

# Hepatitis E Virus (HEV) Open Reading Frame 2 Antigen Kinetics in Human-Liver Chimeric Mice and Its Impact on HEV Diagnosis

Ibrahim M Sayed, Lieven Verhoye, Claire Montpellier, Florence Legrand-Abravanel, Laurence Cocquerel, Philip Meuleman, Jacques Izopet

## ▶ To cite this version:

Ibrahim M Sayed, Lieven Verhoye, Claire Montpellier, Florence Legrand-Abravanel, Laurence Cocquerel, et al.. Hepatitis E Virus (HEV) Open Reading Frame 2 Antigen Kinetics in Human-Liver Chimeric Mice and Its Impact on HEV Diagnosis. Journal of Infectious Diseases, In press, 220 (5), pp.811-819. 10.1093/infdis/jiz171. hal-02117796

## HAL Id: hal-02117796 https://hal.science/hal-02117796

Submitted on 2 May 2019

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Study of hepatitis E virus ORF2 antigen kinetics in human-liver chimeric mice and its impact on
2	HEV diagnosis
3	Ibrahim M. Sayed <sup>1,2,a</sup> , Lieven Verhoye <sup>1</sup> , Claire Montpellier <sup>3</sup> , Florence Abravanel <sup>4,5,6</sup> , Jacques
4	Izopet <sup>4,5,6</sup> , Laurence Cocquerel <sup>3</sup> , Philip Meuleman <sup>1*</sup>
5	<sup>1</sup> Laboratory of Liver Infectious Diseases, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Ghent University,
6	Ghent, Belgium.
7	<sup>2</sup> Microbiology and Immunology Department, Faculty of Medicine, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt
8	<sup>3</sup> University of Lille, CNRS, INSERM, CHU Lille, Pasteur Institute of Lille, U1019-UMR 8204-CIIL- Center
9	for Infection and Immunity of Lille, F-59000 Lille, France <sup>4</sup> INSERM U1043, IFR-BMT, CHU Purpan,
10	Toulouse, France
11	<sup>5</sup> Université Paul-Sabatier, Toulouse, France
12	<sup>6</sup> Laboratory of Virology, CHU Purpan, Toulouse, France
13	<sup>a</sup> Present address: Department of Pathology, School of Medicine, University of California, San Diego,
14	La Jolla, California, USA.
15	*Corresponding author:
16	Prof. Dr. Philip Meuleman
17	Laboratory of Liver Infectious Diseases
18	Dept. of Diagnostic Sciences
19	Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences – Ghent University
20	Phone: +32 9 332 36 58
21	E-mail: philip.meuleman@ugent.be
22	Word count in text: 3445 words
23	

## 24 Abstract (Word Count= 200)

- 25 Background: Hepatitis E virus infection (HEV) is an emerging problem in developed countries.
- 26 Diagnosis of HEV infection is based on the detection of HEV-specific antibodies, viral RNA and/or
- 27 antigens (Ag). Humanized mice were previously reported as a model for the study of HEV infection,
- 28 but published data was focused on the quantification of viral RNA. However, the kinetics of HEV Ag
- 29 expression during the course of infection remains poorly understood.
- 30 Methods: Plasma and fecal suspensions from HEV infected and ribavirin-treated humanized mice
- 31 were analyzed using HEV antigen ELISA, RT-qPCR, density gradient and Western blotting.
- 32 **Result:** ORF2 Ag was detected in both plasma and stool of HEV infected mice, and increased over
- 33 time. Contrary to HEV RNA, ORF2 Ag levels were higher in mouse plasma than in stool. Interestingly,
- 34 ORF2 was detected in plasma of mice that were RNA negative in plasma but RNA positive in stool;
- 35 and after viral clearance by ribavirin. Plasma density gradient analysis revealed the presence of the
- 36 non-infectious glycosylated form of ORF2.
- 37 **Conclusion**: ORF2 Ag can be used as a marker of active HEV infection and the assessment of antiviral
- 38 therapy, especially when fecal samples are not available or molecular diagnostic tests are not

39 accessible.

