chem. 2012, 29, 7435; b) A. Rit, A. Zanardi, T. P. Spaniol, L. Maron, J. Okuda, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 13273; Angew. Chem. 2014, 126, 13489; c) P. A. Lummis, M. R. Momeni, M. W. Lui, R. McDonald, M. J. Ferguson, M. Miskolzie, A. Brown, E. Rivard, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 9347; Angew. Chem. 2014, 126, 9501; d) D. Specklin, C. Fliedel, C. Gourlaouen, J.-C. Bruyere, T. Avilés, C. Boudon, L. Ruhlmann, S. Dagorne, Chem. Eur. J. 2017, 23, 5509.
- [6] T. O. Petersen, D. Simone, I. Krossing, Chem. Eur. J. 2016, 22, 15847.
- [7] F. Dreiocker, J. Oomens, A. J. H. M. Meijer, B. T. Pickup, R. F. W. Jackson, M. Schäfer, J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75,1203 –1213.
- [8] For early reviews on NHC properties and coordination chemistry, see:
 a) A. J. Arduengo III, Acc. Chem. Res., 1999, 32, 913; b) D. Bourissou,
 O. Guerret, F. Gabbaï, G. Bertrand, Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 39; c) W. A. Herrmann, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 1290; Angew. Chem. 2002, 114, 1342.
- [9] D. A. Walker, T. J. Woodman, D. L. Hughes, M. Bochmann, Organometallics 2001, 20, 3772.
- J. Bacsa, F. Hanke, S. Hindley, R. Odedra, G. R. Darling, A. C. Jones, A. Steiner, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2011, *50*, 11685; *Angew. Chem.* 2011, *123*, 12008.
- [11] The ¹H and ¹⁹F DOSY NMR spectra for salt [1][B(C₆F₅)₄] both led to a hydrodynamic volume of 1100 Å³, which is consistent with the presence of 1⁺ and B(C₆F₅)₄⁻ ions in close vicinity as in the solid state (see Supporting Information).
- $\label{eq:rescaled} \begin{array}{ll} \mbox{[12]} & \mbox{For related alkyl/} C_6 F_5 \mbox{ group exchange in neutral zinc alkyls, see ref.} \\ & \mbox{Erreur ! Signet non défini.} \end{array}$
- [13] G. Ménard, T. M. Gilbert, J. A. Hatnean, A. Kraft, I. Krossing, D. W. Stephan, Organometallics 2013, 32, 4416.

The larger $\Delta \delta$ ³¹P, the stronger the Lewis acid to which Et₃P=O coordinates. For further details, see: a) V. Gutmann, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **1976**, *18*, 225; b) M. A. Beckett, D. S. Brassington, M. E. Light, M. B. Hursthouse, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **2001**, 1768.

- [15] A. R. Nödling, K. Müther, V. H. G. Rohde, G. Hilt, M. Oestreich, Organometallics 2014, 33, 302.
- [16] a) K. O. Christe, D. A. Dixon, D. McLemore, W. W. Wilson, J. A. Sheehy and J. A. Boatz, *J. Fluorine Chem.* 2000, 101, 151; b) L. O. Muller, D. Himmel, J. Stauffer, G. Steinfeld, J. Slattery, G. Santiso Quinones, V. Brecht, I. Krossing, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2008, 47, 7659; *Angew. Chem.* 2008, 120, 7772.
- [17] Recent review on hydrosilylation catalysis by boranes: M. Oestreich, J. Hermeke, J. Mohr, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2015, 44, 2202.
- [18] a) M. J. Blackwell, E. R. Sonmor, T. Scoccitti, W. E. Piers, *Org. Lett.* 2000, 2, 3921; b) M. Rubin, T. Schwier, V. Gevorgyan, *J. Org. Chem.* 2002, 67, 1936; c) J. Hermeke, M. Mewald, M. Oestreich, *J. Am. Chem.*

Soc. 2013, 135, 17537; d) A. Simonneau, M. Oestreich, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 11905; Angew. Chem. 2013, 125, 12121; e) J. Chen,
E. Y. X. Chen, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 6842; , Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 127, 6946.

- [19] N. Asao, T. Sudo, Y. Yamamoto, J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 7654.
- [20] Review on metal-mediated CO₂ hydrosilylation: F. J. Fernández-Alvarez, A. M. Aitani, L. A. Oro, *Catal. Sci. Technol.* 2014, *4*, 611.
- [21] Selected recent examples: a) T. Matsuo, H. Kawaguchi, J. Am. Chem.Soc. 2006, 128, 12362; b) S. N. Riduan, Y. Zhang, J. Y. Ying, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 3322–3325; Angew. Chem. 2009, 121, 3372; c) S. Park, D. Bézier, M. Brookhart, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 11404; d) A. Berkefeld, W. E. Piers, M. Parvez, L. Castro, L. Maron, L.; O. Eisenstein, Chem. Sci. 2013, 4, 2152–2162; e) T. T. Metsänen, M. Oestreich, Organometallics 2015, 34, 543.
- [22] J. Chen, L. Favilene, L. Caporaso, L. Cavallo, E. Y.-X. Chen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 5321.

Entry for the Table of Contents (Please choose one layout)

Layout 1:

COMMUNICATION

