



HAL
open science

Ru-Sn-B/TiO₂ catalysts for methyl oleate selective hydrogenation. Influence of the preparation method and the chlorine content

María Sánchez, Vanina Mazzieri, Stéphane Pronier, María Vicerich, Catherine Especel, Florence Epron, Carlos Pieck

► **To cite this version:**

María Sánchez, Vanina Mazzieri, Stéphane Pronier, María Vicerich, Catherine Especel, et al.. Ru-Sn-B/TiO₂ catalysts for methyl oleate selective hydrogenation. Influence of the preparation method and the chlorine content. *Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology*, 2019, 94 (3), pp.982-991. 10.1002/jctb.5849 . hal-02109660

HAL Id: hal-02109660

<https://hal.science/hal-02109660>

Submitted on 21 Jul 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Ru-Sn-B/TiO₂ catalysts for methyl oleate selective hydrogenation. Influence of the preparation method and the chlorine content

María A. Sánchez¹, Vanina A. Mazzieri¹, Stéphane Pronier², María A. Vicerich¹,
Catherine Especel², Florence Epron², Carlos L. Pieck¹

¹ Instituto de Investigaciones en Catálisis y Petroquímica (INCAPE) (FIQ-UNL, CONICET),
Colectora Ruta Nac. N° 168 Km. 0 - Paraje El Pozo - CP 3000, Santa Fe, Argentina

² Institut de Chimie des Milieux et des Matériaux de Poitiers (IC2MP), Université de Poitiers,
UMR 7285 CNRS, 4 rue Michel Brunet, 86073 Poitiers cedex 9, France

Corresponding author: Carlos L. Pieck

INCAPE

Colectora Ruta Nac. N° 168 Km. 0 - Paraje El Pozo

3000 Santa Fe, Argentina

Tel. +54-342-4511370

E-mail: pieck@fiq.unl.edu.ar

Orcid ID: orcid.org/0000-0002-5104-3364

Keywords: Methyl oleate, Selective hydrogenation, Ru-Sn-B/TiO₂ catalysts

Abstract:

Background: Fatty alcohols are produced commercially by selective hydrogenation of fatty acid esters using copper and chromium catalysts. To reduce drastic reaction conditions, Ru-Sn catalysts reduced with NaBH₄ have been proposed. Chlorine negatively affects the selectivity and activity of this catalytic system. To get further information on why Cl influences the selectivity negatively, we studied the influence of the preparation method on titania-supported catalysts, which leads to catalysts with different chlorine contents.

Results: The activity and selectivity were greatly affected by the chlorine content which depends on the metal impregnation method (coimpregnation in excess of solution or coimpregnation by incipient wetness) and the support precalcination. Chlorine affects the Ru-Sn metal interaction modifying the activity and selectivity. Catalysts with high Ru-Sn interaction are more selective to oleyl alcohol. Catalyst prepared by coimpregnation method exhibits bigger particles than by incipient wetness method, with agglomerated Ru₃Sn₇ cubic phase of 50 nm surrounded by amorphous Ru-Sn.

Conclusion: High interaction between Ru and Sn is preferred because segregated Ru species are not selective for the formation of oleyl alcohol. The electronic state of Ru⁰ is very important because small variations in the electron density leads to a decrease in the adsorption of the hydrogen, or because Ru⁰-H species do not have the adequate binding energy to produce the necessary "hydride form". Ru electronic state is modified by the chlorine that surrounds it, decreasing its ability to adsorb hydrogen.

Introduction

Fatty alcohols are important intermediates to produce surfactants, cosmetics and plasticizers.¹ In general, unsaturated fatty alcohols are more expensive than saturated alcohols because of the additional cost that the process requires to protect the unsaturated C=C double bond. In 1931, Adkins discovered copper and chromium (Cu/Cr) catalytic systems for the hydrogenation of esters.² Similar catalysts are still used for the hydrogenation of fatty acid esters but require high pressure of reaction (25-30 MPa). To reduce drastic reaction conditions, Ru-Sn catalysts reduced with sodium borohydride (NaBH₄) have been proposed.³⁻⁷ In a previous work, we found that Ru-Sn-B/Al₂O₃ catalysts prepared by coimpregnation using incipient wetness method had higher activity and selectivity than catalysts prepared by coimpregnation with excess solution.⁸ Besides, catalysts prepared using sodium borohydride had a high selectivity to oleyl alcohol, whereas Ru-Sn catalysts prepared without B were not selective for the formation of oleyl alcohol.⁴ This behavior was attributed to different degrees of interaction between Ru and Sn.^{4,9}

The support has a strong influence on the performances of the Ru-Sn catalysts used for the selective hydrogenation of fatty acid or fatty esters to produce oleyl alcohol.^{7,10,11} The support can strongly interact with the supported ruthenium oxide, affecting its reducibility, the size of the particles of Ru and the interaction between Ru and Sn.¹¹⁻¹³ In the case of TiO₂ support, it was reported the formation of a mixed oxide between tin and titania.^{14,15} The catalytic properties of the support are also modified by chlorine introduced by the metal precursors. In the case of the Ru-Sn/Al₂O₃ catalysts used for selective hydrogenation of fatty esters and fatty acids, it has been reported that the presence of Cl negatively affects the selectivity.^{7,9,16} For other catalytic systems, the negative influence of chlorine on the activity of Ru catalysts for CO hydrogenation and on CO and hydrogen chemisorptions was

reported.^{17,18} Also, the activity of Ru catalyst is significantly degraded by chlorine in ammonia synthesis due to its electron-withdrawing property.¹⁹

In order to get further information on why Cl influences the selectivity negatively, we studied the effect of the preparation method on titania-supported catalysts, which leads to catalysts with different chlorine contents. Two preparation methods (coimpregnation with excess of impregnation solution and coimpregnation with the exact amount of impregnation solution, i.e. by incipient wetness) and two support precalcination temperatures (300 and 500 °C) were used.

