



HAL
open science

On partition identities of Capparelli and Primc

Jehanne Dousse

► **To cite this version:**

Jehanne Dousse. On partition identities of Capparelli and Primc. *Advances in Mathematics*, 2020, 370, 10.1016/j.aim.2020.107245 . hal-02096711

HAL Id: hal-02096711

<https://hal.science/hal-02096711>

Submitted on 11 Apr 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

ON PARTITION IDENTITIES OF CAPPARELLI AND PRIMC

JEHANNE DOUSSE

ABSTRACT. We show that, up to multiplication by a factor $\frac{1}{(cq;q)_\infty}$, the weighted words version of Capparelli's identity is a particular case of the weighted words version of Primc's identity. We prove this first using recurrences, and then bijectively. We also give finite versions of both identities.

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF RESULTS

1.1. Historical background. A *partition* λ of a positive integer n is a non-increasing sequence of natural numbers whose sum is n , the partitions of 4 being $4, 3 + 1, 2 + 2, 2 + 1 + 1$, and $1 + 1 + 1 + 1$. The number n is called the *weight* of λ . Let us recall, for $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, the classical q -series notation

$$(a; q)_n := \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (1 - aq^k).$$

Connections between partition identities and representation theory have been a major subject of interest over the last few decades, beginning with Lepowsky and Wilson's representation theoretic proof of the famous Rogers-Ramanujan identities [LW84, LW85].

Theorem 1.1 (The Rogers-Ramanujan identities). *Let $i = 0$ or 1 . Then*

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{q^{n^2 + (1-i)n}}{(q; q)_n} = \frac{1}{(q^{2-i}; q^5)_\infty (q^{3+i}; q^5)_\infty}.$$

Lepowsky and Wilson showed that, after multiplying both sides of (1.1) by $(-q; q)_\infty$, the right-hand side is the principally specialised Weyl-Kac character formula for level 3 standard modules of $A_1^{(1)}$ [LM78a, LM78b], and the left-hand side corresponds to bases constructed from vertex operators.

The Rogers-Ramanujan identities can also be seen as combinatorial identities on partitions.

Theorem 1.2 (Rogers-Ramanujan, combinatorial version). *Let $i = 0$ or 1 . For all non-negative integers n , the number of partitions of n into parts differing by at least 2 and having at most i ones is equal to the number of partitions of n into parts congruent to $\pm(2 - i)$ modulo 5.*

The approach of Lepowsky and Wilson was then extended and modified by several authors to treat other levels and other Lie algebras, leading to many interesting new Rogers-Ramanujan type identities which were previously unknown to combinatorialists. For some examples, see [Cap93, Cap96, KR18, MP87, MP99, MP01, Pri94, PŠ16, Sil17] and the references therein. On the other hand, combinatorialists

have been working on combinatorial proofs and refinements of these new identities [AAG95, And92, Dou14, Dou17, DLar, DL18].

The purpose of this paper is to establish a connection between two partition identities from representation theory: Capparelli's identity and Primc's identity. Let us now present these two theorems in detail.

1.2. Capparelli's identity. A good example of the interplay between combinatorics and representation theory is Capparelli's identity, which was conjectured by Capparelli in [Cap93] by studying the Lie algebra $A_2^{(2)}$ at level 3.

Theorem 1.3 (Capparelli). *Let $C(n)$ denote the number of partitions of n into parts > 1 such that parts differ by at least 2, and at least 4 unless consecutive parts add up to a multiple of 3. Let $D(n)$ denote the number of partitions of n into distinct parts not congruent to $\pm 1 \pmod{6}$. Then for every positive integer n , $C(n) = D(n)$.*

The first proof was provided by Andrews in [And92] and used q -trinomial coefficients and recurrences. The identity was then proved bijectively, refined and generalized by Alladi, Andrews and Gordon in [AAG95], where they used the method of weighted words. Soon after, it was also proved via representation theoretic techniques by Capparelli in [Cap96] and by Tamba-Xie in [TX95]. In [MP99], Meurman and Primc later showed that one can recover Capparelli's identity by studying the $(1, 2)$ -specialisation of the character formula of the level 1 modules in $A_1^{(1)}$. Finally, more combinatorial work has been done concerning this identity in [BM15, BU15, DLar, FZ18, KR18, Sil04].

In this paper we focus on the weighted words approach of Alladi, Andrews and Gordon in [AAG95]. The principle is to prove a "non-dilated" version of Capparelli's identity on coloured partitions, which recovers the original identity under certain transformations called dilations. In addition to providing a refinement of Capparelli's identity, the advantage of this method is that one can perform other dilations and obtain infinitely many new combinatorial identities.

Let us now explain their method. Note that we put tildes on their original colour names, as we want to avoid any confusion with the new colours we will introduce in this paper to establish the connection with Primc's identity.

They considered partitions into natural numbers in three colours, \tilde{a} , \tilde{b} , and \tilde{c} , with no part $1_{\tilde{a}}$ or $1_{\tilde{b}}$, with the ordering

$$(1.2) \quad 2_{\tilde{b}} < 1_{\tilde{c}} < 2_{\tilde{a}} < 3_{\tilde{b}} < 2_{\tilde{c}} < 3_{\tilde{a}} < \dots,$$

satisfying the difference conditions in the matrix

$$(1.3) \quad \tilde{C} = \begin{matrix} & \tilde{a} & \tilde{b} & \tilde{c} \\ \tilde{a} & \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \tilde{b} & \\ \tilde{c} & \end{matrix}.$$

Here the entry (x, y) in the matrix C gives the minimal difference between successive parts of colours x and y .

They proved the following non-dilated version of Capparelli's identity.

Theorem 1.4 (Alladi-Andrews-Gordon, non-dilated version of Capparelli's identity). *Let $\tilde{C}(n; i, j)$ denote the number of partitions of n into coloured integers satisfying the difference conditions in the matrix \tilde{C} , with no part $1_{\tilde{a}}$ or $1_{\tilde{b}}$, having i parts coloured \tilde{a} and j parts coloured \tilde{b} . We have*

$$(1.4) \quad \sum_{n, i, j \geq 0} \tilde{C}(n; i, j) \tilde{a}^i \tilde{b}^j q^n = (-q; q)_{\infty} (-\tilde{a}q^2; q^2)_{\infty} (-\tilde{b}q^2; q^2)_{\infty}.$$

Note that to obtain an infinite product, one cannot keep track of the number of parts coloured \tilde{c} .

