

Particles from preformed polymers as carriers for drug delivery.

A Miladi, A Ibraheem, A. Iqbal, A Sfar, A Fessi, A. Elaissari

▶ To cite this version:

A Miladi, A Ibraheem, A. Iqbal, A Sfar, A Fessi, et al.. Particles from preformed polymers as carriers for drug delivery.. EXCLI Journal, 2019, 13, pp.28-57. hal-02093354

HAL Id: hal-02093354 https://hal.science/hal-02093354

Submitted on 17 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Particles from preformed polymer as carriers for drug delivery 1 2 K. Miladi^{a,b}, D. Ibraheem^a, M. Iqbal^a, S. Sfar^b, H. Fessi^a, A. Elaissari^a* 3 ^a University of Lyon, F- 69622, Lyon, France; Lyon 1 University, Villeurbanne, 4 CNRS, UMR 5007, Laboratoire d'Automatique et de Génie des Procédés, LAGEP-5 CPE-308G, 43 bd. du 11 Nov.1918, F-69622, Villeurbanne, France. 6 7 ^b University of Monastir, Laboratoire de pharmacie galénique, Rue Avicenne, 5000 Monastir, Tunisia 8 9 10 * Corresponding author: Phone: +33-472431841, Fax: +33-472431682 11 12 E-mail: elaissari@lagep.univ-lyon1.fr 13

14 Abstract:

Biodegradable and biocompatible polymers are widely used for the encapsulation of drug 15 molecules. Various particulate carriers with different sizes and characteristics have been 16 17 prepared by miscellaneous techniques. In this review, we reported the commonly used preformed polymer based techniques for the preparation of micro and nano-structured 18 19 materials intended for drug encapsulation. A description of polymer solvent interaction was provided. The most widely used polymers were reported and described and their realted 20 research studies were mentioned. Moreover, principles of each technique and its crucial 21 operating conditions were described and discussed. Recent applications of all the reported 22 techniques in drug delivery were also reviewed. 23

24 Introduction:

Particulate carriers have gained tremendous interest during the last decades which permitted 25 26 to deliver many hydrophilic and hydrophobic molecules. Obtained particles present small size which facilitates their absorption. These drug delivery systems allow the protection of active 27 pharmaceutical ingredients from degradation, enhance biopharmaceutical properties and could 28 provide passive or active targeting or sustained delivery. Biomedical applications of the 29 developed carriers are continuously growing (Ahmad 2013)(Soares 2013)(Miladi et al. 2013). 30 Although, they present different physicochemical properties, the used polymers are mainly 31 32 biocompatible and biodegradable. A multitude of techniques are used to obtain these particles. These methods differ by their principles and the nature of drug molecules that could be 33 encapsulated. Some successfully marketed products led to an enlargement of the applications 34 35 and the interest given by researchers to these drug delivery systems. Choice of the technique 36 and operating conditions is crucial to obtain formulations bearing good properties for in vitro

and *in vivo* applications. In this review, we will focus on polymeric nanoparticles and give a
scope about the most used polymers. We will also describe the common preformed polymer
based techniques used for the encapsulation of drug molecules. We will also review the major
applications of the developed particles during the last years and their main properties.

41 **1.** Polymer solvent interactions:

A lot of techniques that rely on preformed polymers have been used for the preparation of 42 particulate carriers. Although these methods are quite different, they generally share a unique 43 44 principle which is polymer precipitation. Precipitation of the polymer occurs either when a non solvent is added or after subsequent decrease of its solubility in a solvent. Many 45 parameters could influence polymer solubility such as, solvent nature, pH, salinity and 46 temperature of the dispersion medium. Solubility of polyelectrolytes in water, for example, is 47 48 highly pH and salinity dependent (Gennes 1979), while that of poly(alkyl acrylamide) and 49 poly(alkyl methacrylamide), is mainly temperature dependent (Elaissari 2002). In fact, nanoprecipitation and emulsion based techniques are based on the addition of a non solvent to 50 51 the polymer which causes its precipitation. However, ionic gelation technique, for instance, in which generally a polyelectrolyte is used as polymer, is based on the addition of a salt or an 52 oppositely charged polymer. This results in a change in the salinity of the medium and the 53 appearance of electrostatic interactions and thus, leads to polymer precipitation. The 54 thermodynamic behavior of the polymer in a given solution is highly dependent on the Flory 55 χ -parameter. This parameter is defined as the free energy change per solvent molecule (in k_BT 56 units) when a solvent-solvent contact is shifted to a solvent-polymer contact. It is expressed 57 by the following mathematical equations: 58

60

$$\chi = \frac{\Delta G}{k_B T} = \frac{\Delta H - T \Delta S}{k_B T} = \frac{1}{2} - A(1 - \frac{\theta}{T}) \qquad \text{Equation (1)}$$

61 Where kB and T are Boltzmann constant and temperature, respectively; A and θ parameters 62 are defined as follow:

63
$$A = \frac{2\Delta S + k_B}{2k_B}$$
 Equation (2)

64
$$\theta = \frac{2\Delta H}{2\Delta S + k_B}$$
 Equation (3)

65

It can be seen that the A parameter is directly related to entropy changes, whereas θ temperature is a function of both entropic and enthalpic variations. When θ temperature = T, the corresponding Flory χ -parameter = 1/2, at which the second Virial coefficient is equal zero (Elias 2003). The latter can be easily determined from light scattering measurements of a diluted polymer solution. At θ temperature conditions, the binary interactions among constituents will be negligible and only the excluded volume effects will be predominant. Consequently, the solvent will be a good solvent for the polymer when $\chi < 1/2$ and a poor one when $\chi > 1/2$ (Minost et al. 2012).

74

2. Commonly used polymers for encapsulation:

A lot of polymers have been used for drug encapsulation but only biodegradable and 75 biocompatible polymers are suitable for biomedical applications. The biodegradability of a 76 77 polymer is acquired by the presence of a labile function such as ester, orthoester, anhydride, carbonate, amide, urea or urethane in their backbone. These polymers could be of natural 78 (polysaccharides and protein based polymers) or synthetic (polyesters) nature (Pillai & 79 Panchagnula 2001). The most commonly used polymers for drug encapsulation are polyesters 80 and copolymers, poly-ε-caprolactone), 81 (lactide glycolide acrylic polymers (polymethacrylates) and polyamides (gelatin and albumin). The selection of the right polymer 82 is a crucial step to obtain particles that are suitable for a well-defined application. In fact, 83 polymers' structures are highly different and their surface and bulk properties are highly 84 relevant for the obtaining of the desirable biological application. Copolymers could be also 85 used to monitor the hydrophobicity of the materials. Some polymers are poly(ethyleneglycol) 86 (PEG) copolymerized in order to decrease nanoparticle recognition by the reticular 87 endothelial system. Table 1 contains examples of the most used biocompatible and 88 biodegradable polymers in encapsulation. Some polymers, especially those having 89 90 mucoadhesive properties, could also be used for coating the nanocarriers (Mazzaferro et al. 2012)(Zandanel & Vauthier 2012). 91

92 **2.1.Natural polymers:**

93 **2.1.1.** Chitosan:

Chitosan is obtained by deacetylation of chitin, which is the structural element in the 94 exoskeleton of crustaceans (crabs, shrimp, etc.) and cell walls of fungi. It is a cationic and 95 biodegradable polysaccharide consisting of repeating D-glucosamine and N-acetyl-D-96 glucosamine units, linked via (1-4) glycosidic bonds. Chitosan is non toxic and can be 97 digested in the physiological environment, either by lysozymes or by chitinases, which are 98 present in the human intestine and in the blood. These properties led to increased interest for 99 this polymer in pharmaceutical research and in industry as a carrier for drug delivery (Mao et 100 al. 2010). In addition, chitosan has mucoadhesive properties owing to its positive charge that 101 allows interaction with the negatively-charged mucosal surface. Consequently, the use of 102 chitosan as a matrix (Patil & Sawant 2011) or as a coating material (Mazzarino et al. 2012) in 103 104 drug encapsulation had become a promising strategy to prolong the residence time, to increase the absorption of active molecules through the mucosa (Mao et al. 2010)(Alpar et al. 2005) 105 and also for targeted delivery (Park et al. 2010). 106

107 108

2.1.2. Dextran and its derivatives:

109 Dextran polymers are produced by bacteria from sucrose. Chemical synthesis is also possible. 110 These glucose polymers consist predominantly of linear α -1,6-glucosidic linkage with some 111 degree of branching via 1,3-linkage. Dextran-based microspheres have got much attention 112 because of their low toxicity, good biocompatibility and biodegradability, which are of

interest for application in biomedical and pharmaceutical fields (Mehvar 2000). Many detxran 113 polymers such as Sephadex® (cross-linked dextran microspheres) as well as Spherex® 114 (cross-linked starch microspheres) were used as carriers for drug delivery. Other derivatives 115 of dextran and starch including diethyl aminoethyl dextran and polyacryl starch have also 116 been used for mucosal drug delivery. Illum et al. proposed some mechanisms to explain 117 absorption enhancement effects of cross-linked starch and dextran microspheres intended to 118 nasal delivery which are: (1) Deposition of the microspheres in the less or non ciliated 119 120 anterior part of the nasal cavity and slower nasal clearance; (2) Retention of the formulation in the nasal cavity for an extended time period because of the bioadhesive properties of the 121 microspheres and (3) The local high drug concentration provided by the gelled system in 122 close contact with the epithelial absorptive surface (Illum et al. 2001). 123

124 125

2.1.3. Cyclodextrins:

Cyclodextrins (CDs) are cyclic oligosaccharides that contain at least six D-(+) glucopyranose 126 units which are attached by α -(1,4) glucosidic bonds. They have been widely used for the 127 formulation of drugs with bioavailability concerns resulting from poor solubility, poor 128 stability and severe side effects. There are 3 natural CDs which are α -, β -, and γ -CDs (with 6, 129 7, or 8 glucose units respectively) (Challa et al. 2005). In addition, amphiphilic cyclodextrins 130 are synthetic derivatives of natural cyclodextrins. Such derivatives are able to self-organize in 131 water to form micelles and nano-aggregates, which is interesting for pharmaceutical 132 applications, mainly, encapsulation (Gèze et al. 2002). In fact, amphiphilic cyclodextrins have 133 recently been used to prepare nanoparticles and nanocapsules without surfactants and have 134 shown high drug-loading capacity with favorable release properties (Lemos-Senna et al. 135 1998)(Çirpanli et al. 2009)(Duchêne 1999). They have also been used for targeting and for 136 increasing drug loading (Duchêne et al. 1999). 137

138 139

2.1.4. Gelatin:

Gelatin is a natural polymer that is derived from collagen. It is commonly used for pharmaceutical and medical applications because of its biodegradability and biocompatibility in physiological environments. Gelatin is attractive for use in controlled release due to its nontoxic, bioactive properties and inexpensive price. It is also a polyampholyte having both cationic and anionic groups along with hydrophilic groups. Mechanical properties, swelling behavior and thermal properties of gelatin depend significantly on its crosslinking degree. (Young et al. 2005).

147 148

2.2.Biodegradable polyesters:

Polyester-based polymers are among of the most widely investigated materials for drug
delivery. Poly(lactic acid) (PLA), poly(glycolic acid) (PGA) and their copolymers poly(lactic
acid-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) along with poly-ε-caprolactone are some of the well-defined
biomaterials with regard to design and performance for drug-delivery applications.

- 153
- 154 **2.2.1.** PLGA:

155

its excellent biocompatibility, biodegradability, and mechanical strength. PLGA is approved 157 by the US FDA and European Medicine Agency (EMA) in various drug delivery systems in 158 humans. In order to improve the formulation of controlled drug delivery systems, an 159 understanding of the physical, chemical, and biological properties of polymers is helpful. In 160 fact, the polymer is commercially available with different molecular weights and copolymer 161 compositions. The degradation time can vary from several months to several years, depending 162 on the molecular weight and copolymer ratio (Danhier et al. 2012). For example, lactic acid is 163 more hydrophobic than glycolic acid and, therefore, lactide-rich PLGA copolymers are less 164 hydrophilic, absorb less water, and subsequently, degrade more slowly (Dinarvand et al. 165 2011). PLGA particles are widely used to encapsulate active molecules with a broad spectrum

167 168

166

156

2.2.2. PLA: 169

170

PLA is a biocompatible and biodegradable synthetic polyester which undergoes scission in 171 the body to monomeric units of lactic acid. The latter is a natural intermediate in carbohydrate 172 173 metabolism. PLA possess good mechanical properties and it is largely used for the preparation of particles (Gupta & Kumar 2007). 174

of pharmaceutical applications (Danhier et al. 2012)(Menei et al. 2005)(Singh et al. 2004).

PLGA, a copolymer of lactic acid and glycolic acid, has generated tremendous interest due to

175

2.2.3. PCL: 176

177 It was in 1930s that the ring-opening polymerization of PCL was studied. The biodegradable property of this synthetic polymer was first identified in 1973. PCL is suitable for controlled 178 drug delivery due to its high permeability to many drugs and non-toxicity (Sinha et al. 2004). 179 Molecular weight dependent surface hydrophobicity and crystallinity of PCL are the causes 180 for its slower biodegradation in two distinct phases such as random non-enzymatic cleavage 181 and enzymatic fragmentation. The lipophillic drugs are generally distributed uniformly in the 182 matrix while the hydrophilic drugs tend to move towards the interface and remain on the 183 surface of PCL formulation in adsorbed state. Diffusion was described as the only possible 184 mechanism by which the lipophilic drugs release from PCL particles as they were shown to be 185 intact for a much longer duration in vivo. However, two phenomenons could be implicated in 186 hydrophilic drugs' release. Highly lipophilic drugs that resist complete diffusion are released 187 upon surface erosion by enzymatic action while hydrophilic drugs that accumulate at the 188 interface during the formulation processes are released by desorption at the initial period of 189 release study or dosage intake. This results in a biphasic drug release pattern for PCL particles 190 with much higher burst release for hydrophilic drugs than lipophilic ones (Dash & 191 Konkimalla 2012). 192

193

194 **2.3.Pegylated polymers:**

A lot of the above cited polymers could be conjugated to PEG chains, which allows the 195 enhancement of their hydrophilicity and permits the obtaining of a stealth surface that could 196 protect the prepared carriers from degradation by the cells belonging to the reticuloendothelial 197 system. Conjugation to PEG confers also bioadhesive properties for the carriers (Yoncheva et 198 199 al. 2005).

