

Kinematics and the neurophysiological study of visually-guided eye movements

Laurent Goffart

▶ To cite this version:

Laurent Goffart. Kinematics and the neurophysiological study of visually-guided eye movements. Mathematical modelling in motor neuroscience: State of the art and translation to the clinic, 2019, 10.1016/bs.pbr.2019.03.027. hal-02109608

HAL Id: hal-02109608 https://hal.science/hal-02109608v1

Submitted on 24 Jun 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	To be published in: <i>Progress in Brain Research</i> volume 248, chapter 68
2	
3	Kinematics and the neurophysiological study of visually-
4	guided eye movements
5	
6	Laurent Goffart ^{1,2}
7	
8	Number of words:
9	abstract: 133
LO	text + references: 4063
l1	legend: 111
12	
13	1. Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, INT, Inst Neurosci Timone, Marseille, France
L4	2. Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, CGGG, Centre Gilles Gaston Granger, Aix-en-Provence, France
L5	
16	Address for correspondence: laurent.goffart@univ-amu.fr

ABSTRACT (134 words):

Notions of kinematics have been used to "decode" the firing rate of neurons and to explain the neurophysiology underlying the generation of visually-guided eye movements. The appropriateness of their fitting to events occurring within a medium (the brain) radically different from the physical world was not questioned. Instead of embedding the eye kinematics in the firing rate of central neurons, we propose that the saccadic and pursuit eye movements in fact reflect the dynamics of transitions of brain activity, from unbalanced states to equilibrium (symmetry) between directional tendencies carried by the recruited visuomotor channels, with distinct transitions characterizing each movement category. While the eyeballs conform to the physical laws of motion, the neural processes leading to their movements follow principles dictated by the intrinsic properties of the brain network and of its diverse neurons.

KEYWORDS:

32 Tracking, saccade, pursuit, foveation, symmetry, equilibrium, neurophysiology, kinematics

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

35 The author thanks Professors Gabriella Crocco and Igor Ly for epistemological advices.

1. GAZE, TARGET AND MEASUREMENTS.

During the characterization of the neuronal processes which underlie the ability to visually capture a moving object, the neurophysiological studies have been led to embed within the inner functioning of the brain, notions which belong to the vocabulary of kinematics. When they rotate in the orbit, the eyeballs do not change their shape, and this rigidity allows specifying their orientation with a few numbers (coordinates) whose values depend upon the physical reference frame that has been chosen. Thus, gaze became assimilated to a line (the line of sight) or to an axis (visual axis), shifting from one point in the visual field to another, even though gaze actually refers to an extended field of binocular visuomotor interactions (Hafed et al., 2015; Krauzlis et al., 2017; Otero-Millan et al., 2014).

Attributing point-like values to gaze and targets inevitably leads to numerical differences between them, especially when their measurement is made with higher resolution. However such differences between measured values should not lead us to think that corresponding differences necessarily exist within the brain functioning. Objects in the physical world are obviously not points and during visual fixation, all light beams do not converge onto one single photoreceptor. The numerical values attributed to gaze and target directions belong to a "medium" which is not the brain but the set of behavioral measurements. Within the medium of brain functioning, a target, whether it is located in the central or peripheral visual field, is not point-like. From the retinal ganglion cells to their post-synaptic targets, and from the latter to their targets in the cerebral cortex or the brainstem, the divergence of anatomical projections implies that the neuronal image of any physical object, even tiny, recruits an increasing number of neurons (e.g., Nowak and Bullier, 1997).

When we record the activity of neurons in cortical visual areas, we find that they emit action potentials whenever a stimulus appears within a more or less extended region of the visual field. Likewise, when we record neurons in saccade-related regions (e.g., deep superior colliculus), we discover bursts of action potentials whenever a saccade is made toward locations situated within a more or less bounded region of the visual field. The extent of response fields indicates that any visual object, or any saccade toward its location, involves a large set of neurons (McIlwain, 1976; Sparks et al., 1976). Moreover, in most visual and saccade-related regions, the neurons are laid out in such a way that neighboring neurons respond to neighboring stimuli in the visual field, or burst during saccades toward neighboring locations. Despite the divergence of anatomical projections and the lateral extent of post-synaptic contacts, the retinal topology is preserved across the layer of neurons.