Under the dilations

$$q \rightarrow q^3, \quad \tilde{a} \rightarrow \tilde{a}q^{-2}, \quad \tilde{b} \rightarrow \tilde{b}q^{-4},$$

which correspond to the following transformations of the coloured integers

$$k_{\tilde{a}} \rightarrow (3k - 2)_{\tilde{a}}, \quad k_{\tilde{b}} \rightarrow (3k - 4)_{\tilde{b}}, \quad k_{\tilde{c}} \rightarrow (3k)_{\tilde{c}},$$

the order (1.10) becomes the natural ordering

$$2_{\tilde{b}} < 3_{\tilde{c}} < 4_{\tilde{a}} < 5_{\tilde{b}} < 6_{\tilde{c}} < 7_{\tilde{a}} < \dots,$$

and the difference conditions in the matrix C of (1.3) become

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{array}{c} \tilde{a} \quad \tilde{b} \quad \tilde{c} \\ \tilde{a} \begin{pmatrix} 6 & 2 & 4 \\ 4 & 6 & 5 \\ 5 & 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \tilde{b} \\ \tilde{c} \end{array}$$

which is simply another formulation of the difference conditions defining the partitions counted by $C(n)$ in Theorem 1.3. Under the same dilations, the infinite product in (1.4) becomes the generating function for the partitions counted by $D(n)$. With the two extra parameters a and b , this gives the following refinement of Capparelli's identity.

Corollary 1.5 (Alladi-Andrews-Gordon). *Let $C(n; i, j)$ and $D(n; i, j)$ denote the number of partitions counted by $C(n)$ and $D(n)$, respectively, in Theorem 1.3, having i parts congruent to 1 modulo 3 and j parts congruent to 2 modulo 3. Then for all $n, i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, $C(n; i, j) = D(n; i, j)$.*

1.3. Primc's identity. We now describe Primc's identity and its weighted word version.

In [Pri00], Primc established a connection between the difference conditions in certain vertex operator constructions and energy functions of certain perfect crystals. He further developed his ideas in [Pri99] to prove new partition identities arising from crystal base theory. His approach relies not only on the Weyl-Kac character formula as was done by Lepowsky and Wilson, but also on the crystal base character formula of Kang, Kashiwara, Misra, Miwa, Nakashima and Nakayashiki [KKM⁺92].

Here, we focus on one of the identities of [Pri99]. Consider partitions into natural numbers in four colours a, b, c, d , with the ordering

$$(1.6) \quad 1_a < 1_b < 1_c < 1_d < 2_a < 2_b < 2_c < 2_d < \dots,$$

satisfying the difference conditions in the matrix

$$(1.7) \quad P = \begin{matrix} & a & b & c & d \\ \begin{matrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix}.$$

Primc conjectured the following.

Theorem 1.6 (Primc). *We have*

$$\sum_{\lambda} q^{\sum_{k \geq 1} ((2k-1)A_k(\lambda) + 2k(B_k(\lambda) + C_k(\lambda)) + (2k+1)D_k(\lambda))} = \frac{1}{(q; q)_{\infty}},$$

where the sum is over the coloured partitions λ satisfying the difference conditions given by (1.7) and where $A_k(\lambda)$ (resp. $B_k(\lambda), C_k(\lambda), D_k(\lambda)$) denotes the number of parts k of colour a (resp. b, c, d) in λ .

In other words, if the coloured integers in (1.6) are transformed by

$$(1.8) \quad k_a \rightarrow (2k-1)_a, \quad k_b \rightarrow (2k)_b, \quad k_c \rightarrow (2k)_c, \quad k_d \rightarrow (2k+1)_d,$$

the generating function for the resulting coloured partitions with the difference conditions given by

$$P_{dil2} = \begin{matrix} & a & b & c & d \\ \begin{matrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 3 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix},$$

where one does not keep track of the number of parts of each colour, is equal to the generating function for ordinary partitions.

In [DL18], Lovejoy and the author proved a non-dilated version of Primc's theorem, using the author's new variant of the method of weighted words [Dou18, Dou17].

Theorem 1.7 (Dousse-Lovejoy, non-dilated version of Primc's identity).

Let $P(n; k, \ell, m)$ denote the number of four-coloured partitions of n with the ordering (1.6) and matrix of difference conditions (1.7), having k parts coloured a , ℓ parts coloured c , and m parts coloured d . Then

$$\sum_{n, k, \ell, m \geq 0} P(n; k, \ell, m) q^n a^k c^{\ell} d^m = \frac{(-aq; q^2)_{\infty} (-dq; q^2)_{\infty}}{(q; q)_{\infty} (cq; q^2)_{\infty}}.$$

Performing the dilations

$$(1.9) \quad q \rightarrow q^2, \quad a \rightarrow aq^{-1}, \quad c \rightarrow c, \quad d \rightarrow dq,$$

one obtains a refinement of Primc's Theorem 1.6.

1.4. Statement of results. The goal of this paper is to establish a correspondence between Capparelli's and Primc's identities. To do so, we will only consider non-dilated versions of these theorems, such as Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.7. Indeed, we have just seen that this is more general and that the original theorems can be recovered under particular dilations. From now on, we shall not explicitly write "non-dilated version" anymore, as all theorems considered are at the non-dilated level.

When looking at the difference condition matrices for Capparelli's identity (1.3) and Primc's identity (1.7), one can argue that they do not seem very similar: they do not have the same size, and their entries do not seem to exhibit any similar pattern. From a representation theoretic point of view, the two identities do not seem to be related a priori either: Primc's identity comes from the study of crystal bases of $A_1^{(1)}$, while Capparelli's identity does not seem related to crystal bases and originated from a vertex operator construction on the level 3 modules of $A_2^{(2)}$ (though Meurman and Primc established a connection with $A_1^{(1)}$ in [MP99]).

But when looking at the infinite products, we observe some similarities: the product in Theorem 1.4 is

$$(-q; q)_\infty (-\tilde{a}q^2; q^2)_\infty (-\tilde{b}q^2; q^2)_\infty,$$

while the one in Theorem 1.7 is

$$\frac{(-aq; q^2)_\infty (-dq; q^2)_\infty}{(q; q)_\infty (cq; q^2)_\infty}.$$

If we set $c = 1$, $a = \tilde{a}q$, $d = \tilde{b}q$ in the second one, we obtain exactly the first one multiplied by the factor $\frac{1}{(q; q)_\infty}$, which hints at a potential connection between the two theorems.

However, a simple connection between the infinite products doesn't necessarily mean that there is a simple connection between the difference conditions of both theorems. For example, the non-dilated version of Schur's theorem [AG93] involves simple difference conditions on integers in 3 colours, while the non-dilated version [Dou17] of Siladić's theorem [Sil17] – another partition identity from representation theory – involves quite intricate difference conditions on integers in 8 colours. Nonetheless, they both have the same infinite product generating function $(-aq; q)_\infty (-bq; q)_\infty$. Very recently, Konan gave a bijective proof of the non-dilated version of Siladić's theorem [Kon18], shedding light on the connection between the two identities.

