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After his meeting with Alexander von Humboldt in 1826, Goethe wrote in a happy mood that this many-sidedness man “has not his equal in knowledge and living wisdom. (...) He is like a fountain with many spouts, under which you need only hold a vessel; refreshing and inexhaustible streams are ever flowing.”

Considering the development of science since the early 19th Century, to what extent Humboldt’s work is still relevant today?

Humboldt measuring the world for the progress of science

As presented in the novel of Daniel Kehlmann,2 Alexander von Humboldt was concerned with the measurement of the world and constantly took readings during his journeys. All that is noticed in his Reisetagebücher, diaries which are likely to contain the world, according to the title of Marie-Noëlle Bourguet’s book: Le monde dans un carnet. This can be illustrated by the writing posture hold by Humboldt on Eduard Hildebrandt’s painting, depicting him in his office in Berlin. Humboldt was fully aware of the role of Enlightenment for the development of science. In 1799 in Madrid, when the king gave him the permission to undertake his American journey of exploration in territories under Spain’s control, he appreciated a decision taken with the “liberality of ideas which characterizes our century, and to which we owe the rapid progress of human knowledge.”

Convinced that all results of observation can be reduced to numerical relations,4 Humboldt wrote to Gotthelf Fischer von Waldheim on March 20, 1837: “J’ai la fureur des chiffres exacts”.5 Furthermore, he was proud of his instrument-based scientific approach as shown particularly in the paintings on which he is depicted. Eduard Ender represents Bonpland and Humboldt in the Amazon with their scientific instruments, which enabled them to make multiple in-situ measurements. Friedrich Georg Weitsch draws Humboldt showing a sextant to a Native American at the bottom of Mount Chiborazo.

Humboldt as a successful scientist: his main discoveries and innovations

Humboldt is exemplary because he did research with a great scientific rigor. He was very successful regarding his discoveries and innovations, among others:

- the theory concerning the periodic swarm of meteors and the rain of shooting stars of the Leonid meteor;
- the discovery of the fluvial system Amazon/Orinoco in its complexity;
- the law of the decrease in mean temperature with the increase in elevation above the sea-level;
- the opening of new research fields as geography of plants, scientific orography (wissenschaftliche Gebirgskunde), or early American studies (Altamerikanistik);
- the invention of isotherm lines.

**Humboldt’s vision of cosmos**

However, Humboldt’s work is not reducible to one of these innovations or discoveries: it is driven by his vision of cosmos, which is also the title of his *opus magnum*. The use of this notion, with its metaphysic connotations, may seem surprising and far away from all scientific approach. But Humboldt moved away from speculations, like those of Kepler f.e. concerning the analogy between musical interval ratios and distances between planets. In line with the Enlightenment reason, he retains only the poetic character of the Harmony of the Spheres: the *aura caelestis* as the subtle and serene air that fills the world. So he manages to separate what is scientific from other and more poetic considerations.

**The Humboldttian cosmos at the origin of ecology**

Humboldt’s cosmos is characterized by unity in diversity. No plant, no animal can be considered as an isolated specimen. Instead of classifying them into many categories, he splits them according to the climate and their environment. Each one belongs to the complex network of life. Ernst Haeckel, the founder of ecology as a “science of the relations of an organism with its environment”, borrowed to Humboldt the idea of a coherent whole constituted by complex interactions. Linked with ecology, this notion of cosmos remains topical. So Humboldt discovered the idea of the ‘keystone species’ long before Robert Paine forged the concept in 1969. It refers to a species with a large effect on its natural environment. Regarding the Mauritia palm, the so-called ‘tree of life’, Humboldt and Bonpland “observed with astonishment how many things are connected with the existence of a single plant”. This palm perfectly symbolizes the nature as a living organism. Furthermore, Humboldt was the first to explain the crucial role of the forest in humidifying the atmosphere, holding the water, and protecting the soil against erosion. Already in his day, Humboldt warned of harmful consequences of deforestation caused by human activity on future generations:

> “By cutting down the trees that cover the summit and the side of the mountains, men in all climates seem to bring upon future generations two calamities at once – a want of fuel and a scarcity of water”.

This is one more reason to see in Humboldt a precursor of ecology. What a pity that even the glacier of the Pico Humboldt, located in the Andes and named after him, will melt quickly and should disappear shortly!

**The Humboldttian geography: connecting science, cosmopolitics and geo-poetics**

But beyond nature, Humboldt was aware that social, economic and political problems are intrinsically linked to environmental issues. For him, all the natural, cultural and social phenomena are interdependent: continents, oceans, atmosphere, vegetation, stars, visions of the world and the arts. He considers these elements as a whole. Humboldt gradually develops his vision of the cosmos starting from what Laure Péaud calls a “connective geography”, a geography considering its relationship to the world, based on the interactions between all natural and social facts.

