

Kinematics and the neurophysiological study of visually-guided eye movements

Laurent Goffart

► To cite this version:

Laurent Goffart. Kinematics and the neurophysiological study of visually-guided eye movements. Mathematical modelling in motor neuroscience: State of the art and translation to the clinic, 2019, 10.1016/bs.pbr.2019.03.027 . hal-02109608

HAL Id: hal-02109608 https://hal.science/hal-02109608

Submitted on 24 Jun 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	To be published in: Progress in Brain Research volume 248, chapter 68
2	
3	Kinematics and the neurophysiological study of visually-
4	guided eye movements
5	
6	Laurent Goffart ^{1,2}
7	
8	Number of words:
9	abstract: 133
10	text + references: 4063
11	legend: 111
12	
13	1. Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, INT, Inst Neurosci Timone, Marseille, France
14	2. Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, CGGG, Centre Gilles Gaston Granger, Aix-en-Provence, France
15	
16	Address for correspondence: laurent.goffart@univ-amu.fr
17	

18 **ABSTRACT** (134 words):

Notions of kinematics have been used to "decode" the firing rate of neurons and to explain 19 the neurophysiology underlying the generation of visually-guided eye movements. The 20 21 appropriateness of their fitting to events occurring within a medium (the brain) radically different from the physical world was not questioned. Instead of embedding the eye 22 23 kinematics in the firing rate of central neurons, we propose that the saccadic and pursuit eye movements in fact reflect the dynamics of transitions of brain activity, from unbalanced 24 states to equilibrium (symmetry) between directional tendencies carried by the recruited 25 26 visuomotor channels, with distinct transitions characterizing each movement category. 27 While the eyeballs conform to the physical laws of motion, the neural processes leading to their movements follow principles dictated by the intrinsic properties of the brain network 28 and of its diverse neurons. 29

30

31 **KEYWORDS**:

Tracking, saccade, pursuit, foveation, symmetry, equilibrium, neurophysiology, kinematics
 33

34 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

35 The author thanks Professors Gabriella Crocco and Igor Ly for epistemological advices.

36

1. GAZE, TARGET AND MEASUREMENTS.

During the characterization of the neuronal processes which underlie the ability to 38 39 visually capture a moving object, the neurophysiological studies have been led to embed within the inner functioning of the brain, notions which belong to the vocabulary of 40 41 kinematics. When they rotate in the orbit, the eyeballs do not change their shape, and this 42 rigidity allows specifying their orientation with a few numbers (coordinates) whose values depend upon the physical reference frame that has been chosen. Thus, gaze became 43 assimilated to a line (the line of sight) or to an axis (visual axis), shifting from one point in the 44 visual field to another, even though gaze actually refers to an extended field of binocular 45 visuomotor interactions (Hafed et al., 2015; Krauzlis et al., 2017; Otero-Millan et al., 2014). 46 47 Attributing point-like values to gaze and targets inevitably leads to numerical differences between them, especially when their measurement is made with higher 48 49 resolution. However such differences between measured values should not lead us to think that corresponding differences necessarily exist within the brain functioning. Objects in the 50 51 physical world are obviously not points and during visual fixation, all light beams do not converge onto one single photoreceptor. The numerical values attributed to gaze and target 52 directions belong to a "medium" which is not the brain but the set of behavioral 53 measurements. Within the medium of brain functioning, a target, whether it is located in the 54 central or peripheral visual field, is not point-like. From the retinal ganglion cells to their 55 post-synaptic targets, and from the latter to their targets in the cerebral cortex or the 56 57 brainstem, the divergence of anatomical projections implies that the neuronal image of any physical object, even tiny, recruits an increasing number of neurons (e.g., Nowak and Bullier, 58 1997). 59

60 When we record the activity of neurons in cortical visual areas, we find that they emit action potentials whenever a stimulus appears within a more or less extended region of the 61 visual field. Likewise, when we record neurons in saccade-related regions (e.g., deep 62 63 superior colliculus), we discover bursts of action potentials whenever a saccade is made 64 toward locations situated within a more or less bounded region of the visual field. The 65 extent of response fields indicates that any visual object, or any saccade toward its location, 66 involves a large set of neurons (McIlwain, 1976; Sparks et al., 1976). Moreover, in most 67 visual and saccade-related regions, the neurons are laid out in such a way that neighboring neurons respond to neighboring stimuli in the visual field, or burst during saccades toward 68 69 neighboring locations. Despite the divergence of anatomical projections and the lateral extent of post-synaptic contacts, the retinal topology is preserved across the layer of 70 71 neurons.