In this paper, we show that, up to the $\frac{1}{(q; q)_\infty}$ above-mentioned factor, Capparelli's identity is actually the particular case $b = c$ of Primc's identity. But before stating our main theorem rigorously, let us rewrite Capparelli's identity in a form that makes the connection with Primc's identity more explicit.

Start from Theorem 1.4 and do the following transformations on coloured integers in (1.2):

$$k_{\tilde{a}} \rightarrow (k-1)_d, \quad k_{\tilde{b}} \rightarrow (k-1)_a, \quad k_{\tilde{c}} \rightarrow k_c,$$

which corresponds to the following transformations in the generating functions:

$$\tilde{a} = dq^{-1}, \quad \tilde{b} = aq^{-1}, \quad \tilde{c} = c.$$

We obtain partitions into natural numbers in three colours, a , c , and d , the ordering (1.2) becomes

$$(1.10) \quad 1_a < 1_c < 1_d < 2_a < 2_c < 2_d < \dots,$$

and the difference condition matrix (1.3) becomes

$$(1.11) \quad C = \begin{matrix} & a & c & d \\ a & \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \\ c & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \\ d & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix}.$$

Thus Theorem 1.4 can be reformulated as follows.

Theorem 1.8 (Reformulation of Capparelli’s identity). *Let $C(n; i, j)$ denote the number of partitions of n into coloured integers satisfying the difference conditions in matrix C , having i parts coloured a and j parts coloured d . We have*

$$\sum_{n, i, j \geq 0} C(n; i, j) a^i d^j q^n = (-q)_\infty (-aq; q^2)_\infty (-dq; q^2)_\infty.$$

To recover Theorem 1.3 and Corollary 1.5 from Theorem 1.8, one should now perform the dilations

$$q \rightarrow q^3, \quad a \rightarrow aq^{-1}, \quad d \rightarrow dq.$$

The matrix C from Theorem 1.8 also appeared in Primc’s paper [Pri99] as the energy matrix of an “almost perfect” $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})^\sim$ -crystal (see Section 5 for details), together with identities for the particular dilations $q \rightarrow q^2$, $a \rightarrow q^{-1}$, $d \rightarrow q$ and $q \rightarrow q^3$, $a \rightarrow q^{-2}$, $d \rightarrow q^2$. However, Primc said that these identities are ‘*not related to the crystal base theory, at least not in any obvious way.*’ Indeed, they were proved by him and Meurman in [MP99] by using the vertex operator algebra construction for the basic $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})^\sim$ -module.

In this paper we show that Theorem 1.8 (and thus also the identities mentioned by Primc) is actually connected to the crystal base theory, as it is a particular case of Theorem 1.7 (the non-dilated version of Primc’s identity coming from crystal base theory).

Let us state our main theorem. Define $G_k^C(q; a, c, d)$ to be the generating function for partitions into coloured integers (1.10) satisfying the difference conditions from Capparelli’s identity (1.11), with the added condition that the largest part is at most k . In the same way, define $G_k^P(q; a, b, c, d)$ to be the generating function for partitions into coloured integers (1.6) satisfying the difference conditions from Primc’s identity (1.7), with the added condition that the largest part is at most k . In these generating functions, the power of a (resp. b, c, d) counts the number of parts coloured a (resp. b, c, d) in the partition.

Theorem 1.9. *For all positive integers k , we have*

$$\frac{G_k^C(q; a, c, d)}{(cq; q)_k} = G_k^P(q; a, c, c, d).$$

Remark. In Theorem 1.8, one needs to set the variable c to be equal to 1 (i.e. not keep track of the number of parts coloured c) to obtain an infinite product generating function. Similarly, in Theorem 1.7, one needs to set the variable b to be equal to 1 (i.e. not keep track of the number of parts coloured b). However we

see here that the generating functions $G_k^C(q; a, c, d)$ and $G_k^P(q; a, c, c, d)$ are equal even when keeping track of all the statistics a, c, d from Capparelli's identity.

In terms of partitions, Theorem 1.9 can be expressed in the following way. Let us define \mathcal{C} (resp. \mathcal{P}) to be the set of coloured partitions satisfying the order (1.10) (resp. (1.6)) and difference conditions (1.11) (resp. (1.7)).

Theorem 1.10 (Combinatorial version). *Let $\mathcal{C}(n; k; i, j, \ell)$ denote the number of partition pairs (λ, μ) of total weight n , where $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}$ and μ is an unrestricted partition coloured c , having in total i parts coloured a , j parts coloured c , ℓ parts coloured d , and largest part at most k . Let $\mathcal{P}(n; k; i, j, \ell)$ denote the number of partitions $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$ of weight n , having i parts coloured a , j parts coloured b or c , ℓ parts coloured d , and largest part at most k . Then for all positive integers n and k and all non-negative integers i, j, ℓ ,*

$$\mathcal{C}(n; k; i, j, \ell) = \mathcal{P}(n; k; i, j, \ell).$$

Thanks to Theorem 1.9, Capparelli's identity is now a corollary of Primc's identity. Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n, i, j \geq 0} \mathcal{C}(n; i, j) a^i d^j q^n &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} G_k^C(q; a, 1, d) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (q; q)_k G_k^P(q; a, 1, 1, d) \\ &= (q; q)_\infty \sum_{n, i, j, \ell \geq 0} P(n; i, \ell, j) q^n a^i d^j \\ &= \frac{(-aq; q^2)_\infty (-dq; q^2)_\infty}{(q; q^2)_\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Even though the variable c (resp. b) needs to be set equal to 1 in Capparelli's (resp. Primc's) identity to obtain an infinite product, Theorem 1.9 highlights the importance of these variables. Therefore it is interesting to find a formula for the generating functions of Capparelli's and Primc's identities with all colour variables. Moreover, finding finite versions of partition identities has been a subject of interest in the recent years (see, e.g., [BU18] and [GJZ09]). We now present a finite version of both theorems with all colour variables.

