Reaction F + C2H4: Rate Constant and Yields of the Reaction Products as a Function of Temperature over 298–950 K

Yuri Bedjanian

Reaction F + C$_2$H$_4$: Rate Constant and Yields of the Reaction Products as a Function of Temperature over 298 – 950 K

Yuri Bedjanian*

Institut de Combustion, Aérothermique, Réactivité et Environnement (ICARE), CNRS

45071 Orléans Cedex 2, France

*Corresponding author: Tel.: +33 238255474, Fax: +33 238696004, e-mail: yuri.bedjanian@cnrs-orleans.fr

ABSTRACT

The kinetics and products of the reaction of F + C_2H_4 → products (1) have been studied in a discharge flow reactor combined with an electron impact ionization mass spectrometer at nearly 2 Torr total pressure of helium in the temperature range 298 − 950 K. The total rate constant of the reaction, k_1 = (1.78 ± 0.30)×10^{-10} cm^3molecule^{-1}s^{-1}, determined under pseudo-first order conditions, monitoring the kinetics of F-atom consumption in excess of C_2H_4, was found to be temperature independent in the temperature range used. H, C_2H_3F and HF were identified as the reaction products. Absolute measurements of the yields of these species allowed to determine the branching ratios, k_{1b}/k_1 = (0.73 ± 0.07) exp(-(425 ± 45)/T) and k_{1a}/k_1 = 1 - (0.73 ± 0.07) exp(-(425 ± 45)/T) and partial rate constants for addition-elimination (H + C_2H_3F (1a)) and H-atom abstraction (HF + C_2H_3 (1b)) pathways of the title reaction: k_{1a} = (0.80± 0.07) × 10^{-10} exp(189± 37/T) and k_{1b} = (1.26± 0.13) × 10^{-10} exp(-414± 45/T) cm^3molecule^{-1}s^{-1} at T = 298 - 950K and with 2σ quoted uncertainties. The overall reaction rate constant can be adequately described by both the temperature independent value and as a sum of k_{1a} and k_{1b}. The kinetic and mechanistic data from the present study are discussed in comparison with previous absolute and relative measurements and theoretical calculations.

1. INTRODUCTION

Multichannel reactions of fluorine atom with alkenes represent an interesting subject for experimental and theoretical investigations and were quite extensively studied over the past few decades. In particular, it was shown that these reactions proceed both through direct abstraction of hydrogen atom[1-2] and addition of F–atom to the double bond of alkene, leading to formation of a long-lived intermediate complex,[1,3-9] which can be stabilized to form adduct-radical or decompose to the reaction products.

However, despite the great interest to these reactions and a large number of studies dedicated, quantitative kinetic (rate constants) and mechanistic (branching ratios for different reaction channels) data on these reactions, surprisingly, remain very limited. Thus, the rate constant of the F-atom reaction with the simplest alkene, ethylene, was determined only once and just at three temperatures, 202, 236 and 298 K.[10-11] Absolute measurements of the yields of the products of this multichannel reaction were carried out in two studies, and only with one of the reaction products (C_2H_3F) and in a limited temperature range.[11-12]

In the present work, we report the results of an experimental study of the reaction of F atom with C_2H_4 over a wide temperature range (from room temperature to 950K), including temperature dependence of the total rate constant and the branching ratios for two main (at low pressure) reactive channels:

$$F + C_2H_4 \rightarrow H + C_2H_3F \qquad \Delta_r H° = -52.6 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \qquad (1a)$$

$$\rightarrow HF + C_2H_3 \qquad \Delta_r H° = -109.7 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \qquad (1b)$$

The thermochemical data used for the calculations of $\Delta_r H°$ are from ref. 13.

2. EXPERIMENTAL

Experiments were carried out in a discharge flow reactor using a modulated molecular beam mass spectrometer with electron impact ionization as the detection method. The flow reactor operated in the temperature range 298 – 950 K and nearly 2 Torr total pressure of Helium and consisted of an electrically heated Quartz tube (45 cm length and 2.5 cm i.d.) with water-cooled extremities (Figure S1, Supporting Information).[14] Temperature in the reactor was measured with a *K*-type thermocouple positioned in the middle of the reactor in contact with its outer surface. Temperature gradients along the flow tube measured with a thermocouple inserted in the reactor through the movable injector was found to be less than 1%.[14]