The consequence of these two basic observations is that neighboring objects in the visual field, or saccades toward their respective location, mobilize neuronal assemblies which involve common cells. This overlap is not taken into account when the focus is made upon the numerical difference between gaze and target directions, the value of which is called *position error* or *motor error*. Such an error signal is indeed considered as the critical factor triggering a saccade and specifying its metrics (amplitude and direction).

78 **2. GAZE DIRECTION AS EQUILIBRIUM.**

The simplest solution that was proposed to model the execution of saccades is a negative feedback loop reducing this difference, i.e., between a desired direction of gaze and an estimate of its current direction. Desired gaze direction corresponds to the selected target location. The *motor error* resulting from the comparison between these two estimates would feed the premotor neurons which themselves would emit action potentials at a rate
proportional to the size of the error (van Gisbergen et al., 1981). Thus, while the gaze
direction moves toward the target, the motor error diminishes and the firing of premotor
neurons declines and cease exciting the motor neurons when the error has been zeroed
(Robinson, 1975).

88 In this theoretical framework, a non-zero motor error leads to a saccade. However, recent studies in the monkey reported pathological cases where stable fixation is engaged 89 although gaze is not directed toward the location which was previously fixated during non-90 91 pathological (control) conditions. Gaze is directed toward a location which is offset with 92 respect to the target; no saccade is launched in spite of non-zero motor error. For example, 93 when the activity of caudal fastigial nuclei (cFN) is altered by a local and unilateral injection of a pharmacologically-inhibiting agent (muscimol), the monkey does not direct its gaze 94 toward the target (located straight ahead) but toward a location slightly shifted toward the 95 96 injected side (Ohtsuka et al., 1994; Goffart et al., 2004; Guerrasio et al., 2010; Robinson et al. 97 1993). By contrast, when the injected drug is a disinhibiting agent (bicuculline), the gaze is 98 deviated toward the opposite side (Sato and Noda, 1992). The ipsilesional fixation offset 99 observed after muscimol injection is not an oculomotor disorder because when the head is 100 free to move, the monkey exhibits an ipsilesional deviation of the head (cervical dystonia) 101 and the eyes in the orbit are deviated toward the contralesional side (Quinet and Goffart, 2005). The relatively similar sizes of fixation offset between the head-restrained and – 102 103 unrestrained testing conditions suggest an alteration of processes underlying gaze 104 orientation. This deduction is consistent with anatomical studies which report cFN projections to the rostral parts of both SC (May et al., 1990) and not to the nuclei prepositus 105 hypoglossi (NPH) or medial vestibular nuclei (MVN) where tonic neurons projecting to 106

107 abducens motor neurons are found (Noda et al., 1990). In the rostral SC, the neurons fire in a sustained manner when the same gaze direction is maintained (Dorris and Munoz, 1995), 108 but they also emit bursts of action potentials during fixational saccades (Hafed and Krauzlis, 109 110 2012). When muscimol is injected in this part of the SC, the monkey exhibits a fixation offset when it directs its gaze toward a straight ahead target. By contrast, saccades to peripheral 111 112 targets are accurate (Goffart et al., 2012). A fixation offset has also been reported after 113 muscimol injection in the frontal eye field (Dias and Segraves, 1999), a region which is 114 reciprocally connected with the deep superior colliculus (Sommer and Wurtz, 1998).

These observations stress not only the limitations brought by reducing gaze and target to their measured values, but also the problem brought by assimilating their difference as a command leading to a saccade. When we consider gaze direction as the outcome of flow of signals propagating from the optic to the motor nerves, along multiple parallel channels involving neuronal assemblies distributed bilaterally in the brain, we are led to a viewpoint where a change in gaze orientation is not initiated when the flows involve omnidirectional commands that counter-balance each other (figure 1; Goffart et al., 2018).