40

41 Key words: HEV Ag, humanized mice, ORF2, diagnosis, ribavirin therapy

- 43

### 44 Introduction

Hepatitis E virus (HEV) causes about 20 million infection annually[1]. HEV is a positive sense single 45 stranded RNA virus that belongs to the Hepeviridae family, comprising Orthohepevirus and 46 47 Pescihepevirus genera. The Orthohepevirus A species includes at least 7 genotypes (gt), 5 of which 48 were shown to be capable of causing infection in humans[2, 3]. HEV gt1 and gt2 isolates infect 49 humans only and are dominant in developing countries, while gt3 and gt4 isolates are zoonotic and 50 are more common in industrialized countries[4]. HEV isolates of gt5 and gt6 have been isolated from 51 wild boar in Japan and seem not infectious to humans[5]. HEV gt7 was isolated from camels in the 52 Middle East[6]. To our knowledge, only one human case was diagnosed with camelid HEV 53 infection[7]. More recently, a potential 8<sup>th</sup>genotype was identified in Bactrian camels in China[8]. 54 There are four major routes of HEV transmission: fecal-oral, food borne, blood transfusion and 55 vertical transmission from infected mothers to their babies[1, 4]. Although a typical HEV infection 56 will resolve spontaneously, chronicity, defined by the presence of HEV RNA for at least 3 months, has 57 been observed in immunocompromised patients[9]. Ribavirin (RBV) is the drug of choice for 58 treatment of chronic HEV infection[10, 11]. 59 The HEV genome encodes three open reading frames (ORFs), namely ORF1, ORF2 and ORF3. ORF1 60 encodes a non-structural polyprotein that is essential for viral replication. ORF2 encodes the viral 61 capsid protein and is the most immunogenic viral protein. ORF3 encodes a small phosphoprotein 62 that is involved in virion morphogenesis and egress [1, 4] 63 The diagnosis of HEV infection is based mainly on the detection of HEV RNA (gold standard) and/or 64 detection of anti-HEV antibodies (IgM and/or IgG)[12]. Recently, a novel diagnostic assay became 65 commercially available (Bejing Wantai Biological Pharmaceutical Co., Bejing, China), which is based 66 on the detection of HEV ORF2 antigen (Ag). Previous studies showed that this HEV Ag ELISA could be 67 used as a diagnostic tool in clinical laboratories where molecular assays are lacking [13-16]. Behrendt 68 et al. showed that the sensitivity of the HEV Ag ELISA assay is less than RT-qPCR, especially during

69 acute HEV infection, and that higher HEV Ag levels were detected in chronically infected patients 70 compared to acute ones[17]. They also reported that HEV Ag was detectable for more than 100 days 71 after HEV RNA clearance in ribavirin treated patient[17]. Moreover, Marion et al., recently reported 72 that the serum level of HEV Ag at the acute phase of HEV infection in immunocompromised patients 73 could predict the possibility of HEV chronicity in these patient [18]. Using an HEV cell culture system, 74 Montpellier et al., recently showed that HEV produces 3 different forms of ORF2: 75 infectious/intracellular ORF2 (ORF2i), glycosylated ORF2 (ORF2g) and cleaved ORF2 (ORF2c). The 76 ORF2i protein (80KDa) is the structural component of infectious viral particles and is not 77 glycosylated. In contrast, ORF2g (90KDa) and ORF2c (75KDa) proteins are glycosylated forms that are 78 secreted in large amounts in culture supernatant and are not associated with infectious virions. 79 ORF2g and ORF2c do not form particulate material but, importantly, are the most abundant antigens 80 detected in patient sera [19]. Recently, Yin et al. reported that the glycosylated secreted form of 81 ORF2 resembles the virus capsid, but lacks the antigenic epitope predicated to bind the cell receptor 82 [20].

Recently, our group and others have established human-liver chimeric mice as a small animal model
for the study of HEV infection and the evaluation of novel antiviral therapies[21-26]. Similar to
immunocompromised patients, these immunodeficient mice (SCID or Rag-2<sup>-/-</sup>-IL-2Ry<sup>-/-</sup>) develop
chronic HEV infection when challenged with different HEV preparations of gt1 and gt3 [21-24]. While
HEV infection was confirmed in these models by the detection and quantification of HEV RNA in
mouse stool, plasma, bile and liver tissue, the kinetics and characteristics of HEV antigen expression
during the course of infection remained unclear.

In this study, we characterized the ORF2 antigen secretion during the course of HEV infection in
humanized mice and investigated its potential use as marker for antiviral therapy.

92

93

### 94 Material and Methods

## 95 1-Production, infection and therapy of human liver chimeric mice

uPA<sup>+/+</sup>-SCID and FRG mice were transplanted with primary human hepatocytes as previously 96 97 described[21, 23, 27]. Humanized mice were inoculated intrasplenically or orally with fecal 98 suspensions or plasma containing HEV of gt1 or gt3. Plasma and fecal samples were regularly 99 collected from the inoculated mice and stored at -80°C until analysis. Ribavirin (RBV) treatment was 100 performed at 50 mg/kg as previously described [21]. Details on the pharmacokinetic analysis of HEV 101 ORF2 antigen in non-humanized mice are described in the supplemental materials and methods 102 section. All procedures were performed according to European and Belgian legislation; and were 103 approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences of Ghent 104 University.