Experimental

Catalysts preparation.

Support preparation: TiO₂ was synthesized from TiCl₄ by the technique previously described.²⁰ One part was calcined at 300 °C and another part at 500 °C in a stream of dry air during 4 h to eliminate any contamination of organic compounds. The heating rate was 2 °C min⁻¹.

Preparation by coimpregnation method (CI) with excess solution: the catalyst was prepared according to the method described previously.⁸ Metal precursors (RuCl₃.xH₂O and SnCl₂.2H₂O) as well as sodium borohydride were from Sigma Aldrich (> 99% pure). Briefly, the support was impregnated with an aqueous solution containing the precursor salts of both metals (coimpregnation), adding an excess of solution. The solution was allowed to stand for 12 h. Then, the samples were filtered and dried at 120 °C for 24 h in stove. After that the metals were reduced with an aqueous solution of sodium borohydride, filtered again, washed and dried at 120 °C for 4 h under nitrogen blanketing. They were then reduced with hydrogen at 300 °C for 2 h and cooled down in hydrogen to room temperature. Finally, the system was flushed with nitrogen and the catalyst was put in contact with air at room temperature.

Preparation by coimpregnation by incipient wetness method (IW): the catalyst was prepared according to the same method previously described.¹¹ Briefly, the support was wetted with exactly the pore volume of an aqueous solution of both metal precursor salts ($\text{RuCl}_3 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$, and $\text{SnCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$) in the required amounts to achieve the desired metal content. Wetted samples were left to stand for 12 h, then reduced by the addition of aqueous sodium borohydride, filtered, washed with water until neutrality, and dried during 4 h at 120 °C under N_2 flowing. Finally, the samples were reduced according to the same protocol as for CI catalyst.

The catalysts were called T °C-IW or T °C-CI, where T is the calcination temperature of the support (300 or 500 °C), IW and CI are the methods of incorporation of metals (coimpregnation by incipient wetness or coimpregnation in excess solution, respectively). The theoretical loadings of Ru and Sn were 1.5 and 3.0 wt%, respectively, using both preparation methods.

Characterization methods.

Elemental analysis: the composition of the metal phase was determined by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES, Perkin Elmer, Optima 2100 DV) after digestion in an acid solution. The chlorine content was determined spectrophotometrically by the mercury thiocyanate method using a Metrolab 1700 Spectrophotometer.

Textural properties: the specific surface area (BET method), total pore volume, and pore size distribution (BJH method) were determined by nitrogen adsorption. The catalyst samples were degassed at 200 °C for 2 h, and then the nitrogen adsorption isotherm was determined at -196 °C with a Micromeritics ASAP 2020.

X-Ray Diffraction (XRD): X-ray diffractograms were performed with a Shimadzu XD-1 diffractometer ($\text{CuK}\alpha$ radiation filtered with Ni). The spectra were taken in the range of 2θ between 20° and 70° with a sampling rate of 1.2° min^{-1} .

Temperature-Programmed Reduction (TPR): the equipment (Ohkura TP 2002S) and conditions have been described elsewhere.⁴ A known mass of catalyst was treated in air at 450 °C for 1 h, then cooled down to room temperature under air flow. Then, Ar was used for 15 minutes. Finally, a reducing mixture (5% H₂/Ar) was fed and the temperature was increased linearly from 25 °C to 700 °C at a rate of 10 °C min⁻¹.

Cyclohexane (CH) dehydrogenation: the reaction conditions and the method of analysis of the reaction products have been reported elsewhere.⁴ In brief, the catalyst (50 mg) was charged and activated with H₂ (flow rate 36 mL min⁻¹) at 300 °C during 1 h before reaction. The reaction was carried out at 300 °C, under atmospheric pressure and with a molar ratio H₂/CH = 30. Cyclohexane was provided by Sigma Aldrich (> 99.9% pure).

X-Ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS): XPS measurements were carried out using a multitechnique system (SPECS) equipped with a dual Mg/Al X-ray source and a hemispherical PHOIBOS 150 analyzer (Germany) operating in the fixed analyzer transmission (FAT) mode following the technique described earlier.⁴ The XPS analyses were performed on the solids after treatment with hydrogen/argon at 300 °C. Calibration of the spectra was performed with the Ti 2p_{3/2} line (455 eV) from a TiO₂ support. The data treatment was performed with the Casa XPS program (Casa Software Ltd., UK).⁴

Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM): The analyses were carried out with a Jeol Jem 2100 UHR microscope equipped with a Si (Li) detector for the EDS and a Gatan ultrascan 2kx2k camera. The samples were prepared in ethanol and placed in an ultrasonic bath without prior grinding. FFT and electronic diffraction interpretations were performed using the HIGHSCORE (XRD) software, the ICDD-PDF2 file for searching the sample phases, the CARINE CRISTALLOGRAPHY software to simulate the projection of diffraction patterns, or FFTs and IMAGE.J software to measure particles size for histograms. For each catalyst,

approximately 500 metal particles were observed, and the distribution of particle sizes was measured. The mean particle diameter (d_p) was calculated as the following:

$$d_p = \frac{\sum n_i d_i^3}{\sum n_i d_i^2} \quad (1)$$

where n_i is the number of particles of diameter d_i .

