

ALMA observations of RCW 120 Fragmentation at 0.01 pc scale*⋆*

Miguel Figueira, Leonardo Bronfman, Annie Zavagno, Fabien Louvet, Nadia Lo, Ricardo Finger, Javier Rodón

To cite this version:

Miguel Figueira, Leonardo Bronfman, Annie Zavagno, Fabien Louvet, Nadia Lo, et al.. ALMA observations of RCW 120 Fragmentation at 0.01 pc scale*. Astronomy and Astrophysics - A&A, 2018, 616, pp.L10. 10.1051/0004-6361/201832930. hal-02107613

HAL Id: hal-02107613 <https://hal.science/hal-02107613>

Submitted on 13 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

ALMA observations of RCW 120

Fragmentation at 0.01 pc scale?

M. Figueira^{1,2}, L. Bronfman², A. Zavagno¹, F. Louvet², N. Lo², R. Finger², and J. Rodón³

¹ Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, LAM, Laboratoire d'Astrophysique de Marseille, Marseille, France

e-mail: miguel.figueira@lam.fr

² Departamento de Astronomía, Universidad de Chile, Casilla 36-D, Santiago, Chile

³ Onsala Space Observatory, Sweden

Received 1 March 2018 / Accepted 31 July 2018

ABSTRACT

Context. Little is known about how high-mass stars form. Around 30% of the young high-mass stars in the Galaxy are observed at the edges of ionized (H II) regions. Therefore these are places of choice to study the earliest stages of high-mass star formation, especially toward the most massive condensations. High spatial resolution observations in the millimeter range might reveal how these stars form and how they assemble their mass.

Aims. We want to study the fragmentation process down to the 0.01 pc scale in the most massive condensation $(1700 M_{\circ})$ observed at the southwestern edge of the H ii region RCW 120 where the most massive *Herschel* cores (∼124 M_{\odot} in average) could form high-mass stars.

Methods. Using ALMA 3 mm continuum observations toward the densest and most massive millimetric condensation (Condensation 1) of RCW 120, we used the *getimages* and *getsources* algorithms to extract the sources detected with ALMA and obtained their physical parameters. The fragmentation of the *Herschel* cores is discussed through their Jeans mass to understand the properties of these future stars.

Results. We extracted 18 fragments from the ALMA continuum observation at 3 mm toward eight cores detected with *Herschel*, whose mass and deconvolved size range from $2 M_{\odot}$ to 32 M_{\odot} and from 1.6 mpc to 28.8 mpc, respectively. The low degree of fragmentation observed regarding thermal Jeans fragmentation suggests that the observed fragmentation is inconsistent with ideal gravitational fragmentation and other ingredients such as turbulence or magnetic fields should be added to explain this inconsistency. Finally, the range of the mass of the fragments indicates that the densest condensation of RCW 120 is a favorable place for the formation of high-mass stars with the presence of a probable UCH II region associated with the 27 M_{\odot} Fragment 1 of Core 2.

Key words. H_{II} regions – ISM: bubbles – photon-dominated region

1. Introduction

Despite decades of simulations and observational studies, the early stages of high-mass star formation are still poorly understood. Because high-mass stars shape the native cloud in which they form through feedback processes such as momentum injection through winds, heating, and photoionization via radiation, and violent supernova explosions, a deeper understanding of their whole evolution scenario is important. High-mass stars are known to form within gas condensation called clumps (∼1 pc scale) and cores (∼0.1 pc scale). These stars also form ionized (H_{II}) regions whose expansion collects the surrounding molecular material, which can have positive (triggering mechanisms, Elmegreen & Lada 1977; Kessel-Deynet & Burkert 2003) or negative impact (Dale et al. 2005; Lucas et al. 2017) on local star formation. Even though about 30% of high-mass star formation in the Galaxy is observed at the edges of these H_{II} regions (Deharveng et al. 2010; Kendrew et al. 2012, 2016;

Palmeirim et al. 2017), the way these stars form and the efficiency of the possible triggering mechanisms are still debated (Dale et al. 2015; Palmeirim et al. 2017). Several observations of cores at a spatial resolution of 0.01 pc (Motte et al. 1998; Bontemps et al. 2010; Palau et al. 2015, 2018; Ohashi et al. 2018) were performed to study the properties of star formation but the lack of observations around H_{II} regions prevent the study of their impact on a new generation of (high-mass) stars. Recent ALMA observations toward W43-MM1 at 2400 AU resolution (Motte et al. 2018) suggests that the core mass function (CMF) is top heavy and not self-similar to the initial mass function (IMF) contrary to low-mass star-forming regions such as ρ -Ophiuchi or the Aquila complex (Motte et al. 1998; Könyves et al. 2015). Moreover, studies have shown that turbulence (Padoan et al. 2001) and magnetic fields (Hennebelle & Teyssier 2008) are key ingredients that allow the structures to support the gravitational collapse above the thermal Jeans mass, allowing the formation of massive fragments ending with one or binary high-mass stars. The Galactic H II region RCW 120 (Zavagno et al. 2007; Deharveng et al. 2009) represents a textbook example to study further the properties of star formation observed at its edges, where the most massive *Herschel* cores extracted and studied by

