

## Measurement of kinematic fields via DIC for impact engineering applications

François Hild, Amine Bouterf, Stéphane Roux

### ▶ To cite this version:

François Hild, Amine Bouterf, Stéphane Roux. Measurement of kinematic fields via DIC for impact engineering applications. International Journal of Impact Engineering, 2019, 130, pp.163-171. 10.1016/j.ijimpeng.2019.04.007. hal-02096299

## HAL Id: hal-02096299 https://hal.science/hal-02096299v1

Submitted on 11 Apr 2019  $\,$ 

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Measurement of kinematic fields via DIC for impact engineering applications

François Hild,<sup>*a,b*</sup> Amine Bouterf,<sup>*a*</sup> Stéphane Roux<sup>*a*</sup>

(a): Laboratoire de Mécanique et Technologie (LMT)
ENS Cachan / CNRS / Paris-Saclay University
61 avenue du Président Wilson, 94235 Cachan Cedex, France
(b): corresponding author.

#### Abstract

Two dynamic Brazilian tests are analyzed via digital image correlation for acquisition rates equal to 5 and 10 million frames per second. Displacements of the order of 1 mm, velocities of the order of  $\pm 250$  m/s, and accelerations as high as  $\pm 4 \times 10^7$  m/s<sup>2</sup> are measured with spacetime DIC. Uncertainty quantifications enable spacetime DIC to be compared with instantaneous analyses. The gains provided by the temporal regularization are very significant for the acceleration fields. The observed levels are consistent with a priori estimates.

*Key words:* Digital Image Correlation, Full-field measurements, Spatial registration, Temporal registration, uncertainty quantification.

Email addresses: francois.hild@ens-paris-saclay.fr (François Hild,<sup>a,b</sup>), amine.bouterf@ens-paris-saclay.fr (Amine Bouterf,<sup>a</sup>), stephane.roux@ens-paris-saclay.fr (Stéphane Roux<sup>a</sup>).

Preprint submitted to Elsevier

#### 1 1 Introduction

In impact engineering, as in other areas of solid mechanics, the understanding and quantification of the loading conditions is one key point to be addressed. One of the most used loading devices to characterize the material behavior at high-rate loadings is split Hopkinson pressure bars [1,2]. For many years the analysis of such tests relied on the use of strain gauge data and thus requires long bars to be used. These strain data then enabled the loading conditions to be inferred on the interfaces between the bars and the studied sample. This situation may eventually change with the use of full-field measurements that enable the experimentalist to focus more on the sample itself.

Among various optical techniques, Digital image correlation (DIC) and its 3D 11 extension for measuring 3D deformations via stereocorrelation [3] is gradually 12 emerging as suitable and reliable for high rate deformation and shock stud-13 ies [4,5]. DIC, which was introduced in the early 1980s [6,7], was very quickly 14 applied to the study of cracking under dynamic loading. As early as 1985, 15 a first series of results was reported for a 3-point flexural test on Araldite B 16 samples [8]. The authors used a Cranz-Shardin camera, measured displacement 17 fields and compared them to the static solution for the estimation of stress 18 intensity factors. A good agreement was found when these results were com-19 pared with those given by the analysis of caustics. This type of analysis was 20 continued with improved experimental conditions as well as post-processing 21 procedures [9]. 22

With the advent of digital high speed cameras [10], the number of studies
have broadened and are no longer exclusively devoted to defense applications
(e.g., see Refs. [11-19]). In the International Journal of Impact Engineering,

the first (and as of today [20], the most cited) paper on image correlation was 26 published by Tiwary et al. [21]. Displacement, velocity, acceleration, strain 27 and strain rate fields were reported. All of them were based on instantaneous 28 stereocorrelations with a commercial code. The measured displacement fields 29 were subsequently processed in order to compute all differentiated fields. The 30 subsequent publications [22–26] reported only strain fields, which is a stan-31 dard output of instantaneous DIC codes. In the following papers [27-29], the 32 displacement fields were always reported, and sometimes the strain and veloc-33 ity fields. The uncertainty were evaluated by Besnard et al. [30] for deformed 34 shape measurements and strain rates. Strain fields were then the primary 35 kinematic data that were discussed in the following publications [31–34]. 36

The year 2014 saw no papers using DIC being published in the Journal (Figure 1). Over the previous five years a total of 14 papers was published. Over the next four years (2018 included), a total of 39 papers was published. Of those, only a few [35–41] did not only report displacement and/or strain fields, which required additional processing when instantaneous analyses were performed. It is worth noting that uncertainty quantifications were not reported for any of the last 39 papers.



Fig. 1. Number of papers dealing with DIC and published yearly in the International Journal of Impact Engineering [20]

From this short literature review, it is concluded that virtually all studies 44 used existing (and mostly commercial) DIC codes. It follows that most of the 45 reported kinematic fields were those directly provided by the latter ones (*i.e.*, 46 displacement and strain fields). Further, all reported DIC analyses were based 47 on *instantaneous* registration, namely, the registration of image(s) of one single 48 deformed configuration with respect to the reference configuration. As already 49 mentioned, when the analyses required strain rate, velocity or acceleration 50 fields, additional post-processing had to be performed [21,30,35–41]. 51

An alternative route to instantaneous analyses is to perform local time interpolations in order to measure directly strain rate fields [42]. Global spatiotemporal analyses were also introduced [43,44] in which displacement and velocity fields were a priori interpolated along the time axis in the registration procedure, not a posteriori as would be performed with instantaneous DIC. Such techniques were applied to videos acquired with a camcorder [43] or high speed cameras [44]. Because the reference image played a central role in such analyses, the gain in displacement uncertainty was not shown to be as high as
expected with noiseless references [44]. A denoising procedure was introduced
very recently to address this particular point [45].