Theorem 1.11 (Finite version of Primc's identity). *We have, for every positive integer k ,*

$$G_k^P(q; a, b, c, d) = (1 - bq^{k+1}) \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} \frac{u_j(a, b, c, d) q^{\binom{k+1-j}{2}}}{(q; q)_{k+1-j}},$$

where for all $n \geq 0$,

$$u_{2n}(a, b, c, d) = (1 - b) \sum_{\ell=0}^n \frac{(-aq^{2\ell+1}; q^2)_{n-\ell} (-dq^{2\ell+1}; q^2)_{n-\ell}}{(bq^{2\ell}; q^2)_{n-\ell+1} (cq^{2\ell+1}; q^2)_{n-\ell}} \frac{q^{2\ell}}{(q; q)_{2\ell}},$$

and

$$u_{2n+1}(a, b, c, d) = (b - 1) \sum_{\ell=0}^n \frac{(-aq^{2\ell+2}; q^2)_{n-\ell} (-dq^{2\ell+2}; q^2)_{n-\ell}}{(bq^{2\ell+1}; q^2)_{n-\ell+1} (cq^{2\ell+2}; q^2)_{n-\ell}} \frac{q^{2\ell+1}}{(q; q)_{2\ell+1}}.$$

Theorem 1.12 (Finite version of Capparelli's identity). *We have, for every positive integer k ,*

$$G_k^C(q; a, c, d) = (cq; q)_{k+1} \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} \frac{u_j(a, c, c, d) q^{\binom{k+1-j}{2}}}{(q; q)_{k+1-j}},$$

where the sequence $(u_n(a, b, c, d))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is defined as in Theorem 1.11.

Therefore, when $b = 1$, Theorem 1.11 becomes

Corollary 1.13. *We have, for every positive integer k ,*

$$G_k^P(q; a, 1, c, d) = (1 - q^{k+1}) \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \frac{(-aq; q^2)_j (-dq; q^2)_j}{(q^2; q^2)_j (cq; q^2)_j} \frac{q^{\binom{k+1-j}{2}}}{(q; q)_{k+1-j}},$$

and Theorem 1.12 becomes

Corollary 1.14. *We have, for every positive integer k ,*

$$G_k^C(q; a, 1, d) = (q; q)_{k+1} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \frac{(-aq; q^2)_j (-dq; q^2)_j}{(q^2; q^2)_j (cq; q^2)_j} \frac{q^{\binom{k+1-j}{2}}}{(q; q)_{k+1-j}}.$$

It is then easy to recover the infinite product form by performing the change of variable $j = \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - j$, letting k go to infinity, and using the fact that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \frac{q^{\binom{2j}{2}}}{(q; q)_{2j}} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \frac{q^{\binom{2j+1}{2}}}{(q; q)_{2j+1}} = (-q; q)_{\infty}.$$

The remainder of the paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, we give an elementary proof of Theorem 1.9 using recurrences. In Section 3, we prove the finite versions of Primc's and Capparelli's identities (Theorems 1.11 and 1.12), again using recurrences. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.10 bijectively. Finally, in Section 5, we conclude with some remarks related to crystals.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.9 USING RECURRENCES

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.9 by establishing recurrence equations using the difference condition matrices C from (1.11) and P from (1.7).

In addition to $G_k^C(q; a, c, d)$ and $G_k^P(q; a, b, c, d)$ defined in the introduction, we also define $E_k^C(q; a, c, d)$ (resp. $E_k^P(q; a, b, c, d)$) to be the generating function for partitions into coloured integers (1.10) (resp. (1.6)) satisfying the difference conditions from Capparelli's identity (1.11) (resp. Primc's identity (1.7)), with the added condition that the largest part is equal to k . When there is no risk of confusion, we will omit the variables a, b, c, d, q and write only $G_k^C, E_k^C, G_k^P, E_k^P$.

We start with Capparelli's identity. By using the order (1.10) and the difference conditions from (1.11), we do a classical combinatorial reasoning on the largest part of the partition and obtain the following.

Lemma 2.1. *For all $k \geq 1$, we have*

$$(2.1a) \quad G_{k_d}^C - G_{k_c}^C = E_{k_d}^C = dq^k \left(E_{k_a}^C + G_{(k-1)_c}^C \right),$$

$$(2.1b) \quad G_{k_c}^C - G_{k_a}^C = E_{k_c}^C = cq^k G_{(k-1)_c}^C,$$

$$(2.1c) \quad G_{k_a}^C - G_{(k-1)_d}^C = E_{k_a}^C = aq^k G_{(k-2)_d}^C.$$

Proof: We prove (2.1a), and the other two equations work in the same way. The equality $G_{k_d}^C - G_{k_c}^C = E_{k_d}^C$ follows directly from the definitions and the order (1.10). Now, in a partition counted by $E_{k_d}^C$ we remove the largest part k_d , giving the factor dq^k . Using the difference conditions in (1.11), we see that the largest part in the resulting partition could be either k_a or a part at most $(k-1)_c$. This gives the terms $E_{k_a} + G_{(k-1)_c}$. \square

Moreover, to obtain the correct values for G_k^C and E_k^C for all coloured integers k , we need the initial conditions

$$\begin{aligned} E_{0_a}^C &= E_{0_c}^C = E_{0_d}^C = 0, \\ G_{0_a}^C &= G_{0_c}^C = G_{0_d}^C = 1, \\ G_{-1_d}^C &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Note that essentially the same reasoning as in Lemma 2.1 has already been made by Andrews in [And92] and Alladi, Andrews, and Gordon in [AAG95], but at the dilated level. Performing a chain of substitutions in the equations (2.1a)–(2.1c) as in [And92], we obtain the following recurrence equation for $k \geq 1$:

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} G_{k_d}^C &= (1 + cq^k) G_{(k-1)_d}^C + (aq^k + dq^k + adq^{2k}) G_{(k-2)_d}^C \\ &\quad + adq^{2k-1} (1 - cq^{k-1}) G_{(k-3)_d}^C. \end{aligned}$$

Together with the initial conditions

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} G_{0_a}^C &= 1, \\ G_{-1_d}^C &= 1, \\ G_{-2_d}^C &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

the recurrence equation (2.2) completely determines $G_k^C(q; a, c, d) = G_{k_d}^C$ for $k \geq 1$.

Let us now introduce the sequence $(H_k(q; a, b, c, d))$ defined by the following recurrence equation for $k \geq 0$:

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} (1 - cq^k) (1 - bq^{k+1}) H_k(q; a, b, c, d) &= (1 - bcq^{2k}) H_{k-1}(q; a, b, c, d) \\ &\quad + (aq^k + dq^k + adq^{2k}) H_{k-2}(q; a, b, c, d) \\ &\quad + adq^{2k-1} H_{k-3}(q; a, b, c, d), \end{aligned}$$

and the initial conditions

$$\begin{aligned} H_{-1}(q; a, b, c, d) &= 1, \\ H_{-2}(q; a, b, c, d) &= 0, \\ H_{-3}(q; a, b, c, d) &= \frac{(b-1)cq}{ad}. \end{aligned}$$

This completely determines $(H_k(q; a, b, c, d))$.

