



HAL
open science

A spectral cocycle for substitution systems and translation flows

Alexander I. Bufetov, Boris Solomyak

► **To cite this version:**

Alexander I. Bufetov, Boris Solomyak. A spectral cocycle for substitution systems and translation flows. *Journal d'analyse mathématique*, 2020, 141 (1), pp.165-205. 10.1007/s11854-020-0127-2 . hal-02110664

HAL Id: hal-02110664

<https://hal.science/hal-02110664v1>

Submitted on 6 Jan 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

*Dedicated to Larry Zalcman,
with admiration and gratitude.*

A SPECTRAL COCYCLE FOR SUBSTITUTION SYSTEMS AND TRANSLATION FLOWS

ALEXANDER I. BUFETOV AND BORIS SOLOMYAK

ABSTRACT. For substitution systems and translation flows, a new cocycle, which we call *spectral cocycle*, is introduced, whose Lyapunov exponents govern the local dimension of the spectral measure for higher-level cylindrical functions. The construction relies on the symbolic representation of translation flows and the formalism of matrix Riesz products.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper is devoted to the spectral theory of substitution systems and translation flows and continues the work started in [17, 18]. We focus on the local properties of spectral measures, such as local dimension, Hölder property, and closely related questions of singularity and absolute continuity. Our main construction is that of a new cocycle, which we call the *spectral cocycle*. This spectral cocycle is related to our earlier work, in particular, to the matrix Riesz products, used in [17] to obtain Hölder continuity of spectral measures for typical suspension flows over non-Pisot substitution systems.

Our cocycle is defined over a skew product whose base is a shift transformation on a symbolic space arising from the realization of the Teichmüller flow and the fibre is a torus of dimension equal to the number of intervals in the associated interval exchange. Our main result, see (3.7), (3.13) below, is a formula relating the pointwise dimension of the spectral measure and the pointwise Lyapunov exponent of the cocycle. As a corollary, we obtain an inequality for the Lyapunov exponent at almost every point and a sufficient condition for singularity of the spectrum. Analogous results are obtained for suspension flows over S -adic systems, including classical substitution systems as a special case.

In the Appendix, which is independent of the rest of the paper, we explain how a modification of the argument from [18] proves Hölder continuity of spectral measures for typical translation flows in the stratum $\mathcal{H}(1, 1)$.

Date: August 26, 2020.

Key words and phrases. Substitution dynamical system; spectral measure; Hölder continuity.

2. BACKGROUND

2.1. Translation flows. Let M be a compact connected orientable surface. To a holomorphic one-form ω on M one can assign the corresponding *vertical* flow h_t^+ on M , i.e., the flow at unit speed along the leaves of the foliation $\Re(\omega) = 0$. The vertical flow preserves the measure $\mathfrak{m} = i(\omega \wedge \bar{\omega})/2$, the area form induced by ω . Let $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_\sigma)$ be a nonnegative integer vector such that $\kappa_1 + \dots + \kappa_\sigma = 2\rho - 2$. Consider the moduli space \mathcal{M}_κ of pairs (M, ω) , where M is a Riemann surface of genus ρ and ω is a holomorphic differential of area 1 with singularities of orders $\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_\sigma$. The moduli space \mathcal{M}_κ is called the *stratum* in the moduli space of abelian differentials.

The Teichmüller flow \mathbf{g}_s sends the modulus of a pair (M, ω) to the modulus of the pair (M, ω') , where $\omega' = e^s \Re(\omega) + ie^{-s} \Im(\omega)$; the new complex structure on M is uniquely determined by the requirement that the form ω' be holomorphic. Veech [45] proved that the space \mathcal{M}_κ need not be connected; let \mathcal{H} be a connected component of \mathcal{M}_κ , and let ν be a probability measure on \mathcal{H} , invariant and ergodic under the Teichmüller flow \mathbf{g}_s . For ν -almost every Abelian differential (M, ω) , Masur [32] and Veech [43] independently proved that the flow h_t^+ is uniquely ergodic.

There are many equivalent definitions of translation surfaces, see, e.g., [33, 52]. The form ω provides a flat metric on $M \setminus \Sigma$, where Σ is the set of singularities, the zeros of ω . Moreover, at the zero of order κ_j one gets a cone singularity, with the total angle $2\pi(\kappa_j + 1)$.

2.2. Interval exchange transformations and suspensions over them. There is a deep connection between translation flows and *interval exchange transformations*, discovered by Veech [42, 43]. We recall it briefly; for more details see, e.g., the surveys by Viana [49], Yoccoz [50], and Zorich [52]. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{1, \dots, m\}$ be a finite alphabet, with $m \geq 2$, and π an irreducible permutation of \mathcal{A} , i.e., $\pi\{1, \dots, k\} \neq \{1, \dots, k\}$ for $k < m$. Given a positive vector $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$, the interval exchange transformation (IET) $f(\lambda, \pi)$ is defined as follows: consider the interval $I = [0, \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i)$, break it into subintervals

$$I_i = I_i(\lambda, \pi) = \left[\sum_{j < i} \lambda_j, \sum_{j \leq i} \lambda_j \right), \quad 1 \leq i \leq m,$$

and rearrange the intervals I_i by translation according to π :

$$x \mapsto x + \sum_{\pi(j) < \pi(i)} \lambda_j - \sum_{j < i} \lambda_j, \quad x \in I_i.$$

For $m = 2$ the IET is just a circle rotation (modulo identification of the endpoints of I), and it can be viewed as the first return map of a linear flow on a torus \mathbb{T}^2 . Similarly, for $m \geq 3$ by a singular suspension (with a piecewise-constant roof function, constant on each subinterval I_i), the IET can be represented as a first return map of a translation flow on a suitable translation surface to a carefully chosen Poincaré section, a line segment I , see [42, 43]. Conversely, given a translation surface, one can find a horizontal segment I in such a way that the first return map

of the vertical flow to I is an IET. Precise connection between the two systems is given by the *zippered rectangles construction* of Veech [43].

2.3. Rauzy-Veech-Zorich induction and the corresponding cocycles. A fundamental tool in the study of IET's and translation flows is the Rauzy-Veech algorithm, also called Rauzy-Veech induction, introduced in [42, 39]. Let π be an irreducible permutation, and suppose that (λ, π) is such that $\lambda_m \neq \lambda_{\pi^{-1}(m)}$. Then the first return map (what is sometimes called “inducing” whence the term “induction”) of $f(\lambda, \pi)$ to the interval

$$\left(0, \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i - \min\{\lambda_{\pi^{-1}(m)}, \lambda_m\}\right)$$

is an irreducible IET on m intervals as well, see, e.g., [49, 50, 31]. If $\lambda_m < \lambda_{\pi^{-1}(m)}$, we say that this is an operation of type “a”; otherwise, an operation of type “b”. The *Rauzy graph* is a directed labeled graph, whose vertices are permutations of $\mathcal{A} = \{1, \dots, m\}$ and the edges lead to permutations obtained by applying one of the operations. The edges are labeled “a” or “b” depending on the type of the operation. The *Rauzy class* of a permutation π is the set of all permutations that can be reached from π following a path in the Rauzy graph. This defines an equivalence relation on the full permutation group. For almost every IET (with respect to the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}_+^m , that is, for almost all length vectors), the algorithm is well-defined for all times into the future, that is, we never get into a “draw” $\lambda_m = \lambda_{\pi^{-1}(m)}$, and obtain an infinite path in the Rauzy graph, corresponding to the IET. Veech [43] proved that, conversely, every infinite path in the Rauzy graph arises from an IET in a such a way.

In the ergodic theory of IET's it is useful to consider an *acceleration* of the algorithm. Zorich induction [51] is obtained by applying the Rauzy-Veech induction until the first switch from a type “a” to a type “b” operation, or vice versa. Sometimes other versions of the algorithm and accelerations are used, e.g., the one considered by Marmi, Moussa, and Yoccoz [31].

Let (λ', π') be obtained from (λ, π) by a step of the Rauzy-Veech induction, and let $f_I = f(\lambda, \pi)$. Write $I_j = I_j(\lambda, \pi)$ and let $J_j = I_j(\lambda', \pi')$ be the intervals of the exchange $f_J = f(\lambda', \pi')$. Denote by r_i the return time for the interval J_i into J under f_I , that is, $r_i = \min\{k > 0 : f_I^k(J_i) \subset J\}$. From the definition of the induction procedure it follows that $r_i = 1$ for all i except one, for which it is equal to 2. Represent I as a Rokhlin tower over the subinterval J and its induced map f_J , and write

$$(2.1) \quad I = \bigsqcup_{i=1, \dots, m, k=0, \dots, r_i-1} f_I^k(J_i).$$

By construction, each of the “floors” of our tower, that is, each of the subintervals $f_I^k(J_i)$ is a subset of a unique subinterval of the initial exchange, and we define an integer $n(i, k)$ by the

formula

$$(2.2) \quad f_I^j(J_i) \subset I_{n(i,k)}.$$

Let $B^R(\lambda, \pi)$ be the linear operator on \mathbb{R}^m given by the $m \times m$ matrix $[n(i, j)]$. This matrix is easily shown to be unimodular. Given a Rauzy class \mathfrak{R} , the function $B^R : \mathbb{R}_+^m \times \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow GL(m, \mathbb{R})$ yields the *Rauzy-Veech*, or *renormalization* cocycle. If, instead, we apply the Zorich induction algorithm, the same procedure yields the *Zorich cocycle*.

One can consider the Rauzy-Veech and Zorich induction algorithm also on the set of zippered rectangles; these can be represented as bi-infinite paths in the Rauzy graph. A remarkable fact is that, after an appropriate renormalization, the Rauzy-Veech map $(\lambda, \pi) \mapsto (\lambda', \pi')$ and the Zorich map $(\lambda, \pi) \mapsto (\lambda'', \pi'')$ can be seen as the first return maps of the Teichmüller flow on the space of zippered rectangles, with respect to carefully chosen Poincaré sections, see, e.g., [49, Section 2.10] and [50, Section 11.3].

Remark. The zippered rectangles construction provides natural bases for the absolute and relative homology groups $H_1(M \setminus \Sigma, \mathbb{R})$ and $H_1(M, \Sigma, \mathbb{R})$; in particular, \mathbb{R}^m may be identified with $H_1(M, \Sigma, \mathbb{R})$. The Rauzy-Veech cocycle can then be represented as acting on the cohomology groups $H^1(M \setminus \Sigma, \mathbb{R})$ and $H^1(M, \Sigma, \mathbb{R})$, as shown by Veech [43] (see also [49, Section 2.9]).

2.4. Markov compacta and S -adic systems. The Rauzy-Veech and Zorich induction and cocycles already provide a powerful symbolic framework for the study of IET's and translation flows, which was used by many authors. However, to get a symbolic representation, or measurable conjugacy for these systems, an additional step is needed, which was done by Bufetov [15], using the theory of *Markov compacta*. For the background on Markov compacta and Bratteli-Vershik transformations, see the original papers [46, 47, 48]. A Markov compactum is the space of infinite paths in a Bratteli diagram. When the Bratteli diagram is equipped with a *Vershik ordering*, one gets a “transverse,” or “adic” map, which is now usually called the Bratteli-Vershik transformation. We will call a Bratteli diagram with a Vershik ordering a *Bratteli-Vershik diagram*.

More recently an essentially equivalent framework of S -adic transformations was developed, see [11, 12, 13] and references therein. We use it in [18] and in this paper as well; therefore, we do not present the background on Markov compacta here.

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{1, \dots, m\}$ be a finite alphabet; denote by \mathcal{A}^+ the set of finite (non-empty) words in \mathcal{A} . A *substitution* is a map $\zeta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^+$, which is extended to an action on \mathcal{A}^+ and $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$ by concatenation. The *substitution matrix* is defined by

$$(2.3) \quad S_\zeta(i, j) = \text{number of symbols } i \text{ in the word } \zeta(j).$$

Notation 2.1. Denote by \mathfrak{A} a set of substitutions ζ on \mathcal{A} with the property that all letters appear in the set of words $\{\zeta(a) : a \in \mathcal{A}\}$ and there exists $a \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $|\zeta(a)| > 1$.

Let $\mathbf{a} = (\zeta_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of substitutions on \mathcal{A} . Substitutions, extended to \mathcal{A}^+ , can be composed in the usual way as transformations $\mathcal{A}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^+$. Denote

$$\zeta^{[n]} := \zeta_1 \circ \cdots \circ \zeta_n, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Given a sequence of substitutions \mathbf{a} , denote by $X_{\mathbf{a}} \subset \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ the subspace of all two-sided sequences whose every subword appears as a subword of $\zeta^{[n]}(b)$ for some $b \in \mathcal{A}$ and $n \geq 1$. Let T be the left shift on $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$; then $(X_{\mathbf{a}}, T)$ is the (topological) S -adic dynamical system. We refer to [11, 12, 13] for the background on S -adic shifts. A sequence of substitutions is called *primitive* if for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $S_n \cdots S_{n+k}$ is a matrix with strictly positive entries. This implies minimality of the S -adic shift, see [11, Theorem 5.2]. (Note that in [11] this property is called *weak primitivity*, however, in [12, 13] the term ‘‘primitive’’ is used, as we do.) In many cases a stronger property holds, and it will be one of our basic assumptions:

(A1) *There exists a finite word $\zeta_W = \zeta_{w_1} \dots \zeta_{w_k}$ in the alphabet \mathfrak{A} which appears in the sequence \mathbf{a} infinitely often, for which the substitution matrix S_{ζ_W} is strictly positive.*

Property (A1), of course, implies primitivity. It also implies unique ergodicity of the S -adic shift, see [11, Theorem 5.7]; in fact, this goes back to Furstenberg [23, (16.13)].

We will also assume that the S -adic system is *aperiodic*, i.e., it has no periodic points. (A minimal system that has a periodic point, is obviously a system on a finite space, and we want to exclude a trivial situation.) Checking aperiodicity may require some work, even for a single substitution.

Further, we need the notion of *recognizability* for the sequence of substitutions, introduced in [13], which generalizes *bilateral recognizability* of B. Mossé [35] for a single substitution, see also Sections 5.5 and 5.6 in [38]. By definition of the space $X_{\mathbf{a}}$, for every $n \geq 1$, every $x \in X_{\mathbf{a}}$ has a representation of the form

$$(2.4) \quad x = T^k(\zeta^{[n]}(x')), \quad \text{where } x' \in X_{\sigma^n \mathbf{a}}, \quad 0 \leq k < |\zeta^{[n]}(x_0)|.$$

Here σ denotes the left shift on $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$, and we recall that a substitution ζ acts on $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ by

$$\zeta(\dots a_{-1}.a_0a_1 \dots) = \dots \zeta(a_{-1}).\zeta(a_0)\zeta(a_1) \dots$$

Definition 2.2. *A sequence of substitutions $\mathbf{a} = (\zeta_j)_{j \geq 1}$ is said to be recognizable if the representation (2.4) is unique for all $n \geq 1$.*

The following is a special case of [13, Theorem 4.6] that we need.

Theorem 2.3 ([13]). *Let $\mathbf{a} = (\zeta_j)_{j \geq 1} \in \mathfrak{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$ be such that every substitution matrix S_{ζ_j} has maximal rank m and $X_{\mathbf{a}}$ is aperiodic. Then \mathbf{a} is recognizable.*

There is a canonical correspondence between (one-sided) Bratteli-Vershik diagrams with m vertices on each level and sequences of substitutions $\mathbf{a} = (\zeta_j)_{j \geq 1}$ on the alphabet $\mathcal{A} = \{0, \dots, m-1\}$, discovered by Livshits [30].