Methyl oleate (9-octadecen-1-ol) hydrogenation: The experiments were carried out in a stainless-steel autoclave reactor (280 cm³ capacity). The reaction conditions (1 g of catalyst, 290 °C and 5 MPa) and the method for the analysis of the reaction products were previously reported.²¹ In summary, reaction products were analyzed by GC (Shimadzu GC-200) using a Chevron ZB-FFAP capillary column (length: 30 m, inner diameter: 0.25 mm), with the following conditions: injector temperature of 220 °C, column temperature of 200 °C for 1 min, 2 °C min⁻¹ ramp up to 260 °C and then isothermal; detector (FID) temperature of 265 °C; N₂ carrier gas. Identification of reaction products was previously done by GC-MS (Shimadzu QP-5000), using the same capillary column. Only oleyl alcohol, methyl stearate, stearyl alcohol and methyl oleate were detected as significant compounds in the reactor. The reagents (methyl oleate and n-dodecane) were provided by Sigma Aldrich (99% purity).

Results and discussion

Table 1 shows the specific surface area, pore volume and pore size values of the studied catalysts. It is seen that the IW and CI catalysts for the supports calcined at 500 °C have pores almost twice the size of those calcined at 300 °C, while the surface area is strongly decreased (from 93 to 38 and 103 to 48 m² g⁻¹ for the CI and IW samples respectively). In order to determine whether the specific surface area modification is due to a change in the structure of the titania support, XRD analyses were performed on the catalysts. Rutile, anatase and brookite are the most common TiO₂ phases. Pure bulk anatase begins to transform irreversibly to rutile

in air at about 600 °C; nevertheless, the transition temperatures vary between 400-1200 °C.²² The wide XRD patterns (Fig. 1) of the samples display five TiO₂ diffraction lines centered at 25.3°, 37.8°, 48.0°, 54.9° and 62.8° corresponding to anatase crystal planes.²³ It means that the pre-calcination temperature or preparation method does not modify the support phase structure. However, the pre-calcination temperature of the support has an influence on the average particle size of TiO₂ anatase. As expected, the estimated average particle size of anatase determined by the Scherrer formula based on the diffraction peak at 25.3° is high on the support calcined at higher temperature, as it can be seen in Table 1.

Table 2 displays the metal content values, chlorine percentage and cyclohexane conversion values obtained for the four catalysts. For all catalysts, the Ru and Sn contents were slightly lower than the expected theoretical values of 1.5 and 3.0 wt%, respectively. In addition, Table 2 shows that the catalyst prepared by a given method with the support calcined at 500 °C has a lower chlorine content than the one prepared on the support calcined at 300 °C. This is because during the calcination step, the support (TiO₂) gives off water, which entrains the residual chlorine coming from the TiCl₄ precursor used for the preparation of the support. The higher the calcination temperature, the greater the water elimination and consequently, the lower amount of retained chlorine. The IW method also produces catalysts with lower chlorine contents, probably due to the more efficient washing performed after the impregnation step of the metal precursors.

The temperature programmed reduction profiles of Ru and Sn monometallic catalysts supported on TiO₂ calcined at 500 °C were previously reported.¹¹ Boron was found to decrease the reduction temperature of Ru oxides from 128 to 110 °C, whereas the Sn oxides were reduced at a higher temperature starting their reduction at 450 °C with a maximum around 600 °C. The shift in the Ru and Sn reduction temperature peaks shows that both metals were interacting with B. The electronegativity of the Ru, Sn and B are 2.20; 1.96 and 2.02 (Pauling). Therefore, B is

prone to give electrons to Ru and remove electrons from Sn. This could explain the different influence of B on the metal oxides reduction.

Fig. 2 shows that for all bimetallic catalysts the maximum of the reduction peak of Ru oxides occurs at a higher temperature than for the Ru monometallic catalyst. This could be because the Sn is in strong interaction with the Ru retarding the reduction. The tin surface species would inhibit the contact of hydrogen with ruthenium atoms. In addition, when the support was calcined at 300 °C, a peak attributed to the reduction of segregated Sn species could be observed at a high temperature (> 600 °C). When the support was calcined at 500 °C, Sn was reduced at a lower temperature (400 °C and 250 °C for CI and IW catalysts, respectively). This indicates that there are Sn oxide particles close to Ru of which reduction is catalyzed by Ru, especially for the IW sample. In conclusion, the TPR results show that for the support pre-calcined at 500° C there are Ru, Sn and B species in strong interaction, the strongest Ru-Sn interaction being obtained in the IW catalyst. There are also more segregated Sn particles on the catalysts prepared with the support pre-calcined at 300 °C than on those prepared on the support pre-calcined at 500 °C.

Cyclohexane dehydrogenation is a useful reaction to measure the activity of the metallic function. Additional experiments with the monometallic Sn and Ru catalysts showed that only the monometallic Ru catalyst was active for cyclohexane dehydrogenation. On the one hand, it is widely known that the reaction is “facile” (structure-insensitive) because it does not require a particular ensemble of neighboring metal atoms to form adsorbate bonds with the proper strength^{24,25}. On the other hand, benzene is the only reaction product obtained in the reaction conditions used. Table 2 shows that the lower support pre-calcination temperature leads to catalysts with the highest values of cyclohexane conversion. In addition, by comparing catalysts with the same pre-calcination temperature, the IW ones lead to lower conversion values than the CI ones. Since Ru is active-for the dehydrogenation of cyclohexane, while Sn is inactive,

the lower activity of the IW catalysts could be due to a higher Ru-Sn interaction or simply because Ru accessibility is lower on the IW catalysts. Sn would decrease Ru activity because Sn deposited onto the Ru blocks the active sites (geometrical effect) or there are Sn atoms deposited near to Ru atoms which modify the electronic state of Ru turning less active (electronic effect). These results agree with the TPR profiles, since the support calcined at the lowest temperature showed a higher amount of segregated Sn; consequently, the dehydrogenating activity of Ru was less affected by Sn. It is important to point out that there is no correlation between the chlorine content of the catalysts and cyclohexane conversion, since the reaction is catalyzed by the metal function.