The consequence of these two basic observations is that neighboring objects in the visual field, or saccades toward their respective location, mobilize neuronal assemblies which involve common cells. This overlap is not taken into account when the focus is made upon the numerical difference between gaze and target directions, the value of which is called *position error* or *motor error*. Such an error signal is indeed considered as the critical factor triggering a saccade and specifying its metrics (amplitude and direction).

2. GAZE DIRECTION AS EQUILIBRIUM.

The simplest solution that was proposed to model the execution of saccades is a negative feedback loop reducing this difference, i.e., between a desired direction of gaze and an estimate of its current direction. Desired gaze direction corresponds to the selected target location. The *motor error* resulting from the comparison between these two estimates

would feed the premotor neurons which themselves would emit action potentials at a rate proportional to the size of the error (van Gisbergen et al., 1981). Thus, while the gaze direction moves toward the target, the motor error diminishes and the firing of premotor neurons declines and cease exciting the motor neurons when the error has been zeroed (Robinson, 1975).

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

In this theoretical framework, a non-zero motor error leads to a saccade. However, recent studies in the monkey reported pathological cases where stable fixation is engaged although gaze is not directed toward the location which was previously fixated during nonpathological (control) conditions. Gaze is directed toward a location which is offset with respect to the target; no saccade is launched in spite of non-zero motor error. For example, when the activity of caudal fastigial nuclei (cFN) is altered by a local and unilateral injection of a pharmacologically-inhibiting agent (muscimol), the monkey does not direct its gaze toward the target (located straight ahead) but toward a location slightly shifted toward the injected side (Ohtsuka et al., 1994; Goffart et al., 2004; Guerrasio et al., 2010; Robinson et al. 1993). By contrast, when the injected drug is a disinhibiting agent (bicuculline), the gaze is deviated toward the opposite side (Sato and Noda, 1992). The ipsilesional fixation offset observed after muscimol injection is not an oculomotor disorder because when the head is free to move, the monkey exhibits an ipsilesional deviation of the head (cervical dystonia) and the eyes in the orbit are deviated toward the contralesional side (Quinet and Goffart, 2005). The relatively similar sizes of fixation offset between the head-restrained and unrestrained testing conditions suggest an alteration of processes underlying gaze orientation. This deduction is consistent with anatomical studies which report cFN projections to the rostral parts of both SC (May et al., 1990) and not to the nuclei prepositus hypoglossi (NPH) or medial vestibular nuclei (MVN) where tonic neurons projecting to

abducens motor neurons are found (Noda et al., 1990). In the rostral SC, the neurons fire in a sustained manner when the same gaze direction is maintained (Dorris and Munoz, 1995), but they also emit bursts of action potentials during fixational saccades (Hafed and Krauzlis, 2012). When muscimol is injected in this part of the SC, the monkey exhibits a fixation offset when it directs its gaze toward a straight ahead target. By contrast, saccades to peripheral targets are accurate (Goffart et al., 2012). A fixation offset has also been reported after muscimol injection in the frontal eye field (Dias and Segraves, 1999), a region which is reciprocally connected with the deep superior colliculus (Sommer and Wurtz, 1998).

These observations stress not only the limitations brought by reducing gaze and target to their measured values, but also the problem brought by assimilating their difference as a command leading to a saccade. When we consider gaze direction as the outcome of flow of signals propagating from the optic to the motor nerves, along multiple parallel channels involving neuronal assemblies distributed bilaterally in the brain, we are led to a viewpoint where a change in gaze orientation is not initiated when the flows involve omnidirectional commands that counter-balance each other (figure 1; Goffart et al., 2018).