In the following, instantaneous and spacetime DIC analyses will be compared. 62 Two dynamic Brazilian tests performed in split Hopkinson pressure bars and 63 monitored with an ultra-high speed camera will be analyzed. The acquisition 64 rate was set to 5 and 10 million frames per second. Five different kinematic 65 fields will be reported namely, displacement, velocity and acceleration fields on 66 the one hand. From these fields, the mean crack opening displacement, velocity 67 and accelerations will be discussed. On the other hand, strain and strain rate 68 fields will also be studied. In order to understand the differences between 69 instantaneous and spacetime DIC, the uncertainties on the five kinematic data 70 will be analyzed thanks to the fact that movies with no motions were available 71 for both experiments. 72

#### <sup>73</sup> 2 Instantaneous and spacetime DIC

Two different approaches will be compared herein, namely, instantaneous and
spacetime DIC. The first one consists in registering pairs of images whereas the
second one performs the registration over whole videos (*i.e.*, series of pictures).

#### 77 2.1 Instantaneous DIC

In the following, a global approach will be used for the spatial registration. The displacement field  $\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x},t)$  is discretized by using finite element shape functions [46]  $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_i(\boldsymbol{x})$ 

$$\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x},t) = v_i(t)\boldsymbol{\varphi}_i(\boldsymbol{x}) \tag{1}$$

where  $v_i(t)$  are the nodal displacements to be determined by minimizing the global residuals over the considered region of interest (ROI)

$$R(t) = \sum_{ROI} \rho^2(\boldsymbol{x}, t)$$
(2)

with respect to the unknown amplitudes  $v_i(t)$ , which are gathered the column vector  $\{\boldsymbol{v}(t)\}$ , where

$$\rho(\boldsymbol{x},t) = f(\boldsymbol{x},t) - f_0(\boldsymbol{x})$$
(3)

denotes the gray level residual computed for any pixel  $\boldsymbol{x}$  of the ROI,  $f(\boldsymbol{x},t)$ the gray level picture of the current configuration (at time t), and  $f_0(\boldsymbol{x})$  the reference picture.

A priori estimates of the displacement and strain uncertainties for instantaneous analyses have been proposed [47]. Since the outputs of DIC analyses are displacement *fields*, the covariance matrix of the measured degrees of freedom has to be evaluated for characterizing measurement uncertainties [48]. In global approaches, each degree of freedom is not determined independently of the other ones. Consequently, they are correlated (in a statistical sense) and the covariance matrix is required to fully characterize their variances and covariances. For local (*i.e.*, subset-based) approaches, as soon as interrogation windows overlap, the displacement measurements are no longer independent (*i.e.*, some correlations exist since part of the pixels are shared by neighboring windows). It was shown that the covariance matrix  $[C_v]$  of the measured degrees of freedom  $\{v(t)\}$  is related to the Hessian at convergence of the minimization scheme [M]

$$[\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{v}}] = 2\sigma_f^2 [\boldsymbol{M}]^{-1} \tag{4}$$

where  $\sigma_f^2$  is the variance of acquisition noise.

When a time series of pictures is analyzed, instantaneous DIC is usually run [3]. 82 For each new image, the initial displacement estimate is that of the converged 83 solution of the previous image pair. In the following analyses, three-noded fi-84 nite elements will be considered (*i.e.*, linear displacement interpolations within 85 each triangular element). Further, the reference image will not be the first 86 image of the time series but will be denoised [45,49]. Once the nodal displace-87 ments are determined, the strain fields are evaluated by exact differentiation 88 of the spatial shape functions. The nodal velocities are determined by forward 89 finite differences of the nodal displacements, and the nodal accelerations by 90 forward finite differences of the nodal velocities. The strain rates are obtained 91 by using the nodal velocities and the exact derivatives of the shape functions. 92

#### 93 2.2 Spatiotemporal DIC

An alternative route to the previous approach is to consider global analyses in time [43–45,49]. Instead of performing a series of instantaneous minimizations of instantaneous residuals, a *single* spatiotemporal minimization is run, and the following gray level residual

$$\mathcal{R} = \sum_{t} R(t) \tag{5}$$

is minimized with respect to the kinematic parameters. Different parameterizations of the displacement fields have been discussed [44]. In the following, space/time separations are assumed

$$\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x},t) = v_{ij}\boldsymbol{\varphi}_i(\boldsymbol{x})\phi_j(t) \tag{6}$$

where  $v_{ij}$  denote the spatiotemporal unknowns to be determined. In the present study finite element discretizations will also be used for the temporal shape functions  $\phi_j(t)$ . The modal decomposition introduced in Ref. [45], which enables instantaneous DIC codes to be extended to spacetime analyses in an non-intrusive way, was implemented.

The a priori uncertainties can also be estimated by extending the previous results to spatiotemporal analyses [44]. It is first based on the spatiotemporal ral Hessian  $[\mathbf{N}]$ , which is constructed with the spatial Hessian  $[\mathbf{M}]$ , and the temporal matrix  $[\mathbf{\Phi}]$  such that

$$N_{ijkl} = M_{ik} \Phi_{jl} \tag{7}$$

with

$$\Phi_{ij} = \sum_{t} \varphi_i(t) \varphi_j(t) \tag{8}$$

It follows that the covariance matrix of spatiotemporal degrees of freedom becomes

$$C(v_{ij}, v_{kl}) = \sigma_f^2 \left( \Phi_{jp}^{-1} \Phi_p \Phi_{lq}^{-1} \Phi_q + \Phi_{jl}^{-1} \right) M_{ik}^{-1}$$
(9)

with

$$\Phi_k = \sum_t \varphi_k(t) \tag{10}$$

#### 99 2.3 Reference picture $f_0$

In the sequel, high speed experiments will be analyzed. With the ultra-high speed camera used herein, a full video will be acquired prior to the experiment per se. Consequently, there exists a set of images with no motions. This series of images is averaged time-wise for each pixel to form a denoised reference picture  $f_0$ . There is no need to follow the denoising procedure proposed in <sup>105</sup> Refs. [45,49].