[?] The *getsources* catalog together with the configuration file are only available at the CDS via anonymous ftp to cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr [\(130.79.128.5\)](http://cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr) or via <http://cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr/viz-bin/qcat?J/A+A/616/L10>

Figueira et al. (2017) were observed with ALMA. In Sect. 2, we present the RCW 120 region and Condensation 1, Sect. 3 describes the ALMA observations used in this work with the data reduction process, Sect. 4 presents the analysis of the fragments and their properties, Sects. 5.1 and 5.2 discusses the fragmentation of the *Herschel* cores relative to the Jeans mass and the probability that high-mass stars emerge toward the photon disprocadinty that fight mass stars emerge toward the photon also sociated region (PDR). Finally, Sect. 6 presents the conclusions of this work. sociated region $(1 \text{ DY}, 1 \text{ many}, \text{Sect.}$ of presents the concrusion. α the Galactic plane at a distance of α .

2. Galactic H**II** region RCW 120 **2.** Substituting et al. 2007; Deptal. 2009)

RCW 120 (Rodgers et al. 1960) is a Galactic Huregion ionized by a single O8V star (Martins et al. 2010) and located 0.5 above the Galactic plane at a distance of 1.3 kpc. Studied with Spitzer, Atacama Pathfinder Experiment (APEX) LABOCA, and Swedish-ESO Submillimetre Telescope (SEST) SIMBA (Zavagno et al. 2007; Deharveng et al. 2009), the latest study was made by Figueira et al. (2017) using the Herschel observations of OB young stellar objects (HOBYS) guaranteed time key program (Motte et al. 2010). A sample of 35 cores were extracted using the *getsources* algorithm (Men'shchikov et al. 2012; Men'shchikov 2013) from which the most massive and youngest objects are located toward the densest condensation (Condensation 1: $1700 M_{\odot}$ in 0.7 pc \times 0.5 pc; see Fig. 1 top). Using the ALMA 12 m antennas, we observed the massive sources seen toward this condensation in order to understand how the *Herschel* cores are fragmented at 0.01 pc. In particular, we aim to understand if massive stars can be formed at the edges of this H ii region.

3. ALMA observations and data reduction resolution) + 3. ALMA ODSERVATIONS AND DATA FEQUELION

The observations of Condensation 1 were performed during Cycle 4 using 38 of the 40 12 m ALMA antennas in nominal configuration C40-3 with baselines ranging from 15 m to 459 m. We used a continuum bandwidth of 2.227 GHz in Band 3 divided into $3 \times 117.19 \text{ MHz}$ (122 kHz–0.4 km s⁻¹ resolution) $+ 1 \times 1875$ MHz bandwidth. The spectral bands were centered on 93.17, 91.98, 104.02, and 102.5 GHz allowing the observations of N_2H^+ , CH₃CN, SO₂ transition lines and 3 mm continuum emission, respectively. The primary beam at the sky representative frequency of 104.03 GHz is 56". The total observing time was 27.8 min with a system temperature between 46.7 K and 69.9 K and an average precipitable water vapor of 1.6 mm. For this work, we used the products delivered by ALMA. The for this work, we also the products derivered of 1 minutes in the com-
imaging was performed with the CLEAN algorithm of the Commaging was performed with the SO2.1 m vagement of the SO2.1
mon-Astronomy Software Applications (CASA) package using a Briggs weighting with a robust parameter of 0.5 and a primary beam limit of 20%. The continuum emission was subtracted from all the spectral data cubes, and we ended up with a synthesized beam of 1" 7×1 ". 5 (0.01 pc at a distance of 1.3 kpc) and a rms noise level of 0.16 mJy beam⁻¹ for the aggregate continuum (four spectral windows) and 4.6 mJy beam⁻¹ for the SO_2 and $CH₃CN$ molecular line transitions. After an inspection of the subtracted continuum and residual maps for each spectral window, the images made by the pipeline appear to be of good quality. The largest recoverable angular scale for these observations is 14 ^{$\prime\prime$}. In this Letter, we present the high-resolution 3 mm contis 14. In this Ectie, we present the high resolution 5 him continuum observation toward Condensation 1 together with SO_2 and CH₃CN spectroscopic observations toward one particular fragment. The other data will be presented in a forthcoming paper (Figueira et al., in prep.).