3. TRANSFORMING THE LOCATION OF A PERIPHERAL TARGET INTO SACCADE DURATION.

The concept of negative feedback loop was a simple solution to the fundamental problem of understanding how the *locus* of activity elicited by a visual target, in the retina or in the superior colliculus, is transformed into *duration* of motoneurons' bursting activity (Moschovakis et al., 1996; Scudder et al., 2002; Sparks, 2002). The solution was simple because it removed the need to search, within the brain activity, a process encoding saccade

duration, as Hans Kornhüber (1971) initially proposed, but later revisited (Jürgens et al., 1981). With the negative feedback control, a cerebral "chronometer" is not needed; the movement duration is a secondary by-product of a process reducing a difference between spatial magnitudes putatively encoded in the brain activity. This conceptual framework was fertile because it stimulated the making of multiple experiments which brought several new observations compatible with a negative feedback control. However, if the proposed signals (encoding of eye and target directions or displacements) and processes (comparator or resettable integrator) have no neurophysiological substrate, then the theory becomes irrefutable because it is impossible to demonstrate that something does not exist.

Later, on the basis of neuromimetic modeling, it was suggested that the signals used by models would not be explicitly conveyed by separate groups of neurons, but would correspond to activities involving assemblies of interconnected neurons distributed over several territories (Robinson, 1992; Optican and Quaia, 2002). Consequently, the signals imagined by the models are not tractable anymore with classical unit recording techniques. While the feedback control hypothesis encountered these complications, the hypothesis of Kornhüber (1971) was further developed by the group of Peter Thier (2011). Unfortunately, evidence for chronometric control was weak (see Goffart et al., 2017a; 2018 for explanations).

4. TRACKING A MOVING TARGET

The notion of negative feedback control has also been used to explain the guidance of eye movements made when a subject tracked a moving visual target. Two processes would operate in parallel before the target foveation: one process would reduce the difference between gaze and target directions (same as discussed above) while the other

would reduce the difference between the eye and target velocities. Recording techniques indeed allow measuring eye movements with such high resolution that instantaneous velocity and acceleration can be calculated. When the performance of a subject tracking a moving target is measured, numerical differences between kinematic parameters of the eye and target can be calculated. Thus, notions such as "velocity error" and "acceleration error" were added to the list of stimuli driving the generation and execution of pursuit eye movements (Lisberger et al., 1987).

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

However, proposing that the instantaneous velocity of the eyeball (or of any physical object) is a parameter embedded within the inner functioning of the brain is a hypothesis which is difficult to take root in the neurophysiological soil for several reasons. Firstly, neuronal activities propagate within a medium whose number of dimensions is different from the 3-dimensional "space" of kinematics. A simple centrifugal horizontal motion implies one single dimension in physical space but as many dimensions as there are parallel channels transmitting the retinal signals to the abducens and oculomotor nuclei. Secondly, from the retinal input to the motor output, the pattern of neuronal connectivity (divergence followed by convergence) suggests multiple homothetic transformations (expansions and contractions) between the anatomical relays. In other words, the activity which is elicited by a stimulus, even very small, is not rigid; any gaze or target situation measured here and now is not reducible to a point of coordinates (x,y,z,t). Thirdly, compared to its changes of coordinates, a moving target does not yield mirror-like changes of activity in the brain networks: residual activities persist, as shown in the superior colliculus for example (Goffart et al., 2017b). The instantaneous velocity of an eye movement is the outcome of action potentials emitted by motor neurons under the influence of neurons distributed in several other regions and during a longer time interval. Moreover, correlations between firing rate

and velocity can be observed as secondary consequences of changes in alertness or motivation (e.g., Takikawa et al. 2002). Saccades are indeed slowed and the firing rate of saccade-related neurons reduced when the alertness declines (e.g., Henn et al., 1984; Fuchs et al., 1993; Soetedjo et al., 2000). Finally, recordings in the cat have revealed stronger correlations between the firing rate of motoneurons and the change in muscle force (Davis-Lopez de Carrizosa et al., 2011). Therefore, the correlation between the firing rate of single neurons and eye kinematics must be interpreted with the greatest caution, especially for those neurons located several synapses upstream from the motor neurons.

5. TARGET VELOCITY AS A STIMULUS FOR PURSUIT

The suggestion that pursuit consists of matching the eye and target velocities can be traced back to the studies of Rashbass (1961) and Robinson (1965). It pervades so much the contemporary sciences of eye movements that most reviews declare visual pursuit as involving a negative feedback loop reducing a difference between estimates of target and eye velocities. However, rigorously speaking, when target distance (position error), velocity or acceleration are said to be stimuli influencing pursuit eye movements, the causal relationship should be restricted to the sets of numerical values which belong to the same medium (the physical world) and for which the tools of kinematics have proven their efficiency.

