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Abstract—Self-vehicle localization is one of the fundamental tasks for autonomous driving. Most of current techniques for global positioning are based on the use of GNSS (Global Navigation Satellite Systems). However, these solutions do not provide a localization accuracy that is better than 2-3 m in open sky environments [1]. Alternatively, the use of maps has been widely investigated for localization since maps can be pre-built very accurately. State of the art approaches often use dense maps or feature maps for localization. In this paper, we propose a road sign perception system for vehicle localization within a third party map. This is challenging since third party maps are usually provided with sparse geometric features which make the localization task more difficult in comparison to dense maps. The proposed approach extends the work in [2] where a localization system based on lane markings has been developed. Experiments have been conducted on a Highway-like test track using GNSS/INS with RTK corrections as ground truth (GT). Error evaluations are given as cross-track and along-track errors defined in the curvilinear coordinates [3] related to the map.

I. INTRODUCTION

Vehicle localization is a crucial task for autonomous driving and advanced driver assistance systems (ADAS). This task is usually achieved using Global Navigation Satellite Systems (GNSS), but GNSS does not provide better than 2-3 m in open sky environments [1]. Fusing low cost solutions based on GNSS with inertial measurement units improves the accuracy but is still not sufficient for autonomous driving level 4.

The use of prior maps becomes an interesting source for localization since they can be pre-built very accurately. The localization task in a prior map or Map-based localization is the process of associating sensor data with information available in the map. In state of the art approaches, feature maps [4], [5] and dense maps [6] are utilized. Localization using dense maps is usually more accurate but suffers from high computational time. The use of feature maps decreases the computational time and makes the localization task more suitable for real-time application. In addition, since third party maps are often provided with sparse geometric features (lane markings, road signs, poles), raw sensor data cannot be directly associated with the map and a perception system is therefore required. LIDAR sensors have been widely used for environment perception thanks to their accurate range measurements, performance in low light conditions and large field of view. However, processing LIDAR points cloud is time consuming a reason why 3D points are usually projected into grid representations to speed up the process.

This paper is an extension of [2] where a LIDAR-based lane markings detection for vehicle localization was proposed. In addition to lane markings, the proposed approach detects road signs using a multi-layer LIDAR and evaluates their impact on the localization accuracy. As shown in [2], lane markings are stable and robust features to obtain good localization accuracy in the lateral direction (cross-track), nevertheless the longitudinal error (along-track) is not affected. In this approach, we investigate the impact of road signs detection on the along-track error. Experiments were conducted on a highway-like test track at different ego vehicle speeds. The laser range finder used in our experiments is a Velodyne VLP-32C. Moreover, a highly accurate GPS with RTK correction signals (ixblue: ATLANS-C) was used as ground truth for evaluation purposes. The third party map 1 is built with centimetric precision (≈ 5 cm) and contains high level geometric features such as lane markings, road signs, road intersections, etc.

The remainder of this paper is structured as follows: section II is a brief survey on map-based localization methods, section III describes the proposed road signs detection approach. Section IV illustrates the developed map-matching algorithm. An experimental evaluation is presented in section V and we conclude with perspectives and future work in section VI.

II. RELATED WORK

Different map-based localization approaches have been proposed in the literature. In most cases, two sensors have been studied: vision-based and laser-based. Vision-based techniques [7], [8], [9], [10], [11] match visual features or landmarks to map attributes. Visual landmarks can be of different types: lane markings and curbs [9], traffic signs painted on the road such as arrows, pedestrian crossings and speed limits [11], traffic signs (vertical poles) [12], or feature points such as SIFT [10]. Despite promising results, vision-based techniques depend heavily on illumination conditions and suffer from scale estimation for the monocular case.

1 The name of the map provider is not mentioned for confidential reasons.
On the other hand, LIDAR-based techniques have proven to be more accurate since LIDAR range measurements are precise. In addition, LIDARs do not depend on external illumination, they can cover a large field of view and provide 3D representation of the environment. Processing the whole points cloud is time consuming and is not suitable for real time applications. Therefore, they are usually projected into 2D representations such as 2D orthographic reflectivity grid [13], precise height grid or elevation map [14], [15], or a combination with other information such as colors, curvatures and normals [16]. Finding correspondences between features and map attributes often relies on measuring similarities by defining a specific metric. In [17] a maximization of normalized mutual information (NMI) is implemented. Other methods minimize a cost function such as re-projection error [18], the distance between points [19], etc.

