

Best practices for the use of meteorite names in publications

Philipp Heck, Christopher Herd, Jeffrey Grossman, Dmitry Badjukov, Audrey Bouvier, Emma Bullock, Hasnaa Chennaoui-aoudjehane, Vinciane Debaille, Tasha Dunn, Denton Ebel, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Philipp Heck, Christopher Herd, Jeffrey Grossman, Dmitry Badjukov, Audrey Bouvier, et al.. Best practices for the use of meteorite names in publications. Meteoritics and Planetary Science, 2019, 54 (7), pp.1397-1400. 10.1111/maps.13291 . hal-02106317

HAL Id: hal-02106317 https://hal.science/hal-02106317v1

Submitted on 11 Dec 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License



Meteoritics & Planetary Science 54, Nr 7, 1397–1400 (2019) doi: 10.1111/maps.13291

Best practices for the use of meteorite names in publications

Philipp R. HECK ^[1,2], Christopher HERD³, Jeffrey N. GROSSMAN⁴, Dmitry BADJUKOV⁵, Audrey BOUVIER⁶, Emma BULLOCK⁷, Hasnaa CHENNAOUI-AOUDJEHANE ^[10]⁸, Vinciane DEBAILLE⁹, Tasha L. DUNN ^[10], Denton S. EBEL¹¹, Ludovic FERRIÈRE ^[11]¹², Laurence GARVIE¹³, Jérôme GATTACCECA ^[14], Matthieu GOUNELLE ^[15], Richard HERD¹⁶, Trevor IRELAND¹⁷, Emmanuel JACQUET¹⁵, Robert J. MACKE¹⁸, Tim McCOY ^[19], Francis M. McCUBBIN²⁰, Takashi MIKOUCHI^{21,22}, Knut METZLER²³, Mathieu ROSKOSZ¹⁵, Caroline SMITH^{24,25}, Meenakshi WADHWA¹³, Linda WELZENBACH-FRIES²⁶, Toru YADA²⁷, Akira YAMAGUCHI ^[12]²⁸, Ryan A. ZEIGLER²⁰, and Michael ZOLENSKY²⁰

¹Robert A. Pritzker Center for Meteoritics and Polar Studies, Field Museum of Natural History, 1400 S Lake Shore Dr, Chicago, Illinois 60605 USA ²Chicago Center for Cosmochemistry & Department of the Geophysical Sciences, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, USA ³Department of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2E3 Canada ⁴Reston, Virginia 20194 USA ⁵Laboratory of Meteoritics, RAS, V.I.Vernadsky Institute of Geochemistry and Analytical Chemistry, 19, Kosygin Str., Moscow, Russia ⁶Bayerisches Geoinstitut, Univeristät Bayreuth, Bayreuth, Germany ⁷Geophysical Laboratory, Carnegie Institution for Science, 5251 Broad Branch Road NW, Washington, D.C. 20015, USA ⁸Faculty of Sciences Ain Chock, Department of Earth Sciences, Hassan II University of Casablanca, km 8 Route d'El Jadida, 20150 Casablanca, Morocco ⁹Laboratoire G-Time, Université Libre de Bruxelles, 50, Av. F.D. Roosevelt, CP 160/02 B-1050 Brussels, Belgium ¹⁰Colby College, 5808 Mayflower Hill, Waterville, Maine 04901–8858 USA ¹¹Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Division of Physical Sciences, American Museum of Natural History, Central Park West at 79th Street, New York City, New York 10024 USA ¹²Natural History Museum, Burgring 7, A-1010 Vienna, Austria ¹³Center for Meteorite Studies, Arizona State University, ISTB4, BLDG 75, 781 East Terrace Rd, Tempe, Arizona 85287–6004 USA ¹⁴CEREGE-CNRS, BP80, Avenue Philibert, 13545, Aix-en-Provence Cedex 4, France ¹⁵Institut de minéralogie, de physique des matériaux et de cosmochimie, Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Case 52, 57 rue Cuvier, 75231, Paris Cedex 05, France ¹⁶Retired; formerly Curator, National Meteorite Collection of Canada, Ottawa, Canada ¹⁷Research School of Earth Sciences, The Australian National University, 142 Mills Road, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory 2601 Australia ¹⁸Vatican Observatory, V-00120, Vatican City State ¹⁹Department of Mineral Sciences, Smithsonian Institution, National Museum of Natural History, Washington, D.C. 20560 USA ²⁰ARES, NASA Johnson Space Center, Mail Code XI2 2101 NASA Parkway, Houston, Texas 77058 USA ²¹Department of Earth and Planetary Science, Graduate School of Science, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan ²²The University Museum, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033 Japan ²³Institut für Planetologie, University of Münster, Wilhelm-Klemm-Str. 10, 48149 Münster, Germany ²⁴Department of Earth Sciences, The Natural History Museum, Cromwell Road, London SW7 5BD, UK ²⁵School of Geographical and Earth Sciences, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, G12 8QQ, UK ²⁶Planetary Science Institute, 1700 East Fort Lowell, Suite 106, Tucson, Arizona 85719–2395 USA ²⁷Institute of Space and Astronautical Science, Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency, 3-1-1, Yoshinodai, Chuo-ku, Sagamihara, Kanagawa 252-5210 Japan ²⁸National Institute of Polar Research, 10-3 Midori-cho, Tachikawa, Tokyo 190-8518 Japan *Corresponding author. E-mail: prheck@fieldmuseum.org (Received 23 January 2019; revision accepted 13 March 2019)

