

# Sharing a predator: can an invasive alien pest affect the predation on a local pest?

Anaïs Bompard, Coline C Jaworski, Nicolas Desneux, Philippe Bearez

## ► To cite this version:

Anaïs Bompard, Coline C Jaworski, Nicolas Desneux, Philippe Bearez. Sharing a predator: can an invasive alien pest affect the predation on a local pest?. Population Ecology, 2013, 55 (3), pp.433-440. 10.1007/s10144-013-0371-8 . hal-02107771

# HAL Id: hal-02107771 https://hal.science/hal-02107771

Submitted on 23 Apr 2019

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. ORIGINAL ARTICLE



### Sharing a predator: can an invasive alien pest affect the predation on a local pest?

Anaïs Bompard · Coline C. Jaworski · Philippe Bearez · Nicolas Desneux

Received: 7 August 2012/Accepted: 12 March 2013 © The Society of Population Ecology and Springer Japan 2013

Abstract Invasive species can strongly affect biotic interactions in ecosystems, interacting both directly and indirectly with local species. In European tomato greenhouses, the invasive alien pest Tuta absoluta may impact the population dynamics of other pests like whiteflies. Besides inducing damages to the host plant and competing for resources with local pests, this alien species may exert a predator-mediated interaction on local pests sharing common natural enemies. Biocontrol agents usually used against whiteflies may also prey upon T. absoluta and this could alter the dynamics of local pest populations. We evaluated possible resource competition and predatormediated interactions in a system involving one mirid predator Macrolophus pygmaeus and two pests, T. absoluta and a local whitefly, Bemisia tabaci, on greenhouse tomatoes. Results showed that both resource competition

A. Bompard and C. C. Jaworski contributed equally to the study.

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s10144-013-0371-8) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

A. Bompard

UMR7625, Laboratoire Ecologie et Evolution, Université Pierre et Marie Curie (Paris 6), 7 Quai Saint Bernard, 75005 Paris, France

#### C. C. Jaworski

CNRS, Université de Toulouse (UPS), ENFA, Laboratoire Evolution et Diversité Biologique, UMR5174, 118 route de Narbonne, 31062 Toulouse, France

P. Bearez · N. Desneux (⊠)
French National Institute for Agricultural Research (INRA), UMR1355-ISA, 400 route des chappes, 06903 Sophia Antipolis, France
e-mail: nicolas.desneux@sophia.inra.fr and predator-mediated interactions occurred simultaneously. In the presence of the shared predator, there was a short-term positive effect of *T. absoluta* on *B. tabaci* [up to 5.9-fold increase of *B. tabaci* juveniles (egg + larvae) after four weeks]. However, in the long-term there was a negative predator-mediated interaction of *T. absoluta* on *B. tabaci*, i.e., after ten weeks the density of *B. tabaci* was 7.3-fold lower in the presence of the invasive pest. We emphasize the critical role of generalist predators in managing both local and invasive alien pest populations and that the strength and direction of predator-mediated indirect interactions can depend on the time scale considered.

**Keywords** Apparent competition · Apparent mutualism · *Bemisia tabaci · Macrolophus pygmaeus ·* Resource competition · *Tuta absoluta* 

#### Introduction

The economic and ecological negative effects of invasive arthropod species are widely recognized (Mack et al. 2000; Desneux et al. 2010, 2011; Ragsdale et al. 2011). Invading alien species may strongly affect species interactions notably in greenhouse crops which are simplified agroecosystems with low levels of biodiversity (Enkegaard and Brodsgaard 2006). In these agro-ecosystems there is only one resource plant (the cultivated crop) supporting generally few pest species and natural enemies (e.g., predators and parasitoids). Invasive alien pests may destabilize these ecosystems via various interactions including resource competition (Mack et al. 2000) and plant- or predatormediated indirect interactions with other herbivores (Holt 1977; Abrams et al. 1998; Stout et al. 2006). Resource competition may arise when an alien species has higher functional and/or numerical responses than an indigenous species (notably owing to higher food uptake capacity) (Shea and Chesson 2002). Plant-mediated indirect interactions between two pests can occur when infestation by a first pest changes the shared plant (e.g., synthesis of secondary metabolites) in a way that affects a second pest (Stout et al. 2006; Mouttet et al. 2011). Predator-mediated indirect interactions occur, for example, when a shared predator feeds preferentially on one given prey type and decreases predation pressure on a second prey (Settle and Wilson 1990).