Rashbass (1961) designed an oculomotor task where gaze, instead of shifting toward a target moving toward the foveal field, drifts away from it, in the same direction as the target motion but with a lower speed. This observation was taken as evidence that target velocity is a stimulus for pursuit eye movements. In this task, the target appears at a slightly eccentric location before moving slowly toward the foveal field. The slow motion must start

from an eccentric location whose numerical value is approximately 0.15 to 0.2 times the target speed. If its speed is less than 10°/s, the target must start from a location which, at most, is situated 2 degrees from the center of the foveal field. The target *center* is then located at the edge of the foveal field. However, as explained at the beginning of our chapter, the fact that the target is a small spot does not imply that its cerebral image is point-like. A saccade is not launched toward its location because the equilibrium that characterizes gaze direction is not broken; the visuo-oculomotor saccadic system is within a mode where opposite commands counter-balance each other (figure 1). We shall now see that the slow eye movement does not require an encoding of target velocity for its initiation and maintenance.

5. PURSUIT AS SUSTAINED IMBALANCE.

The slow eye movement in the same direction as the target motion (but away from its physical location) tells us that its generation involves another kind of symmetry breaking. During horizontal target motions, it results from an imbalance between commands that tonic neurons in the left and right NPH/MVN exert upon the motor and internuclear neurons in the abducens nucleus (McFarland and Fuchs, 1992; Scudder et al., 1992). Their bilateral equilibrium would be broken by any asymmetrical excitation, for instance in the visual input from the pretectum. The imbalance of activity between the left and right nuclei of the optic tract (NOT) could also be completed by asymmetric input from the left and right paraflocculi.

Unless the drug diffuses toward the pretectum, drifts do not happen during unilateral inactivation of rostral SC: the monkey is able to maintain stable gaze. Its direction is offset with respect to the target with an angle which is relatively constant, even while the monkey pursues a moving target (Hafed et al., 2008). Despite the mismatch between gaze and target

directions, the pursuit is preserved. Comparable observations have been shown after caudal fastigial inactivation (see figures 1 in Bourrelly et al., 2018a, 2018b). Made in experimentally-induced pathological conditions, they indicate that the target does not have to be centered within the foveal field for being smoothly pursued. Several behavioral experiments in the normal subject actually demonstrated this possibility (Fuchs, 1967; Pola and Wyatt, 1980; Robinson, 1965; Segraves and Goldberg 1994; Winterson and Steinman, 1978).

Thus, during the Rashbass' task, a velocity signal is not necessary to explain why gaze moves away from an approaching target. The motion of the target image across the foveae yields asymmetrical activity between the left and right NOT (Gamlin 2006; Hoffmann et al. 2009; Mustari and Fuchs 1990). Then, the fact that the slow eye movement persists and increases to reach the same speed as the target, in spite of the diminishing velocity error can be explained by the maintenance of the same unbalanced drive from the tonic neurons in NPH/MVN to the motor neurons.

6. CONCLUSION.

During the past decades, notions of kinematics were used to "decode" the firing rate of neurons and to unravel the neurophysiology of tracking eye movements. The appropriateness of these notions to a medium radically different from the physical world was not questioned. Instead of embedding the eye movement kinematics in the firing rate of central neurons, we propose that saccadic and slow eye movements reflect the dynamics of transitions (from unbalanced states to equilibrium) of bilateral activity carrying directional tendencies.

Thus, the target foveation consists of dynamically adjusting the balance (symmetry) between opposing tendencies emitted in the left and right parts of the brain, as proposed for the control of fixation (Goffart et al., 2012; Guerrasio et al., 2010), saccade trajectory (Bourrelly et al., 2018a; van Gisbergen et al., 1981; Goffart et al., 2003, 2004) and pursuit (Bourrelly et al., 2018b; Hafed et al., 2008). Concerning the question how eye movements reach the target speed, the acceleration would involve recruitment: increasing the firing and number of motion-related neurons moves the eyes faster whereas decreasing them reduces their velocity. Then, understanding the cerebral control of pursuit eye movement requires characterizing the adjustment of the appropriate population size through recruiting neurons and increasing the occurrence of synchronized action potentials at post-synaptic levels (Goffart et al. 2017a; 2018).