Table 1. Commonly used polymers

| Materials | References |
|--|---|
| Polymers | · |
| | Natural polymers |
| Chitosan | (Elmizadeh et al. 2013)(Fàbregas et al. 2013) (Khalil et al. 2012) (Konecsni et al. 2012)(Du et al. 2009)(Bernkop-Schnürch et al. 2006) (Gan et al. 2005) (Asada et al. 2004) |
| Dextran | (Liang et al. 2013) (Dai et al. 2012)(Sajadi Tabassi et al. 2008) (Koten et al. 2003) |
| Dextran derivatives | (Kanthamneni et al. 2012)(Kauffman et al. 2012) (Aumelas et al. 2007) (Miyazaki et al. 2006) |
| Cyclodextrins | (Çirpanli et al. 2009) (Memişoğlu et al. 2003) (Pariot et al. 2002)(Lemos-Senna et al. 1998) |
| Gelatin | (Nahar et al. 2008) (Balthasar et al. 2005) (Vandervoort & Ludwig 2004) (Bruschi et al. 2003) |
| | Synthetic polymers |
| Biodegradable polyesters | |
| PLGA | (Gyulai et al. 2013) (Beck-Broichsitter et al. 2012) (Morales- Cruz et al. 2012) (Beck-Broichsitter et al. 2011)(Nehilla et al. 2008) (Song et al. 2008)(Budhian et al. 2007) (Bozkir & Saka 2005)(Fonseca et al. 2002)(Yang et al. 1999) (Govender et al. 1999) |
| PLA | (Bazylińska et al. 2013)(Fredriksen & Grip 2012) (Kadam et al. 2012) (Kumari et al. 2011) (Ataman-Önal et al. 2006) (Lamalle-Bernard et al. 2006) (Hyvönen et al. 2005) (Katare et al. 2005) (Chorny et al. 2002) (Leo et al. 2000) |
| PCL | (Behera & Swain 2012)(Guerreiro et al. 2012) (Hernán Pérez de la Ossa et al. 2012) (Khayata et al. 2012) (Arias et al. 2010) (Wang et al. 2008)(Limayem Blouza et al. 2006) (Tewa-Tagne et al. 2006) (Yang et al. 2006) (Le Ray et al. 2003)(Chawla & Amiji 2002) (Raval et al.) (Hombreiro Pérez et al. 2000) (Benoit et al. 1999) (Masson et al. 1997) |
| poly(lactide-co-glycolide-co- caprolactone) | (Zhang et al. 2006) |
| Acrylic polymers | |
| Eudragit | (Hao et al. 2013)(Das et al. 2010) (Eidi et al. 2010)(Trapani et al. 2007)(Galindo-Rodríguez et al. 2005) (Haznedar & Dortunç 2004) (Pignatello et al. 2002) |
| Others | |
| Polyvinylbenzoate | (Labruère et al. 2010) |
| Pegylated polymers | |
| Chitosan-PEG | (Seo et al. 2009) |
| MPEG-PCL | (Falamarzian & Lavasanifar 2010)(Xin et al. 2010) |

| PCL-PEG-PCL | | (Suksiriworapong et al. 2012)(Huang et al. 2010)(Gou et al. |
|---------------------|---------|---|
| | | 2009) |
| poly(caprolactone)- | | (Hu et al. 2003) |
| poly(ethylene | oxide)- | |
| polylactide | | |
| PLA-PEG | | (Sacchetin et al. 2013) (Essa et al. 2010) (Ishihara et al. 2010) |
| | | (Vila et al. 2005) (Vila et al. 2004) (Govender et al. 2000) |
| | | (Huang et al. 1997) |
| PLA-PEG-PLA | | (Chen et al. 2011)(Ruan & Feng 2003) |
| MPEG-PLA | | (Zheng et al. 2010)(Dong & Feng 2007)(Dong & Feng 2004) |

207

3. Used methods for the encapsulation of active molecules:

3.1.Nanoprecipitation:

209



210

211

Figure 1. the nanoprecipitation technique (Pinto Reis et al. 2006)

212

213 The nanoprecipitation technique was first developed by Fessi et al. in 1986 (Devissaguet et al. 1991). The technique allows the obtaining of either nanospheres or nanocapsules. The organic 214 phase could be added to the aqueous phase under magnetic stirring. This one-step process 215 216 allows the instantaneous and reproducible formation of monodisperse nanoparticles. Nanoprecipitation is simple, is by far the fastest, most reproducible, and industrially feasible 217 preparation procedure of nanospheres (Vauthier & Bouchemal 2009). Practically, two 218 miscible phases are required: an organic solvent in which the polymer is dissolved and an 219 aqueous phase (non-solvent of the polymer). The most common used organic solvents are 220 ethanol and acetone. Such solvents are miscible in water and easy to remove by evaporation. 221 Some oils could be added to these solvents to allow the dissolving of the active (Rosset et al. 222 2012). As Figure 1 shows, the method is based on the addition of one phase to the other under 223 moderate magnetic stirring which causes the interfacial deposition of a polymer after 224 225 displacement of the organic solvent from the organic solution. This leads to the formation of a 226 suspension of nanoparticles. The organic phase could be a mixture of solvents such as,

mixture of acetone with water or ethanol etc. Similarly, the aqueous phase could consist of a 227 mixture of non-solvents and could contain surfactants. Commonly used polymers are 228 biodegradable polyesters, especially poly-ε-caprolactone (PCL), poly(lactide) (PLA) and 229 poly(lactide-co-glycolide) (PLGA) (Rao & Geckeler 2011). Particle formation process 230 includes three basic steps which are, particle nucleation, molecular growth and aggregation. 231 The rate of every step has a crucial impact on the particle size distribution. Supersaturation is 232 the driving force that manages all of these steps, namely, particles nucleation rate. 233 Supersaturation, itself, is influenced by fluid dynamics and mixing. In fact, low stirring rate 234 results in low nucleation rates while higher mixing rates give high nucleation rates (Lince et 235 236 al. 2008).

Operational parameters that should be controlled include the organic phase to non organic 237 phase ratio, the concentration of the polymer and the stabilizer and the amount of the drug. 238 Every one of these parameters may exert an impact on the characteristics of the obtained 239 nanoparticles (size, uniformity and charge). In fact, an increase of the polymer amount 240 generally increases particles' size (Chorny et al. 2002)(Simsek et al. 2013)(Dong & Feng 241 2004)(Asadi et al. 2011). The same effect was obtained after increasing the polymer 242 243 molecular weight (Limayem Blouza et al. 2006)(Holgado et al. 2012). These findings were explained by an increase of the viscosity of the organic phase which rendered solvent 244 diffusion more difficult and thus, led to larger nanoparticles' size. The effect of increasing 245 organic phase volume seems conflicting: some studies showed that it causes a decrease of the 246 particles size (Dong & Feng 2004) while others showed the opposite phenomenon (Asadi et 247 al. 2011). Increasing the water phase amount lead to a decrease of the particles size as a result 248 to the increased diffusion of the water-miscible solvent in the aqueous phase and thus, the 249 more rapid precipitation of the polymer and formation of nanoparticles (Budhian et al. 2007). 250 An increase of the surfactant amount generally causes a decrease of the particles size and 251 reduces size distribution (Contado et al. 2013) (Siqueira-Moura et al. 2013). Some studies did 252 not, however, found significant change following surfactant amount increase (Dong & Feng 253 2004). The nature of the surfactant may also influence the particles' size (Limayem Blouza et 254 al. 2006). Increasing mixing rate decreases the particles size as it causes faster diffusion rate 255 (Asadi et al. 2011). Theoretical drug loading may also influence particles size and drug 256 loading (Govender et al. 1999). Nanoprecipitation is generally designed for the encapsulation 257 of hydrophobic drug molecules (Seju et al. 2011)(Katara & Majumdar 2013)(Seremeta et al. 258 2013). Such actives may be dissolved within the organic phase. Bilalti et al. described a 259 nanoprecipitation technique intended to the encapsulation of hydrophilic molecules but the 260 size of the obtained particles was not sufficiently uniform (Bilati et al. 2005). To further 261 improve the reproducibility of the nanoprecipitation technique and make it more convenient 262 for industrial applications, membrane contactor and microfluidic technology were 263 successfully used (Khayata et al. 2012)(Xie & Smith 2010). These techniques allow better 264 size control within different batches of particles. Table 2 contains some examples of the 265 applications of the nanoprecipitation technique for drug delivery during the last years. It can 266 be concluded that polyesters are among the most used polymers for the preparation of the 267 nanoparticles by this technique. 268

 Table 2. Some applications of the nanoprecipitation technique

| Encapsulated | Polymer | Size (nm) | Zeta | Reference |
|----------------|--------------------------|-----------|--------------|------------------|
| molecule | | | potential | |
| | | | (mV) | |
| Doxorubicin | Gelatin-co-PLA- | 131.5- | - | (Han et al. |
| | DPPE | 161.1 | | 2013) |
| Aceclofenac | Eudragit RL 100 | 75.5- | 22.5 - 32.6 | (Katara & |
| | | 184.4 | | Majumdar |
| | | | | 2013) |
| Doxorubicin | dextran-b- | 95-123.3 | - | (Li et al. 2013) |
| | polycaprolactone) | | | |
| Chloroaluminum | PLGA | 220.3- | -17.7-(- | (Siqueira- |
| phthalocyanine | | 326.3 | 40.9) | Moura et al. |
| | | | | 2013) |
| Efavirenz | PCL and | 89.5 - | -17.9-53.8 | (Seremeta et |
| | Eudragit® RS | 173.9 | | al. 2013) |
| | 100 | | | |
| Paclitaxel | PLGA | 50 - 150 | -15 - (-20) | (Wang et al. |
| | | | | 2013) |
| Retinoic acid | PLA | 153.6- | -27.4-(- | (Almouazen et |
| | | 229.8 | 20.9) | al. 2012) |
| Brimonidine | Eudragit [®] RL | 123.5 - | 13.1-20.8 | (Khan et al. |
| Tartrate | 100 | 140.2 | | 2012) |
| Vitamin E | PCL | 123-320 | -24.5-(- | (Khayata et al. |
| | | | 1.46) | 2012) |
| Paclitaxel | hydrophobized | 127.6-253 | | (Lee et al. |
| | pullulan | | | 2012) |
| Curcumin | PCL, chitosan | 104-125 | (-0.099)- | (Mazzarino et |
| | | | 79.8 | al. 2012) |
| Diclofenac | PCL | 152 | -50 | (Mora-Huertas |
| | | | | et al. 2012) |
| Amphotericin B | PLGA | 86-153 | -31.4-(-9.1) | (Van de Ven |
| | | | | et al. 2012) |
| Epirubicin | poly(butyl | 217-235 | -4.5-(-0.1) | (Yordanov |
| | cyanoacrylate) | | | 2012) |
| Camptothecin | beta-cyclodextrin | 281 | -13 | (Cırpanlı et al. |
| _ | PLGA | | | 2011) |
| | PCL | 187 | -0.06 | |
| | | 274 | -19 | |
| Naringenin | Eudragit® E | 90 | - | (Krishnakumar |
| | | | | et al. 2011) |

| Olanzapine | PLGA | 91.2 | -23.7 | (Seju | et | al. |
|------------|------|------|-------|-------|----|-----|
| | | | | 2011) | | |



275 **3.2.Emulsion diffusion (ESD):**







279

Figure 2. Emulsion diffusion technique (Pinto Reis et al. 2006)

ESD was first developed by Quintanar-Guerrero and Fessi (Quintanar-Guerrero et al. 1996) to 280 prepare PLA based nanospheres. Three liquid phases are needed in this technique: an organic 281 282 phase, an aqueous phase and a dilution phase. The organic phase generally contains the polymer and the hydrophobic drug. The aqueous phase is a solution of a stabilizing agent 283 while the dilution phase usually consists of a large volume of water. Mutual saturation of the 284 aqueous and organic phase allows further obtaining of a thermodynamically equilibrated 285 emulsion upon high speed homogenization. Subsequent addition of an excess of water enables 286 287 the diffusion of the organic solvent from the dispersed phase resulting in precipitation of the polymer and the formation of the particles (Figure 2). Commonly used polymers in this 288 method include PCL, PLA and Eudragit® (Mora-Huertas et al. 2010). Table 3 shows that the 289 technique is mainly used for the encapsulation of hydrophobic molecules. However, 290 hydrophilic molecules may also be encapsulated by a modified solvent diffusion method 291 using an aqueous inner phase (Ma et al. 2001). Operating conditions affecting the size of the 292 obtained particles include external/internal phase ratio, emulsification stirring rate, volume 293 and temperature of water for dilution, polymer amount and concentration of the stabilizer 294 295 (Quintanar-Guerrero et al. 1996)(Mora-Huertas et al. 2010). Influence of high shear homogenization and sonication on the particles size was assessed and it was found that 296 sonication was more efficient for particle size reduction. The nature of the surfactant 297 influenced also the particles size. In fact, when Pluronic F68 298 (PF68), didodecyldimethylammonium bromide (DMAB) and polyvinylalcohol (PVA) were 299 compared, DMAB gave the smallest particles but with the lowest encapsulation efficiency 300 (Jain et al. 2011). Particles size was also described to increase with an increase of initial drug 301 amount (Youm et al. 2012), polymer amount (Youm et al. 2012)(Esmaeili et al. 2011) and the 302 oil phase volume (Esmaeili et al. 2011)(Poletto et al. 2008). An increase of the surfactant 303 amount resulted in a decrease of the size but it seems that above some level further significant 304 size reduction is no longer possible (Jain et al. 2011)(Surassmo et al. 2010). An increase of 305 the homogenization rate led to a decrease of the particles' size (Jain et al. 2011)(Kwon et al. 306 2001)(Galindo-Rodríguez et al. 2005). Likely, the same effect was obtained following an 307

- increase of the temperature and the volume of the added water also decreases particles' size
 (Kwon et al. 2001)(Song et al. 2006). The nature of the organic solvent also influenced
 particle size (Song et al. 2006). Table 3 shows some of the recent applications of the ESD
 technique.
- 312
- 313

Table 3. Applications of the emulsion diffusion method

314

| Encapsulated | Polymer | Size | Zeta potential | Reference |
|------------------------|--------------|----------|----------------|---------------------|
| molecule | | | (mV) | |
| Articaine | PCL | - | - | (Campos et al. |
| | | | | 2013) |
| Omeprazole | Eudragit L | 256.3- | 8.92 - 16.53 | (Hao et al. 2013) |
| - | 100-55 | 337.1 nm | | |
| Curcumin | polyurethane | 216-4901 | - | (Souguir et al. |
| | and polyurea | nm | | 2013) |
| Matricaria recutita L. | PEG-PBA- | 186-446 | - | (Esmaeili et al. |
| extract | PEG | nm | | 2011) |
| Bovine serum albumin | Chitosan | 81-98 μm | - | (Karnchanajindanun |
| | | | | et al. 2011) |
| Alendronate | PLGA | 145 nm | -4.7 | (Cohen-Sela et al. |
| | | | | 2009) |
| An oligonucleotide | PLA | 390 nm | - | (Delie et al. 2001) |

315

316

317 3.3.Simple Emulsion evaporation (SEE):



318 319

320

Figure 3. Emulsion solvent evaporation (Pinto Reis et al. 2006)