Fig. 1: Top: *Herschel* 70 µm image of Condensation 1 with the (2017), the FoV of the ALMA observation presented in this work (red sources detected with the *getimages*-*getsources* algorithms from circle) and the direction where the ionizing star is located (black arrow). Bottom: 3 mm ALMA image of the fragments observed toward Condensation 1. [Fig. 1.](https://dexter.edpsciences.org/applet.php?DOI=10.1051/0004-6361/201832930&pdf_id=1) *Top*: *Herschel* 70 µm image of Condensation 1 with the sources detected with the *getimages*-*getsources* algorithms from Figueira et al.

4. Results and analysis

The *Herschel* cores of RCW 120 (Figueira et al. 2017) were extracted with a new method using the *getimages* (Men'shchikov 2017) and *getsources* algorithms (see Appendix B for details). The properties of the *Herschel* cores were obtained using a spectral energy distribution (SED) fitting from 100 to $500 \,\mu \text{m}$ with the 160 or $250 \mu m$ flux mandatory to allow the aperture correction and better constrain the peak of the SED. The sources observed on the 3 mm ALMA image (Fig. 1 bottom) were extracted with the same algorithms and we found 18 ALMA sources, called fragments, in total. To quantify the loss of emission due to the spatial filtering of the interferometer, we performed a SED fitting from 100 to 500 μ m (*Herschel* data). The integrated intensity expected at 3 mm in the primary beam area is 0.3 Jy, while the integrated intensity obtained from the

Id	α	δ J2000 $(°)$	T_{env} (K)	$M_{\rm env}$ (M_{\odot})	L_{bol} (L_{\odot})	$n_{\rm H_2}^{}^{}$ $\rm (cm^{-3})$	M_{Jeans} (M_{\odot})	N_{frag}	$M_{\rm frag}$ (M_{\odot})
	258.04577	-38.53338	17.0 ± 0.2	85 ± 6	234 ± 28	$(3.0 \pm 0.2) \times 10^5$	0.8	$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}$	10.6 ± 0.7
2	258.03624	-38.51317	16.9 ± 0.2	376 ± 21	856 ± 93	$(1.3 \pm 0.1) \times 10^6$	0.4	5	73 ± 3.6
9	258.03749	-38.52663	13.1 ± 0.2	97 ± 14	49 ± 12	$(3.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^5$	0.5	$\overline{2}$	25.8 ± 1.6
10	258.04524	-38.51956	11.1 ± 0.4	252 ± 41	46 ± 17	$(8.7 \pm 1.4) \times 10^5$	0.3	\mathfrak{D}	15.5 ± 1.4
11	258,04073	-38.53926	14.2 ± 0.4	31 ± 9	24 ± 11	$(1.1 \pm 0.3) \times 10^5$	1.1		7.4 ± 0.5
13	258.03352	-38.53886	16.3 ± 0.8	8 ± 3	23 ± 9	$(2.8 \pm 1.0) \times 10^4$	2.5	2	6.8 ± 0.8
15^b	258,04084	-38.52110	12.8 ± 0.5	81 ± 15	38 ± 15	$(2.8 \pm 0.5) \times 10^5$	0.5	1	3.5 ± 0.6
39	258,04560	-38.52532	12.8 ± 0.3	97 ± 17	42 ± 13	$(3.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^5$	0.5	3	72.2 ± 2.7
17	258.02078	-38.51440	12.8 ± 0.2	122 ± 17	51 ± 13	$(4.2 \pm 0.6) \times 10^5$	0.4	θ	Ω
23	258.02648	-38.51204	11.9 ± 0.4	130 ± 21	37 ± 13	$(4.5 \pm 0.7) \times 10^5$	0.4	Ω	Ω
127	258.03146	-38.54054	10.8 ± 0.3	80 ± 17	12 ± 5	$(2.8 \pm 0.6) \times 10^5$	0.4	θ	Ω

Table 1. Properties of the *Herschel* cores using the *getsources*(+*getimages*) algorithm.

Notes. Columns are: (1) identification number, (2,3) J2000 coordinates, (4) envelope temperature, (5) envelope mass, (6) bolometric luminosity, (7) volume density, (8) Jeans mass, (9) number of fragments inside the core, and (10) total mass of the fragments. (*a*) The volume density was computed assuming a size of 0.1 pc and $n_{\text{H}_2} = M_{\text{core}}/(\mu m_H \times (4/3)\pi \times 0.1 \text{ pc}^3)$. ^(b) SED fitting carried out without 160 and 250 μ m and consequently no aperture correction was carried out.

Table 2. Properties of the fragments detected with ALMA at 3 mm.