When considering a denoised reference image, the factor 2 in Equation (4) no longer appears. The direct consequence is that the standard displacement uncertainties are divided by a factor of 2 for instantaneous analyses. Further, the first term in Equation (9) vanishes for denoised reference pictures [44]. Consequently, the covariance matrix  $C(v_{ij}, v_{kl})$  is equal to  $\sigma_f^2 \Phi_{jl}^{-1} M_{ik}^{-1}$ . It follows that the displacement uncertainties will be inversely proportional to the square root number of pictures when linear interpolations are used.

#### 113 **3** Uncertainty quantifications

Before reporting any measurement, it is important to evaluate the corresponding uncertainties [50]. This type of analysis was carried out on time series for which no motion occurred.

#### 117 3.1 Dynamic Brazilian tests

Brazilian tests enable the tensile strength of brittle materials such as concrete 118 and rocks to be assessed [51,52]. They consist in performing compression tests 119 on disks or cylinders. In each reported test, a 72 mm in diameter and 10 mm 120 thick disk made of Ductal<sup>®</sup> concrete was loaded up to failure under impact. 121 The dynamic tests were carried out via Hopkinson bars [2] made of aluminum 122 alloy. The loading system consisted of a pressurized air gun, a 60 mm in 123 diameter and 80 mm long projectile, an input bar with the same diameter 124 and a length of 4.5 m, and an output bar with a length of 2 m. The speed of 125 the input bar was equal to about 6 m/s. In both tests, the observed sample 126

<sup>127</sup> surface was speckled by random spraying of black and white paints.

One spot light (Dedolight<sup>®</sup>, maximum power: 400 W) and two light heads 128 (Dedocool<sup>®</sup>, maximum power: 250 W) were switched on just before starting 129 the tests to avoid heating. Such lighting power was needed because of the very 130 high acquisition rates used herein. It enabled for enhanced contrast in the ac-131 quired videos, which allows the measurement uncertainties to be lowered [47]. 132 A Shimadzu<sup>®</sup> HPV-X ultra-high speed camera was used to record the defor-133 mation of the specimen surface during the tests. Image videos with definition 134 of 400  $\times$  250 pixels were acquired. The lens used with the camera was a 135 50 mm Nikon<sup>®</sup> F-Mount. The physical size of one pixel was set to 360 µm, 136 which maximized the number of pixels of the monitored sample surfaces. 137

#### 138 3.2 5 Mfps video

First, an acquisition rate of 5 million frames per second (fps) was selected. 139 The (fixed) exposure time was equal to 110 ns. A video of 256 images was 140 recorded. The reference image was then constructed as the temporal average 141 for any pixel  $\boldsymbol{x}$ . From this picture, the root mean square (RMS) difference was 142 computed for each time. This quantity evaluates the noise level of the camera. 143 Figure 2 shows the change of the RMS difference. The average level is equal to 144 147 grav levels, which is 0.2 % of the dynamic range (*i.e.*, 65500 grav levels). 145 This level is extremely low in comparison with high speed or even low speed 146 cameras [5]. 147



Fig. 2. RMS gray level difference between the acquired pictures and their temporal average for the 5 Mfps experiment

Instantaneous and spatiotemporal analyses were then run with this set of 148 pictures. A finite element mesh was adapted to the actual sample geometry 149 (Figure 3). The mean element edge was equal to 3 pixels (or 1.1 mm). In order 150 to filter out spatial fluctuations of displacement fields, a regularization length 151 equal to 10 pixels was selected [53]. For spatiotemporal analyses, linear inter-152 polations are considered along the temporal axis. Because of acquisition noise, 153 each nodal displacement is a random variable with variances and covariances. 154 For the sake of simplicity, the temporal fluctuations of measured nodal dis-155 placements are only characterized by the corresponding standard deviation in 156 time. The measurement uncertainty is then *defined* in the following analyses 157 as the spatial average of all standard deviations. 158



Fig. 3. (a) Denoised reference image. (b) Corresponding mesh made of 3-pixel elements for the 5 Mfps experiment. The dimensions are expressed in mm

In Figure 4, the measurement uncertainties are reported for displacements, 159 velocities, principal strains and their rates. All these quantities are plotted 160 as functions of the time interval over which the DIC analyses are performed. 161 The lower level  $(i.e., 0.2 \ \mu s)$  corresponds to instantaneous DIC. For all the 162 reported quantities, the larger the time interval of the interpolation, the lower 163 the uncertainties. This trend is to be expected since it corresponds to stronger 164 temporal regularization. When the reference picture is denoised, it is antici-165 pated that the standard displacement uncertainty  $\sigma_u$  is inversely proportional 166 to the square root of the number of pictures per interval [44], or equivalently 167 to the time interval  $\ell_t$ . This trend is depicted by the green dashed line in Fig-168 ure 4(a) as the best log-fit. This assumption is not totally satisfied. It can be 169 understood by noting that there are correlated temporal fluctuations in ac-170 quisition noise (see Figure 2). The blue dashed line correspond to the a priori 171 estimates constructed with the Hessian of instantaneous DIC. The diagonal 172

terms correspond to the variances of each measured nodal displacement. Its average is then computed, from which the a priori estimate corresponds to its square root, namely, the a priori standard displacement uncertainty. In the present case, the a priori estimate virtually coincides with the log-fit.