Theorem 2.4 ([13, Theorem 6.5]). *Let $\sigma \in \mathfrak{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$ be a recognizable sequence of substitutions. Then the S -adic shift $(X_{\mathbf{a}}, T)$ is almost topologically conjugate, hence measurably conjugate in case the system is uniquely ergodic, to the corresponding Bratteli-Vershik system.*

2.5. Symbolic representation of IET's and translation flows. Let \mathcal{H} be a connected component of a stratum and \mathfrak{R} the Rauzy class of a permutation corresponding to \mathcal{H} . Veech [43] constructed a measurable map from the space $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{R})$ of zippered rectangles corresponding to the Rauzy class \mathfrak{R} , to \mathcal{H} , which intertwines the Teichmüller flow on \mathcal{H} and a renormalization flow P_t that Veech defined on $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{R})$. Section 4.3 of [15] gives a symbolic coding of the flow P_t on $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{R})$ on a space of 2-sided Markov compacta with a Vershik ordering. Using the canonical correspondence with sequences of substitutions, we obtain a map

$$(2.5) \quad \mathcal{Z}_{\mathfrak{R}} : (\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{R}), \tilde{\nu}) \rightarrow (\Omega, \mathbb{P})$$

to a probability space of 2-sided sequences of substitutions $(\zeta_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathfrak{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, defined almost everywhere. Here $\tilde{\nu}$ is the pull-back of ν , an invariant and ergodic map under the Teichmüller flow on \mathcal{H} . The first return map of the flow P_t for an appropriate Poincaré section is mapped by $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathfrak{R}}$ to the shift map σ on (Ω, \mathbb{P}) . This correspondence maps the Rauzy-Veech cocycle over the Teichmüller flow into the renormalization cocycle associated with the sequence of substitutions. More precisely, the substitution ζ_1 in the symbolic representation of [15] can be “read off” the Rokhlin tower (2.1), (2.2) of one step of the Rauzy-Veech induction:

$$(2.6) \quad \zeta_1 : i \mapsto n(i, 0) \dots n(i, r_1 - 1), \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Thus we obtain

$$B^R(\lambda, \pi) = [n(i, j)]_{i,j=1}^m = S_{\zeta_1}^t.$$

We will be using the following notation for this cocycle:

$$(2.7) \quad \mathbb{A}(\mathbf{a}) := S_{\zeta_1}^t; \quad \mathbb{A}(\mathbf{a}, n) := \mathbb{A}(\sigma^{n-1}\mathbf{a}) \cdot \dots \cdot \mathbb{A}(\mathbf{a}),$$

where $\mathbf{a} = (\zeta_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is the positive side of a sequence of substitutions from Ω .

A zippered rectangle $\mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{R})$ determines a suspension flow over an IET, isomorphic to the translation flow on a flat surface. The symbolic coding $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathfrak{R}}$ induces a map defined for a.e. $\mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{R})$, from the corresponding flat surface $M(\mathcal{R})$ to a suspension over the S -adic space $X_{\mathbf{a}}$. Moreover this map takes the IET into the S -adic system $(X_{\mathbf{a}}, T)$, whereas the piecewise-constant roof function of the suspension is determined by the left side of the sequence $(\zeta_j)_{j=-\infty}^0$. The justification for transition from the Bratteli-Vershik coding of [15] to the S -adic framework is provided by Theorems 2.4 and 2.3, in view of the fact that the matrices of the Rauzy-Veech cocycle are unimodular, see [43, 44], hence have maximal rank. We denote by Ω_+ the projection of Ω to the “positive side” and by \mathbb{P}_+ the projection of the measure \mathbb{P} to Ω_+ . The property (A1) holds for \mathbb{P}_+ -almost every $\mathbf{a} \in \Omega_+$, see Veech [43].

2.6. Cylindrical functions. Suppose that the S -adic system $(X_{\mathbf{a}}, T)$ is uniquely ergodic, with the unique invariant probability measure by μ . Consider the partition of $X_{\mathbf{a}}$ into cylinder sets according to the value of x_0 : $X_{\mathbf{a}} = \bigsqcup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} [a]$. Denote by $(\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbf{a}}^{\vec{s}}, h_t, \tilde{\mu})$ the suspension flow over $(X_{\mathbf{a}}, \mu, T)$, corresponding to a piecewise-constant roof function determined by $\vec{s} \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$. We have a union, disjoint in measure:

$$\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbf{a}}^{\vec{s}} = \bigcup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} [a] \times [0, s_a],$$

and define a *Lip-cylindrical function* by the formula:

$$(2.8) \quad f(x, t) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{1}_{[a]}(x) \cdot \psi_a(t), \quad \text{with } \psi_a \in \text{Lip}[0, s_a],$$

where Lip is the space of Lipschitz functions.

3. STATEMENT OF MAIN RESULTS

3.1. Definition of the spectral cocycle. We proceed to the main construction of the paper. Let ζ be a substitution on \mathcal{A} with a substitution matrix having non-zero determinant. Consider the toral endomorphism $\xi \mapsto S_{\zeta}^t \xi \pmod{\mathbb{Z}^m}$, $\xi \in \mathbb{T}^m = \mathbb{R}^m / \mathbb{Z}^m$, induced by the transpose substitution matrix. Suppose that

$$\zeta(b) = u_1^b \dots u_{|\zeta(b)|}^b, \quad b \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Definition 3.1. Define a matrix-valued function $\mathcal{M}_{\zeta} : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow M_m(\mathbb{C})$ (the space of complex $m \times m$ matrices) by the formula

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{M}_{\zeta}(\xi) = [\mathcal{M}_{\zeta}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m)]_{(b,c)} := \left(\sum_{j \leq |\zeta(b)|, u_j^b = c} \exp(-2\pi i \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \xi_{u_k^b}) \right)_{(b,c) \in \mathcal{A}^2}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

Note that \mathcal{M}_{ζ} is \mathbb{Z}^m -periodic, so we obtain a continuous matrix-function on the torus, which we denote, by a slight abuse of notation, by the same letter: $\mathcal{M}_{\zeta} : \mathbb{T}^m \rightarrow M_m(\mathbb{C})$.

Remark 3.2. The matrix \mathcal{M}_{ζ} already appeared (with a different notation) in [17, (4.15)] in the framework of generalized matrix Riesz products. It is also closely related to the Fourier matrix $B(k)$ from the recent papers of Baake et al. [4, 6, 7]. More precisely, $B(k)$ is the restriction of \mathcal{M}_{ζ} to the line $\{\xi = k\vec{s}, k \in \mathbb{R}\}$, where \vec{s} is the Perron-Frobenius eigenvector of S_{ζ}^t . This leads to a cocycle on the line \mathbb{R} , used to study the diffraction spectrum of a single substitution, see Section 4.3 below for a more detailed discussion.

Example 3.3. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{1, 2, 3\}$,

$$\zeta(1) = 121321, \quad \zeta(2) = 2231, \quad \zeta(3) = 31123.$$

Denoting $z_j := e^{-2\pi i \xi_j}$, $j \leq 3$, we obtain

$$\mathcal{M}_\zeta(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) = \mathcal{M}_\zeta(z_1, z_2, z_3) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + z_1 z_2 + z_1^1 z_2^2 z_3 & z_1 + z_1^2 z_2 z_3 & z_1^2 z_2 \\ z_2^2 z_3 & 1 + z_2 & z_2^2 \\ z_3 + z_1 z_3 & z_1^2 z_3 & 1 + z_1^2 z_2 z_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Observe that $\mathcal{M}_\zeta(\xi)$ is a matrix-function whose entries are trigonometric polynomials in m variables, with the following properties: (i) $\mathcal{M}_\zeta(0) = \mathbf{S}_\zeta^t$, (ii) all the coefficients are 0's and 1's, (iii) in every row, any given monomial appears at most once, (iv) the maximal degree of the entries in j -th row equals $|\zeta(j)| - 1$, (v) the substitution is uniquely determined by \mathcal{M}_ζ . The most important property is

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{M}_{\zeta_1 \circ \zeta_2}(\xi) = \mathcal{M}_{\zeta_2}(\mathbf{S}_{\zeta_1}^t \xi) \cdot \mathcal{M}_{\zeta_1}(\xi),$$

which is verified by a direct computation.

Consider the skew product transformation $\mathbb{G} : \Omega_+ \times \mathbb{T}^m \rightarrow \Omega_+ \times \mathbb{T}^m$ defined by

$$\mathbb{G}(\mathbf{a}, \xi) = (\sigma \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{S}_{\zeta_1}^t \xi \pmod{\mathbb{Z}^m}), \quad \text{where } \mathbf{a} = (\zeta_n)_{n \geq 1} \quad \text{and} \quad \xi \in \mathbb{T}^m = \mathbb{R}^m / \mathbb{Z}^m.$$

Definition 3.4. Let $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a}, \xi) = \mathcal{M}_{\zeta_1}(\xi)$, where $\mathbf{a} = (\zeta_n)_{n \geq 1}$. Then

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{M}_\Omega((\mathbf{a}, \xi), n) := \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{G}^{n-1}(\mathbf{a}, \xi)) \cdot \dots \cdot \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{a}, \xi)$$

is a complex matrix cocycle over the skew product system $(\Omega_+ \times \mathbb{T}^m, \mathbb{P} \times \nu_m, \mathbb{G})$, where ν_m is the Haar measure on \mathbb{T}^m .

The following is immediate from definitions and (3.2):

Lemma 3.5. (i) *The spectral cocycle is an extension of the Rauzy-Veech cocycle in the form (2.7), namely,*

$$\mathcal{M}_\Omega((\mathbf{a}, 0), n) = \mathbb{A}(\mathbf{a}, n).$$

(ii) *We have*

$$\mathcal{M}_\Omega((\mathbf{a}, \xi), n) = \mathcal{M}_{\zeta^{[n]}}(\xi).$$

It follows from (ii) that the spectral cocycle behaves consistently with a ‘‘telescoping’’ operation of replacing a finite sequence of substitutions by their composition; in particular, applying the Zorich acceleration algorithm we obtain the spectral cocycle that is an extension of the Zorich cocycle.

Introduce the pointwise upper Lyapunov exponent of our cocycle, corresponding to a given vector $\vec{z} \in \mathbb{C}^m$:

$$(3.4) \quad \chi_{\mathbf{a}, \xi, \vec{z}}^+ := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|\mathcal{M}_\Omega((\mathbf{a}, \xi), n) \vec{z}\|.$$

We also consider the pointwise upper Lyapunov exponent (which is independent of the matrix norm):

$$\chi_{\mathbf{a},\xi}^+ := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|\mathcal{M}_\Omega((\mathbf{a}, \xi), n)\|.$$

Let λ be the Lyapunov exponent of the Rauzy-Veech cocycle, which exists by ergodicity of the Teichmüller flow:

$$(3.5) \quad \lambda = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{a}, n)\| \quad \text{for } \mathbb{P}_+ \text{-a.e. } \mathbf{a} \in \Omega_+.$$

Observe that for all $\xi \in \mathbb{T}^m$ the entries of the matrix $\mathcal{M}_\Omega((\mathbf{a}, \xi), n)$ are not greater than the corresponding entries of the positive $\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{a}, n)$. It follows that

$$\chi_{\mathbf{a},\xi}^+ \leq \lambda \quad \text{for } \mathbb{P}_+ \text{-a.e. } \mathbf{a} \in \Omega_+.$$

Notice that

$$(3.6) \quad \chi_{\mathbf{a},\xi}^+ \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{a} \in \Omega_+ \text{ and } \xi \in \mathbb{T}^m,$$

because of the special property of substitutions ζ_j appearing in the Rauzy-Veech induction [43, 44]; one can check with the help of (2.6) that

$$|\det \mathcal{M}_{\zeta_j}(\xi)| \equiv 1, \xi \in \mathbb{T}^m, \quad \text{for all } j \in \mathbb{N},$$

and the norm of $m \times m$ matrix is not smaller than the m -th root of the absolute value of its determinant.

3.2. Statement of results. Our main result is a formula expressing the lower local dimension of spectral measures in terms of the Lyapunov exponents of the spectral cocycle. Recall that, given a probability measure-preserving flow h_t on a space \mathfrak{X} and a test function $f \in L^2(\mathfrak{X})$ the spectral measure σ_f is a finite positive Borel measure on \mathbb{R} defined by

$$\widehat{\sigma}_f(-t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2\pi i \omega t} d\sigma_f(\omega) = \langle f \circ h_t, f \rangle, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the inner product in L^2 .

We are interested in fractal properties of spectral measures. One of the commonly used local characteristics of a finite measure ν on a metric space is the *lower local dimension* defined by

$$\underline{d}(\nu, \omega) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log \nu(B_r(\omega))}{\log r}.$$

Alternatively, it can be thought of as the best possible local Hölder exponent, i.e.:

$$\underline{d}(\nu, \omega) = \sup\{\alpha \geq 0 : \nu(B_r(\omega)) = O(r^\alpha), r \rightarrow 0\}.$$

For example, the lower local dimension is zero at a point mass and is infinite outside the compact support of a measure.

Next we define the class of test functions under consideration. Recall that \mathcal{H} is a connected component of the moduli space of Abelian differentials on a surface of genus $g \geq 2$, equipped with a probability measure ν , invariant and ergodic under the Teichmüller flow \mathbf{g}_s . We fix a symbolic representation of the Teichmüller flow as described in Section 2.5. Under this symbolic representation, the vertical flow on the flat surface becomes the suspension flow over an S -adic system. So, for ν -a.e. flat surface (M, ω) , corresponding to a pair (\mathbf{a}, \vec{s}) , with $\mathbf{a} \in \Omega_+$ and $\vec{s} \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$, almost every point on M is mapped into a pair (x, t) , where $x \in X_{\mathbf{a}}$ (the S -adic space) and $t \in [0, s_{x_0}]$. Our test functions will be Lip-cylindrical functions of the form (2.8) in this symbolic representation.

Theorem 3.6. *Let ν be a probability measure on \mathcal{H} , invariant and ergodic under the Teichmüller flow \mathbf{g}_s . For ν -almost every Abelian differential (M, ω) the following holds. Let (M, ω) correspond to a pair (\mathbf{a}, \vec{s}) , with $\mathbf{a} \in \Omega_+$ and $\vec{s} \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$. Let $f(x, t) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{1}_{[a]}(x) \cdot \psi_a(t)$ be a Lip-cylindrical function and σ_f the spectral measure of f for the suspension flow $(\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbf{a}}^{\vec{s}}, \tilde{\mu}, h_t)$, which is measurably isomorphic to the vertical translation flow on (M, ω) . Fix $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ (the spectral parameter), let $\xi \in \mathbb{T}^m$ be such that*

$$\xi = \omega \vec{s} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}^m},$$

and set

$$\vec{z} = (\widehat{\psi}_a(\omega))_{a \in \mathcal{A}}.$$

Suppose that the upper Lyapunov exponent of the spectral cocycle at (\mathbf{a}, ξ) , corresponding to the vector \vec{z} is positive: $\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \xi, \vec{z}}^+ > 0$. Then

$$(3.7) \quad \underline{d}(\sigma_f, \omega) = 2 - \frac{2\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \xi, \vec{z}}^+}{\lambda}.$$

If $\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \xi, \vec{z}}^+ \leq 0$, then

$$\underline{d}(\sigma_f, \omega) \geq 2.$$

Fix $\vec{s} \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$. Theorem 3.6 shows that the cocycle $\mathcal{M}_{\Omega}(\omega \vec{s}, n)$ controls the behaviour of spectral measures for the suspension flow $(\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbf{a}}^{\vec{s}}, \tilde{\mu}, h_t)$. Here and below we consider $\omega \vec{s}$ as a point on the torus $(\text{mod } \mathbb{Z}^m)$.

As a special case of Lip-cylindrical functions, consider *simple cylindrical functions*, of the form $f(x, t) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} b_a \mathbb{1}_{[a]}(x)$, that is, $\psi_a(\omega) = b_a \mathbb{1}_{[0, s_a]}$. Then

$$(3.8) \quad \vec{z} = (\widehat{\psi}_a(\omega))_{a \in \mathcal{A}} = \left(\frac{b_a(1 - e^{-2\pi i \omega s_a})}{2\pi i \omega} \right)_{a \in \mathcal{A}} =: \Gamma_{\omega} \vec{b}, \quad \text{for } \omega \neq 0,$$

and for $\omega = 0$ we have $\vec{z} = \Gamma_0(\vec{b}) = \vec{b}$. Let \vec{e}_j be the j -th unit coordinate vector in \mathbb{C}^m .