321

The SEE technique is widely used in the field of particulate carriers' development. This method was first developed by (Vanderhoff et al. 1979). It consists mainly on the formation of a simple emulsion followed by the evaporation of the organic solvent. Subsequent precipitation of the polymer allows the obtaining of the particles (Figure 3). Practically, for oil in water emulsion method, the polymer is dissolved in a volatile and non miscible organic solvent such as chloroform, ethylacetate or dichloromethane. This organic phase, in which the

drug and the polymer are dissolved, is then dispersed by high speed homogenization or by 328 sonication in an aqueous phase containing a surfactant. Once an oil-in-water (o/w) emulsion is 329 obtained, the evaporation of the organic solvent permits the precipitation of the polymer and 330 thus, the formation of the particles. As it can be seen in Table 4, SEE is generally used for the 331 encapsulation of hydrophobic drugs (O'Donnell & McGinity 1997). The evaporation of the 332 organic solvent is obtained by moderately stirring the emulsion at room temperature or under 333 high temperature and low pressure conditions. The obtained particles can be then harvested by 334 ultracentrifugation or filtration then washed and lyophilized. Membrane technology was also 335 used to prepare particles by the simple emulsion technique (Doan et al. 2011). Another 336 alternative of the technique is the use of water in oil emulsion method that is suitable for the 337 encapsulation of hydrophilic active molecules. Particulate carriers are obtained after 338 evaporation of the water phase which causes the precipitation of the hydrophilic polymer 339 (Banerjee et al. 2012). Parameters that have to be managed include organic phase to water 340 phase ratio, nature of the surfactant and its concentration, stirring rate, polymer amount and 341 evaporation rate. Decreasing the organic solvent volume resulted generally in a decrease of 342 the particle size (Budhian et al. 2007). Particle size could also be decreased by increasing 343 surfactant amount (Valot et al. 2009)(Manchanda et al. 2010)(Khaled et al. 2010)(Su et al. 344 345 2009), increasing stirring rate (Su et al. 2009)(Lee et al. 2012)(Avachat et al. 2011)(Yadav & Sawant 2010) or increasing aqueous phase (Adibkia et al. 2011). However, an increase of 346 polymer amount generally increases particles' size (Doan et al. 2011)(D'Aurizio et al. 347 2011)(Adibkia et al. 2011)(Agnihotri & Vavia 2009). Table 4 shows the applications of the 348 SEE technique in drug delivery. Polyesters are widely used for the encapsulation on 349 hydrophobic drugs. 350

- 351
- 352

| Table 4. Applications of the emulsion solvent evap | poration technique |
|--|--------------------|
|--|--------------------|

| Encapsulated | Polymer | Size | Zeta potential | Reference |
|---------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------|
| molecule | | | (mV) | |
| Curcumin | PLGA and | 161.9-152.4 nm | - | (Khalil et al. |
| | PLGA-PEG | | | 2013) |
| Efavirenz | PCL and | 83.4-219.5 nm | 53 | (Seremeta et al. |
| | Eudragit® RS | | | 2013) |
| | 100 | | | |
| Human amylin | PCL | 202 nm | - | (Guerreiro et al. |
| | | | | 2012) |
| Azithromycin | PLGA | 14.11-15.29 μm | - | (Li et al. 2012) |
| Teniposide | PLGA | 113.9-135.4 nm | -36.6-(-23.1) | (Mo et al. 2012) |
| Camptothecin | PCL-PEG-PCL | 4.2-5.4 μm | - | (Dai et al. 2011) |
| Naproxen | PLGA | 352-824 μm | - | (Javadzadeh et al. |
| | | | | 2010) |
| Doxorubicin | PLGA | 137-164 nm | -12.3-(-9.9) | (Manchanda et al. |
| | | | | 2010) |
| Dexamethasone | PLGA | 5.18-7 μm | - | (Rawat & Burgess |
| | | • | | 2010) |
| Ibuprofen | Eudragit RSPO | 14-51.1 μm | - | (Valot et al. 2009) |

354 3.4.Double emulsion evaporation (DEE):

355





357

Figure 5. Double emulsion solvent evaporation technique (Giri et al. 2013)

Double emulsion technique is suitable for the encapsulation of hydrophilic molecules (See 358 Table 5). Generally, the method consists on the dispersion of an aqueous phase in a non 359 miscible organic solvent to form the first emulsion (W1/O). This dispersion is performed 360 under high shear homogenization or low power sonication for a short time. This step is 361 followed by the dispersion of the obtained emulsion in a second aqueous phase containing a 362 hydrophilic emulsifier. Again, homogenization could be carried under high shear 363 homogenization or with a sonication probe. When sonication is used, it must be performed at 364 365 low power and within a short period of time to not break the first emulsion (Giri et al. 2013). After the formation of the multiple emulsion, evaporation of the volatile organic solvent under 366 low pressure (by a rotary evaporator) or at ambient temperature allows the obtaining of the 367 particulate carriers (Figure 4). There are other types of multiple emulsions like w/o/o or o/w/o 368 (Giri et al. 2013). A lot of parameters may influence the properties of the obtained particles 369 such as, relative phases' ratio (Khoee et al. 2012), amount of the polymer and its nature and 370 molecular weight (Zambaux et al. 1998) (Péan et al. 1998) (Van de Ven et al. 2011), nature of 371 the surfactants and their amounts (Zhao et al. 2007)(Khoee & Yaghoobian 2009)(Dhanaraju et 372 al. 2004), homogenization speed (Eley & Mathew 2007)(Basarkar et al. 2007), the 373 374 composition of the external phase (Péan et al. 1998) (Tse et al. 2009) and evaporation speed (Khoee et al. 2012). Operating conditions may also influence strongly encapsulation 375 efficiency (Tse et al. 2009)(Billon et al. 2005)(Silva et al. 2013)(Zhou et al. 2013)(Karataş et 376 al. 2009)(Hachicha et al. 2006)(Al-Kassas 2004)(Cun et al. 2011)(Gaignaux et al. 2012)(Cun 377 et al. 2010). Membrane technique and microfluidic devices were also used to prepare 378 particulate carriers by the DES method (Vladisavljević & Williams 2007)(van der Graaf et al. 379 2005). 380

381

382

Table 5. Applications of the double emulsion technique

| Encapsulated | Polymer | Size | Zeta potential | Reference |
|--------------|---------|------|----------------|-----------|
| molecule | | | (mV) | |

| Vancomycin | PLGA | 450-466 nm | -7.2-(-3.5) | (Zakeri- |
|---------------------|------|----------------|---------------|--------------|
| | | | (12) | Milani et al |
| | | | | |
| | | | | 2013) |
| Prostaglandin E1 | PLGA | 7-22.5 μm | - | (Gupta & |
| | | | | Ahsan |
| | | | | 2011) |
| Deoxyribonuclease I | PLGA | 190.4-349 nm | - | (Osman et |
| | | | | al. 2011) |
| S. equi antigens | PCL | 242.5-450.2 nm | -53.1-38.7 | (Florindo et |
| | | | | al. 2009) |
| Hepatitis B surface | PLGA | 1-5 μm | 0.51-14 | (Thomas et |
| antigen | | | | al. 2009) |
| Plasmid DNA | PLGA | 1.9-4.6 μm | -24.6-(-22.9) | (Tse et al. |
| | | | | 2009) |

384 **3.5.Spray drying:**



385



Figure 4. The spray drying method (Pinto Reis et al. 2006)

387

Spray drying is a simple process which gained too much interest due to its cost-effectiveness 388 and scalability (Sou et al. 2011). Practically, a polymer containing drug solution is atomized 389 and sprayed into a drying chamber where droplets are dried by heated air. Reduction of 390 droplets' size that follows atomization allows the obtaining of an enormous surface area 391 between droplets and the drying gas. The subsequent precipitation of the polymer permits the 392 393 encapsulation of the drug within the obtained particulate carriers. The evaporation of the solvent occurs within a very short period of time. Consequently, the materials never reach the 394 inlet temperature of drying gas. This is very attractive for encapsulating heat-sensitive drug 395 molecules like proteins (Cal & Sollohub 2010)(Sollohub & Cal 2010)(Prata et al. 2013). 396 397 Many operating conditions could influence the properties of the obtained particles. Parameters to be controlled include the drying air temperature and humidity (Bruschi et al. 2003), the rate 398

and fluid dynamics of the air flow, the atomization process (Droplet size, spray rate, spray 399 mechanism) and the composition of ingredients and excipients in the feeding solution (Rattes 400 & Oliveira 2007). PLA (Baras et al. 2000)(Gander et al. 1996)(Sastre et al. 2007)(Muttil et al. 401 2007), PLGA (Wang & Wang 2002)(Mu & Feng 2001)(Castelli et al. 1998)(Bittner et al. 402 1999)(Prior et al. 2000)(Conti et al. 1997), PCL, methacrylate polymers (Esposito et al. 403 404 2002)(Año et al. 2011)(Cruz et al. 2010)(Hegazy et al. 2002)(Raffin et al. 2008) and chitosan (He et al. 1999)(Giunchedi et al. 2002)(Cevher et al. 2006) are among the most used polymers 405 in spray-drying method. As Table 6 shows, the technique allowed the obtaining of mainly 406 microparticles bearing better drug solubility and sustained release. 407

408

409

Table 6. Applications of the spray drying technique

| Encapsulated | Polymer | Size | Zeta potential | Reference |
|-----------------|--|--------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| molecule | | | (mV) | |
| Nimodipine | PLGA | 1.9-2.37 μm | - | (Bege et al. 2013) |
| Theophylline | Eudragit RS30D | < 60µm | - | (Garekani et al. 2013) |
| Ofloxacin | PLA | 2.6-4.9 μm | - | (Palazzo et al. 2013) |
| Sodium | PGA-co-PDL | 2.3 µm | -32.2 | (Tawfeek 2013) |
| diclofenac | PEG-PGA-co- | 3.9 µm | -29.9 | |
| | PDL and mPEG- | 2.5 µm | -31.2 | |
| Sodium fluoride | Chitosan | 3.4-5.3 um | _ | (Keegan et al. |
| | Chilleban | | | 2012) |
| Plasmid | Chitosan | 2.5-11.7 μm | - | (Mohajel et al. 2012) |
| Heparin | PLGA | 2.5-3.8 μm | -63.5 - (-28.2) | (Yildiz et al. 2012) |
| Alendronate | Eudragit® S100 | 13.8 µm | - | (Cruz et al. 2010) |
| Zolmitriptan | Chitosan glutamate and Chitosan base | 2.6-9.4 μm | - | (Alhalaweh et al. 2009) |
| Triamcinolone | PLGA | 0.5-1.5 μm | - | (da Silva et al. 2009) |
| Acyclovir | Chitosan | 18.7-34.9 μm | - | (Stulzer et al. 2009) |

410

411 **3.6.Supercritical fluid technology (SFT):**



412

Figure 6. Schematic presentation of the experimental set up for the RESS process (Byrappa et al. 2008)

In the recent years, novel particle formation techniques using supercritical fluids (SCF) have 416 been developed in order to overcome some of the disadvantages of conventional techniques 417 418 that are: (1) poor control of particle size and morphology; (2) degradation and lost of biological activity of thermo sensitive compounds; (3) low encapsulation efficiency and (4) 419 low precipitation yield (Santos et al. 2013). Moreover, SFT present the main advantage of not 420 requiring the use of toxic solvents. In fact, SCF based technologies have attracted enormous 421 interest for the production of microparticles and nanoparticles (Table 7), since their 422 emergence in the early 1990s (Sanli et al. 2012). The supercritical state is achieved when a 423 substance is exposed to conditions above its critical pressure and temperature. In such 424 conditions, the fluid will have liquid-like density and, thus, solvating properties that are 425 similar to those of liquids and, at the same time, gas-like mass transfer properties. Carbon 426 dioxide (CO₂) is the most commonly used critical fluid. In fact, CO₂ is nontoxic, 427 nonflammable and easy recyclable. Moreover, CO₂ has moderate critical parameters of CO₂ 428 (a critical pressure of 7.4 MPa and a critical temperature of 304.1 K) and low price and is 429 highly available which makes it very attractive from an economical point of view and also for 430 431 the processing of labile compounds (Elizondo et al. 2012). Supercritical fluid technology methods can be divided in four methods which are rapid expansion of supercritical solution 432 (RESS), Particles from gas saturated solutions (PGSS), gas antisolvent (GAS) and 433 supercritical antisolvent process (SAS). These methods depend on whether CO2 was used as a 434 solvent, a solute or an antisolvent. Figure 6 shows the experimental set up of the RESS 435 technique. In the RESS technique, the drug and the polymer are first dissolved in supercritical 436 CO₂ in high pressure chamber. The subsequent passing of the solution through a nozzle 437 results in a rapid decrease of the pressure and thus, a precipitation of the drug particles 438 439 embedded in the polymer matrix and their recovery in the extraction unit (Byrappa et al. 2008). A lot of parameters such as the density of the SCF (Pressure and temperature of 440 supercritical fluid) (Kalani & Yunus 2012), flow rate of drug-polymer solution and/or CO₂ 441 and formulation variables (Martin et al. 2002) could influence the size of the obtained 442 particles. Table 7 shows that SFT was used for the processing of nanoparticles and 443 444 microparticles mainly based on polyesters.

| Encapsulated molecule | Polymer | Size | Zeta potential (mV) | Reference |
|------------------------|------------------|-------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| Hydrocortisone acetate | PLGA | 1-5 μm | - | (Falco et al. 2013) |
| 17α-methyltestosterone | PLA | 5.4-20.5 μm | 13.9 - 67.7 | (Sacchetin et al. 2013) |
| Paracetamol | PLA | 301-1461 nm | - | (Kalani & Yunus 2012) |
| 5-fluorouracil | PLLA- PEG/PEG | 175 nm | - | (Zhang et al. 2012) |
| Human growth hormone | PLGA | 93 µm | - | (Jordan et al. 2010) |
| Azacytidine | PLA | 2 µm | - | (Argemí et al. 2009) |
| Bovine serum albumin | PLA | 2.5 μm | - | (Kang et al. 2009) |
| Retinyl palmitate | PLA | 40-110nm | - | (Sane&Limtrakul2009) |
| Indomethacin | PLA | 2.35 µm | - | (Kang et al. 2008) |

Table 7. Applications of the SCF technology

446

445

447 **3.7.Ionic gelation (IG):**



448

449

Figure 7. Gelation mechanism of polysaccharides in water (Guenet 1992)

450 IG method is used mainly with natural hydrophilic polymers to prepare particulate carriers.
451 These polymers include gelatin, alginate, chitosan and agarose. IG has the advantage of not
452 using organic solvents. The technique is based on the transition of the polymer from liquid

state to a gel (Figure 7). For instance, gelatin based particles are obtained after the hardening 453 of the droplets of emulsified gelatin solution. The particles are obtained after cooling gelatin 454 emulsion droplets below the gelation point in an ice bath. For alginate, however, particles are 455 produced by drop-by-drop extrusion of the sodium alginate solution into the calcium chloride 456 solution. Sodium alginate, in fact, is a water-soluble polymer that gels in the presence of 457 multivalent cations such as calcium. Chitosan particles are prepared by spontaneous formation 458 of complexes between the positively charged chitosan and polyanions (tripolyphosphate or 459 gelatin) or by the gelation of a chitosan solution dispersed in an oil emulsion (Mahapatro & 460 Singh 2011). Figure 7 illustrates the gelation mechanism of polysaccharides. At high 461 temperatures, a random coil conformation is assumed. With decreasing temperature, the 462 aggregation of double helices structure forms the physical junctions of gels (Rees & Welsh 463 1977). 464