Among other factors (for review see Liebhold and Tobin 2008), an alien pest has potential for being invasive because it may be released from predation pressure in the invaded area (Shea and Chesson 2002), i.e., enemy release hypothesis (Colautti et al. 2004). Indeed, local natural enemies present in the invaded area may not efficiently control the alien pest if the latter shows distinct key characteristics from local pests, e.g., size, moving or sheltering behaviors (Sih et al. 2010; Li et al. 2011). However generalist predators are known for their capacity to regulate herbivore arthropod populations in various ecosystems (Symondson et al. 2002; Desneux et al. 2006; Lu et al. 2012). They can rapidly establish populations in highly disturbed ecosystems, e.g., annual cropping systems, by using alternative prey (Harwood et al. 2007; Desneux and O'Neil 2008; Juen et al. 2012) and plants (Evans et al. 1999; Van Rijn et al. 2002; Vandekerkhove and De Clercq 2010) as food resources. The occurrence of such natural enemies can contribute to the biotic resistance of ecosystems, i.e., a local ecosystem could be resilient to invasion by evolutionary naive species (Shea and Chesson 2002; Juliano et al. 2010; Sih et al. 2010). If natural enemies of local pests can prey upon an invasive pest, then this latter may exert enemy-mediated effects on the local pests, i.e., natural enemy-mediated indirect interactions (Wootton 1994). Indirect interactions may vary in nature and time (Holt and Lawton 1994) and mathematical models predict that they would be generally positive (apparent mutualism or commensalism) at time scales shorter than the predator generation time, owing to shared predation pressure on multiple pests. They would be negative at longer time scales owing to a higher predator numerical response to increased prey availability in comparison with unique prey systems (apparent competition or amensalism, Holt 1977). Theoretical conditions to predict outcomes of enemy-mediated interactions have been largely studied (Holt and Lawton 1994; Abrams and Matsuda 1996; Abrams et al. 1998; Ito and Kondo 2012). However, conditions strongly depend on the characteristics of the pests involved, hence experiments are needed to characterize these types of interactions (Tack et al. 2011).

Resource competition and enemy-mediated interactions are likely to occur simultaneously (Holt et al. 1994), and it is difficult to predict the impact of an invasive species arriving in an ecosystem. For example, the arrival of Ervthroneura variabilis, a new pest of the Californian vinevards of the San Joaquin Valley led to a drastic decline of the native leafhopper species Erythroneura elegantula abundances (Settle and Wilson 1990). In this case, the invasive leafhopper served as an alternative host resource for the shared parasitoid, increasing the parasitoid populations even though the native leafhopper was the preferred host prey. This resulted in apparent amensalism from the invasive leafhopper on the indigenous leafhopper. Conversely, a study from Jones et al. (2009) showed a higher impact of resource competition than apparent competition in shaping aphid communities. The aphid species Acyrthosiphon pisum led to the extinction of the aphid species Megoura viciae Buckton via competition for the plant resource Vicia fabae, even in presence of a shared parasitoid; Praon dorsale. The recent invasion of Afro-Eurasia by the South American tomato pinworm Tuta absoluta Meyrick (Desneux et al. 2010, 2011) offers an opportunity for assessing the impact of an invasive alien pest on species interactions in a greenhouse crop. The alien pest may affect biological control programs used to manage local tomato pests, e.g., via positive predator-mediated indirect interactions on these pests.

We studied the impact of T. absoluta on the local pest Bemisia tabaci Gennadius (the tobacco whitefly, biotype O) controlled by the mirid predator *Macrolophus pygmaeus* Rambur in greenhouse experiments on tomato crops. We evaluated the effect of the invasive alien pest, either via resource competition or predator-mediated interactions, on the local pest population dynamics. Tuta absoluta is a major pest for tomato crops causing losses up to 100 % by mining in tomato leaves, stems and fruits. Bemisia tabaci (biotype Q) is a widespread European indigenous species (Qiu et al. 2011; McKenzie et al. 2012; Parrella et al. 2012; Saleh et al. 2012) responsible for major damages in tomato crops; as a sap-feeding insect, it causes both direct and indirect (e.g., vectoring viruses) damages to plants (Oliveira et al. 2001; Jiao et al. 2012). Macrolophus pygmaeus is one of the most used biocontrol agent against B. tabaci and other whiteflies. This generalist predator can also feed on a wide variety of prey such as thrips, aphids, mites, and eggs and larvae of Lepidoptera (Fauvel et al. 1987), notably on those of T. absoluta (Urbaneja et al. 2009; Desneux et al. 2010). The study reveals the occurrence of consecutive short- and long-term predator-mediated indirect interactions between an invasive and a local pest. We also discuss the relative importance of resource competition vs. predator-mediated interactions between two pests sharing both the host plant and a predator.