3. TRANSFORMING THE LOCATION OF A PERIPHERAL TARGET INTO

123 SACCADE DURATION.

124 The concept of negative feedback loop was a simple solution to the fundamental 125 problem of understanding how the *locus* of activity elicited by a visual target, in the retina or 126 in the superior colliculus, is transformed into *duration* of motoneurons' bursting activity 127 (Moschovakis et al., 1996; Scudder et al., 2002; Sparks, 2002). The solution was simple 128 because it removed the need to search, within the brain activity, a process encoding saccade 129 duration, as Hans Kornhüber (1971) initially proposed, but later revisited (Jürgens et al., 1981). With the negative feedback control, a cerebral "chronometer" is not needed; the 130 movement duration is a secondary by-product of a process reducing a difference between 131 spatial magnitudes putatively encoded in the brain activity. This conceptual framework was 132 fertile because it stimulated the making of multiple experiments which brought several new 133 134 observations compatible with a negative feedback control. However, if the proposed signals 135 (encoding of eye and target directions or displacements) and processes (comparator or 136 resettable integrator) have no neurophysiological substrate, then the theory becomes irrefutable because it is impossible to demonstrate that something does not exist. 137

138 Later, on the basis of neuromimetic modeling, it was suggested that the signals used 139 by models would not be explicitly conveyed by separate groups of neurons, but would correspond to activities involving assemblies of interconnected neurons distributed over 140 several territories (Robinson, 1992; Optican and Quaia, 2002). Consequently, the signals 141 imagined by the models are not tractable anymore with classical unit recording techniques. 142 143 While the feedback control hypothesis encountered these complications, the hypothesis of 144 Kornhüber (1971) was further developed by the group of Peter Thier (2011). Unfortunately, 145 evidence for chronometric control was weak (see Goffart et al., 2017a; 2018 for 146 explanations).

147 **4. TRACKING A MOVING TARGET**

The notion of negative feedback control has also been used to explain the guidance of eye movements made when a subject tracked a moving visual target. Two processes would operate in parallel before the target foveation: one process would reduce the difference between gaze and target directions (same as discussed above) while the other would reduce the difference between the eye and target velocities. Recording techniques
indeed allow measuring eye movements with such high resolution that instantaneous
velocity and acceleration can be calculated. When the performance of a subject tracking a
moving target is measured, numerical differences between kinematic parameters of the eye
and target can be calculated. Thus, notions such as "velocity error" and "acceleration error"
were added to the list of stimuli driving the generation and execution of pursuit eye
movements (Lisberger et al., 1987).

159 However, proposing that the instantaneous velocity of the eyeball (or of any physical 160 object) is a parameter embedded within the inner functioning of the brain is a hypothesis 161 which is difficult to take root in the neurophysiological soil for several reasons. Firstly, 162 neuronal activities propagate within a medium whose number of dimensions is different from the 3-dimensional "space" of kinematics. A simple centrifugal horizontal motion implies 163 one single dimension in physical space but as many dimensions as there are parallel 164 channels transmitting the retinal signals to the abducens and oculomotor nuclei. Secondly, 165 166 from the retinal input to the motor output, the pattern of neuronal connectivity (divergence 167 followed by convergence) suggests multiple homothetic transformations (expansions and 168 contractions) between the anatomical relays. In other words, the activity which is elicited by 169 a stimulus, even very small, is not rigid; any gaze or target situation measured here and now is not reducible to a point of coordinates (x,y,z,t). Thirdly, compared to its changes of 170 171 coordinates, a moving target does not yield mirror-like changes of activity in the brain 172 networks: residual activities persist, as shown in the superior colliculus for example (Goffart 173 et al., 2017b). The instantaneous velocity of an eye movement is the outcome of action potentials emitted by motor neurons under the influence of neurons distributed in several 174 175 other regions and during a longer time interval. Moreover, correlations between firing rate

176 and velocity can be observed as secondary consequences of changes in alertness or motivation (e.g., Takikawa et al. 2002). Saccades are indeed slowed and the firing rate of 177 saccade-related neurons reduced when the alertness declines (e.g., Henn et al., 1984; Fuchs 178 et al., 1993; Soetedjo et al., 2000). Finally, recordings in the cat have revealed stronger 179 180 correlations between the firing rate of motoneurons and the change in muscle force (Davis-181 Lopez de Carrizosa et al., 2011). Therefore, the correlation between the firing rate of single 182 neurons and eye kinematics must be interpreted with the greatest caution, especially for 183 those neurons located several synapses upstream from the motor neurons.