Abstract-This document contains suggestions for best practices by authors who refer to meteorites in publications. It can also be taken as a guide for publishers in establishing guidelines for authors. The following best practices are recommended in addition to acknowledging the loaning institution or loaning individual (unless required otherwise). The main motivations are to: help ensure that research on meteorites is reproducible, prevent confusion in the literature, and enhance tracking of specimens and related data.

INTRODUCTION

The Meteoritical Society (MetSoc) is the world's authority on meteorite names (e.g., Marvin 1993; Leonard 2018). In order for a meteorite (as defined in Rubin and Grossman 2010) to receive an official name from MetSoc, every meteorite must be documented as to its physical properties and provenance, receive an initial classification by a qualified researcher, and have a type specimen deposited in an institutional collection with a long-term commitment to meteorite curation and which loans specimens to qualified scientists for research. The Society's Nomenclature Committee (NomCom) has developed Guidelines for Meteorite Nomenclature (Guidelines 2018), and is charged with implementing these guidelines for all newly discovered meteorites.

The following set of recommendations has been prepared and endorsed by the 2016 NomCom and the independent Meteorite Curators Working Group (MCWG); the latter group also includes curators of other astromaterials (e.g., cosmic dust and material returned by sample-return missions). These recommendations are meant to complement the Guidelines for Meteorite Nomenclature (Guidelines 2018), in order to specifically provide guidance regarding the use of names of meteorites in publications.

The principles behind the Guidelines for Meteorite Nomenclature and the recommendations presented here are as follows. (1) Preventing confusion. All publications on meteorites should be easily traceable to publicly available data that contain the details of a specific meteorite fall or find. Moreover, publications should be searchable, such that all publications on a specific meteorite can be found by searching for a single name. (2) Reproducibility. In order for meteorite research to be reproducible, it is critical that future generations be able to accurately identify the meteorite that was studied and obtain additional specimens. (3) Traceability. Many meteorites are dispersed among public and private collections. Within these collections, there may be multiple stones ("stones" is used here to include metalrich meteorites) associated with a given meteorite, and many subsamples may exist of individual stones. Because most meteorites are heterogeneous, and because pairing between individual stones may be uncertain, publications need to record the details of which collection, which stone, and which subsample was used for the research.

The following studies represent examples of publications in which sample numbers were provided. The Sutter's Mill meteorite is a regolith breccia dominated by a CM-type lithology that fell on April 22, 2012 (Jenniskens et al. 2012). Some of the specimens were collected a few days after the fall, while the majority were collected after it had rained. The latter specimens show effects of terrestrial alteration, including loss of the water-soluble sulfide oldhamite, whereas those collected before the rain retained oldhamite and showed less alteration (Walker et al. 2018). In this way, the effects of terrestrial modification of an important fall are documented. Another example is the comparative study of the mid-IR spectroscopy of the Tagish Lake C2-ungrouped chondrite with that of the Martian moon Phobos, providing insights into Phobos's origin (Glotch et al. 2018). There is lithological variation among Tagish Lake stones (e.g., Blinova et al. 2014); the sample chosen for this study was selected to be representative of less-altered lithologies (Glotch et al. 2018). In both of these examples, the specimens that were used are clearly indicated in the articles, and the sample processing scheme was documented. The samples (or aliquots thereof) are back in their respective collections and available for future researchers to do similar or complementary studies. The provision of these specimen numbers will allow workers to refer to, or request these specific specimens for future work. The increased use of computed tomography (CT) to investigate meteorites in three dimensions in multiple subsections of CT volumes (e.g., Ebel et al. 2008) will increase the need for precise subsample information to ensure the success of future investigation of the same samples. Focused ion-beam extraction from meteorites for transmission electron microscopy provides similar challenges for specimen source identification.