## 105 2-Detection and quantification of HEV RNA in mouse samples

- 106 Viral RNA was extracted from 10% (w/v) mouse fecal preparations and mouse plasma using the
- 107 NucliSENS easyMAG system (Biomérieux, France). HEV RNA was detected and quantified using
- 108 primers targeting HEV ORF3 as described previously[21]. Nested PCR using primers targeting HEV
- 109 ORF2 was performed on mouse samples with low viral load as described previously[23].

## 110 **3-Detection of HEV Ag in mouse samples**

- 111 Detection of HEV Ag in mouse samples was performed using the HEV-Ag ELISA<sup>Plus</sup> assay (Bejing
- 112 Wantai Biological Pharmaceutical Co., China) according to the manufacturer's instructions, with
- slight modifications to determine the cut-off (C.O.), as described in the supplementary material and
- 114 methods section.

## 115 4-Density gradient analysis

- 116 Mouse plasma and 10% (w/v) mouse stool suspensions were prepared from HEV-infected and non-
- 117 infected mice, and were ultra-centrifuged as previously described[21]. More details are available in
- the supplementary material and methods section
- 119

## 120 **5- Statistic analyses**

- 121 The geometric mean of the viral load and HEV Ag level was determined in mouse samples at the
- start of RBV therapy and EOT. Statistical significance was calculated by GraphPad Prism version 6.1
- using a paired two-tailed Student's t-test.

## 124 Results

## 125 1- Kinetic analysis of HEV Ag secretion in HEV-infected human-liver chimeric mice

126 Plasma and 10% (w/v) fecal suspensions from HEV infected humanized mice were analyzed for HEV 127 RNA and ORF2 Ag. The HEV RNA data was extensively described in our previous publications [21, 23], 128 but we here correlate the viral RNA levels with the amount of ORF2 antigen present in each sample. 129 In parallel to the HEV RNA load, the level of HEV Ag increased over time in both plasma and 10% w/v130 fecal preparations of HEV gt1 (Figure 1) and gt3 (Figure 2) infected mice. Similar to the HEV RNA load, the observed HEV Ag levels were considerably higher in HEV gt1-infected mice compared to 131 those in gt3-infected mice (Figure 1 and 2). 132 133 When comparing the ratio of HEV RNA to HEV ORF2 Ag, we observed an overall inverse relation between the plasma and the feces. Plasma contained relatively higher levels of ORF2 Ag than HEV 134 135 RNA, while at the corresponding time points the relative level of viral RNA in fecal suspensions was 136 higher than the amount of ORF2 Ag (Figure 1). This indicates that the large amounts of ORF2 Ag 137 present in mouse plasma likely correspond to non-infectious ORF2 Ag, as previously shown for 138 human sera [19].

139 HEV ORF2 Ag levels were very low (near or below cut-off) in mouse stool samples, especially during 140 the early phase of infection where the viral load was already relatively high in most animals (RNA level up to 10<sup>5</sup>-10<sup>6</sup> IU/mI)(Figure 1 and 2). In one mouse, the viral RNA was detectable in stool by RT-141 142 qPCR (Figure 2B) starting from week 2 until week 16 post inoculation. However, HEV ORF2 levels 143 remained below cut-off in all tested fecal samples. On the other hand, HEV ORF2 Ag was detected in 144 certain mouse plasma samples in which HEV RNA was under limit of detection (Figure 2A and C), confirming our hypothesis that the non-infectious forms of ORF2 were abundantly present in mouse 145 146 plasma.

As can be seen in Figure 1 and 2, ORF2 levels increased over time, especially in HEV gt1-infected mice, but this increase was not always concomitant with an increase in HEV RNA load. In one mouse (Figure 1C), viremia was nearly stable during the course of infection, but the ORF2 Ag level increased sharply over the same 5-week period. This again suggests that the non infectious forms of ORF2 accumulate in the plasma of infected mice.

#### 152 **2- ORF2 status in humanized mice with low HEV replication and in mice after oral HEV challenge.**

Next, we examined the HEV ORF2 Ag status in samples obtained from mice with low HEV replication.
In these mice, the viral load was under limit of quantification (LOQ) in the plasma during the course
of HEV infection, while HEV RNA was continuously detectable in feces but at a relatively low level
(2x10<sup>3</sup> to 5x10<sup>4</sup> IU/ml). As shown in Figure 3, HEV ORF2 was not detected in any of the tested
plasma and stool samples.

