



HAL
open science

Existence of minimizers in generalized Gross-Pitaevskii theory with the Lee-Huang-Yang correction

Arnaud Triay

► **To cite this version:**

Arnaud Triay. Existence of minimizers in generalized Gross-Pitaevskii theory with the Lee-Huang-Yang correction. 2019. hal-02104829v1

HAL Id: hal-02104829

<https://hal.science/hal-02104829v1>

Preprint submitted on 19 Apr 2019 (v1), last revised 27 Apr 2019 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

EXISTENCE OF MINIMIZERS IN GENERALIZED GROSS-PITAEVSKII THEORY WITH THE LEE-HUANG-YANG CORRECTION

ARNAUD TRIAY

ABSTRACT. We study the dipolar Gross-Piteavskii functional with the Lee-Huang-Yang (LHY) correction term without trapping potential and in the regime where the dipole-dipole interaction dominates the repulsive short-range interaction. We show that, above a critical mass, the functional admits minimizers and we prove their regularity and exponential decay. We also estimate the critical mass in terms of the parameters of the system.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Main results	2
3. Proof of Theorem 1	3
Appendix A. Proof of Lemma 3	8
References	9

1. INTRODUCTION

Recent progress in the realization of Bose-Einstein condensates (BEC) of *dipolar* atoms have exhibited new and complex phenomena as compared with simpler chemical elements [12, 10, 7, 29, 8]. For a survey on the properties of dipolar BEC, see [14]. This specificity is due to the long-range nature of the dipolar interaction, which persists in the dilute regime, as opposed to short-range ones which become delta like. The resulting model thus contains two competing interactions, one partly attractive and anisotropic, another one repulsive. The system is stable when the repulsive term compensates the attractiveness of the dipole-dipole interaction (DDI), or when the trapping potential is strongly confining in some well-chosen directions. Otherwise, the condensate experiences collapse and blow up, a phenomenon known as Bose-nova [28, 13, 15]. Nevertheless, new experiments have revealed that when the scattering length, which controls the scattering length of the repulsive interaction, is lowered sufficiently slowly, the condensate remains in a meta-stable state leading to the formation of stable self-bounded droplets [12, 10, 7, 29]. This phenomenon has been investigated theoretically [21, 22] and numerically [4, 1, 31]. The stabilization mechanism is believed to be caused by the Lee-Huang-Yang corrections [16, 27] and is accounted for in the Gross-Pitaevskii framework by a term proportional to $|\psi|^5$ where ψ is the wave function of the condensate. Namely, the generalized Gross-Pitaevskii functional used in the physics literature [31, 1, 29] is given by the following

$$\mathcal{E}_{\text{dip}}^{GP}(\psi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla\psi|^2 + \frac{a_s}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\psi|^4 + \frac{a_{\text{dd}}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K \star |\psi|^2 |\psi|^2 + \frac{2}{5} \gamma_{\text{QF}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\psi|^5, \quad (1)$$

where $\psi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is normalized as

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\psi|^2 = N, \quad (2)$$

with N the number of atoms. The parameter a_s is proportional to the scattering length, the parameter a_{dd} is proportional to the square of the moment of the dipoles and we will always assume $a_{\text{dd}} > 0$. Finally, the coefficient $\gamma_{\text{QF}} > 0$ in front of the LHY corrections physically depends on a_s and a_{dd} but we take it independent of them for the analysis. The acronym QF

stands for quantum fluctuation which is the term used in the physics literature to refer to the cause of the LHY corrections. For a dipolar Bose gas, we have $K = K_{\text{dip}}$ with

$$K_{\text{dip}}(x) = \frac{3}{4\pi} \frac{1 - 3 \cos^2(\theta_x)}{|x|^3} =: \frac{\Omega_{\text{dip}}(x/|x|)}{|x|^3},$$

where $\cos(\theta_x) = n \cdot x/|x|$ and where n is a fixed unit vector aligned with all the dipoles. Here we consider a general long-range interaction of the form

$$K(x) = \frac{\Omega(x/|x|)}{|x|^3} \quad \text{with} \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \Omega(y) d\sigma(y) = 0, \quad (3)$$

where Ω is an even continuous function on the sphere \mathbb{S}^2 and σ is the Haar measure on the sphere.

Solitary waves are solutions of the following Gross-Pitaevskii equation

$$-\Delta\psi + a_s|\psi|^2\psi + a_{\text{dd}}K * |\psi|^2\psi + \gamma_{\text{QF}}|\psi|^3\psi = \mu\psi, \quad (4)$$

for some chemical potential $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$. They can be obtained by looking at critical points of $\mathcal{E}_{\text{dip}}^{GP}$ restricted to the unit sphere in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Of course, the easiest way to find critical points is to minimize the functional and look for the ground state.