This new vision connects fields as different as cosmopolitics, physical description of the world, and geo-poetics. The physical description of the world (*physische Weltbeschreibung*)
represents a science of the whole, at the interface of humans and nature, shaped by both social and natural facts.

Cosmopolitics mean for Humboldt the concrete and political application of his project, namely the transmission of knowledge useful to human beings and governments to ensure the progress of humanity. Works as Cosmos are written to be understood by scientists and amateurs. From this point of view, Humboldt pursues one of the Enlightenment ideals, that knowledge, to make sense, shall be communicated to the people. For Humboldt, if the dissemination of knowledge must be useful, it is not necessarily the case of science itself, which shall exist outside of any utilitarian function:

“In an age when fruits are often expected before flowering and much people seem to despise things because they do not directly heal wounds, fertilize the field, or drive the wheels of the mill (...) we forget that sciences have an inner purpose and we lose sight of its literary interest, the aspiration for knowledge as knowledge. Mathematics cannot lose its dignity if it is regarded as the mere object of speculation, as inapplicable to solve practical tasks. Anything that extends the boundaries of our knowledge and offers to the mind new objects of perception or new relationships between what is perceived is important.”

Connective geography is also linked with geo-poetics, a domain at the crossroads of Natur- and Geisteswissenschaften, apparently unusual for a scientist as him. But didn’t he claim to be a “man of letters” in his correspondence with Arago? As shown by Soraya Nour, Humboldt is close to the romanticist notion of ‘prescientific’ sympathy: for him, confused feelings, sensible intuition and reason are linked. Measuring nature does not cause necessarily its disenchantment and complete rationalization. Therefore, a book on nature must contain its objective as well as subjective side: our inner world is constructed by the impressions that nature provokes in us.

**Humboldt’s large-scale synthesis connecting worlds**

Is this recourse to sensibility and emotion really compatible with the supposed rationality of an Enlightenment man like Humboldt? Perhaps his opinion had changed after returning from his travel in America, during a time marked by the dissemination of Naturphilosophie. At the end of the 18th Century, Humboldt seems to be close to the ideas of Enlightenment. This is the case during the journey he did with Georg Forster on the Rhine, the Netherlands, England and France, from March 25 to July 11, 1790. Both were enthusiastic in the revolutionary Paris and went to the preparations for the Festival of the Federation at the Champ de Mars. This remarkable event suggested to him following lines: “The spectacle of the Parisians, their national gathering, that of their Temple of Liberty still unfinished for which I transported myself sand, all that floats in my soul like a dream”. This commitment during the French Revolution will continue to feed Humboldt’s fight against slavery, oppression of native people in Latin America, persecution of political opponents and Jews in Prussia.

But in parallel with his commitment to the humanist values of the Enlightenment, Humboldt’s holistic approach may be attributed, even partially, to the impact of Naturphilosophie. Did’nt Humboldt send his “Ideas Towards a Geography of Plants” to Schelling? But can we see him primarily as belonging to the romanticism? Opinions are much divided among critics: some asserting his belonging above all to Romanticism or enlightenment; some see a balance between both types of thought. This is the case of Soraya Nour for whom Humboldt’s project contributes to giving nature and life their place beside reason, to restore balance between them, without the supremacy of one over the other. So Humboldt realized the synthesis of the main European ideas of his time, while immersing himself in the experience of his long-distant journeys and the research conducted on this occasion. According to Ottmar Ette, the Biblioteca Americana Humboldt compiled and studied for many decades contributed to the emergence of a New World discourse.
Considering the broadness of his horizon, there is no doubt that he was able to bring different worlds together.\textsuperscript{21}

**Forster and Humboldt: towards mobility of knowledge**

What’s about the origin of this large-scale cross-disciplinary research? Doesn’t it derive from Georg Forster? The latter’s travelogue *Ansichten vom Niederrhein* (Views of the Lower Rhine), written after his trip with Humboldt, gathers very diverse considerations about landscapes and their inhabitants, political and economic relations, arts and religions. That is, indeed, very close to Humboldt’s global approach. As a matter of fact, Humboldt refers himself to Forster in the Preface of his *Essay on the Geography of Plants*:

“I conceived of this book during my earliest years. I gave a first sketch of a *Geography of Plants* in 1790 to Cook’s famous colleague, Mr. Georges Forster, whom I had close ties of friendship and gratefulness.”\textsuperscript{22}