XPS analyses were performed to gain information about the electronic states of the surface Ru and Sn species. For a sake of simplicity, Fig. 3 only shows the XPS spectra of the bimetallic 500 °C-IW and 300 °C-CI catalysts, *i.e.* the best and worst catalysts in relation to the selectivity to oleyl alcohol. Fig. 3 shows the 276-292 binding energy (BE) range where the peaks attributed to Ru are located. Since the C 1s peak at 284.6 eV of surface adventitious carbon overlaps with Ru 3d_{3/2}, the peak of Ru 3d_{5/2} was employed to determine the chemical state of Ru in all cases. To make the figure easier to analyze, only the Ru 3 d_{5/2} peaks are shown. The XPS results show that Ru⁰ metallic species are present in all catalysts, with BE values in the range between 279.2 and 280.1 eV, in accordance with the values for metallic Ru reported in the literature,²⁶⁻²⁸ while the peak at 284.3-284.8 eV is attributed to Ru 3d_{5/2} oxidized species. The preparation method has influence on the reduction of Ru because the Ru^{δ+} species of the catalysts prepared by CI method displayed BE values around 0.5 eV higher than that of the IW catalyst (Figs 3 and 4). These results are confirmed by a higher fraction of Ru⁰/(Ru⁰+Ru^{δ+}) for the IW catalysts reported in Table 3. This phenomenon could be due to chlorine deposited on the support because the increase in the binding energy of the Ru^{δ+} species correlates with the chlorine content. Chlorine (electrophilic compound) would produce an increase of the BE of

the Ru^{δ+} by inductive effect as seen in Fig. 4. The harmful effect of chlorine on the reduction of Ru was also reported in the literature.²⁹⁻³¹ Mazzieri *et al.* speculated that Ruⁿ⁺ species on these catalysts are associated with chlorine species.³¹

The low BE difference (< 0.5 eV) between Sn²⁺ and Sn⁴⁺ species makes it almost impossible to distinguish them by XPS. A small peak in the range 484-485 eV and a greater peak at 486.1 eV were found for the Sn 3d_{5/2} band (results not shown). According to Rodina *et al.*, the first peak can be attributed to Sn⁰ and the second one to Snⁿ⁺ species.³² The Sn⁰/(Sn⁰+Snⁿ⁺) fraction is lower than 0.2 for all the catalysts. These results agree with those reported by other authors, since the complete reduction of tin to the zero valent state is very difficult to achieve.^{33,34}

The Sn/Ti, Ru/Ti and Sn/Ru atomic ratios calculated from the elemental analysis are about 0.02, 0.01 and 1.92, respectively. The Sn/Ti and Ru/Ti surface atomic ratios obtained by XPS are displayed in Table 3. The catalysts prepared on the support calcined at 500 °C had lower Ru/Ti and Sn/Ti surface ratios than those prepared with the support calcined at 300 °C regardless of the preparation method used. Moreover, by comparing the catalysts prepared on the support calcined at the same temperature, the CI catalysts had lower Ru/Ti and Sn/Ti surface atomic ratios than the IW ones. It is important to note that the Ru/Ti and Sn/Ti surface ratios are much higher than those expected from the bulk analysis, in agreement with the results reported by Gu *et al.*³⁵ and Elmasides *et al.*³⁶ For a 2% Ru/TiO₂ catalyst after reduction at 550 °C, Elmasides *et al.* reported that the surface was dramatically enriched in Ru with a Ru/Ti surface ratio > 1.³⁶ Similar results were found for Ru-Sn/Al₂O₃ catalysts, since Rodina *et al.* reported that the Sn/Al and Ru/Al surface ratios were ten and four times bigger than the bulk atomic ratios, respectively.³² The Sn/Ru surface ratio was also higher than the bulk ratio.

XPS data (not shown) indicated a decrease in the amount of chlorinated species at higher calcination temperatures in accordance with the values reported in Table 1.

An exhaustive analysis of the samples by TEM and EDX was performed. On the 500 °C-CI catalyst, crystallized Ru-Sn phase agglomerates of 50 nm were observed. The EDX analyses show a very homogeneous ratio of Sn/Ru = 7/3. The images in FFT and HAADF imaging confirmed the presence of a Ru₃Sn₇ phase of cubic structure. Also, small particles (Sn and Ru phase) and characteristic tin oxide particles with their "great distance" ($d_{hkl} = 0.3359$ nm for plane (110) of tetragonal SnO₂) were observed. There are areas consisting essentially of SnO₂.

On the 300 °C-CI catalyst, Ru-Sn phase agglomerates, corresponding to Ru₃Sn₇, of about 50 nm but less well crystallized and more numerous than on 500 °C-CI catalyst were found. Also, EDX analyses, FFT images as well as HAADF imaging confirmed the presence of Ru₃Sn₇ phase of cubic structure. There were also very small particles dispersed on TiO₂ for which the diffraction was very difficult to obtain and whose EDX detection was low with a Sn/Ru ratio of about 4/1. Some particles of Sn oxide were also detected. Complementary large analysis on dispersed particles showed that Sn/Ru ratio were between 90/10 and 80/20, therefore with higher Sn contents than on 500 °C-CI catalyst.

In the case of the 500 °C-IW catalyst, very small agglomerates of RuSn₂ phase with particles size of 5 nm were observed. This phase can only be observed in electronic diffraction, since it seems to be covered by an amorphous phase containing Ru and Sn. There were also dispersed particles on the support with sizes between 4 and 5 nm (atomic Sn/Ru ratio between 10/90 to 20/80) and between 2 and 3 nm (with metallic contents very variable, Sn/Ru atomic ratio between 70/30 and 20/80). The electron diffractions demonstrated that the observed phases are tetragonal RuSn₂ and Ru₃Sn₇.