III. DETECTION OF ROAD SIGNS

In the literature, vision-based road sign detection methods are largely more addressed than LIDAR-based techniques. Since 3D LIDAR point cloud is dense, prior information on the location of the road sign is of key importance. For example, in [20], a vision-based system is used to detect the road limits. Indeed, since road signs are assumed to be located outside road boundaries, road points are removed from the cloud and only LIDAR points that are outside the road boundaries are used for the road sign detection process. In [21], an intensity map is created. Then, pixels with high reflectivity values are clustered to indicate prior road sign locations. Our approach is similar to [22] and is a three-step algorithm. First, a front polar grid is constructed from the whole lidar 3D points. Second, reflectivity data are used to construct a binary front image on which a morphological closing operation is used to identify ROIs (Region Of Interests). Later, ROI points are fed into a RANSAC plane estimation algorithm to estimate the centroid c and the normal n of the plane. Finally, ROI candidates are further refined by setting constraints on the number of inliers and the plane normal n. Each step will be described in the following paragraphs.

A. Front polar grid

A rotating LIDAR measures three parameters: the range r, the azimuth angle φ and the vertical beam angle θ. The front polar grid is constructed in polar coordinates with a horizontal (azimuth) field of view: ±φmax and N rows, where N is the number of LIDAR beams (see Fig.1). In addition, the number of columns of the grid is defined by setting an azimuth angular resolution φres. For each grid cell m_{i,j}, the set of 3D points:

\[ \mathcal{P}_{i,j} = \{ p_{i,j}^1, p_{i,j}^2, ..., p_{i,j}^N \} \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

that are within \( m_{i,j} \) and the average intensity value are kept.

B. Detection of Region Of Interest (ROI)

In order to locate the positions of potential road signs, a front reflectivity image is created by taking into account the maximum intensity value of each grid cell. Since road signs are very reflective, the latter is thresholded in order to obtain a 2D binary image. The selected threshold is chosen according to the specifications of the sensor provided by the lidar manufacturer. A morphological closing operation is then implemented to extract ROIs as a set of bounding boxes \( Bx_i \).

C. De-skewing of ROI 3D points

The detected region of interests on the binary image are now used in parallel with the front grid to extract 3D points corresponding to each bounding box \( Bx_i \). These 3D points are used to estimate 3D parameters of a road sign candidate. However, a typical problem of mobile spinning LIDARs is the distortion of the point cloud. Indeed, the time difference (spinning rate) between the start and the end of a complete rotation of the LIDAR is approximately 0.1s. For an ego vehicle speed of 25m/s, the spinning rate induces a translation gap of 2.5m. To de-skew this distortion, we used the timestamp \( t_k \) of each point \( p_k \). Indeed, our LIDAR is synchronized to a GNSS clock and all points are consequently timestamped. This correction is implemented in two steps. First, the minimum timestamp \( t_{min} \) is computed for each ROI set of points. Then, by using inertial data (velocity v and yaw rate w), a 3D point \( p_k = (x_k, y_k, z_k, t_k) \) is corrected as follows:

\[ x_k = x_k + dt \times v \times \cos(dt \times w) \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)
\[ y_k = y_k + dt \times v \times \sin(dt \times w) \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)
\[ dt = t_k - t_{min} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

The above equations are computed by assuming a constant velocity and yaw rate motion model.

D. Road sign parameter estimation

Now that we have a de-skewed 3D points of potential road sign candidates, we implemented a RANSAC [23] plane
fitting algorithm to further refine the detection. The result of the estimation is a centroid \( c = (c_x, c_y, c_z) \) and a normal vector \( n = (n_x, n_y, n_z) \). A candidate \((c, n)\) is retained if the following requirements are met:

- The normal \( n \) is nearly parallel to the driving direction (direction of \( x \) axis).
- The number of inliers of the RANSAC estimation over the total number of points is greater than 0.5.
- The distance of the centroid \( c \) to the vehicle is less than \( d_{\text{max}} = 30m \).

The projection of lidar 3d points (Fig 2.a) into a front view (Fig 2.b) is depicted in figure 2.b. In this case, we have one road sign to be detected. The application of the detection process gives the result of three bounding boxes (Fig 2.c), only one of which (the red bounding box) is considered as a good detection after the application of the three selection criteria. Finally, in figure 2.d, the corresponding 3D points of the red bounding box is illustrated.

The sole use of the lidar sensor to detect a valid road sign for the localization system can lead to false positives in the presence of other reflective objects: such as a vehicle’s license plate, reflective stripes on an ambulance, etc. Interestingly, the combination with the HD map can solve this problem as the HD map only contains static objects. Consequently, a detected road sign that satisfies the three selection criteria is considered to be valid if a correspondent road sign exists in the map.