REFERENCES

- Anderson, R.W., Keller, E.L., Gandhi, N.J., Das, S., 1998. Two-dimensional saccade-related population activity in superior colliculus in monkey. J. Neurophysiol. 80, 798-817.
- Bourrelly, C., Quinet, J., Goffart, L., 2018a. The caudal fastigial nucleus and the steering of saccades toward a moving visual target. J. Neurophysiol. 120, 421-438.
- Bourrelly, C., Quinet, J., Goffart, L., 2018b. Pursuit disorder and saccade dysmetria after caudal fastigial inactivation in the monkey. J. Neurophysiol. 120, 1640-1654.
- Davis-Lopez de Carrizosa, M.A., Morado-Díaz, C.J., Miller, J.M., de la Cruz, R.R. and Pastor,
- A.M., 2011. Dual encoding of muscle tension and eye position by abducens motoneurons. J.
- 264 Neurosci. 31, 2271-2279.

- Dias, E.C., Segraves, M.A., 1999. Muscimol-induced inactivation of monkey frontal eye field:
- 266 effects on visually and memory-guided saccades. J. Neurophysiol. 81, 2191-2214.
- Dorris, M.C., Munoz, D.P., 1995. A neural correlate for the gap effect on saccadic reaction
- 268 times in monkey. J. Neurophysiol. 73, 2558-2562.
- Fuchs, A.F., 1967. Saccadic and smooth pursuit eye movements in the monkey. J. Physiol.
- 270 191, 609–631.
- Fuchs, A.F., Robinson, F.R., Straube A., 1993. Role of the caudal fastigial nucleus in saccade
- generation. I. Neuronal discharge patterns. J Neurophysiol. 70, 1712–1740.
- 273 Gamlin, P.D., 2006. The pretectum: connections and oculomotor-related roles. Prog. Brain
- 274 Res. 151, 379-405.
- 275 Goffart, L., Bourrelly, C., Quinet, J., 2017a. Synchronizing the tracking eye movements with
- the motion of a visual target: basic neural processes. Prog. Brain Res. 236, 243-268.
- 277 Goffart, L., Bourrelly, C., Quinton, J-C., 2018. Neurophysiology of visually-guided eye
- 278 movements: Critical review and alternative viewpoint. J. Neurophysiol. 120, 3234-3245.
- 279 Goffart, L., Cecala, A., Gandhi, N., 2017b. The superior colliculus and the steering of saccades
- toward a moving visual target. J. Neurophysiol. 118, 2890–2901, 2017b.
- 281 Goffart L, Chen LL, Sparks DL., 2003. Saccade dysmetria during functional perturbation of the
- caudal fastigial nucleus in the monkey. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 1004, 220-228.
- 283 Goffart, L., Chen, L.L., Sparks, D.L., 2004. Deficits in saccades and fixation during muscimol
- inactivation of the caudal fastigial nucleus in the rhesus monkey. J. Neurophysiol. 92, 3351-
- 285 3367.