#### Materials and methods

#### Insects

The prey *B. tabaci* and *T. absoluta* were reared on tobacco and tomato plants respectively, in separate cages, in a climatic chamber (day/night = 12 h/12 h; T =  $23 \pm 1$  °C, RH = 65 %). The predator *M. pygmaeus*, was provided by the company Biotop<sup>®</sup>, and reared on tomato leaves complemented with *Ephestia kuehniella* eggs under controlled conditions (day/night: 16 h/8 h; T =  $23 \pm 1$  °C; RH = 70 %).

#### Experimental design

We evaluated possible resource competition and predatormediated indirect interactions of *T. absoluta* on *B. tabaci* in tomato crop in greenhouse. Therefore, we used a  $2 \times 2$ factorial design in which *B. tabaci* was present in all treatments. The first two-level factor consisted of the presence or absence of *T. absoluta*. The second two-level factor consisted of the presence or absence of the predator (*M. pygmaeus*).

Each of the four treatments was replicated four times in 40 m<sup>2</sup> distinct compartments of an environment-controlled greenhouse, with identical climatic conditions (T = 25 $\pm$  2 °C; RH = 60 %). The greenhouse was located at Sophia Antipolis INRA center (South-Eastern France) and the study was carried out during summer 2010. To prevent insect transfer between treatments inside a given compartment, they were isolated from one another by fine mesh material, which formed tunnels (height: 1.2 m, width: 1 m, length: 5 m). Tomato plants were grown on rock wool slabs and each tunnel contained eight tomato plants [Solanum lycopersicon (L.), CV. Marmande] grown on double rows. Plants were coated so as to create a continuous vegetation cover, and watered automatically with a nutrient solution to avoid any abiotic stress. Pesticide applications were strictly avoided. The local prey B. tabaci was released first in all tunnels, with 20 adults per plant (160 per tunnel), on the 7-week old tomato plants. A week later, the invasive species T. absoluta was released, at a level of 2 adults per plant (16 per tunnel). The following week, the predators M. pygmaeus were released at the density of 2 females, 1 male and 4 nymphs (L4/L5) per plant.

#### Sampling

Population dynamics of the pest species were monitored weekly in each treatment during ten weeks (sampling started one week after the predator release). *Bemisia tabaci* adults were counted on eight leaves (four young and four old) per tunnel. For each leaf sampled, one leaflet was collected for additional observations in the laboratory. We counted juveniles of *B. tabaci* (i.e., eggs and larvae) and juveniles of *T. absoluta* (i.e., eggs and young larvae: L1–L2 stage) using a binocular microscope. These stages were chosen because they are those attacked by *M. pygmaeus* (Bonato et al. 2006; Desneux et al. 2010).

In a lesser extent (i.e., the main focus was to study the effects of *T. absoluta* on *B. tabaci*), we surveyed predator population dynamics to estimate the effect of adding the invasive alien prey on the predator populations. We recorded *M. pygmaeus* adults and nymphs every two weeks on the same leaves selected for monitoring *B. tabaci* adults (see above).

#### Data analysis

*Bemisia tabaci* and *T. absoluta* data sets were normally distributed, which was confirmed by a Shapiro–Wilk test. Linear models (ANOVAs) on repeated measurements were used to compare the pest population dynamics among treatments. All statistical analysis was carried out with the software R version 2.12.2 (R Development Core Team).

Predation on the whitefly was tested by comparing B. tabaci populations (juveniles and adults) with or without the predator (all without T. absoluta). Predation on T. absoluta was analyzed by comparing T. absoluta populations with or without the predator. Resource competition of T. absoluta on B. tabaci was assessed by comparing B. tabaci populations with or without T. absoluta (all without predator). The predator-mediated indirect impact of T. absoluta on B. tabaci was tested by comparing B. tabaci populations (juveniles and adults) with and without T. absoluta (all with predator). This last analysis was supplemented by comparing predator populations with B. tabaci alone vs. B. tabaci + T. absoluta because predator-mediated indirect interactions may occur through faster predator population growth with increased prey availability (Holt 1977). Predator data set was analyzed using a linear mixed model (with random effect) because it followed a Poisson distribution (library LME4).

Short-term versus long-term indirect interaction: to depict possible short-term from long-term predator-mediated indirect interactions of *T. absoluta* on *B. tabaci*, data were divided into three periods before further analysis: weeks 1–4, weeks 5–7 and weeks 8–10. These periods were chosen according to the development time of the predator, as documented in the literature (Fauvel et al. 1987; Perdikis and Lykouressis 2000, 2002) and considering the temperatures recorded daily in the greenhouse [Fig. S1 and Table S1 in Electronic Supplementary Material (ESM)]. For weeks 1–4 after release, the prey consumption was expected to be primarily restricted to the released predator Fig. 1 Impacts of predation by *M. pygmaeus*, and of resource competition with *T. absoluta* on *B. tabaci* population dynamic. Mean number ( $\pm$ SEM) of **a** *B. tabaci* juveniles (egg + larvae) per leaflet and **b** *B. tabaci* adults per leaf when *B. tabaci* adults per leaf when *B. tabaci* was alone, together with *T. absoluta*, or together with the predator *M. pygmaeus* 