Similarly, we evaluated whether HEV ORF2 could be detected in humanized mice that were orally inoculated with multiple HEV preparations. We have previously shown that oral inoculation does not lead to HEV infection and that HEV RNA remains under LOD in the plasma and stool[21, 23]. Here, we detected ORF2 only the first week after inoculation, and only in stool suspensions (Supplemental Figure 1). HEV ORF2 was never detected in any of the subsequent samples.

## 163 **3- Characterization of HEV ORF2 present in mouse samples**

164 Iodixanol density gradient centrifugation was performed on mouse plasma and 10% (w/v) mouse 165 stool suspensions collected at different time points after viral inoculation to evaluate which of the 166 different ORF2 forms were present. RT-qPCR, HEV ORF2 Ag ELISA and WB analysis were performed 167 on each fraction. We first focused on the analysis of mouse plasma samples collected before and 1, 5 168 and 10 weeks after infection. As shown in Figure 4, the peak of HEV RNA was identified in fraction 6 169 (density 1.11 g/l), while the peak of HEV Ag was always observed in fraction 4 (density 1.09 g/l). One 170 week after viral inoculation, HEV ORF2 was detected by ELISA in fraction 4 and not in the RNA

enriched infectious fraction 6. Western blot analysis confirmed the observations made by ELISA and
revealed that the ORF2g protein (90 kDa non-infectious glycosylated form) was the only form
detectable in plasma.

At later time points (week 5 and 10 post-infection), HEV ORF2 Ag became detectable in more density gradient fractions, confirming our previous observation that the secretion of HEV ORF2 Ag is a slow and accumulative process. HEV ORF2 was detected in different mouse plasma fractions which did not contain HEV RNA. Western blot analysis revealed that the ORF2g protein remained the major ORF2 form in mouse plasma at these later time points (Figure 4). We did not detect the infectious ORF2 form (ORF2i) in any of the mouse plasma fractions at any time point.

180 Density gradient analysis of fecal samples revealed that the peak of HEV RNA and HEV ORF2 Ag was 181 found infraction 10 (1.16 g/l) and fraction 3(1.07 g/l), respectively (Supplementary Figure 2A). The relative amount of HEV ORF2 Ag was lower in stool fractions compared to plasma fractions, while 182 183 this was the opposite for the HEV RNA level. Again, HEV ORF2 Ag was not detected by ELISA in the 184 stool infectious fraction (fraction 10). In addition, we did not visualize any form of ORF2 in the stool 185 fractions using Western blotting (data not shown). Unlike the HEV RNA distribution, the distribution of HEV ORF2 Ag among the different stool fractions was similar to the distribution of ORF2 Ag among 186 187 plasma fractions (Supplementary Figure 2B and C).

## **4-Kinetics of HEV ORF2 Ag in humanized mice during the course of ribavirin therapy**

Five HEV infected humanized mice underwent a 2-week ribavirin (RBV) therapy at 50 mg/kg. HEV ORF2 Ag and HEV RNA were tested both in plasma and 10% (w/v) stool suspensions at 3 different moments: start of therapy (SOT), end of therapy (EOT) and at viral relapse. Similar to the effect on viral RNA load, RBV therapy caused a reduction in the level of ORF2 in both the stool and the plasma of the treated mice (Figure 5). Although, the reduction in viremia was more pronounced than the reduction in fecal RNA load, the reduction of ORF2 in plasma was less than the reduction in fecal ORF2Ag. At the SOT, the geometric mean of fecal RNA load and HEV Ag level in five mice was

196 5.1x10<sup>6</sup>IU/ml and 12.48 A/C.O., respectively, and were reduced at EOT to 2.05x10<sup>5</sup> IU/ml and 3.75

- 197 A/C.O., respectively (Figure 5A and B). While the geometric mean of viremia and plasma HEV Ag
- 198 level in these mice at the start of therapy were 2.95x10<sup>4</sup>IU/ml and 26.1 A/C.O., respectively, and
- their levels were reduced to the limit of quantification (LOQ=4.05x10<sup>2</sup> IU/ml) and 14.49 A/C.O.,
- 200 respectively at EOT (Figure 5A and B). The reduction in the fecal HEV Ag, but not plasma HEV Ag, was
- statistically significant (P=0.0008) (Figure 5A). Interestingly, the level of HEV Ag was increased again
- in both the plasma and stool of the treated mice after cessation of therapy (Figure 5C).