Corollary 3.7. *Suppose that we are under the assumptions of Theorem 3.6, and let $\vec{s} \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$. Consider the suspension flow $(\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbf{a}}^{\vec{s}}, \tilde{\mu}, h_t)$.*

(i) *Let $\omega \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \bigcup_{a \in A} (s_a^{-1} \cdot \mathbb{Z})$. For any $\vec{b} \in \mathbb{C}^m$ there exists $j \leq m$ such that*

$$\text{card}\left\{c \in \mathbb{C} : \chi_{\mathbf{a}, \omega \vec{s}, \Gamma_\omega(\vec{b} + c\vec{e}_j)}^+ < \chi_{\mathbf{a}, \omega \vec{s}}^+\right\} \leq 1,$$

and hence for all perturbations of \vec{b} along the j -th direction, except possibly one, the simple cylindrical function f corresponding to it, satisfies

$$\underline{d}(\sigma_f, \omega) = 2 - \frac{2\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \omega \vec{s}}^+}{\lambda}, \text{ assuming } \chi_{\mathbf{a}, \omega \vec{s}}^+ > 0.$$

(ii) *For Lebesgue-a.e. $\vec{b} \in \mathbb{C}^m$, the simple cylindrical function f corresponding to \vec{b} satisfies*

$$\underline{d}(\sigma_f, \omega) = 2 - \frac{2\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \omega \vec{s}}^+}{\lambda} \text{ for Lebesgue-a.e. } \omega \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ such that } \chi_{\mathbf{a}, \omega \vec{s}}^+ > 0.$$

Recall that $\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \omega \vec{s}}^+ \geq 0$ by (3.6). It would be interesting to determine under what conditions the Lyapunov exponents $\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \omega \vec{s}}^+$ are strictly positive.

Corollary 3.8. *Suppose that we are under the assumptions of Theorem 3.6, and let $\vec{s} \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$. Then for a.e. \mathbf{a} the following hold.*

(i) *we have*

$$(3.9) \quad \chi_{\mathbf{a}, \omega \vec{s}}^+ \leq \frac{1}{2}\lambda \text{ for Lebesgue-a.e. } \omega \in \mathbb{R},$$

but

$$(3.10) \quad \chi_{\mathbf{a}, \omega \vec{s}}^+ \geq \frac{1}{2}\lambda \text{ for } \sigma_f\text{-a.e. } \omega \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(ii) *If the flow $(\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbf{a}}^{\vec{s}}, \tilde{\mu}, h_t)$ has a non-trivial absolutely continuous component of the spectrum, then $\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \omega \vec{s}}^+ = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{\mathbf{a}}$ for Lebesgue-a.e. $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Remark 3.9. In the paper [18] we proved Hölder continuity of spectral measures for almost every translation flow in the stratum $\mathcal{H}(2)$, essentially via showing that there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$(3.11) \quad \chi_{\mathbf{a}, \omega \vec{s}}^+ \leq \lambda - \varepsilon \text{ for all } \omega \neq 0, \text{ for a.e. } \vec{s}.$$

Although this is much weaker than the estimate in (3.9) for a single ω , the difference is that (3.11) is obtained for *all* non-zero spectral parameters, rather than for Lebesgue-almost all.

3.3. Higher-level cylindrical functions. Cylindrical functions used so far in this paper do not suffice to describe the spectral type of the flow completely. Rather, we need functions depending on an arbitrary fixed number of symbols. Fix $\ell \geq 1$. Cylindrical functions of level ℓ depend on the first ℓ edges of the path representing a point in the Bratteli-Vershik representation. In the S -adic framework, we say that f is a Lip-cylindrical function of level ℓ if

$$(3.12) \quad f(x, t) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{1}_{\zeta^{[\ell]}[a]}(x) \cdot \psi_a^{(\ell)}(t), \quad \text{with } \psi_a^{(\ell)} \in \text{Lip}[0, s_a^{(\ell)}],$$

where

$$\vec{s}^{(\ell)} = (s_a^{(\ell)})_{a \in \mathcal{A}} := S_{\zeta^{[\ell]}}^t \vec{s}.$$

This representation depends on the notion of recognizability for the sequence of substitutions, see Definition 2.2, as will be explained in the next section. The following is an extension of Theorem 3.6 to the case of higher-order cylindrical functions.

Theorem 3.10. *Let ν be a probability measure on \mathcal{H} , invariant and ergodic under the Teichmüller flow \mathbf{g}_s . For ν -almost every Abelian differential (M, ω) the following holds. Let (M, ω) correspond to a pair (\mathbf{a}, \vec{s}) , with $\mathbf{a} \in \Omega_+$ and $\vec{s} \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$. Let $f(x, t) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{1}_{\zeta^{[\ell]}[a]}(x) \cdot \psi_a^{(\ell)}(t)$ be a Lip-cylindrical function of level ℓ and σ_f the spectral measure of f for the suspension flow $(\mathfrak{X}_{\vec{s}, \tilde{\mu}, h_t})$. Fix $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$, let $\xi \in \mathbb{T}^m$ be such that*

$$\xi = \omega s^{(\ell)} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}^m},$$

and set

$$\vec{z} = (\widehat{\psi}_a^{(\ell)}(\omega))_{a \in \mathcal{A}}.$$

Suppose that the upper Lyapunov exponent of the spectral cocycle at (\mathbf{a}, ξ) , corresponding to the vector \vec{z} is positive: $\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \xi, \vec{z}}^+ > 0$. Then

$$(3.13) \quad \underline{d}(\sigma_f, \omega) = 2 - \frac{2\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \xi, \vec{z}}^+}{\lambda}.$$

If $\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \xi, \vec{z}}^+ \leq 0$, then

$$\underline{d}(\sigma_f, \omega) \geq 2.$$

This theorem has corollaries that are exact analogues of Corollaries 3.7 and 3.8 for the higher-level cylindrical functions.

4. SUSPENSIONS OVER S -ADIC SYSTEMS

The results of the previous section are deduced from more general results, obtained for suspensions over an *individual* S -adic system, satisfying some natural assumptions. As a special case, we obtain results for classical substitution systems.

Suppose we are given a sequence of substitutions $\mathbf{a} = (\zeta_j)_{j \geq 1} \in \mathfrak{A}$. We continue to use the notation S_ζ for the substitution matrix of ζ , see (2.3). Observe that $S_{\zeta_1 \circ \zeta_2} = S_{\zeta_1} S_{\zeta_2}$. In this

section we do not assume that $\det S_\zeta \neq 0$, allowing degenerate substitution matrices. We will sometimes denote

$$S_j := S_{\zeta_j} \quad \text{and} \quad S^{[n]} := S_{\zeta^{[n]}}.$$

We will also consider subwords of the sequence \mathbf{a} and the corresponding substitutions obtained by composition. We write

$$S_{\mathbf{q}} = S_n \cdots S_\ell \quad \text{for} \quad \mathbf{q} = \zeta_n \cdots \zeta_\ell.$$

We will be using matrix 1-norm; recall that it equals the maximal absolute column sum of the matrix. Thus,

$$\|S_\zeta\|_1 = \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}} |\zeta(a)|,$$

by the definition of the substitution matrix.

Recall that, given a sequence of substitutions \mathbf{a} , we denote by $X_{\mathbf{a}} \subset \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ the subspace of all two-sided sequence whose every subword appears as a subword of $\zeta^{[n]}(b)$ for some $b \in \mathcal{A}$ and $n \geq 1$. Let T be the left shift on $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$; then $(X_{\mathbf{a}}, T)$ is the (topological) S -adic dynamical system. We restate our basic standing assumption in the current notation:

(A1) *There is a word \mathbf{q} which appears in \mathbf{a} infinitely often, for which $S_{\mathbf{q}}$ has all entries strictly positive.*

For random sequences of substitutions, a stronger condition (A1'), which provides a certain regularity of appearances of the ‘‘good word’’ \mathbf{q} , holds by the Ergodic Theorem, see Lemma 4.2 below:

(A1') *For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $n_0 = n_0(\varepsilon)$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$ the word \mathbf{q} , with $S_{\mathbf{q}}$ strictly positive, appears as a subword of $\zeta_{\lfloor n(1-\varepsilon) \rfloor + 1}, \zeta_{\lfloor n(1-\varepsilon) \rfloor + 2}, \dots, \zeta_n$.*

As explained in Section 2.4, condition (A1) implies that $(X_{\mathbf{a}}, T)$ is minimal and uniquely ergodic. Let μ be the unique invariant Borel probability measure for the system $(X_{\mathbf{a}}, T)$. Consider $(\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbf{a}}^{\vec{s}}, \tilde{\mu}, h_t)$, the suspension flow over $(X_{\mathbf{a}}, \mu, T)$, corresponding to a piecewise-constant roof function determined by $\vec{s} \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$. The second basic assumption is the existence of the Lyapunov exponent for the non-stationary Markov chain:

(A2) *The following limit exists:*

$$(4.1) \quad \lambda_{\mathbf{a}} := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|S^{[n]}\| < \infty.$$

Definition 4.1. *Given a substitution ζ , we consider the complex matrix-valued function $\mathcal{M}_\zeta(\xi)$ defined on \mathbb{R}^m and on the torus \mathbb{T}^m by formula (3.1). For a sequence of substitutions $\mathbf{a} = (\zeta_n)_{n \geq 1}$, let*

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{a}}(\xi, n) := \mathcal{M}_{\zeta_n}(S_{\zeta_{n-1}}^t \xi) \cdots \mathcal{M}_{\zeta_2}(S_{\zeta_1}^t \xi) \mathcal{M}_{\zeta_1}(\xi), \quad n \geq 1.$$

Under the assumption $\det(S_{\zeta_j}) \neq 0$, $j \geq 1$, the matrix $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{a}}(\xi, n)$ may be viewed as a complex matrix cocycle on the torus \mathbb{T}^m over the non-stationary toral endomorphism $E_{\zeta_n} : \mathbb{T}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^m$ at time n , where $E_{\zeta}(\xi) = S_{\zeta}^t \xi \pmod{\mathbb{Z}^m}$.

Similarly to the previous section, we consider the pointwise upper Lyapunov exponent of our cocycle, corresponding to a given vector $\vec{z} \in \mathbb{C}^m$:

$$(4.2) \quad \chi_{\mathbf{a}, \xi, \vec{z}}^+ = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{a}}(\xi, n) \vec{z}\|,$$

as well as

$$\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \xi}^+ = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{a}}(\xi, n)\|.$$

Note that $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{a}}(0, n) = S_{\zeta}^t_{[n-1]}$, and for all ξ the absolute values of the entries of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{a}}(\xi, n)$ are not greater than those of $S_{\zeta}^t_{[n-1]}$. Therefore,

$$\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \xi}^+ \leq \lambda_{\mathbf{a}}, \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathbb{T}^m.$$

where $\lambda_{\mathbf{a}}$ is defined in (4.1). We need to add one more requirement on the sequence \mathbf{a} .

(A3) *We have*

$$(4.3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log(1 + \|S_n\|) = 0.$$

In the case of a “random” S -adic system, as in the previous section, these properties are deduced with the help of the next lemma. Let Ω_+ be a shift-invariant subspace of $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$ (see Notation 2.1), with an invariant measure \mathbb{P}_+ .

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose that the following properties hold:*

- (C1)** *the system $(\Omega_+, \sigma, \mathbb{P}_+)$ is ergodic;*
- (C2)** *the function $\mathbf{a} \mapsto \log(1 + \|S_{\zeta_1}\|)$ is integrable;*
- (C3)** *there is a word \mathbf{q} admissible for sequences in Ω_+ , such that all entries of the matrix $S_{\mathbf{q}}$ are positive and $\mathbb{P}_+([\mathbf{q}]) > 0$.*

*Then conditions **(A1')**, **(A2)**, and **(A3)** hold \mathbb{P}_+ -almost surely.*

For the proof, note that property (A2) for \mathbb{P}_+ -a.e. \mathbf{a} follows from (C1) and (C2) by the Furstenberg-Kesten theorem on the existence of Lyapunov exponent. The a.s. validity of (A3) is immediate from the Birkhoff-Khinchin Ergodic Theorem and (C2). Finally, the property (A1') for \mathbb{P}_+ -a.e. \mathbf{a} is a consequence of (C1), (C3), and the following standard fact.

Observation. *Let (X, T, μ) be an ergodic measure-preserving system and $A \subset X$ is measurable, with $\mu(A) > 0$. Then for μ -a.e. $x \in X$, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 = n_0(x)$ such that*

$$\forall n \geq n_0, \exists k \in [n(1 - \varepsilon), n] \cap \mathbb{N} : T^k x \in A.$$

To verify the latter, it suffices to note that by the Ergodic Theorem, for a.e. x we have

$$n^{-1} \cdot \#\{k \in [0, n-1] \cap \mathbb{N} : T^k x \in A\} \rightarrow \mu(A), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Now we state the main result for S -adic systems.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\mathbf{a} = (\zeta_j)_{j \geq 1} \in \mathfrak{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of substitutions satisfying the conditions (A1'), (A2), and (A3), such that the S -adic shift $(X_{\mathbf{a}}, T)$ is aperiodic and \mathbf{a} is recognizable. Let $\vec{s} \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$ and consider the suspension flow $(\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbf{a}}^{\vec{s}}, h_t, \tilde{\mu})$ over the uniquely ergodic system $(X_{\mathbf{a}}, T, \mu)$. Let $f(x, t) = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{1}_{[j]}(x) \cdot \psi_j(t)$ be a Lip-cylindrical function and σ_f the corresponding spectral measure. Fix $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ and let*

$$\xi = \omega \vec{s} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}^m}, \quad \vec{z} = (\widehat{\psi}_j(\omega))_{j \in \mathcal{A}}.$$

Suppose that $\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \xi, \vec{z}}^+ > 0$. Then

$$(4.4) \quad \underline{d}(\sigma_f, \omega) = 2 - \frac{2\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \xi, \vec{z}}^+}{\lambda_{\mathbf{a}}}.$$

If $\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \xi, \vec{z}}^+ \leq 0$, then

$$(4.5) \quad \underline{d}(\sigma_f, \omega) \geq 2.$$

Notice that if $\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \xi, \vec{z}}^+ > 0$, then also $\lambda_{\mathbf{a}} \geq \chi_{\mathbf{a}, \xi, \vec{z}}^+ > 0$, so the formula in (4.4) is well-defined.

As a special case, we can consider a single primitive aperiodic substitution ζ , and Theorem 4.3 applies to suspension flows over the classical substitution dynamical system (X_{ζ}, T) , see Section 4.2 for more details.

The next two corollaries are very similar to the ones from the previous section, but since here the setting is an individual S -adic system, we state them explicitly. Recall that simple cylindrical functions have the form $f(x, t) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} b_a \mathbb{1}_{[a]}(x)$, that is, $\psi_a(\omega) = b_a \mathbb{1}_{[0, s_a]}$. Then by (3.8):

$$\vec{z} = (\widehat{\psi}_a(\omega))_{a \in \mathcal{A}} = \left(\frac{b_a(1 - e^{-2\pi i \omega s_a})}{2\pi i \omega} \right)_{a \in \mathcal{A}} =: \Gamma_{\omega} \vec{b}, \quad \text{for } \omega \neq 0,$$

and for $\omega = 0$ we have $\vec{z} = \Gamma_0(\vec{b}) = \vec{b}$. Let \vec{e}_j be the j -th unit coordinate vector in \mathbb{C}^m .