Table 8. Some applications of the ionic gelation technique

| Encapsulated molecule | Polymer | Size | Zeta potential (mV) | Reference |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Articaine hydrochloride | Alginate/chitosan | 340-550 nm | -22 - (-19) | (de Melo et al. 2013) |
| TNF-α siRNA | trimethyl chitosan-cysteine | 146.9 nm | 25.9 | (He et al. 2013) |
| Paclitaxel | O-carboxymethyl chitosan | 130-180 nm | -30 - (-12) | (Maya et al. 2013) |
| pDNA | Chitosan | 403-153 nm | 46.2 - 56.9 | (Cadete et al. 2012) |
| Gemcitabine | Chitosan | 95 nm | - | (Derakhshandeh & Fathi 2012) |
| Dexamthasone sodium phosphate | Chitosan | 256 – 350 nm | - | (Doustgani et al. 2012) |
| Itraconazole | Chitosan | 190 - 240 nm | 11.5 - 18.9 | (Jafarinejad et al. 2012) |
| 5-fluorouracil and leucovorin | Chitosan | 40.7-97.4 nm | 25.6 - 28.9 | (Li et al. 2011) |
| Insulin | Chitosan and arabic gum | 172-245 nm | 35.7-43.4 | (Avadi et al. 2010) |
| CKS9 peptide sequence | Chitosan | 226.2 nm | - | (Yoo et al. 2010) |

466

467 **3.8.Layer by layer:**



469 *Figure 8. The layer by layer technique based on electrostatic interaction* (Ariga et al. 2011)

468

Polyelectrolyte self assembly is also called layer-by-layer (LbL) assembly process. The 471 earliest technology was based on the assembly of colloidal particles on a solid core (Iler 472 1966). From the 1990s, applications were expanded. LbL allowed, in fact, the assembly of 473 polyelectrolyte films using organic and biopolymers, proteins, peptides, polysaccharides and 474 DNA (Powell et al. 2011). This approach was first developed by Sukhorukov et al 475 (Sukhorukov et al. 1998). Polyelectrolytes are classified according to their origin. Standard 476 synthetic polyelectrolytes include poly(styrene sulfonate) (PSS), 477 polv 478 (dimethyldiallylammonium chloride) (PDDA), poly(ethylenimine) (PEI), poly(N-isopropyl 479 acrylamide (PNIPAM), poly(acrylic acid) (PAA), poly (methacrylic acid) (PMA), poly(vinyl sulfate) (PVS) and poly(allylamine) (PAH). Natural polyelectrolytes include nucleic acids, 480 proteins and polysaccharides such as, alginic acid, chondroitin sulfate, DNA, heparin, 481 chitosan, cellulose sulfate, dextran sulfate and carboxymethylcellulose (de Villiers et al. 482 2011). The obtained particles are vesicular and are called polyelectrolyte capsules. Assembly 483 process is based on irreversible electrostatic attraction that leads to polyelectrolyte adsorption 484 at supersaturating polyelectrolyte concentrations. Other interactions such as, hydrogen bonds, 485 hydrophobic interactions and Van der Waals forces were also described (de Villiers et al. 486 487 2011). A colloidal template that serves to the adsorption of the polyelectrolyte is also needed. The commonly used cores for the formulated particles are derived from stabilized colloidal 488 dispersions of charged silica, charged poly(styrene) spheres, metal oxides, polyoxometalates 489 and conducting liquid crystalline polymers. Carrier systems can be functionalized with 490 491 stimuli-responsive components that respond to temperature, pH and ionic strength. The polymers/colloids used in the polyelectrolyes self -assembly technique can also be 492 functionalized to alter its properties preceding layer by layer assembly. Experimental 493 parameters that have to be managed include coating material concentration, ion concentration 494 and the pH of the medium (Vergaro et al. 2011). Polymer assembly occurs after incubation of 495 496 the template in the polymer solution or by decreasing polymer solubility by drop-wise addition of a miscible solvent (Radtchenko et al. 2002). This procedure could be repeated 497 with a second polymer to allow sequential deposition of multiple polymer layers (Figure 8). 498 The polyelectrolytes self-assembly presents advantages over a lot of conventional coating 499 methods: (1) the simplicity of the process and equipment; (2) its suitability to coating most 500

surfaces; (3) the availability of an abundance of natural and synthetic colloids; (4) the flexible
application to objects with irregular shapes and sizes; (5) the formation of stabilizing coats
and (6) control over the required multilayer thickness (de Villiers et al. 2011).

- 504
- 505

Table 9. Applications of the layer by layer technique

| Active | Polyelectrolytes | Size | Zeta potential (mV) | References |
|------------------------------|---|--------------|------------------------|----------------------------|
| Kaempferol | Sodium Alginate and protamine sulfate | 161 nm | - 8.9 | (Kumar et al. 2012) |
| Designed peptide DP- 2015 | poly-l-glutamic acid and poly-l-lysine | - | - | (Powell et al. 2011) |
| 5-fluorouracil | Poly(L-glutamic acid) and chitosan | 1 µm | 25-40 | (Yan et al. 2011) |
| Plasmid DNA | plasmid DNA and reducible hyperbranched poly(amidoamine) or polyethylenimine | - | - | (Blacklock et al. 2009) |
| Artemisinin | Alginate, gelatin and chitosan | 806 nm | -33 | (Chen et al. 2009) |
| Insulin | glucose oxidase and catalase | 6 µm | - | (Qi et al. 2009) |
| Heparin | poly(styrene sulfonate) and chitosan | 1 µm | -10.4 | (Shao et al. 2009) |
| Acyclovir | Poly(vinyl galactose ester-co-methacryloxyethyl trimethylammonium chloride) and poly(sodium 4- styrenesulfonate) | - | _ | (Zhang et al. 2008a) |
| Propranolol hydrochloride | Poly(vinyl galactose ester- co-methacryloxyethyl trimethylammonium chloride) and Poly(sodium 4- styrenesulfonate) | 5-15.6 μm | - | (Zhang et al. 2008b) |

506

507 **Conclusion:**

Encapsulation of active molecules is a crucial approach that has been widely used for many 508 biomedical applications. It permits enhancement of bioavailability of molecules, sustained 509 delivery, passive or active targeting and decrease of toxicity and side effects. These 510 511 formulations can render some active molecules more suitable for a specific route such as the delivery of protein by the oral route or the delivery of some drugs via the blood brain barrier. 512 Thus, they enhance efficiency, patient compliance and allow successful management of 513 diseases. Many biodegradable and biocompatible polymers were investigated. The choice of 514 the technique and the suitable polymer is a crucial step. It depends on the physicochemical 515

properties of the drug to be encapsulated. The management of operating conditions is also a 516 hard task to monitor particles' properties and to enhance drug loading. Recent research works 517 are focusing on active targeting by the coating the carriers by biomolecules that specifically 518 recognize a well-defined cell receptor. One can also notice a shift for more 'intelligent' drug 519 520 delivery systems. Responsive materials, for example, react to a specific physiological 521 stimulus such as a variation of pH to release the encapsulated drug. Other thermo-sensitive materials deliver drugs at a specific temperature. It can be noted also that more attention is 522 paid to safer methods that avoid the use of organic solvents (RESS) or to techniques that 523 provide better reproducibility and easy scalability (microfluidics and membrane 524 emulsification technology), which could be attractive for industrial processing. 525

526 **References:**

- Adibkia K, Javadzadeh Y, Dastmalchi S, Mohammadi G, Niri FK, Alaei-Beirami M. 2011.
 Naproxen-eudragit rs100 nanoparticles: preparation and physicochemical
 characterization. *Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces*. 83(1):155–59
- Agnihotri SM, Vavia PR. 2009. Drug loaded poly[lac(glc-leu)] microparticles: formulation
 and release characteristics. *Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces*. 74(1):336–39
- Ahmad H. 2013. A special issue on polymer and hybrid particles for biomedical applications.
 J. Colloid Sci. Biotechnol. 2(3):153–54
- Al-Kassas R. 2004. Design and in vitro evaluation of gentamicin-eudragit microspheres
 intended for intra-ocular administration. *J. Microencapsul.* 21(1):71–81
- Alhalaweh A, Andersson S, Velaga SP. 2009. Preparation of zolmitriptan-chitosan
 microparticles by spray drying for nasal delivery. *Eur. J. Pharm. Sci. Off. J. Eur. Fed. Pharm. Sci.* 38(3):206–14
- Almouazen E, Bourgeois S, Boussaïd A, Valot P, Malleval C, et al. 2012. Development of a
 nanoparticle-based system for the delivery of retinoic acid into macrophages. *Int. J. Pharm.* 430(1-2):207–15
- Alpar HO, Somavarapu S, Atuah KN, Bramwell VW. 2005. Biodegradable mucoadhesive
 particulates for nasal and pulmonary antigen and dna delivery. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.*57(3):411–30
- Año G, Esquisabel A, Pastor M, Talavera A, Cedré B, et al. 2011. A new oral vaccine
 candidate based on the microencapsulation by spray-drying of inactivated vibrio
 cholerae. *Vaccine*. 29(34):5758–64
- Argemí A, Vega A, Subra-Paternault P, Saurina J. 2009. Characterization of
 azacytidine/poly(l-lactic) acid particles prepared by supercritical antisolvent
 precipitation. J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal. 50(5):847–52
- Arias JL, López-Viota M, Sáez-Fernández E, Ruiz MA. 2010. Formulation and
 physicochemical characterization of poly(ε-caprolactone) nanoparticles loaded with
 ftorafur and diclofenac sodium. *Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces*. 75(1):204–8
- Ariga K, Lvov YM, Kawakami K, Ji Q, Hill JP. 2011. Layer-by-layer self-assembled shells
 for drug delivery. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 63(9):762–71
- Asada M, Takahashi H, Okamoto H, Tanino H, Danjo K. 2004. Theophylline particle design using chitosan by the spray drying. *Int. J. Pharm.* 270(1–2):167–74

- Asadi H, Rostamizadeh K, Salari D, Hamidi M. 2011. Preparation of biodegradable
 nanoparticles of tri-block pla-peg-pla copolymer and determination of factors
 controlling the particle size using artificial neural network. *J. Microencapsul.*28(5):406–16
- Ataman-Önal Y, Munier S, Ganée A, Terrat C, Durand P-Y, et al. 2006. Surfactant-free
 anionic pla nanoparticles coated with hiv-1 p24 protein induced enhanced cellular and
 humoral immune responses in various animal models. J. Controlled Release.
 112(2):175–85
- Aumelas A, Serrero A, Durand A, Dellacherie E, Leonard M. 2007. Nanoparticles of
 hydrophobically modified dextrans as potential drug carrier systems. *Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces*. 59(1):74–80
- Avachat AM, Bornare PN, Dash RR. 2011. Sustained release microspheres of ropinirole
 hydrochloride: effect of process parameters. *Acta Pharm. Zagreb Croat.* 61(4):363–76
- Avadi MR, Sadeghi AMM, Mohammadpour N, Abedin S, Atyabi F, et al. 2010. Preparation
 and characterization of insulin nanoparticles using chitosan and arabic gum with ionic
 gelation method. *Nanomedicine Nanotechnol. Biol. Med.* 6(1):58–63
- Balthasar S, Michaelis K, Dinauer N, von Briesen H, Kreuter J, Langer K. 2005. Preparation
 and characterisation of antibody modified gelatin nanoparticles as drug carrier system
 for uptake in lymphocytes. *Biomaterials*. 26(15):2723–32
- Banerjee P, Deb J, Roy A, Ghosh A, Chakraborty P. 2012. Fabrication and development of
 pectin microsphere of metformin hydrochloride. *ISRN Pharm.* 2012:230621
- Baras B, Benoit M-A, Gillard J. 2000. Parameters influencing the antigen release from spray dried poly(dl-lactide) microparticles. *Int. J. Pharm.* 200(1):133–45
- Basarkar A, Devineni D, Palaniappan R, Singh J. 2007. Preparation, characterization,
 cytotoxicity and transfection efficiency of poly(dl-lactide-co-glycolide) and poly(dllactic acid) cationic nanoparticles for controlled delivery of plasmid dna. *Int. J. Pharm.* 343(1-2):247–54
- Bazylińska U, Lewińska A, Lamch Ł, Wilk KA. 2013. Polymeric nanocapsules and
 nanospheres for encapsulation and long sustained release of hydrophobic cyanine-type
 photosensitizer. *Colloids Surf. Physicochem. Eng. Asp.*
- Beck-Broichsitter M, Ruppert C, Schmehl T, Guenther A, Betz T, et al. 2011. Biophysical
 investigation of pulmonary surfactant surface properties upon contact with polymeric
 nanoparticles in vitro. *Nanomedicine Nanotechnol. Biol. Med.* 7(3):341–50
- Beck-Broichsitter M, Schweiger C, Schmehl T, Gessler T, Seeger W, Kissel T. 2012.
 Characterization of novel spray-dried polymeric particles for controlled pulmonary drug delivery. J. Controlled Release. 158(2):329–35
- Bege N, Renette T, Endres T, Beck-Broichsitter M, Hänggi D, Kissel T. 2013. In situ forming
 nimodipine depot system based on microparticles for the treatment of posthemorrhagic
 cerebral vasospasm. *Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm. Off. J. Arbeitsgemeinschaft Für Pharm. Verfahrenstechnik EV.* 84(1):99–105
- Behera T, Swain P. 2012. Antigen adsorbed surface modified poly-ε-caprolactone
 microspheres stimulates both adaptive and innate immune response in fish. *Vaccine*.
 30(35):5278–84