F atoms were formed in the microwave discharge of F_2/He mixtures. In order to reduce F atom reactions with glass surface inside the microwave cavity, a ceramic (Al_2O_3) tube was inserted in this part of the injector. It was verified by mass spectrometry that more than 90% of F_2 was dissociated in the microwave discharge. Fluorine atoms were detected at m/z = 98/100 (FBr^+) after being scavenged with an excess of Br_2 (added in the end of the reactor 5 cm upstream of the sampling cone, see Figure S1):

$$F + Br_2 \quad \rightarrow FBr + Br \tag{2}$$

This reaction was also used for the determination of the absolute concentrations of FBr: concentration of FBr was determined from the consumed fraction of Br_2, $[FBr] = \Delta[Br_2]$. HF (product of reaction 1) and HBr (monitored in the study of the reaction products) were detected at m/z = 20 (HF^+) and 80/82 (HBr^+), respectively. Their signals were absolutely calibrated relative to those of FBr upon titration of F-atoms with Br_2/H_2 mixture. First, F-atoms were titrated with Br_2, and $[FBr]_0$ corresponding to initial concentration of F was detected. Then H_2 was added in the reactive system leading to decrease of [FBr] due to concurrent loss of F-atom in reaction

$$F + H_2 \quad \rightarrow HF + H \tag{3,}$$

followed by reaction 4, transforming H-atoms to HBr:

$$H + Br_2 \rightarrow HBr + Br \qquad\qquad\qquad (4)$$

This procedure allowed to link the absolute concentrations of HF, HBr and FBr as follows: $[HF] = [HBr] = \Delta[FBr]$. C_2H_4 was detected at its fragment peak at m/z = 26 ($C_2H_2^+$), the parent peak at m/z = 28 being somewhat perturbed by unavoidable traces of molecular nitrogen. The absolute concentrations of C_2H_4 as well as of other stable species (Br_2, H_2, C_2H_3F) in the reactor were calculated from their flow rates obtained from the measurements of the pressure drop of their mixtures in He stored in calibrated volume flasks.

The purities of the gases used were as follows: He >99.9995% (Alphagaz), passed through liquid nitrogen trap; C_2H_4 >99.99% (Phillips); H_2 >99.998% (Alphagaz); Br_2 >99.99% (Aldrich); F_2, 5% in helium (Alphagaz); C_2H_3F, 98% (Apollo Scientific).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Measurements of the Reaction Rate Constant. The rate constant of the title reaction was determined using both absolute method through monitoring the kinetics of F atom consumption in excess of C_2H_4, and relative rate method with the reaction of F atom with Br_2 as a reference one.

*3.1.1. Kinetics of F-atom consumption in excess of C_2H_4.* In these experiments, carried out in excess of C_2H_4, the initial concentration of F-atoms was in the range (0.9-1.5)$\times10^{11}$ molecule cm^{-3}; the concentrations of C_2H_4 are shown in Table 1. The flow velocity in the reactor was in the range (2750-3680) cm s^{-1}. Examples of pseudo-first order F-atom decays are shown in Figure S2 (Supporting Information). Consumption of C_2H_4 was negligible in most cases due to its sufficient excess over F atoms; however in a few kinetic runs it was observable (up to 15%). In this case, the mean values of $[C_2H_4]$ over the reaction time of the F-atom kinetics were used for the calculations of the rate constant.

Table 1. Reaction F + C$_2$H$_4$: Summary of the Absolute Measurements of the Rate Constant.

T (K)	number of kinetic runs	[C$_2$H$_4$] (10^{12} molecule cm^{-3})	$k_1{}^a$ (10^{-10} cm^3 molecule^{-1} s^{-1})
298	7	0.48-4.58	1.77
348	7	0.26-3.74	1.76
413	8	0.22-3.57	1.74
509	9	0.32-3.27	1.81
665	8	0.33-3.31	1.77
950	8	0.33-4.97	1.76

^{a} Typical uncertainty on k_1 is nearly 15%.

Figure 1 demonstrates the pseudo-first order rate constants, $k_1' = k_1[C_2H_4] + k_w$, measured as a function of the concentration of C$_2$H$_4$ at T = 298 and 950K. Similar data were observed at other temperatures of the study (Figures S3 and S4, Supporting Information). All the measured values of k_1' were corrected for axial and radial diffusion[15] of fluorine atoms. The corrections on k_1' were calculated using diffusion coefficient of F in He, $D_0 = 614 \times (T/298)^{1.75}$ Torr cm^{-2} s^{-1} (estimated with Fuller's method)[16] and were, generally, less than 10%. The slopes of the straight lines in Figures 1, S3 and S4 provide the values of k_1 at respective temperatures. All the results obtained for k_1 within the described approach at different temperatures are shown in Table 1. The combined uncertainty on the measurements of the rate constants was estimated to be $\approx$ 15 %, including statistical error (within a few percent) and those on the measurements of the flows (5%), pressure (3%), temperature (1%) and absolute concentration of C$_2$H$_4$ ($\leq$ 10%).