Corollary 4.4. *Let $\mathbf{a} = (\zeta_j)_{j \geq 1} \in \mathfrak{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of substitutions satisfying the conditions (A1'), (A2), and (A3), such that the S -adic shift $(X_{\mathbf{a}}, T)$ is aperiodic and \mathbf{a} is recognizable. Let $\vec{s} \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$ and consider the suspension flow $(\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbf{a}}^{\vec{s}}, h_t, \tilde{\mu})$ over the uniquely ergodic system $(X_{\mathbf{a}}, T, \mu)$.*

(i) *Let $\omega \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \bigcup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} (s_a^{-1} \cdot \mathbb{Z})$. For any $\vec{b} \in \mathbb{C}^m$ there exists $j \leq m$ such that*

$$\text{card} \left\{ c \in \mathbb{C} : \chi_{\mathbf{a}, \omega \vec{s}, \Gamma_{\omega}(\vec{b} + c \vec{e}_j)}^+ < \chi_{\mathbf{a}, \omega \vec{s}}^+ \right\} \leq 1,$$

and hence for all perturbations of \vec{b} along the j -th direction, except possibly one, the simple cylindrical function f corresponding to it, satisfies

$$\underline{d}(\sigma_f, \omega) = 2 - \frac{2\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \omega \vec{s}}^+}{\lambda_{\mathbf{a}}}, \text{ assuming } \chi_{\mathbf{a}, \omega \vec{s}}^+ > 0.$$

(ii) For Lebesgue-a.e. $\vec{b} \in \mathbb{C}^m$, the simple cylindrical function f corresponding to \vec{b} satisfies

$$\underline{d}(\sigma_f, \omega) = 2 - \frac{2\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \omega \vec{s}}^+}{\lambda_{\mathbf{a}}} \text{ for Lebesgue-a.e. } \omega \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ such that } \chi_{\mathbf{a}, \omega \vec{s}}^+ > 0.$$

Corollary 4.5. Let $\mathbf{a} = (\zeta_j)_{j \geq 1} \in \mathfrak{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of substitutions satisfying the conditions (A1'), (A2), and (A3), such that the S -adic shift $(X_{\mathbf{a}}, T)$ is aperiodic and \mathbf{a} is recognizable. Let $\vec{s} \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$. Then

- (i) we have $\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \omega \vec{s}}^+ \leq \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{\mathbf{a}}$ for Lebesgue-a.e. $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$, but $\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \omega \vec{s}}^+ \geq \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{\mathbf{a}}$ for σ_f -a.e. $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (ii) if $\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \omega \vec{s}}^+ < \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{\mathbf{a}}$ for Lebesgue-a.e. $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$, then for any cylindrical function f on $\mathfrak{X}_{\zeta}^{\mathbf{a}}$, the spectral measure σ_f is purely singular;
- (iii) if $\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \omega \vec{s}}^+ < \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{\mathbf{a}}$ for Lebesgue-a.e. $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$, and moreover, $\omega \mapsto \det(\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{a}}(\omega \vec{s}, \ell))$ is not constant zero for all ℓ , then the flow $(\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbf{a}}^{\vec{s}}, \tilde{\mu}, h_t)$ has purely singular spectrum.

Corollaries 4.4 and 4.5 will be proven in Section 7. A sufficient condition for $\det(\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{a}}(\omega \vec{s}, \ell)) \neq 0$ is $\det(S^{[\ell]}) \neq 0$, since then $\det(\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{a}}(\omega \vec{s}, \ell))$ is a non-trivial trigonometric polynomial.

4.1. Recognizability and higher-level cylindrical functions. As already mentioned, we need higher-order cylindrical functions to describe the spectral type of the flow. Recognizability of \mathbf{a} implies that

$$(4.6) \quad \mathcal{P}_n = \{T^i(\zeta^{[n]}[a]) : a \in \mathcal{A}, 0 \leq i < |\zeta^{[n]}(a)|\}$$

is a sequence of Kakutani-Rokhlin partitions for $n \geq n_0(\mathbf{a})$, which generates the Borel σ -algebra on the space $X_{\mathbf{a}}$. We emphasize that, in general, $\zeta^{[n]}[a]$ may be a proper subset of $[\zeta^{[n]}(a)]$.

Using the uniqueness of the representation (2.4) and the Kakutani-Rokhlin partitions (4.6), we obtain for $n \geq n_0$:

$$\mu([a]) = \sum_{b \in \mathcal{A}} S^{[n]}(a, b) \mu(\zeta^{[n]}[b]), \quad a \in \mathcal{A},$$

hence

$$(4.7) \quad \vec{\mu}_0 = S^{[n]} \vec{\mu}_n, \quad \text{where } \vec{\mu}_n = (\mu(\zeta^{[n]}[b]))_{b \in \mathcal{A}}$$

is a column-vector. Similarly to (4.7), we have that

$$(4.8) \quad \vec{\mu}_n = S_{n+1} \vec{\mu}_{n+1}, \quad n \geq n_0.$$

Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows from recognizability that the suspension flow $(\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbf{a}}^{\vec{s}}, \tilde{\mu}, h_t)$ is measurably isomorphic to the suspension flow over the system $(\zeta^{[\ell]}(X_{\sigma^\ell \mathbf{a}}), \zeta^{[\ell]} \circ T \circ (\zeta^{[\ell]})^{-1})$, with the induced measure, and a piecewise-constant roof function given by the vector

$$\vec{s}^{(\ell)} = (s_a^{(\ell)})_{a \in \mathcal{A}} := (\mathbf{S}^{[\ell]})^t \vec{s}.$$

(If we think about the suspension flow as a tiling system, this procedure corresponds to considering “supertiles” of order ℓ .) We have a union, disjoint in measure:

$$\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbf{a}}^{\vec{s}} = \bigcup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \zeta^{[\ell]}[a] \times [0, s_a^{(\ell)}].$$

Note that this works correctly in terms of total measure of the space: by (4.7) we have

$$\tilde{\mu}(\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbf{a}}^{\vec{s}}) = \langle \vec{\mu}_0, \vec{s} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{S}^{[\ell]} \vec{\mu}_\ell, \vec{s} \rangle = \langle \vec{\mu}_\ell, \vec{s}^{(\ell)} \rangle.$$

Correspondingly, define f to be a Lip-cylindrical function of level ℓ if

$$f(x, t) = \psi_a^{(\ell)}(t), \quad x \in \zeta^{[\ell]}[a], \quad 0 \leq t < s_a^{(\ell)},$$

for some $\psi_a \in \text{Lip}[0, s_a^{(\ell)}]$, $a \leq m$. The union of cylindrical functions of all levels is dense in $L^2(\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbf{a}}^{\vec{s}})$, see Lemma 7.1 below, hence the maximal spectral type of the flow may be expressed in terms of their spectral measures.

For a generalization of Theorem 4.3 to the case of cylindrical function of level ℓ we need a version of condition (A2):

(A2- ℓ)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|\mathbf{S}_{\ell+1} \cdot \dots \cdot \mathbf{S}_{\ell+n}\| = \lambda_{\mathbf{a}}.$$

Observe that condition (A2- ℓ) follows from (A2) if the substitution matrices are invertible, in view of the inequalities

$$\|\mathbf{S}_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \mathbf{S}_{\ell+n}\| \cdot \|\mathbf{S}_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \mathbf{S}_\ell\|^{-1} \leq \|\mathbf{S}_{\ell+1} \cdot \dots \cdot \mathbf{S}_{\ell+n}\| \leq \|(\mathbf{S}_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \mathbf{S}_\ell)^{-1}\| \cdot \|\mathbf{S}_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \mathbf{S}_{\ell+n}\|.$$

Theorem 4.6. *Let $\mathbf{a} = \zeta_1 \zeta_2, \dots$ be a sequence of substitutions defined on $\mathcal{A} = \{1, \dots, m\}$, satisfying the conditions (A1'), (A2- ℓ), and (A3), such that the S -adic shift $(X_{\mathbf{a}}, T)$ is aperiodic and \mathbf{a} is recognizable. Let $\vec{s} \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$ and consider the suspension flow $(\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbf{a}}^{\vec{s}}, h_t, \tilde{\mu})$ over the S -adic system $(X_{\mathbf{a}}, T, \mu)$. For $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ let $f(x, t) = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{1}_{\zeta^{[\ell]}[j]}(x) \cdot \psi_j^{(\ell)}(t)$ be a Lip-cylindrical function of level ℓ and σ_f the corresponding spectral measure. Fix $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $\xi \in \mathbb{T}^m$ be such that*

$$\xi = \omega \vec{s}^{(\ell)} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}^m}.$$

Further, define

$$\vec{z} = (\widehat{\psi}_j^{(\ell)}(\omega))_{j \in \mathcal{A}}.$$

Suppose that $\chi_{\mathbf{a},\xi,\vec{z}}^+ > 0$. Then

$$(4.9) \quad \underline{d}(\sigma_f, \omega) = 2 - \frac{2\chi_{\mathbf{a},\xi,\vec{z}}^+}{\lambda_{\mathbf{a}}}.$$

If $\chi_{\mathbf{a},\xi,\vec{z}}^+ \leq 0$, then

$$(4.10) \quad \underline{d}(\sigma_f, \omega) \geq 2.$$

This theorem has corollaries that are exact analogues of Corollaries 4.4 and 4.5 for the higher-level cylindrical functions.

4.2. Case of a single substitution. As a special case of an S -adic system, we can consider $\mathbf{a} = (\zeta_n)_{n \geq 1}$, where $\zeta_n \equiv \zeta$, a fixed primitive aperiodic substitution on \mathcal{A} . Thus we obtain results on the spectral measures of substitution \mathbb{R} -actions as corollaries. We note that suspension flows in this case have been studied as tiling dynamical systems on the line, with interval prototiles of length s_a , $a \in \mathcal{A}$, see [10, 19, 41].

Assuming $\det(S_\zeta) \neq 0$, consider the toral endomorphism

$$(4.11) \quad E_\zeta : \xi \mapsto S_\zeta^t \xi \pmod{\mathbb{Z}^m},$$

which preserves the Haar measure. Then the matrix-function from Definition 4.1 becomes

$$(4.12) \quad \mathcal{M}_\zeta(\xi, n) := \mathcal{M}_\zeta((E_\zeta)^{n-1} \xi) \cdot \dots \cdot \mathcal{M}_\zeta(\xi) = \mathcal{M}_{\zeta^n}(\xi)$$

and forms a complex matrix cocycle over the endomorphism (4.11). All the conditions (A1'), (A2), (A3) clearly hold, so we obtain Theorems 4.3, 4.6, and the corollaries, specialized to the case of a single substitution. Observe that now

$$\lambda_{\mathbf{a}} = \log \theta_1,$$

where θ_1 is the Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue of the substitution matrix. In the case of a single substitution we denote the pointwise upper Lyapunov exponents by $\chi_{\zeta,\xi}^+$.

We note that in [17, Prop. 7.2] a lower bound for the local dimension of σ_f is obtained for substitution \mathbb{Z} -actions, which is similar in spirit to the lower bound in (4.4) in the single substitution case.

Now suppose that S_ζ has no eigenvalues that are roots of unity. Then the endomorphism E_ζ of the torus \mathbb{T}^m induced by S_ζ^t is ergodic, and Furstenberg-Kesten Theorem [24] yields that the top Lyapunov exponent exists and is constant almost everywhere:

$$\exists \chi(\mathcal{M}_\zeta) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|\mathcal{M}_\zeta(\xi, n)\| \quad \text{for a.e. } \xi \in \mathbb{T}^m.$$

Corollary 4.7. *Suppose that the toral endomorphism E_ζ is ergodic. Then*

- (i) *the top Lyapunov exponent of the spectral cocycle satisfies $\chi(\mathcal{M}_\zeta) \leq \frac{1}{2} \log \theta_1$;*
- (ii) *if $\chi(\mathcal{M}_\zeta) < \frac{1}{2} \log \theta_1$, then for a.e. $\vec{s} \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$, the flow $(\mathfrak{X}_{\zeta,\vec{s}}^{\vec{\mu}}, \tilde{\mu}, h_t)$ has purely singular spectrum.*

This corollary is immediate from Corollary 4.5. Indeed, the condition on the determinant in part (iii) of that corollary holds automatically, since $\det(S_\zeta) \neq 0$ by assumption. Note that, even in the ergodic case, Corollary 4.5 is stronger, since it makes a claim about the flow under *every* piecewise-constant roof function, and not just almost every, as follows from Corollary 4.7 and Fubini's Theorem. It would be interesting to find explicit examples of purely singular spectrum using Corollaries 4.5 and 4.7.

Remark 4.8. (i) Corollaries 4.4 and 4.5 provide information about dimension of spectral measures and pointwise Lyapunov exponents, as well as a sufficient condition for singularity, for a (geometric) substitution tiling on the line corresponding to the substitution ζ and the tile lengths given by an arbitrary vector $\vec{s} \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$. It is not hard to show that if \vec{s} and \vec{s}' belong to the same *stable manifold* of E_ζ , that is, if

$$(4.13) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_\zeta^n(\vec{s} - \vec{s}') = 0,$$

then the corresponding pointwise Lyapunov exponents are equal: $\chi_{\zeta, \omega \vec{s}}^+ = \chi_{\zeta, \omega \vec{s}'}^+$, for any $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$, and hence we have an equality for the dimension of spectral measures. In fact, by a theorem of Clark and Sadun [19, Theorem 3.1] the two suspension flows $(\mathfrak{X}_\zeta^{\vec{s}}, \tilde{\mu}, h_t)$ and $(\mathfrak{X}_\zeta^{\vec{s}'}, \tilde{\mu}, h_t)$ are topologically conjugate, provided (4.13) holds.

(ii) The *Pisot case*, when all the eigenvalues of S_ζ , other than θ_1 , lie inside the unit circle, has attracted much attention of the researchers. It is still an open problem, whether in the general Pisot case the spectrum is pure discrete, but it is known that there is a large discrete spectrum, dense on the line. Sadun [40] called a substitution “plastic” if arbitrary changes of tile lengths (vector $\vec{s} \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$) result in a topologically conjugate system, up to an overall scale. Pisot substitutions are plastic by the Clark-Sadun [19, Theorem 3.1], and more generally, “homological Pisot substitutions” of [9].

4.3. Self-similar suspension flow; comparison with the work of Baake et al. Consider the *self-similar* suspension flow over a substitution, when the vector \vec{s} defining the roof function is given by the Perron-Frobenius eigenvector of S_ζ^t . In particular, this is the case of translation flows along stable/unstable foliations for a pseudo-Anosov diffeomorphism. Then one gets

$$(4.14) \quad \mathcal{M}_\zeta(\omega \vec{s}, n) := \mathcal{M}_\zeta(\theta_1^{n-1} \omega \vec{s}) \cdot \dots \cdot \mathcal{M}_\zeta(\theta_1 \omega \vec{s}) \cdot \mathcal{M}_\zeta(\omega \vec{s}).$$

Baake et al. [4, 6, 7] studied the diffraction spectrum of substitution systems in the self-similar non-constant length case, with a goal of proving that the spectrum is pure singular. There is a well-known connection between the diffraction and dynamical spectrum of a system, see [21, 26, 8], so that the questions are closely related. Baake et al. work with (4.14) as a cocycle on \mathbb{R} over the infinite-measure preserving action $\omega \mapsto \theta_1 \omega$. They represent the diffraction measure as a matrix Riesz product, using a weak-star limit of (4.14), appropriately normalized and viewed as a matrix of densities of absolutely continuous measures. (We note that a similar generalized Riesz product

expression for the matrix of spectral measures for a substitution \mathbb{Z} -action was given in [17, Lemma 2.2].) Building on this expression, Baake et al. obtain functional equations for the absolutely continuous components of the diffraction measures, which under certain conditions, expressed in terms of the upper Lyapunov exponents of (4.14), imply triviality of these components and hence singularity of the spectrum. Earlier this approach was used in the constant length case, in particular, for the Thue-Morse substitution and its generalizations [28, 5]. In comparison, our proof of singularity is based on growth estimates of twisted Birkhoff integrals, which then yield pointwise dimensions of spectral measures incompatible with a non-trivial absolutely continuous component.

