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#### Abstract

We present relative astrometric measurements of visual binaries made with the Pupil Interferometry Speckle camera and Coronagraph (PISCO) at the 1-m Zeiss telescope of Brera Astronomical Observatory, in Merate. We provide 135 new observations of 103 objects, with angular separations in the range 0.1-4.0 arcsec and with an accuracy better than $\sim 0.01$ arcsec. Our sample is made of orbital couples as well as binaries whose motion is still uncertain. Our purpose is to improve the accuracy of the orbits and constrain the masses of the components. This work already leads to the revision of the orbits of three systems (ADS 5447, 8035 and 8739).
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## 1 INTRODUCTION

Since its beginning in the early 1970s, speckle interferometry has shown its ability in providing accurate measurements of binary stars. In 1993-1998, we undertook an extensive observational programme of close visual binaries with the Pupil Interferometry Speckle camera and Coronagraph (PISCO) with the 2-m Télescope Bernard Lyot (TBL) at the Pic du Midi Observatory, which lead to a series of papers (Aristidi et al. 1997b; Aristidi et al. 1999; Scardia et al. 2000a,b; Prieur et al. 2001, 2002a,b, 2003). Unfortunately, as a result of a change of scientific policy of the time allocation committee, the use of PISCO on the TBL stopped in 1998. The following years were dedicated to the processing of the observational data and the publication of the results. We also tried and eventually found a telescope on which PISCO could be mounted. The INAF-Osservatorio Astronomico di Brera (OAB, Brera Astronomical Observatory) kindly offered us the possibility of using its 1-m Zeiss telescope for that purpose. We report here on the results of the first observations performed at this site, during the first semester of 2004.

This paper is then the first of a series that will be devoted to the speckle measurements of visual binaries with the 1-m Zeiss telescope of the OAB. In Section 2, we present our sample of the binaries that are going to be monitored in the next few years with PISCO in Merate. We describe the instrumental set-up, the observations and the reduction procedure in Section 3. The astrometric measurements are given in Section 4 with a detailed discussion of some particular cases. Finally, in Section 5 we provide new orbital

[^0]parameters of ADS 5447, 8035 and 8739, partly derived from those observations, and give some estimates of the component masses.

## 2 DESCRIPTION OF THE SAMPLE

The purpose of this work is to observe all the visual binaries (orbital or not) accessible with PISCO on the Zeiss telescope in Merate, equipped with an intensified CCD (ICCD) detector. Our sample thus consists of visual binaries with the following characteristics:
(i) declination larger than $-5^{\circ}$;
(ii) brighter than 9th magnitude in $V$;
(iii) with a magnitude difference between the components smaller than 4;
(iv) with an angular separation smaller than 4.0 arcsec.

The orbital couples whose orbits were recent and of good quality were used for calibrating the scale and orientation of our data (see Section 3.2).

## 3 OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

### 3.1 Observations

This work is based on observations made with the old, but still valid, Cassegrain Zeiss telescope (diameter, $D=102 \mathrm{~cm}$; equivalent focal length, $F=16 \mathrm{~m}$ ) of the Merate branch of the OAB. When it was installed in Merate in 1926, it was one of the biggest telescopes in Europe (Giotti 1929). Since then, it has continuously been used for observations of astrometry, spectroscopy or photometry by astronomers of the OAB.

Table 1. Filter characteristics.

| Name | Central wavelength <br> $(\mathrm{nm})$ | Bandwidth <br> $(\mathrm{nm})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| V | 530 | 57 |
| R | 644 | 70 |

Our observations were carried out with the PISCO speckle camera developed at the Midi-Pyrénées Observatory (Prieur et al. 1998). PISCO is a remotely controlled versatile instrument whose observing modes (e.g. imaging or spectroscopy) can be configured in real time. For this programme, PISCO was used in its full pupil imaging mode. The atmospheric chromatic dispersion was corrected in real time with computer controlled Risley prisms. Note that some of the observations made before March 2004, were not well corrected, as a result of a bad tuning of the control program. In this case, the autocorrelation peaks were elongated, which degraded the accuracy of the measurements.

PISCO can make use of various detectors, as described in Prieur et al. (1998). The observations presented here were performed with the ICCD (with a microchannel plate) belonging to Nice University. The characteristics of the filters are given in Table 1.

### 3.2 Data reduction and calibration

The video data produced by the ICCD are stored as frames on Super VHS (SVHS) tapes with a rate of 50 frames $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$. The exposure time of those elementary frames can take any value between $64 \mu$ s and 16 ms , but the time interval between two successive frames is fixed ( 20 ms ). Note that this detector cannot work in genuine photon counting mode and does not provide the coordinates of individual photo-events.

A specially designed program (by JLP), can control a videotape recorder and a digitizing board, thus allowing fully automatic processing of these tapes (Prieur et al. 2001). The first step of the data reduction consists of computing the autocorrelation of the elementary frames, using the method described by Worden et al. (1977). The mean cross-correlation of two frames separated by a delay larger than the coherence time is subtracted from the mean autocorrelation of the elementary frames. This procedure allows the removal of the background of the mean autocorrelation and nicely increases the contrast of the secondary peaks of binary stars. Astrometry parameters are then derived from this autocorrelation, by carefully measuring the location of the secondary peaks, following the procedure described in Prieur et al. (2001).

The orientation and the magnification of the frames were determined from the ephemerides of the objects with valid orbits, i.e. orbits whose ephemerides are in good agreement with the observations made in the last years. We only discarded the most aberrant points and the measurements obtained with difficulty (e.g. when the fringes of the power spectrum were diffuse). The selected measurements are listed in Table 2 with the mention $C$ (for calibrator) in the notes column. The corresponding residuals are displayed in Fig. 1.

Two eyepieces, of focal length equal to 10 and 20 mm , were used for controlling the last magnification stage of PISCO (this is indicated with 10 or 20 in the notes column of Table 2 ). The corresponding calibrated scale values for the angular separation $\rho$ were $0.03183 \pm 0.00012$ arcsec pixel ${ }^{-1}$ (with 32 measurements) and $0.07438 \pm 0.00021 \mathrm{~s}$ arcsec pixel ${ }^{-1}$ (with 22 measurements) for the $10-$ and $20-\mathrm{mm}$ eyepieces, respectively. The uncertainty for the
position angle $\theta$ was found to be $\pm 0.13$ (with 54 measurements). Those uncertainties were included in the error budget of $\rho$ and $\theta$, displayed in columns 7 and 9 of Table 2.

We also checked the validity of this calibration with the binaries proposed by the US Naval Observatory as standards (Hartkopf \& Mason 2004) that are included in this sample, namely ADS 1615, $6650 \mathrm{AB}, 7203,8119,8539,8575,8804,8987$ and 9167 . The corresponding scale values, as determined with those objects only, would be $0.03224 \pm 0.00039 \operatorname{arcsec}^{\text {pixel }}{ }^{-1}$ (with three measurements) and $0.07422 \pm 0.00033 \operatorname{arcsec}^{2}$ pixel $^{-1}$ (with six measurements), for the $10-$ and $20-\mathrm{mm}$ eyepieces, respectively. Those values are thus compatible with the values obtained with the larger sample of valid orbits, which is the calibration we shall use in this paper. For the future, we plan to determine the scale with a slit mask placed at the top of the telescope: the measurement of the separation of the fringes produced by the two slits of this mask will allow an absolute scale determination.

Let us remind the reader that the diffraction limit $\lambda / D$ of a telescope with a diameter $D=1 \mathrm{~m}$ is $0.11 \operatorname{arcsec}$ for $\lambda=550 \mathrm{~nm}$. A pattern whose size is the diffraction limit, is therefore sampled on 2.9 and 1.5 pixels with the 10 - and $20-\mathrm{mm}$ eyepieces, respectively.

The variations of the focal length of the Cassegrain Zeiss telescope, caused by the temperature changes between summer and winter periods, are negligible, i.e. smaller than the calibration errors. This constancy was checked both experimentally (no variation was detected between summer and winter) and theoretically. For this, we have used the ZEMAX software of the GOLEM (Gruppo ottica e lenti Merate, Optical Laboratory of Merate) and introduced all the available data. When the temperature increases from $0^{\circ}$ to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the resulting Cassegrain focal length increases by 3 mm only. This variation represents less than 0.02 per cent of the nominal focal length $(F=16222.4 \mathrm{~mm})$ and is negligible compared with our calibration errors ( 0.3 and 0.6 per cent for 10 and 20 mm , respectively).