individuals; i.e., the offspring from these predators had not reached the L4-stage yet (the stage when they start actively predating on prey) and their prey consumption should remain negligible (Fauvel et al. 1987; Perdikis and Lykouressis 2000, 2002). Predator-mediated indirect interactions (if any) between prey over this first time period correspond to short-term interactions. Prey consumption should increase from week 4 to week 7 as the offspring individuals were progressively reaching L4-stage and adulthood. After week 8, all offspring that had emerged during the first two weeks had reached adulthood and had optimal prey consumption (Fauvel et al. 1987; Perdikis and Lykouressis 2000, 2002). During both of these periods (weeks 4–7 and weeks 8–10), long-term indirect interactions could be expected.

#### Results

In the absence of other insect populations, B. tabaci populations grew exponentially until the end of the experiment (Fig. 1a, b). In the absence of the predator *M. pygmaeus*, T. absoluta populations also grew exponentially at first, and then went extinct by week 9 when all tomato plants were destroyed (Fig. 2). The destruction of the tomato plants led to the extinction of *B. tabaci* populations too (Fig. 1a, b). As a consequence of plant destruction and insect extinction, treatments containing both prey but no predators were removed from analyses after week 8. Over weeks 1-8, the presence of T. absoluta without predator induced a marginally significant reduction in the populations of juveniles of *B. tabaci* ( $F_1 = 3.86$ , P = 0.097). Over weeks 5–8, the presence of T. absoluta induced a significant reduction in tabaci populations for both juvenile stages *B*.  $(F_1 = 134.93, P < 0.001)$  and adults  $(F_1 = 79.140,$ P < 0.001), resulting in a 2.9-fold decrease for juveniles (Fig. 1a) and a 1.4-fold decrease for adults (Fig. 1b) at week 8.

In the presence of the predator, both prey populations remained at lower levels, and plants survived during the whole experimental period. The predator significantly reduced *B. tabaci* populations either with or without *T. absoluta* [without *T. absoluta*: Fig. 1a (juveniles),  $F_1 = 767.76$ , P < 0.001 and Fig. 1b (adults),  $F_1 = 51.01$ , P < 0.001; with *T. absoluta*: Fig. 3 (juveniles),  $F_1 = 10.68$ , P = 0.017 and Fig. S2 in ESM (adults),  $F_1 = 25.99$ , P = 0.002]. By week 10, in the absence of the



Fig. 2 Impact of predation on *T. absoluta* populations in presence of the local prey *B. tabaci*. Mean number ( $\pm$ SEM) of juveniles (egg + larvae) of *T. absoluta* per leaflet with or without the predator *M. pygmaeus* (all treatments were with *B. tabaci*)

Fig. 3 Short- and long-term predator-mediated indirect impact of T. absoluta on population dynamics of the local pest B. tabaci. Mean number (±SEM) of juveniles (eggs + larvae) of B. tabaci per leaflet with or without the invasive alien pest T. absoluta (all treatments were with M. pygmaeus) during 3 temporal periods. P values indicate statistical results when comparing B. tabaci population dynamics with vs. without T. absoluta for each temporal period (ANOVA on repeated measures)



invasive alien species *T. absoluta*, predators reduced *B. tabaci* populations causing a 14- and 30-fold decrease for juvenile (Fig. 1a) and adult stages (Fig. 1b), respectively. The presence of *M. pygmaeus* also induced a significant reduction in the population size of *T. absoluta* (juveniles) during weeks 1–8 ( $F_1 = 34.38$ , P = 0.001), resulting in a 3.7-fold reduction by week 8 (Fig. 2).

In the *B. tabaci–M. pygmaeus* groups, the presence of *T. absoluta* had a significant positive impact on the population levels of *B. tabaci* juveniles (Fig. 3) during weeks 1-4 ( $F_1 = 7.24$ , P = 0.036) resulting in a 5.9-fold increase for juveniles by week 4. During the same period,



Fig. 4 Impact of *T. absoluta* on the population dynamic of the predator *M. pygmaeus* in presence of the local pest *B. tabaci*. Mean number ( $\pm$ SEM) of the predator *M. pygmaeus* per leaf with or without *T. absoluta* 

*M. pygmaeus* population size did not show any significant difference in presence vs. absence of *T. absoluta* (z = 0.602, P > 0.05) (Fig. 4). During weeks 5–7, populations of juveniles of *B. tabaci* in the presence vs. absence of *T. absoluta* did not differ significantly ( $F_1 = 0.0027$ , P > 0.05), nor did *M. pygmaeus* populations (weeks 6–8, z = 0.336, P > 0.05). However, during weeks 8-10 the presence of *T. absoluta* significantly reduced the populations of juveniles of *B. tabaci* ( $F_1 = 8.81$ , P = 0.025) resulting in a 7.3-fold decrease by week 10 (Fig. 3). The population dynamics of *B. tabaci* adults showed similar trends (Fig. S2 in ESM). On the last sampling date (week 10) the presence of *T. absoluta* induced a marginally significant increase in *M. pygmaeus* populations (*t* test on the data collected on week 10: t = 2.038, P = 0.08).