Herschel core	Id	α	δ J2000 $(°)$	$M_{\rm frag}$ (M_{\odot})	Size (mpc)
Core 1	8	258.0457	-38.5333	7.7 ± 0.4	8.7
	12	258.0440	-38.5321	2.8 ± 0.3	3.1
Core 2	1	258.0363	-38.5129	27.4 ± 0.6	8.6
	5	258.0366	-38.5150	5.8 ± 0.6	2.5
	6	258.0358	-38.5124	19.1 ± 1	8.8
	10	258.0356	-38.5163	5.1 ± 0.7	4.3
	11	258.0354	-38.5138	15.7 ± 0.6	10.8
Core 9	2	258.0369	-38.5264	8.2 ± 0.7	1.6
	3	258.0370	-38.5269	17.6 ± 0.9	9.3
Core 10	16	258.0471	-38.5204	7.7 ± 0.8	9.6
	17	258.0450	-38.5194	7.8 ± 0.6	9.9
Core 11	7	258.0404	-38.5391	7.4 ± 0.5	6.9
Core 13	4	258.0334	-38.5386	4.8 ± 0.4	3.1
	14	258.0337	-38.5401	2.1 ± 0.5	10.0^a
Core 15	15	258.0403	-38.5210	3.5 ± 0.6	6.0
Core 39	9	258.0450	-38.5248	8.7 ± 0.4	8.1
	13	258.0448	-38.5265	31.6 ± 0.7	22.4
	18	258.0457	-38.5236	31.8 ± 1.5	28.8

Notes. Columns are: (1) fragment's Id, (2,3) J2000-coordinates, (4) mass assuming the temperature of the hosting core (see Table 1), and (5) deconvolved size. (*a*) The size of this source cannot be deconvolved.

observation is 0.06 Jy. Thus, we recover 20% of the emission with the ALMA 12 m observations while the emission above 14" is filtered and lost. Using *Herschel* data, Figueira et al. (2017) found that the *Herschel* cores belonging to the Condensation 1 of RCW 120 are the youngest and most massive of the region with in average of $124 M_{\odot}$. Table 1 lists the properties of the *Herschel* cores detected by *getsources*. At a spatial resolution of 0.01 pc, the most massive core (Core 2, $376 M_{\odot}$) is also the most fragmented with five sources found inside (see Fig. A.1). No fragments are observed toward Core 17, 23, and 127, which could be explained by the dilution above the maximum recoverable spatial scale of the interferometer if the cores

have not yet undergone gravitational collapse. A zoom on the 18 fragments inside the 8 cores are presented in Fig. A.1. \equiv Assuming optically thin emission, the mass of the fragments was calculated using the Hildebrand formula (Hildebrand 1983) $M_{\text{frag}} = S_{3 \text{ mm}} \times R \times D^2 / \kappa_{3 \text{ mm}} \times B_{3 \text{ mm}} (T_{\text{dust}}) \times \Omega_{\text{beam}}$, where $S_{3 \text{mm}}$ is the integrated flux at 3 mm (in Jy), *R* is the gas-todust ratio assumed to be equal to 100, *D* is the distance to the region (in pc), $B_{3\text{mm}}(T_{\text{dust}})$ is the Planck function at 3 mm (in Jy sr⁻¹) assuming a temperature T_{dust} (in K), Ω_{beam} is the beam solid angle of the observation (in sr), and $\kappa_{3\,\text{mm}}$ is the opacity at 3 mm assuming that $\kappa_{3 \text{ mm}} = \kappa_{300 \mu \text{m}} \times (300 \mu \text{m}/3 \text{ mm})^2$ and $\kappa_{300\,\mu\text{m}} = 10 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ (Hildebrand 1983). Different opacity values can be taken depending on the model used and the physical conditions of the medium (Ossenkopf & Henning 1994; Preibisch et al. 1993). The choice of the opacity factor leads to a factor of 2 for the absolute mass uncertainty of the ALMA fragments (Martin et al. 2012; Deharveng et al. 2012; Csengeri et al. 2017). The temperature of the ALMA fragments is not well defined and most of the studies consider a temperature around ∼20–25 K (Palau et al. 2014; Rathborne et al. 2015; Csengeri et al. 2017; Ginsburg et al. 2018). This temperature can be justified if we consider that star formation already began in the fragments but since we do yet not know their evolutionary stage, we compute the mass of the fragments assuming that they are at the same temperature as their hosting *Herschel* core (Louvet et al. 2018). The size of the fragments, computed as the geometrical mean of the major and minor angular size of the source, was deconvolved in quadrature with the beam angular resolution. The physical parameters of the ALMA sources are listed in Table 2.