## 4 ASTROMETRIC MEASUREMENTS

The astrometric measurements are displayed in Table 2. The designation is given in the first three columns: the Washington Double Star catalogue name (WDS, http://ad.usno.navy.mil/wds/wds.html) in column 1, the official double star designation in column 2 (sequence is discoverer number) and the ADS number in column 3 (Aitken 1932). For each observing sequence, we give the epoch of observation (column 4) in a fraction of Besselian years (2004+), the filter (column 5) whose characteristics are listed in Table 1, the angular separation $\rho$ (column 6) and its error (column 7) in arcseconds, and the position angle $\theta$ (column 8 ) and its error (column 9 ) in degrees. The errors were estimated by adding quadratically the calibration errors (see Section 3.2) to the standard deviation of a series (from 4 to 8 ) of independent measurements obtained with the same data set (see Prieur et al. 2001). This procedure generally leads to good error estimates, but can underestimate the errors on $\theta$ in the case of very diffuse fringes (see Section 4.1).

The position angle follows the standard convention: it is measured relative to the north direction and increasing in the direction of increasing right ascension (i.e. eastwards). This angle was measured on the autocorrelation function, which leaves a $180^{\circ}$ ambiguity. When the signal-to-noise ratio was good enough, the restricted triple-correlation technique described in Aristidi et al. (1997a) allowed us to remove this ambiguity and determine the quadrant where the companion was. This is noted with a Q in the notes column of Table 2.

Table 2. Measurements. See text for explanation of the 'Notes' column.

| WDS | Name | ADS | 2004+ | F | $\begin{gathered} \rho \\ (\operatorname{arcsec}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \sigma_{\rho} \\ (\operatorname{arcsec}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \theta \\ \left({ }^{\circ}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sigma_{\theta} \\ & \left({ }^{\circ}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $00318+5431$ | STT 12 | 434 | 0.051 | V | 0.334 | 0.006 | 198.4 | 1.8 | orb.,10 |
|  |  |  | 0.051 | R | 0.339 | 0.005 | 199.6 | 1.5 | orb.,10 |
| 01095+4715 | STT 515 AB | 940 | 0.079 | V | 0.511 | 0.008 | 212.0 | 0.4 | orb.,10,C |
|  |  |  | 0.079 | V | 0.510 | 0.004 | 212.6 | 0.3 | orb.,10,C |
|  |  |  | 0.082 | V | 0.507 | 0.005 | 213.9 | 0.6 | orb.,10,Q,C |
|  |  |  | 0.082 | V | 0.513 | 0.009 | 213.2 | 0.6 | orb.,10,Q,C |
| $01559+0151$ | STF 186 | 1538 | 0.101 | V | 0.944 | 0.007 | 63.7 | 0.4 | orb.,10,C |
|  |  |  | 0.112 | V | 0.955 | 0.007 | 62.8 | 0.4 | orb.,10,C |
| $02020+0246$ | STF 202 AB | 1615 | 0.101 | V | 1.815 | 0.004 | 270.6 | 0.4 | orb.,20,C |
|  |  |  | 0.112 | V | 1.825 | 0.013 | 270.4 | 0.3 | orb.,20,C |
| $02140+4729$ | STF 228 | 1709 | 0.082 | V | 0.938 | 0.004 | 105.8 | 0.3 | orb.,10,Q,C |
|  |  |  | 0.093 | V | 0.943 | 0.005 | 105.8 | 0.3 | orb.,10,Q,C |
| $02537+3820$ | BU 524 AB | 2200 | 0.095 | V | - | - | - | , | NF,10 |
|  |  |  | 0.101 | V | 0.219 | 0.029 | 343.2 | 3.6 | orb.,10,C |
| $02589+2137$ | BU 525 | 2253 | 0.095 | V | - | - | - | - | orb.,10 |
| $03054+2515$ | STF 346 AB | 2336 | 0.093 | V | 0.383 | 0.009 | 252.0 | 1.5 | orb.,10 |
|  |  |  | 0.098 | V | 0.391 | 0.005 | 251.9 | 1.2 | orb.,10 |
|  |  |  | 0.101 | V | 0.390 | 0.006 | 251.3 | 0.6 | orb.,10 |
| $03175+6540$ | STT 52 AB | 2436 | 0.082 | V | 0.487 | 0.004 | 61.1 | 0.3 | orb.,10 |
|  |  |  | 0.093 | V | 0.499 | 0.010 | 61.2 | 0.6 | orb.,10 |
| $03344+2428$ | STF 412 AB | 2616 | 0.027 | R | 0.702 | 0.004 | 356.1 | 0.3 | orb.,10, C |
|  |  |  | 0.027 | V | 0.702 | 0.004 | 355.7 | 0.5 | orb.,10, C |
|  |  |  | 0.093 | V | 0.709 | 0.003 | 355.9 | 0.6 | orb.,10, C |
|  |  |  | 0.095 | V | 0.708 | 0.006 | 355.5 | 0.6 | orb.,10, C |
|  |  |  | 0.101 | V | 0.714 | 0.004 | 356.1 | 0.3 | orb.,10, C |
|  |  |  | 0.112 | V | 0.709 | 0.006 | 355.9 | 0.6 | orb.,10, C |
| $04239+0928$ | HU 304 | 3182 | 0.082 | V | 0.208 | 0.014 | 8.1 | 0.9 | orb.,10,C |
|  |  |  | 0.095 | V | 0.207 | 0.011 | 8.2 | 0.4 | orb.,10,C |
| $05308+0557$ | STF 728 | 4115 | 0.213 | V | 1.187 | 0.007 | 46.0 | 0.3 | orb.,20,C |
| $05386+3030$ | BU 1240 AB | 4229 | 0.095 | V | 0.203 | 0.016 | 338.5 | 1.9 | orb.,10 |
| $05413+1632$ | BU 1007 | 4265 | 0.095 | V | 0.255 | 0.018 | 332.8 | 1.9 | orb.,10,Q,DF |
| 06024+0939 | A 2715 AB | 4617 | 0.213 | V | - | - | - | - | orb.,NF,10 |
| $06041+2316$ | KUI 23 AB |  | 0.095 | V | 0.197 | 0.013 | 292.0 | 1.5 | orb.,10,Q,DF |
|  |  |  | 0.205 | V | 0.211 | 0.011 | 287.1 | 2.3 | orb.,10,DF |
|  |  |  | 0.208 | V | 0.198 | 0.004 | 288.3 | 1.6 | orb.,10,DF |
| $06149+2230$ | BU 1008 | 4841 | 0.241 | V | 1.765 | 0.022 | 258.5 | 0.3 | orb.,20 |
| $06384+2859$ | MCA 27 |  | 0.095 | V | 0.212 | 0.012 | 295.3 | 1.6 | orb.,10,DF |
|  |  |  | 0.205 | V | - | - | - | - | orb.,10,DF |
|  |  |  | 0.208 | V | 0.233 | 0.011 | 288.6 | 1.6 | orb.,10,DF |
| $06462+5927$ | STF 948 AB | 5400 | 0.211 | V | 1.871 | 0.011 | 251.5 | 0.4 | orb.,20 |
| $06474+1812$ | STT 156 | 5447 | 0.211 | V | 0.274 | 0.005 | 198.3 | 1.0 | orb.,10 |
| $06531+5927$ | STF 963 AB | 5514 | 0.211 | V | 0.238 | 0.006 | 138.0 | 0.9 | orb.,10 |
| $06573+5825$ | STT 159 AB | 5586 | 0.211 | V | 0.569 | 0.003 | 226.8 | 0.3 | orb.,10,C |
| $07128+2713$ | STF 1037 AB | 5871 | 0.205 | V | 1.093 | 0.027 | 131.8 | 1.2 | orb.,20,C |
| $07351+3058$ | STT 175 AB | 6185 | 0.211 | V | - | - | - | - | orb.,NF,10 |
| $07521+0317$ | $\mathrm{BD}+3.1824$ |  | 0.260 | V | - | - | - | - | NF, 10 |
| $07573+0108$ | STT 185 | 6483 | 0.260 | V | - | - | - | - | orb.,NF,10 |
| $08033+2616$ | STT 186 | 6538 | 0.306 | V | 0.982 | 0.004 | 74.2 | 0.3 | 10, Q |
| $08041+3302$ | STT 187 | 6549 | 0.205 | V | 0.402 | 0.007 | 163.9 | 0.8 | orb.,10, C |
|  |  |  | 0.205 | - | 0.400 | 0.010 | 164.4 | 2.5 | orb.,10,DF,no filter |
| 08095+3213 | STF 1187 Aa-B | 6623 | 0.306 | V | 2.965 | 0.012 | 22.8 | 0.3 | orb.,20,Q,C |
| $08122+1739$ | STF 1196 AB | 6650 | 0.213 | V | 0.944 | 0.003 | 242.3 | 0.3 | orb.,10,C |
| $08198+0357$ | FIN 346 |  | 0.241 | V | 0.252 | 0.010 | 60.6 | 0.3 | 10 |
| 08213-0136 | STF 1216 | 6762 | 0.213 | V | 0.524 | 0.006 | 118.5 | 0.6 | orb.,10 |
| 08285-0231 | A 551 AB | 6828 | 0.241 | V | - | - | - | - | orb.,NF,10 |
| $08468+0625$ | SP 1 AB | 6993 | 0.208 | V | - | - | - | - | orb.,10,DF |
| $08542+3035$ | STF 1291 AB | 7071 | 0.241 | V | 1.491 | 0.007 | 311.1 | 0.3 | 20 |
| $08580+3014$ | HO 252 | 7107 | 0.241 | V | - | - | - | - | NF, 10 |
| $09006+4147$ | KUI 37 AB |  | 0.205 | V | 0.689 | 0.008 | 5.3 | 0.3 | orb.,10,C |
| $09036+4709$ | A 1585 | 7158 | 0.205 | V | 0.140 | 0.006 | 307.0 | 2.0 | orb.,10,C |
| $09104+6708$ | STF 1306 AB | 7203 | 0.304 | V | 4.006 | 0.011 | 351.8 | 0.4 | orb.,20,C |