- 286 Goffart, L., Hafed, Z.M., Krauzlis, R.J., 2012. Visual fixation as equilibrium: evidence from
- superior colliculus inactivation. J. Neurosci. 32, 10627–10636.
- 288 Goffart, L., Pélisson, D. 1998. Orienting gaze shifts during muscimol inactivation of caudal
- fastigial nucleus in the cat. I. Gaze dysmetria. J. Neurophysiol. 79, 1942-1958.
- 290 Guerrasio, L., Quinet, J., Büttner, U., Goffart, L., 2010. Fastigial oculomotor region and the
- control of foveation during fixation. J. Neurophysiol. 103, 1988-2001.
- 292 Hafed, Z.M., Chen, C.Y., Tian, X., 2015. Vision, perception, and attention through the lens of
- 293 microsaccades: Mechanisms and implications. Front. Syst. Neurosci. 9, 167.
- 294 Hafed, Z.M., Krauzlis, R.J., 2012. Similarity of superior colliculus involvement in microsaccade
- and saccade generation. J. Neurophysiol. 107, 1904-1916.
- 296 Hafed, Z.M., Goffart, L., Krauzlis, R.J., 2008. Superior colliculus inactivation causes stable
- offsets in eye position during tracking. J. Neurosci. 28, 8124-8137.
- Henn, V., Baloh, R.W., Hepp K., 1984. The sleep-wake transition in the oculomotor system.
- 299 Exp Brain Res. 54, 166-176.
- Hoffmann, K.P., Bremmer, F., Distler, C., 2009. Visual response properties of neurons in
- 301 cortical areas MT and MST projecting to the dorsolateral pontine nucleus or the nucleus of
- the optic tract in macaque monkeys. Eur. J. Neurosci. 29, 411-423.
- Jürgens, R., Becker, W., Kornhüber, H., 1981. Natural and drug-induced variations of velocity
- and duration of human saccadic eye movements: evidence for a control of the neural pulse
- generator by local feedback. Biol. Cybern. 39, 87-96.

- 306 Kornhüber, H.H., 1971. Motor functions of cerebellum and basal ganglia: the
- 307 cerebellocortical saccadic (ballistic) clock, the cerebellonuclear hold regulator, and the basal
- 308 ganglia ramp (voluntary speed smooth movement) generator. Kybernetik 8, 157-162.
- 309 Krauzlis, R.J., Goffart, L., Hafed, Z.M., 2017. Neuronal control of fixation and fixational eye
- movements. Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. 372, 20160205.
- Lisberger, S.G., Morris, E.J., Tychsen, L., 1987. Visual motion processing and sensory-motor
- integration for smooth pursuit eye movements. Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 10, 97-129.
- May, P.J., Hartwich-Young, R., Nelson, J., Sparks, D.L., Porter, J.D., 1990. Cerebellotectal
- pathways in the macaque: implications for collicular generation of saccades. Neuroscience
- 315 36, 305–324.
- 316 McFarland, J.L., Fuchs, A.F., 1992. Discharge patterns in nucleus prepositus hypoglossi and
- adjacent medial vestibular nucleus during horizontal eye movement in behaving macaques.
- 318 J. Neurophysiol. 68, 319-332.
- 319 McIlwain, J.T., 1976. Large receptive fields and spatial transformations in the visual system.
- 320 Int. Rev. Physiol. 10, 223–248.
- 321 Moschovakis, A.K., Scudder, C.A., Highstein, S.M., 1996. The microscopic anatomy and
- 322 physiology of the mammalian saccadic system. Prog. Neurobiol. 50, 133-254.
- 323 Mustari, M.J., Fuchs, A.F., 1990. Discharge patterns of neurons in the pretectal nucleus of
- the optic tract (NOT) in the behaving primate. J. Neurophysiol. 64, 77-90.
- Noda, H., Sugita, S., Ikeda, Y., 1990. Afferent and efferent connections of the oculomotor
- region of the fastigial nucleus in the macaque monkey. J. Comp. Neurol. 302, 330-348.

- Nowak, L.G., Bullier, J., 1997. The timing of information transfer in the visual system. In
- 328 Extrastriate Cortex (eds J. Kaas, et al.) pp 205-241. Plenum Press, Vol. 12.
- Ohtsuka, K., Sato, H., Noda, H., 1994. Saccadic burst neurons in the fastigial nucleus are not
- involved in compensating for orbital nonlinearities. J. Neurophysiol. 71, 1976-1980.
- Optican, L. M., & Quaia, C. 2002. Distributed model of collicular and cerebellar function
- during saccades. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 956, 164-177.
- Otero-Millan, J., Macknik, S.L., Martinez-Conde, S., 2014. Fixational eye movements and
- binocular vision. Front. Integr. Neurosci. 8, 52.
- Pola, J., Wyatt, H.J., 1980. Target position and velocity: the stimuli for smooth pursuit eye
- 336 movements. Vision Res. 20, 523-534.
- 337 Quinet, J., Goffart, L., 2005. Saccade dysmetria in head-unrestrained gaze shifts after
- muscimol inactivation of the caudal fastigial nucleus in the monkey. J. Neurophysiol. 93,
- 339 2343-2349.
- Rashbass, C., 1961. The relationship between saccadic and smooth tracking eye movements.
- 341 J. Physiol. 159, 326-338.
- Robinson, D.A., 1965. The mechanics of human smooth pursuit eye movement. J. Physiol.
- 343 180*,* 569-591.
- Robinson, D.A., 1975. Oculomotor control signals. In: Lennerstrand G, Bach-y-Rita P (eds)
- Basic mechanisms of ocular motility and their clinical implications. Pergamon, Oxford, pp
- 346 337–374.