Without the LHY correction ($\gamma_{\text{QF}} = 0$) and with a trapping potential V_{ext} , the functional $\mathcal{E}_{\text{dip}}^{GP}$ has been extensively studied [3, 2, 6, 5, 6]. A necessary and sufficient condition for $\mathcal{E}_{\text{dip}}^{GP}$ to be bounded below on the unit sphere of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is, in our units, $a_s \geq a_{\text{dd}}$. This comes from the fact that the kinetic energy term and the interaction term do not have the same scaling properties with respect to dilatations. Adding the (positive) LHY term allows to prevent the collapse and to access the previously unstable regime $a_s < a_{\text{dd}}$. Without confining potential, a necessary condition for the minimizing sequences of $\mathcal{E}_{\text{dip}}^{GP}$ to be pre-compact is the negativity of the ground state energy, as we will prove. But because of the stabilization mechanism itself, this condition does not hold for all possible choice of parameters $a_s, a_{\text{dd}}, \gamma_{\text{QF}}$ and N . The case $\gamma_{\text{QF}} < 0$ was analyzed in [25] where the authors find solutions to (4) by means of mountain pass arguments.

In this paper, we study the existence and non existence of the minimizers of (1) as well as their regularity. Our main result is Theorem 1 in which we show that the minimum energy is decreasing in N and that there is some critical mass $N_c(a_s, a_{\text{dd}}, \gamma_{\text{QF}})$ below which it is zero and there is no ground state and above which it is negative and there is at least one ground state. We also derive some upper and lower bound on N_c .

During the preparation of this work a similar result was announced [26] in which the authors study the existence of standing waves for the dipolar Gross-Pitaevskii functional with the LHY non-linearity replaced by $|\psi|^p$ for $p \in (4, 6]$. However, the case of a general long-range interaction given by (3) is not dealt with and does not seem to follow from their proof. Their approach uses the particular symmetry of the dipole-dipole potential which allows to reformulate the interaction energy as the sum of a local term and another term involving the Riesz transform.

Acknowledgment. This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement MDFT No 725528 of Mathieu Lewin).

2. MAIN RESULTS

We first rescale the functional to get rid of redundant parameters. For $\lambda > 0$ and $\psi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\psi|^2 = \lambda$, we denote $\psi_{\alpha, \ell} = \alpha^{1/2} \ell^{3/2} \psi(\ell \cdot)$ and compute

$$\mathcal{E}_{\text{dip}}^{GP}(\psi_{\alpha, \ell}) = \alpha \ell^2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \psi|^2 + \frac{a_s \alpha \ell}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\psi|^4 + \frac{a_{\text{dd}} \alpha \ell}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K \star |\psi|^2 |\psi|^2 + \frac{2}{5} \gamma_{\text{QF}} \alpha^{3/2} \ell^{5/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\psi|^5 \right).$$

Note that $N = \alpha\lambda$. Taking $\alpha\ell a_s = 1$ and $\alpha^{3/2}\ell^{5/2}\gamma_{QF} = 1$, denoting $b = a_{\text{dd}}/a_s$ and dividing by $\alpha\ell^2$ we obtain

$$\mathcal{E}_b(\psi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla\psi|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\psi|^4 + \frac{b}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K \star |\psi|^2 |\psi|^2 + \frac{2}{5} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\psi|^5, \quad (5)$$

with the new constraint $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\psi|^2 = \lambda$.

Recall that the third term with K has to be understood in the sense of the principal value, that is $K = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{1}_{|x| > \varepsilon} K$ in \mathcal{D}' . It is classical [9] that when Ω is an even continuous function on the sphere \mathbb{S}^2 satisfying (3), then for $1 < p < \infty$ and any $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$, the following limit exists for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$

$$K * f(x) := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} (\mathbb{1}_{|x| > \varepsilon} K) * f(x).$$

Moreover there exists some constant $C_p > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ we have

$$\|(\mathbb{1}_{|x| > \varepsilon} K) * f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C_p \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \quad (6)$$

$$\|K * f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C_p \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \quad (7)$$

Additionally, $\widehat{K} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and up to modifying the parameter b , we will assume that

$$\inf \widehat{K} = -1.$$

We now state our main result. We first need some notations and definitions. For $\lambda, b > 0$, we denote by

$$E(\lambda, b) = \inf_{\int |\psi|^2 = \lambda} \mathcal{E}_b(\psi) \quad (8)$$

the ground state energy with mass constraint λ .

Theorem 1. *For any fixed $b > 0$, the function*

$$\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+ \mapsto E(\lambda, b)$$

is non-increasing and there exists $0 < \lambda_c(b) < \infty$ such that the following hold

- *for $0 < \lambda < \lambda_c(b)$, we have $E(\lambda, b) = 0$ and there is no minimizer*
- *the function $\lambda \mapsto E(\lambda, b)$ is strictly decreasing on $[\lambda_c(b), +\infty)$. For every $\lambda \geq \lambda_c(b)$ it admits at least one minimizer. It solves the equation*

$$(-\Delta + |\psi|^2 + bK \star |\psi|^2 + |\psi|^3 - \mu) \psi = 0, \quad (9)$$

with $\mu < 0$. In addition, ψ is C^∞ and decays exponentially.

Finally we have

$$\frac{2^{1/2} 5^{1/2} 3\pi}{(b-1)^{5/2}} \leq \lambda_c(b), \quad (10)$$

and in the dipolar case (2) we have

$$\lambda_c(b) \leq 84.437 \frac{1}{(b-1)^{5/2}}. \quad (11)$$

It is an interesting problem to derive the exact asymptotics of $\lambda_c(b)$ as $b \rightarrow 1$ or as $b \rightarrow \infty$. The rest of the paper is dedicated to the proof of Theorem 1.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

When b is fixed, to simplify notations, we will simply denote by $E(\lambda)$ and \mathcal{E} respectively $E(\lambda, b)$ and \mathcal{E}_b .