TEM and EDX analysis of the 300 °C-IW catalyst showed agglomerated particles of about 30 nm of Ru-Sn phase less crystallized than on 300 °C-CI catalyst. These particles have a Sn/Ru atomic ratio between 3/7 to 1/1. It is also possible to observe small particles of about 1-4 nm gathering hexagonal or cubic Ru, tetragonal SnO₂, or cubic Ru₃Sn₇ phases. It is

important to point out that the "wide analyses" showed that the Sn/Ru ratios are 30/70 or 80/20. Therefore, the bimetallic particles are very heterogeneous. Also, particles dispersed on TiO₂ with atomic Sn/Ru ratio about 5/95 were found by EDX analyses.

It should be noted that in all the catalysts there was a difference between the Sn/Ru ratio determined by the crystallographic structures and the EDX analyses. This could be explained by the fact that the particles are crystallized but they undoubtedly contain an amorphous surrounded Ru-Sn metal phase. The "wide analysis" by EDX of the surface shows that Sn/Ru atomic ratio on the IW catalysts is lower than on the CI catalysts.

Figs 5 and 6 show typical TEM pictures and metal size distribution corresponding to CI and IW catalysts, respectively. It is important to point out that the big agglomerates of about 30-50 nm were not considered for calculating the metal size distribution, which probably leads to an underestimation of the mean metallic particle size, especially on CI catalysts.

Fig. 7 shows the methyl oleate conversion as a function of the reaction time. All the catalysts showed a similar initial activity. Differences in catalytic activity started to be marked at about 60 min. Catalysts with high cyclohexane dehydrogenation activity also had high hydrogenation activity to convert methyl oleate (Table 2 and Fig. 7), except for the 500 °C-IW catalyst, which presents the lowest cyclohexane conversion but the highest methyl oleate one at the end of the reaction. This might indicate that both reactions take place on different active sites. Cyclohexane dehydrogenation occurs on surface Ru, while Sn is inactive and even negatively affects the Ru activity by an electronic or geometric effect. The geometric effect involves the blocking of active Ru ensembles by the added modifier atoms. The electronic effect corresponds to the modification of the Ru electronic density due to an interaction with Sn neighboring atoms. Such electronic modification would in turn change the adsorption energy of the chemical species participating in the catalytic reaction. It has been proved that both effects are important.^{37,38} Moreover, the hydrogenation of methyl oleate is catalyzed by Ru and

Sn in strong interaction.^{4,6,28,39-41} More recently, Rodina *et al.* proposed that crystalline Ru_xSn_y structures with variable composition were the active component of the selective hydrogenation catalyst.³² The high activity was attributed to Ru° sites interacting with Sn^{2+} or Sn^{4+} Lewis acid sites.⁶ It is also possible that the lower chlorine content of the 500 °C-IW catalyst favors the conversion of methyl oleate because chlorine decreases the Ru-Sn interaction.^{7,9}

Fig. 8 shows conversion values at the end of the reaction as a function of the Sn/Ru atomic ratio obtained by XPS. As the Sn/Ru ratio increases, the catalyst appears to be less active. At high Sn/Ru ratios, Sn could encapsulate Ru and thereby block its catalytic activity. Fig. 9 shows values of selectivity to oleyl alcohol (desired product) as a function of reaction time. As expected, selectivity goes through a maximum as a function of the reaction time since oleyl alcohol is an intermediate reaction product, being transformed to stearyl alcohol at higher reaction times. Sn/Ru atomic ratios equal to 2 or 4 have been reported as the optimal values favoring the formation of the unsaturated alcohol.^{3,7,28,41} The values reported in Table 3 show that the prepared catalysts have a Sn/Ru surface ratio much higher than the optimum. By EDX analysis, it was found that only the catalyst 500 °C-IW, the most selective to oleyl alcohol, displays the RuSn_2 tetragonal phase.

In addition, catalysts prepared with the support previously calcined at 500 °C are seen to be more selective to the desired product (oleyl alcohol) than those prepared with the support calcined at 300 °C regardless of the preparation method. As previously reported, good selectivity to oleyl alcohol is achieved when there is a strong Ru-Sn interaction. TPR and cyclohexane dehydrogenation have shown that a previous calcination of the support at 500 °C leads to a strong Ru-Sn interaction, probably due to the elimination of chlorine. Echeverri *et al.* have reported that chlorine prevents a strong interaction between Ru and Sn species, thus leading to catalysts with low selectivity to oleyl alcohol.⁹

Fig. 10 shows the selectivity to oleyl alcohol, the sum of the selectivities to stearyl alcohol and methyl stearate, and the sum of the selectivity to oleyl and stearyl alcohol as a function of the activity for dehydrogenation cyclohexane. With the increase in the cyclohexane dehydrogenation activity, the selectivity to oleyl alcohol decreases as well as the selectivity to oleyl alcohol + stearyl alcohol. This can be explained by considering that cyclohexane dehydrogenation is catalyzed by Ru, while the selective hydrogenation of methyl oleate to oleyl alcohol occurs on Ru species in strong interaction with Sn. Besides, the sum of the selectivities to stearyl alcohol and methyl stearate, *i.e.* the selectivity for hydrogenation of the C=C double bond increases with the cyclohexane dehydrogenation activity. This indicates that isolated Ru preferably hydrogenates the C=C double bond.

Fig. 11 shows the maximum selectivity to oleyl alcohol obtained in each catalyst as a function of the chlorine content. The higher selectivity to oleyl alcohol is obtained on the catalyst of lower Cl content. However, this correlation is not linear and after a certain threshold value, the ability to produce oleyl alcohol remains constant.