- Robinson, D.A., 1992. Implications of neural networks for how we think about brain function.
- 348 Behav. Brain Sci. 15, 644-655.
- Robinson, F.R., Straube, A., Fuchs, A.F., 1993. Participation of caudal fastigial nucleus in
- saccade generation. II. Effects of muscimol inactivation. J Neurophysiol 70, 1741–1758.
- 351 Sato, H., Noda, H., 1992. Saccadic dysmetria induced by transient functional decortication of
- the cerebellar vermis. Exp. Brain Res. 88, 455-458.
- 353 Scudder, C.A., Fuchs, A.F., 1992. Physiological and behavioral identification of vestibular
- nucleus neurons mediating the horizontal vestibuloocular reflex in trained rhesus monkeys.
- 355 J. Neurophysiol. 68, 244-264.
- 356 Scudder, C.A., Kaneko, C.R.S., Fuchs, A.F., 2002. The brainstem burst generator for saccadic
- eye movements: a modern synthesis. Exp. Brain Res. 142, 439-462.
- Segraves, M.A., Goldberg, M.E., 1994. Effect of stimulus position and velocity upon the
- maintenance of smooth pursuit eye velocity. Vision Res. 34, 2477-2482.
- 360 Soetedjo, R., Kaneko, C.R., Fuchs, A.F., 2000. Evidence that the superior colliculus
- participates in the feedback control of saccadic eye movements. J. Neurophysiol. 87, 679-
- 362 695.
- 363 Sommer, M.A., Wurtz, R.H., 1998. Frontal eye field neurons orthodromically activated from
- the superior colliculus. J. Neurophysiol. 80, 3331-3335.
- 365 Sparks, D.L., 2002. The brainstem control of saccadic eye movements. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 3,
- 366 952-964.

Sparks, D.L., Holland, R., Guthrie, B.L., 1976. Size and distribution of movement fields in the monkey superior colliculus. Brain Res. 113, 21–34.

Takikawa, Y., Kawagoe, R., Itoh, H., Nakahara, H., Hikosaka, O. 2002. Modulation of saccadic eye movements by predicted reward outcome. Exp Brain Res. 142, 284-291.

Thier, P., 2011. The oculomotor cerebellum. In: S.P. Liversedge, I. Gilchrist and S. Everling (Eds). The Oxford handbook of eye movements. Oxford University Press.

van Gisbergen, J.A.M., Robinson, D.A., Gielen, S. A., 1981. A quantitative analysis of generation of saccadic eye movements by burst neurons. J. Neurophysiol. 45, 417–442.

Winterson, B.J., Steinman, R.M., 1978. The effect of luminance on human smooth pursuit of perifoveal and foveal targets. Vision Res. 18, 1165-1172.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1: Visual fixation as equilibrium. A saccade or a slow eye movement is not initiated when the visuo-oculomotor system is within a mode where opposite commands issued by the left and right superior colliculi counterbalance each other. For generating saccadic and pursuit eye movements, the symmetry breaking involves different groups of neurons.

Saccades involve neurons located in the pontomedullary reticular formation whereas slow eye movements involve neurons in the pontine nuclei and the thalamus (see Bourrelly et al., 2018b and Goffart et al., 2018 for more explanations). The bilateral fastigial activity also contributes to the muscle tone which specifies the horizontal orientation (yaw) of the head (Goffart and Pélisson, 1998; Quinet & Goffart, 2005).