3.1. **Monotonicity of the $\lambda \mapsto E(\lambda, b)$.** Let $b > 0$ and show that $\lambda \mapsto E(\lambda)$ is non-increasing. It suffices to prove that for all $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \geq 0$,

$$E(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \leq E(\lambda_1) + E(\lambda_2). \quad (12)$$

and that $E \leq 0$. By density we can take $\psi^{(i)}$, for $i \in \{1, 2\}$, with compact support and such that $\mathcal{E}(\psi^{(i)}) \leq E(\lambda_i) + \varepsilon$, for some $\varepsilon > 0$. We then obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) &\leq \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}(\psi^{(1)} + \psi^{(2)}(\cdot - te_1)) = \mathcal{E}(\psi^{(1)}) + \mathcal{E}(\psi^{(2)}) \\ &\leq E(\lambda_1) + E(\lambda_2) + 2\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

where $e_1 = (1, 0, 0)$. It remains to take ε to zero to obtain (12). To show that $E(\lambda) \leq 0$ for all $\lambda \geq 0$, we take $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with compact support and such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\varphi|^2 = \lambda$, we denote $\varphi_n(x) = n^{-3/2} \varphi(n^{-1}(x - n^2))$ for $n \geq 1$. We have $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \varphi_n|^2 = n^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \varphi|^2$ and $\|\varphi_n\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)} = n^{3(1/p-1/2)} \|\varphi\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)}$ for $p \geq 1$. Using (7), we obtain $\mathcal{E}(\varphi_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

3.2. **$0 < \lambda_c(b) < \infty$.** To prove that $\lambda_c(b) > 0$ it suffices to show the lower bound (10). Let $\psi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. By Hölder's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 &\geq \|\psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{-2/9} \|\psi\|_{L^5(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{20/9}, \\ -\|\psi\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^3)}^4 &\geq -\|\psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{2/3} \|\psi\|_{L^5(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{10/3}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K * |\psi|^2 |\psi|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \widehat{K} \left| \widehat{|\psi|^2} \right|^2 \geq - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\psi|^4.$$

since we have, by assumption, $\inf \widehat{K} = 1$. Denoting $\lambda = \|\psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2$ and $X = \|\psi\|_{L^5(\mathbb{R}^3)}^5$, we can then bound by below the Gross-Pitaevskii energy in the following way

$$\mathcal{E}(\psi) \geq C_{\text{Sob}} \lambda^{-1/9} X^{4/9} - \frac{b-1}{2} \lambda^{1/3} X^{2/3} + \frac{2}{5} X =: F_1(\lambda, X),$$

where $C_{\text{Sob}} = 3(2\pi)^{2/3}/4$ is the optimal constant in Sobolev's inequality. We want to compute

$$\lambda_0 = \sup \{ \lambda > 0 \mid F_1(\lambda, X) \geq 0, \forall X \geq 0 \}.$$

By the form of F_1 , there is a unique such λ_0 , and there is some $X_0 > 0$ such that the following system holds

$$\begin{cases} F_1(\lambda_0, X_0) = 0, \\ (\partial_X F_1)(\lambda_0, X_0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Solving this, we obtain

$$\lambda_0 = \left(\frac{5}{3} \right)^{1/2} 2^{5/2} \frac{C_{\text{Sob}}^{3/2}}{(b-1)^{5/2}} = \frac{2^{1/2} 5^{1/2} 3\pi}{(b-1)^{5/2}}.$$

To prove that $\lambda_c(b) < \infty$, let us take $\psi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\psi|^2 = 1$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\psi|^4 + bK * |\psi|^2 |\psi|^2 < 0$$

which is possible because $b > 1$, see the proof of [30, Theorem 1]. With $\psi_{\lambda, \ell} = \lambda^{1/2} \ell^{3/2} \psi(\ell \cdot)$, we have

$$\mathcal{E}(\psi_{\lambda, \ell}) = \lambda \ell^2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \psi|^2 + \frac{\lambda \ell}{2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\psi|^4 + \frac{b}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K * |\psi|^2 |\psi|^2 \right) + \frac{2}{5} \alpha^{3/2} \ell^{5/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\psi|^5 \right),$$

taking $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ and $\ell = \lambda^{-1/2}$, we obtain $\mathcal{E}(\psi_{\lambda, \ell}) \rightarrow -\infty$, which proves that $\lambda_c(b) < \infty$ since $E(\lambda)$ cannot stay equal to 0 on \mathbb{R}_+ .

3.3. Properties of solutions to (9). If ψ is a stationary point of the functional \mathcal{E} under the mass constraint λ , it solves the Euler-Lagrange equation (9) for some Lagrange multiplier μ . We begin by showing some relations between μ, λ and the different terms in $\mathcal{E}(\psi)$. We define

$$\begin{aligned} T(\psi) &= \int |\nabla\psi|^2 > 0, \\ I(\psi) &= \frac{a_s}{2} \int |\psi|^4 + \frac{a_{dd}}{2} \int K \star |\psi|^2 |\psi|^2, \\ Q(\psi) &= \frac{2}{5}\gamma \int |\psi|^5 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

When there is no ambiguity, we will simply denote them by T, I and Q .