- Benoit MA, Baras B, Gillard J. 1999. Preparation and characterization of protein-loaded
 poly(epsilon-caprolactone) microparticles for oral vaccine delivery. *Int. J. Pharm.* 184(1):73–84
- Bernkop-Schnürch A, Heinrich A, Greimel A. 2006. Development of a novel method for the
 preparation of submicron particles based on thiolated chitosan. *Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm.* 63(2):166–72
- Bilati U, Allémann E, Doelker E. 2005. Development of a nanoprecipitation method intended
 for the entrapment of hydrophilic drugs into nanoparticles. *Eur. J. Pharm. Sci.*24(1):67–75
- Billon A, Chabaud L, Gouyette A, Bouler J-M, Merle C. 2005. Vancomycin biodegradable
 poly(lactide-co-glycolide) microparticles for bone implantation. influence of the
 formulation parameters on the size, morphology, drug loading and in vitro release. J. *Microencapsul.* 22(8):841–52
- Bittner B, Mäder K, Kroll C, Borchert H-H, Kissel T. 1999. Tetracycline-hcl-loaded poly(dl lactide-co-glycolide) microspheres prepared by a spray drying technique: influence of
 γ-irradiation on radical formation and polymer degradation. *J. Controlled Release*.
 59(1):23–32
- Blacklock J, You Y-Z, Zhou Q-H, Mao G, Oupický D. 2009. Gene delivery in vitro and in
 vivo from bioreducible multilayered polyelectrolyte films of plasmid dna. *Biomaterials*. 30(5):939–50
- Bozkir A, Saka OM. 2005. Formulation and investigation of 5-fu nanoparticles with factorial
 design-based studies. *Il Farm*. 60(10):840–46
- Bruschi M., Cardoso ML., Lucchesi M., Gremião MP. 2003. Gelatin microparticles
 containing propolis obtained by spray-drying technique: preparation and
 characterization. *Int. J. Pharm.* 264(1–2):45–55
- Budhian A, Siegel SJ, Winey KI. 2007. Haloperidol-loaded plga nanoparticles: systematic
 study of particle size and drug content. *Int. J. Pharm.* 336(2):367–75
- Byrappa K, Ohara S, Adschiri T. 2008. Nanoparticles synthesis using supercritical fluid
 technology towards biomedical applications. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 60(3):299–327
- Cadete A, Figueiredo L, Lopes R, Calado CCR, Almeida AJ, Gonçalves LMD. 2012.
 Development and characterization of a new plasmid delivery system based on
 chitosan-sodium deoxycholate nanoparticles. *Eur. J. Pharm. Sci. Off. J. Eur. Fed. Pharm. Sci.* 45(4):451–58
- Cal K, Sollohub K. 2010. Spray drying technique. i: hardware and process parameters. J.
 Pharm. Sci. 99(2):575–86
- Campos EVR, de Melo NFS, de Paula E, Rosa AH, Fraceto LF. 2013. Screening of
 conditions for the preparation of poly(-caprolactone) nanocapsules containing the
 local anesthetic articaine. J. Colloid Sci. Biotechnol. 2(2):106–11
- Castelli F, Conti B, Maccarrone DE, Conte U, Puglisi G. 1998. Comparative study of `in
 vitro' release of anti-inflammatory drugs from polylactide-co-glycolide microspheres.
 Int. J. Pharm. 176(1):85–98
- 642 Cevher E, Orhan Z, Mülazımoğlu L, Şensoy D, Alper M, et al. 2006. Characterization of
 643 biodegradable chitosan microspheres containing vancomycin and treatment of

experimental osteomyelitis caused by methicillin-resistant staphylococcus aureus with prepared microspheres. Int. J. Pharm. 317(2):127-35 645

- Challa R, Ahuja A, Ali J, Khar RK. 2005. Cyclodextrins in drug delivery: an updated review. 646 AAPS PharmSciTech. 6(2):E329–E357 647
- Chawla JS, Amiji MM. 2002. Biodegradable poly(ɛ-caprolactone) nanoparticles for tumor-648 targeted delivery of tamoxifen. Int. J. Pharm. 249(1-2):127-38 649
- Chen A-Z, Zhao Z, Wang S-B, Li Y, Zhao C, Liu Y-G. 2011. A continuous ress process to 650 prepare pla-peg-pla microparticles. J. Supercrit. Fluids. 59:92-97 651
- Chen Y, Lin X, Park H, Greever R. 2009. Study of artemisinin nanocapsules as anticancer 652 drug delivery systems. Nanomedicine Nanotechnol. Biol. Med. 5(3):316-22 653
- Chorny M, Fishbein I, Danenberg HD, Golomb G. 2002. Lipophilic drug loaded nanospheres 654 prepared by nanoprecipitation: effect of formulation variables on size, drug recovery 655 and release kinetics. J. Controlled Release. 83(3):389-400 656
- Cırpanlı Y, Allard E, Passirani C, Bilensoy E, Lemaire L, et al. 2011. Antitumoral activity of 657 camptothecin-loaded nanoparticles in 91 rat glioma model. Int. J. Pharm. 403(1-658 2):201-6 659
- Cirpanli Y, Bilensoy E, Lale Doğan A, Caliş S. 2009. Comparative evaluation of polymeric 660 and amphiphilic cyclodextrin nanoparticles for effective camptothecin delivery. Eur. 661 J. Pharm. Biopharm. 73(1):82-89 662
- Cohen-Sela E, Chorny M, Koroukhov N, Danenberg HD, Golomb G. 2009. A new double 663 emulsion solvent diffusion technique for encapsulating hydrophilic molecules in plga 664 nanoparticles. J. Control. Release Off. J. Control. Release Soc. 133(2):90-95 665
- Contado C, Vighi E, Dalpiaz A, Leo E. 2013. Influence of secondary preparative parameters 666 and aging effects on plga particle size distribution: a sedimentation field flow 667 fractionation investigation. Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 405(2-3):703-11 668
- Conti B, Bucolo C, Giannavola C, Puglisi G, Giunchedi P, Conte U. 1997. Biodegradable 669 microspheres for the intravitreal administration of acyclovir: in vitro/in vivo 670 671 evaluation. Eur. J. Pharm. Sci. 5(5):287-93
- Cruz L, Assumpção E, Andrade SF, Conrado DJ, Kulkamp IC, et al. 2010. Gastroresistant 672 microparticles containing sodium alendronate prevent the bone loss in ovariectomized 673 rats. Eur. J. Pharm. Sci. Off. J. Eur. Fed. Pharm. Sci. 40(5):441-47 674
- Cun D, Foged C, Yang M, Frøkjaer S, Nielsen HM. 2010. Preparation and characterization of 675 poly(dl-lactide-co-glycolide) nanoparticles for sirna delivery. Int. J. Pharm. 676 390(1):70-75 677
- Cun D, Jensen DK, Maltesen MJ, Bunker M, Whiteside P, et al. 2011. High loading 678 efficiency and sustained release of sirna encapsulated in plga nanoparticles: quality by 679 design optimization and characterization. Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm. Off. J. 680 Arbeitsgemeinschaft Für Pharm. Verfahrenstechnik EV. 77(1):26–35 681
- 682 D'Aurizio E, van Nostrum CF, van Steenbergen MJ, Sozio P, Siepmann F, et al. 2011. Preparation and characterization of poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) microspheres loaded 683 with a labile antiparkinson prodrug. Int. J. Pharm. 409(1-2):289-96 684
- Da Silva AA Jr, de Matos JR, Formariz TP, Rossanezi G, Scarpa MV, et al. 2009. Thermal 685 behavior and stability of biodegradable spray-dried microparticles containing 686 triamcinolone. Int. J. Pharm. 368(1-2):45-55 687

- Dai C, Wang Y, Hou X. 2012. Preparation and protein adsorption of porous dextran
 microspheres. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 87(3):2338–43
- Dai M, Xu X, Song J, Fu S, Gou M, et al. 2011. Preparation of camptothecin-loaded pcec
 microspheres for the treatment of colorectal peritoneal carcinomatosis and tumor
 growth in mice. *Cancer Lett.* 312(2):189–96
- Danhier F, Ansorena E, Silva JM, Coco R, Le Breton A, Préat V. 2012. Plga-based
 nanoparticles: an overview of biomedical applications. J. Control. Release Off. J.
 Control. Release Soc. 161(2):505–22
- Das S, Suresh PK, Desmukh R. 2010. Design of eudragit rl 100 nanoparticles by
 nanoprecipitation method for ocular drug delivery. *Nanomedicine Nanotechnol. Biol. Med.* 6(2):318–23
- Dash TK, Konkimalla VB. 2012. Poly-e-caprolactone based formulations for drug delivery
 and tissue engineering: a review. *J. Controlled Release*. 158(1):15–33
- De Melo NFS, Campos EVR, de Paula E, Rosa AH, Fraceto LF. 2013. Factorial design and
 characterization studies for articaine hydrochloride loaded alginate/chitosan
 nanoparticles. J. Colloid Sci. Biotechnol. 2(2):146–52
- De Villiers MM, Otto DP, Strydom SJ, Lvov YM. 2011. Introduction to nanocoatings
 produced by layer-by-layer (lbl) self-assembly. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 63(9):701–15
- Delie F, Berton M, Allémann E, Gurny R. 2001. Comparison of two methods of
 encapsulation of an oligonucleotide into poly(d,l-lactic acid) particles. *Int. J. Pharm.* 214(1-2):25–30
- Derakhshandeh K, Fathi S. 2012. Role of chitosan nanoparticles in the oral absorption of
 gemcitabine. *Int. J. Pharm.* 437(1-2):172–77
- Devissaguet J-P, Fessi H, Puisieux F. 1991. Process for the preparaton of dispersible colloidal
 systems of a substance in the form of nanocapsules. US5049322 A
- Dhanaraju MD, Jayakumar R, Vamsadhara C. 2004. Influence of manufacturing parameters
 on development of contraceptive steroid loaded injectable microspheres. *Chem. Pharm. Bull. (Tokyo)*. 52(8):976–79
- Dinarvand R, Sepehri N, Manoochehri S, Rouhani H, Atyabi F. 2011. Polylactide-co glycolide nanoparticles for controlled delivery of anticancer agents. *Int. J. Nanomedicine*. 6:877–95
- Doan TVP, Couet W, Olivier JC. 2011. Formulation and in vitro characterization of inhalable
 rifampicin-loaded plga microspheres for sustained lung delivery. *Int. J. Pharm.* 414(1–
 2):112–17
- Dong Y, Feng S-SS-S. 2004. Methoxy poly(ethylene glycol)-poly(lactide) (mpeg-pla)
 nanoparticles for controlled delivery of anticancer drugs. *Biomaterials*. 25(14):2843–
 49
- Dong Y, Feng S-S. 2007. In vitro and in vivo evaluation of methoxy polyethylene glycol–
 polylactide (mpeg–pla) nanoparticles for small-molecule drug chemotherapy.
 Biomaterials. 28(28):4154–60
- Doustgani A, Farahani EV, Imani M, Doulabi AH. 2012. Dexamethasone sodium phosphate
 release from chitosan nanoparticles prepared by ionic gelation method. *J. Colloid Sci. Biotechnol.* 1(1):42–50

- Du W-L, Niu S-S, Xu Y-L, Xu Z-R, Fan C-L. 2009. Antibacterial activity of chitosan
 tripolyphosphate nanoparticles loaded with various metal ions. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 75(3):385–89
- Duchêne D. 1999. Cyclodextrins in targeting application to nanoparticles. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 36(1):29–40
- Duchêne D, Ponchel G, Wouessidjewe D. 1999. Cyclodextrins in targeting: application to
 nanoparticles. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 36(1):29–40
- Eidi H, Joubert O, Attik G, Duval RE, Bottin MC, et al. 2010. Cytotoxicity assessment of
 heparin nanoparticles in nr8383 macrophages. *Int. J. Pharm.* 396(1-2):156–65
- Elaissari A. 2002. Thermally sensitive latex particles. In *Handbook of Surface and Colloid Chemistry, Second Edition*, ed K Birdi. CRC Press
- Eley JG, Mathew P. 2007. Preparation and release characteristics of insulin and insulin-like
 growth factor-one from polymer nanoparticles. *J. Microencapsul.* 24(3):225–34
- Elias H-G. 2003. An introduction to plastics. Wiley-VCH. 424 pp.
- Elizondo E, Veciana J, Ventosa N. 2012. Nanostructuring molecular materials as particles and
 vesicles for drug delivery, using compressed and supercritical fluids. *Nanomed.* 7(9):1391–1408
- Elmizadeh H, Khanmohammadi M, Ghasemi K, Hassanzadeh G, Nassiri-Asl M, Garmarudi
 AB. 2013. Preparation and optimization of chitosan nanoparticles and magnetic
 chitosan nanoparticles as delivery systems using box-behnken statistical design. J.
 Pharm. Biomed. Anal. 80:141–46
- Esmaeili A, Saremnia B, Koohian A, Rezazadeh S. 2011. Mechanism of nanocapsules of
 matricaria recutita l. extract formation by the emulsion–diffusion process.
 Superlattices Microstruct. 50(4):340–49
- Esposito E, Cervellati F, Menegatti E, Nastruzzi C, Cortesi R. 2002. Spray dried eudragit
 microparticles as encapsulation devices for vitamin c. *Int. J. Pharm.* 242(1–2):329–34
- Essa S, Rabanel JM, Hildgen P. 2010. Effect of aqueous solubility of grafted moiety on the
 physicochemical properties of poly(d,l-lactide) (pla) based nanoparticles. *Int. J. Pharm.* 388(1–2):263–73
- Fàbregas A, Miñarro M, García-Montoya E, Pérez-Lozano P, Carrillo C, et al. 2013. Impact
 of physical parameters on particle size and reaction yield when using the ionic gelation
 method to obtain cationic polymeric chitosan-tripolyphosphate nanoparticles. *Int. J. Pharm.* 446(1-2):199–204
- Falamarzian A, Lavasanifar A. 2010. Optimization of the hydrophobic domain in poly(ethylene oxide)-poly(ε-caprolactone) based nano-carriers for the solubilization and delivery of amphotericin b. *Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces*. 81(1):313–20
- Falco N, Reverchon E, Della Porta G. 2013. Injectable plga/hydrocortisone formulation
 produced by continuous supercritical emulsion extraction. *Int. J. Pharm.* 441(1 2):589–97
- Florindo HF, Pandit S, Lacerda L, Gonçalves LMD, Alpar HO, Almeida AJ. 2009. The
 enhancement of the immune response against s. equi antigens through the intranasal
 administration of poly-ε-caprolactone-based nanoparticles. *Biomaterials*. 30(5):879–
 91

- Fonseca C, Simões S, Gaspar R. 2002. Paclitaxel-loaded plga nanoparticles: preparation,
 physicochemical characterization and in vitro anti-tumoral activity. J. Control.
 Release Off. J. Control. Release Soc. 83(2):273–86
- Fredriksen BN, Grip J. 2012. Plga/pla micro- and nanoparticle formulations serve as antigen
 depots and induce elevated humoral responses after immunization of atlantic salmon
 (salmo salar l.). *Vaccine*. 30(3):656–67
- Gaignaux A, Réeff J, Siepmann F, Siepmann J, De Vriese C, et al. 2012. Development and
 evaluation of sustained-release clonidine-loaded plga microparticles. *Int. J. Pharm.* 437(1-2):20-28
- Galindo-Rodríguez SA, Puel F, Briançon S, Allémann E, Doelker E, Fessi H. 2005.
 Comparative scale-up of three methods for producing ibuprofen-loaded nanoparticles.
 Eur. J. Pharm. Sci. 25(4–5):357–67
- Gan Q, Wang T, Cochrane C, McCarron P. 2005. Modulation of surface charge, particle size
 and morphological properties of chitosan-tpp nanoparticles intended for gene
 delivery. *Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces*. 44(2–3):65–73
- Gander B, Johansen P, Nam-Trân H, Merkle HP. 1996. Thermodynamic approach to protein
 microencapsulation into poly(d,l-lactide) by spray drying. *Int. J. Pharm.* 129(1–2):51–
 61
- Garekani HA, Moghaddam ZF, Sadeghi F. 2013. Organic solution versus aqueous dispersion
 of eudragit rs in preparation of sustained release microparticles of theophylline using
 spray drying. *Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces*. 108:374–79
- Gennes P-G. 1979. Scaling Concepts in Polymer Physics. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University
 Press. 319 pp.
- Gèze A, Aous S, Baussanne I, Putaux J, Defaye J, Wouessidjewe D. 2002. Influence of
 chemical structure of amphiphilic β-cyclodextrins on their ability to form stable
 nanoparticles. *Int. J. Pharm.* 242(1-2):301–5
- Giri TK, Choudhary C, Ajazuddin, Alexander A, Badwaik H, Tripathi DK. 2013. Prospects of
 pharmaceuticals and biopharmaceuticals loaded microparticles prepared by double
 emulsion technique for controlled delivery. *Saudi Pharm. J.* 21(2):125–41
- Giunchedi P, Juliano C, Gavini E, Cossu M, Sorrenti M. 2002. Formulation and in vivo
 evaluation of chlorhexidine buccal tablets prepared using drug-loaded chitosan
 microspheres. *Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm.* 53(2):233–39
- Gou M, Zheng L, Peng X, Men K, Zheng X, et al. 2009. Poly(ε-caprolactone)–poly(ethylene
 glycol)–poly(ε-caprolactone) (pcl–peg–pcl) nanoparticles for honokiol delivery in
 vitro. *Int. J. Pharm.* 375(1–2):170–76
- Govender T, Riley T, Ehtezazi T, Garnett MC, Stolnik S, et al. 2000. Defining the drug
 incorporation properties of pla-peg nanoparticles. *Int. J. Pharm.* 199(1):95–110
- Govender T, Stolnik S, Garnett MC, Illum L, Davis SS. 1999. Plga nanoparticles prepared by
 nanoprecipitation: drug loading and release studies of a water soluble drug. *J. Control. Release Off. J. Control. Release Soc.* 57(2):171–85
- Guenet J-M. 1992. *Thermoreversible gelation of polymers and biopolymers*. Academic Press.
 300 pp.