5. Discussion

5.1. Fragmentation inside the cores and thermal Jeans mass

In order to study the high-mass star formation process at the edges of H in regions, we use these high-resolution observations to characterize the fragmentation of the cores. When a core reaches the Jeans mass (*M*Jeans), it becomes gravitationally unstable. We expect the mass of the fragments to be on the order of *M*Jeans after the fragmentation process. This

mass limit depends on the sound speed and density of the core; this can be translated into temperature and density (Jeans 1902; Kippenhahn et al. 2012) as follows: $M_{\text{Jeans}} = 0.6285 \times$ $(T_{\text{env}}/10\,\text{K})^{1.5} \times (n_{\text{H}_2}/10^5\,\text{cm}^{-3})^{-0.5}$. The volume density of the *Herschel* cores was computed using the formula indicated in the footnote of Table 1. Since the size of several *Herschel* cores cannot be deconvolved, we assume a typical scale of 0.1 pc, which gives an upper limit for *M*Jeans. The resulting *M*Jeans for our *Herschel* cores are of the same order of magnitude compared to the massive cores studied in Palau et al. (2014) or Louvet et al. (2018) and range from $0.3 M_{\odot}$ to $2.5 M_{\odot}$ with an average of $0.8 M_{\odot}$ (see Table 1). From the mass of the cores and their corresponding *M*Jeans, we would have expected the fragmentation seen at 0.01 pc resolution to be more important. In particular, three of the *Herschel* cores (17, 23 and 127) do not present any sign of fragmentation despite their associated low M_{Jeans} . Fragmentation at this spatial scale, referred to as multiplicity, has been observed by Lee et al. (2015) in L1448N and Palau et al. (2018) in OMC-1S for instance. Moreover, it is known that high-mass stars are often formed as part of a binary system (Zinnecker & Yorke 2007). Therefore, it is possible that our sample of ALMA sources are subfragmented at a scale below 0.01 pc. The additional increase of the temperature of the cores due to the heating provided by the proximity to the H_{II} region (if any) appears to be insignificant in increasing the Jeans mass threshold. Most of the theoretical models related to fragmentation mechanisms consider other ingredients that are known to prevent fragmentation effectively such as turbulence (Padoan et al. 2001; Federrath et al. 2010) and the magnetic field (Girart et al. 2013; Fontani et al. 2016, 2018). In RCW 120, it has been shown that the compression of the H II region has an effect on the millimetric-wave condensation studied in this work through a column-density probability density function (PDF) analysis (Tremblin et al. 2014) and could have induced the gravitational collapse of the cores. We computed the expected Jeans mass accounting for the turbulence (M_{Jeans}^{turb}) using the model of Mac Low & Klessen (2004) in which the compression resulting from supersonic turbulence increases the volume density of the cores by a factor equal to the square of the Mach number. The thermal velocity dispersion is computed assuming a temperature equal to the average temperature of the cores. The nonthermal velocity dispersion is then obtained by subtracting the thermal velocity dispersion from the N₂H⁺($J = 1 \rightarrow 0$) velocity dispersion in quadrature (observed with the MALT90 survey at a spatial resolution of $30^{\prime\prime}$ –0.18 pc, Jackson et al. 2013). Assuming a Mach number of 4 in the PDR of RCW 120 (Tremblin et al. 2012), we obtain an upper limit of $100 M_{\odot}$ for the $M_{\text{Jeans}}^{\text{turb}}$ high enough to explain the mass of the fragments (Table 2).