To be more specific, in the paper [4] estimates for the upper Lyapunov exponent of (4.14) at almost every $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ were used to establish singularity of the diffraction measure for a non-Pisot substitution on a two-letter alphabet, defined by $0 \rightarrow 0111$, $1 \rightarrow 0$, and in [6] this was extended to the family of substitutions $0 \rightarrow 01^k$, $1 \rightarrow 0$, with $k \geq 4$. In the paper [7] a general primitive substitution is considered. [7, Theorem 3.24] may be restated as a claim that if $\chi_{\zeta, \omega \vec{s}}^+ < \frac{1}{2} \log \theta_1$, for Lebesgue-a.e. $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$, then the diffraction measure is singular. This can be deduced from Corollary 4.5(ii). In the special case of self-similar flow, Corollary 4.5(i) is proved in [7, Theorem 3.29] directly.

The almost sure existence of the Lyapunov exponent for (4.14) is not clear, except in the Pisot case and in the constant length case, see [7]. Considering the cocycle (4.12) on the torus we automatically obtain the existence of the Lyapunov exponent in the ergodic case almost everywhere on \mathbb{T}^m , but, of course, the set $\{\omega \vec{s} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}^m} : \omega \in \mathbb{R}\}$ for a fixed \vec{s} has Haar measure zero.

It should be mentioned that Queffelec [38] made extensive use of generalized matrix Riesz products to represent spectral measures for constant length substitutions. Various classes of Riesz products appeared in the description of the spectral type of measure-preserving systems in other contexts, see e.g. [29, 14, 20, 1].

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In the next section we obtain estimates relating the growth of twisted Birkhoff integrals to the local behaviour of spectral measures. It is completely general and applies to any measure-preserving flow. In Section 6 we prove Theorems 4.3 and 4.6, and then derive Theorems 3.6 and 3.10. Section 7 contains the remaining proofs. Finally, in the Appendix, Section 8, we verify Hölder continuity for a.e. translation flow in $\mathcal{H}(1, 1)$.

5. SPECTRAL ESTIMATES

Let (Y, μ, h_t) be a measure-preserving flow. For $f \in L^2(Y, \mu)$, $R > 0$, $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$, and $y \in Y$ let

$$G_R(f, \omega) = R^{-1} \left\| \int_0^R e^{-2\pi i \omega t} f(h_t y) dt \right\|_{L^2(Y)}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad S_R^y(f, \omega) = \int_0^R e^{-2\pi i \omega t} f(h_t y) dt,$$

so that

$$G_R(f, \omega) = R^{-1} \int_Y |S_R^y(f, \omega)|^2 d\mu(y).$$

Let σ_f be the spectral measure for the flow, defined by

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi i \omega t} d\sigma_f(\omega) = \langle f \circ h_t, f \rangle_{L^2}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

It is well-known that

$$(5.1) \quad G_R(f, \omega) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_R(\omega - \tau) d\sigma_f(\tau), \quad \text{where } K_R(y) = R^{-1} \left(\frac{\sin(\pi R y)}{\pi y} \right)^2, \text{ for } y \neq 0,$$

and $K_R(0) := R$, is the Fejér kernel for \mathbb{R} , see e.g., [25, 2.2]. The following lemma is a minor variation of a result by A. Hof [25, 2.2], and is a special case of [17, Lemma 3.1].

Lemma 5.1. *Suppose that for some fixed $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha \geq 0$, fixed $R \geq 1$, and $f \in L^2(Y, \mu)$ we have*

$$G_R(f, \omega) \leq C_1 R^{1-\alpha}.$$

Then

$$\sigma_f(B_r(\omega)) \leq C_1 \pi^2 2^\alpha r^\alpha, \quad \text{for } r = (2R)^{-1}.$$

Proof. Note that $|\sin x| \geq (2/\pi)|x|$ for $|x| \leq \pi/2$, hence $K_R(y) \geq 4R/\pi^2$ for $|y| \leq (2R)^{-1}$. Thus, (5.1) implies $\sigma_f(B_{\frac{1}{2R}}(\omega)) \leq \frac{\pi^2}{4R} G_R(f, \omega)$, and the desired estimate follows. \square

The next lemma is also inspired by [25], however, there is a difference, since we are interested in estimates for a fixed ω , whereas A. Hof considers uniform Hölder continuity on an interval.

Lemma 5.2. *Suppose that for some fixed $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, $r_0 \in (0, 1)$, and $f \in L^2(Y, \mu)$ we have*

$$(5.2) \quad \sigma_f(B_r(\omega)) \leq C_2 r^\alpha, \quad \text{for all } r \in (0, r_0).$$

Then

$$(5.3) \quad G_R(f, \omega) \leq C_3 R^{1-\alpha}, \quad \text{for all } R \geq r_0^{-\frac{2}{2-\alpha}},$$

where one can take

$$C_3 = C_2 \left(1 + \frac{2}{\pi^2(2-\alpha)} \right) + \pi^{-2} \|f\|_2^2.$$

The “borderline case” of $\alpha = 2$ is considered in the next lemma. The result is similar, but with a logarithmic correction.

Lemma 5.3. *Suppose that for some fixed $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$, $r_0 \in (0, e^{-1})$, and $f \in L^2(Y, \mu)$ we have*

$$(5.4) \quad \sigma_f(B_r(\omega)) \leq \tilde{C}_2 r^2, \quad \text{for all } r \in (0, r_0).$$

Then

$$(5.5) \quad G_R(f, \omega) \leq \tilde{C}_3 R^{-1} \ln R, \quad \text{for all } R \geq \max\{r_0^{-1}, e^{r_0^{-2}}\},$$

where one can take

$$\tilde{C}_3 = \tilde{C}_2(1 + 2\pi^{-2}) + \pi^{-2}\|f\|_2^2.$$

Observe that

$$K_R(y) \leq \begin{cases} R, & |y| \leq \frac{1}{R}; \\ \frac{1}{\pi^2 R y^2}, & |y| > \frac{1}{R}. \end{cases}$$

It follows that

$$(5.6) \quad G_R(f, \omega) \leq R \cdot \sigma_f(B_{1/R}(\omega)) + \frac{1}{\pi^2 R} \int_{|\tau - \omega| > 1/R} \frac{d\sigma_f(\tau)}{(\omega - \tau)^2}.$$

Proof of Lemma 5.2. Let $R \geq r_0^{-\frac{2}{2-\alpha}}$. By (5.2), the first term in (5.6) is not greater than $C_2 R^{1-\alpha}$, since $R^{-1} \leq r_0^{\frac{2}{2-\alpha}} \leq r_0$. The integral in the second term can be rewritten, and then estimated, as

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|\tau - \omega| > 1/R} \frac{d\sigma_f(\tau)}{(\omega - \tau)^2} &= \int_0^{R^2} \sigma_f(\{\tau : R^2 > |\tau - \omega|^{-2} \geq t\}) dt \\ &= 2 \int_{1/R}^{\infty} \sigma_f(\{\tau : R^2 > |\tau - \omega|^{-2} \geq x^{-2}\}) x^{-3} dx \\ &= 2 \int_{1/R}^{\infty} \sigma_f(\{\tau : R^{-1} < |\tau - \omega| \leq x\}) x^{-3} dx \\ &\leq 2 \int_{1/R}^{\infty} \sigma_f(B_x(\omega)) x^{-3} dx \\ &= 2 \left(\int_{1/R}^{R^{-\frac{2-\alpha}{2}}} + \int_{R^{-\frac{2-\alpha}{2}}}^{\infty} \right) \sigma_f(B_x(\omega)) x^{-3} dx. \end{aligned}$$

The first integral in the last line may be estimated from above by

$$2 \int_{1/R}^{R^{-\frac{2-\alpha}{2}}} \sigma_f(B_x(\omega)) x^{-3} dx \leq 2C_2 \int_{1/R}^{\infty} x^{\alpha-3} dx = \frac{2C_2 R^{2-\alpha}}{2-\alpha}.$$

In the second integral, we simply use that σ_f is a positive measure of total mass $\|f\|_2^2$ to obtain

$$2 \int_{R^{-\frac{2-\alpha}{2}}}^{\infty} \sigma_f(B_x(\omega)) x^{-3} dx \leq 2\|f\|_2^2 \int_{R^{-\frac{2-\alpha}{2}}}^{\infty} x^{-3} dx = \|f\|_2^2 \cdot R^{2-\alpha}.$$

Combining these together with (5.6) yields (5.3). \square

Proof of Lemma 5.3. Let $R \geq \max\{r_0^{-1}, e^{r_0^{-2}}\}$. By (5.4), the first term in (5.6) is not greater than $\tilde{C}_2 R^{-1} \leq \tilde{C}_2 R^{-1} \log R$, where we used $R \geq r_0^{-1} \geq e$. The integral in the second term of (5.6) can be estimated as follows (the change of variable is the same as in the proof of Lemma 5.2):

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|\tau-\omega|>1/R} \frac{d\sigma_f(\tau)}{(\omega-\tau)^2} &\leq 2 \int_{1/R}^{\infty} \sigma_f(B_x(\omega)) x^{-3} dx \\ &= 2 \left(\int_{1/R}^{1/\sqrt{\log R}} + \int_{1/\sqrt{\log R}}^{\infty} \right) \sigma_f(B_x(\omega)) x^{-3} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\sqrt{\log R} \leq r_0$, so the first integral above can be estimated from above using (5.4):

$$2 \int_{1/R}^{1/\sqrt{\log R}} \sigma_f(B_x(\omega)) x^{-3} dx \leq 2\tilde{C}_2 \int_{1/R}^1 x^{-1} dx = 2\tilde{C}_2 \log R.$$

For the second integral, we have

$$2 \int_{1/\sqrt{\log R}}^{\infty} \sigma_f(B_x(\omega)) x^{-3} dx \leq 2\|f\|_2^2 \int_{1/\sqrt{\log R}}^{\infty} x^{-3} dx = 2\|f\|_2^2 \cdot \log R.$$

Combining these together with (5.6) yields (5.5). \square

The following remark is (essentially) taken from [25, 2.5].

Remark 5.4. (i) For all $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $\sigma_f(\{\omega\}) = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} R^{-1} G_R(f, \omega)$, since $R^{-1} K_R$ tends to zero uniformly outside any neighborhood of 0, and $R^{-1} K_R(0) = 1$.

(ii) Let $d\sigma_f = h dx + d(\sigma_f)_{\text{sing}}$ be the decomposition of the spectral measure into the absolutely continuous and singular parts. Then

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} G_R(f, \omega) = h(\omega) \text{ for Lebesgue-a.e. } \omega \in \mathbb{R}.$$

6. PROOF OF THE THEOREMS

6.1. Twisted ergodic integrals and the spectral cocycle. Using the results from the previous section, we can obtain local bounds on the spectral measure from estimates of the growth of twisted ergodic integrals

$$(6.1) \quad S_R^{(y)}(f, \omega) := \int_0^R e^{-2\pi i \omega \tau} f \circ h_\tau(y) d\tau.$$

Recall that we consider suspension flows over an S -adic system, with a roof function determined by $\vec{s} = (s_j)_{j \in \mathcal{A}} \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$. Let f be a Lip-cylindrical function. This means, by definition, that there are functions $\psi_j \in \text{Lip}([0, s_j])$ for $j \in \mathcal{A}$, and $f = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{A}} f_j$, where

$$f_j(x, t) = \begin{cases} \psi_j(t), & t \in [0, s_j], \text{ if } x_0 = j; \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

By definition, $(x, t) = h_t(x, 0)$, with $t \in [0, s_{x_0}]$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} |S_R^{(x,t)}(f, \omega)| &= \left| \int_0^R e^{-2\pi i \omega \tau} f \circ h_{\tau+t}(x, 0) d\tau \right| \\ &= \left| \int_t^{R+t} e^{-2\pi i \omega \tau} f \circ h_\tau(x, 0) d\tau \right|. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(6.2) \quad \left| S_R^{(x,t)}(f, \omega) - S_R^{(x,0)}(f, \omega) \right| \leq 2\|f\|_\infty \cdot s_{\max},$$

where $s_{\max} = \max_{j \in \mathcal{A}} s_j$.

For a word $v \in \mathcal{A}^+$ denote by $\vec{\ell}(v) \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ its population vector whose j -th entry is the number of j 's in v , for $j \leq m$. We will need the tiling length of $v = v_0 \dots v_{N-1}$ defined by

$$(6.3) \quad |v|_{\vec{s}} := \langle \vec{\ell}(v), \vec{s} \rangle = s_{v_0} + \dots + s_{v_{N-1}}.$$

Fix $x \in X_{\mathbf{a}}$ and denote $x[0, N-1] = x_0 \dots x_{N-1}$. For $R \geq s_{\min} = \min_{j \in \mathcal{A}} s_j$, let $N \geq 1$ be maximal such that $\tilde{R} := |x[0, N-1]|_{\vec{s}} \leq R$. Then $|R - \tilde{R}| \leq s_{\max}$, hence

$$(6.4) \quad \left| S_R^{(x,0)}(f, \omega) - S_{\tilde{R}}^{(x,0)}(f, \omega) \right| \leq \|f\|_\infty \cdot s_{\max}.$$

The combined error term in (6.2) and (6.4) is at most $3\|f\|_\infty \cdot s_{\max}$, so it suffices to get bounds on the growth of $|S_{\tilde{R}}^{(x,0)}(f, \omega)|$, with $\tilde{R} = |x[0, N-1]|_{\vec{s}}$.

Let $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an arbitrary function (this is just a vector in \mathbb{C}^m , but it is sometimes convenient to view it as a function in the alphabet). For $v = v_0 \dots v_{N-1} \in \mathcal{A}^+$ let

$$(6.5) \quad \Phi_\varphi^{\vec{s}}(v, \omega) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \varphi(v_j) \exp(-2\pi i \omega |v_0 \dots v_j|_{\vec{s}}).$$

In view of

$$f \circ h_\tau(x, 0) = \psi_{x_n}(\tau - (s_{x_0} + \dots + s_{x_{n-1}})), \quad \text{for } s_{x_0} + \dots + s_{x_{n-1}} \leq \tau < s_{x_0} + \dots + s_{x_n},$$

a calculation yields

$$(6.6) \quad S_R^{(x,0)}(f, \omega) = \Phi_\varphi^{\vec{s}}(x[0, N-1], \omega) \quad \text{for } R = |x[0, N-1]|_{\vec{s}}, \quad \text{where } \varphi(j) = \widehat{\psi}_j(\omega).$$

In view of (6.5), for any concatenation of two words uv we have

$$(6.7) \quad \Phi_\varphi^{\vec{s}}(uv, \omega) = \Phi_\varphi^{\vec{s}}(u, \omega) + e^{-2\pi i \omega |u|_{\vec{s}}} \cdot \Phi_\varphi^{\vec{s}}(v, \omega).$$

Denote

$$\Phi_j^{\vec{s}}(v, \omega) = \Phi_{\delta_j}^{\vec{s}}(v, \omega),$$

where $\delta_j(i) = 1$ whenever $i = j$, and else 0. Observe that

$$(6.8) \quad \left[\Phi_a^{\vec{s}}(\zeta^{[n]}(b), \omega) \right]_{(a,b) \in \mathcal{A}^2} \cdot \vec{\varphi} = \left[\Phi_\varphi^{\vec{s}}(\zeta^{[n]}(b), \omega) \right]_{b \in \mathcal{A}},$$

where $[\Phi_a^{\vec{s}}(\zeta^{[n]}(b), \omega)]_{(a,b) \in \mathcal{A}^2}$ is a matrix-function, and $\vec{\varphi} := (\varphi(a))_{a \in \mathcal{A}}$ and the right-hand side of (6.8) are column vectors. Suppose that

$$\zeta_j(b) = u_1^{b,j} \dots u_{|\zeta_j(b)|}^{b,j}, \quad b \in \mathcal{A}, \quad j \geq 1,$$

and let

$$(6.9) \quad (\mathbf{M}_{[n]}^{\vec{s}}(\omega))(b, c) = \sum_{j \leq |\zeta_n(b)|: u_j^{b,n} = c} \exp \left[-2\pi i \omega \left(|\zeta^{[n-1]}(u_1^{b,n} \dots u_{j-1}^{b,n})|_{\vec{s}} \right) \right], \quad n \geq 1.$$