## 203 5- Assessment of *in vivo* stability of HEV ORF2

In order to evaluate how long ORF2 remains detectable in plasma and feces after secretion from the liver, we inoculated two groups of non-humanized mice with iodixanol cushion-isolated HEV ORF2 preparations containing either the three forms of ORF2 (ORF2i, ORF2g and ORF2c), or only the noninfectious form of ORF2 (ORF2g and ORF2c). The amount of HEV RNA and ORF2 protein present in plasma and feces were quantified daily. HEV ORF2 Ag was detectable in mouse stool and plasma samples from both groups, but the level decreased gradually until ORF2disappeared completely within 1 week (Figure 6). HEV RNA was under LOQ in all tested samples.

211

#### 213 Discussion

214 HEV virus infection is mainly diagnosed by detection of HEV-specific IgM antibodies and/or detection 215 of HEV RNA. A diagnostic ELISA assay based on the detection of HEV ORF2 Ag in patient plasma 216 samples became recently commercially available. The sensitivity and specificity of this assay was 217 reported previously[13-15, 17], and it is recommended in clinical settings where molecular diagnosis 218 is not available. HEV Ag can be used as a diagnostic marker in the window period and in chronic HEV 219 infection, especially in immunocompromised patients in whom seroconversion may be delayed or 220 absent[15, 17, 28, 29]. The kinetics of HEV Ag detection during the course of HEV infection is not 221 known. Here, we used human liver chimeric mice (uPA-SCID and FRG background) to study the 222 kinetics of HEV Ag expression during the course of HEV infection and therapy. Since the adaptive 223 immune system is lacking in these mice, chronic HEV infection is developed when these mice are 224 challenged with HEV preparations.

225 HEV Ag was detected in both mouse plasma and stool preparations of HEV infected humanized mice. 226 In addition, the Ag level increased with time suggesting that HEV Ag is a relevant marker of active 227 HEV replication. The level of HEV Ag was higher in mouse samples at later time points of infection, 228 indicating that the production of HEV Ag is cumulative. In a similar manner, Behrendt et al. reported 229 that the HEV Ag level can differentiate between acute and chronic HEV infection; i.e. higher HEV Ag levels were detected in chronic HEV infected patients [17]. HEV Ag levels were higher in HEV gt1 230 231 infected mice than in HEV gt3 infected mice, confirming our previous data showing that HEV gt3 is 232 less virulent than HEV gt1[21]. In contrast to the HEV RNA load, HEV Ag was relatively higher in 233 mouse plasma than in fecal suspensions. In addition, HEV Ag was detected in a few plasma samples 234 that scored negative for HEV RNA, suggesting that detected Ag likely corresponds to non-infectious 235 ORF2 proteins. Our results are in agreement with previous studies that proposed that non-infectious 236 ORF2 proteins are the major antigens in cell culture supernatant and patient sera[19].

HEV Ag was not be detected in HEV infected mice which were non-viremic and in which the fecal
viral load was relatively low. This is probably due the limited amount of Ag secreted into the plasma
that was too low to be detectable by ELISA. Our results suggest that in case low-level HEV replication
is expected, qPCR analysis on stool samples is the best option for diagnosis, especially in
immunocompromised patients where seroconversion is delayed. Similarly, several groups reported
that HEV-Ag ELISA assay is less sensitive than PCR especially when the viral load is low [12, 14, 15,
17].

244 Analysis of mouse gradient fractions showed that the peak of HEV Ag is different from the peak of 245 HEV RNA. The peak of HEV RNA in mouse stool sample was 1.16 g/l and the peak of HEV RNA in 246 mouse plasma was 1.11 g/l. This difference may be attributed to the presence of lipids around or 247 associated with the virions that circulate in the plasma [21]. On the other hand, the distribution of 248 HEV Ag in plasma was similar to that in stool. The ORF2g protein was the major ORF2 form detected 249 in mouse plasma. Our results agree with *Montpellier et al.*, who showed that the peak of HEV Ag in 250 patient plasma samples was at 1.08 g/l, and non-infectious ORF2 proteins (ORF2c/ORF2g) were the 251 major Ag present[19]. HEV Ag could be detected in some mouse plasma fractions that were devoid 252 of viral nucleic acid. Similarly, Behrendt et al. detected HEV Ag in all gradient fractions of HEV patient 253 sera suggesting the presence of distinct fragments of the viral capsid protein with different 254 densities[17]. The presence of high levels of non-infectious ORF2 in mouse plasma might also explain 255 the low infectivity of plasma preparation compared to stool preparation [21, 23]. The amount of HEV Ag present in mouse stool fraction was relatively low, which impeded its characterization by 256 Western blotting. 257