- Guerreiro LH, Da Silva D, Ricci-Junior E, Girard-Dias W, Mascarenhas CM, et al. 2012.
 Polymeric particles for the controlled release of human amylin. *Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces*. 94:101–6
- Gupta AP, Kumar V. 2007. New emerging trends in synthetic biodegradable polymers –
 polylactide: a critique. *Eur. Polym. J.* 43(10):4053–74
- Gupta V, Ahsan F. 2011. Influence of pei as a core modifying agent on plga microspheres of
 pge₁, a pulmonary selective vasodilator. *Int. J. Pharm.* 413(1-2):51–62
- Gyulai G, Pénzes CB, Mohai M, Csempesz F, Kiss é. 2013. Influence of surface properties of
 polymeric nanoparticles on their membrane affinity. *Eur. Polym. J.*
- Hachicha W, Kodjikian L, Fessi H. 2006. Preparation of vancomycin microparticles:
 importance of preparation parameters. *Int. J. Pharm.* 324(2):176–84
- Han S, Li M, Liu X, Gao H, Wu Y. 2013. Construction of amphiphilic copolymer
 nanoparticles based on gelatin as drug carriers for doxorubicin delivery. *Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces*. 102:833–41
- Hao S, Wang B, Wang Y, Zhu L, Wang B, Guo T. 2013. Preparation of eudragit 1 100-55
 enteric nanoparticles by a novel emulsion diffusion method. *Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces*. 108:127–33
- Haznedar S, Dortunç B. 2004. Preparation and in vitro evaluation of eudragit microspheres
 containing acetazolamide. *Int. J. Pharm.* 269(1):131–40
- He C, Yin L, Tang C, Yin C. 2013. Multifunctional polymeric nanoparticles for oral delivery
 of tnf-α sirna to macrophages. *Biomaterials*. 34(11):2843–54
- He P, Davis SS, Illum L. 1999. Chitosan microspheres prepared by spray drying. *Int. J. Pharm.* 187(1):53–65
- Hegazy N, Demirel M, Yazan Y. 2002. Preparation and in vitro evaluation of pyridostigmine
 bromide microparticles. *Int. J. Pharm.* 242(1–2):171–74
- Hernán Pérez de la Ossa D, Ligresti A, Gil-Alegre ME, Aberturas MR, Molpeceres J, et al.
 2012. Poly-ε-caprolactone microspheres as a drug delivery system for cannabinoid
 administration: development, characterization and in vitro evaluation of their
 antitumoral efficacy. J. Controlled Release. 161(3):927–32
- Holgado MA, Martin-banderas, Alvarez-fuentes, Duran-lobato, Prados J, et al. 2012.
 Cannabinoid derivate-loaded plga nanocarriers for oral administration: formulation,
 characterization, and cytotoxicity studies. *Int. J. Nanomedicine*, p. 5793
- 848 Hombreiro Pérez M, Zinutti C, Lamprecht A, Ubrich N, Astier A, et al. 2000. The preparation 849 and evaluation of $poly(\epsilon$ -caprolactone) microparticles containing both a lipophilic and 850 a hydrophilic drug. *J. Controlled Release*. 65(3):429–38
- Hu Y, Jiang X, Ding Y, Zhang L, Yang C, et al. 2003. Preparation and drug release behaviors
 of nimodipine-loaded poly(caprolactone)–poly(ethylene oxide)–polylactide
 amphiphilic copolymer nanoparticles. *Biomaterials*. 24(13):2395–2404
- Huang Y, Gao H, Gou M, Ye H, Liu Y, et al. 2010. Acute toxicity and genotoxicity studies on
 poly(ε-caprolactone)-poly(ethylene glycol)-poly(ε-caprolactone) nanomaterials.
 Mutat. Res. Toxicol. Environ. Mutagen. 696(2):101–6
- Huang Y-Y, Chung T-W, Tzeng T. 1997. Drug release from pla/peg microparticulates. *Int. J. Pharm.* 156(1):9–15

- Hyvönen S, Peltonen L, Karjalainen M, Hirvonen J. 2005. Effect of nanoprecipitation on the
 physicochemical properties of low molecular weight poly(l-lactic acid) nanoparticles
 loaded with salbutamol sulphate and beclomethasone dipropionate. *Int. J. Pharm.*295(1-2):269–81
- 863 Iler RK. 1966. Multilayers of colloidal particles. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 21(6):569–94
- 864 Illum L, Fisher AN, Jabbal-Gill I, Davis SS. 2001. Bioadhesive starch microspheres and
 865 absorption enhancing agents act synergistically to enhance the nasal absorption of
 866 polypeptides. *Int. J. Pharm.* 222(1):109–19
- Ishihara T, Takahashi M, Higaki M, Mizushima Y, Mizushima T. 2010. Preparation and
 characterization of a nanoparticulate formulation composed of peg-pla and pla as antiinflammatory agents. *Int. J. Pharm.* 385(1–2):170–75
- Jafarinejad S, Gilani K, Moazeni E, Ghazi-Khansari M, Najafabadi AR, Mohajel N. 2012.
 Development of chitosan-based nanoparticles for pulmonary delivery of itraconazole
 as dry powder formulation. *Powder Technol.* 222:65–70
- Jain AK, Swarnakar NK, Godugu C, Singh RP, Jain S. 2011. The effect of the oral
 administration of polymeric nanoparticles on the efficacy and toxicity of tamoxifen. *Biomaterials*. 32(2):503–15
- Javadzadeh Y, Ahadi F, Davaran S, Mohammadi G, Sabzevari A, Adibkia K. 2010.
 Preparation and physicochemical characterization of naproxen-plga nanoparticles.
 Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces. 81(2):498–502
- Jordan F, Naylor A, Kelly CA, Howdle SM, Lewis A, Illum L. 2010. Sustained release hgh
 microsphere formulation produced by a novel supercritical fluid technology: in vivo
 studies. J. Control. Release Off. J. Control. Release Soc. 141(2):153–60
- Kadam RS, Tyagi P, Edelhauser HF, Kompella UB. 2012. Influence of choroidal
 neovascularization and biodegradable polymeric particle size on transscleral sustained
 delivery of triamcinolone acetonide. *Int. J. Pharm.* 434(1-2):140–47
- Kalani M, Yunus R. 2012. Effect of supercritical fluid density on nanoencapsulated drug
 particle size using the supercritical antisolvent method. *Int. J. Nanomedicine*. 7:2165–
 72
- Kang Y, Wu J, Yin G, Huang Z, Yao Y, et al. 2008. Preparation, characterization and in vitro
 cytotoxicity of indomethacin-loaded plla/plga microparticles using supercritical co2
 technique. *Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm.* 70(1):85–97
- Kang Y, Yang C, Ouyang P, Yin G, Huang Z, et al. 2009. The preparation of bsa-plla
 microparticles in a batch supercritical anti-solvent process. *Carbohydr. Polym.*77(2):244–49
- Kanthamneni N, Sharma S, Meenach SA, Billet B, Zhao J-C, et al. 2012. Enhanced stability
 of horseradish peroxidase encapsulated in acetalated dextran microparticles stored
 outside cold chain conditions. *Int. J. Pharm.* 431(1–2):101–10
- Karataş A, Sonakin O, Kiliçarslan M, Baykara T. 2009. Poly (epsilon-caprolactone)
 microparticles containing levobunolol hcl prepared by a multiple emulsion (w/o/w)
 solvent evaporation technique: effects of some formulation parameters on
 microparticle characteristics. *J. Microencapsul.* 26(1):63–74

- 901 Karnchanajindanun J, Srisa-ard M, Baimark Y. 2011. Genipin-cross-linked chitosan
 902 microspheres prepared by a water-in-oil emulsion solvent diffusion method for protein
 903 delivery. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 85(3):674–80
- Katara R, Majumdar DK. 2013. Eudragit rl 100-based nanoparticulate system of aceclofenac
 for ocular delivery. *Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces*. 103:455–62
- Katare YK, Muthukumaran T, Panda AK. 2005. Influence of particle size, antigen load, dose
 and additional adjuvant on the immune response from antigen loaded pla
 microparticles. *Int. J. Pharm.* 301(1–2):149–60
- Kauffman KJ, Kanthamneni N, Meenach SA, Pierson BC, Bachelder EM, Ainslie KM. 2012.
 Optimization of rapamycin-loaded acetalated dextran microparticles for
 immunosuppression. *Int. J. Pharm.* 422(1–2):356–63
- Keegan GM, Smart JD, Ingram MJ, Barnes L-M, Burnett GR, Rees GD. 2012. Chitosan
 microparticles for the controlled delivery of fluoride. *J. Dent.* 40(3):229–40
- Khaled KA, Sarhan HA, Ibrahim MA, Ali AH, Naguib YW. 2010. Prednisolone-loaded plga
 microspheres. in vitro characterization and in vivo application in adjuvant-induced
 arthritis in mice. *AAPS PharmSciTech*. 11(2):859–69
- 917 Khalil NM, do Nascimento TCF, Casa DM, Dalmolin LF, de Mattos AC, et al. 2013.
 918 Pharmacokinetics of curcumin-loaded plga and plga-peg blend nanoparticles after oral 919 administration in rats. *Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces*. 101:353–60
- 920 Khalil SKH, El-Feky GS, El-Banna ST, Khalil WA. 2012. Preparation and evaluation of
 921 warfarin-β-cyclodextrin loaded chitosan nanoparticles for transdermal delivery.
 922 *Carbohydr. Polym.* 90(3):1244–53
- 923 Khan MS, Vishakante GD, Bathool A. 2012. Development and characterization of
 924 brimonidine tartrate loaded eudragit nanosuspensions for ocular drug delivery. J.
 925 Colloid Sci. Biotechnol. 1(1):122–28
- Khayata N, Abdelwahed W, Chehna MF, Charcosset C, Fessi H. 2012. Preparation of vitamin
 e loaded nanocapsules by the nanoprecipitation method: from laboratory scale to large
 scale using a membrane contactor. *Int. J. Pharm.* 423(2):419–27
- Khoee S, Sattari A, Atyabi F. 2012. Physico-chemical properties investigation of cisplatin
 loaded polybutyladipate (pba) nanoparticles prepared by w/o/w. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C.*32(5):1078–86
- Khoee S, Yaghoobian M. 2009. An investigation into the role of surfactants in controlling
 particle size of polymeric nanocapsules containing penicillin-g in double emulsion. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 44(6):2392–99
- Konecsni K, Low NH, Nickerson MT. 2012. Chitosan–tripolyphosphate submicron particles
 as the carrier of entrapped rutin. *Food Chem.* 134(4):1775–79
- Koten JW, Van Luyn MJA, Cadée JA, Brouwer L, Hennink WE, et al. 2003. II-2 loaded
 dextran microspheres with attractive histocompatibility properties for local il-2 cancer
 therapy. *Cytokine*. 24(3):57–66
- Krishnakumar N, Sulfikkarali N, RajendraPrasad N, Karthikeyan S. 2011. Enhanced
 anticancer activity of naringenin-loaded nanoparticles in human cervical (hela) cancer
 cells. *Biomed. Prev. Nutr.* 1(4):223–31
- Kumar A, Gupta GK, Khedgikar V, Gautam J, Kushwaha P, et al. 2012. In vivo efficacy
 studies of layer-by-layer nano-matrix bearing kaempferol for the conditions of