5. TARGET VELOCITY AS A STIMULUS FOR PURSUIT

185 The suggestion that pursuit consists of matching the eye and target velocities can be traced back to the studies of Rashbass (1961) and Robinson (1965). It pervades so much the 186 contemporary sciences of eye movements that most reviews declare visual pursuit as 187 188 involving a negative feedback loop reducing a difference between estimates of target and 189 eye velocities. However, rigorously speaking, when target distance (position error), velocity or acceleration are said to be stimuli influencing pursuit eye movements, the causal 190 191 relationship should be restricted to the sets of numerical values which belong to the same medium (the physical world) and for which the tools of kinematics have proven their 192 193 efficiency.

194 Rashbass (1961) designed an oculomotor task where gaze, instead of shifting toward 195 a target moving toward the foveal field, drifts away from it, in the same direction as the 196 target motion but with a lower speed. This observation was taken as evidence that target 197 velocity is a stimulus for pursuit eye movements. In this task, the target appears at a slightly 198 eccentric location before moving slowly toward the foveal field. The slow motion must start 199 from an eccentric location whose numerical value is approximately 0.15 to 0.2 times the target speed. If its speed is less than 10°/s, the target must start from a location which, at 200 most, is situated 2 degrees from the center of the foveal field. The target *center* is then 201 located at the edge of the foveal field. However, as explained at the beginning of our 202 203 chapter, the fact that the target is a small spot does not imply that its cerebral image is 204 point-like. A saccade is not launched toward its location because the equilibrium that 205 characterizes gaze direction is not broken; the visuo-oculomotor saccadic system is within a 206 mode where opposite commands counter-balance each other (figure 1). We shall now see 207 that the slow eye movement does not require an encoding of target velocity for its initiation 208 and maintenance.

5. PURSUIT AS SUSTAINED IMBALANCE.

210 The slow eye movement in the same direction as the target motion (but away from 211 its physical location) tells us that its generation involves another kind of symmetry breaking. 212 During horizontal target motions, it results from an imbalance between commands that tonic neurons in the left and right NPH/MVN exert upon the motor and internuclear neurons 213 214 in the abducens nucleus (McFarland and Fuchs, 1992; Scudder et al., 1992). Their bilateral equilibrium would be broken by any asymmetrical excitation, for instance in the visual input 215 from the pretectum. The imbalance of activity between the left and right nuclei of the optic 216 217 tract (NOT) could also be completed by asymmetric input from the left and right paraflocculi.

Unless the drug diffuses toward the pretectum, drifts do not happen during unilateral inactivation of rostral SC: the monkey is able to maintain stable gaze. Its direction is offset with respect to the target with an angle which is relatively constant, even while the monkey pursues a moving target (Hafed et al., 2008). Despite the mismatch between gaze and target directions, the pursuit is preserved. Comparable observations have been shown after caudal
fastigial inactivation (see figures 1 in Bourrelly et al., 2018a, 2018b). Made in experimentallyinduced pathological conditions, they indicate that the target does not have to be centered
within the foveal field for being smoothly pursued. Several behavioral experiments in the
normal subject actually demonstrated this possibility (Fuchs, 1967; Pola and Wyatt, 1980;
Robinson, 1965; Segraves and Goldberg 1994; Winterson and Steinman, 1978).

Thus, during the Rashbass' task, a velocity signal is not necessary to explain why gaze moves away from an approaching target. The motion of the target image across the foveae yields asymmetrical activity between the left and right NOT (Gamlin 2006; Hoffmann et al. 2009; Mustari and Fuchs 1990). Then, the fact that the slow eye movement persists and increases to reach the same speed as the target, in spite of the diminishing velocity error can be explained by the maintenance of the same unbalanced drive from the tonic neurons in NPH/MVN to the motor neurons.