Table 2 - continued

| WDS | Name | ADS | 2004+ | F | $\begin{gathered} \rho \\ (\operatorname{arcsec}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \sigma_{\rho} \\ (\operatorname{arcsec}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \theta \\ \left({ }^{\circ}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sigma_{\theta} \\ & \left({ }^{\circ}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 0.304 | R | 4.011 | 0.016 | 352.0 | 0.5 | orb.,20,C |
| $09127+1632$ | STF 1322 | 7236 | 0.306 | V | 1.803 | 0.010 | 52.7 | 0.6 | 20,Q |
| $09184+3522$ | STF 1333 | 7286 | 0.260 | V | 1.918 | 0.016 | 48.8 | 0.5 | 20 |
| $09188+3648$ | STF 1334 AB | 7292 | 0.306 | V | 2.613 | 0.012 | 314.9 | 0.5 | 20,Q |
| $09208+6121$ | STF 1331 AB | 7300 | 0.306 | V | 0.933 | 0.003 | 153.0 | 0.4 | 10 |
| $09210+3811$ | STF 1338 AB | 7307 | 0.208 | V | 1.045 | 0.011 | 292.2 | 0.5 | orb.,10, C |
| $09245+0621$ | STF 1348 AB | 7352 | 0.320 | V | 1.912 | 0.012 | 351.9 | 0.7 | 20 |
| $09273+0614$ | STF 1355 | 7380 | 0.320 | V | 1.865 | 0.013 | 351.9 | 0.4 | 20 |
| 09285+0903 | STF 1356 | 7390 | 0.205 | V | 0.627 | 0.013 | 93.3 | 0.3 | orb.,10, C |
| $09498+2111$ | KUI 44 |  | 0.211 | V | - | - | - | - | NF, 10 |
|  |  |  | 0.213 | V | - | - | - | - | NF,10 |
| $09521+5404$ | STT 208 | 7545 | 0.208 | V | 0.303 | 0.010 | 276.3 | 0.3 | orb.,10 |
| $10057+4103$ | A 2142 | 7631 | 0.304 | V | 1.045 | 0.025 | 296.5 | 0.8 | 20 |
| $10163+1744$ | STT 215 | 7704 | 0.211 | V | 1.425 | 0.019 | 178.1 | 0.7 | orb.,20 |
| $10205+0626$ | STF 1426 AB | 7730 | 0.304 | V | 0.932 | 0.007 | 309.2 | 1.0 | 20 |
| $10260+5237$ | STF 1428 | 7762 | 0.304 | V | 2.843 | 0.015 | 87.7 | 0.3 | 20 |
| $10279+3642$ | HU 879 | 7780 | 0.205 | V | 0.323 | 0.006 | 218.6 | 0.6 | orb.,10,C |
| $10350+0839$ | STF 1450 | 7837 | 0.306 | V | 2.111 | 0.012 | 246.9 | 0.3 | 20,Q |
| 10493-0401 | STF 1476 | 7936 | 0.383 | V | 2.414 | 0.007 | 15.9 | 0.3 | 20,Q |
| $11037+6145$ | BU 1077 | 8035 | 0.213 | V | 0.367 | 0.010 | 90.2 | 0.4 | orb.,10 |
|  |  |  | 0.397 | V | 0.371 | 0.003 | 88.6 | 0.9 | orb.,10, Q |
| $11136+5525$ | A 1353 | 8092 | 0.399 | V | 0.557 | 0.011 | 304.4 | 0.5 | orb.,10,Q,C |
| $11182+3132$ | STF 1523 AB | 8119 | 0.213 | V | 1.745 | 0.007 | 340.2 | 0.3 | orb.,20,C |
|  |  |  | 0.323 | V | 1.766 | 0.011 | 339.7 | 0.3 | orb.,20,Q,C |
| $11239+1032$ | STF 1536 AB | 8148 | 0.213 | V | 1.817 | 0.012 | 106.6 | 0.3 | orb.,20,C |
| $11308+4117$ | STT 234 | 8189 | 0.399 | V | 0.502 | 0.038 | 165.3 | 1.7 | orb.,20,C |
| $11323+6105$ | STT 235 AB | 8197 | 0.241 | V | 0.679 | 0.004 | 182.0 | 1.1 | orb.,10, C |
| $11329+5525$ | A 1593 AB | 8207 | 0.408 | V | - | - | - | - | NF,20 |
| $11363+2747$ | STF 1555 AB | 8231 | 0.205 | V | 0.721 | 0.003 | 148.0 | 0.3 | orb.,10,C |
| $12060+6842$ | STF 3123 AB | 8419 | 0.241 | V | 0.258 | 0.005 | 224.1 | 0.8 | orb.,10,DF |
| $12108+3953$ | STF 1606 | 8446 | 0.304 | V | 0.399 | 0.003 | 170.0 | 0.3 | orb.,10 |
| $12244+2535$ | STF 1639 AB | 8539 | 0.241 | V | 1.724 | 0.007 | 324.4 | 0.4 | orb.,20,C |
| $12272+2701$ | STF 1643 | 8553 | 0.399 | R | 2.651 | 0.007 | 7.1 | 0.4 | orb.,20,Q |
| $12306+0943$ | STF 1647 | 8575 | 0.304 | V | 1.346 | 0.018 | 245.2 | 0.3 | orb.,20 |
| $12349+2238$ | WRH 12 |  | 0.304 | V | 0.281 | 0.017 | 20.0 | 1.8 | orb.,10,Q,C |
| $12409+0850$ | STF 1668 | 8625 | 0.306 | V | 1.140 | 0.016 | 277.7 | 0.3 | 20,Q |
| 12417-0127 | STF 1670 AB | 8630 | 0.306 | V | 0.593 | 0.005 | 300.3 | 0.9 | orb.,10 |
|  |  |  | 0.397 | V | 0.569 | 0.003 | 296.2 | 0.3 | orb.,10 |
|  |  |  | 0.443 | V | 0.564 | 0.004 | 294.7 | 0.4 | orb.,10, Q |
| $12533+2115$ | STF 1687 AB | 8695 | 0.323 | V | 1.097 | 0.010 | 278.2 | 0.6 | orb.,20,Q |
| $12587+2728$ | STF 1699 | 8721 | 0.380 | V | 1.664 | 0.007 | 278.8 | 0.3 | 20, Q |
| $13007+5622$ | BU 1082 | 8739 | 0.397 | V | 1.227 | 0.029 | 88.8 | 0.5 | orb.,20,Q |
| 13039-0340 | BU 929 | 8759 | 0.380 | V | 0.601 | 0.003 | 286.5 | 0.8 | 10,Q |
| $13048+7302$ | BU 799 AB | 8772 | 0.383 | V | 1.330 | 0.007 | 354.9 | 0.2 | 20, Q |
| $13100+1732$ | STF 1728 AB | 8804 | 0.380 | V | 0.376 | 0.004 | 12.2 | 0.8 | orb.,10,C |
| $13120+3205$ | STT 261 | 8814 | 0.380 | V | 2.488 | 0.009 | 159.2 | 0.2 | 20,Q |
| $13207+0257$ | STF 1734 | 8864 | 0.380 | V | 1.095 | 0.007 | 266.7 | 0.6 | 20,Q |
| $13284+1543$ | STT 266 | 8914 | 0.383 | V | 2.002 | 0.007 | 176.1 | 0.3 | 20,Q |
| 13343-0019 | STF 1757 AB | 8949 | 0.397 | R | 1.954 | 0.007 | 219.1 | 0.4 | orb.,20,Q,C |
| $13375+3618$ | STF 1768 AB | 8974 | 0.380 | V | 1.754 | 0.014 | 188.3 | 0.4 | orb.,20,Q,C |
| $13377+5043$ | STF 1770 | 8979 | 0.383 | V | 1.695 | 0.010 | 211.6 | 0.2 | 20, Q |
| $13396+1045$ | BU 612 AB | 8987 | 0.378 | V | 0.261 | 0.003 | 196.5 | 1.5 | orb.,10,C |
| $13461+0507$ | STF 1781 | 9019 | 0.397 | V | 0.817 | 0.007 | 275.6 | 0.6 | orb.,20,Q |
| $13491+2659$ | STF 1785 | 9031 | 0.397 | R | 3.199 | 0.009 | 266.2 | 0.4 | orb.,20,Q,C |
| $13563+0517$ | STT 273 AB | 9060 | 0.383 | V | 1.035 | 0.019 | 111.9 | 0.3 | 20 |
| $14131+5520$ | STF 1820 | 9167 | 0.432 | R | 2.640 | 0.007 | 118.4 | 0.3 | orb.,20,C |
| $14148+1006$ | KUI 66 |  | 0.432 | R | 1.014 | 0.019 | 199.6 | 0.5 | 20, Q |
| $14153+0308$ | STF 1819 | 9182 | 0.441 | V | 0.896 | 0.007 | 190.7 | 1.0 | orb.,20,C |
| $14203+4830$ | STF 1834 | 9229 | 0.408 | V | 1.552 | 0.020 | 102.3 | 0.5 | orb.,20,C |
| $14323+2641$ | A 570 | 9301 | 0.380 | V | - | - | - | - | orb.,NF,10 |
| $14369+4813$ | A 347 | 9324 | 0.408 | V | 0.585 | 0.019 | 251.2 | 1.4 | orb.,20 |

