

The very high spatial resolution infrared thermography on ITER-like tungsten monoblocks in WEST Tokamak

M. Houry, C. Pocheau, M-H Aumeunier, C. Balorin, K. Blanckaert, Y. Corre, X. Courtois, Fabien R Ferlay, J. Gaspar, S. Gazzotti, et al.

To cite this version:

M. Houry, C. Pocheau, M-H Aumeunier, C. Balorin, K. Blanckaert, et al.. The very high spatial resolution infrared thermography on ITER-like tungsten monoblocks in WEST Tokamak. Fusion Engineering and Design, 2019, Fusion Engineering and Design, 146 (part A), pp.1104-1107. 10.1016/j.fusengdes.2019.02.017 hal-02093804

HAL Id: hal-02093804 <https://hal.science/hal-02093804>

Submitted on 29 Jan 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

The very high spatial resolution infrared thermography on ITER-like tungsten monoblocks in WEST Tokamak

M. Houry^{*a*}, C. Pocheau^{*a*}, M-H. Aumeunier^{*a*}, C. Balorin^{*a*}, K. Blanckaert^{*a*}, Y. Corre^{*a*}, X. Courtois^{*a*}, F. Ferlay^a, J. Gaspar^b, S. Gazzotti^a, A. Grosjean^a, Th. Loarer^a, H. Roche^a, A. Saille^a, S. Vives^a and the WEST Team

^a CEA-IRFM, F-13108 Saint-Paul-Lez-Durance, France ^b Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS, IUSTI UMR 7343, 13013 Marseille, France

A new Infrared diagnostic has been developed by CEA-IRFM and installed in the WEST tokamak to measure surface temperature of the actively cooled W-monoblocks components as foreseen for the ITER Divertor, with a very high spatial resolution of 100µm. The goals are to investigate the effects of the shaping of these components on the heat load deposition pattern, the evolution of pre-damaged components specifically introduced in WEST, the behavior of the leading edges regarding the assembling tolerances between adjacent monoblocks, and finally to contribute to the specification assessment of the ITER divertor units. In WEST, each Plasma Facing Unit is composed of 35 W-monoblocks of individual surface of 28x12mm. To analyze heat load pattern and phenomena on such tiny surfaces, the leading edges and in the narrow gaps between monoblocks (400-500µm), a 100µm spatial resolution is required. Then, a Very High spatial Resolution (VHR) infrared diagnostic has been specially developed at CEA-IRFM. The VHR operates at 1.7µm wavelength to take advantage of the dynamic of the signal for the temperature range (400 to 3600°C). The VHR infrared diagnostic is now operational above the divertor sector made of actively cooled W-monoblocks and graphite inertial components with W coating. This paper gives a description of the diagnostic.

Keywords: ITER, Plasma facing components Protection, Diagnostics, infrared, tungsten monoblocks.

1. Introduction and context

The ITER full tungsten (W) divertor will be made of several hundred thousands of W monoblocks with surface of a few cm^2 exposed to the plasma. Assembled on a pipe like a rosary for the cooling by a water loop, the requirement for the mechanical assembly tolerance is highly demanding [1]. Indeed, due to the low angle of incidence of the magnetic field lines, on the surface of the components, to spread the heat loads, a misalignment of the components can induce heat loads on the side of the component with an incidence close to perpendicular (Fig. 1) [2].

Fig. 1: Cross section of the ITER-like Plasma Facing Unit (PFU), showing the impact of a misalignment between neighbouring PFU in terms of local overheating of the protruding leading edge (left), and proposed monoblock shaping options to handle this issue (right) .

The heat loads deposited per unit of area can then lead to a local melting of components and irreversible damages [3], [4]. Designs are proposed to reduce the risk induced by misalignments. They consist of a machining of component surface for a shadowing effect over the successive components or a chamfer on the edges of the components ("fish-scale" shaping, Fig. 1) or a chamfer on the edges of the components ("chamfer shaping, Fig. 1). The misalignment tolerance for the components assembly and the capability of the applied designs to reduce the risk of damage, as well as the impact of damages on the heat exhaust capacity of the components are crucial issues for ITER [5].

With the modification of the Tore Supra tokamak into WEST, the CEA-IRFM offers a platform to test the concept and designs of the Plasma Facing unit (PFU) made of Tungsten monoblocks for ITER [6], [7]. PFUs of different manufacturers and different design and shaping will thus be tested under different plasma conditions and with ITER-relevant heat loads deposition ranging from $10MW/m^2$ up to $20MW/m^2$ of peak heat flux.