#### Discussion

Tuta absoluta proved to be a very damaging pest on tomato crops, i.e. it led to 100 % plant destruction at week 9 in the predator-free treatments. Through destruction of tomato leaves, T. absoluta likely induced resource competition on B. tabaci in several ways: first through a reduction in available oviposition substrate for B. tabaci adults, and second through general decrease of available food for B. tabaci larvae. Resource competition began to negatively affect B. tabaci populations from week 5. At that time, whiteflies had completed an entire development cycle (Bonato et al. 2007), so the reduced offspring production of B. tabaci recorded in the presence of T. absoluta likely corresponded to a higher larval mortality rather than reduced whitefly oviposition. Whiteflies may also have suffered from an induced plant response to the chewing activity of T. absoluta larvae (Stout et al. 2006), but this indirect plant-mediated interaction could not be discriminated from a direct food competition. The impact of resource competition culminated on 9th week, when plant destruction caused the extinction of both pest populations. By contrast, in absence of other insects, intra-specific resource competition in *B. tabaci* populations was likely low, as they displayed continuous growth until the end of the experiment, i.e., they did not reach the carrying capacity of their environment.

Treatments with predators were more sustainable, as pest populations were maintained at lower levels, and plants remained healthy until the end of the experiment. The predation capacity of *M. pygmaeus* on *T. absoluta* has been previously reported in laboratory conditions (Urbaneja et al. 2009), our study further demonstrates the capacity of this predator to control the invasive alien pest in situ.

The predator-mediated indirect interactions of T. absoluta on B. tabaci changed over time. At a short time scale (weeks 1-4) the invasive alien species caused a disruption of the control of B. tabaci by M. pygmaeus, resulting in increased densities of B. tabaci. This positive interaction may be the result of a sharing of the predation pressure over this period (Holt 1977; Abrams and Matsuda 1996; Desneux and O'Neil 2008). Predator population densities were identical in treatments with one or two prey during weeks 1-4 (Fig. 4). Since (1) prey availability was higher in treatments with T. absoluta, and (2) predation on B. tabaci increased (reduction in B. tabaci populations from week 3 to week 4, see Fig. 3), we infer that prey availability exceeded predator consumption rate during that time span. This positive, short-term, indirect interaction might be regarded as apparent mutualism. However, to conclude that this interaction is true apparent mutualism (i.e., bi-directional), we would need to test the reciprocal indirect effect of B. tabaci on T. absoluta.

At a longer time scale (weeks 8-10) a negative indirect effect exerted by the invasive alien prey on the local prey took over the short-term, indirect positive interaction, as predicted by several theoretical models (Gleeson and Wilson 1986; Holt and Lawton 1994; Abrams et al. 1998). The strong reduction in *B. tabaci* populations in the presence of T. absoluta could be due to an increased number of predators in response to higher prey availability (Holt 1977; Holt and Lawton 1994). Indeed, predator densities tend to be higher in the presence of both prey from week 8 (Fig. 4). At that time, the offspring of the predators introduced at the beginning of the experiment would have theoretically reached adulthood (Fig. S1 and Table S1 in ESM). Several previous studies support the idea that the numerical response of *M. pygmaeus* depends on the number of available prey (Fauvel et al. 1987; Alomar et al. 2002). This long-term indirect negative effect of T. absoluta on B. tabaci could be regarded as true apparent competition if (1) B. tabaci also exerts a long-term negative predator-mediated effect on *T. absoluta*, and (2) the predator densities are higher in the presence of both prey. The sampling method may not have been optimal for highlighting the differences in predator population densities among treatments (though it was appropriate for sampling the pest populations).