To explain the results, it is necessary to analyze the reaction mechanism and the active sites involved in the reaction. The reaction mechanism proposed for the selective hydrogenation of methyl oleate to oleyl alcohol is direct hydrogenation or stepwise hydrogenation via the formation of an aldehyde intermediate.^{6,28} In both mechanisms, the oxygen of the C=O group is bonded to Sn oxides species which must be in strong interaction with Ru.^{3,6,7,28} A similar model was proposed for the Ru-Sn-B/TiO₂ catalyst where the Sn oxides species are replaced by Ti³⁺ species.¹² Basically, the direct hydrogenation mechanism proposes that electron-rich Ru⁰ activates the H₂ into a “hydride form”. Sn²⁺ or Sn⁴⁺ Lewis acid sites, which are in interaction with Ru, polarize the carbonyl of the ester, facilitating the hydrogen transfer from an adjacent Ru-H site. The hydrogen activated on ruthenium attacks the carbon atom of the carbonyl groups and an acetal of tin is formed.

The chlorine present in the support would modify the electronic state of Ru, mainly of the Ru oxidized species (changing the BE, see Fig. 4). By inductive effect, the electronic state of the Ru^o is also changed. Moreover, chlorine inhibits the reduction of Ru to the metallic state (Table 3). Therefore, the adsorption of hydrogen on Ru^o is disturbed. This alters the attack by the hydrogen of the carbon atom of carbonyl group, thus leading to a lower selectivity to alcohol. The active sites for the reaction proposed by several researchers are formed by Sn and Ru in strong interaction with Sn/Ru atomic ratio of 2.^{3,7,41} The TEM and EDX results showed that 500 °C-IW catalyst, the most selective to oleyl alcohol, possesses the highest amount of RuSn₂ tetragonal phase and big agglomerates of Ru₃Sn₇ phase were not observed.

The main advantage of the Ru-Sn-B/TiO₂ catalyst in comparison to the commercial copper chromite catalyst is the drastic reduction of the working pressure to 3-5 MPa, while the reaction temperature is similar. The commercial processes also have a higher yield to stearyl alcohol.²¹ However a higher yield to fatty alcohol could be obtained in our case by optimizing the reaction conditions, especially the residence time, because stearyl alcohol is the final reaction product. In this sense high selectivity to stearyl alcohol could be obtained at high values of residence time in a continuous reactor. Long residence times would be obtained at high values of the catalyst mass to feed flowrate ratio.

Conclusions

It was found that the activity and selectivity were greatly affected by the chlorine content which depend on, the metal impregnation method (coimpregnation by incipient wetness or in excess solvent) and the support pre-calcination treatment. It has been proved that it is better to pre-treat the support at high temperature (500 °C) to remove more chlorine to obtain more selective catalysts.

The electronic state of Ru⁰ is very important because small variations in the electron density lead to a decrease in the adsorption of the hydrogen. This electronic state is modified by the chlorine surrounding the Ru atoms.

Catalysts prepared by CI method exhibit bigger agglomerated Ru₃Sn₇ cubic phase of 50 nm surrounded by amorphous Ru-Sn than those prepared by the IW method. However, big agglomerates are also found on the 300 °C-IW catalyst but they are smaller (30 nm). The 500 °C-IW catalyst does not present big agglomerates. CI catalysts present a bimodal particles size distribution, with small particles lower than 2.5 nm and agglomerates of 50 nm. The wide analysis by EDX of the surface shows that Sn/Ru atomic ratio determined on the IW catalysts is lower than on the CI catalysts.

The experimental results clearly show that sites involved in the hydrogenation of methyl oleate and in the dehydrogenation of cyclohexane are different.

Acknowledgements

This work had the financial support of Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas and the Universidad Nacional del Litoral (Project CAI+D) - Argentina.

References

- 1 Knaut J and Richtler HJ, Trends in industrial uses of palm and lauric oils. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* **62**:317-27 (1985).
- 2 Adkins H and Connor R, The catalytic hydrogenation of organic compounds over copper chromite. *J Am Chem Soc* **53**:1091-5 (1931).
- 3 Narasimhan CS, Deshpande VM and Ramnarayan K, Selective hydrogenation of methyl oleate to oleyl alcohol on mixed ruthenium-tin boride catalysts. *Appl Catal* **48**:L1-6 (1989).

- 4 Sánchez MA, Mazzieri VA, Sad MR, Grau R and Pieck CL, Influence of preparation method and boron addition on the metal function properties of Ru-Sn catalysts for selective carbonyl hydrogenation. *J Chem Technol Biotechnol* **86**:447-53 (2011).
- 5 Piccirilli A, Pouilloux Y, Pronier S and Barrault J, Selective hydrogenation of methyl oleate into oleyl alcohol in the presence of ruthenium-tin-supported catalysts. *Bull Soc Chim Fr* **132**:1109-17 (1995).
- 6 Deshpande VM, Ramnarayan K and Narasimhan CS, Studies on ruthenium-tin boride catalysts II. Hydrogenation of fatty acid esters to fatty alcohols. *J Catal* **121**:174-82 (1990).
- 7 Cheah KY, Tang TS, Mizukami F, Niwa S ichi, Toba M and Choo YM, Selective hydrogenation of oleic acid to 9-octadecen-1-ol: Catalyst preparation and optimum reaction conditions. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* **69**:410-6 (1992).
- 8 Sánchez MA, Mazzieri VA, Sad MR and Pieck CL, Influence of the operating conditions and kinetic analysis of the selective hydrogenation of methyl oleate on Ru-Sn-B/Al₂O₃ catalysts. *React Kinet Mech Catal* **107**:127-39 (2012).
- 9 Echeverri DA, Marín JM, Restrepo GM and Rios LA, Characterization and carbonylic hydrogenation of methyl oleate over Ru-Sn/Al₂O₃: Effects of metal precursor and chlorine removal. *Appl Catal A Gen* **366**:342-7 (2009).
- 10 Mendes M. J, Santos OAA, Jordão E and Silva AM, Hydrogenation of oleic acid over ruthenium catalysts. *Appl Catal A Gen* **217**:253-62 (2001).
- 11 Sánchez MA, Mazzieri VA, Vicerich MA, Vera CR and Pieck CL, Influence of the Support Material on the Activity and Selectivity of Ru-Sn-B Catalysts for the Selective Hydrogenation of Methyl Oleate. *Ind Eng Chem Res* **54**:6845-54 (2015).
- 12 Corradini SA d. S, Lenzi GG, Lenzi MK, Soares CMF and Santos OAA, Characterization and hydrogenation of methyl oleate over Ru/TiO₂, Ru-Sn/TiO₂ catalysts. *J Non Cryst Solids* **354**:4865-70 (2008).