5.2. High-mass star formation toward RCW 120

The millimetric-wave condensation studied in this work was the most promising place to search for the next generation of highmass stars in RCW 120 since most of the massive cores observed with *Herschel* are located there. To find out whether high-mass star formation is likely to occur in the sample of *Herschel* cores, we used the criterion of Baldeschi et al. (2017) where high-mass star formation can begin only if $M_{\text{core}} > 1282 (r/[\text{pc}])^{1.42} M_{\odot}$. With a characteristic size of 0.1 pc, the mass limit at which a core can give rise to high-mass star is $50 M_{\odot}$. In the sample of *Herschel* cores, seven have a mass higher than this limit, accounting for the mass uncertainty of a factor of 2. Therefore, Cores 1, 2, 9, 10, 11, 15, and 39 host fragments that can potentially become high-mass stars depending on the star formation efficiency. Cores 17, 23, and 127 could form high-mass stars but no fragments are detected toward these objects, which means that the gravitational collapse has not occurred yet. In Fig. A.2, we show two other molecular lines observed at the same spatial resolution with a detection set at 3σ toward the most massive *Herschel* core. CH₃CN is a tracer of hot cores during the early stage of star formation (Liu et al. 2015); $SO₂$ is a good tracer of outflows (Wright et al. 1996; Minh et al. 2016) and is also associated with ultra compact (UC) H II regions (Minh et al. 2012; Zhang et al. 2014; Galván-Madrid et al. 2009). The presence of $CH₃CN$ and $SO₂$ emission associated with the high-mass Fragment 1 (\sim 27 *M*_☉) might indicate the presence of an UCH μ region in the PDR of RCW 120. This phenomenom can be compared to the RCW 79 Huregion in which a CH uregion is clearly seen in the Midcourse Space Experiment (MSX) observation (Zavagno et al. 2006) toward the PDR. Most of the other fragments do not show any $CH₃CN/SO₂$ lines emission, which is a sign that the protostars are still forming and are in a earlier evolutionary stage compared to Fragment 1. Some of these fragments have a mass well above the $8 M_{\odot}$ limit and can potentially form high-mass stars. The low-mass fragments, showing no signs of stellar activity for the moment, however could accrete more mass from the molecular material contained in the core (Ohashi et al. 2016) allowing these objects to exceed the $8 M_{\odot}$ limit or form low-mass stars. The fragmentation occurring at a spatial resolution of 0.01 pc reveals a distribution of sources with a mass ranging from 2 to 32 M_{\odot} and an average of 12 M_{\odot} in RCW 120. Compared to ρ -Ophiuchi where no H ii feedback is observed (Motte et al. 1998 with a resolution of 0.008 pc) the mass of the fragments in RCW 120 is around one order of magnitude higher, which could indicate that the H_{II} region might favor the formation of high-mass stars. Nonetheless, Louvet et al. (2018) performed a recent ALMA follow-up of massive *Herschel* cores toward the high-mass star-forming region NGC 6334, where several H II regions are observed, with a spatial resolution of 5 mpc (half of the spatial resolution of our observations) and a sensitivity of $0.11 M_{\odot}$. While the size of the NGC 6334 fragments, extracted with *getsources* are comparable with the ALMA fragments in this study, the range of mass is completely different, from 0.2 to 2.6 M_{\odot} , with an average of 0.9 \pm 0.03 M_{\odot} for NGC 6334. Using the same spectral index as in their study $(\beta = 1.5)$, the mass of the RCW 120 decreases but is still higher. In particular, the mass of Fragment 1 in Core 2 with this new spectral index is equal to $8 M_{\odot}$, which is still in agreement with a possible high-mass star associated with a UCH II region. Massive cores in this region have the potential to form high-mass stars and are subject to the H_{II} region feedback but contrary to RCW 120, no fragments are massive enough to form high-mass stars at this moment. These two cases with opposite results indicate more observations are needed to understand the exact role of H II regions in the formation of high-mass stars. Other observations toward the TUKH122 prestellar core at 3 mm and OMC-1S at 1 mm and 0.01 pc resolution (Ohashi et al. 2018; Palau et al. 2018), where known H ii regions are present (Balser et al. 2011), show an agreement with the thermal Jeans mechanism and a distribution of mass one order of magnitude lower compared to RCW 120.

6. Conclusions

Using the 12 m ALMA antennas, we observed the densest millimetric-wave condensation of RCW 120 where most of the massive cores are found. We studied the properties of the 18 ALMA fragments found inside the cores detected with

Herschel whose mass goes up to $32 M_{\odot}$ with a detection limit of 0.12 M_{\odot} . The cores host from 0 to 5 fragments. Core 2, which is the most massive, is the most fragmented. The high fragmentation expected from the Jeans instabilities is not observed toward these massive cores and is in favor of the formation of massive stars and addition of turbulence or magnetic field. $CH₃CN$ and $SO₂$ emission are observed toward the main fragment in the most massive core arguing for the presence of an UCH μ region in the PDR. Therefore, these new ALMA observations have shown that Condensation 1 of RCW 120 is a favorable place for the formation of high-mass stars.

Acknowledgements. LB acknowledges support from CONICYT Project PFB-06. This paper makes use of the following ALMA data: ADS/JAO.ALMA#2016.1.00314.S. ALMA is a partnership of ESO (representing its member states), NSF (USA) and NINS (Japan), together with NRC (Canada), MOST and ASIAA (Taiwan), and KASI (Republic of Korea), in cooperation with the Republic of Chile. The Joint ALMA Observatory is operated by ESO, AUI/NRAO, and NAOJ.