Lemma 2. *Let $\lambda, b > 0$ and let ψ be solution to (9) with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\psi|^2 = \lambda$. Let μ be the associated Lagrange multiplier in (9), then following equalities hold:*

$$T = \frac{3}{2}(E - \mu\lambda), \quad (13)$$

$$I = \frac{E + 5\lambda\mu}{2}, \quad (14)$$

$$Q = -(\mu\lambda + E). \quad (15)$$

In particular, if $E \leq 0$ then $\mu < 0$.

Proof. We have

$$T + I + Q = E(\lambda), \quad (16)$$

$$I + \frac{3}{2}Q = -E(\lambda) + \mu\lambda, \quad (17)$$

$$2T + 3I + \frac{9}{2}Q = 0. \quad (18)$$

The equation (16) is simply the definition of \mathcal{E} . Equation (17) is obtained by integrating the Euler-Lagrange equation (9) against the solution ψ . Finally, (18) is a consequence of the virial theorem: for $\alpha > 0$ we denote $\psi_\alpha = \alpha^{3/2}\psi(\alpha \cdot)$ and since the function $\alpha \mapsto \mathcal{E}(\psi_\alpha)$ is stationary at $\alpha = 1$, its derivative vanishes, this gives (18) (we can also obtain (18) by multiplying (9) by $x \cdot \nabla\psi$). It remains to solve the linear system (16),(17),(18) which gives the result. The negativity of μ comes from (13), indeed we have $\lambda\mu = E - 2T/3 < 0$, if $E \leq 0$. \square

We then state some lemma about the regularity of the solutions of the Euler-Lagrange equation (9). We give its proof in Appendix A.

Lemma 3. *Let $\psi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be a solution of the Euler-Lagrange equation (9) for some $\mu < 0$. Then $\psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and there exists some constants $C, t > 0$ such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$*

$$0 < |\psi(x)| \leq Ce^{-t|x|}.$$

3.4. Existence of a minimizer, case $\lambda > \lambda_c$. We begin with the case $\lambda > \lambda_c(b)$. We use the concentration-compactness method [23, 24, 18]. In particular, we follow [17] and use the following lemma.

Lemma 4. *Let $\{\psi_n\}$ be any bounded sequence in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, define*

$$\mathbf{m}(\{\psi_n\}) = \sup \left\{ \int |\psi|^2 \mid \exists \{x_{n_k}\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3, \psi_{n_k}(\cdot - x_{n_k}) \rightharpoonup \psi \text{ weakly in } H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \right\}.$$

Then the following assertions are equivalent

- $\mathbf{m}(\{\psi_n\}) = 0$
- $\psi_n \rightarrow 0$ strongly in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for all $2 < p < 6$.

We can now show that minimizing sequences are precompact in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Let $\{\psi_n\} \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\psi_n|^2 = \lambda$, with $\lambda > \lambda_c(b)$ so that $E(\lambda) < 0$, be a minimizing sequence for \mathcal{E} . By (7) we verify that $\{\psi_n\}$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ so that we can apply the above lemma. If $\mathbf{m}(\{\psi_n\}) = 0$ then it follows that $\mathcal{E}(\psi_n) \rightarrow 0$ which contradicts $E(\lambda) < 0$. We can therefore find $Q_1 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $Q_1 \neq 0$, such that, up to translations, $\psi_n \rightharpoonup Q_1$ weakly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. We denote

$$\psi_n = Q_1 + r_n, \quad r_n \rightharpoonup 0 \quad \text{in} \quad H^1(\mathbb{R}^3).$$

Because of the weak convergence in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \psi_n|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla Q_1|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla r_n|^2 + o(1).$$

Moreover, up to extracting a subsequence, we can assume strong local convergence in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and convergence. By [19, Theorem 1.9], we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\psi_n|^p - |r_n|^p = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |Q_1|^p,$$

for all $2 \leq p \leq 6$. To deal with the non-local term, we note that $\|r_n Q_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ since $r_n^2 \rightharpoonup 0$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Hence by (7) we conclude that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K * |\psi_n|^2 |\psi_n|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K * |Q_1|^2 |Q_1|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K * |r_n|^2 |r_n|^2 + o(1).$$

Denoting $\lambda_1 = \|Q_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2$ and $\tilde{r}_n = (\lambda - \lambda_1)r_n/\|r_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}$, so that $\|\tilde{r}_n - r_n\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \rightarrow 0$, we obtain from the previous estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(\psi_n) &= \mathcal{E}(Q_1) + \mathcal{E}(\tilde{r}_n) + o(1) \\ &\geq \mathcal{E}(Q_1) + E(\lambda - \lambda_1) + o(1), \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

where we used that \mathcal{E} is locally uniformly continuous in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. From this we obtain that $E(\lambda) = E(\lambda_1) + E(\lambda - \lambda_1)$, that Q_1 is a minimizer of \mathcal{E} for the mass constraint λ_1 and that $\{\tilde{r}_n\}$ is a minimizing sequence for the mass constraint $\lambda - \lambda_1$. If $\lambda_1 = \lambda$ the result is proved since $E(0) = 0$. Let us then assume $\lambda_1 < \lambda$, in this case one must have $\mathbf{m}(\{\tilde{r}_n^{(2)}\}) > 0$, otherwise we obtain by Lemma 4 that $\liminf \mathcal{E}(\tilde{r}_n) \geq 0$ and from (19) that $E(\lambda) = E(\lambda_1)$, since E is non-increasing. But Q_1 is a minimizer of \mathcal{E} and therefore satisfies (9) with some $\mu < 0$ by Lemma 2. Hence for any $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough we have