Fig. 4. Standard displacement (a), velocity (b), strain (c) and strain rate (d) uncertainties as functions of the time interval  $\ell_t$  for the 5 Mfps experiment

The velocity uncertainty  $\sigma_v$  is equal to  $\sqrt{2}\sigma_u/\ell_t$ . From the previous analysis, this leads to the green dashed line shown in Figure 4(b), which slightly overestimates the actual levels. However, the general trend is very well captured. This is also true for the a priori estimate (blue dashed line). The strain uncertainty, which depends on the displacement interpolation [47], is proportional to the displacement uncertainty divided by the regularization length  $\ell_r$  [53]. As for the displacements, this interpolation underestimates the standard strain uncertainties (for the same reasons, see Figure 4(c)). Last, the strain rate uncertainty  $\sigma_{\Delta}$  is equal to  $\sqrt{2}\sigma_{\epsilon}/\ell_t$ , which is depicted by the green dashed line in Figure 4(d). Again a very good agreement is observed. The same observations apply for the a priori estimates.

Since acceleration fields will be sought, linear shape functions were not suf-188 ficient. Six cubic B-splines were selected instead to model the displacement 189 fields for the first 150 pictures of the video. With the chosen parameterization 190 (see below), there were 6 degrees of freedom to be compared with 150 for 191 instantaneous DIC. Consequently, it is expected that the measurement uncer-192 tainties will be lower for spacetime DIC when compared with instantaneous 193 analyses (Table 1). The gain in uncertainty is rather small (*i.e.*,  $\approx 4$  on av-194 erage) for displacements and strains, becomes more important (*i.e.*,  $\approx 4^3$ ) for 195 velocities and strain rates, and very significant for accelerations (*i.e.*,  $\approx 4^5$ ). 196 For the latter, the cubic interpolation and the continuity of the accelerations 197 yield very significant gains (*i.e.*, three orders of magnitude). 198

#### Table 1

Standard uncertainties for instantaneous DIC (*i.e.*,  $\ell_t = 0.1 \text{ µs}$ ) and spline interpolation with six degrees of freedom

Standard uncertainty	instantaneous DIC	spatiotemporal DIC	ratio
$\sigma_u~(\mu{ m m})$	2.1	0.6	3.5
$\sigma_v ~({ m m/s})$	15	0.2	65
$\sigma_a \; ({ m m/s^2})$	$1.3 \times 10^8$	$1.1 \times 10^5$	1200
$\sigma_\epsilon$	$4.7 \times 10^{-4}$	$1.3  imes 10^{-4}$	3.7
$\sigma_\Delta~(1/{ m s})$	$3.3 \times 10^3$	36	91

The results of Table 1 show that significant gains in terms of measurement uncertainties can be achieved thanks to spatiotemporal analyses. This is particularly true for acceleration fields (*i.e.*, three orders of magnitude in the present case), and to a lesser degree for velocity and strain rate fields (*i.e.*, two orders of magnitude). Such performances are made possible thanks to higher order interpolations in the time domain.

#### 205 3.3 10 Mfps series

The second series was a sequence of 128 frames, which were acquired at a rate of 10 million fps. The (fixed) exposure time was equal to 50 ns. Once the reference picture was constructed, the noise level of the camera was estimated. Figure 5 shows the change of the RMS difference with time. The average level is equal to 192 gray levels, which is 0.3 % of the dynamic range. Even though higher than in the previous case, this level is very low given the very high acquisition rate. As for the previous case, the temporal fluctuations are nottotally uncorrelated.



Fig. 5. RMS gray level difference between the acquired pictures and their temporal average for the 10 Mfps experiment

A finite element mesh (average element edge equal to 3 pixels or 1 mm) was also adapted to the actual sample geometry (Figure 6). For spatiotemporal analyses, only linear interpolations were considered along the temporal axis (since no acceleration fields will be analyzed hereafter).



Fig. 6. (a) Denoised reference image. (b) Corresponding mesh made of 3-pixel elements for the 10 Mfps experiment. The dimensions are expressed in mm

For comparison purposes with the previous results, the measurement uncer-218 tainties are reported for linear interpolations in Figure 7. When compared to 219 Figure 4 the overall levels are higher. This is to be expected since the acquisi-220 tion rate is higher and the associated noise level as well [5]. The displacement 221 and strain uncertainties also decrease with  $\ell_t$  but not with a -1/2 slope in a 222 log-log plot. Conversely, the velocity and strain rate uncertainties have a vari-223 ation with  $\ell_t$  described by a power law of exponent -3/2. These new results 224 are consistent with those reported for the 5 Mfps video.<sup>1</sup> 225

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The acquisitions at 5 and 10 Mfps show temporal fluctuations (Figures 2 and 5) that explain the deviations observed in Figures 4(a,c) and 7(a,c) with respect to the expected trends. It is worth noting that these effects are less pronounced when temporal derivatives are applied (see Figures 4(b,d) and 7(b,d)).



Fig. 7. Standard displacement (a), velocity (b), strain (c) and strain rate (d) uncertainties as functions of the time interval  $\ell_t$  for the 10 Mfps experiment

All these uncertainty quantifications showed that the levels of the investigated 226 kinematic variables can be made very small even though the acquisition rate 227 was very high. All the general trends to be expected by time regularization 228 were observed. Last, the a priori estimates were very close to the power law fits 229 of the raw uncertainties. When comparing the uncertainties corresponding to 230 the two acquisition rates, the difference in level is directly related to acquisition 231 noise (Figures 2 and 5), namely, the higher the acquisition rate, the higher 232 the noise level, and the higher the measurement uncertainties. Conversely, 233 for acquisition rates less than 5 Mfps, it was shown that the measurement 234 uncertainties could be further decreased [5]. 235