References

- Baldeschi, A., Elia, D., Molinari, S., et al. 2017, [MNRAS, 466, 3682](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/1)
- Balser, D. S., Rood, R. T., Bania, T. M., & Anderson, L. D. 2011, [ApJ, 738, 27](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/2)
- Bontemps, S., André, P., Könyves, V., et al. 2010, [A&A, 518, L85](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/3)
- Csengeri, T., Bontemps, S., Wyrowski, F., et al. 2017, [A&A, 600, L10](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/4)
- Dale, J. E., Bonnell, I. A., Clarke, C. J., & Bate, M. R. 2005, [MNRAS, 358, 291](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/5)
- Dale, J. E., Haworth, T. J., & Bressert, E. 2015, [MNRAS, 450, 1199](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/6)
- Deharveng, L., Zavagno, A., Schuller, F., et al. 2009, [A&A, 496, 177](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/7)
- Deharveng, L., Schuller, F., Anderson, L. D., et al. 2010, [A&A, 523, A6](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/8)
- Deharveng, L., Zavagno, A., Anderson, L. D., et al. 2012, [A&A, 546, A74](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/9)
- Elmegreen, B. G., & Lada, C. J. 1977, [ApJ, 214, 725](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/10)
- Federrath, C., Duval, J., Klessen, R. S., Schmidt, W., & Low, M.-M. M. 2010, [Highlights Astron., 15, 404](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/11)
- Figueira, M., Zavagno, A., Deharveng, L., et al. 2017, [A&A, 600, A93](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/12)
- Fontani, F., Commerçon, B., Giannetti, A., et al. 2016, [A&A, 593, L14](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/13)
- Fontani, F., Commerçon, B., Giannetti, A., et al. 2018, [A&A, 615, A94](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/14)
- Galván-Madrid, R., Keto, E., Zhang, Q., et al. 2009, [ApJ, 706, 1036](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/15)
- Ginsburg, A. G., Bally, J., Barnes, A., et al. 2018, [ApJ, 853, 171](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/16)
- Girart, J. M., Frau, P., Zhang, Q., et al. 2013, [ApJ, 772, 69](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/17)
- Hennebelle, P., & Teyssier, R. 2008, [A&A, 477, 25](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/18)
- Hildebrand, R. H. 1983, [QJRAS, 24, 267](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/19)
- Jackson, J. M., Rathborne, J. M., Foster, J. B., et al. 2013, [PASA, 30, e057](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/20) Jeans, J. H. 1902, [Phil. Trans. R. Soc. London, Ser. A, 199, 1](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/21)
- Kendrew, S., Simpson, R., Bressert, E., et al. 2012, [ApJ, 755, 71](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/22)
- Kendrew, S., Beuther, H., Simpson, R., et al. 2016, [ApJ, 825, 142](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/23)
- Kessel-Deynet, O., & Burkert, A. 2003, [MNRAS, 338, 545](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/24)
- Kippenhahn, R., Weigert, A., & Weiss, A. 2012, [Stellar Struct. Evol.](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/25)
- Könyves, V., André, P., Men'shchikov, A., et al. 2015, [A&A, 584, A91](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/26) Lee, K. I., Dunham, M. M., Myers, P. C., et al. 2015, [ApJ, 814, 114](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/27)
- Liu, H.-L., Wu, Y., Li, J., et al. 2015, [ApJ, 798, 30](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/28)
- Louvet, F., Neupane, S., Motte, F., et al. 2018, [A&A,](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/29) submitted
-
- Lucas, W. E., Bonnell, I. A., & Forgan, D. H. 2017, [MNRAS, 466, 5011](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/30)
- Mac Low, M.-M., & Klessen, R. S. 2004, [Rev. Mod. Phys., 76, 125](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/31) Martin, P. G., Roy, A., Bontemps, S., et al. 2012, [ApJ, 751, 28](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/32)
- Martins, F., Pomarès, M., Deharveng, L., Zavagno, A., & Bouret, J. C. 2010, [A&A, 510, A32](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/33)
- Men'shchikov, A. 2013, [A&A, 560, A63](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/34)
- Men'shchikov, A. 2017, [A&A, 607, A64](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/35)
- Men'shchikov, A., André, P., Didelon, P., et al. 2012, [A&A, 542, A81](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/36)
- Minh, Y. C., Chen, H.-R., Su, Y.-N., & Liu, S.-Y. 2012, [J. Korean Astron. Soc.,](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/37) [45, 157](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/37)
- Minh, Y. C., Liu, H. B., & Galvań-Madrid, R. 2016, [ApJ, 824, 99](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/38)
- Motte, F., Andre, P., & Neri, R. 1998, [A&A, 336, 150](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/39)
- Motte, F., Zavagno, A., Bontemps, S., et al. 2010, [A&A, 518, L77](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/40)
- Motte, F., Nony, T., Louvet, F., et al. 2018, [Nat. Astron., 2, 478](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/41)
- Ohashi, S., Sanhueza, P., Chen, H.-R. V., et al. 2016, [ApJ, 833, 209](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/42)
- Ohashi, S., Sanhueza, P., Sakai, N., et al. 2018, [ApJ, 856, 147](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/43)
- Ossenkopf, V., & Henning, T. 1994, [A&A, 291, 943](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/44)
- Padoan, P., Nordlund, Å., Rögnvaldsson, Ö. E., & Goodman, A. 2001, in From Darkness to Light: Origin and Evolution of Young Stellar Clusters, eds. T. Montmerle, & P. André, [Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/45) [Series, 243, 279](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/45)
- Palau, A., Estalella, R., Girart, J. M., et al. 2014, [ApJ, 785, 42](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/46)
- Palau, A., Ballesteros-Paredes, J., Vázquez-Semadeni, E., et al. 2015, [MNRAS,](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/47) [453, 3785](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/47)
- Palau, A., Zapata, L. A., Román-Zúñiga, C. G., et al. 2018, [ApJ, 855, 24](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/48)
- Palmeirim, P., Zavagno, A., Elia, D., et al. 2017, [A&A, 605, A35](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/49)
- Preibisch, T., Ossenkopf, V., Yorke, H. W., & Henning, T. 1993, [A&A, 279,](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/50) [577](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/50)
- Rathborne, J. M., Longmore, S. N., Jackson, J. M., et al. 2015, [ApJ, 802, 125](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/51)
- Rodgers, A. W., Campbell, C. T., & Whiteoak, J. B. 1960, [MNRAS, 121, 103](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/52)
- Tigé, J., Motte, F., Russeil, D., et al. 2017, [A&A, 602, A77](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/53)
- Tremblin, P., Audit, E., Minier, V., Schmidt, W., & Schneider, N. 2012, [A&A,](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/54) [546, A33](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/54)
- Tremblin, P., Schneider, N., Minier, V., et al. 2014, [A&A, 564, A106](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/55)
- Wright, M. C. H., Plambeck, R. L., & Wilner, D. J. 1996, [ApJ, 469, 216](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/56)
- Zavagno, A., Deharveng, L., Comerón, F., et al. 2006, [A&A, 446, 171](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/57)
- Zavagno, A., Pomarès, M., Deharveng, L., et al. 2007, [A&A, 472, 835](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/58)
- Zhang, C.-P., Wang, J.-J., Xu, J.-L., Wyrowski, F., & Menten, K. M. 2014, [ApJ,](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/59) [784, 107](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/59)
- Zinnecker, H., & Yorke, H. W. 2007, [ARA&A, 45, 481](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832930/60)