$$\mathcal{E}((1 + \varepsilon)Q_1) = \mathcal{E}(Q_1) + 2\mu\lambda_1\varepsilon + o(\varepsilon) < \mathcal{E}(Q_1), \tag{20}$$

since $\mu < 0$. For $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough we thus obtain $E(\lambda) \leq E(\lambda_1 + \varepsilon) < E(\lambda_1)$ which contradicts $E(\lambda) = E(\lambda_1)$, hence $\mathbf{m}(\{\tilde{r}_n^{(2)}\}) > 0$. Doing the same procedure for \tilde{r}_n as we did with ψ_n we obtain

$$\tilde{r}_n = Q_2 + q_n, \quad q_n \rightharpoonup 0 \quad \text{in} \quad H^1(\mathbb{R}^3),$$

and we denote $\lambda_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |Q_2|^2$. From (19), the same computations as before lead to

$$E(\lambda) \leq E(\lambda_1) + E(\lambda_2) + E(\lambda - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2),$$

and $\mathcal{E}(Q_2) = E(\lambda_2)$. From this and (12) we deduce that

$$E(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) = E(\lambda_1) + E(\lambda_2), \tag{21}$$

which we will prove cannot hold. Since $(Q_i)_{i \in \{1,2\}}$ are minimizers, they satisfy the Euler-Lagrange equation (9) and by Lemma 3 they are C^∞ and have exponential decay. Let us write $Q_i = Q_i \chi(\cdot/R) + Q_i(1 - \chi(\cdot/R)) = Q_{(i,1)} + Q_{(i,2)}$ for some $\chi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\chi \equiv 1$ in $B(0,1)$ and $\chi \equiv 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B(0,2)$. We have $\|Q_{(i,2)}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C e^{-tR}$, for $2 \leq p \leq 6$, for some constants $C, t > 0$. Taking $u \in \mathbb{S}^2$, we define

$$\psi_R = Q_1 + Q_2(\cdot - R^2 u).$$

Since $\|\psi_R\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \rightarrow \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$ when $R \rightarrow \infty$ we can write $\psi_R = \tilde{\psi}_R + \psi_R \tilde{\psi}_R$ with $\|\tilde{\psi}_R\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$ and $\|\psi_R - \tilde{\psi}_R\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} = O(e^{-tR})$ when $R \rightarrow \infty$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(\psi_R) &= \mathcal{E}(\tilde{\psi}_R) + O(e^{-tR}) \\ &= \mathcal{E}(Q_1) + \mathcal{E}(Q_2) + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K \star Q_{1,1}^2 Q_{2,1}^2(\cdot - R^2 u) + O(e^{-tR}) + O(e^{-tR^2}), \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$, where we used (7). We will now use the following lemma whose proof is postponed until the end of the argument.

Lemma 5. *Let $\phi \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then for all $u \in \mathbb{S}^2$,*

$$\sup_{v \in B(0,R)} K \star (|\phi|^2 \mathbf{1}_{B(0,R)})(R^2 u + v) \leq \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\phi|^2}{R^6} (\Omega(u) + o(1)),$$

as $R \rightarrow \infty$

We use the above lemma with u such that $\Omega(u) = \inf \Omega < 0$ and obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K \star Q_{1,1}^2 Q_{2,1}^2(\cdot - R^2 u) \leq \frac{1}{R^6} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q_{1,1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q_{2,1}^2 (\inf \Omega + o(1)).$$

Using this in (22) gives

$$\begin{aligned} E(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) &\leq \mathcal{E}(Q_1 + Q_2(\cdot - \alpha u)) \\ &\leq \mathcal{E}(Q_1) + \mathcal{E}(Q_2) + \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \frac{\inf \Omega}{R^6} + O(e^{-tR}) \\ &\leq E(\lambda_1) + E(\lambda_2) + \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \frac{\inf \Omega}{R^6} + O(e^{-tR}) \\ &< E(\lambda_1) + E(\lambda_2), \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts (21).

We conclude that $\lambda_2 = 0$ and that there exists $\{x_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $\{\psi_n(\cdot - x_n)\}$ is relatively compact in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for $p \in [2, 6)$. We can obtain a minimizer by extracting a converging subsequence. By a classical argument we indeed have strong convergence in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Proof of Lemma 5. We extend the function Ω to the whole of \mathbb{R}^3 by $\Omega(x) = \Omega(x/|x|)$. Let ω be the modulus of uniform continuity of Ω in $B(0, 2) \setminus B(0, 1/2)$. For $u \in \mathbb{S}^2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^3 \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\Omega(R^2 u + v - y)}{|R^2 u + v - y|^3} |\phi(y)|^2 dy - \Omega(u) \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\phi|^2}{R^6} \right| \\ \leq \left| \int_{B(0,R)} \frac{\Omega(u + (v - y)/R^2) - \Omega(u)}{|u + (v - y)/R^2|^3} |\phi(y)|^2 dy \right| \\ + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Omega(u) \left(\frac{1}{|u + (v - y)/R^2|^3} - 1 \right) |\phi(y)|^2 dy \right| \\ \leq \left(\frac{\omega(2R^{-1})}{(1 - 2R^{-1})^3} + 2\|\Omega\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{S}^2)} R^{-1} \frac{3}{(1 - 2R^{-1})^4} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\phi|^2 \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