### IV. Map-based Localization

#### A. Coordinate Systems & Map Description

Our prototype map is a third party map with high absolute accuracy. The used map attributes in this paper are road markings and road signs. Road markings are expressed as polylines and road signs are expressed as 2D polygons (triangles) for which we have the centroid position. Map elements are expressed with respect to the global reference frame or the map reference frame:

\[
\mathcal{G} = (x_0, y_0, z_0)
\]

However, the perception data are expressed with respect to the lidar reference frame for which we know the geometric transformation to the ego vehicle reference frame:

\[
\mathcal{V}_l = (x_{\text{ego}}, y_{\text{ego}}, z_{\text{ego}}).
\]

where the origin is the middle of the rear axle, the x-axis points forward and the y-axis points laterally from right to left (Fig 1).

#### B. Particle Filtering

The localization framework is a map-matching algorithm using particle filtering (PF) [24]. The posterior density distribution of the estimated position is approximated by a set of weighted samples or particles. Let the set of samples be:

\[
\mathcal{X}_k := \{x_k^{[1]}, w_k^{[1]}\}, \ldots, \{x_k^{[N]}, w_k^{[N]}\}
\]

The state space vector

\[
x_k = [x, y, \gamma]
\]

consists of a 2D position \((x, y)\) and a heading \(\gamma\). Suppose also that the reference frame attached to a position is \(\mathcal{V}_i\). The geometric transformation from \(\mathcal{V}_i\) to the global frame is given by

\[
T_{\mathcal{V}_i}^G = [R, \mathbf{t}].
\]

The implementation of a particle filter is a three-step algorithm:

#### C. The prediction step

The adopted motion model for the prediction step is a Constant Velocity and Yaw Rate (CVYR) model [25]. Vehicle velocity \(v\) and yaw rate \(\nu\) are measured from an inertial measurement unit and are used in the following equations:

\[
\gamma_k^{[i]} = \gamma_{k-1}^{[i]} + v_k \times dt + \nu_w
\]

\[
x_k^{[i]} = x_{k-1}^{[i]} + v_k \times dt \times \cos(\gamma_k^{[i]}) + \nu_x
\]

\[
y_k^{[i]} = y_{k-1}^{[i]} + v_k \times dt \times \sin(\gamma_k^{[i]}) + \nu_y
\]

where \( \nu_w = N(0, \sigma_w), \nu_x = N(0, \sigma_x), \nu_y = N(0, \sigma_y) \) are Gaussian noise distributions.

#### D. The update step

In this step, particle weights are updated according to the perception data and map attributes. In our approach, we computed three different sub-weights related to each perception data: lane markings: \(w_{\text{lanes}}\), road signs: \(w_{\text{signs}}\) and GNSS signals: \(w_{\text{gnss}}\).
1) Update from GNSS signals: our method is similar to [9] where the euclidean distance between each particle and the GNSS position is compared to a confidence value proper to the sensor. If the distance is inside the confidence interval, the particle is kept, otherwise, a zero weight will be attributed. Of course, such a method acts on both lateral and longitudinal directions. Differently, in our approach the GNSS signals are used to bound only the longitudinal error and longitudinal directions. Differently, in our approach the interval, the particle is kept, otherwise, a zero weight will be given by:

\[
w_{\text{GNSS}}^{[i]} = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{if } D_{\text{tracker}}^{[i]} \leq \rho_{\text{max}} \\
0, & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

where \(\rho_{\text{max}}\) is a coarse estimation of the GNSS receiver position error.

2) Update from road markings: since the detected road markings are expressed in polar coordinates [2], the same data type should be computed from the map polylines. To do that, the nearest segment of a map polyline to a particle position is searched (see Fig 4). Then, the polar coordinates of the nearest segment are computed. The likelihood function for a detected road marking \(L_d = (r_d, \theta_d)\) and a projected map polyline \(L_{\text{map}}^{\text{det}} = (r, \theta)\) is given by:

\[
f(L_{\text{map}}^{\text{det}}, L_d) = e^{-\frac{(r_v-r)^2}{2\sigma_r^2}} + e^{-\frac{(\theta_v-\theta)^2}{2\sigma_\theta^2}}
\]

The calculation of \(w_{\text{lanes}}^{[i]}\) is given by summing over all the map polylines and over all the detected road markings:

\[
w_{\text{lanes}}^{[i]} = \alpha \sum_{L_{\text{map}}^{\text{det}}} \sum_{L_d} f(L_{\text{map}}^{\text{det}}, L_d)
\]

where \(\alpha\) is a normalization factor. \(\sigma_r\) and \(\sigma_\theta\) are the lane measurement variances.