The observation of phenomena related to heat loads on the leading edges of the components is a challenge. Indeed, phenomena occur on very narrow surfaces and with tricky angles and distances for observation purposes. To this end, a Very High spatial Resolution (VHR) infrared diagnostic has been specially developed at CEA-IRFM to observe heat loads on the ITER-like PFU to be tested in WEST. This paper describes the

diagnostic design, the performances obtained as well as the first observations performed in WEST.

2. Description of the diagnostic

2.1 Tokamak environment

The WEST divertor with the ITER-like PFUs is a conical surface at the bottom of the vacuum vessel. The observation on the divertor is made from an upper port. The line of sight between the first mirror and the divertor is about 2m, with an incidence close to 90° [\(Fig 2](#page-2-0)).

Fig 2: CAD view of the WEST Vessel. (1) lower divertor (2) upper divertor, (3) Baffle, (4) upper port with VHR diagnostic.

The head of the endoscope and the first mirror are positioned 30cm backward in the port to prevent the heat load deposition from particles and radiative flux. Thus, it was not necessary to install a water cooling system on the head of the endoscope, significantly reducing the design and integration constraints.

2.2 Optical design

The gaps between the monoblocks (0.5 to 1mm), the chamfers (1mm range), the misalignments between PFU (maximum of 0.3mm) and the local overheating expected on few mm led to a requirement for the spatial resolution of 100μm, in order to provide enough measurement points for analysis.

The observation of the components with a pixel resolution of 0.1mm constrains the objects and image fields of the optical design. The observed field is 64mm x 51mm with a matrix of 640x512 pixels with a pitch of 15μm, thus defining a transverse magnification of 1/6.67.

To achieve this high spatial resolution, the design of the IR diagnostic is made of 6 mirrors and 4 lenses (Fig 3): 1 spherical mirror $(\#3)$, a cylindrical mirror $(\#4)$, 4 lenses and 4 flat mirrors $(\#1, \#2, \#5, \#6)$; the first 2 can be remotely moved to scan the observable surface. Mirrors of 10cm diameter are silicon with protected Aucoating.

Fig 3: Design of the optical path (left part) CAD view of the VHR IR diagnostic (right part).

2.3 Mechanical design

The conceptual mechanical design of the endoscope is inspired by space industry. The endoscope structure is light, compact and "flexible-stiff" to sustain transient events such as disruptions. Modal vibrational frequency calculations have been performed to ensure that, in the vibratory environment of the tokamak, the structure of the endoscope could not resonate. Thus, this design does not present vibratory mode below 50Hz. The part under vacuum consists of successive platforms for the installation of mirrors, connected by tie rods and flexible structures. A stainless steel cylinder cover encloses the assembly without thermal contact with the internal structure (Fig 3 and 4). The dimension of the endoscope from one end to the other is 2.33m; the diameter of the in-vessel part is 200mm

Fig 4: Design of the mechanical structure holding the mirrors (in-vessel part of the endoscope).

Due to the ripple effect and the displacement of the strike points on the divertor, the field of view, which is quite narrow (64*51mm), must be able to scan the divertor surface to reach the areas of interest. The two head mirrors are thus actuated to rotate on their axis of rotation (poloidal for the mirror 1 and toroidal for the

mirror 2). The field of view can thus move on the divertor on a surface of 360x420mm, covering 14 PFUs (toroidal direction) and 28 monoblocks (poloidal direction). The actuators are located at the upper end of the endoscope (away from the magnetic field) and are used to move cables for the rotation of the two first mirrors to move the field of view on the divertor (Fig 3). The displacement of the field of view on the selected monoblocks is automated using dedicated software.

Due to the conical shape of the divertor, the line of sight distance varies according to the observed field of view (±4cm). This is optically compensated by a motorized camera lens which allows adjusting the focus according to the observed area on the divertor.

2.4 Infrared camera

The infrared camera of the VHR IR diagnostic is one of the 12 homemade WEST infrared cameras (Fig. 5) developed for the real time monitoring and protection of WEST components [8].

Fig 5: WEST Home-made cameras with soft iron magnetic shield.

The sensor is an InSb array which operate in the spectral range $[1.5 - 5.0 \mu m]$, with a pixel frame of 640x512 at 250Hz acquisition frame rate. A soft iron magnetic shielding protects the camera components against the magnetic fields of the tokamak. An actively cooled plate is fixed with screws on the casing to maintain a stable temperature. The band-pass filter installed few mm in front of the sensor is also cooled using a Peltier module to reduce the contribution of the background.