as $R \rightarrow \infty$, which concludes the proof. \square

3.5. Non-existence of minimizers for $0 < \lambda < \lambda_c$. Assume there is a solution Q to the minimization problem for $0 < \lambda < \lambda_c$, then by definition of $\lambda_c(b)$ we have $E(\lambda) = 0$. Moreover, Q satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equation (9) with some chemical potential $\mu < 0$. Then, the same computation as in (20) shows that $\lambda' \mapsto E(\lambda', b)$ is decreasing in a neighborhood of λ contradicting the fact that $\lambda < \lambda_c$

3.6. Existence of minimizer, for $\lambda = \lambda_c$. For the existence in the case $\lambda = \lambda_c$, we take a sequence $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ with $\lambda_n > \lambda$ for all $n \geq 1$ and consider minimizers for the problem with mass constraint λ_n : for all $n \geq 1$ we take some $\psi_n \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\psi_n|^2 = \lambda_n$ and $\mathcal{E}(\psi_n) = E(\lambda_n, b)$. For all n , ψ_n verifies the Euler-Lagrange equation (9) for some $\mu_n < 0$. We will use Lemma 4, assume first that $\mathbf{m}(\{\psi_n\}) = 0$, then, with the notations of Lemma 2, $I(\psi_n) \rightarrow 0$ and $Q(\psi_n) \rightarrow 0$ from which we deduce, by Lemma 2, that $T(\psi_n) \rightarrow 0$. But by the Sobolev inequality we have

$$|I(\psi_n)| \leq C \|\psi_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\nabla \psi_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^3 = C \lambda_n^{1/2} T(\psi_n)^{3/2},$$

hence $I(\psi_n) = o(T(\psi_n))$ and $\mathcal{E}(\psi_n) \geq 0$. This contradicts the fact that $E(\lambda_n, b) < 0$ for all n . This proves that $\mathbf{m}(\{\psi_n\}) > 0$, and we can show as before that there is some $\lambda_1 \leq \liminf\{\lambda_n\} = \lambda_c$ such that there is some solution Q_1 to the minimization problem with constraint λ_1 . But since there is no minimizer on $[0, \lambda_c)$, we necessarily have $\lambda_1 = \lambda_c$.

3.7. Upper bound on $\lambda_c(b)$ in the dipolar case. We now prove the upper bound (11) in the dipolar case. Following the computations done in [4], we use a Gaussian ansatz. We take $n = e_z$ in the definition of K_{dip} . For $\lambda, \sigma_\rho, \sigma_z > 0$ define

$$\psi_{\sigma_\rho, \sigma_z}^\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{8\lambda}{\pi^{3/2} \sigma_\rho^2 \sigma_z}} e^{-2\left(\frac{\rho^2}{\sigma_\rho^2} + \frac{z^2}{\sigma_z^2}\right)},$$

where (ρ, z) are the cylindrical coordinates. The normalization is such that $\|\psi_{\sigma_\rho, \sigma_z}^\lambda\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 = \lambda$. We have

$$\frac{\mathcal{E}(\psi_{\sigma_\rho, \sigma_z}^\lambda)}{2\lambda} = \frac{2}{\sigma_\rho^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma_z^2} - \frac{\lambda}{2^{1/2} \pi^{3/2} \sigma_\rho^2 \sigma_z} \left(b f\left(\frac{\sigma_\rho}{\sigma_z}\right) - 1 \right) + \frac{2^6 \lambda^{3/2}}{5^{5/2} \pi^{9/4} \sigma_\rho^3 \sigma_z^{3/2}},$$

where

$$f(x) = \frac{1 + 2x^2}{1 - x^2} - 3x^2 \frac{\tanh^{-1}(\sqrt{1 - x^2})}{(1 - x^2)^{3/2}}.$$

Denoting $\alpha = \sigma_\rho / \sigma_z$ and $Y = \sigma_\rho^{-2}$ we define

$$F_2(\lambda, Y, \alpha) = (2 + \alpha^2)Y - \lambda Y^{3/2} \frac{\alpha}{2^{1/2} \pi^{3/2}} (b f(\alpha) - 1) + \lambda^{3/2} Y^{9/4} \alpha^{3/2} \frac{2^6}{5^{5/2} \pi^{9/4}}.$$

We define

$$\lambda_1(\alpha) = \sup \{ \lambda > 0 \mid F_2(\lambda, Y, \alpha) \geq 0, \forall Y \geq 0 \}$$

which by the form of F_2 , exists, is unique and satisfies the following system

$$\begin{cases} F_2(\lambda_1(\alpha), Y_0, \alpha) = 0, \\ (\partial_Y F_2)(\lambda_1(\alpha), Y_0, \alpha) = 0, \end{cases}$$

for some $Y_0 > 0$. Solving this system gives

$$\lambda_1(\alpha) = \frac{\pi^{3/2} 2^{19/12}}{3^{3/2}} \frac{(2 + \alpha^2)^{3/2}}{\alpha (b f(\alpha) - 1)^{5/2}}.$$