Figure 1. Reaction $F + C_2H_4$: pseudo-first order plots obtained from F- atom decays in excess of C_2H_4. Solid and dashed lines represent a linear fit to the experimental data at T = 298 and 950K, respectively. Error bars show a typical uncertainty of nearly 10% on the determination of k_1'.

3.1.2. Relative rate measurements. In these experiments, the rate constant of reaction 1 was determined at three temperatures, T = 324, 455 and 782 K, using a relative rate method with reaction of F atoms with Br_2 as a reference one:

$$F + Br_2 \quad \rightarrow FBr + Br \tag{2}$$

Fast titration of the initial concentration of F atoms, $[F]_0$, by a mixture of excess C_2H_4 and Br_2 was performed, and the yield of FBr was measured as a function of the $[Br_2]/[C_2H_4]$ ratio. The concentration of FBr formed is defined by the fraction of $[F]_0$ reacting with Br_2 in reaction 2:

$$[FBr] = \frac{k_2[Br_2]}{k_2[Br_2]+k_1[C_2H_4]} \times [F]_0$$

Rearrangement of this expression leads to:

$$\frac{[F]_0}{[FBr]} - 1 = \frac{k_1[C_2H_4]}{k_2[Br_2]} \tag{I}$$

Thus k_1/k_2 could be obtained by plotting $([F]_0/[FBr] - 1)$ as a function of the $[C_2H_4]/[Br_2]$ ratio. It can be noted that this method did not need absolute calibration of the mass-

7

spectrometric signals for F and FBr because the initial concentration of F atoms could be expressed as FBr signal in the absence of C_2H_4 in the reactor, when F is titrated with an excess of Br_2. Thus, in the experiments, only the FBr signal was detected: first, in C_2H_4-free system, corresponding to $[F]_0$, and then in the Br_2 and C_2H_4-containing system, corresponding to the fraction of $[F]_0$ reacted with Br_2. Initial concentration of F atoms was $\sim 10^{12}$ molecule cm^{-3}, concentrations of C_2H_4 and Br_2 are shown in Table 2, reaction time was around 0.015 s.

Table 2. Experimental Conditions and Results of the Relative Measurements of k_1.

T (K)	No./exp.[a]	$[C_2H_4]$[b]	$[Br_2]$[b]	$[C_2H_4]/[Br_2]$	k_1/k_2	k_1[c]
324	12	0.42-14.8	2.55-3.12	0.16-5.04	0.722	1.77
455	12	0.29-8.98	1.85-2.14	0.07-4.77	0.694	1.84
782	11	0.61-4.74	1.59-1.73	0.11-2.74	0.725	1.77

[a] Number of data points. [b] Units of 10^{13} molecule cm^{-3}. [c] Units of 10^{-10} cm^3 molecule^{-1} s^{-1}, estimated uncertainty on k_1 is nearly 20%.

The observed experimental data are shown in Figures 2 (T = 324 and 455K) and S5 (T = 782K, Supporting Information). According to equation (I), the slopes of the linear dependences in Figures 2 and S5 provide the values of k_1/k_2 at respective temperatures (Table 2). Final values of k_1, calculated with independent of temperature $k_2 = (1.28 \pm 0.20)\times10^{-10}$ cm^3molecule^{-1}s^{-1} (T = 299 - 940K)[17] and presented in Table 2, are in excellent agreement with the absolute measurement of the rate constant. All the results obtained for k_1 are shown Figure 3. Based on the data from this work, the temperature independent value of

$$k_1 = (1.78 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1},$$

can be recommended for the rate constant of reaction 1 in the temperature range (298-950) K (continuous line in Figure 3).

**Figure 2.** FBr yield from F-atom titration with $Br_2 + C_2H_4$ mixtures at T = 324 and 455K. The horizontal and vertical error bars represent the estimated uncertainties (of nearly 10%) on the respective values.

Figure 3. Reaction $F + C_2H_4$: summary of the experimental data on the temperature dependence of the reaction rate constant.