The bad pixels replacement, the correction of nonuniformity of the detector and the digital level to temperature conversion is performed in real time in an external FPGA board.

3. Performances

3.1 Temperature Measurement

Temperature calibration has been performed on CEA-IRFM test benches with a black body up to 1600°C with a point source and 500°C with an extended source. The extrapolation to temperature up to 3000°C has been performed based on the good linearity of the sensor. The optical transmission of the endoscope has been measured at 55%. Emissivity remains a delicate point for absolute temperature measurement with metallic components. We use emissivity values from measurements performed on a dedicated test bed. Tungsten emissivity has been measured versus surface temperature, wavelength and surface state of the monoblocks (mainly its roughness). In addition, sensors embedded in the components of the divertor (thermocouples and Fiber Bragg Grating) contribute by cross-measurement to reducing the uncertainty on the emissivity and the surface temperature. The choice of the 1.7μm wavelength for the optimization of the measurement also offers an improvement on the absolute error on the temperature (compare to middle wavelength range). The choice of this wavelength is based on the very large temperature range (400 to 3600°C) foreseen for the experimental studies (up to melting of the leading edges).

3.2 Image Resolution

The modulation transfer function (MTF) is a basic performance measurement of an imaging system describing the optical response of the system as a function of the spatial frequencies. The experimental MTF in the Figure 6 (bottom) has been derived from a single tilted slit target (Line Spread Function) using a Fourier Transform procedure. The slit width has been fixed to 25 um much smaller compared to the width of the PSF and the pixel size (100µm in the object plane).

Fig 6: Slit response function (upper figure) and modulation transfer function (bottom figure) of the VHR diagnostic.

The Figure 6 shows the measured MTF and a comparison with the pixel MTF (with a pixel of 0.1 mm in object plane).The response of our system is here limited by the optics (mainly by the diffraction phenomenon). We note that at 300μm, the MTF is 35% which is a commonly used lowest value for imaging

contrast acceptance. Fig 6 (upper) shows the slit response function (SRF) obtained by measuring the luminance ratio at a given (thin) slit width with a very wide slit width [9].

3.3. Observation on WEST components

During the first WEST campaign where the VHR IR diagnostic was installed (summer 2018), the heat loads on the ITER like PFU were not sufficient to reach the temperature threshold of the VHR. However, images have been collected during events producing a lot of radiation such as disruptions. The figure 7 is an image obtained during a disruption (pulse #52205). The IR rays coming from the surface of the monoblocks are reflection, but not thermal emission of the monoblock. We clearly see the monoblocks of 2 ITER-like PFUs and on the right side an inertial PFU with W coating. The gaps and chamfers are clearly observed around the surfaces of the monoblocks, showing that the VHR IR system is able to monitor the submillimeter features of the ITER like PFU as required.

Fig 7: Image of the surface of the Plasma Facing Unit on lower Divertor during disruption (Color scale in arbitrary units).

4. Conclusion

The VHR infrared diagnostic on WEST is dedicated to observation of heat loads depositions on ITER-like PFUs. The pixel resolution is 100µm and then imaging spatial resolution at MTF-35% is 300µm leading to a surface temperature error of 15% at 1000°C.

The diagnostic is operational and follows the power ramp-up of WEST, ready for observation of heat loads on leading edges and effects from PFU misalignments and components surface shaping.

Future upgrades of the diagnostic are being studied. They concern (i) the implementation of a two-color measurement for a better accuracy on the measurement of temperature and (ii) fast measurement for the observation of fast thermal transient events such as the ELM energy deposition.

Acknowledgments

"This work has been carried out within the framework of EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from Euratom research and training programme. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission.

References

- [1] S. Carpentier *et al,* 2014 *Phys. Scr.* T159 014002
- [2] Y. Corre, *et al*, Nucl. Fusion 57 (2017) 016009.
- [3] J.P. Gunn *et al*, Nucl. Fusion 57 (2017) 046025
- [4] R. Dejarnac *et al,* Nucl. Fusion 58 (2018) 066003
- [5] [R.A.Pitts](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022311513000160#!) *et al.,* Journal of Nuclear Materials, volume 438, 2013, S48
- [6] J. Bucalossi, *et al,* Fusion Eng. Des. **89** (2014) 907–912.
- [7] D. Guilhem, *et al*, *Phys. Scr.* 167 (2016) 014066.
- [8] X. Courtois *et al*, Fusion Eng. Des. (2018), SOFT conference
- [9] X. Courtois *et al,* Fusion Eng. Des. In Press, doi 10.1016/j.fusengdes.2018.05.042