[Fig. A.1.](https://dexter.edpsciences.org/applet.php?DOI=10.1051/0004-6361/201832930&pdf_id=2) ALMA sources extracted with *getsources* (black ellipses) for each of the *Herschel* cores at 3 mm.

[Fig. A.2.](https://dexter.edpsciences.org/applet.php?DOI=10.1051/0004-6361/201832930&pdf_id=3) Integrated emission of the CH₃CN and SO_2 molcular lines toward the *Herschel* Core 2.

Appendix B: *getsources* **extraction**

The *getsources* algorithm is a multiwavelength, multiscale extraction program used to extract compact sources and filaments through a single-scale decomposition approach. The original images were processed using the prepareobs script, which creates a set of images used for the detection and measurements steps. Firstly, *getsources* decomposes the images at each wavelength into a set of single spatial scales. Sources whose sizes do not correspond to the spatial scale are filtered while the visibility of the others is enhanced. This sample of images is then cleaned using an intensity thresholding method. Once the cleaning is done, each set of single spatial scale images are combined to form independent wavelength images. In practice, two sets of combined images are created: one to follow the evolution of the shape of the source (footprint) and the other to follow the evolution of the peak intensity. Finally, the algorithm looks for every set in the form of four connected pixels and assigns a source identification number to each of these. The second main step of the process consists of measuring the properties of each detected source and at each wavelength. In order to improve the detection and measurements of the sources, a new set of flat detection images are created using the results from the first pass in *getsources*. The entire steps of detections and measurements mentioned above are performed again using these flat images as input detection images.

Recently, extractions made with the *getsources* algorithm were improved using *getimages*, which replaces the first pass in *getsources*. Using a median filtering on multiple spatial scales ranging from the observational beam to the maximal size of

the structures that we want to detect, *getimages* provides a background-subtracted flattened image where the small-scale fluctuations outside the structures of interest are uniform. Based on that image and on the maximal size of the structures one wants to extract, the *getsources* algorithm is used to obtain the catalog of sources together with their properties. The *Herschel* cores from Figueira et al. (2017) were extracted using the *getsources* algorithm and the HOBYS recipe (Tigé et al. 2017). Since the use of *getimages*improves the quality of the final detections catalog compared to the previous extractions, the cores were extracted again following the new strategy by combining the *getsources* and *getimages* algorithms as well as the ALMA fragments. For this work, we used *getimages*(Version 2.171128) with the default parameters and a maximal size of the structures set to 2", as well as *getsources* (Version 1.140127) with the default parameters. The configuration files of *getimages* and *getsources* can be found, together with the catalog, on the CDS and more explanations about the parameters can be found in Men'shchikov et al. (2012) and Men'shchikov (2017). While 13 cores are found in the former extraction (*getsources* only), only 10 cores are listed in the new *Herschel* catalog (*getsources* & *getimages*). The Core 58 is not detected in the new extraction and Core 15 and 37 are detected but not selected because of the lack of reference flux for the aperture correction that is mandatory in the HOBYS recipe. Nonetheless, since an ALMA source is found for the Core 15, we keep it for our analysis. However, it is important to remember that no aperture correction was made for the SED of this source, which could lead to higher uncertainties for its derived physical properties.