235 **6. CONCLUSION.**

During the past decades, notions of kinematics were used to "decode" the firing rate of neurons and to unravel the neurophysiology of tracking eye movements. The appropriateness of these notions to a medium radically different from the physical world was not questioned. Instead of embedding the eye movement kinematics in the firing rate of central neurons, we propose that saccadic and slow eye movements reflect the dynamics of transitions (from unbalanced states to equilibrium) of bilateral activity carrying directional tendencies. 243 Thus, the target foveation consists of dynamically adjusting the balance (symmetry) 244 between opposing tendencies emitted in the left and right parts of the brain, as proposed for the control of fixation (Goffart et al., 2012; Guerrasio et al., 2010), saccade trajectory 245 (Bourrelly et al., 2018a; van Gisbergen et al., 1981; Goffart et al., 2003, 2004) and pursuit 246 247 (Bourrelly et al., 2018b; Hafed et al., 2008). Concerning the question how eye movements 248 reach the target speed, the acceleration would involve recruitment: increasing the firing and 249 number of motion-related neurons moves the eyes faster whereas decreasing them reduces 250 their velocity. Then, understanding the cerebral control of pursuit eye movement requires 251 characterizing the adjustment of the appropriate population size through recruiting neurons and increasing the occurrence of synchronized action potentials at post-synaptic levels 252 253 (Goffart et al. 2017a; 2018).

254

255 **REFERENCES**

- Anderson, R.W., Keller, E.L., Gandhi, N.J., Das, S., 1998. Two-dimensional saccade-related
- 257 population activity in superior colliculus in monkey. J. Neurophysiol. 80, 798-817.
- Bourrelly, C., Quinet, J., Goffart, L., 2018a. The caudal fastigial nucleus and the steering of
- saccades toward a moving visual target. J. Neurophysiol. 120, 421-438.
- 260 Bourrelly, C., Quinet, J., Goffart, L., 2018b. Pursuit disorder and saccade dysmetria after
- 261 caudal fastigial inactivation in the monkey. J. Neurophysiol. 120, 1640-1654.
- 262 Davis-Lopez de Carrizosa, M.A., Morado-Díaz, C.J., Miller, J.M., de la Cruz, R.R. and Pastor,
- A.M., 2011. Dual encoding of muscle tension and eye position by abducens motoneurons. J.
- 264 Neurosci. 31, 2271-2279.

- Dias, E.C., Segraves, M.A., 1999. Muscimol-induced inactivation of monkey frontal eye field:
 effects on visually and memory-guided saccades. J. Neurophysiol. 81, 2191-2214.
- 267 Dorris, M.C., Munoz, D.P., 1995. A neural correlate for the gap effect on saccadic reaction
 268 times in monkey. J. Neurophysiol. 73, 2558-2562.
- Fuchs, A.F., 1967. Saccadic and smooth pursuit eye movements in the monkey. J. Physiol.
 191, 609–631.
- 271 Fuchs, A.F., Robinson, F.R., Straube A., 1993. Role of the caudal fastigial nucleus in saccade
- generation. I. Neuronal discharge patterns. J Neurophysiol. 70, 1712–1740.
- Gamlin, P.D., 2006. The pretectum: connections and oculomotor-related roles. Prog. Brain
 Res. 151, 379-405.
- 275 Goffart, L., Bourrelly, C., Quinet, J., 2017a. Synchronizing the tracking eye movements with
- the motion of a visual target: basic neural processes. Prog. Brain Res. 236, 243-268.
- 277 Goffart, L., Bourrelly, C., Quinton, J-C., 2018. Neurophysiology of visually-guided eye
- 278 movements: Critical review and alternative viewpoint. J. Neurophysiol. 120, 3234-3245.
- 279 Goffart, L., Cecala, A., Gandhi, N., 2017b. The superior colliculus and the steering of saccades
- toward a moving visual target. J. Neurophysiol. 118, 2890–2901, 2017b.
- 281 Goffart L, Chen LL, Sparks DL., 2003. Saccade dysmetria during functional perturbation of the
- caudal fastigial nucleus in the monkey. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 1004, 220-228.
- 283 Goffart, L., Chen, L.L., Sparks, D.L., 2004. Deficits in saccades and fixation during muscimol
- inactivation of the caudal fastigial nucleus in the rhesus monkey. J. Neurophysiol. 92, 3351-
- 285 3367.