It is worth remembering that the present uncertainty quantifications were 236 performed with videos that were acquired under the very same conditions of 237 the actual experiment (*i.e.*, surface of interest, optical setup, illumination, 238 acquisition rate) but with a motionless sample. Thus, such quantifications do 239 not account for blur induced by the motions of the sample during the time 240 exposure (e.g., 50 ns for an acquisition rate of 10 Mfps). As will be discussed 241 farther down, the displacement amplitude for a maximum velocity of 20 m/s 242 is about  $3 \times 10^{-3}$  pixel. For the lower acquisition rate, the maximum velocity is 243 higher, 200 m/s, and the exposure time longer, hence the displacement during 244 the acquisition time is of order 0.06 pixel at most. The effect of motion blur 245 is thus expected not to be a limiting factor in the present experiments. 246

#### 247 3.4 10 Mfps series

The first analyzed video consisted of 128 frames acquired at a rate of 10 Mfps. The acquisition was started after the specimen had already been fractured (Figure 8). It is therefore expected that most of the kinematics is associated with a free flight condition (*i.e.*, constant velocity).



Fig. 8. (a) Initial and (b) final frame of the 10 Mfps video. The dimensions are expressed in mm

In order to account for the presence of the dominant crack, a node splitting technique was followed to enable displacement discontinuities to occur. The following steps were considered:

a first instantaneous DIC analysis was run for the first frame of the video,
namely, that for which only the dominant crack was present. The initial
mesh was considered in which no displacement discontinuity was accounted
for (Figure 6)

• From the gray level residual map, the crack path was easily selected (Figure 9) since the crack opening is significant (Figure 8). The advantage of using the residuals instead of the deformed image was that the crack was positioned in the reference frame (consistent with the Lagrangian description used herein).

• The mesh was then adapted to the crack path so that displacement discontinuities were enabled. Given the fact that a node splitting technique was used, the nodal crack opening displacements and velocities could be directly computed as differences of twin nodes.



Fig. 9. Absolute gray level residual of the instantaneous registration of the first image of 10 Mfps video. The dashed line corresponds to the selected crack path. The dimensions are expressed in mm

Figure 10 shows displacement fields for the last frame of the video when different temporal discretizations were considered. There is virtually no effect of the discretization for this field. Since one of the DIC calculation was performed with a single (linear) temporal element, it proves that the hypothesis of vanishing accelerations was satisfied (*i.e.*, free flight of fragments). Further, the displacement discontinuity is clearly visible for all displacement fields.



Fig. 10. Horizontal displacement field (expressed in  $\mu$ m) at the end of the video for different time discretizations

This observation was further confirmed by analyzing the mean RMS residuals 274 reported in Figure 11. The fact that they were independent of the discretiza-275 tion level proves that the use of a single temporal element was sufficient to 276 describe the temporal history of the test. Conversely, their mean level was 4 277 times higher than that associated with noise, which indicates that the spa-278 tial discretization was not fully consistent with the experiment (*i.e.*, only one 279 crack was explicitly accounted for in the present case whereas a more complex 280 crack pattern was taking place). 281



Fig. 11. RMS gray level residual for different time discretizations.

In Figure 12, the horizontal velocity fields are reported for the last frame and for different temporal discretizations. Contrary to the previous case, velocity fluctuations are observed. Their origin can be understood by the fact that rigid body rotations occurred at the very end of the video, which were not fully captured by the spacetime interpolations.



Fig. 12. Horizontal velocity field (expressed in m/s) at the end of the video for different time discretizations

From the measured displacement fields and corresponding velocity fields, the 287 crack opening displacement and velocities were obtained as mean averages of 288 the displacements (or velocities) of the two halves of the sample. Figure 13 289 shows their temporal history for different discretization levels. For the crack 290 opening displacements (Figure 13(a)), the eight temporal discretizations lead 291 to very similar results, which was expected from the gray level residuals (Fig-292 ure 11). This is no longer the case of the crack opening velocities (Figure 13(b)) 293 for which only the last three discretizations yield consistent results of 37 m/s. 294



Fig. 13. Mean crack opening displacement (a) and velocity (b) for different time discretizations

The major principal strain field is shown in Figure 14 for the last frame and different discretizations. These results are in line with displacement fields. This is to be expected since they were obtained by spatial differentiation only. Their levels being significantly higher than the corresponding uncertainties (Figure 7(c)), no significant difference is expected provided the captured kinematics was consistent with the experiment (Figure 11).



Fig. 14. Major principal strain field at the end of the video for different time discretizations

Contrary to the previous case, the major principal strain rate fields reported in Figure 15 are very different. This is due to the measurement uncertainties (Figure 7(d)). The effect of the temporal regularization is illustrated thanks to this last field. If consistent strain rates are sought, either spatiotemporal DIC is required or instantaneous DIC results would have to be filtered. Further, the strain rate field (Figure 15(a)) is consistent with the fact that rotations may be induced by the development of secondary cracks at the end of the video.



Fig. 15. Major principal strain rate field (expressed in 1/s) at the end of the video for different time discretizations

#### 308 3.5 5 Mfps video

The acquisition rate was reduced to 5 Mfps to make triggering easier. The specimen remained motionless for about the first 50 frames. Then a complex multiple cracking pattern occurs (Figure 16). The cracks do not initiate simultaneously, namely, a first pair emanating from the bottom contact zone initiates after the 50th frame, while a third one between the first two appears at about the 120th frame. A fourth crack again vertical but located on the <sup>315</sup> right side emerges from the free surface.



Fig. 16. (a) Initial and (b) final frame of the 5 Mfps video. The dimensions are expressed in mm

As for the previous case, one of the major cracks was explicitly accounted for by following the above-described node splitting procedure. Given the temporal complexity of the cracking phenomena, it was expected that the temporal discretization would have a much more important effect. It was indeed observed that the mean gray level residuals depend on the discretization, namely, the smaller the time interval, the lower the overall residuals (Figure 17).