Next, we tested the effect of RBV therapy on the HEV Ag level in mouse samples. We found that RBV
causes a reduction in both the plasma and fecal HEV Ag level, and the reduction was statistically
significant in mouse stool samples but not in plasma. In all treated mice, the reduction of HEV Ag
was concomitant with the reduction of HEV RNA in mouse stool, while most mice became non-

viremic at EOT, HEV Ag remained detectable in mouse plasma. This indicates that viral Ag remains
present in mouse plasma even after clearance of infection. Our results agree with *Behrendt et al.*,
who reported that HEV Ag could be detected in patient plasma for more than 100 days after HEV
clearance. Similarly, prolonged fecal shedding has been shown in patients on RBV therapy, despite
undetectable viremia [21, 30, 31].

267 The apparent effect of RBV therapy on HEV infection depends on the viral marker of interest and the 268 compartment analyzed. Our data indicates that in plasma RBV especially has an effect on the 269 secretion of infectious viral particles (HEV RNA) and less on secreted ORF2 that is not associated with 270 RNA. The differential effect on plasma versus fecal RNA suggests that when RBV interferes with viral 271 replication, the available viral RNA is preferentially packaged into particles that are secreted into the 272 bile-canalicular pathway rather than those secreted into plasma. Our results are in agreement with 273 recent data published by Capelli et al., who reported that infectious HEV particles are mainly 274 released to the bile, while only small fractions are released to the blood [32]. Importantly, HEV Ag 275 levels increased after therapy cessation indicating that HEV Ag can be also used as a surrogate 276 marker for HEV relapse.

277 Finally, we assessed the in vivo stability of HEV ORF2 in absence of HEV replication, i.e. after 278 injection in non-humanized mice. HEV ORF2 gradually disappeared within 1 week from both feces 279 and plasma, while viral RNA immediately became undetectable. This is faster than what we observed 280 in our RBV treatment study, indicating that during treatment there was remaining low-level viral 281 replication and protein secretion into the plasma. Hence also explaining the presence of HEV RNA 282 and Ag in the mouse stool and relapse after therapy cessation. Although the study by Behrendt et al. 283 did not mention any stool data, the persistence of HEV Ag in the patient plasma after RBV therapy 284 must have been due to same reason. Further studies are needed to ascertain this point.

In conclusion, our results show the kinetics of HEV Ag during the course of HEV infection, therapy
and relapse. The differential impact of RBV therapy on viral RNA and antigen depending on the

- 287 samples type (feces vs. plasma) is important for the interpretation of HEV diagnosis and evaluation
- of anti-HEV therapy, especially for laboratories where molecular diagnosis is not available and HEV
- 289 Ag is the only diagnostic marker available.

290

#### 292 References

- 293 1. Sayed IM, Vercouter AS, Abdelwahab SF, Vercauteren K, Meuleman P. Is hepatitis E virus an
- emerging problem in industrialized countries? Hepatology (Baltimore, Md) **2015**; 62:1883-92.
- 295 2. Smith DB, Simmonds P, Jameel S, et al. Consensus proposals for classification of the family
- Hepeviridae. The Journal of general virology **2015**; 96:1191-2.
- 297 3. Smith DB, Simmonds P, Izopet J, et al. Proposed reference sequences for hepatitis E virus
- subtypes. The Journal of general virology **2016**; 97:537-42.
- 4. Sayed IM, Vercauteren K, Abdelwahab SF, Meuleman P. The emergence of hepatitis E virus in
- 300 Europe. Future Virology **2015**; 10:763-78.
- 301 5. Takahashi M, Nishizawa T, Sato H, et al. Analysis of the full-length genome of a hepatitis E virus
- isolate obtained from a wild boar in Japan that is classifiable into a novel genotype. The Journal of
- 303 general virology **2011**; 92:902-8.
- 304 6. Woo PC, Lau SK, Teng JL, et al. New hepatitis E virus genotype in camels, the Middle East.
- 305 Emerging infectious diseases **2014**; 20:1044-8.
- 306 7. Lee GH, Tan BH, Teo EC, et al. Chronic Infection With Camelid Hepatitis E Virus in a Liver
- 307 Transplant Recipient Who Regularly Consumes Camel Meat and Milk. Gastroenterology 2016;
- 308 150:355-7.e3.
- 309 8. Woo PC, Lau SK, Teng JL, et al. New Hepatitis E Virus Genotype in Bactrian Camels, Xinjiang, China,
- 310 2013. Emerging infectious diseases **2016**; 22:2219-21.
- 9. Kamar N, Selves J, Mansuy JM, et al. Hepatitis E virus and chronic hepatitis in organ-transplant
- recipients. The New England journal of medicine **2008**; 358:811-7.
- 313 10. Wedemeyer H, Pischke S, Manns MP. Pathogenesis and treatment of hepatitis e virus infection.
- 314 Gastroenterology **2012**; 142:1388-97.e1.
- 315 11. Kamar N, Izopet J, Tripon S, et al. Ribavirin for chronic hepatitis E virus infection in transplant
- recipients. The New England journal of medicine **2014**; 370:1111-20.