We conclude this part on Capparelli's identity with the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *For all $k \geq 0$,*

$$\frac{G_{k_d}^C(q; a, c, d)}{(cq; q)_{k+1}} = H_k(q; a, c, c, d).$$

Proof: A simple calculation starting from (2.2) shows that $\left(\frac{G_{k_d}^C(q; a, c, d)}{(cq; q)_{k+1}}\right)$ satisfies the same recurrence relation as $(H_k(q; a, c, d))$. Moreover, we know from (2.2) and (2.3) that

$$G_{-1_d}^C = 1, \quad \frac{G_{0_d}^C}{1 - cq} = \frac{1}{1 - cq}, \quad \frac{G_{1_d}^C}{(1 - cq)(1 - cq^2)} = \frac{1 + aq + cq + dq + adq^2}{(1 - cq)(1 - cq^2)},$$

and computing the initial values for $H_k(q; a, b, c, d)$ using (2.4) gives

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} H_{-1}(q; a, b, c, d) &= 1, \quad H_0(q; a, b, c, d) = \frac{1}{1 - bq}, \\ H_1(q; a, b, c, d) &= \frac{1 - bcq^2}{(1 - cq)(1 - bq)(1 - bq^2)} + \frac{aq + dq + adq^2}{(1 - cq)(1 - bq^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

When setting $b = c$, these are exactly the same initial conditions as those of $\left(\frac{G_{k_d}^C}{(cq; q)_{k+1}}\right)$, which completes the proof. \square

Let us turn to Primc's identity. We also want to establish a connection between $G_{k_d}^P(q; a, b, c, d)$ and $H_k(q; a, b, c, d)$. To do so, we follow the same reasoning as in [DL18], except that we now keep track of the variable b . We obtain :

Lemma 2.3. *For all $k \geq 1$, we have*

$$(2.6a) \quad G_{k_d}^P - G_{k_c}^P = E_{k_d}^P = dq^k \left(E_{k_c}^P + E_{k_a}^P + G_{(k-1)_c}^P \right),$$

$$(2.6b) \quad G_{k_c}^P - G_{k_b}^P = E_{k_c}^P = cq^k \left(E_{k_c}^P + E_{k_a}^P + G_{(k-1)_c}^P \right),$$

$$(2.6c) \quad G_{k_b}^P - G_{k_a}^P = E_{k_b}^P = bq^k \left(E_{k_b}^P + G_{(k-1)_d}^P \right),$$

$$(2.6d) \quad G_{k_a}^P - G_{(k-1)_d}^P = E_{k_a}^P = aq^k \left(E_{(k-1)_b}^P + G_{(k-2)_d}^P \right),$$

To obtain the correct values for G_k^P and E_k^P for all coloured integers k , we need the initial conditions

$$\begin{aligned} E_{0_a}^P &= E_{0_c}^P = E_{0_d}^P = 0, \\ E_{0_b}^P &= b, \\ G_{0_b}^P &= G_{0_c}^P = G_{0_d}^P = 1, \\ G_{0_a}^P &= G_{-1_d}^P = 1 - b. \end{aligned}$$

Doing the same chain of substitutions as in [DL18] but keeping track of the variable b at each step, we obtain the following recurrence for $k \geq 2$:

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} (1 - cq^k)G_{k_d}^P &= \frac{1 - bcq^{2k}}{1 - bq^k} G_{(k-1)_d}^P \\ &+ \frac{aq^k + dq^k + adq^{2k}}{1 - bq^{k-1}} G_{(k-2)_d}^P + \frac{adq^{2k-1}}{1 - bq^{k-2}} G_{(k-3)_d}^P, \end{aligned}$$

with the initial conditions

$$\begin{aligned} G_{-1_d}^P &= 1 - b, \\ G_{0_d}^P &= 1, \\ G_{1_d}^P &= \frac{bq}{1 - bq} + \frac{(1 + aq)(1 + dq)}{1 - cq}. \end{aligned}$$

This completely determines $(G_{k_d}^P)$.

As for Capparelli's identity, the following lemma gives a relation between $(G_{k_d}^P(q; a, b, c, d))$ and $H_k(q; a, b, c, d)$.

Lemma 2.4. *For all $k \geq 0$,*

$$\frac{G_{k_d}^P(q; a, b, c, d)}{1 - bq^{k+1}} = H_k(q; a, b, c, d).$$

Proof: A simple calculation starting from (2.7) shows that $\left(\frac{G_{k_d}^P(q; a, b, c, d)}{1 - bq^{k+1}}\right)$ satisfies the same recurrence relation as $(H_k(q; a, b, c, d))$. Moreover, we have

$$\frac{G_{-1_d}^P}{1 - b} = 1, \quad \frac{G_{0_d}^P}{1 - bq} = \frac{1}{1 - bq}, \quad \frac{G_{1_d}^P}{1 - bq^2} = \frac{bq}{(1 - bq)(1 - bq^2)} + \frac{(1 + aq)(1 + dq)}{(1 - cq)(1 - bq^2)}.$$

After simplifying the last expression, we see that these are exactly the same initial conditions as those of $(H_k(q; a, b, c, d))$, which completes the proof. \square

Finally, combining Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.4 in which we set $b = c$, we get that for all $k \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{G_{k_d}^C(q; a, c, d)}{(cq; q)_{k+1}} &= H_k(q; a, c, c, d) \\ &= \frac{G_{k_d}^P(q; a, c, c, d)}{1 - cq^{k+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Simplifying completes the proof of Theorem 1.9.

3. FINITE VERSIONS

We now use the recurrence equations from the previous section to prove Theorems 1.11 and 1.12, the finite versions of Primc's and Capparelli's identity, respectively. To do so, it is sufficient to prove the following theorem. One can then recover Theorem 1.11 (resp. Theorem 1.12) by using Lemma 2.4 (resp. Lemma 2.2).

Theorem 3.1. *Let $(H_k(q, a, b, c, d))$ be the sequence defined by the recurrence (2.4) and initial conditions (2.5).*

We have, for every positive integer k ,

$$(3.1) \quad H_k(q; a, b, c, d) = \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} \frac{u_j(a, b, c, d)q^{\binom{k+1-j}{2}}}{(q; q)_{k+1-j}},$$

where the sequence $(u_n(a, b, c, d))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is defined in Theorem 1.11.

Proof: To prove this theorem, we use the same transformations as in [DL18], except that we now keep track of b everywhere, giving rise to more complicated expressions. Also, instead of only determining the limit of H_k when k tends to infinity by using Appell's lemma, here we solve the recurrence equation (2.4) exactly to obtain the formula (3.1).