Fig. 17. RMS gray level residual for different time discretizations

This trend can be understood by analyzing the crack opening kinematics 322 shown in Figure 18. A rather fine discretization was required in order to 323 capture all the temporal details of the cracking phenomenon. This is particu-324 larly visible on the crack opening velocities (Figure 18(b)) that show different 325 regimes and levels of velocities. However, with piece-wise linear interpolations, 326 the acceleration fields cannot be estimated (without posterior smoothing pro-327 cess that was not performed herein). The measurement uncertainties are too 328 high to provide any meaningful result (Figure 18(c)). Consequently, higher 329 order interpolations are required. 330



Fig. 18. Mean crack opening displacement (a), velocity (b), and acceleration (c) for different temporal discretizations. (d) Crack opening acceleration when a B-spline interpolation is considered

In the following, cubic B-spline interpolations were used. This choice allowed displacement and velocity fields to be continuous by construction. The continuity of the acceleration was also assumed during the whole analyzed sequence. Further, for the first temporal element the medium was assumed to be initially motionless (*i.e.*, the displacement, velocity and acceleration vanish at the initial time). For the last element, a natural spline was also implemented (*i.e.*, the acceleration was assumed to vanish at the last frame). Six elements

were considered. When all the previous conditions were accounted for, only 6 338 temporal degrees of freedom needed to be measured. With such parameteri-339 zation, the gray level residuals were very close to those observed with a larger 340 number of degrees of freedom (Figure 17). The crack opening displacements 341 (Figure 18(a)), and more importantly crack opening velocities (Figure 18(b)) 342 are in good agreement with the other discretizations except for the final time 343 where the natural spline condition prescribes a constant velocity (which is 344 probably not valid here). Further, the crack opening acceleration has a com-345 plex history (Figure 18(d)), which is consistent with the crack opening velocity 346 history. Levels as high as  $3 \times 10^7 \text{ m/s}^2$  were reached. 347

Figure 19 compares two kinematic fields at the end of the video obtained 348 via instantaneous DIC and when the B-spline interpolation is selected in the 349 spacetime analyses. The displacement fields are very similar in both cases 350 (Figure 19(a-b)). For the velocity fields, the differences are more pronounced 351 (Figure 19(c-d)). Interestingly, spatial fluctuations appear in the velocity field 352 measured via spacetime DIC. They are less resolved with instantaneous DIC. 353 The acceleration fields, which correspond to the time for highest crack open-354 ing acceleration) are significantly different for both methods (Figure 19(e-f)). 355 Only spacetime DIC provided acceleration fields that were meaningful (i.e.)356 their levels were significantly higher than the measurement uncertainties). The 357 results of instantaneous DIC would have to be filtered to compute acceleration 358 fields. Levels as high as  $\pm 4 \times 10^7 \text{ m/s}^2$  were reached in the reported field. 359



Fig. 19. (a,b) Horizontal displacement fields (expressed in  $\mu$ m). (c,d) Horizontal velocity fields (expressed in m/s). (e,f) Horizontal acceleration fields (expressed in m/s<sup>2</sup>). The left column corresponds to instantaneous DIC and the right column to spacetime DIC with B-spline interpolation

#### 360 4 Conclusion

Digital image correlation was used to measure displacement, velocity, and acceleration fields via instantaneous and spacetime approaches of videos acquired with an ultra-high speed camera of two dynamic Brazilian tests. Major principal strains and strain rates were also evaluated with the previous technique. Maximum displacements of the order of 1 mm, velocities up to  $\pm 200$  m/s, and acceleration levels of  $\pm 5$  million g's were obtained. Similar levels were observed from the mean crack opening kinematics.

Uncertainty quantifications were performed with videos acquired prior to each 368 experiment. With this information at hand, a denoised reference image could 369 be constructed for each analyzed test. Further, the standard uncertainties 370 were evaluated for the five kinematic quantities. With the selected camera, the 371 acquisition noise was observed to be very small for 5 and 10 million frames per 372 second videos. As a result, very low uncertainties were achieved even though 373 ultra-high acquisition rates were used. Very significant gains were observed 374 when spacetime discretizations could be used in comparison with standard 375 (*i.e.*, instantaneous) analyses, especially for the acceleration fields. 376

It is worth noting that such uncertainty quantifications are very useful not only when analyzing impact tests as was illustrated herein but also for comparing or selecting a camera [5]. This approach is very generic and complies with the ASD-STAN prEN 4861 procedure for metrological assessment of kinematic fields measured by digital image correlation [48].

#### 382 Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Dr. R. Akiki, Profs. F. Gatuingt, N. Schmitt and
H. Zhao for fruitful discussions about the tests reported herein.

#### 385 References

- D. Hopkinson, A method of measuring the pressure produced in the detonation
  of high explosives or by the impact of bullets, Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. A 213
  (1914) 437.
- <sup>389</sup> [2] H. Kolsky, An investigation of the mechanical properties of materials at very
  <sup>390</sup> high rates of loading, Proc. Phys. Soc. London 62b (1949) 676-700.
- M. Sutton, J. Orteu, H. Schreier, Image correlation for shape, motion and
   deformation measurements: Basic Concepts, Theory and Applications, Springer,
   New York, NY (USA), 2009.
- J. Field, S. Walley, W. Proud, H. Goldrein, C. Siviour, Review of experimental
  techniques for high rate deformation and shock studies, Int. J. Impact Eng. 30
  (2004) 725-775.
- F. Hild, A. Bouterf, P. Forquin, S. Roux, On the Use of Digital Image Correlation
  for the Analysis of the Dynamic Behavior of Materials, in: The Micro-World
  Observed by Ultra High-Speed Cameras, 2018, pp. 185–206.
- 400 [6] W. Peters, W. Ranson, Digital imaging techniques in experimental stress
  401 analysis, Opt. Eng. 21 (1982) 427-431.
- <sup>402</sup> [7] M. Sutton, W. Wolters, W. Peters, W. Ranson, S. McNeill, Determination of
  <sup>403</sup> displacements using an improved digital correlation method, Im. Vis. Comp.
  <sup>404</sup> 1 (3) (1983) 133-139.