3.2. Products of reaction 1. In a first series of experiments the yields of the reaction

products, C_2H_3F (channel 1a) and HF (channel 1b) were determined through the

measurements of the consumed concentration of F atoms and formed concentrations of the

reaction products. Reaction time was (0.012-0.016) s, initial concentrations of F atoms and

C_2H_4 were $(0.1-3.3) \times 10^{12}$ and $(2.5-5.0) \times 10^{13}$ molecule cm^{-3}, respectively. Under these

experimental conditions, F atoms were completely consumed in the reaction with C_2H_4.

Example of the experimental data observed at T = 298 and 509 K is shown in Figure 4.

Similar data observed at other temperatures of the study (T = 348, 414, 663 and 950 K) are

shown in Figures S6 and S7 (Supporting Information).

Figure 4. Reaction F + C_2H_4: concentrations of C_2H_3F and HF formed in reaction 1 as a function of the consumed concentration of F atoms. Error bars correspond to typical 10% uncertainties on the determination of the absolute concentrations of the relevant species.

The slopes of the linear through origin fits to the experimental data in Figures 4, S6 and S7

provide the yields of C_2H_3F and HF in reaction 1. All the results obtained in this way for the

branching ratios, k_{1a}/k_1 and k_{1b}/k_1, at different temperatures are shown in Table 3. Estimated

systematic uncertainty on the measurements of k_{1a}/k_1 and k_{1b}/k_1 is around 15% and is mainly

due to the precision on the measurements of the absolute concentrations of the respective species.

Table 3. Summary of the Measurements of the Yields of the Products of Reaction F+C$_2$H$_4$.

| T, K	yields of the reaction products [a]			
	H	C$_2$H$_3$F	HF	total [b]
298		0.807	0.192	0.999
324	0.800		0.199	0.999
348		0.795	0.204	0.999
413		0.726	0.245	0.971
454	0.732		0.268	1.000
509		0.659	0.322	0.981
663		0.608	0.377	0.985
776	0.555		0.423	0.978
950		0.52	0.463	0.983

[a] Uncertainty on the yields is nearly 15%. [b] Sum of the yields of the reaction products.

In another series of experiments, the branching ratios for two channels of reaction 1 were determined through relative measurements of the yields of H-atoms and HF. Br$_2$ ($\approx 5\times10^{13}$ molecule cm^{-3}) being added in the end of the reactor, F atoms were titrated either with H$_2$ (5×10^{13} molecule cm^{-3}) or C$_2$H$_4$ ($\approx 10^{13}$ molecule cm^{-3}). Upon titration with H$_2$ (reaction 3 followed by reaction 4),

$$F + H_2 \quad \rightarrow HF + H \tag{3}$$

$$H + Br_2 \quad \rightarrow HBr + Br \tag{4},$$

F atoms were transformed to HF and H (HBr): [F]$_0$ = [HF]$_0$ = [HBr]$_0$. Further, H$_2$ was replaced with C$_2$H$_4$ and [HF] and [H] (detected as HBr), formed in reaction 1, were measured. This method does not imply the measurements of the absolute concentrations of the species involved, as the yields of H and HF in reaction 1 can be calculated as [HBr]/[HBr]$_0$ and [HF]/[HF]$_0$, respectively. Reaction time in these experiments was nearly 4 ms and initial concentration of F-atoms was varied in the range (0.21-1.69) and (0.17-1.33)$\times10^{12}$ molecule cm^{-3} at T = 454 and 776 K, respectively. Results of the measurements are shown in Figure 5.

The slopes of the straight lines in Figure 5 provide the yields of H and HF at T = 454 and 776 K presented in Table 3.


Figure 5. Reaction F + C_2H_4: concentrations of H-atom and HF formed in reaction 1 as a function of the consumed concentration of F atoms. Error bars correspond to typical of nearly 5% uncertainty on the determination of the relatives concentrations of the respective species.