We first define

$$f(x) := \sum_{k \geq 0} H_{k-1} x^k.$$

Let us replace k by $k-1$ in (2.4), multiply both sides of the equality by x^k , and sum over $k \geq 0$. Using (2.5) and the fact that

$$H_{-4} := \frac{q^3(1-b)(ac+ad+cd)}{ad},$$

we obtain

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} (1-x)f(x) &= \left(b + \frac{c}{q} + ax^2q + dx^2q\right) f(xq) - (1+xq) \left(\frac{bc}{q} - adx^2q^2\right) f(xq^2) \\ &\quad + (b-1) \left(cx + \frac{c}{q} - 1\right), \end{aligned}$$

and the initial conditions

$$\begin{aligned} f(0) &= H_{-1} = 1, \\ f'(0) &= H_0 = \frac{1}{1-bq}. \end{aligned}$$

We now make a change of unknown function:

$$g(x) := \frac{f(x)}{(-x; q)_\infty}.$$

We obtain

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} (1-x^2)g(x) &= \left(b + \frac{c}{q} + ax^2q + dx^2q\right) g(xq) - \left(\frac{bc}{q} - adx^2q^2\right) g(xq^2) \\ &\quad + \frac{b-1}{(-xq; q)_\infty} \left(cx + \frac{c}{q} - 1\right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g(0) &= f(0) = 1, \\ g'(0) &= f'(0) - \frac{f(0)}{1-q} = \frac{1}{1-bq} - \frac{1}{1-q} = \frac{q(b-1)}{(1-bq)(1-q)}. \end{aligned}$$

We switch back to recurrence equations by defining the sequence (u_n) by

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} u_n x^n := g(x).$$

After some computations, we find that (u_n) satisfies the recurrence

$$(3.4) \quad u_n = \frac{(1+aq^{n-1})(1+dq^{n-1})}{(1-bq^n)(1-cq^{n-1})} u_{n-2} + \frac{(-1)^n q^n (1-b)}{(1-bq^n)(q; q)_n},$$

and the initial conditions

$$\begin{aligned} u_0 &= g(0) = 1, \\ u_1 &= g'(0) = \frac{q(b-1)}{(1-bq)(1-q)}. \end{aligned}$$

Iterating, we get that for all $n \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} u_{2n} &= \frac{(-aq; q^2)_n (-dq; q^2)_n}{(bq^2; q^2)_n (cq; q^2)_n} \\ &+ (1-b) \sum_{\ell=1}^n \frac{(-aq^{2k+1}; q^2)_{n-\ell} (-dq^{2k+1}; q^2)_{n-\ell}}{(bq^{2\ell}; q^2)_{n-\ell+1} (cq^{2\ell+1}; q^2)_{n-\ell}} \frac{q^{2\ell}}{(q; q)_{2\ell}}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} u_{2n+1} &= (b-1) \left(\frac{q(-aq^2; q^2)_n (-dq^2; q^2)_n}{(1-q)(bq; q^2)_{n+1} (cq^2; q^2)_n} \right. \\ &\left. + \sum_{\ell=1}^n \frac{(-aq^{2\ell+2}; q^2)_{n-\ell} (-dq^{2\ell+2}; q^2)_{n-\ell}}{(bq^{2\ell+1}; q^2)_{n-\ell+1} (cq^{2\ell+2}; q^2)_{n-\ell}} \frac{q^{2\ell+1}}{(q; q)_{2\ell+1}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, let us track back through all of the transformations to express H_k in terms of the u_n 's. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \geq 0} H_{k-1} x^k &= f(x) \\ &= (-x; q)_\infty g(x) \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{x^n q^{\binom{n}{2}}}{(q; q)_n} \times \sum_{n \geq 0} u_n x^n \\ &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \left(\sum_{j=0}^k \frac{u_j q^{\binom{k-j}{2}}}{(q; q)_{k-j}} \right) x^k, \end{aligned}$$

where the penultimate equality follows from Equation (19) in [GR04].

Equating the coefficients of x^{k+1} on both sides completes the proof. \square

4. BIJECTIVE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.10

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.10 bijectively. Namely, we establish a one-to-one correspondence between partition pairs counted by $\mathcal{C}(n; k; i, j, \ell)$ and partitions counted by $\mathcal{P}(n; k; i, j, \ell)$.

Let (λ, μ) be a partition pair of total weight n , where $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}$ and μ is an unrestricted partition coloured c , having in total i parts coloured a , j parts coloured c , ℓ parts coloured d , and largest part at most k . We transform (λ, μ) into a partition in \mathcal{P} by following the steps below.

To make the bijection easier to follow, we will illustrate each step on the example

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &= 8_d + 8_a + 6_c + 5_c + 3_d + 1_a, \\ \mu &= 8_c + 8_c + 7_c + 5_c + 3_c + 2_c + 2_c + 1_c + 1_c. \end{aligned}$$

Step 0: Change the colour of all the parts of μ to b . We obtain a partition pair (λ, μ') .

On our example, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda &= 8_d + 8_a + 6_c + 5_c + 3_d + 1_a, \\ \mu' &= 8_b + 8_b + 7_b + 5_b + 3_b + 2_b + 2_b + 1_b + 1_b.\end{aligned}$$

This process is clearly reversible.

Step 1: Insert the parts of μ' in the partition λ according to the order (1.6) of Primc's identity. Call ν_1 the resulting partition.

In our example, we obtain

$$\nu_1 = 8_d + 8_b + 8_b + 8_a + 7_b + 6_c + 5_c + 5_b + 3_d + 3_b + 2_b + 2_b + 1_b + 1_b + 1_a.$$

This process is also clearly reversible, as one can simply separate the b -parts from the rest to recover the partitions λ and μ' .

The partition ν_1 satisfies the difference conditions in the matrix

$$(4.1) \quad M_1 = \begin{matrix} & a & b & c & d \\ \begin{matrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix},$$

together with the following additional conditions for all $m \geq 1$:

- (C₁) m_a and $(m-1)_a$ cannot both be parts of ν_1 ,
- (C₂) m_c and m_a cannot both be parts of ν_1 ,
- (C₃) m_c and $(m-1)_d$ cannot both be parts of ν_1 ,
- (C₄) m_d and $(m-1)_d$ cannot both be parts of ν_1 .

The matrix M_1 is obtained by simply using the order (1.6) and the difference condition matrix C from (1.11). The additional conditions come from the fact that if we only considered the matrix M_1 , we would allow too many partitions due to the presence of the parts coloured b . For example, by (1.11), there is a difference of at least 2 between two consecutive parts coloured a in λ . So ν_1 cannot contain both the parts m_a and $(m-1)_a$. However, the matrix M_1 alone would allow the subpartition $m_a + (m-1)_b + \dots + (m-1)_b + (m-1)_a$. This is why the condition (C₁) is needed. By a case by case analysis of all the possible problems of this type, we obtain the other conditions (C₂) – (C₄).