We then obtain, as in [18, Section 3.3]:

$$(6.10) \quad \left[\Phi_a^{\vec{s}}(\zeta^{[n]}(b), \omega) \right]_{(a,b) \in \mathcal{A}^2} = \mathbf{M}_{[n]}^{\vec{s}}(\omega) \cdot \dots \cdot \mathbf{M}_{[1]}^{\vec{s}}(\omega).$$

The formula (6.9) may be rewritten using

$$(6.11) \quad |\zeta^{[n-1]}(u_1^{b,n} \dots u_{j-1}^{b,n})|_{\vec{s}} = \langle \ell(\zeta^{[n-1]}(u_1^{b,n} \dots u_{j-1}^{b,n})), \vec{s} \rangle = \\ = \langle \mathbf{S}^{[n-1]} \ell(u_1^{b,n} \dots u_{j-1}^{b,n}), \vec{s} \rangle = \langle \ell(u_1^{b,n} \dots u_{j-1}^{b,n}), (\mathbf{S}^{[n-1]})^t \vec{s} \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} ((\mathbf{S}^{[n-1]})^t \vec{s})_{u_k^{b,n}}.$$

Equations (6.9), (6.10), and (6.11), together with the Definition 4.1 of the cocycle, imply

$$(6.12) \quad \left[\Phi_a^{\vec{s}}(\zeta^{[n]}(b), \omega) \right]_{(a,b) \in \mathcal{A}^2} = \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{a}}(\xi, n), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \text{for } \xi = \omega \vec{s} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}^m}.$$

6.2. Prefix-suffix decomposition and its consequences. By the definition of S -adic sequence space, for $x \in X_{\mathbf{a}}$ and $N \geq 1$, we have

$$(6.13) \quad x[0, N-1] = u_0 \zeta_1(u_1) \zeta^{[2]}(u_2) \dots \zeta^{[n]}(u_n) \zeta^{[n]}(v_n) \dots \zeta^{[2]}(v_2) \zeta_1(v_1) v_0,$$

where u_j, v_j , $j = 0, \dots, n$, are respectively proper suffixes and prefixes of the words $\zeta_{j+1}(b)$, $b \in \mathcal{A}$. The words u_j, v_j may be empty, but at least one of u_n, v_n is nonempty; furthermore, we have

$$(6.14) \quad \min_{b \in \mathcal{A}} |\zeta^{[n]}(b)| \leq N \leq 2 \max_{b \in \mathcal{A}} |\zeta^{[n+1]}(b)|.$$

We will need upper and lower bounds for N in terms of n . Denote by $C = C_{\mathbf{a}, \xi, \varepsilon} > 1$ a generic constant, which may depend only on \mathbf{a} , ξ , and ε , but may differ from line to line. It follows from condition (A2) that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $C = C_{\mathbf{a}, \varepsilon}$ such that

$$(6.15) \quad C e^{(\lambda_{\mathbf{a}} - \varepsilon)j} \leq \|\mathbf{S}^{[j]}\|_1 \leq C e^{(\lambda_{\mathbf{a}} + \varepsilon)j} \quad \text{for all } j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Recall that $|\zeta^{[n]}(b)|$ is a column sum of the matrix $\mathbf{S}^{[n]}$. Thus, (6.14) and (6.15) yield, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$(6.16) \quad N \leq 2 \max_{b \in \mathcal{A}} |\zeta^{[n+1]}(b)| = 2 \|\mathbf{S}^{[n+1]}\|_1 \leq C e^{(\lambda_{\mathbf{a}} + \varepsilon)n}.$$

The lower bound for N is obtained in the next lemma, with the help of condition (A1').

Lemma 6.1. *For every $x \in X_{\mathbf{a}}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $C = C_{\mathbf{a}, \varepsilon} > 1$, such that for all $N \geq 1$:*

$$(6.17) \quad N \geq C^{-1} e^{(\lambda_{\mathbf{a}} - \varepsilon)n},$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is from (6.13).

Proof. We have $N \geq \min_{b \in \mathcal{A}} |\zeta^{[n]}(b)|$ by (6.14). Recall that $|\zeta^{[n]}(b)|$ is a column sum of the matrix $\mathbf{S}^{[n]}$. We have $\mathbf{S}^{[j+1]} = \mathbf{S}^{[j]} \mathbf{S}_{j+1}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Every substitution matrix $\mathbf{S}_j = \mathbf{S}_{\zeta_j}$ has non-negative integer entries, with at least one positive entry in each column. Thus $\min_{b \in \mathcal{A}} |\zeta^{[j+1]}(b)| \geq \min_{b \in \mathcal{A}} |\zeta^{[j]}(b)|$ for all j . By assumption, the matrix $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{q}}$ has only strictly positive entries, with $|\mathbf{q}| = |\ell|$, hence

$$(6.18) \quad \|\mathbf{S}^{[j]}\|_1 = \max_{b \in \mathcal{A}} |\zeta^{[j]}(b)| \leq \min_{b \in \mathcal{A}} |\zeta^{[j+\ell]}(b)|, \quad \text{whenever } \mathbf{a} = \zeta_1 \dots \zeta_j \mathbf{q} \zeta_{j+\ell+1} \dots$$

Let $\varepsilon' > 0$ be such that $(\lambda_{\mathbf{a}} - \varepsilon')(1 - \varepsilon') \geq (\lambda_{\mathbf{a}} - \varepsilon)$. By the assumption **(A1')**, we have that there exists $n_0 = n_0(\varepsilon', \mathbf{a})$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$ the word \mathbf{q} appears as a subword of $\zeta_{[n-n\varepsilon']+1}, \zeta_{[n-n\varepsilon']+2}, \dots, \zeta_n$. Then by (6.18) and (6.15) we have

$$N \geq \min_{b \in \mathcal{A}} |\zeta^{[n]}(b)| \geq C^{-1} e^{(\lambda_{\mathbf{a}} - \varepsilon')n(1-\varepsilon')} \geq C^{-1} e^{(\lambda_{\mathbf{a}} - \varepsilon)n}, \quad \text{for } n \geq n_0(\varepsilon, \mathbf{a}),$$

and by increasing the constant C we can make sure that (6.17) holds for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

Recall that $\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \xi, \vec{z}}^+$ is the pointwise upper Lyapunov exponent of the cocycle at ξ , corresponding to a given vector \vec{z} ; see (4.2) for the definition.

Lemma 6.2. *For every $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$, we have for all $x \in X_{\mathbf{a}}$ and $N \geq 1$,*

$$(6.19) \quad |\Phi_{\varphi}^{\vec{s}}(x[0, N-1], \omega)| \leq C_1 N^{(\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \xi, \vec{z}^{\pm \varepsilon}}^+ / \lambda_{\mathbf{a}})},$$

where $\xi = \omega \vec{s} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}^m}$, $\vec{z} = (\phi(a))_{a \in \mathcal{A}}$, and $C_1 = C_1(\mathbf{a}, \xi, \varphi, \varepsilon)$.

Proof. All constants in the proof may depend on \mathbf{a}, ξ, φ , and ε , but not on n and N . In view of Lemma 6.1, it is enough to show that for every $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$, we have for all $x \in X_{\mathbf{a}}$ and $N \geq 1$,

$$|\Phi_{\varphi}^{\vec{s}}(x[0, N-1], \omega)| \leq C e^{(\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \xi, \vec{z}^{\pm \varepsilon}}^+ / \lambda_{\mathbf{a}})n},$$

where n and N are related by (6.14) and $\xi = \omega \vec{s} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}^m}$. By (6.13) and (6.5), we have

$$(6.20) \quad \begin{aligned} |\Phi_{\varphi}^{\vec{s}}(x[0, N-1], \omega)| &\leq \sum_{j=0}^n \left(|\Phi_{\varphi}^{\vec{s}}(\zeta^{[j]}(u_j), \omega)| + |\Phi_{\varphi}^{\vec{s}}(\zeta^{[j]}(v_j), \omega)| \right) \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{j=0}^n \|\mathbf{S}_{j+1}\|_1 \cdot \max_{b \in \mathcal{A}} |\Phi_{\varphi}^{\vec{s}}(\zeta^{[j]}(b), \omega)|. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used that $|u_j|, |v_j| \leq \max_{b \in \mathcal{A}} |\zeta_{j+1}(b)| = \|\mathbf{S}_{j+1}\|_1$. Now, by (6.20), (6.12), and (6.8),

$$(6.21) \quad |\Phi_{\varphi}^{\vec{s}}(x[0, N-1], \omega)| \leq 2 \sum_{j=0}^n \|\mathbf{S}_{j+1}\|_1 \cdot \|\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{a}}(\xi, j) \vec{z}\|_1.$$

By the definition of the upper Lyapunov exponent,

$$\|\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{a}}(\xi, j)\vec{z}\|_1 \leq C e^{(\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \xi, \vec{z}+\varepsilon/2}^+)^j}, \quad \text{for all } j \geq 0.$$

Now we obtain from (6.21) and (4.3), for appropriate constants:

$$|\Phi_{\varphi}^{\vec{s}}(x[0, N-1], \omega)| \leq C' \sum_{j=0}^n e^{j\varepsilon/2} e^{(\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \xi, \vec{z}+\varepsilon/2}^+)^j} \leq C'' e^{(\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \xi, \vec{z}+\varepsilon}^+)^n},$$

completing the proof. \square

6.3. Proof of the lower bound in Theorem 4.3. We will obtain *uniform estimates* of $|S_R^{(x,t)}(f, \omega)|$ (which, of course, imply the L^2 -estimate) from above, and then apply Lemma 5.1. By (6.6) and (6.19), we have for $\varepsilon > 0$, $N \geq 1$, and $\tilde{R} = |x[0, N-1]|_{\vec{s}} \geq N s_{\min}$:

$$\begin{aligned} |S_{\tilde{R}}^{(x,0)}(f, \omega)| &= |\Phi_{\varphi}^{\vec{s}}(x[0, N-1], \omega)| \\ &\leq C \cdot N^{(\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \xi, \vec{z}+\varepsilon}^+)/\lambda_{\mathbf{a}}} \\ &\leq C \cdot (\tilde{R}/s_{\min})^{(\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \xi, \vec{z}+\varepsilon}^+)/\lambda_{\mathbf{a}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\vec{z} = (\widehat{\psi}_a(\omega))_{a \in \mathcal{A}}$, where ψ_a are the ‘‘components’’ of the test function f , given by (2.8), and $\xi = \omega \vec{s} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}^m}$. In view of (6.2) and (6.4), the last inequality yields, for $\varepsilon > 0$:

$$|S_R^{(x,t)}(f, \omega)| \leq C \cdot \|f\|_{\infty} \cdot (R/s_{\min})^{(\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \xi, \vec{z}+\varepsilon}^+)/\lambda_{\mathbf{a}}} + 3\|f\|_{\infty} \cdot s_{\max}, \quad \text{for all } R \geq s_{\min}.$$

Now Lemma 5.1 implies that for all $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\sigma_f(B_r(\omega)) \leq \tilde{C} \cdot \max\{r^{2-2(\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \xi, \vec{z}+\varepsilon}^+)/\lambda_{\mathbf{a}}}, r^2\}, \quad \text{for all } 0 < r \leq (2s_{\min})^{-1},$$

whence

$$(6.22) \quad \underline{d}(\sigma_f, \omega) \geq \min\left\{2 - \frac{2\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \xi, \vec{z}}^+}{\lambda_{\mathbf{a}}}, 2\right\},$$

as desired. \square

6.4. Proof of the upper bound in Theorem 4.3. We need to prove the reverse inequality to (6.22), assuming $\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \xi, \vec{z}}^+ > 0$, which is equivalent to showing that, for every $\tilde{\varepsilon} > 0$,

$$(6.23) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sigma_f(B_r(\omega))}{r^{2-\gamma+\tilde{\varepsilon}}} \geq 1, \quad \text{where } \gamma = \frac{2\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \xi, \vec{z}}^+}{\lambda_{\mathbf{a}}}.$$

Suppose that (6.23) is false for some $\tilde{\varepsilon} > 0$. Then $\sigma_f(B_r(\omega)) \leq r^{2-\gamma+\tilde{\varepsilon}}$ for all $r > 0$ sufficiently small. Thus we are in a position to apply Lemma 5.2, which yields

$$G_R(f, \omega) = R^{-1} \int_{\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{a}}^{\vec{s}}} |S_R^{(x,t)}(f, \omega)|^2 d\tilde{\mu}(x, t) \leq C' \cdot R^{1-(2-\gamma+\tilde{\varepsilon})},$$

or equivalently,

$$(6.24) \quad \int_{\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbf{a}}^{\vec{s}}} |S_R^{(x,t)}(f, \omega)|^2 d\tilde{\mu}(x, t) \leq C' \cdot R^{\gamma - \tilde{\varepsilon}},$$

for all $R > 0$ sufficiently large. In order to get a contradiction, it is enough to get an appropriate lower bound for $|S_R^{(x,t)}(f, \omega)|$ which holds on a set of positive measure, and this measure is estimated from below. This will be our goal.

By the definition of the pointwise upper Lyapunov exponent of the cocycle at ξ , corresponding to a vector \vec{z} , for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an infinite set $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\|\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{a}}(\xi, n)\vec{z}\|_1 \geq e^{(\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \xi, \vec{z}}^+ - \varepsilon)n}, \quad \forall n \in \mathcal{N}.$$

In view of (6.12), replacing \mathcal{N} by a subsequence if necessary, we obtain that

$$(6.25) \quad \exists b \in \mathcal{A} : \left| \Phi_{\varphi}^{\vec{s}}(\zeta^n(b), \omega) \right| \geq e^{(\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \xi, \vec{z}}^+ - \varepsilon)n}, \quad \forall n \in \mathcal{N}.$$

Lemma 6.3. *Suppose that the sequence of substitutions \mathbf{a} satisfies (A1) and (A2). Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $n_0 = n_0(\mathbf{a}, \varepsilon)$ such that for all $b \in \mathcal{A}$,*

$$(6.26) \quad \mu(\zeta^{[n]}[b]) \geq e^{-(\lambda_{\mathbf{a}} + \varepsilon)n}, \quad \forall n \geq n_0.$$

Proof. Recall that $\vec{\mu}_0 = \mathbf{S}^{[n]}\vec{\mu}_n$, see (4.7). Thus,

$$1 = \|\vec{\mu}_0\|_1 = \|\mathbf{S}^{[n]}\vec{\mu}_n\|_1 \leq \|\mathbf{S}^{[n]}\| \cdot \|\vec{\mu}_n\|_1,$$

and the assumption (A2), or (4.3), yields, for n sufficiently large:

$$(6.27) \quad \|\vec{\mu}_n\|_1 \geq \|\mathbf{S}^{[n]}\|^{-1} \geq e^{-(\lambda_{\mathbf{a}} + \varepsilon)n}.$$

Recall also that $\vec{\mu}_n = \mathbf{S}_{n+1}\vec{\mu}_{n+1}$ for $n \geq n_0$, see (4.8). Now it follows from the assumption (A1) that

$$\vec{\mu}_n \in \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{q}}\mathbb{R}_+^m,$$

for n sufficiently large, where $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{q}}$ is a fixed matrix having strictly positive entries and \mathbb{R}_+^m is the cone of non-negative vectors. Therefore, the ratios of components of $\vec{\mu}_n$ are uniformly bounded, and hence (6.27) yields the desired (6.26). \square

Fix $b \in \mathcal{A}$ from (6.25). We will take

$$R = R_n = |\zeta^{[n]}(b)|_{\vec{s}}, \quad n \in \mathcal{N}.$$

This will be our sequence $R_n \rightarrow \infty$ for which we will obtain a lower bound for $G_{R_n}(f, \omega)$. For $n \in \mathcal{N}$ and $\tau \in [0, R_n)$ consider the set

$$(6.28) \quad E_{n, \tau} := \bigcup_{t \in [0, \tau]} h_t \left(\{(x, 0) : x \in \zeta^{[n]}[b]\} \right) \subset \mathfrak{X}_{\mathbf{a}}^{\vec{s}}$$