- [8] W. Peters, W. Ranson, J. Kalthoff, S. Winkler, A study of dynamic near-cracktip fracture parameters by digital image analysis, J. Phys. Coll. 46 (C5) (1985)
  631-638.
- <sup>408</sup> [9] Y. Chao, P. Luo, J. Kalthoff, An experimental study of the deformation fields
  <sup>409</sup> around a propagating crack tip, Exp. Mech. 38 (2) (1998) 79-85.
- [10] T. Etoh, Q. Nguyen, Evolution of High-Speed Image Sensors, Springer
  International Publishing, 2018, pp. 81–101.
- [11] F. Barthelat, Z. Wu, B. Prorok, H. Espinosa, Dynamic torsion testing of
  nanocrystalline coatings using high-speed photography and digital image
  correlation, Exp. Mech. 43 (3) (2003) 331–340.
- [12] J. Kajberg, M. Sjödahl, Optical method to study material behaviour at
  high strain rates, in: P. Ståhle, K. Sundin (Eds.), IUTAM Symposium on
  Field Analyses for Determination of Material Parameters Experimental and
  Numerical Aspects, Vol. 109 of Solid Mechanics and its Applications, Springer
  (the Netherlands), 2003, pp. 37–49.
- [13] A. Gilat, T. Schmidt, J. Tyson, Full field measurement during a tensile split
  hopkinson bar experiment, J. Phys. IV 134 (2006) 687–692.
- [14] I. Elnasri, S. Pattofatto, H. Zhao, H. Tsitsiris, F. Hild, Y. Girard, Shock
  enhancement of cellular structures under impact loading: Part i experiments,
  J. Mech. Phys. Solids 55 (2007) 2652-2671.
- [15] J. Kajberg, B. Wikman, Viscoplastic parameter estimation by high strainrate experiments and inverse modelling speckle measurements and high-speed
  photography, Int. J. Solids Struct. 44 (1) (2007) 145–164.
- [16] P. Reu, T. Miller, The application of high-speed digital image correlation, J.
  Strain Anal. 43 (8) (2008) 673-688.

- [17] V. Tarigopula, O. Hopperstad, M. Langseth, A. Clausen, F. Hild, A study of
  localisation in dual phase high-strength steels under dynamic loading using
  digital image correlation and fe analysis, Int. J. Solids Struct. 45 (2) (2008)
  601-619.
- [18] F. Pierron, M. Sutton, V. Tiwari, Ultra high speed dic and virtual fields method
  analysis of a three point bending impact test on an aluminium bar, Exp. Mech.
  51 (4) (2011) 537-563.
- [19] D. Saletti, S. Pattofatto, H. Zhao, Measurement of phase transformation
  properties under moderate impact tensile loading in a niti alloy, Mech. Mat.
  65 (2013) 1–11.
- <sup>440</sup> [20] Search with PUBLICATION NAME: (international journal of impact
  <sup>441</sup> engineering) & TOPIC: (image correlation), [accessed September 5, 2018],
  <sup>442</sup> www.webofknowledge.com.
- [21] V. Tiwari, M. Sutton, S. McNeill, S. Xu, X. Deng, W. Fourney, D. Bretall,
  Application of 3d image correlation for full-field transient plate deformation
  measurements during blast loading, International Journal of Impact Engineering
  36 (6) (2009) 862-874.
- [22] D. Dubois, H. Zellmer, E. Markiewicz, Experimental and numerical analysis of
  seat belt bunching phenomenon, International Journal of Impact Engineering
  36 (6) (2009) 763-774.
- [23] P. Hogström, J. Ringsberg, E. Johnson, An experimental and numerical study of
  the effects of length scale and strain state on the necking and fracture behaviours
  in sheet metals, International Journal of Impact Engineering 36 (10) (2009)
  1194–1203.
- [24] F. Lauro, B. Bennani, D. Morin, A. Epee, The SEÉ-method for determination
  of behaviour laws for strain rate dependent material: Application to polymer
  material, International Journal of Impact Engineering 37 (6) (2010) 715–722.

- [25] R. Moura, A. Clausen, E. Fagerholt, M. Alves, M. Langseth, Impact on hdpe
  and pvc plates experimental tests and numerical simulations, International
  Journal of Impact Engineering 37 (6) (2010) 580–598.
- [26] J. Peirs, P. Verleysen, W. V. Paepegem, J. Degrieck, Determining the stressstrain behaviour at large strains from high strain rate tensile and shear
  experiments, International Journal of Impact Engineering 38 (5) (2011) 406–
  463 415.
- [27] A. Collins, J. Addiss, S. Walley, K. Promratana, F. Bobaru, W. Proud,
  D. Williamson, The effect of rod nose shape on the internal flow fields during
  the ballistic penetration of sand, International Journal of Impact Engineering
  38 (12) (2011) 951–963.
- <sup>468</sup> [28] J. LeBlanc, A. Shukla, Response of e-glass/vinyl ester composite panels to
  <sup>469</sup> underwater explosive loading: Effects of laminate modifications, International
  <sup>470</sup> Journal of Impact Engineering 38 (10) (2011) 796-803.
- [29] P. Kumar, J. LeBlanc, D. Stargel, A. Shukla, Effect of plate curvature on blast
  response of aluminum panels, International Journal of Impact Engineering 46
  (2012) 74-85.
- [30] G. Besnard, F. Hild, J. Lagrange, P. Martinuzzi, S. Roux, Analysis of necking
  in high speed experiments by stereocorrelation, Int. J. Impact Eng. 49 (2012)
  179–191.
- [31] K. Spranghers, I. Vasilakos, D. Lecompte, H. Sol, J. Vantomme, Numerical simulation and experimental validation of the dynamic response of aluminum plates under free air explosions, International Journal of Impact Engineering 54 (2013) 83–95.
- [32] R. Ambriz, C. Froustey, G. Mesmacque, Determination of the tensile behavior
  at middle strain rate of aa6061-t6 aluminum alloy welds, International Journal
  of Impact Engineering 60 (2013) 107-119.