- 13 Bond GC, Preparation and properties of vanadia/titania monolayer catalysts. *Appl Catal A Gen* **157**:91-103 (1997).
- 14 Oliveira MM, Schnitzler DC and Zarbin AJG, (Ti,Sn)O₂ mixed oxides nanoparticles obtained by the sol-gel route. *Chem Mater* **15**:1903-9 (2003).
- 15 Lin J, Yu JC, Lo D and Lam SK, Photocatalytic activity of rutile Ti_{1-x}Sn_xO₂ solid solutions. *J Catal* **183**:368-74 (1990).
- 16 Ishii K, Mizukami F, Niwa S, Toba M, Ushijima H and Sato T, Effects of raw materials and preparation methods of catalysts on the selective hydrogenation of ethyl phenylacetate. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* **73**:465-9 (1996).
- 17 Ragaini V, Carli R, Bianchi CL, Lorenzetti D and Vergani G, Fischer-Tropsch synthesis on alumina-supported ruthenium catalysts I. Influence of K and Cl modifiers. *Appl Catal A Gen*, **139**:17-29 (1996).
- 18 Narita, T, Miura H, Ohira M, Hondou H, Sugiyama K and Matsuda T, The effect of reduction temperature on the chemisorptive properties of Ru/Al₂O₃: Effect of chlorine. *Appl Catal* **32**: 185-190 (1987).
- 19 Li J, Kitano M, Ye T, Sasase M, Yokoyama T and Hosono H, Chlorine-Tolerant Ruthenium Catalyst Derived Using the Unique Anion-Exchange Properties of 12 CaO.7 Al₂O₃ for Ammonia Synthesis. *ChemCatChem* **9**: 3078-3083 (2017).
- 20 Benítez VM, Yori JC, Vera CR, Pieck CL, Grau JM and Parera JM, Characterization of transition-metal oxides promoted with oxoanions by means of test reactions. *J Ind Eng Chem Res* **44**:1716-21 (2005).
- 21 Sánchez MA, Mazzieri VA, Oportus M, Reyes P and Pieck CL, Influence of Ge content on the activity of Ru-Ge-B/Al₂O₃ catalysts for selective hydrogenation of methyl oleate to oleyl alcohol. *Catal Today* **213**:81-6 (2013).
- 22 Hanaor DAH and Sorrell CC, Review of the anatase to rutile phase transformation. *J*

Mater Sci **46**:855-74 (2011).

23 Wu L, Yu JC, Wang X, Zhang L and Yu J, Characterization of mesoporous nanocrystalline TiO₂ photocatalysts synthesized via a sol-solvothermal process at a low temperature. *J Solid State Chem* **178**:321-8 (2005).

24 Gault FG, Mechanisms of skeletal isomerization of hydrocarbons on metals. *Adv. Catal.* **30**:1-95 (1981)

25 Boudart M, Aldag A, Benson JE, Dougharty VA and Harkings CG, On the specific activity of platinum catalysts. *J. Catal.* **6**:92-99 (1966).

26 Xie S, Qiao M, Li H, Wang W and Deng J-F, A novel Ru-B/SiO₂ amorphous catalyst used in benzene-selective hydrogenation. *Appl Catal A Gen* **176**:129-34 (1999).

27 Moulder JF, Stickle WF, Sobol PE and Bomben KD, Handbook of X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy, ed by Chastain J, (1992).

28 Pouilloux Y, Autin F, Guimon C and Barrault J, Hydrogenation of Fatty Esters over Ruthenium-Tin Catalysts; Characterization and Identification of Active Centers. *J Catal* **176**:215-24 (1998).

29 Van Der Steen PJ and Scholten JJF, Selectivity to cyclohexene in the gas phase hydrogenation of benzene over ruthenium, as influenced by reaction modifiers: II. Catalytic hydrogenation of benzene to cyclohexene and cyclohexane. *Appl Catal* **58**:291-304 (1990).

30 Milone C, Neri G, Donato A, Musolino MG and Mercadante L, Selective Hydrogenation of Benzene to Cyclohexene on Ru/ γ -Al₂O₃. *J Catal* **159**:253-8 (1996).

31 Mazzieri VA, Coloma-Pascual F, Arcoya A, L'Argentière PC and Figoli NS, XPS, FTIR and TPR characterization of Ru/Al₂O₃ catalysts. *Appl Surf Sci* **210**:222-30 (2003).

32 Rodina VO, Ermakov DY, Saraev AA, Reshetnikov SI and Yakovlev VA, Influence of reaction conditions and kinetic analysis of the selective hydrogenation of oleic acid toward fatty alcohols on Ru-Sn-B/Al₂O₃ in the flow reactor. *Appl Catal B Environ* **209**:611-20 (2017).