Optimizing over α one can obtain numerically

$$\inf_{\alpha > 0} \lambda_1(\alpha) \leq 84.437 \frac{1}{(b - 1)^{5/2}}.$$

APPENDIX A. PROOF OF LEMMA 3

Let ψ be a minimizer of \mathcal{E} for the mass constraint $\lambda > 0$. By convexity of the gradient [19, Theorem 7.8] we have $\mathcal{E}(\psi) \geq \mathcal{E}(|\psi|)$. Hence $|\psi|$ also minimizes \mathcal{E} and satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equation (9), therefore, without loss of generality we can assume $\psi \geq 0$.

Regularity and positivity. Following the proof of [20, Lemma A.5], for $t > 0$, we rewrite (9) as

$$(-\Delta + t^2)\psi = -(W - \mu - t^2)\psi, \quad (23)$$

with $W = (\psi^2 + bK \star \psi^2 + \psi^3)$. Solving the equation we find

$$\psi(x) := - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Y_t(x-y) (W(y) - \mu - t^2) \psi(y) dy, \quad (24)$$

where $Y_t(x) = (4\pi|x|)^{-1}e^{-t|x|}$ is the Yukawa potential. We want to prove that $-\Delta\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, by the Euler-Lagrange equation it suffices to show that $\psi \in L^8(\mathbb{R}^3)$. But since $\psi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ we have $W\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and deduce from the Euler-Lagrange equation that $-\Delta\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. The usual bootstrapping argument and (7) give $\psi \in H^k(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for all $k \geq 1$ and hence $\psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

In particular we have $-\Delta\psi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, using that $\psi \geq 0$ and applying Harnack's inequality [11, Theorem 8.20] we deduce that if ψ vanishes then $\psi = 0$ which is excluded by assumption ($\mathcal{E}(\psi) < 0$), hence $\psi > 0$.

Exponential decay. Let $0 < t^2 < -\mu/2$. Before proving the exponential decay, we first need to show that $K \star |\psi|^2$ vanishes at infinity in the sense that

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists R_\varepsilon > 0 \text{ s.t. } \sup_{|x| > R_\varepsilon} \{K \star |\psi|^2(x)\} < \varepsilon. \quad (25)$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$, by the cancellation property (3) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} |K \star \psi^2(x)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\Omega((x-y)/|x-y|)}{|x-y|^3} (\psi(y)^2 - \psi(x)^2) dy \right| \\ &\leq \int_{B(x,\varepsilon)} \frac{|\Omega((x-y)/|x-y|)|}{|x-y|^{3-\alpha}} \|\psi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\psi\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \int_{B(x,\varepsilon)^c} \frac{|\Omega((x-y)/|x-y|)|}{|x-y|^3} \psi(y)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now using that $\Omega \in L^\infty(\mathbb{S}^2)$ and $\psi^2 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ we have for all $x \in B(0, R)$, for any $R > 0$,

$$|K \star \psi^2(x)| \leq C\varepsilon \|\Omega\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{S}^2)} \|\psi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\psi\|_{C^1(B(x,1))} + \int_{B(x,\varepsilon)^c} \frac{|\Omega((x-y)/|x-y|)|}{|x-y|^3} \psi(y)^2.$$

Note that the second term above tends to zero at infinity at fixed ε because, for instance, $\mathbb{1}_{|x| > \varepsilon} |x|^{-3}, \psi^2 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, this proves that $K \star |\psi|^2$ tends to zero at infinity. Hence W vanishes at ∞ and from (23) we deduce that

$$(-\Delta + t^2)(\psi - CY_t) \leq 0, \quad \text{in } B(0, R)^c,$$

for R large enough and $C > 0$. Since ψ is bounded, we can find $C > 0$ so that $\psi - CY_t \leq 0$ in $B(0, R)$ (and in particular on $\partial B(0, R)$), applying the maximum principle shows that $\psi \leq CY_t$, in the whole \mathbb{R}^3 and conclude the proof.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. BAILLIE, R. WILSON, R. BISSET, AND P. BLAKIE, *Self-bound dipolar droplet: A localized matter wave in free space*, Physical Review A, 94 (2016), p. 021602.
- [2] W. BAO, N. B. ABDALLAH, AND Y. CAI, *Gross-Pitaevskii-Poisson equations for dipolar Bose-Einstein condensate with anisotropic confinement*, SIAM J. Math. Anal, 44 (2012), pp. 1713–1741.
- [3] W. BAO, Y. CAI, AND H. WANG, *Efficient numerical methods for computing ground states and dynamics of dipolar Bose-Einstein condensates*, J. Comput. Phys., 229 (2010), pp. 7874–7892.
- [4] R. BISSET, R. WILSON, D. BAILLIE, AND P. BLAKIE, *Ground-state phase diagram of a dipolar condensate with quantum fluctuations*, Physical Review A, 94 (2016), p. 033619.
- [5] R. CARLES AND H. HAJAIEJ, *Complementary study of the standing wave solutions of the gross-pitaevskii equation in dipolar quantum gases*, Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society, (2015), p. bdv024.
- [6] R. CARLES, P. A. MARKOWICH, AND C. SPARBER, *On the Gross-Pitaevskii equation for trapped dipolar quantum gases*, Nonlinearity, 21 (2008), pp. 2569–2590.
- [7] L. CHOMAZ, S. BAIER, D. PETTER, M. MARK, F. WÄCHTLER, L. SANTOS, AND F. FERLAINO, *Quantum-fluctuation-driven crossover from a dilute Bose-Einstein condensate to a macrodroplet in a dipolar quantum fluid*, Physical Review X, 6 (2016), p. 041039.