- [33] J. Seidt, J. Pereira, A. Gilat, D. Revilock, K.Nandwana, Ballistic impact of
  anisotropic 2024 aluminum sheet and plate, International Journal of Impact
  Engineering 62 (2013) 27–34.
- [34] L. Wang, Y. Ding, L. Yang, Experimental investigation on dynamic constitutive
   behavior of aluminum foams by new inverse methods from wave propagation
   measurements, International Journal of Impact Engineering 62 (2013) 48–59.
- [35] M. O'Masta, B. Compton, E. Gamble, F. Zok, V. Deshpande, H. Wadley,
  Ballistic impact response of an uhmwpe fiber reinforced laminate encasing of an
  aluminum-alumina hybrid panel, International Journal of Impact Engineering
  86 (2015) 131-144.
- [36] M. Louar, B. Belkassem, H. Ousji, K. Spranghers, D. Kakogiannis, L. Pyl,
  J. Vantomme, Explosive driven shock tube loading of aluminium plates:
  experimental study, International Journal of Impact Engineering 86 (2015) 111–
  123.
- [37] G. Gruben, M. Langseth, E. Fagerholt, O. Hopperstad, Low-velocity impact on
   high-strength steel sheets: An experimental and numerical study, International
   Journal of Impact Engineering 88 (2016) 153–171.
- [38] B. Koohbor, A. Kidane, W.-Y. Lu, M. Sutton, Investigation of the dynamic
   stress-strain response of compressible polymeric foam using a non-parametric
   analysis, International Journal of Impact Engineering 91 (2016) 170–182.
- [39] M. Omidvar, M. Iskander, S. Bless, Soil-projectile interactions during low
  velocity penetration, International Journal of Impact Engineering 93 (2016)
  211-221.
- [40] B. Koohbor, A. Kidane, M. Sutton, X. Zhao, S. Mallon, Analysis of dynamic
  bending test using ultra high speed dic and the virtual fields method,
  International Journal of Impact Engineering 110 (2017) 299-310.

- [41] H. Xing, Q. Zhang, D. Ruan, S. Dehkhoda, G. Lu, J. Zhao, Full-field
  measurement and fracture characterisations of rocks under dynamic loads using
  high-speed three-dimensional digital image correlation, International Journal of
  Impact Engineering 113 (2018) 61-72.
- [42] G. Broggiato, L. Casarotto, Z. Del Prete, D. Maccarrone, Full-field strain rate
  measurement by white-light speckle image correlation, Strain 45 (4) (2009) 364–
  372.
- <sup>517</sup> [43] G. Besnard, S. Guérard, S. Roux, F. Hild, A space-time approach in digital
  <sup>518</sup> image correlation: Movie-DIC, Optics Lasers Eng. 49 (2011) 71-81.
- [44] G. Besnard, H. Leclerc, S. Roux, F. Hild, Analysis of image series through digital
  image correlation, J. Strain Analysis 47 (4) (2012) 214–228.
- [45] M. Berny, T. Archer, A. Mavel, P. Beauchêne, S. Roux, F. Hild, On the analysis
  of heat haze effects with spacetime DIC, Opt. Lasers Eng. 40 (2018) 544–556.
- <sup>523</sup> [46] G. Besnard, F. Hild, S. Roux, "Finite-element" displacement fields analysis
  <sup>524</sup> from digital images: Application to Portevin-Le Chatelier bands, Exp. Mech.
  <sup>525</sup> 46 (2006) 789-803.
- <sup>526</sup> [47] F. Hild, S. Roux, Comparison of local and global approaches to digital image
  <sup>527</sup> correlation, Exp. Mech. 52 (9) (2012) 1503–1519.
- [48] ASD-STAN prEN 4861 P1 Aerospace series-Metrological assessment procedure
  for kinematic fields measured by digital image correlation, [accessed September
  5, 2018], www.asd-stan.org/downloads/asd-stan-pren-4861-p1/.
- [49] M. Berny, C. Jailin, A. Bouterf, F. Hild, S. Roux, Model Reduction for SpaceTime DIC, Mea. Sci. Technol. 29 (12) (2018) 125008.
- <sup>533</sup> [50] ISO, Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurements (GUM),
  <sup>534</sup> International Organization for Standardization, Geneva (Switzerland), 1995.

- [51] F. Lobo Carneiro, Um novo método para determinação da resistência à tração
  dos concretos, in: Anais 5a reunião da Associação Brasileira de Normas Técnicas
  (ABNT) em São Paulo, 1943, pp. 127–129.
- <sup>538</sup> [52] F. Lobo Carneiro, Une nouvelle méthode pour la détermination de la résistance
  à la traction des bétons, Bull. RILEM 13 (1953) 103–108.
- 540 [53] Z. Tomičević, F. Hild, S. Roux, Mechanics-aided digital image correlation, J.
- 541 Strain Analysis 48 (2013) 330–343.