- 33 Adkins SR and Davis BH, The chemical state of tin in platinum-tin-alumina catalysts. *J Catal* **89**:371-9 (1984).
- 34 Sexton BA, Hughes AE and Fogger K, An X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy and reaction study of Pt-Sn catalysts. *J Catal* **88**:466-77 (1984).
- 35 Gu Q, Long J, Fan L, Chen L, Zhao L and Lin H, Single-site Sn-grafted Ru/TiO₂ photocatalysts for biomass reforming: Synergistic effect of dual co-catalysts and molecular mechanism. *J Catal* **303**:141-55 (2013).
- 36 Elmasides C, Kondarides DI, Grünert W and Verykios XE, XPS and FTIR Study of Ru/Al₂O₃ and Ru/TiO₂ Catalysts: Reduction Characteristics and Interaction with a Methane-Oxygen Mixture (1999).
- 37 Parera, JM and Fígoli NS, Reactions in the commercial reformer, in *Catalytic Naphtha Reforming: Science and Technology*, ed by Antos GJ, Aitani AM and Parera JM. Marcel Dekker Inc., Marcel Dek. New York, pp 45-78 (1995).
- 38 Edgar MD, Catalytic Reforming of Naphtha in Petroleum Refineries, in *Applied Industrial Catalysis*, ed by Bruce EL, Academic Press, New York, pp 124-48 (1983).
- 39 Luo G, Yan S, Qiao M, Zhuang J and Fan K, Effect of tin on Ru-B/ γ -Al₂O₃ catalyst for the hydrogenation of ethyl lactate to 1,2-propanediol. *Appl Catal A Gen* **275**:95-102 (2004).
- 40 Pouilloux Y, Piccirilli A and Barrault J, Selective hydrogenation into oleyl alcohol of methyl oleate in the presence of Ru-SnAl₂O₃ catalysts. *J Mol Catal A Chem* **108**:161-6 (1996).
- 41 Sánchez MA, Mazzieri VA, Vicerich MA, Vera CR and Pieck CL, Ru-Sn-B/Al₂O₃ catalysts for selective hydrogenation of methyl oleate: Influence of the Ru/Sn Ratio. *J of Chem* **2015**:1-7 (2015).
- 42 Yuan P, Liu ZY, Zhang WQ, Sun HJ, Liu SC, Cu-Zn/Al₂O₃ Catalyst for the Hydrogenation of Esters to Alcohols. *Chin J Catal* **31**:769-75 (2010).

Table 1. Surface area, pore volume, pore size and average particle size determined for the four studied catalysts.

Physical property	300 °C-CI	500 °C-CI	300 °C-IW	500 °C-IW
Surface area (m ² g ⁻¹)	93	38	103	48
Pore volume (cm ³ g ⁻¹)	0.21	0.22	0.25	0.25
Pore size (nm)	7.3	16.6	7.2	15.2
TiO ₂ average particle size (nm)*	9	14	9	16

* determined by XRD.

Table 2: Ru, Sn, B and chlorine contents, and cyclohexane conversion of TiO₂ supported catalysts prepared by different methods at different pre-calcination temperatures.

Catalyst	Ru (wt%)	Sn (wt%)	B (wt%)	Cl (wt%)	CH (%)
300 °C-CI	1.29	2.86	0.32	0.42	6.8
500 °C-CI	1.27	2.76	0.20	0.35	3.0
300 °C-IW	1.28	2.97	0.31	0.32	6.1
500 °C-IW	1.26	2.92	0.18	0.29	2.7

CH: cyclohexane conversion

Table 3. Surface atomic ratio of Ru and Sn species determined by XPS.

Catalyst	Ru/Ti	Sn/Ti	Sn/Ru	Ru^o/(Ruⁿ⁺+Ru^o)
300 °C-CI	0.053	0.364	6.87	0.33
500 °C-CI	0.031	0.279	9.00	0.55
300 °C-IW	0.068	0.474	6.97	0.48
500 °C-IW	0.062	0.305	4.92	0.79




Figure 1. XRD patterns of the catalysts prepared by IW and CI method on the titania support calcined at different temperatures.




Figure 2. TPR of the catalysts prepared by IW and CI method on the titania support calcined at different temperatures.




Figure 3. XPS spectra in the Ru 3d region of the 500 °C-IW and 300 °C-CI catalysts. Grey shaded peak, black shaded peak and dashed line corresponds to Ru⁰, Ru^{δ+} and C 1s, respectively.




Figure 4. Binding energy of the Ru^{δ+} species in the Ru 3d_{5/2} region as a function of the chlorine content of the catalysts.




Figure 5: TEM pictures and particle size distributions of (A) 500 °C-CI catalyst (630 particles analyzed); (B) 300 °C-CI catalyst (614 particles analyzed).




Figure 6: TEM pictures and particle size distributions of (A) 500 °C-IW catalyst (491 particles analyzed); (B) 300 °C-IW catalyst (476 particles analyzed).




Figure 7. Conversion of methyl oleate as a function of the reaction time obtained with the four studied catalysts.




Figure 8. Conversion of methyl oleate at 180 min reaction time as a function of the Sn/Ru atomic ratio obtained by XPS.




Figure 9. Selectivity to oleyl alcohol as a function of the reaction time obtained with the four studied catalysts.




Figure 10. Selectivity to oleyl alcohol, the sum of the selectivity to stearyl alcohol and methyl stearate and the sum of the selectivity to oleyl alcohol and stearyl alcohol as a function of the conversion of cyclohexane (values taken at the maximum selectivity to oleyl alcohol).




Figure 11. Maximum selectivity to oleyl alcohol obtained in each catalyst as a function of the chlorine content.