Table 2 - continued

| WDS | Name | ADS | 2004+ | F | $\begin{gathered} \rho \\ (\operatorname{arcsec}) \end{gathered}$ | $\sigma_{\rho}$ $(\operatorname{arcsec})$ | $\begin{gathered} \theta \\ \left({ }^{\circ}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sigma_{\theta} \\ & \left({ }^{\circ}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $14380+5135$ | STF 1863 | 9329 | 0.441 | V | 0.661 | 0.007 | 62.6 | 0.6 | 20 |
| $14407+3117$ | STF 1867 | 9340 | 0.443 | V | 0.721 | 0.013 | 357.5 | 1.3 | 20 |
| $14411+1344$ | STF 1865 AB | 9343 | 0.380 | V | 0.676 | 0.007 | 118.3 | 0.4 | orb.,10,Q,C |
| $14416+5124$ | STF 1871 | 9350 | 0.441 | V | 1.848 | 0.009 | 309.8 | 0.3 | 20 |
| $14417+0932$ | STF 1866 | 9345 | 0.443 | V | 0.768 | 0.007 | 25.4 | 0.9 | 20 |
| $14450+2704$ | STF 1877 AB | 9372 | 0.399 | V | 2.818 | 0.007 | 162.9 | 0.3 | 20, Q |
| $14463+0939$ | STF 1879 AB | 9380 | 0.443 | V | 1.688 | 0.010 | 85.1 | 0.4 | orb.,20,C |
| $14484+2422$ | STF 1884 | 9389 | 0.441 | V | 2.109 | 0.007 | 55.3 | 0.3 | 20, Q |
| $14489+0557$ | STF 1883 | 9392 | 0.399 | V | 0.875 | 0.012 | 280.1 | 0.5 | orb.,20,C |
| $14497+4843$ | STF 1890 | 9406 | 0.443 | V | 2.668 | 0.007 | 45.5 | 0.3 | 20,Q |
| $14515+4456$ | STT 287 | 9418 | 0.443 | V | 0.789 | 0.023 | 355.7 | 1.7 | orb.,20 |
| $14534+1542$ | STT 288 | 9425 | 0.443 | V | 1.125 | 0.014 | 254.0 | 0.4 | orb.,20,Q,C |
| $15245+3723$ | STF 1938 BC | 9626 | 0.441 | V | 2.246 | 0.008 | 7.6 | 0.3 | orb.,20,Q,C |



Figure 1. Residuals of the measurements used for the calibration.

In the notes, orb. was added for the objects for which an orbit is known; the residuals with the corresponding ephemerides will be discussed in Section 4.1. In this column, we also give some complementary information about the fringes visible in the power spectrum: NF, for no fringes, and DF, for diffuse fringes. ${ }^{1}$ When the fringes were diffuse, the measurements were more difficult to perform, especially for the position angle, and the corresponding errors are larger.

The smallest ( $1 \sigma$ ) errors for the angular separation (column 7) were estimated at 0.003 arcsec for close pairs (i.e. $\rho<1 \mathrm{arcsec}$ ) and 0.03 per cent for wide pairs (i.e. $\rho>1 \operatorname{arcsec}$ ) on the basis of the uncertainties coming from the determination of the centre of the autocorrelation peak and those affecting the scale calibration (see

[^1]Section 3.2). Similarly, the smallest ( $1 \sigma$ ) error for the angle position (column 9) was 0.3.

### 4.1 Comparison with published ephemerides

Table 3 contains the ( $O-C$ ) residuals (observed minus computed) for the objects with a known orbit, whose origin is given in column 3. The residuals relative to the separation and position angles are given in columns 4 and 5 , respectively. The orbital elements used for computing the ephemerides were found in the Sixth Catalog of Orbits of Visual Binary Stars (Hartkopf \& Mason 2004), where the reader can also find the full bibliographic references of the orbits mentioned in column 3. For STF 1555 AB, we added the residuals from the recent orbit of Docobo \& Ling (2004), which is not included yet in the Sixth Catalog. The residuals obtained with our new orbits of STT 156, BU 1077 and BU 1082 (see Section 5) are also displayed here for an easier comparison with the older orbits. Note that we have added (in brackets) the ephemerides of the seven objects we did not resolve, and for which an orbit is known (see discussion in Section 4.2).

The residuals of our measurements are displayed in Fig. 2. They are well centred around the origin $(\Delta \rho=0, \Delta \theta=0)$. Some residuals are rather large, which can be explained by two origins: (i) the nonvalidity of old orbits and/or (ii) large errors of our measurements.