- 945 osteoporosis: a study in ovariectomized rat model. *Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm.*946 82(3):508–17
- Kumari A, Yadav SK, Pakade YB, Kumar V, Singh B, et al. 2011. Nanoencapsulation and
 characterization of albizia chinensis isolated antioxidant quercitrin on pla
 nanoparticles. *Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces*. 82(1):224–32
- Kwon H-Y, Lee J-Y, Choi S-W, Jang Y, Kim J-H. 2001. Preparation of plga nanoparticles
 containing estrogen by emulsification–diffusion method. *Colloids Surf. Physicochem. Eng. Asp.* 182(1–3):123–30
- Labruère R, Sicard R, Cormier R, Turos E, West L. 2010. Poly(vinyl benzoate) nanoparticles
 for molecular delivery: studies on their preparation and in vitro properties. J.
 Controlled Release. 148(2):234–40
- Lamalle-Bernard D, Munier S, Compagnon C, Charles M-H, Kalyanaraman VS, et al. 2006.
 Coadsorption of hiv-1 p24 and gp120 proteins to surfactant-free anionic pla nanoparticles preserves antigenicity and immunogenicity. *J. Controlled Release*.
 115(1):57–67
- Le Ray A-M, Chiffoleau S, Iooss P, Grimandi G, Gouyette A, et al. 2003. Vancomycin
 encapsulation in biodegradable poly(ε-caprolactone) microparticles for bone
 implantation. influence of the formulation process on size, drug loading, in vitro
 release and cytocompatibility. *Biomaterials*. 24(3):443–49
- Lee SJ, Hong G-Y, Jeong Y-I, Kang M-S, Oh J-S, et al. 2012. Paclitaxel-incorporated nanoparticles of hydrophobized polysaccharide and their antitumor activity. *Int. J. Pharm.* 433(1-2):121–28
- Lemos-Senna E, Wouessidjewe D, Duchêne D, Sylviane Lesieur. 1998. Amphiphilic
 cyclodextrin nanospheres: particle solubilization and reconstitution by the action of a
 non-ionic detergent. *Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces*. 10(5):291–301
- P70 Leo E, Forni F, Bernabei MT. 2000. Surface drug removal from ibuprofen-loaded pla microspheres. *Int. J. Pharm.* 196(1):1–9
- Li B, Wang Q, Wang X, Wang C, Jiang X. 2013. Preparation, drug release and cellular uptake
 of doxorubicin-loaded dextran-b-poly(ε-caprolactone) nanoparticles. *Carbohydr*.
 Polym. 93(2):430–37
- Li P, Wang Y, Peng Z, She F, Kong L. 2011. Development of chitosan nanoparticles as drug
 delivery systems for 5-fluorouracil and leucovorin blends. *Carbohydr. Polym.*85(3):698–704
- Li X, Chang S, Du G, Li Y, Gong J, et al. 2012. Encapsulation of azithromycin into polymeric
 microspheres by reduced pressure-solvent evaporation method. *Int. J. Pharm.* 433(1 2):79–88
- Liang D, Fu X, Liao M, Yuan W, Su J. 2013. Development of dextran microparticles loaded
 with il-1ra of high-encapsulation efficiency and high-bioactivity by a novel method
 without exposing il-1ra to water–oil interfaces. *Powder Technol.* 235:299–302
- Limayem Blouza I, Charcosset C, Sfar S, Fessi H. 2006. Preparation and characterization of
 spironolactone-loaded nanocapsules for paediatric use. *Int. J. Pharm.* 325(1-2):124–31
- Lince F, Marchisio DL, Barresi AA. 2008. Strategies to control the particle size distribution
 of poly-ε-caprolactone nanoparticles for pharmaceutical applications. J. Colloid
 Interface Sci. 322(2):505–15

- Ma J, Feng P, Ye C, Wang Y, Fan Y. 2001. An improved interfacial coacervation technique
 to fabricate biodegradable nanocapsules of an aqueous peptide solution from
 polylactide and its block copolymers with poly(ethylene glycol). *Colloid Polym. Sci.* 279(4):387–92
- Mahapatro A, Singh DK. 2011. Biodegradable nanoparticles are excellent vehicle for site
 directed in-vivo delivery of drugs and vaccines. J. Nanobiotechnology. 9:55
- Manchanda R, Fernandez-Fernandez A, Nagesetti A, McGoron AJ. 2010. Preparation and
 characterization of a polymeric (plga) nanoparticulate drug delivery system with
 simultaneous incorporation of chemotherapeutic and thermo-optical agents. *Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces*. 75(1):260–67
- Mao S, Sun W, Kissel T. 2010. Chitosan-based formulations for delivery of dna and sirna.
 Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 62(1):12–27
- Martin TM, Bandi N, Shulz R, Roberts CB, Kompella UB. 2002. Preparation of budesonide
 and budesonide-pla microparticles using supercritical fluid precipitation technology.
 AAPS PharmSciTech. 3(3):E18
- Masson V, Maurin F, Fessi H, Devissaguet JP. 1997. Influence of sterilization processes on
 poly(ε-caprolactone) nanospheres. *Biomaterials*. 18(4):327–35
- Maya S, Kumar LG, Sarmento B, Sanoj Rejinold N, Menon D, et al. 2013. Cetuximab
 conjugated o-carboxymethyl chitosan nanoparticles for targeting egfr overexpressing
 cancer cells. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 93(2):661–69
- Mazzaferro S, Bouchemal K, Maksimenko A, Skanji R, Opolon P, Ponchel G. 2012. Reduced
 intestinal toxicity of docetaxel loaded into mucoadhesive nanoparticles, in mouse
 xenograft model. J. Colloid Sci. Biotechnol. 1(2):210–17
- Mazzarino L, Travelet C, Ortega-Murillo S, Otsuka I, Pignot-Paintrand I, et al. 2012.
 Elaboration of chitosan-coated nanoparticles loaded with curcumin for mucoadhesive applications. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 370(1):58–66
- Mehvar R. 2000. Dextrans for targeted and sustained delivery of therapeutic and imaging
 agents. J. Controlled Release. 69(1):1–25
- Memişoğlu E, Bochot A, Şen M, Duchêne D, Hıncal AA. 2003. Non-surfactant nanospheres
 of progesterone inclusion complexes with amphiphilic β-cyclodextrins. *Int. J. Pharm.* 251(1-2):143–53
- Menei P, Montero-Menei C, Venier M-C, Benoit J-P. 2005. Drug delivery into the brain using poly(lactide-co-glycolide) microspheres. *Expert Opin. Drug Deliv.* 2(2):363–76
- Miladi K, Sfar S, Fessi H, Elaissari A. 2013. Drug carriers in osteoporosis: preparation, drug encapsulation and applications. *Int. J. Pharm.* 445(1–2):181–95
- Minost A, Delaveau J, Bolzinger M-A, Fessi H, Elaissari A. 2012. Nanoparticles via nanoprecipitation process. *Recent Pat. Drug Deliv. Formul.* 6(3):250–58
- Miyazaki Y, Onuki Y, Yakou S, Takayama K. 2006. Effect of temperature-increase rate on
 drug release characteristics of dextran microspheres prepared by emulsion solvent
 evaporation process. *Int. J. Pharm.* 324(2):144–51
- Mo L, Hou L, Guo D, Xiao X, Mao P, Yang X. 2012. Preparation and characterization of teniposide plga nanoparticles and their uptake in human glioblastoma u87mg cells. *Int. J. Pharm.* 436(1-2):815–24

- Mohajel N, Najafabadi AR, Azadmanesh K, Vatanara A, Moazeni E, et al. 2012.
 Optimization of a spray drying process to prepare dry powder microparticles containing plasmid nanocomplex. *Int. J. Pharm.* 423(2):577–85
- Mora-Huertas CE, Fessi H, Elaissari A. 2010. Polymer-based nanocapsules for drug delivery.
 Int. J. Pharm. 385(1-2):113–42
- Mora-Huertas CE, Garrigues O, Fessi H, Elaissari A. 2012. Nanocapsules prepared via
 nanoprecipitation and emulsification-diffusion methods: comparative study. *Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm. Off. J. Arbeitsgemeinschaft Für Pharm. Verfahrenstechnik EV.* 80(1):235–39
- Morales-Cruz M, Flores-Fernández GM, Morales-Cruz M, Orellano EA, Rodriguez-Martinez
 JA, et al. 2012. Two-step nanoprecipitation for the production of protein-loaded plga
 nanospheres. *Results Pharma Sci.* 2:79–85
- Mu L, Feng S. 2001. Fabrication, characterization and in vitro release of paclitaxel (taxol®)
 loaded poly (lactic-co-glycolic acid) microspheres prepared by spray drying technique
 with lipid/cholesterol emulsifiers. *J. Controlled Release*. 76(3):239–54
- Muttil P, Kaur J, Kumar K, Yadav AB, Sharma R, Misra A. 2007. Inhalable microparticles containing large payload of anti-tuberculosis drugs. *Eur. J. Pharm. Sci.* 32(2):140–50
- Nahar M, Mishra D, Dubey V, Jain NK. 2008. Development, characterization, and toxicity
 evaluation of amphotericin b-loaded gelatin nanoparticles. *Nanomedicine Nanotechnol. Biol. Med.* 4(3):252–61
- Nehilla B, Bergkvist M, Popat K, Desai T. 2008. Purified and surfactant-free coenzyme q10 loaded biodegradable nanoparticles. *Int. J. Pharm.* 348(1-2):107–14
- O'Donnell PB, McGinity JW. 1997. Preparation of microspheres by the solvent evaporation
 technique. Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 28(1):25–42
- Osman R, Kan PL, Awad G, Mortada N, El-Shamy A-E, Alpar O. 2011. Enhanced properties
 of discrete pulmonary deoxyribonuclease i (dnasei) loaded plga nanoparticles during
 encapsulation and activity determination. *Int. J. Pharm.* 408(1-2):257–65
- Palazzo F, Giovagnoli S, Schoubben A, Blasi P, Rossi C, Ricci M. 2013. Development of a
 spray-drying method for the formulation of respirable microparticles containing
 ofloxacin-palladium complex. *Int. J. Pharm.* 440(2):273–82
- Pariot N, Edwards-Lévy F, Andry M-C, Lévy M-C. 2002. Cross-linked β-cyclodextrin
 microcapsules. ii. retarding effect on drug release through semi-permeable
 membranes. *Int. J. Pharm.* 232(1-2):175–81
- Park JH, Saravanakumar G, Kim K, Kwon IC. 2010. Targeted delivery of low molecular
 drugs using chitosan and its derivatives☆. Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 62(1):28–41
- Patil SB, Sawant KK. 2011. Chitosan microspheres as a delivery system for nasal insufflation.
 Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces. 84(2):384–89
- Péan JM, Venier-Julienne MC, Boury F, Menei P, Denizot B, Benoit JP. 1998. Ngf release
 from poly(d,l-lactide-co-glycolide) microspheres. effect of some formulation
 parameters on encapsulated ngf stability. J. Control. Release Off. J. Control. Release
 Soc. 56(1-3):175–87
- Pignatello R, Bucolo C, Ferrara P, Maltese A, Puleo A, Puglisi G. 2002. Eudragit rs100® nanosuspensions for the ophthalmic controlled delivery of ibuprofen. *Eur. J. Pharm. Sci.* 16(1–2):53–61

- 1076 Pillai O, Panchagnula R. 2001. Polymers in drug delivery. *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* 5(4):447–
 1077 51
- Pinto Reis C, Neufeld RJ, Ribeiro AJ, Veiga F. 2006. Nanoencapsulation i. methods for
 preparation of drug-loaded polymeric nanoparticles. *Nanomedicine Nanotechnol. Biol. Med.* 2(1):8–21
- Poletto FS, Fiel LA, Donida B, Ré MI, Guterres SS, Pohlmann AR. 2008. Controlling the size
 of poly(hydroxybutyrate-co-hydroxyvalerate) nanoparticles prepared by
 emulsification-diffusion technique using ethanol as surface agent. *Colloids Surf. Physicochem. Eng. Asp.* 324(1-3):105-12
- Powell TJ, Palath N, DeRome ME, Tang J, Jacobs A, Boyd JG. 2011. Synthetic nanoparticle
 vaccines produced by layer-by-layer assembly of artificial biofilms induce potent
 protective t-cell and antibody responses in vivo. *Vaccine*. 29(3):558–69
- Prata AS, Garcia L, Tonon RV, Hubinger MD. 2013. Wall material selection for
 encapsulation by spray drying. J. Colloid Sci. Biotechnol. 2(2):86–92
- Prior S, Gamazo C, Irache J., Merkle H., Gander B. 2000. Gentamicin encapsulation in
 pla/plga microspheres in view of treating brucella infections. *Int. J. Pharm.* 196(1):115–25
- Qi W, Yan X, Fei J, Wang A, Cui Y, Li J. 2009. Triggered release of insulin from glucose sensitive enzyme multilayer shells. *Biomaterials*. 30(14):2799–2806
- Quintanar-Guerrero D, Fessi H, Allémann E, Doelker E. 1996. Influence of stabilizing agents
 and preparative variables on the formation of poly(d,l-lactic acid) nanoparticles by an
 emulsification-diffusion technique. *Int. J. Pharm.* 143(2):133–41
- Radtchenko IL, Sukhorukov GB, Möhwald H. 2002. Incorporation of macromolecules into
 polyelectrolyte micro- and nanocapsules via surface controlled precipitation on
 colloidal particles. *Colloids Surf. Physicochem. Eng. Asp.* 202(2–3):127–33
- 1101 Raffin RP, Colomé LM, Schapoval EES, Pohlmann AR, Guterres SS. 2008. Increasing
 1102 sodium pantoprazole photostability by microencapsulation: effect of the polymer and
 1103 the preparation technique. *Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm.* 69(3):1014–18
- Rao JP, Geckeler KE. 2011. Polymer nanoparticles: preparation techniques and size-control
 parameters. *Prog. Polym. Sci.* 36(7):887–913
- Rattes ALR, Oliveira WP. 2007. Spray drying conditions and encapsulating composition
 effects on formation and properties of sodium diclofenac microparticles. *Powder Technol.* 171(1):7–14
- Raval JP, Naik DR, Amin KA, Patel PS. Controlled-release and antibacterial studies of
 doxycycline-loaded poly(ε-caprolactone) microspheres. J. Saudi Chem. Soc.
- 1111 Rawat A, Burgess DJ. 2010. Effect of ethanol as a processing co-solvent on the plga
 1112 microsphere characteristics. *Int. J. Pharm.* 394(1-2):99–105
- 1113 Rees DA, Welsh EJ. 1977. Secondary and tertiary structure of polysaccharides in solutions
 1114 and gels. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 16(4):214–24
- Rosset V, Ahmed N, Zaanoun I, Stella B, Fessi H, Elaissari A. 2012. Elaboration of argan oil
 nanocapsules containing naproxen for cosmetic and transdermal local application. J.
 Colloid Sci. Biotechnol. 1(2):218–24