In *Cosmos*, Humboldt pays homage again to Forster as the one who ushered in a new era in scientific travel:

“Through his influence there dawned a new era of scientific travel, having for its aim the comparison of various peoples and countries. Endowed with a keen aesthetic sense, and an imagination enriched by the freshly alive scenes presented to him by Tahiti and other happy islands of the Southern Seas, Georges Forster describes with a peculiar charm the varied glories of vegetation, the conditions of climate, the varieties of food in connection with the different habits of men (…). In his works we see the reflection of a mind characterized by originality, a love of truth, and an observant thoughtfulness, a mind replete with images derived from a view of Nature in her exotic loveliness.”\textsuperscript{23}

Humboldt borrows the term views (*Ansichten* or *Vues*) from Forster’s *Ansichten vom Niederrhein* and use it in some of his own publications: *Ansichten der Natur* (1808), *Vues des cordillères et monumens des peuples indigènes de l’Amérique* (1810-1813). Ottmar Ette connects the plural of these titles with “the fundamental infinitude of knowledge and science”, and “the open, unfinished character of his own thought, writing and publications”. For him, new “views of culture” are always superimposed on each other, to give birth to literary images in motion. The notion of *Ansichten* can be understood in the sense of a mobility of knowledge in the construction of a world to be continuously reinvented.\textsuperscript{24}

This mobility also affects languages and disciplines. Humboldt wrote plenty of letters in different languages to people from different disciplines and cultural areas.\textsuperscript{25} His works were written in French, German and Latin, but contain quotes and annotations in the most diverse languages of the Western world as well as idioms from other cultural areas. For him, no language is likely to represent everything. Doing so requires writing between worlds and languages, i.e. the ‘reflected multilingualism’ considered by Ottmar Ette as a challenge for the 21\textsuperscript{st} century.\textsuperscript{26} Another challenge for our time is interdisciplinarity. Humboldt merely personified it better than anyone, he, who considered himself as a stranger between scientific disciplines.

**Humboldt visionary**

Humboldt was not only a great scientist of his time, but also a visionary: his notion of cosmos is close to our ecological and planetary thought, taking in account the interdependency of all natural, cultural and social phenomena. His large-scale synthesis was based on a mobility of knowledge between cultures, languages and disciplines, which can be regarded as a nowadays challenge. If Wilhelm von Humboldt is broadly recognized as the father of the modern research university, perhaps his brother Alexander is well destined to build the philosophical basis for the University of the Future. Anyway, an actualization of his vision may be crucial for our own society facing the major issues of the planet.
Thanks to his great voyages of discovery in America and Russia, Humboldt was one of the few scientists of his day fully understanding the challenges of the second phase of accelerated globalization as defined by Ottmar Ette.\(^2\) His five-year trip to and across America is one of the first expeditions without a colonization purpose and fully funded by his own resources.\(^2\)

By travelling, he was able to explain the disastrous consequences of colonial exploitation on both environment and human beings. Do we need a new Humboldt to understand the issues of our current phase of accelerated globalization? Yesterday as much as today, it is important to remember that the protection of environment is closely linked to economic development, but also to social issues concerning the whole population.\(^2\)

I will finish with Humboldt’s notion of star friendship by referring to two of his quotes. In the first one, he calls Forster as the “brightest star of his youth”; in the second one, he salutes the Southern Cross, when he discovers it for the first time, as “a friend of whom we would have been separated for a long time.” For Sonja Neef, Humboldt’s stellar friendship is not necessarily built on proximity, but on distance, on an unfamiliar familiarity (\textit{eine unvertraute Vertrautheit})\(^3\). Indeed, if the journey with Forster was an unforgettable experience for Humboldt, the relationship between the two men was not always so easy. Despite these communication difficulties, the friendship with Forster brought him a lot. A comparable otherness appears between the nature and us. The starry sky or the view of the earth from the space that fascinates and shows also our vulnerability and that of the earth. Gayatri Chakravorty Spivak opposes globalization, which everywhere imposes the same system of exchange, and the planet as a unified natural space, a kind of otherness that we inhabit and on which we depend. It is necessary today to rethink Humboldt’s stellar friendship in its two dimensions: the intercultural dialogue and our relationship with the planet as a place to dwell that remains to be built.\(^3\)
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diese Anteilnahme noch durch besondere Gründe: religiöses Gefühl zieht sie zu einem Sternbild hin, dessen Gestalt an das Wahrzeichen des Glaubens mahnt, das ihre Väter in den Einöden der neuen Welt aufgepflanzt.”