Let us examine now some cases with large residuals and try to identify the objects whose orbits need to be revised.
(i) KUI $23 \mathrm{AB}, 06041+2316$ : we have done three measurements of this object. The first is in good agreement with the orbit of Heintz (1986), whereas the two others have large residuals in $\theta$ : the fringes of the corresponding power spectra were very diffuse ( DF in the notes column of Table 2), which leads to a noisy estimation of the position angle.
(ii) STT 156, 06474+1812: the residuals are large both for $\rho$ and $\theta$ with the orbit of Baize (1992). Our measurements are in good agreement with those recently published. We propose a new orbit in Section 5.
(iii) MCA 27, 06384+2859: our two measurements show a large difference, because one was made during bad weather conditions. As in the case of KUI 23 AB , the measurement obtained from the power spectrum with diffuse fringes leads to a bad estimation of the position angle. The second measurement obtained with better conditions is fully compatible with the orbit of Hartkopf \& Mason (2000).

Table 3. $O-C$.

| WDS | Name | Orbit | $\begin{array}{r} \Delta \rho_{(O-C)} \\ (\operatorname{arcsec}) \end{array}$ | $\Delta \theta_{(O-C)}$ <br> $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $00318+5431$ | STT 12 | Heintz (1995) | -0.10 | 0.0 |
|  |  | " | -0.10 | 1.2 |
| 01095+4715 | STT 515 AB | Scardia et al. (2001) | 0.01 | -1.7 |
|  |  | " | 0.01 | -1.1 |
|  |  | " | 0.01 | 0.2 |
|  |  | " | 0.01 | -0.5 |
| $01559+0151$ | STF 186 | Mourao (1977) | 0.03 | -2.1 |
|  |  | " | 0.04 | -3.0 |
| $02020+0246$ | STF 202 AB | Scardia (1983) | 0.01 | 1.8 |
|  |  | " | 0.02 | 1.6 |
| $02140+4729$ | STF 228 | Soderhjelm (1999) | -0.01 | -0.4 |
|  |  | " | -0.01 | -0.4 |
| 02537+3820 | BU 524 AB | Docobo et al. (2001) | 0.02 | 1.7 |
| $02589+2137$ | BU 525 | Costa (1978) | (0.51 | 269.6) |
| $03054+2515$ | STF 346 AB | Heintz (1981) | -0.03 | -3.0 |
|  |  | " | -0.03 | -3.1 |
|  |  | " | -0.03 | -3.7 |
| $03175+6540$ | STT 52 AB | Heintz (1998) | 0.08 | 4.2 |
|  |  | " | 0.09 | 4.3 |
| $03344+2428$ | STF 412 AB | Scardia et al. (2002) | 0.00 | 0.7 |
|  |  | " | 0.00 | 0.3 |
|  |  | " | 0.00 | 0.5 |
|  |  | " | 0.00 | 0.5 |
|  |  | " | 0.01 | 0.7 |
|  |  | " | 0.00 | 0.5 |
| 04239+0928 | HU 304 | Hartkopf (2000) | -0.01 | -0.8 |
|  |  | " | -0.01 | -0.7 |
| $05308+0557$ | STF 728 | Seymour (1999) | -0.03 | 0.5 |
| $05386+3030$ | BU 1240 AB | Scardia (1985) | -0.02 | -0.3 |
| $05413+1632$ | BU 1007 | Docobo et al. (1999) | -0.02 | -1.6 |
| 06024+0939 | A 2715 AB | Fekel et al. (2002) | (0.04 | 39.7) |
| $06041+2316$ | KUI 23 AB | Heintz (1986) | -0.01 | 0.6 |
|  |  | " | 0.01 | -5.6 |
|  |  | " | 0.00 | -4.5 |
| 06149+2230 | BU 1008 | Baize (1980) | 0.17 | 3.4 |
| 06384+2859 | MCA 27 | Hartkopf et al. (2000) | 0.00 | 7.1 |
|  |  | " | 0.02 | 0.7 |
| $06462+5927$ | STF 948 AB | Popovic et al. (1996) | 0.17 | 3.4 |
| $06474+1812$ | STT 156 | Baize (1992) | -0.09 | -8.7 |
|  |  | This paper | 0.00 | 2.2 |
| $06531+5927$ | STF 963 AB | Docobo et al. (1984) | 0.03 | -2.8 |
| $06573+5825$ | STT 159 AB | Alzner (2000) | 0.00 | 0.4 |
| $07128+2713$ | STF 1037 AB | Soderhjelm (1999) | -0.01 | -0.2 |
| 07351+3058 | STT 175 AB | Hartkopf et al. (1989) | (0.08 | 134.6) |
| $07573+0108$ | STT 185 | Hartkopf et al. (2001) | (0.23 | 7.6) |
| $08041+3302$ | STT 187 | Mason et al. (1999) | 0.00 | 0.6 |
|  |  | " | 0.00 | 1.1 |
| 08095+3213 | STF 1187 Aa-B | Olevic et al. (2001) | 0.06 | 0.7 |
| 08122+1739 | STF 1196 AB | Soderhjelm (1999) | 0.01 | 2.1 |
| 08213-0136 | STF 1216 | Docobo et al. (1994) | 0.05 | 0.1 |
| 08285-0231 | A 551 AB | Zulevic (1995) | (0.47 | 298.4) |
| 08468+0625 | SP 1 AB | Hartkopf et al. (1996) | (0.21 | 257.1) |
| $09006+4147$ | KUI 37 AB | Hartkopf et al. (1996) | -0.01 | -0.2 |
| 09036+4709 | A 1585 | Barnaby et al. (2000) | 0.00 | 0.9 |
| 09104+6708 | STF 1306 AB | Scardia (1985) | 0.05 | -0.1 |
|  |  | " | 0.06 | 0.1 |
| 09210+3811 | STF 1338 AB | Scardia et al. (2002) | 0.03 | -2.0 |
| $09285+0903$ | STF 1356 | Van Dessel (1976) | -0.01 | 0.1 |
| 09521+5404 | STT 208 | Heintz (1996) | -0.02 | -1.8 |
| $10163+1744$ | STT 215 | Zaera (1984) | -0.08 | -2.0 |
| $10279+3642$ | HU 879 | Mason et al. (2001) | 0.00 | 1.4 |

Table 3 - continued

| WDS | Name | Orbit | $\begin{array}{r} \Delta \rho_{(O-C)} \\ \quad(\operatorname{arcsec}) \end{array}$ | $\Delta \theta_{(O-C)}$ <br> ${ }^{\circ}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $11037+6145$ | BU 1077 | Aristidi et al. (2004) | -0.11 | 25.2 |
|  |  | " | -0.12 | 25.4 |
|  |  | Soderhjelm (1999) | -0.01 | -14.6 |
|  |  | " | -0.01 | -13.1 |
|  |  | This paper | 0.00 | -1.0 |
|  |  | " | -0.01 | 0.7 |
| $11136+5525$ | A 1353 | Docobo et al. (1999) | 0.02 | 0.2 |
| $11182+3132$ | STF 1523 AB | Mason et al. (1995) | -0.03 | -0.1 |
|  |  | " | -0.01 | 0.0 |
| $11239+1032$ | STF 1536 AB | Soderhjelm (1999) | 0.00 | 0.3 |
| $11308+4117$ | STT 234 | Docobo et al. (2001) | 0.01 | 0.6 |
| $11323+6105$ | STT 235 AB | Soderhjelm (1999) | -0.01 | 1.6 |
| $11363+2747$ | STF 1555 AB | Costa et al. (1983) | -0.16 | 1.4 |
|  |  | Docobo et al. (2004) | 0.03 | -0.3 |
| $12060+6842$ | STF 3123 AB | Hartkopf et al. (1996) | 0.00 | 1.3 |
| $12108+3953$ | STF 1606 | Mason et al. (1999) | 0.01 | 3.9 |
| $12244+2535$ | STF 1639 AB | Olevic et al. (2000) | -0.02 | 0.2 |
| $12272+2701$ | STF 1643 | Hopmann (1964) | 0.37 | -3.6 |
| $12306+0943$ | STF 1647 | Hopmann (1970) | 0.08 | -3.2 |
| $12349+2238$ | WRH 12 | Seymour et al. (2002) | -0.02 | 2.2 |
| 12417-0127 | STF 1670 AB | Soderhjelm (1999) | 0.05 | 4.3 |
|  |  | " | 0.05 | 3.7 |
|  |  | " | 0.05 | 4.1 |
| $12533+2115$ | STF 1687 AB | Heintz (1997) | 0.06 | $-1.0$ |
| $13007+5622$ | BU 1082 | Scardia (1980) | -0.21 | 7.2 |
|  |  | Heintz (1981) | -0.17 | 2.6 |
|  |  | This paper. | -0.13 | 1.4 |
| $13100+1732$ | STF 1728 AB | Hartkopf et al. (1989) | -0.01 | -0.3 |
| 13343-0019 | STF 1757 AB | Heintz (1988) | 0.02 | -0.1 |
| $13375+3618$ | STF 1768 AB | Soderhjelm (1999) | 0.00 | 0.3 |
| $13396+1045$ | BU 612 AB | Mason et al. (1999) | -0.01 | 0.4 |
| $13461+0507$ | STF 1781 | Heintz (1986) | 0.00 | 5.6 |
| $13491+2659$ | STF 1785 | Heintz (1988) | -0.04 | -0.3 |
| $14131+5520$ | STF 1820 | Kiyaeva et al. (1998) | 0.05 | -0.6 |
| $14153+0308$ | STF 1819 | Houser (1987) | 0.01 | -0.3 |
| $14203+4830$ | STF 1834 | Seymour et al. (2000) | 0.04 | -1.0 |
| $14323+2641$ | A 570 | Heintz (1991) | (0.20 | 93.5) |
| $14369+4813$ | A 347 | Baize (1987) | 0.08 | -0.9 |
| $14411+1344$ | STF 1865 AB | Wierzbinski (1956) | -0.03 | 0.3 |
| $14463+0939$ | STF 1879 AB | Mason et al. (1999) | -0.02 | -0.1 |
| $14489+0557$ | STF 1883 | Seymour et al. (2000) | 0.00 | 0.0 |
| $14515+4456$ | STT 287 | Heintz (1997) | -0.05 | 0.6 |
| $14534+1542$ | STT 288 | Heintz (1998) | -0.02 | 0.0 |
| $15245+3723$ | STF 1938 BC | Soderhjelm (1999) | 0.00 | 0.4 |