Secondary reactions, potentially leading to hydrogen atom consumption or production in the reactive system used, can be analyzed. Hydrogen atoms produced in reaction 1 can be lost on the reactor surface and in a secondary reaction with excess reactant C_2H_4:

$$H + C_2H_4 \;(+M) \rightarrow C_2H_5 \;(+M) \tag{5a}$$

$$H + C_2H_4 \rightarrow H_2 + C_2H_3 \tag{5b}$$

Under experimental conditions of the present study, the abstraction channel 5b is negligible and reaction is dominated by addition pathway 5a with a rate constant of nearly 10^{-13} $cm^3 molecule^{-1} s^{-1}$.[18] Considering the reaction time (0.004 s) and concentration of C_2H_4 ($\approx 10^{13}$ molecule cm^{-3}) used in these experiments, the H atom consumption in reaction 5 can be neglected. Heterogeneous loss of H atoms also did not affect the results of the measurement, since (i) its rate is relatively low (≤ 10 s^{-1}) and (ii) its possible impact on H-atom

concentration is similar in the presence of H_2 (determination of the initial concentration) and C_2H_4 (determination of the concentration formed in reaction). Secondary production of hydrogen atoms in reaction of another reaction product, C_2H_3, with C_2H_4 can also be neglected under experimental conditions of the measurements:

$$C_2H_3 + C_2H_4 \rightarrow H + C_4H_6 \tag{6}$$

$$k_6 = 1.3 \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^3\text{molecule}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1} \text{ (T = 1100 K)}[18]$$

In contrast, the secondary reactions

$$H + C_2H_3 \rightarrow H_2 + C_2H_2 \tag{7a}$$

$$k_{7a} = 7 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3\text{molecule}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1} \text{ (T = 300 K)}[18] \text{ and}$$

$$H + C_2H_3 \text{ (+M)} \rightarrow C_2H_4 \text{ (+M)} \tag{7b}$$

$$k_{7b} = 9.3 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3\text{molecule}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1} \text{ (P = 2 Torr, T = 300 K)}[18]$$

are pretty rapid and could potentially affect the measured concentrations of H atoms, especially at highest initial concentrations of F, despite the fact that (i) they may be slower at higher temperatures of the present study (in particular, reaction 7b) and (ii) C_2H_3 is rapidly consumed in disproportionation reaction 8:

$$C_2H_3 + C_2H_3 \rightarrow C_2H_4 + C_2H_2 \tag{8}$$

$$k_8 = 1.4 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3\text{molecule}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1} \text{ (T = 298 K)}[18]$$

It is difficult to assess the extent of possible impact of these reactions since their rate constants at elevated temperatures are not known. However, the absence of curvature in the dependence of $[H]_{formed}$ on $[F]_{consumed}$ in Figure 5 and the fact that sum of the branching ratios of two reactive channels is close to 1 seem to indicate a rather limited impact of the reactions 7a and 7b. Finally, the measurements of the yields of H-atom and HF were also performed under experimental conditions of relative measurements of the reaction rate constant at T = 324 K (section 3.1.2). The experiments consisted in titration of F atoms with a mixture of C_2H_4 and Br_2 (i.e. in presence of Br_2 in the main reactor). In this configuration, secondary

reactions 7a and 7b are not operative, since H atoms formed in reaction (1a) are rapidly transformed to HBr in reaction with Br_2 present in the main reactor. Results of the measurements of HF and HBr monitored as a function of the fraction of $[F]_0$ reacted with C_2H_4 are shown in Figure S8 and presented in Table 3. All branching ratio data are shown in Figure 6.

Figure 6. Reaction $F + C_2H_4$: summary of the branching ratio data for two reaction channels.

A trend of increase of k_{1b}/k_1 (H-atom abstraction channel) and decrease of k_{1a}/k_1 (F-atom addition / H-atom elimination channel) with increasing temperature is observed. The solid lines in Figure 6 result from a nonlinear least-squares analysis of all the branching ratio data in accordance with Arrhenius expression for k_{1b}/k_1, $k_{1b}/k_1 = A \times \exp(-E/T)$, and $k_{1a}/k_1 = 1 - k_{1b}/k_1$ with two variable parameters, A and E:

$k_{1b}/k_1 = (0.73 \pm 0.07) \exp(-(425 \pm 45)/T)$

$k_{1a}/k_1 = 1 - (0.73 \pm 0.07) \exp(-(425 \pm 45)/T)$

with 2σ statistical uncertainties.

The rate constants of two channels of reaction 1 calculated using the measured branching ratio data (Table 3) and total rate constant, $k_1 = 1.78 \times 10^{-10}$ cm^{3}molecule^{-1}s^{-1}, are shown in Figure 7.

Figure 7. Total rate constant and those for two channels of reaction 1 as a function of temperature. The selectively shown error bars represent estimated 20 % uncertainty on the measurements of k_{1a} and k_{1b} and 15% for the total rate constant.