(recall that $\{h_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is our suspension flow). From recognizability of \mathbf{a} it follows that the union in (6.28) is disjoint, and we obtain by the definition of the measure $\tilde{\mu}$ and Lemma 6.3:

$$(6.29) \quad \tilde{\mu}(E_{n,\tau}) = \tau \cdot \mu(\zeta^{[n]}[b]) \geq \tau \cdot e^{-(\lambda_{\mathbf{a}} + \varepsilon)n}.$$

Suppose that $(x, t) \in E_{n,\tau}$. Recall that (6.2) and (6.4) imply

$$\left| S_{R_n}^{(x,t)}(f, \omega) - S_{\tilde{R}}^{(x,0)}(f, \omega) \right| \leq 3\|f\|_{\infty} \cdot s_{\max},$$

where $\tilde{R} = |x[0, N-1]|_{\vec{s}}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ is maximal for which $\tilde{R} \leq R_n$. By (6.6),

$$S_{\tilde{R}}^{(x,0)}(f, \omega) = \Phi_{\varphi}^{\vec{s}}(x[0, N-1], \omega).$$

By construction, there exist words u, v, w such that

$$uv = \zeta^{[n]}(b), \quad vw = x[0, N-1], \quad \text{where } \max\{|u|_{\vec{s}}, |w|_{\vec{s}}\} \leq \tau,$$

hence

$$\max\{|u|, |v|\} \leq \tau/s_{\min}.$$

By (6.7),

$$(6.30) \quad \begin{aligned} |S_{R_n}^{(x,t)}(f, \omega)| &\geq |\Phi_{\varphi}^{\vec{s}}(x[0, N-1], \omega)| - 3\|f\|_{\infty} \cdot s_{\max} \\ &\geq |\Phi_{\varphi}^{\vec{s}}(\zeta^{[n]}(b), \omega)| - |\Phi_{\varphi}^{\vec{s}}(u, \omega)| - |\Phi_{\varphi}^{\vec{s}}(w, \omega)| - 3\|f\|_{\infty} \cdot s_{\max}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 6.2, for $n \in \mathcal{N}$ sufficiently large:

$$(6.31) \quad |\Phi_{\varphi}^{\vec{s}}(u, \omega)| \leq C_1 \cdot |u|^{(\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \xi, \vec{z}^+ \varepsilon}^+)/\lambda_{\mathbf{a}}} \leq \tilde{C}_1 \cdot \tau^{(\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \xi, \vec{z}^+ \varepsilon}^+)/\lambda_{\mathbf{a}}},$$

and similarly for $|\Phi_{\varphi}^{\vec{s}}(w, \omega)|$. Recall that the constants depend on $\mathbf{a}, \varepsilon, \vec{s}, \xi, \varphi$, but not on N, n , or R . By the hypothesis of positivity of the Lyapunov exponent, assume that $\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \xi, \vec{z}^+}^+ > \varepsilon > 0$ without loss of generality. Then by (6.30), (6.31), and (6.25), for $n \in \mathcal{N}$ sufficiently large:

$$|S_{R_n}^{(x,t)}(f, \omega)| \geq e^{(\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \xi, \vec{z}^- \varepsilon}^+)n} - 2\tilde{C}_1 \cdot \tau^{(\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \xi, \vec{z}^+ \varepsilon}^+)/\lambda_{\mathbf{a}}} - 3\|f\|_{\infty} \cdot s_{\max}.$$

We obtain for $n \in \mathcal{N}$ sufficiently large:

$$(6.32) \quad |S_{R_n}^{(x,t)}(f, \omega)| \geq (1/2) \cdot e^{(\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \xi, \vec{z}^- \varepsilon}^+)n},$$

assuming

$$\tau = c_2 \cdot \exp\left(n\lambda_{\mathbf{a}} \cdot \frac{\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \xi, \vec{z}^- \varepsilon}^+}{\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \xi, \vec{z}^+ \varepsilon}^+}\right),$$

for an appropriate small constant $c_2 > 0$. It follows from (6.32) and (6.29) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J} &:= \int_{\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbf{a}}^{\vec{s}}} |S_{R_n}^{(x,t)}(f, \omega)|^2 d\tilde{\mu}(x, t) \geq (1/4) \cdot e^{2(\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \xi, \vec{z}}^+ - \varepsilon)n} \cdot \tilde{\mu}(E_{n, \tau}) \\ &\geq (1/4) \cdot e^{2(\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \xi, \vec{z}}^+ - \varepsilon)n} \cdot \tau \cdot e^{-(\lambda_{\mathbf{a}} + \varepsilon)n} \\ &= \frac{c_2}{4} \cdot \exp \left[n \left(2(\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \xi, \vec{z}}^+ - \varepsilon) + \lambda_{\mathbf{a}} \cdot \frac{\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \xi, \vec{z}}^+ - \varepsilon}{\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \xi, \vec{z}}^+ + \varepsilon} - (\lambda_{\mathbf{a}} + \varepsilon) \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{c_2}{4} \cdot \exp \left[n(2\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \xi, \vec{z}}^+ - \varepsilon') \right], \end{aligned}$$

for some $\varepsilon' > 0$, which tends to zero whenever ε tends to zero. Recall that

$$R_n = |\zeta^{[n]}(b)|_{\vec{s}} \leq s_{\max} \cdot \|S^{[n]}\|_1 \leq e^{(\lambda_{\mathbf{a}} + \varepsilon')n},$$

for large n , hence

$$\mathcal{J} \geq \frac{c_2}{4} \cdot R_n^{(\gamma - \varepsilon')/(\lambda_{\mathbf{a}} + \varepsilon')}, \quad \text{where } \gamma = \frac{2\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \xi, \vec{z}}^+}{\lambda_{\mathbf{a}}},$$

for n sufficiently large, contradicting (6.24), if $\varepsilon' > 0$ is sufficiently small. The proof of the theorem is complete. \square

6.5. Proof of Theorem 4.6. Let $x \in X_{\mathbf{a}}$, and recall that by the recognizability assumption, we have the unique representation (2.4) for $n = \ell$, that is, $x = T^i \zeta^{[\ell]}(x')$ for some $x' \in X_{\sigma^\ell \mathbf{a}}$ and $0 \leq i < |\zeta^{[\ell]}(x'_0)|$. For a Lip-cylindrical function of level ℓ ,

$$f(x, t) = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{1}_{\zeta^{[j]}[a]}(x) \cdot \psi_j^{(\ell)}(t), \quad \text{with } \psi_j^{(\ell)} \in \text{Lip}[0, s_j^{(\ell)}],$$

holds

$$f \circ h_\tau(\zeta^{[\ell]}(x'), 0) = \psi_{x'_n}^{(\ell)}(\tau - |x'[0, n-1]|_{\vec{s}^{(\ell)}}), \quad \text{for } |x'[0, n-1]|_{\vec{s}^{(\ell)}} \leq \tau < |x'[0, n]|_{\vec{s}^{(\ell)}}.$$

This implies

$$S_{\tilde{R}}^{(\zeta^{[\ell]}(x'), 0)}(f, \omega) = \Phi_{\varphi}^{\vec{s}^{(\ell)}}(x[0, N-1], \omega) \quad \text{for } \tilde{R} = |x'[0, N-1]|_{\vec{s}^{(\ell)}}, \quad \text{where } \varphi(a) = \widehat{\psi}_a^{(\ell)}(\omega),$$

which is the analogue of (6.6). After that, the proof proceeds exactly as in Theorem 4.3. \square

Proof of Theorems 3.6 and 3.10. As written in the statement of Theorem 3.6 and explained in the Introduction, for ν -almost every Abelian differential (M, ω) , there is a pair (\mathbf{a}, \vec{s}) , with $\mathbf{a} \in \Omega_+$ and $\vec{s} \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$, such that the vertical translation flow on (M, ω) is measurably isomorphic to the suspension flow $(\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbf{a}}^{\vec{s}}, \tilde{\mu}, h_t)$. This follows by a combination of [15, Section 4.3] and Theorem 2.4. To this end, we need to verify recognizability of the sequence of substitutions \mathbf{a} almost surely, with respect to the measure \mathbb{P}_+ , the projection of the push-forward of ν by the symbolic coding to the positive coordinates. Theorem 2.3 provides sufficient conditions for recognizability, which hold in our case (almost surely). Indeed, the substitution matrices S_{ζ_j} , arising from the Rauzy-Veech

induction, are unimodular [43], hence have maximal rank. Aperiodicity of $X_{\mathbf{a}}$ (almost surely) follows from the fact that admissible words in Ω_+ arise from walks in the Rauzy graph, which is aperiodic, so that the number of admissible words of length n tends to infinity with n . Every admissible word appears in $\mathbf{a} \in \Omega_+$ with probability one.

Thus, we are position to apply Theorem 4.3, provided the conditions (A1'), (A2), and (A3) hold for \mathbb{P}_+ -a.e. $\mathbf{a} \in \Omega_+$, and this is a consequence of Lemma 4.2. Note that (C1) and (C3) in the latter lemma follow by the fundamental results of Veech [43], and condition (C2) holds by a theorem of Zorich [51]. This concludes the derivation of Theorem 3.6.

Theorem 3.10 follows from Theorem 4.6 in exactly the same way. \square

7. PROOF OF OTHER RESULTS

Proof of Corollary 4.4. (i) The claim follows from (4.4) and the following elementary fact:

For any sequence of complex $m \times m$ matrices $\{A_n\}_{n \geq 1}$, there exists a coordinate basis vector \vec{e}_j such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n^{-1} \log \|A_n \vec{e}_j\|) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n^{-1} \log \|A_n\|).$$

(ii) Since $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{a}}$ is continuous on \mathbb{T}^m , the pointwise upper Lyapunov exponents are measurable functions, and hence the sets

$$\{(\omega, \vec{b}) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}^m : \chi_{\mathbf{a}, \omega \vec{s}, \Gamma_{\omega} \vec{b}}^+ < \chi_{\mathbf{a}, \omega \vec{s}}^+\}$$

are measurable for all $\vec{s} \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$. Part (i) implies that for a.e. ω , the set $\{\vec{b} \in \mathbb{C}^m : \chi_{\mathbf{a}, \omega \vec{s}, \Gamma_{\omega} \vec{b}}^+ < \chi_{\mathbf{a}, \omega \vec{s}}^+\}$ has Lebesgue measure zero, and so the desired claim follows by an application of Fubini's Theorem and Theorem 4.3. \square

We will need the following

Lemma 7.1. *Let \mathbf{a} be a primitive sequence of substitutions on \mathcal{A} and $\vec{s} \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$. Then the collection of Lip-cylindrical functions of level ℓ , for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, is dense in $L^2(\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbf{a}}^{\vec{s}}, \tilde{\mu})$.*

Proof. By the recognizability of \mathbf{a} , the sequence

$$\{T^i(\zeta^{[\ell]}[a]) : a \in \mathcal{A}, 0 \leq i < |\zeta^{[\ell]}(a)|\}, \quad \ell \geq \ell_0,$$

is a sequence of Kakutani-Rokhlin partitions that generates the Borel σ -algebra on the substitution space, see [13]. It follows that the collection of functions of the form

$$(7.1) \quad f(x, t) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{0 \leq i < |\zeta^{[\ell]}(a)|} \mathbb{1}_{T^i(\zeta^{[\ell]}[a])}(x) \cdot \psi_{i,a}^{(\ell)}(t), \quad \text{where } \psi_{i,a}^{(\ell)} \in L^2[0, s_{\zeta^{[\ell]}(a)_i}], \quad \ell \geq \ell_0,$$

is dense in $L^2(\mathfrak{X}_{\zeta}^{\vec{s}}, \tilde{\mu})$. Here $\zeta^{[\ell]}(a)_i$ is i -th letter of $\zeta^{[\ell]}(a)$. The function f in (7.1) can be expressed as

$$f(x, t) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{1}_{\zeta^{[\ell]}(a)}(x) \cdot \psi_a^{(\ell)}(t),$$

where for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\psi_a^{(\ell)}(t) = \psi_{i,a}^{(\ell)}(t - |\zeta^{[\ell]}(a)[1, i-1]_{\vec{s}}|)$$

whenever

$$|\zeta^{[\ell]}(a)[1, i-1]_{\vec{s}}| \leq t < |\zeta^{[\ell]}(a)[1, i]_{\vec{s}}|, \quad 0 \leq i < |\zeta^{[\ell]}(a)| - 1.$$

Here we are using the notation

$$v[1, i] = v_1 \dots v_i, \quad \text{for } v \in \mathcal{A}^n, \quad n \geq i.$$

Clearly, $\psi_a^{(\ell)} \in L^2[0, |\zeta^{[\ell]}(a)|_{\vec{s}}]$, $a \in \mathcal{A}$, hence f is an L^2 -cylindrical function of level ℓ . It remains to approximate $\psi_a^{(\ell)}$, $a \in \mathcal{A}$, by Lipschitz functions to obtain a desired approximating Lip-cylindrical function of level ℓ . \square

Corollary 7.2. *Let \mathbf{a} be a primitive sequence of substitutions on \mathcal{A} and $\vec{s} \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$. If for every Lip-cylindrical function f of level ℓ , for all ℓ sufficiently large, the spectral measure σ_f is singular with respect to the Lebesgue measure, then the flow $(\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbf{a}}^{\vec{s}}, \tilde{\mu}, h_t)$ has purely singular spectrum.*

Proof. This is immediate from Lemma 7.1 and the following standard result (the proof for \mathbb{Z} -actions is in [38, Chapter 2]; the proof for \mathbb{R} -actions is similar): given a finite measure-preserving system, if $f_n \rightarrow f$ in L^2 , then the spectral measures σ_{f_n} converge to σ_f in total variation. \square

Proof of Corollary 4.5. (i) Suppose that $\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \omega \vec{s}}^+ > \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{\mathbf{a}}$ for $\omega \in A \subset \mathbb{R}$, where $\mathcal{L}^1(A) > 0$. By Corollary 4.4(ii), there exists a non-zero function $f \in L^2$ such that $\underline{d}(\sigma_f, \omega) < 1$ for $\omega \in A$. But then

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sigma_f(B_r(\omega))}{2r} = +\infty, \quad \omega \in A,$$

contradicting the well-known fact that $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\nu(B_r(\omega))}{2r} < \infty$ Lebesgue-a.e. for any finite positive measure ν , see e.g. [34, Theorem 2.12(i)].

To prove the second statement, note, see e.g. [22, Prop. (10.12)], that σ_f , being a measure on the line, has upper Hausdorff dimension at most one, i.e.

$$\dim_H^*(\sigma_f) = \inf\{s : \underline{d}(\sigma_f, \omega) \leq s \text{ for } \sigma_f\text{-a.e. } \omega\} \leq 1.$$

It follows that $\underline{d}(\sigma_f, \omega) \leq 1$ for σ_f -a.e. ω , and hence $\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \omega \vec{s}}^+ \geq \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{\mathbf{a}}$ for σ_f -a.e. ω .

(ii) Let $f \in L^2(\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbf{a}}^{\vec{s}})$ be Lip-cylindrical such that $\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \omega \vec{s}}^+ < \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{\mathbf{a}}$ for Lebesgue-a.e. $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$. We want to show that σ_f is singular. Indeed, let $\sigma_f = h \cdot \mathcal{L}^1 + (\sigma_f)_{\text{sing}}$, with $h \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, be the Lebesgue decomposition. Then by Theorem 4.3:

$$\underline{d}(\sigma_f, \omega) \geq 2 - \frac{2 \max\{0, \chi_{\mathbf{a}, \omega \vec{s}}^+\}}{\lambda_{\mathbf{a}}} \geq 2 - \frac{2 \max\{0, \chi_{\mathbf{a}, \omega \vec{s}}^+\}}{\lambda_{\mathbf{a}}} > 1,$$

for Lebesgue-a.e. $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$. But this implies

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sigma_f(B_r(\omega))}{2r} = 0$$

for Lebesgue-a.e. $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$, hence $h = 0$ a.e.