- 12. Vollmer T, Knabbe C, Dreier J. Comparison of real-time PCR and antigen assays for detection of
- 318 hepatitis E virus in blood donors. Journal of clinical microbiology **2014**; 52:2150-6.
- 319 13. Gupta E, Pandey P, Pandey S, Sharma MK, Sarin SK. Role of hepatitis E virus antigen in confirming
- 320 active viral replication in patients with acute viral hepatitis E infection. Journal of clinical virology :
- the official publication of the Pan American Society for Clinical Virology **2013**; 58:374-7.
- 322 14. Tremeaux P, Lhomme S, Chapuy-Regaud S, et al. Performance of an antigen assay for diagnosing
- acute hepatitis E virus genotype 3 infection. Journal of clinical virology : the official publication of the
- 324 Pan American Society for Clinical Virology **2016**; 79:1-5.
- 325 15. Zhao C, Geng Y, Harrison TJ, Huang W, Song A, Wang Y. Evaluation of an antigen-capture EIA for
- the diagnosis of hepatitis E virus infection. Journal of viral hepatitis **2015**; 22:957-63.
- 327 16. Sayed IM, Vercouter AS, Meuleman P. Hepatitis E virus in acute liver failure: An unusual suspect?
- 328 Hepatology (Baltimore, Md) **2016**; 64:1837-9.
- 329 17. Behrendt P, Bremer B, Todt D, et al. Hepatitis E Virus (HEV) ORF2 Antigen Levels Differentiate
- Between Acute and Chronic HEV Infection. The Journal of infectious diseases **2016**; 214:361-8.
- 18. Marion O, Capelli N, Lhomme S, et al. Hepatitis E virus genotype 3 and capsid protein in the
- blood and urine of immunocompromised patients. The Journal of infection **2019**.
- 19. Montpellier C, Wychowski C, Sayed IM, et al. Hepatitis E Virus Lifecycle and Identification of 3
- Forms of the ORF2 Capsid Protein. Gastroenterology **2018**; 154:211-23.e8.
- 20. Yin X, Ying D, Lhomme S, et al. Origin, antigenicity, and function of a secreted form of ORF2 in
- 336 hepatitis E virus infection. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of
- 337 America **2018**; 115:4773-8.
- 338 21. Sayed IM, Verhoye L, Cocquerel L, et al. Study of hepatitis E virus infection of genotype 1 and 3 in
  339 mice with humanised liver. Gut **2017**; 66:920-9.
- 340 22. Allweiss L, Gass S, Giersch K, et al. Human liver chimeric mice as a new model of chronic hepatitis
- E virus infection and preclinical drug evaluation. Journal of hepatology **2016**; 64:1033-40.

- 342 23. Sayed IM, Foquet L, Verhoye L, et al. Transmission of hepatitis E virus infection to human-liver
- 343 chimeric FRG mice using patient plasma. Antiviral research **2017**; 141:150-4.

24. van de Garde MD, Pas SD, van der Net G, et al. Hepatitis E Virus (HEV) Genotype 3 Infection of