The solid lines result from the nonlinear least-squares analysis of all the experimental data for k_{1a}, k_{1b} and total rate constant in accordance with $k_1 = k_{1a} + k_{1b}$ and Arrhenius expressions for k_{1a} and k_{1b}:

$$k_{1a} = (0.80 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-10} \exp(189 \pm 37/T) \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1},$$

$$k_{1b} = (1.26 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-10} \exp(-414 \pm 45/T) \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1},$$

where the uncertainties are 2σ statistical ones. One can note that in the temperature range of the study the total rate constant can be adequately represented both by temperature independent value and sum of two Arrhenius expressions.

15

3.3. Comparison with previous data. Rate constant of the reaction 1 has been measured only once using a discharge-flow reactor and electron impact ionization mass spectrometer as a detection method.[10-11] The reaction rate constant was determined under pseudo-first conditions by monitoring the kinetics of C_2H_4 consumption in an excess of fluorine atoms at 1 Torr total pressure of helium and three temperatures: T = 202, 236 and 298 K. These results are shown in Figure 3 in line with the present data. One can note that room temperature value of k_1 measured by Nesbitt et al.[11] is by a factor of 1.7 higher than that measured in the present work. The rate constants measured in ref. 11 at two lower temperatures are in good agreement, in the range of the reported experimental uncertainties, with the present temperature independent value of k_1 extrapolated to lower temperatures (dotted line in Fig. 3). However, general trend of the increase of k_1 with temperature observed in ref. 11 is inconsistent with our observations. Reasons for this disagreement are difficult to identify, especially given that the absolute values of the rate constant from two studies are fairly consistent.

Absolute branching ratio data reported for reaction 1 in two previous studies[11-12] was limited to measurements of the C_2H_3F yield. Slagle and Gutman[12] measured the branching ratio of 0.65 ± 0.06 for the H-atom displacement route (1a) of the reaction $F+C_2H_4$ at T = 295K, using pulsed-laser photolysis of C_6F_5Cl for generation of fluorine atoms (C_6F_5Cl (+hν) → C_6F_5 +Cl (+hν) → C_6F_4 +F) and a photoionization mass spectrometry as a detection method. The authors stated 10% uncertainty on the measurements, but it seems that it could be much higher, considering that the branching ratio was determined as a ratio of [C_2H_3F] produced in reaction 1a to consumed concentration of C_2H_4, depletion of C_2H_4 being rather low, in the range (1.4 − 5.0)%. In any case, the yield of C_2H_3F reported by Slagle and Gutman[12] agrees in the range of the reported uncertainties with the corresponding value of 0.81 ± 0.12 measured in the present study at T = 298K (Figure 6). Nesbitt et al.[11] have

measured the yield of C_2H_3F under conditions of excess C_2H_4 in a discharge-flow reactor coupled to mass-spectrometric detection at a total pressure of 1 Torr and two temperatures, 202 and 236 K. The stabilized adduct, C_2H_4F, was not detected even at lowest temperature of 202 K.[11] The branching ratios reported for C_2H_3F forming channel 1a, 0.73 ± 0.20 (T = 236 K) and 0.75 ± 0.16 (T = 202 K), are somewhat lower than those expected at these temperatures from the present data, nevertheless the results from two studies are in agreement in the range of the reported experimental uncertainties (Figure 6).

The branching ratio data from the present study can be compared also with some relative measurements available in the literature. Thus, Milstein et al.[5] found that addition of F-atom to C_2H_4 is 0.83 ± 0.02 as rapid as addition to acetylene at about 4000 Torr total pressure. At the same time, Williams and Rowland[19] reported 0.41 ± 0.04 for the ratio of the rate constants of H-atom abstraction in reaction $F+CH_4$ and F-atom addition to C_2H_2 at total pressure of nearly 3000 Torr. Combining these data and using the rate constant of 6.7×10^{-11} cm^3molecule^{-1}s^{-1} (at 298K, uncertainty factor of 1.4)[13] for reaction of F-atom with CH_4, the rate constant for addition reaction

$$F + C_2H_4 \,(+M) \rightarrow C_2H_4F \,(+M) \tag{1c}$$

can be calculated as $(1.35 ± 0.5) \times 10^{-10}$ cm^3molecule^{-1}s^{-1}. Good agreement of this value with the rate constant measured in the present study for addition-elimination channel $k_{1a} = 1.5 \times 10^{-10}$ cm^3molecule^{-1}s^{-1} (at T = 298 K) seems to support the assumption that the only effect of pressure in the $F + C_2H_4$ system is on the partitioning between addition-decomposition (reaction 1a) and addition-stabilization (reaction 1c).[11] It should be noted, that although we have not observed any experimental evidence for the addition-stabilization channel in the present low-pressure study, the stabilization of the adduct can be expected to compete and eventually dominate the addition-elimination process at higher pressures. Finally, concerning H-atom abstraction channel 1b, Smith et al.[2] reported the value of 0.52 (± 15%) for the ratio