3) Update from road signs: the use of lane markings [2] has proved to be stable and relevant for the lateral localization. However, these features do not improve significantly the longitudinal error. Road curvatures can be utilized to reduce the longitudinal drift [26] but require high curvature values to be efficient. Since we are dealing with highway scenarios, road curvatures are low and are less suitable in this case. Our strategy is therefore to decouple the longitudinal and the lateral directions. For the lateral direction we solely rely on the road markings. The longitudinal error is bounded, on the one hand, by the GNSS position, on the other hand, by integrating road signs. Suppose that a detected road sign is located (see Fig 5.a). This behaviour does not improve rapidly drifting away from the area where the true position is located (see Fig 5.a). This behaviour does not improve the longitudinal error as no good particles can be selected from the updated weights. One possible way to solve this problem is to increase the number of particles by populating more regions in the map and therefore increasing the density of particles around the true position, nevertheless, this may reduce the time performance as the number of particles grows significantly. In addition, randomly generating particles is not efficient since we know that some regions of the map are unlikely to be populated by particles. We propose a more elegant way to generate particles by relying on the lateral position of the filter estimate from the previous iteration and
the geometry of the road inferred from the map, namely constrained update. The idea would be to redistribute the sample set more efficiently and to maintain the same number of particles. Thanks to the road markings, the filter estimate is often well localized in the lateral direction. A new set of particles is placed along a generator curve $C$ that is parallel to the map road markings and that has the same lateral offset as the previous filter estimate (see Fig 5.b). Thus, the new set of samples covers more space in the longitudinal direction and the weighting step improves the accuracy (see Fig 5.c).

E. Re-sampling step

From the previous calculations, the total particle weight is given by:

$$ w[n] = w_{\text{GNSS}}[n] \times w_{\text{lanes}}[n] \times w_{\text{signs}}[n] $$

(15)

The re-sampling step is designed to alleviate one of the fundamental problems of particle filtering which is the degeneracy problem. After some iterations, particles tend to concentrate on few particles and assign negligible weights to the others [27]. We implemented a systematic re-sampling strategy [28] that was applied to the normalized weights (16):

$$ w[n] = \frac{w[n]}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w[i]} $$

(16)

V. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Experiments were conducted on a double lanes Highway test track of approximately 5 km long (Fig. 6). Our prototype vehicle is equipped with a GNSS/IMU (ixblue: ATLANS-C) localization unit with RTK correction signals of 5cm absolute accuracy, a Velodyne VLP-32C laser scanner (10-20 Hz, 32 laser beams) and an automotive GPS/IMU (u-blox B78-ADR). All the sensors are synchronized to GNSS time clock and data is collected at different ego vehicle speeds to evaluate the robustness of our approach. The map matching algorithm has been developed under the ROS framework.
• The integration of road signs without the implementation of the constrained update strategy.
• The integration of road signs with the implementation of the constrained update strategy.
• The only use of GNSS data without the consideration of road signs.

Indeed the achieved accuracy is 0.31m for the constrained update versus 0.46m for the un-constrained update at low speed and 0.42m versus 0.51m at high speed.

VI. Conclusion

In this paper, we presented a LIDAR-based localization system within a High Definition (HD) map on highway scenarios. Detected features in this paper are: lane markings and road signs. Detection of road signs is obtained by constructing a front grid/image from the LIDAR and by detecting Region Of Interests in a binary image resulted from LIDAR reflectivities. RANSAC plane fitting is then applied to refine the selected ROI candidates. Localization is implemented by virtue of particle filtering which consists in matching detected features with a third party map.

The first contribution of this paper is to prove the usefulness of third party sparse maps in order to achieve high localization accuracy. This is challenging since third party maps contain geometric features that are sometimes not adapted to the type of the sensor being used and to the setup of the vehicle. Put differently, geometric maps have the advantage to be reusable with different sensors granted that a good perception system can be developed. Moreover, sparse maps make real time application more realistic and achievable. Finally, a solution to cope with longitudinal drift and its impact on particles weighting is proposed by implementing a constrained update strategy based on map road shape.

Future work will include a camera sensor to integrate a visual-based localization. The core idea is to reduce the drift between two consecutive road signs since vision-based localization systems are more accurate than inertial measurement units.
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Fig. 7. Illustration of the cross track (CT) and the along track (AT) errors at different vehicle speeds and for the three use cases: constrained update, un-constrained update and GNSS only. Occurrences of road signs update are indicated by red arrows.