Note that in ν_1 , the c -parts can only appear once, while the b -parts can repeat.

Step 2: By the difference conditions satisfied by ν_1 , if m_a or m_d appears in ν_1 (they can both appear at the same time), then m_c cannot appear, but m_b can appear arbitrarily many times. If there are such m_b 's, transform them all into m_c 's. Call ν_2 the resulting partition.

In our example, we obtain

$$\nu_2 = 8_d + 8_c + 8_c + 8_a + 7_b + 6_c + 5_c + 5_b + 3_d + 3_c + 2_b + 2_b + 1_c + 1_c + 1_a.$$

This process is again reversible: to obtain ν_1 from ν_2 , change all the m_c 's which appear at the same time as a m_a or m_d into m_b 's.

The partition ν_2 satisfies the difference conditions in the matrix

$$(4.2) \quad M_2 = \begin{array}{c} a \quad b \quad c \quad d \\ a \left(\begin{array}{cccc} 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 \end{array} \right) \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{array},$$

together with the following additional conditions for all $m \geq 1$:

- (C'_1) m_d and m_b cannot both be parts of ν_2 ,
- (C'_2) m_c can repeat if and only if it appears at the same time as m_d or m_a ,
- (C'_3) m_c and $(m-1)_d$ cannot both be parts of ν_2 .

The fact that we transformed b -parts into c -parts after a d -part (resp. before an a -part) corresponds “locally” to exchanging the entries (d, b) and (d, c) (resp. (b, a) and (c, a)) in M_1 . But we should be careful, because the matrix M_2 alone would allow subpartitions of the form $m_d + m_c + \dots + m_c + m_b$, therefore we add condition (C'_1) to avoid these problems. Condition (C'_2) comes from the fact that in ν_1 , the c -parts couldn't repeat, so that in ν_2 the only c -parts that can repeat are those that were introduced at Step 2. Condition (C'_3) is simply condition (C_3) which still holds after the process of Step 2. Finally, we removed conditions (C_1) and (C_4) because they are now contained in the conditions of the matrix M_2 , and (C_2) disappears in the process of Step 2.

Step 3: If in ν_2 there is a part m_c followed by an arbitrary number of parts m_b , then change all these parts to m_c . Call ν_3 the resulting partition.

In our example, we obtain

$$\nu_3 = 8_d + 8_c + 8_c + 8_a + 7_b + 6_c + 5_c + 5_c + 3_d + 3_c + 2_b + 2_b + 1_c + 1_c + 1_a.$$

This step is also reversible. To obtain ν_2 from ν_3 , search for all the parts m_c that repeat but do not appear at the same time as m_a or m_d , and change the colour of all but the first of these c -parts to b .

Now we claim that $\nu_3 \in \mathcal{P}$. After the transformation from Step 3, any part m_c can repeat arbitrarily many times, but cannot appear at the same time as m_b . This amounts to changing the entry (c, b) to 1 in (4.2) and dropping condition (C'_2). The difference condition matrix we obtain is exactly P (1.7). Moreover, now that m_b cannot appear after m_c anymore, it is also impossible that m_d and m_b (resp. m_c and $(m-1)_d$) appear at the same time, so we also drop condition (C'_1) (resp. (C'_3)). Lastly, we check that we do not create any new condition which is not encoded by the matrix P .

Finally, all the steps of this transformation preserve the order (1.6), the weight n , the largest part k , the number i of parts coloured a , the number ℓ of parts coloured d , and the number j of parts coloured b or c . Indeed, the only transformations we did were changing some colours from c to b and b to c . Therefore, we have established a bijection between the partitions counted by $\mathcal{C}(n; k; i, j, \ell)$ and those counted by $\mathcal{P}(n; k; i, j, \ell)$. Theorem 1.10 is proved. \square

Remark. Combining this bijection with the bijection of Capparelli's identity due to Alladi, Andrews, and Gordon [AAG95] yields a bijective proof of Primc's identity which doesn't keep track of the number of parts coloured b and c , i.e. a bijective proof of the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $P(n; i, \ell)$ denote the number of four-coloured partitions of n with the ordering (1.6) and matrix of difference conditions (1.7), having i parts coloured a and ℓ parts coloured d .*

Let $P'(n; i, \ell)$ denote the number of 4-tuples $(\lambda, \mu, \nu, \chi)$ of partitions of weight n such that λ is a partition into distinct odd parts coloured a , μ is a general partition, ν is a partition into odd parts, and χ is a partition into distinct odd parts coloured d , having i parts coloured a and ℓ parts coloured d .

Then for all n, i, ℓ ,

$$P(n; i, \ell) = P'(n; i, \ell).$$

This theorem is equivalent to Theorem 1.7 where we set the variables b and c to be equal to 1.

By doing the transformations (1.8) before performing the bijection, this gives a bijective proof of Primc’s original Theorem 1.6.

5. DISCUSSION ON CRYSTALS

In [Pri99], Primc obtained several partition identities by studying the energy matrix of perfect crystals for $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})^\sim$ coming from the tensor product of the vector representation and its dual.

In particular, the difference condition matrix P in Theorem 1.6 is the energy matrix of the perfect $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})^\sim$ -crystal in Figure 1, where the vertices 1, 2, 3, 4 correspond to colours a, b, c, d , respectively.




FIGURE 1. The perfect crystal corresponding to Primc’s identity

As mentioned in the introduction, the matrix C from our reformulation of Capparelli’s identity (Theorem 1.8) also appears in Primc’s paper [Pri99] as the energy matrix of the “almost perfect” $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})^\sim$ -crystal in Figure 2, where the vertices 1, 2, 3 correspond to colours a, c, d , respectively.




FIGURE 2. The “almost perfect” crystal corresponding to Capparelli’s identity

Though Primc thought that this identity was a priori not related to crystal base theory, we showed that there is actually a close connection between the two identities, as Theorem 1.8 is (up to the $\frac{1}{(cq; q)_\infty}$ factor) the particular case $b = c$ in Theorem 1.7. Therefore Theorem 1.8 is also related to crystal bases in some way.

From the crystal base point of view, we do not claim to completely explain this fact. However, we make an observation which might be a first step towards a better understanding of the situation.

If, in Figure 1, we merge the vertices 2 and 3 (keeping all the in- and out-edges) into a new vertex 2 and rename the vertex 4 as 3, we obtain exactly Figure 2. Given that the vertex 2 corresponded to the colour b and the vertex 3 to the colour c , merging these two vertices seems to correspond to setting $b = c$ in Theorem 1.7. The factor $\frac{1}{(cq;q)_\infty}$ may then come from the fact that we “lose” a vertex in the process.