- Ruan G, Feng S-S. 2003. Preparation and characterization of poly(lactic acid)–poly(ethylene
 glycol)–poly(lactic acid) (pla–peg–pla) microspheres for controlled release of
 paclitaxel. *Biomaterials*. 24(27):5037–44
- Sacchetin PSC, Morales AR, Moraes ÂM, Rosa P de TV e. 2013. Formation of pla particles
 incorporating 17α-methyltestosterone by supercritical fluid technology. J. Supercrit.
 Fluids. 77:52–62
- Sajadi Tabassi SA, Tafaghodi M, Jaafari MR. 2008. Induction of high antitoxin titers against
 tetanus toxoid in rabbits by intranasal immunization with dextran microspheres. *Int. J. Pharm.* 360(1–2):12–17
- Sane A, Limtrakul J. 2009. Formation of retinyl palmitate-loaded poly(l-lactide) nanoparticles
 using rapid expansion of supercritical solutions into liquid solvents (resolv). J.
 Supercrit. Fluids. 51(2):230–37
- Sanli D, Bozbag SE, Erkey C. 2012. Synthesis of nanostructured materials using supercritical
 co2: part i. physical transformations. *J. Mater. Sci.* 47(7):2995–3025
- Santos DT, Albarelli JQ, Beppu MM, Meireles MAA. 2013. Stabilization of anthocyanin
 extract from jabuticaba skins by encapsulation using supercritical co2 as solvent. *Food Res. Int.* 50(2):617–24
- Sastre RL, Olmo R, Teijón C, Muñíz E, Teijón JM, Blanco MD. 2007. 5-fluorouracil plasma levels and biodegradation of subcutaneously injected drug-loaded microspheres prepared by spray-drying poly(d,l-lactide) and poly(d,l-lactide-co-glycolide) polymers.
 Int. J. Pharm. 338(1–2):180–90
- Seju U, Kumar A, Sawant KK. 2011. Development and evaluation of olanzapine-loaded plga
 nanoparticles for nose-to-brain delivery: in vitro and in vivo studies. *Acta Biomater*.
 7(12):4169–76
- Seo D-H, Jeong Y-I, Kim D-G, Jang M-J, Jang M-K, Nah J-W. 2009. Methotrexateincorporated polymeric nanoparticles of methoxy poly(ethylene glycol)-grafted
 chitosan. *Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces*. 69(2):157–63
- Seremeta KP, Chiappetta DA, Sosnik A. 2013. Poly(ε-caprolactone), eudragit® rs 100 and poly(ε-caprolactone)/eudragit® rs 100 blend submicron particles for the sustained release of the antiretroviral efavirenz. *Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces*. 102:441–49
- Shao Y, Zhu B, Li J, Liu X, Tan X, Yang X. 2009. Novel chitosan microsphere-templated
 microcapsules suitable for spontaneous loading of heparin. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C.*29(3):936–41
- Silva AL, Rosalia RA, Sazak A, Carstens MG, Ossendorp F, et al. 2013. Optimization of encapsulation of a synthetic long peptide in plga nanoparticles: low-burst release is crucial for efficient cd8(+) t cell activation. *Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm. Off. J. Arbeitsgemeinschaft Für Pharm. Verfahrenstechnik EV.* 83(3):338–45
- Simşek S, Eroğlu H, Kurum B, Ulubayram K. 2013. Brain targeting of atorvastatin loaded
 amphiphilic plga-b-peg nanoparticles. *J. Microencapsul.* 30(1):10–20
- Singh M, Kazzaz J, Ugozzoli M, Chesko J, O'Hagan DT. 2004. Charged polylactide co glycolide microparticles as antigen delivery systems. *Expert Opin. Biol. Ther.* 4(4):483–91
- Sinha VR, Bansal K, Kaushik R, Kumria R, Trehan A. 2004. Poly-epsilon-caprolactone microspheres and nanospheres: an overview. *Int. J. Pharm.* 278(1):1–23

- Siqueira-Moura MP, Primo FL, Espreafico EM, Tedesco AC. 2013. Development,
 characterization, and photocytotoxicity assessment on human melanoma of
 chloroaluminum phthalocyanine nanocapsules. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C.* 33(3):1744–52
- Soares ASP. 2013. A special issue on applications of microencapsulation. J. Colloid Sci.
 Biotechnol. 2(2):77–77
- Sollohub K, Cal K. 2010. Spray drying technique: ii. current applications in pharmaceutical
 technology. J. Pharm. Sci. 99(2):587–97
- Song KC, Lee HS, Choung IY, Cho KI, Ahn Y, Choi EJ. 2006. The effect of type of organic
 phase solvents on the particle size of poly(d,l-lactide-co-glycolide) nanoparticles. *Colloids Surf. Physicochem. Eng. Asp.* 276(1–3):162–67
- Song X, Zhao Y, Wu W, Bi Y, Cai Z, et al. 2008. Plga nanoparticles simultaneously loaded
 with vincristine sulfate and verapamil hydrochloride: systematic study of particle size
 and drug entrapment efficiency. *Int. J. Pharm.* 350(1-2):320–29
- Sou T, Meeusen EN, de Veer M, Morton DAV, Kaminskas LM, McIntosh MP. 2011. New
 developments in dry powder pulmonary vaccine delivery. *Trends Biotechnol*.
 29(4):191–98
- Souguir H, Salaün F, Douillet P, Vroman I, Chatterjee S. 2013. Nanoencapsulation of
 curcumin in polyurethane and polyurea shells by an emulsion diffusion method. *Chem. Eng. J.* 221:133–45
- Stulzer HK, Tagliari MP, Parize AL, Silva MAS, Laranjeira MCM. 2009. Evaluation of cross linked chitosan microparticles containing acyclovir obtained by spray-drying. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C.* 29(2):387–92
- Su Z, Sun F, Shi Y, Jiang C, Meng Q, et al. 2009. Effects of formulation parameters on
 encapsulation efficiency and release behavior of risperidone poly(d,l-lactide-coglycolide) microsphere. *Chem. Pharm. Bull. (Tokyo)*. 57(11):1251–56
- Sukhorukov GB, Donath E, Lichtenfeld H, Knippel E, Knippel M, et al. 1998. Layer-by-layer
 self assembly of polyelectrolytes on colloidal particles. *Colloids Surf. Physicochem. Eng. Asp.* 137(1–3):253–66
- Suksiriworapong J, Sripha K, Kreuter J, Junyaprasert VB. 2012. Functionalized (poly(ε caprolactone))2-poly(ethylene glycol) nanoparticles with grafting nicotinic acid as
 drug carriers. *Int. J. Pharm.* 423(2):562–70
- Surassmo S, Min S-G, Bejrapha P, Choi M-J. 2010. Effects of surfactants on the physical
 properties of capsicum oleoresin-loaded nanocapsules formulated through the
 emulsion-diffusion method. *Food Res. Int.* 43(1):8–17
- Tawfeek HM. 2013. Evaluation of peg and mpeg-co-(pga-co-pdl) microparticles loaded with
 sodium diclofenac. *Saudi Pharm. J.* 21(4):387–97
- Tewa-Tagne P, Briançon S, Fessi H. 2006. Spray-dried microparticles containing polymeric
 nanocapsules: formulation aspects, liquid phase interactions and particles
 characteristics. *Int. J. Pharm.* 325(1-2):63–74
- Thomas C, Gupta V, Ahsan F. 2009. Influence of surface charge of plga particles of
 recombinant hepatitis b surface antigen in enhancing systemic and mucosal immune
 responses. *Int. J. Pharm.* 379(1):41–50
- Trapani A, Laquintana V, Denora N, Lopedota A, Cutrignelli A, et al. 2007. Eudragit rs 100
 microparticles containing 2-hydroxypropyl-β-cyclodextrin and glutathione:

- physicochemical characterization, drug release and transport studies. *Eur. J. Pharm. Sci.* 30(1):64–74
- Tse MT, Blatchford C, Oya Alpar H. 2009. Evaluation of different buffers on plasmid dna
 encapsulation into plga microparticles. *Int. J. Pharm.* 370(1-2):33–40
- Valot P, Baba M, Nedelec J-M, Sintes-Zydowicz N. 2009. Effects of process parameters on
 the properties of biocompatible ibuprofen-loaded microcapsules. *Int. J. Pharm.* 369(1 2):53–63
- Van de Ven H, Paulussen C, Feijens PB, Matheeussen A, Rombaut P, et al. 2012. Plga
 nanoparticles and nanosuspensions with amphotericin b: potent in vitro and in vivo
 alternatives to fungizone and ambisome. *J. Controlled Release*. 161(3):795–803
- Van de Ven H, Vermeersch M, Matheeussen A, Vandervoort J, Weyenberg W, et al. 2011.
 Plga nanoparticles loaded with the antileishmanial saponin β-aescin: factor influence
 study and in vitro efficacy evaluation. *Int. J. Pharm.* 420(1):122–32
- 1219 Van der Graaf S, Schroën CGPH, Boom RM. 2005. Preparation of double emulsions by
 1220 membrane emulsification—a review. *J. Membr. Sci.* 251(1–2):7–15
- 1221 Vanderhoff JW, El-Aasser MS, Ugelstad J. 1979. Polymer emulsification process. *4177177*
- 1222 Vandervoort J, Ludwig A. 2004. Preparation and evaluation of drug-loaded gelatin
 1223 nanoparticles for topical ophthalmic use. *Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm.* 57(2):251–61
- Vauthier C, Bouchemal K. 2009. Methods for the preparation and manufacture of polymeric
 nanoparticles. *Pharm. Res.* 26(5):1025–58
- Vergaro V, Scarlino F, Bellomo C, Rinaldi R, Vergara D, et al. 2011. Drug-loaded
 polyelectrolyte microcapsules for sustained targeting of cancer cells. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 63(9):847–64
- Vila A, Gill H, McCallion O, Alonso MJ. 2004. Transport of pla-peg particles across the nasal
 mucosa: effect of particle size and peg coating density. J. Controlled Release.
 98(2):231–44
- Vila A, Sánchez A, Évora C, Soriano I, McCallion O, Alonso MJ. 2005. Pla-peg particles as
 nasal protein carriers: the influence of the particle size. *Int. J. Pharm.* 292(1–2):43–52
- 1234 Vladisavljević GT, Williams RA. 2007. Recent developments in manufacturing particulate
 1235 products from double-emulsion templates using membrane and microfluidic devices.
 1236 In *Multiple Emulsions*, ed A Aserin, pp. 121–64. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Wang F-J, Wang C-H. 2002. Sustained release of etanidazole from spray dried microspheres
 prepared by non-halogenated solvents. *J. Controlled Release*. 81(3):263–80
- Wang G, Yu B, Wu Y, Huang B, Yuan Y, Liu CS. 2013. Controlled preparation and
 antitumor efficacy of vitamin e tpgs-functionalized plga nanoparticles for delivery of
 paclitaxel. *Int. J. Pharm.* 446(1-2):24–33
- Wang S, Guo S, Cheng L. 2008. Disodium norcantharidate loaded poly(ε-caprolactone)
 microspheres: i. preparation and evaluation. *Int. J. Pharm.* 350(1–2):130–37
- 1244 Xie H, Smith JW. 2010. Fabrication of plga nanoparticles with a fluidic nanoprecipitation
 1245 system. *J. Nanobiotechnology*. 8(1):18
- 1246 Xin H, Chen L, Gu J, Ren X, Wei Z, et al. 2010. Enhanced anti-glioblastoma efficacy by ptx 1247 loaded pegylated poly(ε-caprolactone) nanoparticles: in vitro and in vivo evaluation.
 1248 *Int. J. Pharm.* 402(1-2):238–47

- Yadav KS, Sawant KK. 2010. Formulation optimization of etoposide loaded plga
 nanoparticles by double factorial design and their evaluation. *Curr. Drug Deliv.* 7(1):51–64
- Yan S, Zhu J, Wang Z, Yin J, Zheng Y, Chen X. 2011. Layer-by-layer assembly of poly(lglutamic acid)/chitosan microcapsules for high loading and sustained release of 5fluorouracil. *Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm. Off. J. Arbeitsgemeinschaft Für Pharm. Verfahrenstechnik EV.* 78(3):336–45
- Yang J, Park S-B, Yoon H-G, Huh Y-M, Haam S. 2006. Preparation of poly ε-caprolactone
 nanoparticles containing magnetite for magnetic drug carrier. *Int. J. Pharm.*324(2):185–90
- Yang Z, Birkenhauer P, Julmy F, Chickering D, Ranieri JP, et al. 1999. Sustained release of
 heparin from polymeric particles for inhibition of human vascular smooth muscle cell
 proliferation. J. Controlled Release. 60(2–3):269–77
- Yildiz A, John E, Özsoy Y, Araman A, Birchall JC, et al. 2012. Inhaled extended-release microparticles of heparin elicit improved pulmonary pharmacodynamics against antigen-mediated airway hyper-reactivity and inflammation. *J. Controlled Release*. 162(2):456–63
- Yoncheva K, Gómez S, Campanero MA, Gamazo C, Irache JM. 2005. Bioadhesive properties
 of pegylated nanoparticles. *Expert Opin. Drug Deliv.* 2(2):205–18
- Yoo M-K, Kang S-K, Choi J-H, Park I-K, Na H-S, et al. 2010. Targeted delivery of chitosan
 nanoparticles to peyer's patch using m cell-homing peptide selected by phage display
 technique. *Biomaterials*. 31(30):7738–47
- Yordanov G. 2012. Influence of the preparation method on the physicochemical properties of
 econazole-loaded poly(butyl cyanoacrylate) colloidal nanoparticles. *Colloids Surf. Physicochem. Eng. Asp.* 413:260–65
- Youm I, Murowchick JB, Youan B-BC. 2012. Entrapment and release kinetics of furosemide
 from pegylated nanocarriers. *Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces*. 94:133–42
- Young S, Wong M, Tabata Y, Mikos AG. 2005. Gelatin as a delivery vehicle for the
 controlled release of bioactive molecules. *J. Controlled Release*. 109(1-3):256–74
- I278 Zakeri-Milani P, Loveymi BD, Jelvehgari M, Valizadeh H. 2013. The characteristics and improved intestinal permeability of vancomycin plga-nanoparticles as colloidal drug delivery system. *Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces*. 103:174–81
- Zambaux MF, Bonneaux F, Gref R, Maincent P, Dellacherie E, et al. 1998. Influence of
 experimental parameters on the characteristics of poly(lactic acid) nanoparticles
 prepared by a double emulsion method. J. Control. Release Off. J. Control. Release
 Soc. 50(1-3):31–40
- Zandanel C, Vauthier C. 2012. Poly(isobutylcyanoacrylate) nanoparticles decorated with
 chitosan: effect of conformation of chitosan chains at the surface on complement
 activation properties. J. Colloid Sci. Biotechnol. 1(1):68–81
- Zhang C, Li G, Wang Y, Cui F, Zhang J, Huang Q. 2012. Preparation and characterization of
 5-fluorouracil-loaded plla-peg/peg nanoparticles by a novel supercritical co2
 technique. *Int. J. Pharm.* 436(1-2):272–81

- Zhang F, Wu Q, Chen Z-C, Zhang M, Lin X-F. 2008a. Hepatic-targeting microcapsules
 construction by self-assembly of bioactive galactose-branched polyelectrolyte for
 controlled drug release system. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 317(2):477–84
- Zhang F, Wu Q, Liu L-J, Chen Z-C, Lin X-F. 2008b. Thermal treatment of galactosebranched polyelectrolyte microcapsules to improve drug delivery with reserved
 targetability. *Int. J. Pharm.* 357(1-2):22–31
- 1297 Zhang H, Cui W, Bei J, Wang S. 2006. Preparation of poly(lactide-co-glycolide-co 1298 caprolactone) nanoparticles and their degradation behaviour in aqueous solution.
 1299 Polym. Degrad. Stab. 91(9):1929–36
- Zhao H, Gagnon J, Hafeli UO. 2007. Process and formulation variables in the preparation of
 injectable and biodegradable magnetic microspheres. *Biomagn. Res. Technol.* 5:2
- Zheng X, Kan B, Gou M, Fu S, Zhang J, et al. 2010. Preparation of mpeg–pla nanoparticle for
 honokiol delivery in vitro. *Int. J. Pharm.* 386(1–2):262–67
- Zhou YZ, Alany RG, Chuang V, Wen J. 2013. Optimization of plga nanoparticles formulation
 containing l-dopa by applying the central composite design. *Drug Dev. Ind. Pharm.* 39(2):321–30
- 1307