(iv) BU 1077, $11037+6145$ : the residuals in $\theta$ are large with the recent orbits of Soderhjelm (1999) and Aristidi et al. (1999), for our two measurements. Despite its short period ( 44 yr ), the current part of its orbit had never been observed before (see Fig. 4). We propose a new orbit in Section 5.
(v) STF 1643, 12272+2701: the residuals are large both for $\rho$ and $\theta$. Our measurement is in agreement with those performed by other observers. It is clear that the orbit of Hopmann (1964) is not valid: the companion seems to be moving along a straight line. This system may be an optical pair only.
(vi) BU 1082, 13007+5622: the residuals are large with the orbit of Scardia (1980) both for $\rho$ and $\theta$. The orbit of Heintz (1981) is better but still gives significant residuals for the separation angle. We propose a new orbit in Section 5.

In Fig. 2, we have also given the name of some other objects whose measurements have lead to large residuals: STT $52 \mathrm{AB}, \mathrm{BU} 1008$, STF 946 AB, STT 1670 AB, STF 1781 and STT 1781. We shall perform more observations of those objects in order to be able to confirm those values and revise their orbits in the future if possible.

### 4.2 Unresolved objects

There are 11 unresolved objects in Table 2. Among this list, there exist published orbits for seven objects (ephemerides in Table 3, indicated in brackets). Little can be said about the four remaining objects without any known orbit: $\mathrm{BD}+3.1824$, HO 252 , KUI 44 and A 1593 AB , because they could have been too close to be separated by the Zeiss telescope at that time, i.e. with $\rho$ smaller than the diffraction limit of the telescope ( $0.11 \operatorname{arcsec}$ in $V$, see Section 3.2).


Figure 2. Residuals with published orbits.

In Table 3, we see that the separations computed with known orbits were too small for A $2715 \mathrm{AB}(0.04 \mathrm{arcsec})$ and STT $175 \mathrm{AB}(0.08$ $\operatorname{arcsec})$. The problem remains for the last four objects: BU 525 ( 0.51 arcsec), STT 185 ( 0.23 arcsec), A 551 AB ( 0.47 arcsec), SP 1 AB $(0.21 \mathrm{arcsec})$ and A $570(0.20 \mathrm{arcsec})$. The recent measurements of those objects in the Fourth Catalog of Interferometric Measurements of Binary Stars (Hartkopf et al. 2004), show that the ephemerides are valid. The absence of detection must be a result of unfavourable weather conditions (e.g. fast atmospheric turbulence).

## 5 NEW ORBITS

The observations of Table 2 allowed us to revise the orbits of STT 156-ADS 5447, BU 1077 AB-ADS 8035 and BU 1082-ADS 8739. Additional observations were taken from lists kindly supplied by the United States Naval Observatory of Washington.

For those three systems, preliminary orbital elements were calculated with the analytical method of Kowalsky (1873). When possible, those elements were improved with the least-squares method of Hellerich (1925).

The new orbital elements are presented in Table 4 and the ephemerides in Table 5, whereas the orbits are displayed in Figs 3, 4 and 5.

In Table 4, 'Node' and 'Omega' are the position angle of the node and that of the periastron, respectively (angles are measured from the north and increasing to the east), $i$ is the inclination of the orbit

Table 5. New ephemerides.

| Epoch | ADS 5447 |  | ADS 8035 |  | ADS 8739 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | $\rho$ <br> $(\operatorname{arcsec})$ | $\left.{ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\rho$ <br> $(\operatorname{arcsec})$ | $\theta$ <br> $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\rho$ <br> $(\operatorname{arcsec})$ | $\theta$ |
| $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Figure 3. STT $156-A D S 5447$.
relative to the plane of the sky, $e$ the eccentricity, $T$ the epoch of periastron passage, $P$ the period, $n$ the mean angular motion and $a$ is the mean semimajor axis. The four parameters A, B, F and G are the Thiele-Innes constants (useful for an easier computation of the ephemerides).
The ephemerides are presented in Table 5, with the date in Besselian years in column 1, the angular separation $\rho$ in arcseconds in columns 2, 4 and 6 and the position angle $\theta$ in degrees in columns 3, 5 and 7.

Table 4. New orbital elements.

| Name | Node <br> $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | Omega <br> $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $i$ <br> $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | e | T <br> $(\mathrm{yr})$ | P <br> $(\mathrm{yr})$ | n <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}\right)$ | a <br> $(\operatorname{arcsec})$ | A <br> $(\operatorname{arcsec})$ | B <br> $(\operatorname{arcsec})$ | F <br> $(\operatorname{arcsec})$ | G <br> $(\operatorname{arcsec})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ADS 5447 | 82.9 | 324.7 | 155.8 | 0.477 | 2022.302 | 224.631 | 1.60263 | 0.427 | -0.18026 | 0.37364 | 0.34593 | 0.20556 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ADS 8035 | 48.7 | 268.5 | 160.3 | 0.427 | 2001.939 | 44.553 | 8.08027 | 0.600 | -0.43442 | 0.36138 | 0.38519 | 0.46012 |
|  | $\pm 21.8$ | $\pm 20.5$ | $\pm 4.2$ | $\pm 0.010$ | $\pm 0.15$ | $\pm 0.13$ | $\pm 0.023$ | $\pm 0.039$ |  |  |  |  |
| ADS 8739 | 95.4 | 113.9 | 50.4 | 0.412 | 1921.828 | 106.400 | 3.38347 | 1.250 | -0.67669 | -0.57324 | 0.42924 | -1.10662 |
|  | $\pm 1.5$ | $\pm 1.1$ | $\pm 1.4$ | $\pm 0.013$ | $\pm 0.44$ | $\pm 0.85$ | $\pm 0.027$ | $\pm 0.042$ |  |  |  |  |



Figure 4. $\mathrm{BU} 1077 \mathrm{AB}-\mathrm{ADS} 8035$.


Figure 5. BU 1082-ADS 8739.