In our study, prey shared simultaneously a predator and a resource plant. No experimental design can strictly determine the respective impacts of apparent vs. resource competition, as both are likely to occur simultaneously, and even interact in the majority of situations. In our system, resource competition was very strong in predator-free treatments. However, resource competition is known to be a density-dependent interaction (Murdoch et al. 2003), and when present, predators caused a strong reduction in the abundance of pest populations. Thus, the intensity of resource competition in the treatments with predators is expected to be lower than in the predator-free treatments. Still some resource competition may occur and might be partly responsible for the long-term, negative impact of T. absoluta on B. tabaci. In similar insect experiments where species shared a common resource and predator, the outcome of the interaction of one pest on another was also negative on the long-term (Messelink et al. 2008, 2010; Calvo et al. 2012). Conversely, no negative indirect interactions could be observed in a semi-natural forest experiment on oak leaf-miners (Tack et al. 2011). They manipulated the population densities of three co-occurring oak leaf-miners parasitized by a common parasitoid species and found neutral or positive long-term interactions. The parasitoid numerical response may have been limited by other factors than prey densities, hence preventing the occurrence of apparent competition. In addition, prey densities may have been too low for resource competition to occur. The modeling work of Noonburg and Byers (2005) suggested that the negative, competing impact of invasive species on native species is more likely to be apparent competition rather than resource competition. Contrasting this conclusion, Jones et al. (2009) found a higher impact of resource competition than apparent competition. The most likely hypothesis in our study is that both predator-mediated interaction and resource competition are responsible for the observed long-term, negative impact of T. absoluta on B. tabaci populations. The outcomes of interactions may depend on intrinsic characteristic of the system, such as the capacity of the invasive species to be a strong competitor for resource, or the preference of the shared predator to either one or the other prey.

Scientists, extension specialists and farmers look forward to determining how destructive the arrival of *T. absoluta* may be on tomato crops yields (Desneux et al. 2010, 2011) and whether the alien pest may threat integrated pest

management (IPM) practices directed against local tomato pests (notably through ecological effects or insecticiderelated negative effects on natural enemies, e.g. Desneux et al. 2007; Arno and Gabarra 2011; Biondi et al. 2012). Our results show that T. absoluta disrupted only temporarily the control of *B. tabaci* by the predator: the reduction of the predation pressure was moderate and time-limited. Furthermore, the arrival of T. absoluta increased the efficiency of predation against B. tabaci on the long-term. A symmetrical experimental design could test for the longterm impact of B. tabaci on T. absoluta populations, so as to suggest possible apparent competition between both species. The possibility for apparent competition could facilitate optimization of IPM programs, e.g., an agroecosystem maintaining simultaneously low levels of several pest populations would be more sustainable than agroecosystems aimed at excluding particular pest species. Generalist predators are often favored by high prey diversity (Symondson et al. 2002; Messelink et al. 2010; Miyashita et al. 2012) and if settled in crops before the arrival of a new invasive prey, they could offer an opportunity to control them, acting as a form of biotic resistance for the greenhouse crop.

Acknowledgments We thank Antonio Biondi (University of Catania, Italy), George Heimpel, Emily Mohl and James Eckberg (University of Minnesota, USA) for helpful comments on an earlier version of the manuscript, Anaïs Chailleux, Hélène Kazuro and Cécile Thomas for their assistance throughout the experiments and Jacques Frandon (Biotop, InVivo AgroSolutions) for providing some biological materials. This work was supported by funds from *Plant Health and Environment* and *Environment and Agronomy* Departments of INRA and from the French ministry of agriculture (CAS-DAR Project 10063) to ND.

#### References

- Abrams PA, Matsuda H (1996) Positive indirect effects between prey species that share predators. Ecology 77:610–616
- Abrams PA, Holt RD, Roth JD (1998) Apparent competition or apparent mutualism? Shared predation when populations cycle. Ecology 79:201–212
- Alomar O, Goula M, Albajes R (2002) Colonisation of tomato fields by predatory mirid bugs (Hemiptera: Heteroptera) in northern Spain. Agric Ecosyst Environ 89:105–115
- Arno J, Gabarra R (2011) Side effects of selected insecticides on the Tuta absoluta (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) predators *Macrolophus pygmaeus* and *Nesidiocoris tenuis* (Hemiptera: Miridae). J Pest Sci 84:513–520
- Biondi A, Desneux N, Siscaro G, Zappala L (2012) Using organiccertified rather than synthetic pesticides may not be safer for biological control agents: selectivity and side effects of 14 pesticides on the predator *Orius laevigatus*. Chemosphere 87:803–812
- Bonato O, Couton L, Fargues J (2006) Feeding preference of Macrolophus caliginosus (Heteroptera: Miridae) on Bemisia tabaci and Trialeurodes vaporariorum (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae). J Econ Entomol 99:1143–1151