of the rate constants of HF forming channels in reactions of F atoms with C_2H_4 and methane. From this data and using k (F+CH_4) = 6.7×10^{-11} cm^3molecule^{-1}s^{-1},[13] the rate constant of the abstraction channel 1b can be calculated as $\approx 3.5 \times 10^{-11}$ cm^3molecule^{-1}s^{-1}. This value is in a good agreement with the absolute measurement of the present study, k_{1b} = (3.14± 0.60) $\times 10^{-11}$ cm^3molecule^{-1}s^{-1} at T = 298K.

Negative temperature dependence of the rate constant of the addition-elimination channel 1a observed in the present work indicate that addition of fluorine atom to ethylene is a barrierless process. This is in line with previous theoretical and experimental findings. Thus, according to calculations of Schlegel et al.,[20] the activation barrier for the addition of fluorine atom to ethylene is less than 2 kcal/mol. Robinson et al.[7] in their crossed molecular beam studies of the substitution channel of reaction 1 reported that energy barrier for F-atom addition to C_2H_4 is much less than 0.8 kcal mol^{-1}.

As noted in a most recent theoretical study of the reaction F+C_2H_4 (where only the addition-elimination channel was considered),[8] ab initio studies on the reactions involving radical addition to alkenes are very sensitive to theoretical levels and are difficult to deal with. In this respect, the experimental kinetic and mechanistic data for both H-atom abstraction and addition-elimination channels of the reaction F+C_2H_4 obtained in the present work in an extended temperature range seem to represent an interesting experimental basis for further theoretical developments.

CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we investigated the kinetics and products of the reaction of F-atoms with ethylene using a discharge flow reactor combined with an electron impact ionization mass spectrometer. The total rate constant of the reaction, k_1 = (1.78 ± 0.30)$\times 10^{-10}$ cm^3molecule^{-1}s^{-1}, was found to be independent of temperature in the range 298 -950 K. Three reaction

products, H, C_2H_3F and HF, formed in two major channels of the title reaction, H + C_2H_3F (1a) and HF + C_2H_3 (1b), were identified and quantified. As a result, the rate constants for two reaction pathways, addition-elimination and H-atom abstraction, were determined at T = 298 – 950 K as: $k_{1a} = (0.80\pm0.07) \times 10^{-10} \exp(189\pm37)/T$, $k_{1b} = (1.26\pm0.13) \times 10^{-10} \exp(-414\pm45)/T)$ cm^3 molecule^{-1} s^{-1}, respectively. The kinetic and branching ratio data obtained in the present work over an extended temperature range are in good agreement with previous absolute and relative measurements at room and lower temperatures and seem to represent an interesting experimental basis for further theoretical developments.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Supporting Information. Diagram of the flow reactor (Figure S1); examples of kinetics of F-atom consumption at different excess concentrations of C_2H_4 (Figure S2); pseudo-first order plots obtained from F- atom decays in excess of C_2H_4 at T = 348 and 413K (Fig. S3) and T = 509 and 665K (Figure S4); FBr yield from F-atom titration with Br_2 + C_2H_4 mixtures at T = 782K (Figure S5); concentrations of C_2H_3F and HF formed in reaction (1) as a function of the consumed concentration of F atoms at T = 348 and 663 K (Figure S6) and T = 414 and 950 K (Figure S7); concentrations of H atoms and HF formed in reaction (1) as a function of the consumed concentration of F atoms at T = 324K (Figure S8).

REFERENCES

(1) Parson, J. M.; Lee, Y. T. Crossed Molecular Beam Study of F+C$_2$H$_4$, C$_2$D$_4$. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1972,** *56*, 4658-4666.

(2) Smith, D. J.; Setser, D. W.; Kim, K. C.; Bogan, D. J. HF Infrared Chemiluminescence. Relative Rate Constants for Hydrogen Abstraction from Hydrocarbons, Substituted Methanes, and Inorganic Hydrides. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1977,** *81*, 898-905.

(3) Flores, A. L.; Darwent, B. deB. Photochemical Decomposition of Nitrosyl Fluoride. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1969,** *73*, 2203-2208.