There are many more examples in the literature that could be cited in this context where meteorite specimens

are provided following the recommendations given below (e.g., Bouvier et al. 2015; Santos et al. 2015; Jilly-Rehak et al. 2017; Marrocchi et al. 2019).

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR METEORITE NOMENCLATURE IN PUBLICATIONS

- 1. Approved meteorites. Authors can only use official meteorite names, i.e., names that have been approved by the Nomenclature Committee, in publications, such as papers, monographs, abstracts, and popular articles. All such names are listed in the *Meteoritical Bulletin Database* within 1 week of their approval. Care should be taken to be sure that the spelling, punctuation, and diacritical marks in official names are properly maintained, even if the approved name seems misspelled or otherwise incorrect to the author. This is the *Prime Directive* of meteorite nomenclature.
- 2. *Provisional names*. Provisional names cannot be used in publications (including abstracts and popular articles). Many meteorites in dense collection areas (i.e., those with names in numbered sequences) have been assigned provisional names. Such names are clearly labeled as provisional in the Meteoritical Bulletin Database and highlighted in a different color. Provisional names are given for the purpose of tracking specimens through the classification and approval process and are not official.
- 3. Abbreviations.
- a. *Official abbreviations*. Many meteorites in dense collection areas have been given official abbreviations, which are published in the Meteoritical Bulletin Database. When these exist, authors should use them rather than defining their own abbreviations, whenever possible and practical. A complete list of dense collection areas and their approved abbreviations, demonstrating proper usage, is maintained by the Editors of the Meteoritical Bulletin.
- b. *Author-defined abbreviations*. Authors frequently find it convenient to abbreviate meteorite names in text, tables, and figures. In doing so, care should be taken that the abbreviation does not duplicate any existing names, abbreviations, or synonyms for other meteorites, which could lead to confusion in the literature.
- c. *Usage*. Authors should avoid the use of abbreviated meteorite names in titles and abstracts of publications, and should introduce them in text as they would do with any other abbreviation. This practice should be followed both for official and author-defined abbreviations.
- 4. *Synonyms*. Synonyms for official meteorite names should NOT be used in publications, except when

needed to provide historical context, identify specimens (see §5), or prevent confusion by readers. Synonyms are listed in the Meteoritical Bulletin Database for the sole purpose of identifying meteorites that may have appeared in previous publications or in popular usage under different names. Such names should not be propagated by authors.

- 5. Named masses. Many large meteorites, in particular large irons, have popular names that have been assigned to significant masses (e.g., the "Ahnighito" mass of *Cape York*). In many cases, these names are listed as synonyms in the Meteoritical Bulletin Database, which may also include a tabulation of known masses. Authors are encouraged to identify the source masses of specimens under discussion. The text should always make clear what the official meteorite name is for each mass and only use mass names when it is important to distinguish the named mass from other masses of the same meteorite.
- 6. *Catalog numbers.* The sources of meteorite specimens used in publications should always be identified. This includes citing the name of the source institution or other entity from which specimens have been obtained, along with all relevant catalog and specimen numbers, including subsample information. This enables scientific replication and verification and allows loaning institutions to document the use of their collections as well as the methods applied to each specimen.
- 7. *Pairing groups.* When numbered meteorites from dense collection areas are known or suspected to be paired (part of the same fall event), they may be referenced collectively in publications by the lowest number, the most widely studied number, or the number with the largest mass (e.g., the *EET 87711* pairing group). Whatever the author chooses to do, all meteorites considered part of the pairing group that are relevant to the discussion should be named and identified as part of the group.
- 8. Suspected meteorites. Occasionally, an author will want to discuss a specimen suspected of being a new meteorite but has not received an official name. Best practice in these situations is always for the author to submit such meteorites to NomCom for approval prior to publication. However, in cases where the authenticity of the meteorite is in doubt, authors should state the nature of the controversy, introduce the specimen with a label that does not conflict with existing meteorite names. abbreviations, and synonyms and which is clearly identified as an "unofficial name."