- Bonato O, Lurette A, Vidal C, Fargues J (2007) Modelling temperature-dependent bionomics of *Bemisia tabaci* (Q-biotype). Physiol Entomol 32:50–55
- Calvo F, Bolckmans K, Belda J (2012) Biological control-based IPM in sweet pepper greenhouses using *Amblyseius swirskii* (Acari: Phytoseiidae). Biocontrol Sci Techn 22:1398–1416
- Colautti RI, Ricciardi A, Grigorovich IA, MacIsaac HJ (2004) Is invasion success explained by the enemy release hypothesis? Ecol Lett 7:721–733
- Desneux N, O'Neil R (2008) Potential of an alternative prey to disrupt predation of the generalist predator, *Orius insidiosus*, on the pest aphid, *Aphis glycines*, via short-term indirect interactions. Bull Entomol Res 98:631–639
- Desneux N, O'Neil RJ, Yoo HJS (2006) Suppression of population growth of the soybean aphid, Aphis glycines Matsumura, by predators: the identification of a key predator, and the effects of prey dispersion, predator density and temperature. Environ Entomol 35:1342–1349
- Desneux N, Decourtye A, Delpuech JM (2007) The sublethal effects of pesticides on beneficial arthropods. Annu Rev Entomol 52:81–106
- Desneux N, Wajnberg E, Wyckhuys K, Burgio G, Arpaia S, Narvaez-Vasquez C, Gonzalez-Cabrera J, Catalan Ruescas D, Tabone E, Frandon J, Pizzol J, Poncet C, Cabello T, Urbaneja A (2010) Biological invasion of European tomato crops by *Tuta absoluta*: ecology, geographic expansion and prospects for biological control. J Pest Sci 83:197–215
- Desneux N, Luna M, Guillemaud T, Urbaneja A (2011) The invasive South American tomato pinworm, *Tuta absoluta*, continues to spread in Afro-Eurasia and beyond: the new threat to tomato world production. J Pest Sci 84:403–408
- Enkegaard A, Brodsgaard HF (2006) Biocontrol in protected crops: is lack of biodiversity a limiting factor? In: Eilenberg J, Hokkainen H (eds) An ecological and societal approach to biological control. Springer, The Netherlands, pp 91–112
- Evans EW, Stevenson AT, Richards DR (1999) Essential versus alternative foods of insect predators: benefits of a mixed diet. Oecologia 121:107–112
- Fauvel G, Malausa J, Kaspar B (1987) Etude en laboratoire des principales caractéristiques biologiques de Macrolophus caliginosus (Heteroptera: Miridae). Biocontrol 32:529–543 (in French)
- Gleeson SK, Wilson DS (1986) Equilibrium diet: optimal foraging and prey coexistence. Oikos 46:139–144
- Harwood JD, Desneux N, Yoo HJS, Rowley DL, Greenstone MH, Obrycki JJ, O'Neil RJ (2007) Tracking the role of alternative prey in soybean aphid predation by *Orius insidiosus*: a molecular approach. Mol Ecol 16:4390–4400
- Holt RD (1977) Predation, apparent competition, and the structure of prey communities. Theor Pop Biol 12:197–229
- Holt RD, Lawton JH (1994) The ecological consequences of shared natural enemies. Annu Rev Ecol Syst 25:495–520
- Holt RD, Grover J, Tilman D (1994) Simple rules for interspecific dominance in systems with exploitative and apparent competition. Am Nat 144:741–771
- Ito HC, Kondo NI (2012) Biological pest control by investing crops in pests. Popul Ecol 54:557–571
- Jiao XG, Xie W, Wang SL, Wu QJ, Zhou L, Pan HP, Liu BM, Zhang YJ (2012) Host preference and nymph performance of B and Q putative species of *Bemisia tabaci* on three host plants. J Pest Sci 85:423–430
- Jones T, Godfray H, van Veen F (2009) Resource competition and shared natural enemies in experimental insect communities. Oecologia 159:627–635
- Juen A, Hogendoorn K, Ma G, Schmidt O, Keller M (2012) Analysing the diets of invertebrate predators using terminal restriction fragments. J Pest Sci 85:89–100