(4) Williams, R. L.; Rowland, F. S. Reactions of Fluorine-18 Atoms with Ethylene. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1972,** *76*, 3509-3517.

(5) Milstein, R.; Williams, R. L.; Rowland, F. S. Relative Reaction Rate Involving Thermal Fluorine-18 Atoms and Thermal Fluoroethyl Radicals with Oxygen, Nitric Oxide, Sulfur Dioxide, Nitrogen, Carbon Monoxide, and Hydrogen Iodide. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1974,** *78*, 857-863.

(6) Schlegel, H. B. Ab Initio Molecular Orbital Studies of Atomic Hydrogen + Ethylene and Atomic Fluorine + Ethylene. 1. Comparison of the Equilibrium Geometries, Transition Structures, and Vibrational Frequencies. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1982,** *86*, 4878-4882.

(7) Robinson, G. N.; Continetti, R. E.; Lee, Y. T. The Translational Energy Dependence of the F+C$_2$H$_4$ →H+C$_2$H$_3$F Reaction Cross Section near Threshold. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1990,** *92*, 275-284.

(8) Zhang, M.-B.; Yang, Z.-Z. Computational Study on the Reaction CH$_2$CH$_2$ + F → CH$_2$CHF + H. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2005,** *109*, 4816-4823.

(9) Li, J.-L.; Geng, C.-Y.; Huang, X.-R.; Sun, C.-C. A Barrier-Free Atomic Radical-Molecule Reaction: F + Propene. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2006,** *2*, 1551-1564.

(10) Nesbitt, F. L.; Monks, P. S.; Scanlon, M.; Stief, L. J. Absolute Rate Constants for the Reactions of F(^{2}P) with C$_2$H$_2$ and C$_2$H$_4$ at T = 298 K. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1994,** *98*, 4307-4311.

(11) Nesbitt, F. L.; Thorn, R. P.; Payne, W. A.; Tardy, D. C. Absolute Rate Constant and Product Branching Fractions for the Reaction between F and C$_2$H$_4$ at T = 202−298 K. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **1999,** *103*, 4470-4479.

(12) Slagle, I. R.; Gutman, D. Extent of the Displacement Route in the Reactions of Fluorine Atoms with Ethylene, Vinyl Chloride, and Vinyl Bromide. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1983,** *87*, 1818-1821.

(13) Burkholder, J. B.; Sander, S. P.; Abbatt, J.; Barker, J. R.; Huie, R. E.; Kolb, C. E.; Kurylo, M. J.; Orkin, V. L.; Wilmouth, D. M.; Wine, P. H. Chemical Kinetics and Photochemical Data for Use in Atmospheric Studies, Evaluation No. 18, JPL Publication 15-10, Jet Propulsion Laboratory. http://jpldataeval.jpl.nasa.gov (accessed January 2018).

(14) Morin, J.; Romanias, M. N.; Bedjanian, Y. Experimental Study of the Reactions of OH Radicals with Propane, n-Pentane, and n-Heptane over a Wide Temperature Range. *Int. J. Chem. Kinet.* **2015,** *47*, 629-637.

(15) Kaufman, F. Kinetics of Elementary Radical Reactions in the Gas Phase. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1984,** *88*, 4909-4917.

(16) Tang, M. J.; Cox, R. A.; Kalberer, M. Compilation and Evaluation of Gas Phase Diffusion Coefficients of Reactive Trace Gases in the Atmosphere: Volume 1. Inorganic Compounds. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2014,** *14*, 9233-9247.

(17) Bedjanian, Y. Kinetics and Products of the Reactions of Fluorine Atoms with ClNO and Br_2 from 295 to 950 K. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2017,** *121*, 8341-8347.

(18) Baulch, D. L.; Bowman, C. T.; Cobos, C. J.; Cox, R. A.; Just, T.; Kerr, J. A.; Pilling, M. J.; Stocker, D.; Troe, J.; Tsang, W., et al. Evaluated Kinetic Data for Combustion Modeling: Supplement II. *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **2005,** *34*, 757-1397.

(19) Williams, R. L.; Rowland, F. S. Hydrogen Atom Abstraction by Fluorine Atoms. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1973,** *77*, 301-307.

(20) Schlegel, H. B.; Bhalla, K. C.; Hase, W. L. Ab Initio Molecular Orbital Studies of Atomic Hydrogen + Ethylene and Atomic Fluorine + Ethylene. 2. Comparison of the Energetics. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1982,** *86*, 4883-4888.

TOC Graphic