(iii) Let f be a Lip-cylindrical function of level ℓ . By Theorem 4.6,

$$\underline{d}(\sigma_f, \omega) \geq 2 - \frac{2 \max\{0, \chi_{\mathbf{a}, \omega \vec{s}^{(\ell)}}^+\}}{\lambda_{\mathbf{a}}}.$$

Under the assumption $\det \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{a}}(\omega \vec{s}, \ell) \neq 0$, it follows that $\{\omega \in \mathbb{R} : \det \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{a}}(\omega \vec{s}, \ell) = 0\}$ is countable, since it is the set of zeros of a non-trivial trigonometric polynomial. Thus, we have for a.e. ω , by the definition of the cocycle,

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{a}}(\omega \vec{s}^{(\ell)}, n) = \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{a}}(\omega \vec{s}, n + \ell) \cdot \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{a}}(\omega \vec{s}, \ell)^{-1},$$

and hence $\chi_{\mathbf{a}, \omega \vec{s}^{(\ell)}}^+ = \chi_{\mathbf{a}, \omega \vec{s}}^+ < \lambda_{\mathbf{a}}/2$. Then we deduce as in part (ii) that σ_f is purely singular, and Corollary 7.2 implies the desired claim. \square

8. APPENDIX: ON THE HÖLDER PROPERTY FOR THE SPECTRUM OF TRANSLATION FLOWS IN GENUS TWO

Here we return to translation flows on flat manifolds, see Section 1.1, and consider the vertical flow h_t^+ . Katok [27] proved that the flow h_t^+ is never mixing. Under additional assumptions on the combinatorics of the abelian differentials, weak mixing for almost all translation flows has been established by Veech in [44] and in full generality by Avila and Forni [2] (for genus ≥ 2). Weak mixing means that spectral measures for test functions of mean zero are continuous, and it is a natural question (raised by Ya. Sinai in a personal communication) whether one can obtain quantitative estimates for the modulus of continuity for the spectral measures. The first result in this direction was obtained in [18]; here we extend it to obtain the following.

Theorem 8.1. *There exists $\gamma > 0$ such that for almost every, with respect to the Masur-Veech measure, abelian differential (M, ω) on a surface of genus 2, the following holds. For any $B > 1$ there exist constants $C = C(\omega, B)$ and $r_0 = r_0(\omega, B)$ such that for any Lipschitz function f on M , for all $x \in [B^{-1}, B]$ and $r \in (0, r_0)$ we have*

$$\sigma_f([x - r, x + r]) \leq C \|f\|_L \cdot r^\gamma.$$

Proof. As is well-known, the moduli space of abelian differentials on a surface of genus 2 is a disjoint union of two strata: $\mathcal{H}(2)$, corresponding to ω with one zero of order two, and $\mathcal{H}(1, 1)$, corresponding to ω having two simple zeros. Theorem 8.1 was proved in [18] for the case of $\mathcal{H}(2)$, and here we indicate how a modification of the proof yields the result for the stratum $\mathcal{H}(1, 1)$.

The translation flow on the surface can be realized as a suspension flow over an interval exchange transformation (IET), see Section 2.2. Let \mathcal{H} be a stratum of the moduli space of abelian differentials on a surface of genus $g \geq 2$. The symbolic representation of a.e. translation flow as a suspension over a Bratteli-Vershik system was obtained in [15], see Section 2.5 for more details.

Now let us restrict ourselves to the stratum $\mathcal{H}(1, 1)$, which corresponds to the Rauzy class of the IET with permutation $(5, 4, 3, 2, 1)$. By the Avila-Viana Theorem [3], the Rauzy-Veech cocycle in this case is known to have a simple Lyapunov spectrum $\theta_1 > \theta_2 > \theta_3 = 0 > \theta_4 = -\theta_2 > \theta_5 = -\theta_1$. However, according to Veech [44], see also [2], the vector of “heights” for the suspension flow over the IET necessarily belongs to the subspace $H(\pi)$, which is invariant under the cocycle and depends only on the permutation. In fact, the space $H(\pi)$ is the sum of the stable and unstable subspaces for the cocycle (orthogonal to the central space with respect to the natural inner product). Thus the restriction of the cocycle to the sequence of invariant subspaces $H(\pi)$ has only non-zero Lyapunov exponents $\theta_1 > \theta_2 > -\theta_2 > -\theta_1$.

It is important to note that the Masur-Veech measure on the stratum $H(1, 1)$ is taken under this correspondence to a measure absolutely continuous with respect to the product of the measure \mathbb{P}_+ on Ω_+ (see Section 2.5 for the definition) and the Lebesgue measure on the set of possible height vectors in the subspace $H(\pi)$ defining the suspension. Thus the desired statement follows from a modified [18, Theorem 4.1] on suspension flows over random BV-transformations.

Everything in the statement of [18, Theorem 4.1] remains unchanged, except that the assumption (a) on the Lyapunov spectrum: $\theta_1 > \theta_2 > 0 > \theta_3 > \dots$ is replaced by

(a') the Lyapunov spectrum satisfies

$$\theta_1 > \theta_2 > 0 = \theta_3 > \dots,$$

and in the conclusion “Lebesgue-a.e. vector \vec{s} ,” which determines the roof function, is replaced by “Lebesgue-a.e. vector \vec{s} in the subspace spanned by the stable and unstable Oseledets subspaces” (thus excluding the zero exponent). The proof carries over verbatim. The only additional observation needed is that the equation [18, (9.1)] still holds, since the decomposition of the vector \vec{s} with respect to the Oseledets basis [36] does not contain the term from the central subspace. We thus continue with the proof using the coordinates with respect to the two positive Lyapunov exponents to exclude the “bad set,” without any further changes. \square

Acknowledgements. We are deeply grateful to the anonymous referee whose comments helped us to improve the presentation. The research of A. Bufetov has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 647133 (ICHAOS). A. Bufetov has also been funded by RFBR grant 18-31-20031. The research of B. Solomyak was supported by the Israel Science Foundation (grants 396/15 and 911/19). We would like to thank the Institut Mittag-Leffler of the Royal Swedish Academy of Sciences, where this work started, for its warm hospitality. Part of this work was done while A.B. was visiting Bar-Ilan University and while B.S. was visiting CIRM Luminy in the framework of the “research in pairs” programme. We are deeply grateful to these institutions for their hospitality.

REFERENCES

- [1] Abdalaoui, El Houcein El. A new class of rank-one transformations with singular spectrum. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **27** (2007), no. 5, 1541–1555.
- [2] Avila, Artur; Forni, Giovanni. Weak mixing for interval exchange transformations and translation flows. *Annals of Mathematics* **165** (2007), 637–664.
- [3] Avila, Artur; Viana, Marcelo. Simplicity of Lyapunov spectra: proof of the Zorich-Kontsevich conjecture. *Acta Math.* **198** (2007), no. 1, 1–56.
- [4] Baake, Michael; Frank, Natalie Priebe; Grimm, Uwe; Robinson, E. Arthur, Jr. Geometric properties of a binary non-Pisot inflation and absence of absolutely continuous diffraction. *Studia Math.* **247** (2019), no. 2, 109–154.
- [5] Baake, Michael; Gähler, Franz; Grimm, Uwe. Spectral and topological properties of a family of generalised Thue-Morse sequences. *J. Math. Phys.* **53** (2012), no. 3, 032701.
- [6] Baake, Michael; Grimm, Uwe; Mañibo, Neil. Spectral analysis of a family of binary inflation rules. *Lett. Math. Phys.* **108** (2018), no. 8, 1783–1805.
- [7] Baake, Michael; Gähler, Franz; Mañibo, Neil. Renormalisation of pair correlation measures for primitive inflation rules and absence of absolutely continuous diffraction. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **370** (2019), no. 2, 591–635.
- [8] Baake, Michael; Lenz, Daniel; van Enter, Aernout. Dynamical versus diffraction spectrum for structures with finite local complexity. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **35** (2015), no. 7, 2017–2043.
- [9] Barge, Marcy; Bruin, Henk; Jones, Leslie; Sadun, Lorenzo. Homological Pisot substitutions and exact regularity. *Isr. J. Math.* **188** (2012), 281–300.
- [10] Berend, Daniel; Radin, Charles. Are there chaotic tilings? *Comm. Math. Physics* **152**(2) (1993), 215–219.
- [11] Berthé, Valérie; Delecroix, Vincent. Beyond substitutive dynamical systems: S -adic expansions. In: *Numeration and substitution 2012*, 81–123, RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu, B46, Res. Inst. Math. Sci. (RIMS), Kyoto, 2014.
- [12] Berthé, Valérie; Steiner, Wolfgang; Thuswaldner, Jörg M. Geometry, dynamics, and arithmetic of S -adic shifts. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **69** (2019), no. 3, 1347–1409.
- [13] Berthé, Valérie; Steiner, Wolfgang; Thuswaldner, Jörg M.; Yassawi, Reem; Recognizability for sequences of morphisms. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **39** (2019), no. 11, 2896–2931.
- [14] Bourgain, Jean. On the spectral type of Ornstein’s class one transformation. *Israel J. Math.* **84** (1993), 53–63.
- [15] Bufetov, Alexander I. Limit theorems for suspension flows over Vershik’s automorphisms. *Russian Mathematical Surveys* **68** (2013), no. 5, 789–860.
- [16] Bufetov, Alexander I. Limit theorems for translation flows. *Annals of Mathematics* **179** (2014), no. 2, 431–499.
- [17] Bufetov, Alexander I.; Solomyak, Boris. On the modulus of continuity for spectral measures in substitution dynamics. *Adv. Math.* **260** (2014), 84–129.
- [18] Bufetov, Alexander I.; Solomyak, Boris. The Hölder property for the spectrum of translation flows in genus two. *Israel J. Math.* **223** (2018), no. 1, 205–259.
- [19] Clark, Alex; Sadun, Lorenzo. When size matters: subshifts and their related tiling spaces. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **23** (2003), 1043–1057.
- [20] Dooley, Anthony H.; Eigen, Stanley J. A family of generalized Riesz products. *Canad. J. Math.* **48**(2) (1996), 302–315.
- [21] Dworkin, Steven. Spectral theory and x-ray diffraction. *J. Math. Phys.* **34** (1993), no. 7, 2965–2967.
- [22] Falconer, Kenneth J. *Techniques in fractal geometry*, John Wiley & Sons, 1997.

- [23] Furstenberg, Hillel. *Stationary processes and prediction theory*. Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 44 Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. 1960.
- [24] Furstenberg, Hillel; Kesten, Harry. Products of Random Matrices. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **31** (1960), no. 2, 457–469.
- [25] Hof, Albertus. On scaling in relation to singular spectra. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **184** (1997), no. 3, 567–577.
- [26] Hof, Albertus. Diffraction by aperiodic structures. In: *The Mathematics of Long-Range Aperiodic Order (Waterloo, ON, 1995)*, R. V. Moody, ed., NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., Vol. 489, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1997, pp. 239–268.
- [27] Katok, Anatole. Interval exchange transformations and some special flows are not mixing. *Israel Journal of Mathematics* **35** (1980), no. 4, 301–310.
- [28] Kwiatkowski, Jan; Sikorski, Andrzej. Spectral properties of G -symbolic Morse shifts. *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **115** (1987), no. 1, 19–33.
- [29] Ledrappier, François. Des produits de Riesz comme mesures spectrales. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Sect. B (N.S.)* **6** (1970), 335–344.
- [30] Livshits, Alexander N. Sufficient conditions for weak mixing of substitutions and of stationary adic transformations. (Russian) *Mat. Zametki* **44** (1988), no. 6, 785–793, 862; translation in *Math. Notes* **44** (1988), no. 5–6, 920–925 (1989)
- [31] Marmi, Stefano; Moussa, Pierre; Yoccoz, Jean-Christophe. The cohomological equation for Roth-type interval exchange maps. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **18** (2005), no. 4, 823–872.
- [32] Masur, Howard. Interval exchange transformations and measured foliations. *Annals of Mathematics (2)* **115** (1982), no. 1, 169–200.
- [33] Masur, Howard; Tabachnikov, Serge. Rational billiards and flat structures. In: *Handbook of dynamical systems*, Vol. 1A, 1015–1089, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2002.
- [34] Mattila, Pertti. *Geometry of sets and measures in Euclidean spaces*, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [35] Mossé, Brigitte. Puissances de mots et reconnaissabilité des points fixes d’une substitution, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **99** (1992), no. 2, 327–334.
- [36] Oseledets, Valery I. A multiplicative ergodic theorem. Characteristic Ljapunov, exponents of dynamical systems, *Tr. Mosk. Mat. Obs.* **19** (1968), 179–210; *Trans. Moscow Math. Soc.* **19** (1968), 197–231.
- [37] Fogg, N. Pytheas. *Substitutions in dynamics, arithmetics and combinatorics*, Edited by V. Berthé, S. Ferenczi, C. Mauduit and A. Siegel, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1794, Springer, Berlin, 2002.
- [38] Queffelec, Martine. *Substitution Dynamical Systems - Spectral Analysis*. Second edition. Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1294, Springer, Berlin, 2010.
- [39] Rauzy, Gérard. Échanges d’intervalles et transformations induites. *Acta Arith.* **34** (1979), no. 4, 315–328.
- [40] Sadun, Lorenzo. Finite balanced sequences and plasticity of 1-dimensional tilings. *Topology Appl.* **205** (2016), 82–87.
- [41] Solomyak, Boris; Dynamics of self-similar tilings. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **17** (1997), no. 3, 695–738 and *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **19** (1999) 1685 (erratum).
- [42] Veech, William A. Interval exchange transformations. *J. Analyse Math.* **33** (1978), 222–272.
- [43] Veech, William A. Gauss measures for transformations on the space of interval exchange maps. *Annals of Mathematics (2)* **115** (1982), no. 1, 201–242.
- [44] Veech, William A. The metric theory of interval exchange transformations. I. Generic spectral properties. *Amer. J. Math.* **106** (1984), no. 6, 1331–1359.
- [45] Veech, William A. Moduli spaces of quadratic differentials. *J. Anal. Math.* **55** (1990), 117–171.

- [46] Vershik, Anatoly M. A theorem on Markov periodic approximation in ergodic theory. (Russian) Boundary value problems of mathematical physics and related questions in the theory of functions, 14. *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. St.-Peterb. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI)* 115 (1982), 72–82, 306.
- [47] Vershik, Anatoly M. The adic realizations of the ergodic actions with the homeomorphisms of the Markov compact and the ordered Bratteli diagrams. *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI)* 223 (1995), Teor. Predstav. Din. Sistemy, Kombin. i Algoritm. Metody. I, 120–126, 338; translation in *J. Math. Sci. (New York)* 87 (1997), no. 6, 4054–4058.
- [48] Vershik, Anatoly M.; Livshits, Alexander N. Adic models of ergodic transformations, spectral theory, substitutions, and related topics. Representation theory and dynamical systems, 185–204. *Adv. Soviet Math.* **9**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1992.
- [49] Viana, Marcelo. Lectures on Interval Exchange Transformations and Teichmüller Flows, *Preprint IMPA*, 2008.
- [50] Yoccoz, Jean-Christophe. Interval exchange maps and translation surfaces. In: *Homogeneous flows, moduli spaces and arithmetic*, 1–69, Clay Math. Proc., 10, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010.
- [51] Zorich, Anton. Finite Gauss measure on the space of interval exchange transformations. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **46** (1996), 325–370.
- [52] Zorich, Anton. Flat surfaces. In: *Frontiers in number theory, physics, and geometry. I*, 437–583, Springer, Berlin, 2006.

ALEXANDER I. BUFETOV, AIX-MARSEILLE UNIVERSITÉ, CNRS, CENTRALE MARSEILLE, I2M, UMR 7373, 39 RUE F. JOLIOT CURIE MARSEILLE FRANCE

STEKLOV MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE OF RAS, MOSCOW

INSTITUTE FOR INFORMATION TRANSMISSION PROBLEMS, MOSCOW

E-mail address: alexander.bufetov@univ-amu.fr, bufetov@mi.ras.ru

BORIS SOLOMYAK, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BAR-ILAN UNIVERSITY, RAMAT-GAN, ISRAEL

E-mail address: bsolom3@gmail.com