- Juliano S, Lounibos L, Nishimura N, Greene K (2010) Your worst enemy could be your best friend: predator contributions to invasion resistance and persistence of natives. Oecologia 162:709–718
- Li Y, Ke Z, Wang S, Smith GR, Liu X (2011) An exotic species is the favourite prey of a native enemy. PLoS ONE 6:e24299. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0024299
- Liebhold AM, Tobin PC (2008) Population ecology of insect invasions and their management. Annu Rev Entomol 53:387–408
- Lu YH, Wu KM, Jiang YY, Guo YY, Desneux N (2012) Widespread adoption of Bt cotton and insecticide decrease promotes biocontrol services. Nature 487:362–365
- Mack RN, Simberloff D, Lonsdale WM, Evans H, Clout M, Bazzaz FA (2000) Biotic invasions: causes, epidemiology, global consequences, and control. Ecol Appl 10:689–710
- McKenzie CL, Bethke JA, Byrne FJ, Chamberlin JR, Dennehy TJ, Dickey AM, Gilrein D, Hall PM, Ludwig S, Oetting RD, Osborne LS, Schmale L, Shatters RG (2012) Distribution of *Bemisia tabaci* (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) biotypes in North America after the Q invasion. J Econ Entomol 105:753–766
- Messelink GJ, van Maanen R, van Steenpaal SE, Janssen A (2008) Biological control of thrips and whiteflies by a shared predator: two pests are better than one. Biol Control 44:372–379
- Messelink G, van Maanen R, van Holstein-Saj R, Sabelis M, Janssen A (2010) Pest species diversity enhances control of spider mites and whiteflies by a generalist phytoseiid predator. Biocontrol 55:387–398
- Miyashita T, Chishiki Y, Takagi SR (2012) Landscape heterogeneity at multiple spatial scales enhances spider species richness in an agricultural landscape. Popul Ecol 54:573–581
- Mouttet R, Bearez P, Thomas C, Desneux N (2011) Phytophagous arthropods and a pathogen sharing a host plant: evidence for indirect plant-mediated interactions. PLoS ONE 6:e18840. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0018840
- Murdoch WW, Briggs CJ, Nisbet RM (2003) Consumer resource dynamics. Princeton University Press, Princeton
- Noonburg EG, Byers JE (2005) More harm than good: when invader vulnerability to predators enhances impact on native species. Ecology 86:2555–2560
- Oliveira M, Henneberry T, Anderson P (2001) History, current status, and collaborative research projects for *Bemisia tabaci*. Crop Prot 20:709–723
- Parrella G, Scassillo L, Giorgini M (2012) Evidence for a new genetic variant in the *Bemisia tabaci* species complex and the prevalence of the biotype Q in southern Italy. J Pest Sci 85:227–238
- Perdikis DC, Lykouressis DP (2000) Effects of various items, host plants, and temperatures on the development and survival of

Macrolophus pygmaeus Rambur (Hemiptera: Miridae). Biocontrol 17:55-60

- Perdikis DC, Lykouressis DP (2002) Life table and biological characteristics of *Macrolophus pygmaeus* when feeding on *Myzus persicae* and *Trialeurodes vaporariorum*. Entomol Exp Appl 102:261–272
- Qiu BL, Dang F, Li SJ, Ahmed MZ, Jin FL, Ren SX, Cuthbertson AGS (2011) Comparison of biological parameters between the invasive B biotype and a new defined Cv biotype of *Bemisia tabaci* (Hemiptera: Aleyradidae) in China. J Pest Sci 84:419–427
- Ragsdale DW, Landis DA, Brodeur J, Heimpel GE, Desneux N (2011) Ecology and management of the soybean aphid in North America. Annu Rev Entomol 56:375–399
- Saleh D, Laarif A, Clouet C, Gauthier N (2012) Spatial and hostplant partitioning between coexisting *Bemisia tabaci* cryptic species in Tunisia. Popul Ecol 54:261–274
- Settle WH, Wilson LT (1990) Invasion by the variegated leafhopper and biotic interactions: parasitism, competition, and apparent competition. Ecology 71:1461–1470
- Shea K, Chesson P (2002) Community ecology theory as a framework for biological invasions. Trends Ecol Evol 17:170–176
- Sih A, Bolnick DI, Luttberg B, Orrock JL, Peacor SD, Pintor LM, Preisser ER, Rehage JS, Vonesh JR (2010) Predator–prey naïveté, antipredator behavior, and the ecology of predator invasions. Oikos 119:610–621
- Stout MJ, Thaler JS, Thomma BP (2006) Plant-mediated interactions between pathogenic microorganisms and herbivorous arthropods. Annu Rev Entomol 51:663–689
- Symondson WOC, Sunderland KD, Greenstone MH (2002) Can generalist predators be effective biocontrol agents? Annu Rev Entomol 47:561–594
- Tack AJM, Gripenberg S, Roslin T (2011) Can we predict indirect interactions from quantitative food webs?—an experimental approach. J Anim Ecol 80:108–118
- Urbaneja A, Monton H, Molla O (2009) Suitability of the tomato borer *Tuta absoluta* as prey for *Macrolophus pygmaeus* and *Nesidicorus tenuis*. J Appl Entomol 133:292–296
- van Rijn PCJ, Van Houten YM, Sabelis MW (2002) How plants benefit from providing food to predators even when it is also edible to herbivores. Ecology 83:2664–2679
- Vandekerkhove B, De Clercq P (2010) Pollen as an alternative or supplementary food for the mirid predator *Macrolophus pygma*eus. Biocontrol 53:238–242
- Wootton JT (1994) The nature and consequences of indirect effects in ecological communities. Annu Rev Ecol Syst 25:443–466