Tables 6,7 and 8 of the new $(O-C)$ residuals were restricted for reasons of space to the observations made since 1980. For each observation, the date in Besselian years is given in column 1, the observed separation $\rho$ in arcseconds in column 2, the $\Delta \rho_{(O-C)}$ residual in column 3, the observed position angle $\theta$ (reduced to 2000) in degrees in column 4 , the $\Delta \theta_{(O-C)}$ residual in column 5 , the number of nights used for obtaining this measurement in column 6 and the name of the observer in column 7, as given by the Washington Double Stars Catalog (Mason et al. 2001), where the reader can find the full bibliographic references of those measurements. In this column, WSI means Washington Speckle Interferometer.

Figs 3, 4 and 5 show the apparent orbit obtained by us (solid line) and the observational data used for the calculation of the orbital
elements (with small crosses, $x$, for the visual measurements and black filled circles for speckle measurements). Our measurements are indicated with the label PISCO 2004. The big cross ( + ) indicates the location of the primary component, whereas the straight segment contained in the orbit is the line of absids. The orientation of the orbit conforms with the convention adopted by the observers of visual binary stars.

For the calculation of the dynamical parallax, the method of Baize-Romani (Couteau 1978) was implemented. The uncertainty $\epsilon$ concerning the sum of the masses of the components was derived from the following expression (Scardia 1984):
$\epsilon_{\%}=100 * \sqrt{\left(3 \frac{\Delta a}{a}\right)^{2}+\left(3 \frac{\Delta \pi}{\pi}\right)^{2}+\left(2 \frac{\Delta P}{P}\right)^{2}}$,
where $a$ is the semimajor axis, $\Delta a$ its error, $\pi$ the measured trigonometrical parallax, $\Delta \pi$ its error, $P$ the period and $\Delta P$ its error.

### 5.1 WDS 06474+1812-STT 156-ADS 5447

The orbit computed by Baize (1992) leads now to systematic discrepancies both for the separation and for the position angle. The actual period of the system is shorter than expected by Baize. Since its discovery, the companion has moved along a small portion only of the orbit, close to its apastron. This explains why the orbit is still badly defined. Although numerous, the measurements present a large scatter, especially those concerning the separation angle.

Our new computation leads to the orbital elements given in Table 4 and the orbit displayed in Fig. 3. For this object, we could only use Kowalsky's method. The least-squares method of Hellerich did not converge, and prevented us from improving the solution and computing the errors. This is why the errors of orbital elements are not displayed in Table 4. Fortunately, this was not the case for the two other systems (ADS 8035 and 8739).

The parallax measured by the Hipparcos satellite is $0.00756 \pm$ 0.00141 arcsec , which leads to a semimajor axis of 56.5 au and a sum of the masses of the components of $3.6 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$, slightly underestimated for a double star of a spectral type A2V. The dynamical parallax is 0.0063 arcsec, which well agrees with Hipparcos measurement.

### 5.2 WDS 11037+6145-BU 1077 AB-ADS 8035

Although recent, the orbits of Soderhjelm (1999) and Aristidi et al. (1999) are in complete disagreement with our determination of the position angle (residuals of $25^{\circ}$ and $-13^{\circ}$, respectively!).

This bright double star has a short period, but is very difficult to observe as a result of the large luminosity contrast between its two components ( $\Delta m_{V}=2.93$ ). It has already done three revolutions since its discovery. Unfortunately, the angular separation measurements have a large scatter, which is well explained by the difficulties for separating this binary. For the first time, speckle techniques have permitted the observation of the companion motion close to the periastron passage (which occurred in 2001). Note that PISCO provided five measurements out of the six made during this passage.

The geometrical elements of the orbit, in particular node and omega, still have a great uncertainty (Fig. 4), but the dynamical elements are now well determined and will certainly change very little in the future. Abt (1981) attributed the spectral type G9III to this object. The Hipparcos parallax is $0.02638 \pm 0.00053$ arcsec, to which corresponds a semimajor axis of 22.7 au and a sum of

Table 6. ADS 5447: residuals with new orbit.

| Epoch | $\begin{aligned} & \rho \\ & (\operatorname{arcsec}) \end{aligned}$ | $\Delta \rho_{(O-C)}$ <br> (arcsec) | $\begin{aligned} & \theta \\ & \left({ }^{\circ}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta \theta_{(O-C)} \\ \left({ }^{\circ}\right) \end{gathered}$ | N | Author |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1980.144 | 0.56 | 0.12 | 243.3 | 0.7 | 2 | Zulevic, D.J. |
| 1980.156 | 0.441 | 0.002 | 242.7 | 0.1 | 1 | McAlister, H.A. |
| 1980.888 | 0.437 | 0.003 | 241.8 | 0.1 | 1 | McAlister, H.A. |
| 1982.933 | 0.420 | -0.002 | 239.1 | 0.0 | 1 | McAlister, H.A. |
| 1983.015 | 0.416 | -0.005 | 238.9 | -0.1 | 1 | McAlister, H.A. |
| 1983.067 | 0.410 | -0.011 | 239.9 | 1.0 | 1 | McAlister, H.A. |
| 1983.145 | 0.43 | 0.01 | 237.9 | -0.9 | 3 | Scardia, M. |
| 1984.061 | 0.410 | -0.004 | 238.1 | 0.5 | 1 | McAlister, H.A. |
| 1985.000 | 0.407 | -0.001 | 236.8 | 0.5 | 1 | Hartkopf, W.I. |
| 1985.240 | 0.45 | 0.04 | 234.7 | -1.2 | 2 | Le Beau, J. |
| 1985.835 | 0.407 | 0.004 | 235.5 | 0.4 | 1 | McAlister, H.A. |
| 1985.838 | 0.437 | 0.034 | 235.6 | 0.5 | 1 | Bonneau, D. |
| 1986.884 | 0.397 | 0.001 | 233.6 | 0.0 | 1 | McAlister, H.A. |
| 1987.275 | 0.397 | 0.004 | 233.3 | 0.3 | 1 | McAlister, H.A. |
| 1987.932 | 0.38 | -0.01 | 231.3 | -0.7 | 4 | Worley, C.E. |
| 1988.140 | 0.45 | 0.06 | 230.5 | -1.1 | 1 | Gili, R. |
| 1988.170 | 0.391 | 0.004 | 231.8 | 0.2 | 2 | McAlister, H.A. |
| 1988.255 | 0.386 | -0.001 | 231.4 | -0.1 | 1 | McAlister, H.A. |
| 1989.238 | 0.384 | 0.004 | 230.6 | 0.7 | 1 | McAlister, H.A. |
| 1990.832 | 0.42 | 0.05 | 228.0 | 0.8 | 2 | Prieto, C. |
| 1991.250 | 0.366 | 0.000 | 226.1 | -0.4 | 1 | Hipparcos Cat. |
| 1991.800 | 0.65 | 0.29 | 230.0 | 4.5 | 1 | Tobal, T. |
| 1992.312 | 0.357 | -0.002 | 223.8 | -0.7 | 1 | Hartkopf, W.I. |
| 1994.129 | 0.32 | -0.03 | 217.6 | -3.5 | 1 | WSI |
| 1994.130 | 0.40 | 0.05 | 224.4 | 3.3 | 2 | Alzner, A. |
| 1994.194 | 0.31 | -0.04 | 216.5 | -4.5 | 1 | WSI |
| 1994.194 | 0.32 | -0.03 | 216.7 | -4.3 | 1 | WSI |
| 1995.188 | 0.33 | -0.01 | 215.9 | -3.1 | 1 | WSI |
| 1995.188 | 0.32 | -0.02 | 219.9 | 0.9 | 1 | WSI |
| 1995.202 | 0.34 | 0.00 | 213.8 | -5.2 | 1 | WSI |
| 1995.205 | 0.31 | -0.03 | 217.1 | -1.9 | 1 | WSI |
| 1995.210 | 0.33 | -0.01 | 218.3 | -0.6 | 1 | WSI |
| 1995.210 | 0.33 | -0.01 | 218.6 | -0.3 | 1 | WSI |
| 1995.221 | 0.38 | 0.04 | 215.7 | -3.2 | 1 | WSI |
| 1995.924 | 0.342 | 0.009 | 216.9 | -0.6 | 1 | Hartkopf, W.I. |
| 1995.951 | 0.345 | 0.013 | 218.0 | 0.6 | 1 | Aristidi, E. |
| 1997.071 | 0.318 | -0.006 | 217.6 | 2.6 | 1 | Aristidi, E. |
| 2000.146 | 0.30 | -0.00 | 206.0 | -1.6 | 2 | WSI |
| 2004.211 | 0.274 | 0.001 | 198.3 | 2.2 | 1 | Scardia, M. |