- 286 Goffart, L., Hafed, Z.M., Krauzlis, R.J., 2012. Visual fixation as equilibrium: evidence from
- superior colliculus inactivation. J. Neurosci. 32, 10627–10636.
- 288 Goffart, L., Pélisson, D. 1998. Orienting gaze shifts during muscimol inactivation of caudal
- fastigial nucleus in the cat. I. Gaze dysmetria. J. Neurophysiol. 79, 1942-1958.
- 290 Guerrasio, L., Quinet, J., Büttner, U., Goffart, L., 2010. Fastigial oculomotor region and the
- control of foveation during fixation. J. Neurophysiol. 103, 1988-2001.
- Hafed, Z.M., Chen, C.Y., Tian, X., 2015. Vision, perception, and attention through the lens of
- 293 microsaccades: Mechanisms and implications. Front. Syst. Neurosci. 9, 167.
- Hafed, Z.M., Krauzlis, R.J., 2012. Similarity of superior colliculus involvement in microsaccade
- and saccade generation. J. Neurophysiol. 107, 1904-1916.
- 296 Hafed, Z.M., Goffart, L., Krauzlis, R.J., 2008. Superior colliculus inactivation causes stable

offsets in eye position during tracking. J. Neurosci. 28, 8124-8137.

- Henn, V., Baloh, R.W., Hepp K., 1984. The sleep-wake transition in the oculomotor system.
- 299 Exp Brain Res. 54, 166-176.
- 300 Hoffmann, K.P., Bremmer, F., Distler, C., 2009. Visual response properties of neurons in
- 301 cortical areas MT and MST projecting to the dorsolateral pontine nucleus or the nucleus of
- the optic tract in macaque monkeys. Eur. J. Neurosci. 29, 411-423.
- Jürgens, R., Becker, W., Kornhüber, H., 1981. Natural and drug-induced variations of velocity
- and duration of human saccadic eye movements: evidence for a control of the neural pulse
- 305 generator by local feedback. Biol. Cybern. 39, 87-96.

- 306 Kornhüber, H.H., 1971. Motor functions of cerebellum and basal ganglia: the
- 307 cerebellocortical saccadic (ballistic) clock, the cerebellonuclear hold regulator, and the basal
- 308 ganglia ramp (voluntary speed smooth movement) generator. Kybernetik 8, 157-162.
- 309 Krauzlis, R.J., Goffart, L., Hafed, Z.M., 2017. Neuronal control of fixation and fixational eye
- 310 movements. Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. 372, 20160205.
- 311 Lisberger, S.G., Morris, E.J., Tychsen, L., 1987. Visual motion processing and sensory-motor
- integration for smooth pursuit eye movements. Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 10, 97-129.
- May, P.J., Hartwich-Young, R., Nelson, J., Sparks, D.L., Porter, J.D., 1990. Cerebellotectal
- pathways in the macaque: implications for collicular generation of saccades. Neuroscience
 36, 305–324.
- McFarland, J.L., Fuchs, A.F., 1992. Discharge patterns in nucleus prepositus hypoglossi and
 adjacent medial vestibular nucleus during horizontal eye movement in behaving macaques.
 J. Neurophysiol. 68, 319-332.
- McIlwain, J.T., 1976. Large receptive fields and spatial transformations in the visual system.
 Int. Rev. Physiol. 10, 223–248.
- 321 Moschovakis, A.K., Scudder, C.A., Highstein, S.M., 1996. The microscopic anatomy and
- 322 physiology of the mammalian saccadic system. Prog. Neurobiol. 50, 133-254.
- 323 Mustari, M.J., Fuchs, A.F., 1990. Discharge patterns of neurons in the pretectal nucleus of
- the optic tract (NOT) in the behaving primate. J. Neurophysiol. 64, 77-90.
- Noda, H., Sugita, S., Ikeda, Y., 1990. Afferent and efferent connections of the oculomotor
- region of the fastigial nucleus in the macaque monkey. J. Comp. Neurol. 302, 330-348.

- 327 Nowak, L.G., Bullier, J., 1997. The timing of information transfer in the visual system. In
- 328 Extrastriate Cortex (eds J. Kaas, et al.) pp 205-241. Plenum Press, Vol. 12.
- 329 Ohtsuka, K., Sato, H., Noda, H., 1994. Saccadic burst neurons in the fastigial nucleus are not
- involved in compensating for orbital nonlinearities. J. Neurophysiol. 71, 1976-1980.
- 331 Optican, L. M., & Quaia, C. 2002. Distributed model of collicular and cerebellar function
- during saccades. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 956, 164-177.
- 333 Otero-Millan, J., Macknik, S.L., Martinez-Conde, S., 2014. Fixational eye movements and
- binocular vision. Front. Integr. Neurosci. 8, 52.
- Pola, J., Wyatt, H.J., 1980. Target position and velocity: the stimuli for smooth pursuit eye
 movements. Vision Res. 20, 523-534.
- 337 Quinet, J., Goffart, L., 2005. Saccade dysmetria in head-unrestrained gaze shifts after
- muscimol inactivation of the caudal fastigial nucleus in the monkey. J. Neurophysiol. 93,
- 339 2343-2349.
- Rashbass, C., 1961. The relationship between saccadic and smooth tracking eye movements.J. Physiol. 159, 326-338.
- Robinson, D.A., 1965. The mechanics of human smooth pursuit eye movement. J. Physiol.
 180, 569-591.
- Robinson, D.A., 1975. Oculomotor control signals. In: Lennerstrand G, Bach-y-Rita P (eds)
 Basic mechanisms of ocular motility and their clinical implications. Pergamon, Oxford, pp
 337–374.