Editorial Handling-Dr. A. J. Timothy Jull

REFERENCES

- Blinova A. I., Zega T. J., Herd C. D. K., and Stroud R. M. 2014. Testing variations within the Tagish Lake meteorite-I: Mineralogy and petrology of pristine samples. *Meteoritics & Planetary Science* 49:473–502.
- Bouvier A., Blichert-Toft J., Boyet M., and Albarède F. 2015. ¹⁴⁷Sm-¹⁴³Nd and ¹⁷⁶Lu-¹⁷⁶Hf systematics of eucrite and angrite meteorites. *Meteoritics & Planetary Science* 50:1896–1911.
- Ebel D. S., Weisberg M. K., Hertz J., and Campbell A. J. 2008. Shape, metal abundance, chemistry, and origin of chondrules in the Renazzo (CR) chondrite. *Meteoritics & Planetary Science* 43:1725–1740.
- Glotch T. D., Edwards C. S., Yesiltas M., Shirley K. A., McDougall D. S., Kling A. M., Bandfield J. L., and Herd C. D. K. 2018. MGS-TES spectra suggest a basaltic component in the regolith of Phobos. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets* 123:2467–2484.
- Guidelines 2018. Guidelines for Meteorite Nomenclature. https://www.lpi.usra.edu/meteor/docs/nc-guidelines.pdf. Accessed February 19, 2019.
- Jenniskens P., Fries M. D., Yin Q.-Z., Zolensky M., Krot A. N., Sandford S. A., Sears D., Beauford R., Ebel D. S., Friedrich J. M., Nagashima K., Wimpenny J., Yamakawa A., Nishiizumi K., Hamajima Y., Caffee M. W., Welten K. C., Laubenstein M., Davis A. M., Simon S. B., Heck P. R., Young E. D., Kohl I. E., Thiemens M. H., Nunn M. H., Mikouchi T., Hagiya K., Ohsumi K., Cahill T. A., Lawton J. A., Barnes D., Steele A., Rochette P., Verosub K. L., Gattacceca J., Cooper G., Glavin D. P., Burton A. S., Dworkin J. P., Elsila J. E., Pizzarello S., Ogliore R., Schmitt-Koppin P., Harir M., Herkorn N., Verchovsky A., Grady M.,

Nagao K., Okazaki R., Takechi H., Hiroi T., Smith K., Silber E. A., Brown P. G., Albers J., Klotz D., Hankey M., Matson R., Fries J. A., Walker R. J., Puchtel I., Lee C.-T. A., Erdman M. E., Eppich G. R., Roeske S., Gabelica Z., Lerche M., Nuevo M., Girten B., and Worden S. P. 2012. Radar-enabled recovery of the Sutter's Mill meteorite, a carbonaceous chondrite regolith breccia. *Science* 338:1583–1587.

- Jilly-Rehak C. E., Huss G. R., and Nagashima K. 2017. ⁵³Mn-⁵³Cr radiometric dating of secondary carbonate in CR chondrites: Timescales for parent body aqueous alteration. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 201:224–244.
- Leonard F. D. 2018. Frederick C. Leonard: A history and personal recollections. *Meteoritics & Planetary Science* 53:359–374.
- Marrocchi Y., Euverte R., Villeneuve J., Batanova V., Welsch B., Ferrière L., and Jacquet E. 2019. Formation of CV chondrules by recycling of amoeboid olivine aggregate-like precursors. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 247:121–141.
- Marvin U. 1993. The Meteoritical Society: 1933 to 1993. *Meteoritics* 28:261–314.
- Rubin A. E. and Grossman J. N. 2010. Meteorite and meteoroid: New comprehensive definitions. *Meteoritics & Planetary Science* 45:114–122.
- Santos A. R., Agee C. B., McCubbin F. M., Shearer C. K., Burger P. V., Tartèse R., and Anand M. 2015. Petrology of igneous clasts in Northwest Africa 7034: Implications for the petrologic diversity of the Martian crust. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 157:56–85.
- Walker R. J., Yin Q.-Z., and Heck P. R. 2018. Rapid effects of terrestrial alteration on highly siderophile elements in the Sutter's Mill meteorite. *Meteoritics & Planetary Science* 53:1500–1506.