This leads us to wonder if it is possible to do such merging transformations in a more general setting in the theory of crystal bases, or if this is only a particular situation. This question might be of interest to representation theorists as well.

Moreover, it would also be interesting to know how the character formula for crystal bases from [KKM⁺92] transforms under this merging transformation, and where exactly the factor $\frac{1}{(cq;q)_\infty}$ comes from.

So far there are – to the best of our knowledge – no “coloured” character formulas, i.e. no character formulas keeping track of the colour variables a, b, c, \dots . In addition to the fact that both Capparelli’s and Primc’s identities have weighted words versions, the present work seems to be another hint that such formulas may exist, and if they did, would be very powerful combinatorially.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author thanks Jeremy Lovejoy and Mirko Primc for their comments on an earlier version of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [AAG95] K. Alladi, G. E. Andrews, and B. Gordon. Refinements and generalizations of Capparelli’s conjecture on partitions. *J. Algebra*, 174:636–658, 1995.
- [AG93] K. Alladi and B. Gordon. Generalizations of Schur’s partition theorem. *Manuscripta Math.*, 79:113–126, 1993.
- [And92] G. E. Andrews. Schur’s theorem, Capparelli’s conjecture and q -trinomial coefficients. *Contemp. Math.*, 166:141–154, 1992.
- [BM15] K. Bringmann and K. Mahlburg. False theta functions and companions to Capparelli’s identities. *Adv. Math.*, 278:121–136, 2015.
- [BU15] A. Berkovich and A. K. Uncu. A new companion to Capparelli’s identities. *Adv. in Appl. Math.*, 71:125–137, 2015.
- [BU18] A. Berkovich and A. K. Uncu. Polynomial identities implying Capparelli’s partition theorems. arXiv:1807.10974, 2018.
- [Cap93] S. Capparelli. On some representations of twisted affine Lie algebras and combinatorial identities. *J. Algebra*, 154:335–355, 1993.
- [Cap96] S. Capparelli. A construction of the level 3 modules for the affine Lie algebra $A_2^{(2)}$ and a new combinatorial identity of the Rogers-Ramanujan type. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 348:481–501, 1996.
- [DL18] J. Dousse and J. Lovejoy. On a Rogers-Ramanujan type identity from crystal base theory. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 146(1):55–67, 2018.
- [DLar] J. Dousse and J. Lovejoy. Generalizations of Capparelli’s identity. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, to appear.
- [Dou14] J. Dousse. A combinatorial proof and refinement of a partition identity of Siladić. *European J. Combin.*, 39:223–232, 2014.
- [Dou17] J. Dousse. Siladić’s theorem: weighted words, refinement and companion. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 145:1997–2009, 2017.

- [Dou18] J. Dousse. *Analytic Number Theory, Modular Forms and q -hypergeometric series*, chapter Unification, refinement and companions of generalisations of Schur’s theorem, pages 213–251. Springer, 2018.
- [FZ18] S. Fu and J. Zeng. A unifying combinatorial approach to refined little Göllnitz and Capparelli’s companion identities. *Adv. in Appl. Math.*, 98:127–154, 2018.
- [GJZ09] V. Guo, F. Jouhet, and J. Zeng. New finite Rogers-Ramanujan identities. *Ramanujan J.*, 19:247–266, 2009.
- [GR04] G. Gasper and M. Rahman. *Basic Hypergeometric Series*. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications. Cambridge University Press, second edition, 2004.
- [KKM⁺92] S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara, K. C. Misra, T. Miwa, T. Nakashima, and A. Nakayashiki. Affine crystals and vertex models. In *Infinite analysis, Part A, B (Kyoto, 1991)*, volume 16 of *Adv. Ser. Math. Phys.*, pages 449–484. World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1992.
- [Kon18] I. Konan. A bijective proof and generalization of Siladić’s theorem. In *Proceedings of the 30th Conference on Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics (Hanover)*, volume 80B of *Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire*, 2018. article #3, 12pp.
- [KR18] S. Kanade and M. C. Russell. Staircases to analytic sum-sides for many new integer partition identities of Rogers-Ramanujan type. arXiv:1803.02515, 2018.
- [LM78a] J. Lepowsky and S. Milne. Lie algebraic approaches to classical partition identities. *Adv. in Math.*, 29(1):15–59, 1978.
- [LM78b] J. Lepowsky and S. Milne. Lie algebras and classical partition identities. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 75(2):578–579, 1978.
- [LW84] J. Lepowsky and R. L. Wilson. The structure of standard modules, I: Universal algebras and the Rogers-Ramanujan identities. *Invent. Math.*, 77:199–290, 1984.
- [LW85] J. Lepowsky and R. L. Wilson. The structure of standard modules, II: The case $A_1^{(1)}$, principal gradation. *Invent. Math.*, 79:417–442, 1985.
- [MP87] A. Meurman and M. Primc. Annihilating ideals of standard modules of $sl(2, \mathbb{C})^\sim$ and combinatorial identities. *Adv. Math.*, 64:177–240, 1987.
- [MP99] A. Meurman and M. Primc. Annihilating fields of standard modules of $sl(2, \mathbb{C})^\sim$ and combinatorial identities. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 137:viii + 89 pp., 1999.
- [MP01] A. Meurman and M. Primc. A basis of the basic $sl(3, \mathbb{C})^\sim$ -module. *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, 3:593–614, 2001.
- [Pri94] M. Primc. Vertex operator construction of standard modules for $A_n^{(1)}$. *Pacific J. Math.*, 162:143–187, 1994.
- [Pri99] M. Primc. Some crystal Rogers-Ramanujan type identities. *Glas. Math. Ser. III*, 34:73–86, 1999.
- [Pri00] M. Primc. Basic representations for classical affine Lie algebras. *J. Algebra*, 228:1–50, 2000.
- [PŠ16] M. Primc and T. Šikić. Combinatorial bases of basic modules for affine Lie algebras $C_n^{(1)}$. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 57(9):091701, 2016.
- [Sil04] A. V. Sills. On series expansions of Capparelli’s infinite product. *Adv. in Appl. Math.*, 33(2):397–408, 2004.
- [Sil17] I. Siladić. Twisted $sl(3, \mathbb{C})^\sim$ -modules and combinatorial identities. *Glasnik Matematički*, 52(1):53–77, 2017.
- [TX95] M. Tamba and C. Xie. Level three standard modules for $A_2^{(2)}$ and combinatorial identities. *J. Pure Applied Algebra*, 105:53–92, 1995.

UNIV LYON, CNRS, UNIVERSITÉ CLAUDE BERNARD LYON 1, UMR5208, INSTITUT CAMILLE JORDAN, F-69622 VILLEURBANNE, FRANCE
Email address: dousse@math.cnrs.fr