Table 7. ADS 8035: residuals with new orbit.

| Epoch | $\rho$ <br> $(\operatorname{arcsec})$ | $\Delta \rho_{(O-C)}$ <br> $(\operatorname{arcsec})$ | $\theta$ <br> $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\Delta \theta_{(O-C)}$ <br> $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | N | Author |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1980.000 | 0.80 | -0.01 | 317.1 | -2.0 | 3 | Comellas, J.L. |
| 1983.150 | 0.69 | -0.10 | 312.8 | 6.0 | 3 | Heintz, W.D. |
| 1985.003 | 0.789 | 0.012 | 297.7 | -1.7 | 1 | McAlister, H.A. |
| 1986.249 | 0.80 | 0.04 | 293.0 | -1.2 | 1 | Tobal, T. |
| 1986.404 | 0.787 | 0.038 | 292.1 | -1.4 | 1 | Hartkopf, W.I. |
| 1989.238 | 0.729 | 0.017 | 279.8 | -0.8 | 1 | Hartkopf, W.I. |
| 1990.046 | 0.70 | 0.01 | 275.0 | -1.6 | 1 | Tobal, T. |
| 1991.250 | 0.672 | 0.005 | 269.6 | -0.6 | 1 | Hipparcos Cat. |
| 1991.324 | 0.673 | 0.008 | 269.0 | -0.7 | 1 | Hartkopf, W.I. |
| 1993.334 | 0.590 | -0.019 | 261.0 | 3.5 | 1 | Miura, N. |
| 1995.940 | 0.565 | 0.046 | 233.0 | -4.2 | 1 | Aristidi, E. |
| 1997.074 | 0.470 | -0.004 | 226.2 | 0.4 | 1 | Aristidi, E. |
| 1998.430 | 0.427 | 0.008 | 207.6 | -1.3 | 1 | Prieur, J.-L. |
| 1999.889 | 0.368 | 0.005 | 185.7 | 0.7 | 1 | Horch, E. |
| 2004.213 | 0.367 | -0.003 | 90.2 | -1.0 | 1 | Scardia, M. |
| 2004.397 | 0.371 | -0.005 | 88.6 | 0.7 | 1 | Scardia, M. |

Table 8. ADS 8739: residuals with new orbit.

| Epoch | $\begin{aligned} & \rho \\ & (\operatorname{arcsec}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta \rho_{(O-C)} \\ (\operatorname{arcsec}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \theta \\ & \left({ }^{\circ}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\Delta \theta_{(O-C)}$ <br> $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | N | Author |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1980.000 | 1.40 | 0.08 | 43.9 | -5.5 | 3 | Comellas, J.L. |
| 1980.230 | 1.46 | 0.13 | 52.6 | 2.8 | 3 | Heintz, W.D. |
| 1982.344 | 1.41 | 0.06 | 56.7 | 3.2 | 3 | Worley, C.E. |
| 1983.378 | 1.45 | 0.08 | 53.0 | -2.2 | 3 | Scardia, M. |
| 1984.461 | 1.47 | 0.09 | 54.8 | -2.1 | 3 | Scardia, M. |
| 1985.200 | 1.48 | 0.09 | 59.2 | 1.1 | 2 | Heintz, C.E. |
| 1985.394 | 1.51 | 0.12 | 54.8 | -3.6 | 5 | Scardia, M. |
| 1986.431 | 1.48 | 0.08 | 55.0 | -5.1 | 3 | Scardia, M. |
| 1986.450 | 1.47 | 0.07 | 65.0 | 4.9 | 4 | Worley, C.E. |
| 1988.900 | 1.40 | -0.02 | 63.0 | -0.9 | 4 | Muller, P. |
| 1990.079 | 1.40 | -0.03 | 60.3 | -5.4 | 1 | Tobal, T. |
| 1991.250 | 1.397 | -0.035 | 66.4 | -1.0 | 1 | Hipparcos Cat. |
| 1991.620 | 1.476 | 0.043 | 68.4 | 0.4 | 1 | Tycho-2 Cat. |
| 1994.570 | 1.38 | -0.06 | 70.1 | -2.3 | 3 | Alzner, A. |
| 1995.390 | 1.61 | 0.17 | 74.5 | 0.9 | 2 | Heintz, C.E. |
| 1997.350 | 1.45 | 0.02 | 73.8 | -2.7 | 3 | Alzner, A. |
| 1998.520 | 1.31 | -0.12 | 79.9 | 1.6 | 5 | Argyle, R.W. |
| 1999.360 | 1.39 | -0.03 | 77.0 | -2.5 | 3 | Alzner, A. |
| 1999.493 | 1.47 | 0.05 | 80.5 | 0.8 | 1 | Tobal, T. |
| 1999.499 | 1.56 | 0.14 | 81.0 | 1.3 | 1 | Tobal, T. |
| 2000.310 | 1.40 | -0.01 | 79.8 | -1.2 | 3 | Alzner, A. |
| 2001.320 | 1.36 | -0.04 | 83.6 | 1.1 | 2 | Alzner, A. |
| 2002.360 | 1.31 | -0.08 | 83.6 | -0.6 | 3 | Alzner, A. |
| 2004.396 | 1.227 | -0.126 | 88.8 | 1.3 | 1 | Scardia, M. |

the masses of the components of $5.9 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$, with an uncertainty of 20.4 per cent, in good agreement with the total mass of a G9III system expected by the theory (e.g. Straizys \& Kuriliene 1981). Note that the CDS of Strasbourg reports a different classification of K0Iab for this object. This spectral type is close to the one determined by Abt, but the luminosity class of I seems ruled out by our results.

### 5.3 WDS 13007+5622-BU 1082-ADS 8739

This is also a bright double star, with a large luminosity contrast between the two components. As already stressed by Baize (1938), this object was observed during the 13 yr following its discovery and then left out for more than 20 yr , which was unfortunately the most interesting period of its passage at the periastron. We are now again in the position of observing in the next few years this crucial part of the orbit, that was forsaken by the observers of the early 1900s. Our observation is the first ever performed with speckle techniques.

The orbit of Scardia (1980) leads now to systematic residuals both for separation and position angles, whereas the orbit of Heintz (1981), although better, gives a large residual for the separation angle of our speckle observation (see Table 3).

We used the observations of the last 25 yr to improve the orbit of Heintz and recalculated the orbital elements given in Table 4. From now on, its orbit is reasonably well defined and should not change much in the future (see Fig. 5).

ADS 8739 is an object rather close to the Earth, with a distance of $\sim 25 \mathrm{pc}$. The Hipparcos parallax, of good quality, is $0.04006 \pm$ 0.0006 arcsec. The sum of the masses of the components, corresponding to this measurement, is $2.7 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$, with an uncertainty of 11.2 per cent, in very good agreement with the sum of masses of a pair of spectral type F2V. With this parallax, the semimajor axis is 31 au.

## 6 CONCLUSION

This article inaugurates a new series of papers that will be devoted to the speckle measurements of visual binaries with the 1-m Zeiss telescope of the OAB. The instrument PISCO, which was designed for the 2-m TBL telescope, has proved to be well adapted to the Zeiss telescope too. Its installation has not required any hardware changes and then it has functioned well on the Zeiss telescope.

Although the limiting magnitude is smaller and the minimum angular separation is larger than what can be reached with a $2-\mathrm{m}$ telescope like the TBL, this work shows that a significant contribution can be provided with PISCO on a $1-\mathrm{m}$ telescope. The astrometric measurements presented here have an accuracy comparable to those obtained with the TBL.

It is also certain that a significant light pollution is present on this site, since it is located 30 km north-east of Milano city, and that the average image quality in Merate is worse than at the Pic du Midi, but this is counterbalanced by the possibility of observing with PISCO during every clear night through the year, which was not possible on the TBL. This advantage may prove invaluable for observing binaries at crucial periods, e.g. close to their periastron passages.
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