- Robinson, D.A., 1992. Implications of neural networks for how we think about brain function.
 Behav. Brain Sci. 15, 644-655.
- Robinson, F.R., Straube, A., Fuchs, A.F., 1993. Participation of caudal fastigial nucleus in
- 350 saccade generation. II. Effects of muscimol inactivation. J Neurophysiol 70, 1741–1758.
- 351 Sato, H., Noda, H., 1992. Saccadic dysmetria induced by transient functional decortication of
- the cerebellar vermis. Exp. Brain Res. 88, 455-458.
- 353 Scudder, C.A., Fuchs, A.F., 1992. Physiological and behavioral identification of vestibular
- 354 nucleus neurons mediating the horizontal vestibuloocular reflex in trained rhesus monkeys.
- 355 J. Neurophysiol. 68, 244-264.
- 356 Scudder, C.A., Kaneko, C.R.S., Fuchs, A.F., 2002. The brainstem burst generator for saccadic
- 357 eye movements: a modern synthesis. Exp. Brain Res. 142, 439-462.
- 358 Segraves, M.A., Goldberg, M.E., 1994. Effect of stimulus position and velocity upon the
- maintenance of smooth pursuit eye velocity. Vision Res. 34, 2477-2482.
- 360 Soetedjo, R., Kaneko, C.R., Fuchs, A.F., 2000. Evidence that the superior colliculus
- 361 participates in the feedback control of saccadic eye movements. J. Neurophysiol. 87, 679-362 695.
- 363 Sommer, M.A., Wurtz, R.H., 1998. Frontal eye field neurons orthodromically activated from
 364 the superior colliculus. J. Neurophysiol. 80, 3331-3335.
- Sparks, D.L., 2002. The brainstem control of saccadic eye movements. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 3,
 952–964.

- Sparks, D.L., Holland, R., Guthrie, B.L., 1976. Size and distribution of movement fields in the
 monkey superior colliculus. Brain Res. 113, 21–34.
- 369 Takikawa, Y., Kawagoe, R., Itoh, H., Nakahara, H., Hikosaka, O. 2002. Modulation of saccadic
- eye movements by predicted reward outcome. Exp Brain Res. 142, 284-291.
- 371 Thier, P., 2011. The oculomotor cerebellum. In: S.P. Liversedge, I. Gilchrist and S. Everling
- 372 (Eds). The Oxford handbook of eye movements. Oxford University Press.
- 373 van Gisbergen, J.A.M., Robinson, D.A., Gielen, S. A., 1981. A quantitative analysis of
- generation of saccadic eye movements by burst neurons. J. Neurophysiol. 45, 417–442.
- 375 Winterson, B.J., Steinman, R.M., 1978. The effect of luminance on human smooth pursuit of
- 376 perifoveal and foveal targets. Vision Res. 18, 1165-1172.

377 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

Figure 1: Visual fixation as equilibrium. A saccade or a slow eye movement is not initiated 378 when the visuo-oculomotor system is within a mode where opposite commands issued by 379 380 the left and right superior colliculi counterbalance each other. For generating saccadic and pursuit eye movements, the symmetry breaking involves different groups of neurons. 381 382 Saccades involve neurons located in the pontomedullary reticular formation whereas slow eye movements involve neurons in the pontine nuclei and the thalamus (see Bourrelly et al., 383 2018b and Goffart et al., 2018 for more explanations). The bilateral fastigial activity also 384 385 contributes to the muscle tone which specifies the horizontal orientation (yaw) of the head 386 (Goffart and Pélisson, 1998; Quinet & Goffart, 2005).