- 345 Human Liver Chimeric Mice as a Model for Chronic HEV Infection. Journal of virology **2016**; 90:4394-
- 346 401.
- 347 25. Sayed IM, Meuleman P. Murine Tissues of Human Liver Chimeric Mice Are Not Susceptible to
- 348 Hepatitis E Virus Genotypes 1 and 3. The Journal of infectious diseases **2017**; 216:919-20.
- 349 26. Todt D, Moeller N, Praditya D, et al. The natural compound silvestrol inhibits hepatitis E virus
- 350 (HEV) replication in vitro and in vivo. Antiviral research **2018**; 157:151-8.
- 27. Meuleman P, Libbrecht L, De Vos R, et al. Morphological and biochemical characterization of a
- human liver in a uPA-SCID mouse chimera. Hepatology (Baltimore, Md) 2005; 41:847-56.
- 28. Zhang F, Li X, Li Z, et al. Detection of HEV antigen as a novel marker for the diagnosis of hepatitis
- E. Journal of medical virology **2006**; 78:1441-8.
- 29. Majumdar M, Singh MP, Pujhari SK, Bhatia D, Chawla Y, Ratho RK. Hepatitis E virus antigen
- detection as an early diagnostic marker: report from India. Journal of medical virology **2013**; 85:823-
- 357 7.
- 358 30. Abravanel F, Lhomme S, Rostaing L, Kamar N, Izopet J. Protracted fecal shedding of HEV during
- 359 ribavirin therapy predicts treatment relapse. Clinical infectious diseases : an official publication of
- the Infectious Diseases Society of America **2015**; 60:96-9.
- 361 31. Ambrosioni J, Mamin A, Hadengue A, et al. Long-term hepatitis E viral load kinetics in an
- 362 immunocompromised patient treated with ribavirin. Clinical microbiology and infection : the official
- publication of the European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases **2014**; 20:0718-

364 20.

365 32. Capelli N, Marion O, Dubois M, et al. Vectorial Release of Hepatitis E Virus in Polarized Human
366 Hepatocytes. Journal of virology **2018**.

367

371	Author contributions: IMS, CM, LC and PM designed the experiments. IMS, LV and CM performed
372	experiments. IMS, LC, , CM and PM analyzed data. FA and JI provided essential reagents. IMS and PM
373	wrote the manuscript. PM conceived and supervised the study.
374	
375	Funding This study was funded by the Ghent University and the Lille 2 University (IRO project
376	MODEL-HEPE), The Research Foundation—Flanders (FWO-Vlaanderen; projects G0D2715N,
377	G047417N and EOS project VirEOS30981113), the Agency for Innovation by Science and Technology
378	(IWT SBO project HLIM-3D), and the 'Agence Nationale de Recherches sur le Sida et les hépatites
379	virales' (ANRS). IMS is a recipient of a PhD fellowship provided by the Egyptian Government and
380	Ghent University.
381	
382	Competing interests None.
383	
384	
385	
386	
387	
388	
389	
390	









Figure 2: Evolution of HEV ORF2 and viral RNA in genotype 3 (gt3)HEV-infected humanized mice.

425 Humanized mice (n=4) were inoculated with an HEV patient isolate of gt3. HEV RNA (solid line;

426 IU/ml, left Y-axis) and HEV ORF2 (dotted line; A<sub>450/630</sub>/C.O., right axis) were measured at different

427 time points after inoculation in both mouse plasma (red) and feces (black). LOQ: limit of

428 quantification. C.O.: cut-off. Each panel (A, B, C and D) represents data from individual mice.

- 430
- 431
- 432



were inoculated with HEV of gt3 (panel A, n=3) or HEV of gt1 (panel B, n=2). Viral RNA (solid line;
IU/ml, left Y-axis) and ORF2 protein (dotted line; A<sub>450/630</sub>/C.O., right axis) were measured at different
time points after inoculation. Black lines represent data obtained from mouse stool, while red lines

452 represent data obtained from mouse plasma analyses. LOQ: limit of quantification. C.O.: cut-off.

453





Figure 4: Mouse plasma density gradient analysis during the course of HEV infection.

Plasma collected before HEV infection (week 0) and at different time points post infection (week 1, 5

and 10) was processed by iodixanol density gradient ultracentrifugation and the gradient fractions 

- were analyzed by Western blotting, ORF2-specific ELISA and RT-qPCR. HEV RNA levels (left Y-axis) are
- represented by black lines, while HEV ORF2 Ag levels are shown in green.



- 469 Figure 5: Kinetics of HEV Ag during ribavirin therapy in humanized mice.
- 470 Humanized mice (n=5) were treated orally with RBV for 2 weeks. HEV Ag (A) and HEV RNA (B) were
- 471 measured at start (SOT) and end of therapy (EOT). HEV Ag was monitored in two humanized after
- 472 RBV therapy cessation (C). Fecal and plasma data are shown in black and red respectively. Horizontal
- 473 lines represent the geometric mean. LOQ: is limit of quantification.

474



480

481 **Figure 6: Evaluation of HEV ORF2 stability in non-humanized mice.** Non-transplanted mice (n=4)

482 were inoculated with a preparation containing the 3 known forms of ORF2(panel A; n=2) or a

483 preparation only containing the non-infectious form of ORF2 (panel B; n=2). HEV RNA (solid line) and

484 HEV ORF2 (dotted line) were analyzed daily in mouse plasma (red) and stool (black).