- [8] L. CHOMAZ, R. BIJNEN, D. PETTER, G. FARAONI, S. BAIER, J. H. BECHER, M. J. MARK, F. WAECHTLER, L. SANTOS, AND F. FERLAINO, *Observation of roton mode population in a dipolar quantum gas*, Nature physics, 14 (2018), p. 442.
- [9] J. DUOANDIKOETXEA, *Fourier analysis, volume 29 of graduate studies in mathematics*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, (2001).
- [10] I. FERRIER-BARBUT, H. KADAU, M. SCHMITT, M. WENZEL, AND T. PFAU, *Observation of quantum droplets in a strongly dipolar bose gas*, Physical Review Letters, 116 (2016), p. 215301.
- [11] D. GILBARG AND N. S. TRUDINGER, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, Springer, 2015.
- [12] H. KADAU, M. SCHMITT, M. WENZEL, C. WINK, T. MAIER, I. FERRIER-BARBUT, AND T. PFAU, *Observing the Rosensweig instability of a quantum ferrofluid*, Nature, 530 (2016), p. 194.
- [13] T. KOCH, T. LAHAYE, J. METZ, B. FRÖHLICH, A. GRIESMAIER, AND T. PFAU, *Stabilization of a purely dipolar quantum gas against collapse*, Nature Physics, 4 (2008), pp. 218–222.
- [14] T. LAHAYE, C. MENOTTI, L. SANTOS, M. LEWENSTEIN, AND T. PFAU, *The physics of dipolar bosonic quantum gases*, Rep. Prog. Phys., 72 (2009), p. 126401.
- [15] T. LAHAYE, J. METZ, B. FROEHLICH, T. KOCH, M. MEISTER, A. GRIESMAIER, T. PFAU, H. SAITO, Y. KAWAGUCHI, AND M. UEDA, *d-wave collapse and explosion of a dipolar Bose-Einstein condensate*, Physical review letters, 101 (2008), p. 080401.
- [16] T. D. LEE, K. HUANG, AND C. N. YANG, *Eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of a Bose system of hard spheres and its low-temperature properties*, Physical Review, 106 (1957), p. 1135.
- [17] M. LEWIN, *Variational Methods in Quantum Mechanics*. Lecture notes (University of Cergy-Pontoise), 2010.
- [18] E. H. LIEB, *On the lowest eigenvalue of the Laplacian for the intersection of two domains*, Invent. Math., 74 (1983), pp. 441–448.
- [19] E. H. LIEB AND M. LOSS, *Analysis*, vol. 14 of Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Amer. Math. Soc, Providence, RI, second ed., 2001.
- [20] E. H. LIEB, R. SEIRINGER, AND J. YNGVASON, *Bosons in a trap: A rigorous derivation of the Gross-Pitaevskii energy functional*, Phys. Rev. A, 61 (2000), p. 043602.
- [21] A. R. LIMA AND A. PELSTER, *Quantum fluctuations in dipolar Bose gases*, Physical Review A, 84 (2011), p. 041604.
- [22] ———, *Beyond mean-field low-lying excitations of dipolar Bose gases*, Physical Review A, 86 (2012), p. 063609.
- [23] P.-L. LIONS, *The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The locally compact case, Part I*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, 1 (1984), pp. 109–149.
- [24] ———, *The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The locally compact case, Part II*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, 1 (1984), pp. 223–283.
- [25] Y. LUO AND A. STYLIANOU, *A dipolar Gross-Pitaevskii equation with quantum fluctuations: Self-bound states*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1806.00697, (2018).
- [26] ———, *On 3d dipolar bose-einstein condensates involving quantum fluctuations and three-body interactions*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1902.05591, (2019).
- [27] D. PETROV, *Quantum mechanical stabilization of a collapsing Bose-Bose mixture*, Physical Review Letters, 115 (2015), p. 155302.
- [28] L. SANTOS, G. SHLYAPNIKOV, P. ZOLLER, AND M. LEWENSTEIN, *Bose-Einstein condensation in trapped dipolar gases*, Physical Review Letters, 85 (2000), p. 1791.
- [29] M. SCHMITT, M. WENZEL, F. BÖTTCHER, I. FERRIER-BARBUT, AND T. PFAU, *Self-bound droplets of a dilute magnetic quantum liquid*, Nature, 539 (2016), p. 259.
- [30] A. TRIAY, *Derivation of the dipolar Gross-Pitaevskii energy*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 50 (2018), pp. 33–63.
- [31] F. WÄCHTLER AND L. SANTOS, *Quantum filaments in dipolar Bose-Einstein condensates*, Physical Review A, 93 (2016), p. 061603.